

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.06.17.20132555

# GWAS of stool frequency reveals genes, pathways, and cell types relevant to human gastrointestinal motility and irritable bowel syndrome — Source link

Ferdinando Bonfiglio, Ferdinando Bonfiglio, Xingrong Liu, Christopher Smillie ...+26 more authors

Institutions: Karolinska Institutet, Monash University, Broad Institute, University of Michigan ...+11 more institutions

Published on: 19 Jun 2020 - medRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press)

Topics: Irritable bowel syndrome, Population and Genome-wide association study

#### Related papers:

- · Genetic epidemiology of irritable bowel syndrome.
- The genetics of irritable bowel syndrome.
- · Genetic aspect (with SNPs) of irritable bowel syndrome
- · Genetic background of irritable bowel syndrome
- The Role of Genetics in IBS









# GWAS of stool frequency reveals genes, pathways, and cell types relevant to human gastrointestinal motility and irritable bowel syndrome

Ferdinando Bonfiglio<sup>1,2</sup>, Xingrong Liu<sup>2,3</sup>,\* Christopher Smillie<sup>4</sup>,\* Anita Pandit<sup>5</sup>,\* Alexander Kurilshikov<sup>6</sup>,\* Rodrigo Bacigalupe<sup>7,8</sup>,\* Tenghao Zheng<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Hieu Nim<sup>1</sup>, Koldo Garcia-Etxebarria<sup>9</sup>, Luis Bujanda<sup>9,10,11</sup>, Anna Andreasson<sup>12</sup>, Lars Agreus<sup>13</sup>, Susanna Walter<sup>14</sup>, Goncalo Abecasis<sup>5</sup>, Chris Eijsbouts<sup>15,16</sup>, Luke Jostins<sup>17,18</sup>, Miles Parkes<sup>19</sup>, David A Hughes<sup>20,21</sup>, Nicholas Timpson<sup>20,21</sup>, Jeroen Raes<sup>7,8</sup>, Andre Franke<sup>22</sup>, Nicholas A Kennedy<sup>23</sup>,\* Aviv Regev<sup>4</sup>,\* Alexandra Zhernakova<sup>6</sup>,\* Magnus Simren<sup>24</sup>,\* Michael Camilleri<sup>25</sup>,\* Mauro D'Amato<sup>1,2,9,26,§</sup>

\*equal contribution #equal contribution §corresponding author

1

2

4

5

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

31

32

33 34

35

40

45

46

47

48

49 50

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton VIC, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Unit of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>3</sup>Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>4</sup>Klarman Cell Observatory, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; <sup>7</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Instituut, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; 8Center for Microbiology, VIB, Leuven, 3000, Belgium.; <sup>9</sup>Department of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, Biodonostia HRI, San Sebastián, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd); <sup>11</sup>Universidad del País Vasco (UPV/EHU), San Sebastian, Spain; <sup>12</sup>Division of Clinical Medicine, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>13</sup>Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden: 14 Division of Neuro and Inflammation Science, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden; <sup>15</sup>Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; <sup>16</sup>Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; <sup>17</sup>Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; <sup>18</sup>Christ Church, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; <sup>19</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, UK; <sup>20</sup>MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; <sup>21</sup>Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; <sup>22</sup>Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany; <sup>23</sup>IBD Pharmacogenetics, College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK; <sup>24</sup>Dept of Internal Medicine & Clinical Nutrition, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>25</sup>Clinical Enteric Neuroscience Translational and Epidemiological Research (CENTER), and Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; <sup>26</sup>IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain.

#### Correspondence:

Mauro D'Amato School of Biological Sciences, Monash University 25 Rainforest Walk, 3800 Clayton VIC, Australia +61 3 99053751; mauro.damato@monash.edu

Word count: 3998

# **ABSTRACT**

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

Objective. Gut dysmotility is associated with constipation, diarrhea, and functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGID) like irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Its molecular underpinnings, and their anomalies in FGID disorders are poorly characterized, hence we sought to gain mechanistic insight through a large-scale genetic investigation. Design. We used stool frequency (STL-FRQ) as a (surrogate) quantitative trait to study the genetics of gut motility, exploiting questionnaire and genotype data from UK Biobank and four smaller population-based cohorts (LifeLines-Deep, Genes for Good, Flemish Gut Flora Project and PopCol), in a GWAS meta-analysis spanning 8,817,117 high-quality SNP markers and 167,875 individuals of European descent. Results. We identify 13 genome-wide significant loci (P≤5.0×10-8) harboring prioritized genes that are: i) involved in sensory perception and neurotransmitter/neuropeptide signaling; ii) enriched for their expression in enteric motor neurons associated with the control of peristalsis (P=7.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) iii) previously linked to other traits and conditions, including GI motility and dysmotility syndromes, and the response to their pharmacological treatment. The genetic architecture of STL-FRQ most strongly correlates with that of IBS (r<sub>0</sub>=0.42: P=1.1×10<sup>-3</sup>). In UK Biobank, the risk of IBS with diarrhea was 4x higher in individuals from the top 1% of the distribution of polygenic scores (PGS) computed based on STL-FRQ GWAS summary statistics (ORs=4.14; P=1.2×10<sup>-97</sup>). Conclusion. We identify loci harboring genes with a plausible role in GI motility, possibly acting via neurotransmission and similar pathways in specialized enteric neurons. The demonstrated relevance of these findings to IBS warrants further study for the identification of actionable pathomechanisms in the dysmotility syndromes.

**Keywords.** Intestinal motility, genetics, irritable bowel syndrome

# **INTRODUCTION**

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

Gastrointestinal (GI) motility is essential to digestion, nutrients absorption and overall human health, including bi-directional host-microbiome interactions.[1,2] Gut dysmotility and altered peristalsis are observed in constipation, diarrhea, and common functional GI disorders (FGIDs) like irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), which affect a large portion of the population and pose a remarkable socio-economic and healthcare burden.[3,4] While showing considerable symptoms overlap, most FGIDs are associated with some degree of GI motor dysfunction, possibly best exemplified by the observation that colonic transit time is generally delayed in patients with constipation-predominant IBS (IBS-C), and accelerated in patients with diarrheapredominant IBS (IBS-D).[5,6] Dysmotility plausibly represents a key pathogenetic mechanism contributing to several GI conditions across a continuum ranging from mild symptoms, through functional disorders, and extreme cases of severely impaired peristalsis as observed in chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction.[7] There is only incomplete understanding of the physiological mechanisms regulating intestinal motility, and their perturbation in the dysmotility syndromes. While some medications (opioids, antidepressants, laxative and others) are known to influence GI motility, intrinsic triggers are generally believed to come from disturbed communication along the gut-brain axis, inflammatory or degenerative processes, and overstimulation of visceral sensory pathways that ultimately affect the gut musculature and local GI motor function via the enteric nervous system (ENS).[8] Therapeutic options are limited in the dysmotility syndromes, and rely on targeting specific symptoms rather than (currently unknown) underlying mechanisms. Genetic research may reveal biological pathways amenable to therapeutic exploitation, and

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

some evidence of heritability can be derived for gut motility from previous studies in relation to colonic transit time measured with detectable tracers.[9-11] These studies, however, were largely underpowered to capture reliable genetic effects, as they lacked replication and focused on few DNA variants from individual candidate genes in small cohorts of IBS patients, hence results are not conclusive or transferable to the general population. As of today, no large-scale study has been performed to identify the genetic determinants of gut motility. Direct assessment of GI motility in humans requires demanding clinical procedures (like transit time scintigraphy or the radiopague markers method), which are exclusively performed to support patient diagnosis and therapeutic management, and are therefore not suitable for large-scale population-wide genetic surveys.[12] However, stool consistency and, to a lesser extent, stool frequency (STL-FRQ which refers to the number of bowel movements over a period of time) are valuable indicators of bowel function that correlate with colonic transit time, and can be recorded questionnairepractically based on or diary survey-based approaches.[13,14] These represent therefore practical surrogate tools that can be adopted and scaled for studying GI motility at the population level thanks to their ease of data collection. Precedent for this approach comes, for instance, from a similar strategy recently applied in a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of IBS as self-reported condition from questionnaire data.[15] Here, we leverage data from UK Biobank and four smaller population-based cohorts (LifeLines-Deep, Genes for Good, Flemish Gut Flora Project and PopCol) for a STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis across 8,817,117 high-quality single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers in a total of 167,875 individuals of European descent. We identify 13 loci that harbor genes associated with pathways and cell types plausibly involved in the control of GI motility in humans, and provide compelling evidence of the relevance of these findings to IBS. The identification of genetic factors predisposing to altered gut motility may allow early identification of individuals at higher risk of FGID and, more importantly, therapeutically actionable pathways that may be targeted for the delineation of alternative treatment options.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

STUDY COHORTS

We studied phenotype and genotype data in 460,734 individuals from 5 population-based cohorts: UK Biobank (UKBB), LifeLines-DEEP cohort (LLD), The Genes for Good study (GFG), the Flemish Gut Flora Project (FGFP) and the Population-based Colonoscopy (PopCol) study. Health-related information was derived from questionnaires and participants' electronic medical records, with STL-FRQ defined as the number of stool passes per day, after data harmonization. Selected genotype data was also studied in relation to colonic transit time (CTT) in a small cohort of 160 IBS patients from Sweden. A detailed description of all cohorts is reported in Supplementary Table 1 and the Supplementary Methods.

#### **GWAS META-ANALYSIS**

A common GWAS pipeline was applied to individual cohorts based on mixed linear models and high quality (INFO>0.8) common (MAF>0.01) markers. A fixed-effect meta-analysis based on the inverse-variance weighted method was performed on a total of 167,875 individuals and 8,817,117 markers. In the analysis of CTT, STL-FRQ GWAS effect alleles were tested for association with linear regression adjusting for age, sex and first 10 principal components. A summary of relevant data, quality control measures, and analytical procedures is reported in the Supplementary Methods.

#### **FUNCTIONAL ANNOTATION OF STL-FRQ LOCI**

Locus definition and content. Annotation of *STL-FRQ* loci was done with FUMA v1.3.5 (https://fuma.ctglab.nl/), based on GWAS meta-analysis summary statistics. Independent association signals were identified based on SNP P-value (≤5.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) between markers (r2<0.4). Association signals were merged into a single locus for LD blocks closer than 250kb apart. Gene content at STL-FRQ loci was annotated based on positional and expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) mapping, also with FUMA using default parameters and false discovery rate (FDR) P<0.05.

Fine mapping. Fine-mapping was performed for the 13 genome-wide significant loci using FINEMAP v1.3,[16] with z-scores from the STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis and LD matrices derived from the genotype probabilities (.bgen files) of UK Biobank data. Specific eQTL traits associated with fine mapped SNPs were identified based on data from GTEx v8.[17]

#### **ENRICHMENT ANALYSES**

Gene-set and pathway enrichment analyses. Functional enrichment of STL-FRQ associated genes (as from positional and eQTL mapping with FUMA) was evaluated using GeneNetwork v2.0 (www.genenetwork.nl), in relation to KEGG pathways and Gene Ontology (GO) terms, using pre-computed co-regulation Z-scores and a Mann-Whitney U-test with FDR correction. Enrichment of molecular pathways from the REACTOME libraries was tested using PASCAL with STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis summary statistics, and default parameters including type I error control.[18]

Cell-type enrichment analyses. Look up of STL-FRQ gene expression was done on previously reported single cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) and Ribosomes And Intact SIngle Nucleus isolation (RAISIN) RNA-seq data from human colonic mucosa and

muscularis propria,[19,20] in relation to 76 cell types including immune, stromal and enteric neurons, among others. As described,[20] enteric neurons were partitioned into 5 classes based on the expression of major neurotransmitters/neuropeptides (*CHAT*, *SLC5A7*, *NOS1*, *VIP*) and other known markers: putative sensory neurons (PSN), interneurons (PIN subsets 1 and 2), secretomotor/vasodilator neurons (PSVN), and excitatory (PEMN subsets 1 and 2) and inhibitory motor neurons (PIMN subsets 1-5). Enrichment tests, comparing the expression of STL-FRQ genes versus background genes in enteric and motor neurons, were conducted using Fisher's Exact test, controlling for type 1 error by FDR adjustment.

#### **CROSS-TRAIT ANALYSES**

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

200

201

203

204

- Lookup of STL-FRQ GWAS signals in other traits. The GWAS catalog[21] and
- 192 PhenoScanner[22] were screened with STL-FRQ lead SNPs and their high LD
- proxies (r2>0.8) in order to highlight associations (P≤5.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) with other traits.
- 194 Associations were plotted with Circlize (cran.r-project.org/web/packages/circlize).
- 195 **Genetic correlations.** STL-FRQ SNP heritability (h<sup>2</sup><sub>SNP</sub>) and genetic correlation (r<sub>a</sub>)
- 196 between STL-FRQ and other complex traits were estimated using LD score
- regression (LDSC v1.0.1),[23] implemented in the CTG-VL platform (vl.genoma.io),
- which integrates public summary statistics of 1,387 traits from multiple repositories.
- 199 Tests for statistical significance were FDR adjusted to control for type I errors.

#### **POLYGENIC SCORE ANALYSES**

202 Polygenic scores (PGS) based on a pruning and thresholding approach were built

using PRSice-2.[24] Effect estimates and corresponding standard errors from the

STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis were used as the base dataset to generate weights,

and then applied to IBS traits from UK Biobank (including subtypes) to derive PGS using PRSice-2 default settings. To account for the differences in the numbers of variants per cohort, a normalized polygenic score (mean=0, SD=1) was created per cohort. Student's t-test was employed to determine the significance of the difference between the mean PGSs in IBS and controls. PGSs were binned into percentiles and the subset of IBS patients within a given magnitude of increased STL-FRQ PGS (top percentiles) was compared to the reminder of the population in a logistic regression adjusting for sex, age, the first 10 PCs and genotyping array.

# **RESULTS**

## **STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis**

The distribution of STL-FRQ (harmonized to the number of stool passes per day) was similar in the studied cohorts, with average ranging from 1.12 in GFG to 1.42 in UKBB and PopCol. Independent GWAS were carried out in individual cohorts using a common pipeline (Supplementary Methods), and later included in a meta-analysis encompassing 167,875 participants and 8,817,117 high-quality SNP markers. The STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis showed no population stratification (LDSC intercept = 1.02, see Methods), and identified 3751 genome-wide-significant associations (P≤5.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) from 13 independent loci (Table 1, Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). The strongest signal was detected for marker rs12273363 on chromosome 11 (P=4.8×10<sup>-21</sup>), in proximity of the BDNF gene.

## Gene-set and pathway enrichment analyses

In order to obtain biological insight from the observed associations, we analysed STL-FRQ GWAS data with a computational pipeline for the functional annotation of associated loci. FUMA was used to define STL-FRQ GWAS loci, their boundaries and respective gene content, based on positional and eQTL mapping (see Methods). Several relevant genes were located at the associated loci (Supplementary Table 2), therefore we proceeded to perform gene-set and pathway enrichment analysis. GeneNetwork analysis revealed significant (FDR P<0.01) enrichment for relevant KEGG pathways including "neuroactive ligand receptor interaction", and GO terms "detection of chemical stimulus involved in sensory perception" and "neuropeptide signaling pathway" (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 3). Similarly, PASCAL

pathway-level analysis highlighted "neurotransmitter receptor binding and downstream transmission in the postsynaptic cell" and "serotonin receptors" as the top enriched REACTOME pathways (Supplementary Table 4).

#### Functional annotation at the single cell level

STL-FRQ genes did not show any preferential tissue expression based on FUMA or DEPICT analyses (not shown), hence we turned to study cell-type specific expression using single cell transcriptomic data available from human colonic mucosa and muscularis propria.[19,20] Look up of STL-FRQ gene expression was carried out using scRNA-seq and RAISINs RNA-seq data (see Methods), in relation to immune, epithelial, stromal and glial cells, muscle cells, and 11 subtypes of enteric neurons grouped into 5 major classes based on the relative expression of major neurotransmitters neuropeptides and other markers (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S2). Of note, the expression of STL-FRQ associated genes was strongly enriched in enteric neurons (FDR P=1.4×10<sup>-3</sup>), and more so in specific putative excitatory and inhibitory motor neurons (PEMN and PIMN subtypes, FDR P=7.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) reportedly involved in the control of peristalsis.[20] Hence, functional annotation of STL-FRQ genes at the single cell level points to a potential role in controlling human gut motility through the involvement of specialized neuronal populations.

#### Prioritization of causative genes

FINEMAP analysis of candidate causative SNPs from STL-FRQ loci mapped 4/13 signals at single-marker resolution with >50% probability (Table 1). Variants rs4556017 and rs13162291 were mapped with highest confidence (respective probabilities 95.1% and 83.5%) and are both associated with eQTLs in multiple

tissues (Supplementary Figure S3). In particular, rs4556017 shows eQTLs for the acetylcholinesterase ACHE, and rs13162291 eQTLs for the fatty acid hydroxylase FAXDC2, two genes expressed in enteric and motor neurons (Figure 3). The SNP rs11240503 is associated with a colon-specific eQTL for CDK18 (Supplementary Figure S3), a protein kinase expressed in colonic M cells and BEST4+ enterocytes (Figure 3). Finally, the rs12273363 marker is associated with eQTLs for a long non coding antisense RNA (BDNF-AS, Supplementary Figure S3) modulating the expression of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor BDNF.[25] Of note, rs12273363 emerged as top GWAS signal in our meta-analysis (P=4.8×10<sup>-21</sup>, Table 1), and was also associated with consistent genetic effects on CTT measured by the radiopaque method in a small set of 160 IBS individuals (P=0.036, with the T allele associated both with more frequent stools and faster transit; Table 1 and Supplementary Table 5). Although fine mapping did not highlight most likely causative variants at other loci, their gene content includes candidate genes of known relevance to GI motility and dysmotility syndromes, like neuropeptides/neurotransmitters and their receptors (CALCA/CALCB, CRHR1), ion channels (KCNJ4), tight junction proteins (CLDN15) and others (Supplementary Table 2). Genetic variation at these loci also appears to affect gene expression across several tissues, as evidenced by eQTL analysis (Supplementary Figure S4).

#### **Correlations with other disease and traits**

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

A lookup of STL-FRQ association signals in publicly available GWAS data suggested some of the 13 loci to be relevant to other traits and diseases across multiple domains, including health outcomes, lifestyle factors and anthropometric traits (Figure 4A). In particular, the loci tagged by markers rs12273363 and rs2732706

showed the largest number of associations, mostly with anthropometric and psychiatric traits, respectively (Supplementary Table 6). Evidence of genetic correlation with other conditions and traits was obtained from broader analyses of STL-FRQ GWAS summary statistics using LDSC analysis, which estimated SNP-based STL-FRQ heritability around 7% ( $h^2_{SNP}$ =0.073). When screening publicly available GWAS data (see Methods), strongest correlation was observed for IBS ( $r_g$ =0.42, FDR P=5.1x10<sup>-5</sup>), while additional significant findings were obtained for other gastrointestinal (diverticular disease, use of proton pump inhibitors - Omeprazole) and psychiatric (anxiety, depression) traits, as well as a number of traits associated with pain and fatigue (Figure 4B and Supplementary Table 7), comorbidities often seen in dysmotility syndromes like IBS. Typifying inverse correlations were also detected for dietary fibers (bran cereals) and laxatives (dulcolax), which are usually consumed to avoid or relieve constipation, indeed a trait at or near the root of the STL-FRQ distribution tested here.

# STL-FRQ polygenic scores and irritable bowel syndrome

We further explored the relevance of STL-FRQ GWAS findings to IBS by computing PGS with PRSice-2 (see Methods) using STL-FRQ GWAS summary statistics. We studied STL-FRQ PGS in relation to IBS and its subtypes (constipation, IBS-C; diarrhea, IBS-D and mixed, IBS-M) defined according to gold-standard consensus Rome III criteria,[26] using data available for a subset of 164,979 UK Biobank participants who filled a digestive health questionnaire (the same used for the derivation of STL-FRQ in UK Biobank, Supplementary Methods). PGS distribution was significantly different in IBS vs asymptomatic individuals, most pronouncedly for IBS-D (mean PGS 0.456 vs -0.022 in cases and controls; P<1×10<sup>-300</sup>) (Figure 5).

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

IBS-D prevalence increased from 2.9% in the lowest to 16.4% in the highest PGS percentile, with individuals showing markedly increased IBS-D risk towards the tail of PGS distribution (ORs 4.14;  $P=1.2\times10^{-97}$  for the top 1% and ORs 2.88;  $P=3.1\times10^{-209}$ for the top 5% of the distribution, respectively; Figure 5 and Supplementary Table 8). However, although focused on a different trait (IBS), these analyses were performed on UK Biobank participants also included in the STL-FRQ GWAS (97% overlap), hence we further tested STL-FRQ PGS in the independent remainder of UK Biobank (N=291,496), in relation to combined IBS diagnoses available from touchscreen questionnaire (self-reported) and electronic medical records (ICD10 codes) (see Supplementary Methods). Although the prevalence of IBS defined by this approach was much lower (3.3%), and the diarrhea or other IBS subtypes could not be tested. similar results were obtained, thus replicating Rome-III findings: PGS values were significantly higher in cases than controls (respective means 0.042 and -0.001; P=2.9×10<sup>-5</sup>), IBS prevalence increased across PGS percentiles (3.6-4.2% bottom-top percentile range) and the risk of IBS was highest in the top 1% of the PGS distribution (1.29 OR; P=6.7×10<sup>-3</sup>; Supplementary Table 8).

# **DISCUSSION**

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

We report the results of a powered STL-FRQ GWAS, based on the meta-analysis of genetic and health-related data from five population-based cohorts. We undertook this study because of the known correlation between stool frequency and gut motility, whereas the latter cannot be feasibly studied in humans in numbers large enough for meaningful genetic investigations. Our approach therefore aimed at revealing relevant physiological pathways and mechanisms via indirectly measuring GI function based on suitable questionnaire data on bowel habits. A similar strategy was adopted in a previous study, however no significant results were obtained, likely due to the small size of the cohorts analyzed (total N=1281).[27] Studying almost 170,000 individuals, here we identify thousands of associations from 13 independent genomewide significant loci, which harbor genes and DNA variants implicating pathways, cell types and mechanisms plausibly affecting human gut motility in health and disease. Functional annotation and GWAS-downstream analyses suggest that genes from STL-FRQ loci are largely involved in neuropeptide and neurotransmitter signaling, sensory perception and control of motor function in the gut, which provides further evidence of the validity of our approach. These pathways are notoriously central to the ENS and its effects on GI motility, enabling bidirectional communication along the gut-brain axis.[28] Neuropeptides and neurotransmitters regulate gut behavior by propagating neuronal signals to the mucosal, immune and muscle systems, with excitatory and inhibitory effects on muscle contraction and peristalsis, among other functions. Our gene expression and cell-type enrichment analyses provide additional insight by harnessing the power of single-cell transcriptomics: exploiting RAISIN data from human colonic muscularis propria, we reveal how STL-FRQ genes are strongly

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

enriched for their expression in enteric neurons, a specific pattern otherwise undetected at the whole tissue level. In particular, the enrichment appears to be more pronounced in putative excitatory and inhibitory motor neurons that have been associated with peristalsis and mechanosensation of gut distention (PEMN and PIMN subtypes expressing the mechanosensitive ion channel PIEZO2).[20] Further investigation of such expression patterns, coupled with functional characterization of STL-FRQ genes in specialized cell types and neuronal subtypes, may therefore contribute important insight into the exact mechanisms underlying neurogenic motor control in the gut, including dissecting specific classes of ENS neurons into their respective functional roles. This may aid the development of future therapeutic strategies to modify GI function and motility. Individual genes most likely candidate to play an important role in the control of STL-FRQ also clearly point to the involvement of neuropeptide/neurotransimitter signaling pathways. This is best exemplified by the strongest association we detected in our GWAS meta-analysis at the BDNF locus on chromosome 13, which also replicated in CTT analyses of IBS patients. The association signal is mapped with relatively high confidence (>50% probability) to the rs12273363 marker, which is linked to multiple functional effects on BDNF expression: it has eQTL effects on an transcript (BDNF-AS) that induces BDNF mRNA degradation,[25] and lies in a regulatory region previously shown to impart allele-specific, direct repression of BDNF promoter activity (with rs12273363 T a less active repressor).[29] BDNF is a neurotrophin expressed in the central and peripheral nervous systems, with neurotransmitter modulatory properties and a crucial role in neuronal growth, differentiation, survival and plasticity.[30] It has also been implicated in several diseases including major depression, bipolar disorder and other psychiatric

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

conditions.[31] BDNF is recognized to influence many important gut functions. including sensation, motility, epithelial barrier, neuroprotection, and neuroplasticity.[32] Multiple lines of evidence indicate BDNF has prokinetic effects on gut motility, as shown by impaired peristalsis and delayed GI transit in BDNF+/mice,[33] increased colonic myoelectric activity in BDNF-treated rats,[34] reduced BDNF colonic levels in patients with slow-transit constipation[35] and, notably, accelerated GI and colonic transit in individuals administered recombinant BDNF (rmetHuBDNF).[36] Hence, our findings are in line with these observations, in that the rs12273363 T allele associated with more frequent stools and shorter CTT has also been shown to induce stronger BDNF expression (weaker repressor).[29] Altogether, this suggests a bona fide role for BDNF in the genetically-determined modulation of human gut motility, and warrants new analyses of recombinant BDNF trials based on genotype stratification. Our results also point to interesting candidate genes from other STL-FRQ loci where the association signal has been refined: ACHE, FAXDC2 and CDK18 all show eQTLs association with individual variants that have been fine mapped with >50% probability (respectively, rs4556017 on chromosome 7, rs13162291 on chromosome 5 and rs11240503 on chromosome 1). ACHE codes for an enzyme that hydrolyzes the neurotransmitter acetylcholine at neuromuscular junctions and is overexpressed in Hirschsprung's disease,[37] while FAXDC2 is a hydroxylase of fatty acids whose luminal concentrations are known to affect gut motility;[38,39] they are both expressed in enteric and motor neurons and therefore represent ideal functional candidates. CDK18 encodes a protein kinase expressed in colonic M cells and BEST4+ enterocytes specialized in electrolyte and pH sensing,[20,40] hence its

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

associated colon-specific eQTL may be relevant to colonic osmolarity and, consequently, transit. Finally, strong functional candidates with a well-known role in GI motility map to additional loci where the association could not be attributed to specific variants. These involve additional neuropeptides/neurotransmitter systems, including alpha and beta calcitonin-gene related peptides (CALCA and CALCB genes) from the rs6486216 locus,[41] and the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor (CRHR1) from the rs2732706 locus.[42] Altogether, these and previous observations made for STL-FRQ candidate causative genes are particularly interesting, in that they may provide rationale for future translational opportunities in the dysmotility syndromes. Several STL-FRQ associations were previously detected also in other health and disease-related traits, as from our cross-trait approach to interrogating publicly available GWAS data. Ten out of thirteen GWAS loci were already linked to lifestyle, anthropometric and disease-related traits (psychiatric conditions in particular). Broader evidence of genetic overlap with these conditions came from our LDSC analyses, which further highlighted shared genetic architecture with gastrointestinal diseases and often co-morbid neuroaffective traits,[43] among others. This likely reflects the recognized importance of the gut-brain axis, and suggests our results may be exploited to gain disease insight in addition to their relevance to better understanding the physiology of human gut motility. We explored this in relation to IBS, the most common FGID and the archetype of dysmotility syndromes, which also showed strongest correlation with STL-FRQ among all traits tested in the LDSC analysis. Polygenic scores (PGS; calculated by summing multiple alleles weighted by their effect sizes, usually derived from GWAS studies), are an attractive way to capture an

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

individual's predisposition to develop a specific trait or disease, and hold strong potential for clinical translation and patient stratification. We computed PGS based on our STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis, and tested them in relation to IBS in the large UK Biobank cohort. STL-FRQ PGS were significantly higher in IBS cases vs asymptomatic controls defined according to Rome III criteria available for approximately 165,000 individuals, as well as in individuals with a doctor's diagnosis of IBS (self-reported or in their medical records) compared to all other participants in the remainder of UK Biobank (almost 300,000 people). Individuals from the upper tail of the PGS distribution were more likely affected by IBS, and exposed to up to >4x higher risk of IBS-D compared to the rest of the population (in the top 1% of the distribution). Of note, at least in UK Biobank, the heritability of STL-FRQ  $(h^2_{SNP}=0.073)$  appears to be higher than that of IBS  $(h^2_{SNP}=0.037)$  on the liability scale, based on previous GWAS data on self-reported IBS).[15] This suggests that, once refined and further validated in independent cohorts, PGS derived from the simple STL-FRQ trait may ultimately contribute to an early identification, and eventual preventive treatment, of individuals at higher risk of developing IBS and other complex dysmotility syndromes. Finally, our study has a number of limitations: i) stool frequency defined according to questionnaire data only equates to human gut motility to a certain extent, as its correlation with GI transit time has been shown to be weaker than, for instance, stool consistency; ii) current analyses could not take into account likely contributing environmental factors like diet, medications and others (whose related information was unavailable in most datasets); iii) relevant cell types and neuronal species have been identified and further classified here based on gene expression data, hence functional characterizations may be necessary to confirm specific mechanisms

involved in the control of STL-FRQ and motility, as proposed; and finally iv) most STL-FRQ loci still require conclusive identification of the individual causative gene and variant(s). These issues can be addressed in future studies, and should therefore stimulate further investigation as follow-up to the novel findings reported here.

In conclusion, we identify loci harboring prioritized genes with a plausible role in GI motility, possibly acting via neurotransmission and similar pathways in specialized enteric neurons. The demonstrated relevance of these findings to IBS warrants further study for the identification of actionable pathomechanisms in the dysmotility syndromes.

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 17435. **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTORSHIP.** MD and AZ: study concept and design; AA, LA, SW, GA, MP, DAH, NT, JR, AF, NAK, AR, AZ, MS, MC, MD: cohorts, patients characterization, data collection; FB, XL, CS, AP, AK, RB, TZ, HN, KGE: statistical analyses; FB, XL, CS, AP, AK, RB, LB, CE, LJ, MP, NT, JR, AF, NAK, AR, AZ, MS, MC, MD: data analysis and interpretation; MD: obtained funding, administrative and technical support, study supervision; FB and MD: drafted the manuscript, with input and critical revision from all other authors. **COMPETING INTERESTS**. None declared. FUNDING. Supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (VR 2017-02403), the Health Department of the Basque Government (2015111133), and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (FIS PI17/00308) to MDA; the research leading to these results has received funding from the EU FP7 under grant nr. 313010 (BBMRI-LPC); the FGFP project received support from the Flemish government (IWT130359), the Research Fund-Flanders (FWO) Odysseus program (G.0924.09), the King Baudouin Foundation (2012-J80000-004), FP7 METACARDIS HEALTH-F4-2012-305312, VIB, the Rega Institute for Medical Research, and KU Leuven. RB is funded by the Research Fund–Flanders (FWO) through a Postdoctoral Fellowship (1221620N). AZ is supported by the ERC Starting Grant 715772, Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research NWO-VIDI grant 016.178.056, the Netherlands Heart Foundation CVON grant 2018-27, and the NWO Gravitation grant ExposomeNL 024.004.017.

**REFERENCES** 486 487 488 Scratcherd T, Grundy D. The physiology of intestinal motility and secretion. Br J Anaesth 489 1984;**56**:3–18. doi:10.1093/bja/56.1.3 490 Quigley EMM. Microflora modulation of motility. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2011;17:140-491 7. doi:10.5056/jnm.2011.17.2.140 492 Keller J, Bassotti G, Clarke J, et al. Expert consensus document: Advances in the 493 diagnosis and classification of gastric and intestinal motility disorders. Nat Rev 494 Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;15:291-308. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2018.7 495 Simrén M, Tack J. New treatments and therapeutic targets for IBS and other functional 496 bowel disorders. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;15:589-605. doi:10.1038/s41575-497 018-0034-5 498 Manabe N, Wong BS, Camilleri M, et al. Lower functional gastrointestinal disorders: 499 evidence of abnormal colonic transit in a 287 patient cohort. Neurogastroenterol Motil 500 2010;**22**:293-e82. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2982.2009.01442.x 501 Törnblom H, Van Oudenhove L, Sadik R, et al. Colonic transit time and IBS symptoms: 502 what's the link? Am J Gastroenterol 2012;107:754-60. doi:10.1038/ajg.2012.5 503 7 Knowles CH, Lindberg G, Panza E, et al. New perspectives in the diagnosis and 504 management of enteric neuropathies. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013;10:206-18. 505 doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2013.18 506 Boeckxstaens G, Camilleri M, Sifrim D, et al. Fundamentals of Neurogastroenterology: 507 Physiology/Motility – Sensation. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**150**:1292-1304.e2. 508 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.030 509 Camilleri M, Shin A, Busciglio I, et al. Genetic variation in GPBAR1 predisposes to 510 quantitative changes in colonic transit and bile acid excretion. Am J Physiol Gastrointest 511 Liver Physiol 2014;307:G508-516. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00178.2014 512 10 Camilleri M, Carlson P, Zinsmeister AR, et al. Neuropeptide S receptor induces 513 neuropeptide expression and associates with intermediate phenotypes of functional 514 gastrointestinal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2010;**138**:98-107.e4. 515 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2009.08.051 516 11 Wong BS, Camilleri M, Carlson PJ, et al. A Klothoβ variant mediates protein stability and 517 associates with colon transit in irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea. Gastroenterology 518 2011;**140**:1934–42. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.02.063 519 12 Fox MR, Kahrilas PJ, Roman S, et al. Clinical measurement of gastrointestinal motility 520 and function: who, when and which test? Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;15:568-521 79. doi:10.1038/s41575-018-0030-9 13 Jaruvongvanich V, Patcharatrakul T, Gonlachanvit S. Prediction of Delayed Colonic 522 523 Transit Using Bristol Stool Form and Stool Frequency in Eastern Constipated Patients: A Difference From the West. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017;23:561-8. 524 525 doi:10.5056/jnm17022

526 14 Saad RJ, Rao SSC, Koch KL, et al. Do stool form and frequency correlate with whole-qut 527 and colonic transit? Results from a multicenter study in constipated individuals and 528 healthy controls. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105:403–11. doi:10.1038/ajg.2009.612 529 15 Bonfiglio F, Zheng T, Garcia-Etxebarria K, et al. Female-Specific Association Between 530 Variants on Chromosome 9 and Self-Reported Diagnosis of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. 531 Gastroenterology 2018;155:168-79. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.03.064 532 16 Benner C, Spencer CCA, Havulinna AS, et al. FINEMAP: efficient variable selection 533 using summary data from genome-wide association studies. Bioinformatics 534 2016;**32**:1493–501. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw018 535 17 GTEx Consortium, Laboratory, Data Analysis & Coordinating Center (LDACC)—Analysis 536 Working Group, Statistical Methods groups—Analysis Working Group, et al. Genetic 537 effects on gene expression across human tissues. *Nature* 2017:**550**:204–13. 538 doi:10.1038/nature24277 539 18 Lamparter D, Marbach D, Rueedi R, et al. Fast and Rigorous Computation of Gene and 540 Pathway Scores from SNP-Based Summary Statistics. PLoS Comput Biol 541 2016;**12**:e1004714. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004714 542 19 Smillie CS, Biton M, Ordovas-Montanes J, et al. Intra- and Inter-cellular Rewiring of the 543 Human Colon during Ulcerative Colitis. Cell 2019;178:714-730.e22. 544 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.029 545 20 Drokhlyansky E. Smillie CS, Wittenberghe NV, et al. The enteric nervous system of the 546 human and mouse colon at a single-cell resolution. bioRxiv 2019;:746743. 547 doi:10.1101/746743 548 21 Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published 549 genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic 550 Acids Res 2019;47:D1005–12. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1120 551 22 Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, et al. PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for 552 searching human genotype-phenotype associations. Bioinformatics 2019;35:4851–3. 553 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz469 23 Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes 554 555 confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics* 556 2015;47:291-5. doi:10.1038/ng.3211 557 24 Choi SW, O'Reilly PF. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale data. 558 Gigascience 2019;8. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giz082 559 25 Modarresi F, Faghihi MA, Lopez-Toledano MA, et al. Inhibition of natural antisense 560 transcripts in vivo results in gene-specific transcriptional upregulation. Nat Biotechnol 561 2012;30:453-9. doi:10.1038/nbt.2158 562 26 Drossman DA. The functional gastrointestinal disorders and the Rome III process. 563 Gastroenterology 2006;**130**:1377–90. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2006.03.008 564 27 Jankipersadsing SA, Hadizadeh F, Bonder MJ, et al. A GWAS meta-analysis suggests 565 roles for xenobiotic metabolism and ion channel activity in the biology of stool frequency. 566 Gut 2017;66:756–8. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312398

567 28 Tack J, Berghe PV. Neuropeptides and colonic motility: It's all in the little brain. 568 Gastroenterology 2000:119:257-60. doi:10.1053/gast.2000.9115 569 29 Hing B, Davidson S, Lear M, et al. A polymorphism associated with depressive disorders 570 differentially regulates brain derived neurotrophic factor promoter IV activity. Biol 571 Psychiatry 2012;71:618–26. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.11.030 572 30 Maisonpierre PC, Belluscio L, Friedman B, et al. NT-3, BDNF, and NGF in the 573 developing rat nervous system: Parallel as well as reciprocal patterns of expression. 574 Neuron 1990;5:501-9. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(90)90089-X 575 31 Di Carlo P, Punzi G, Ursini G. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and schizophrenia. 576 Psychiatr Genet 2019;29:200-10. doi:10.1097/YPG.0000000000000237 577 32 Liu S. Neurotrophic factors in enteric physiology and pathophysiology. 578 Neurogastroenterology & Motility 2018:30:e13446. doi:10.1111/nmo.13446 579 33 Grider JR, Piland BE, Gulick MA, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor augments 580 peristalsis by augmenting 5-HT and calcitonin gene-related peptide release. 581 Gastroenterology 2006;130:771-80. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2005.12.026 582 34 Chai N-L, Dong L, Li Z-F, et al. Effects of neurotrophins on gastrointestinal myoelectric 583 activities of rats. World J Gastroenterol 2003;9:1874-7. doi:10.3748/wjg.v9.i8.1874 584 35 Chen F, Yu Y, Wang P, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor accelerates gut motility in 585 slow-transit constipation. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2014;212:226-38. doi:10.1111/apha.12374 586 36 Coulie B, Szarka LA, Camilleri M, et al. Recombinant human neurotrophic factors 587 accelerate colonic transit and relieve constipation in humans. Gastroenterology 588 2000;**119**:41–50. doi:10.1053/gast.2000.8553 589 37 Moore SW, Johnson G. Acetylcholinesterase in Hirschsprung's disease. Pediatr Surg Int 590 2005;**21**:255–63. doi:10.1007/s00383-005-1383-z 591 38 Jin Q, Ren Y, Wang M, et al. Novel function of FAXDC2 in megakaryopoiesis. Blood 592 Cancer Journal 2016;6:e478-e478. doi:10.1038/bcj.2016.87 593 39 Neunlist M, Schemann M. Nutrient-induced changes in the phenotype and function of the 594 enteric nervous system. J Physiol (Lond) 2014;592:2959-65. 595 doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2014.272948 596 40 Malumbres M, Harlow E, Hunt T, et al. Cyclin-dependent kinases: a family portrait. 597 Nature Cell Biology 2009;**11**:1275–6. doi:10.1038/ncb1109-1275 598 41 Taché Y, Garrick T, Raybould H. Central nervous system action of peptides to influence 599 gastrointestinal motor function. Gastroenterology 1990;98:517-28. doi:10.1016/0016-600 5085(90)90849-v 601 42 Stengel A, Taché Y. Neuroendocrine control of the gut during stress: corticotropin-602 releasing factor signaling pathways in the spotlight. Annu Rev Physiol 2009;71:219–39. 603 doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.010908.163221 604 43 Fond G, Loundou A, Hamdani N, et al. Anxiety and depression comorbidities in irritable 605 bowel syndrome (IBS): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin 606 Neurosci 2014;**264**:651–60. doi:10.1007/s00406-014-0502-z

# FIGURE LEGENDS

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

Figure 1. Manhattan plot of STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis results. GWAS association signals (-log<sub>10</sub> P) are reported for SNP markers across all chromosomes shown in alternate gray colors. Significance level corresponding to genome wide (P=5.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) threshold is indicated with a dashed red horizontal line. For each independent association signal, the nearest gene (within 100kb, otherwise the lead SNP) is reported. Genome-wide significant markers are highlighted in green. Figure 2. Gene set enrichment analysis results. Top significant findings from GeneNetwork analysis ranked by -log<sub>10</sub> FDR adjusted P and color-coded according to KEGG pathways, Gene Ontology biological process (GOBP) and molecular function (GOMF) categories. Figure 3. Heatmap of STL-FRQ gene expression in colonic cells. A selection of STL-FRQ genes is reported for their expression in relevant cell types from colonic mucosa and colonic muscularis, ordered according to increasing expression. The heatmap displays log2(TP10K+1) transformed data, and the expression of each gene is scaled across all cells and shown in color scale ranging from 0 to the 99th data quantile (to avoid high/low expressed genes dominating the heatmap). ICCs: interstitial cells of Cajal; PSN: putative sensory neurons; PEMN: putative excitatory motor neurons; PIMN: putative inhibitory motor neurons; PIN: putative interneurons; PSVN: secretomotor/vasodilator neurons. Cell types, neuron types and subtypes are classified as defined previously in Drokhlyansky et al. (Ref [20]). Figure 4. Cross-trait analysis of STL-FRQ GWAS results. A) Circus plot showing previously reported genome-wide significant associations (P=5.0×10<sup>-8</sup>) at the 13 STL-FRQ loci (lead SNPs or r2>0.8 LD proxies, see Methods). Associations are

grouped by category, and the ribbon size is proportional to the number of associated traits in that category. In order to avoid redundancy, for multiple markers (lead or proxy SNP) linked to the same trait only the one with the lowest P is reported. No association were detected for 3 loci. B) Results obtained in the LDSC analyses of genetic correlation, in relation to a selection of most relevant traits (full results reported in Supplementary Table 7).

Figure 5. STL-FRQ polygenic scores (PGS) and IBS in UK Biobank. Results are reported (including statistical significance) in relation to PGS distribution in IBS cases vs controls (left panels, P-values from t-test), and in relation to the prevalence of IBS across PGS percentiles in the entire cohort (right panels; with top 5% of the distribution highlighted with shaded area, P-values vs the rest of the cohort from logistic regression). IBS and subtypes defined according to Rome III Criteria based on DHQ questionnaire data (see Methods).

Table 1. STL-FRQ GWAS meta-analysis and fine mapping results

Chr	Lead SNP	Start-end (bp)	EA	OA	EAF	Beta (SE) #	Р	Nearest gene (other genes) *	Most likely causal SNP (% probability) ^
1	rs11240503	205469956-205485290	Α	G	0.300	0.018 (0.003)	7.8E-09	CDK18 (5)	<u>rs11240503</u> (0.588)
5	rs39819	122032544-122636855	Α	G	0.671	0.018 (0.003)	1.2E-09	SNX24 (3)	-
5	rs13162291	154119471-154448827	Α	G	0.191	0.020 (0.004)	2.7E-08	MRPL22 (5)	<u>rs13162291</u> (0.835)
7	rs12700026	2554037-2605424	Α	С	0.890	-0.029 (0.005)	1.4E-10	LFNG (5)	rs12700026, rs12700027 (0.350)
7	rs4556017	99919517-100678086	Т	С	0.853	0.024 (0.004)	1.0E-09	MUC12 (46)	<u>rs4556017</u> (0.951)
8	rs10957534	71482998-72012331	С	G	0.367	-0.016 (0.003)	1.3E-08	(5)	-
11	rs6486216	14980848-15127148	Т	С	0.276	0.018 (0.003)	1.1E-08	CALCB (5)	-
11	rs12273363	27455582-27748493	Т	С	0.795	0.032 (0.003)	4.8E-21	BDNF (3)	<u>rs12273363</u> (0.525)
12	rs11176001	66317487-66410673	Α	С	0.132	0.034 (0.004)	1.6E-16	HMGA2 (1)	rs11176001 (0.392)
12	rs10492268	98298807-98506148	Т	С	0.552	0.016 (0.003)	1.6E-08	(1)	rs10492268 (0.187)
12	rs3858648	115861753-115950227	Α	С	0.508	-0.016 (0.003)	1.2E-08		rs3858648 (0.077)
17	rs2732706	43460181-44865603	Т	С	0.221	0.024 (0.003)	4.4E-12	ARL17A (109)	-
22	rs5757162	38869463-39152412	Т	С	0.286	0.017 (0.003)	4.0E-08	FAM227A (21)	-

Chr: chromosome, EA: effect allele; OA: other allele; EAF: effect allele frequency

645

<sup>#</sup> positive beta = higher stool frequency

<sup>\*</sup> nearest gene (within 100kb from lead SNP) and other genes in the region, based on FUMA positional and eQTL mapping

<sup>^</sup> only causal SNPs identified with >5% probability are reported (>50% underlined)









