Mathematica Bohemica

Gary Chartrand; Ping Zhang

H-convex graphs

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 126 (2001), No. 1, 209–220

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133908

H-CONVEX GRAPHS

Gary Chartrand, Ping Zhang, Kalamazoo

(Received May 13, 1999)

Abstract. For two vertices u and v in a connected graph G, the set $I(u,v)$ consists of all those vertices lying on a $u-v$ geodesic in G. For a set S of vertices of G, the union of all sets $I(u,v)$ for $u,v\in S$ is denoted by $I(S)$. A set S is convex if $I(S)=S$. The convexity number $\operatorname{con}(G)$ is the maximum cardinality of a proper convex set in G. A convex set S is maximum if $|S|=\operatorname{con}(G)$. The cardinality of a maximum convex set in a graph G is the convexity number of G. For a nontrivial connected graph H, a connected graph G is an H-convex graph if G contains a maximum convex set S whose induced subgraph is $\langle S\rangle=H$. It is shown that for every positive integer k, there exist k pairwise nonisomorphic graphs $H_1,H_2,\dots,H_k$ of the same order and a graph G that is H_i-convex for all i $(1\leqslant i\leqslant k)$. Also, for every connected graph H of order $k\geqslant 3$ with convexity number 2, it is shown that there exists an H-convex graph of order n for all $n\geqslant k+1$. More generally, it is shown that for every nontrivial connected graph H, there exists a positive integer N and an H-convex graph of order n for every integer $n\geqslant N$.

Keywords: convex set, convexity number, H-convex

MSC 2000: 05C12

1. Introduction

For two vertices u and v in a connected graph G, the *distance* $d(u,v)$ between u and v is the length of a shortest $u-v$ path in G. A $u-v$ path of length $d(u,v)$ is also referred to as a $u-v$ *geodesic*. The interval $I(u,v)$ consists of all those vertices lying on a $u-v$ geodesic in G. For a set S of vertices of G, the union of all sets $I(u,v)$ for $u,v\in S$ is denoted by $I(S)$. Hence $x\in I(S)$ if and only if x lies on some $u-v$ geodesic, where $u,v\in S$. The intervals $I(u,v)$ were studied and characterized by Nebeský [13, 14] and were also investigated extensively in the book by Mulder [12],

Research supported in part by the Western Michigan University Faculty Research and Creative Activities Grant

where it was shown that these sets provide an important tool for studying metric properties of connected graphs. A set S of vertices of G with $I(S) = V(G)$ is called a *geodetic set* of G, and the cardinality of a minimum geodetic set is the *geodetic number* of G. The geodetic number of a graph was studied in [2]; while the geodetic number of an oriented graph was studied in [5].

A set S of vertices in a graph G is *convex* if $I(S) = S$. Certainly, $V(G)$ is convex. The *convex hull* $[S]$ of a set S of vertices of G is the smallest convex set containing S. So S is a convex set in G if and only if $[S] = S$. The smallest cardinality of a set S whose convex hull is $V(G)$ is called the *hull number* of G. The hull number of a graph was introduced by Everett and Seidman [9] and investigated further in [3], [7], and [11].

Convexity in graphs is discussed in the book by Buckley and Harary [1] and studied by Harary and Niemenen [10] and in [8]. For a nontrivial connected graph G, the *convexity number* $\mathrm{con}(G)$ was defined in [4] as the maximum cardinality of a proper convex set of G, that is,

$$\mathrm{con}(G) = \max\{|S| \colon \ S \text{ is a convex set of } G \text{ and } S \neq V(G)\}.$$

A convex set S in G with $|S| = \mathrm{con}(G)$ is called a *maximum convex set.* A nontrivial connected graph G of order n with $\mathrm{con}(G) = k$ is called a (k, n) *graph.* The convexity number was also studied in [6] and [8].

As an illustration of these concepts, we consider the graph G of Figure 1. Let $S_1 = \{u, v, z\}$, $S_2 = \{u, v, z, s\}$, and $S_3 = \{u, v, z, s, y, t\}$. Since $[S_1] = S_2 \neq S_1$, $[S_2] = S_2$, and $[S_3] = S_3$, it follows that S_1 is not a convex set, while S_2 and S_3 are convex sets. However, S_2 is not a maximum convex set as $4 = |S_2| < |S_3| = 6$. Moreover, it is routine to verify that there is no proper convex set in G containing more than six vertices of G and so $\mathrm{con}(G) = 6$. Therefore, G is a (6, 8) graph.



Figure 1. Maximum convex sets

If S is a convex set in a connected graph G, then the subgraph $\langle S \rangle$ induced by S is connected. A goal of this paper is to study the structure of $\langle S \rangle$ for a maximum convex set S in G. For a nontrivial connected graph H, a connected graph G is called an H*-convex graph* if G contains a maximum convex set S such that $\langle S \rangle = H$. (We write $G_1 = G_2$ to indicate that the graphs G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic.) For example, the graph G of Figure 1 is an H-convex graph for the graph H of Figure 1 since S_3 is a maximum convex set in G and $\langle S_3 \rangle = H$. A single graph G can be an H-convex graph for many graphs H, as we now see.

Theorem 1.1. *For each positive integer k, there exist k pairwise nonisomorphic graphs $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k$ of the same order and a graph G that is H_i-convex for all i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$.*

Proof. For k pairwise nonisomorphic graphs F_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ of the same order, say p, let $H_i = \overline{K}_2 + F_i$, where $V(\overline{K}_2) = \{u_i, v_i\}$. We claim that the graphs H_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ are pairwise nonisomorphic graphs. To show this, assume, to the contrary, that H_1 and H_2, say, are isomorphic, and let f be an isomorphism from $V(H_1)$ to $V(H_2)$.

If $\{f(u_1), f(v_1)\} = \{u_2, v_2\}$, then the restriction of f to $V(F_1)$ induces an isomorphism from $V(F_1)$ to $V(F_2)$, a contradiction. If $\{f(u_1), f(v_1)\}$ contains exactly one vertex of $V(F_2)$, say $f(u_1) = u_2$ and $f(v_1) \in V(F_2)$, then the fact that $u_1v_1 \notin E(H_1)$ and $u_2f(v_1) \in E(H_2)$ implies that f is not an isomorphism, again a contradiction. Hence $\{f(u_1), f(v_1)\} \subseteq V(F_2)$. Then $f(u) = u_2$ and $f(v) = v_2$, where $u, v \in V(F_1)$, and $f(u_1) = w$ and $f(v_1) = z$, where $w, z \in V(F_2)$. So $uv \notin E(H_1)$ and $wz \notin E(H_2)$. Since $\deg_{H_1} u = \deg_{H_2} u_2 = p$ and $\deg_{H_1} v = \deg_{H_2} v_2 = p$, it follows that u and v are adjacent to every vertex in $V(H_1) - \{u, v\}$. Similarly, w and z are adjacent to every vertex in $V(H_2) - \{w, z\}$.

Define a mapping g from $V(H_1)$ to $V(H_2)$ by $g(u_1) = u_2$, $g(v_1) = v_2$, $g(u) = w$, $g(v) = z$, and $g(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \in V(H_1) - \{u_1, v_1, u, v\}$. It is routine to verify that g is an isomorphism from $V(H_1)$ to $V(H_2)$. Then the restriction of g to $V(F_1)$ induces an isomorphism from $V(F_1)$ to $V(F_2)$, which is impossible. Therefore, the graphs H_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ are pairwise nonisomorphic, as claimed.

Let G be the graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{k,k}$, whose partite sets are $V_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ and $V_2 = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k\}$, by replacing the edge x_iy_i by H_i for each i with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, where u_i is identified with x_i and v_i is identified with y_i. (The graph G is shown in Figure 2 for $k = 3$.) The graph G has the desired properties. □

A vertex v in a graph G is called an *extreme vertex* if the subgraph induced by its neighborhood $N(v)$ is complete. Connected graphs of order $n \geqslant 3$ containing an extreme vertex are precisely those having convexity number $n - 1$. The following theorem appeared in [4].

Theorem A. *Let G be a noncomplete connected graph of order n. Then $\operatorname{con}(G) = n - 1$ if and only if G contains an extreme vertex.*



Figure 2. An H_i-convex graph ($i = 1, 2, 3$)

Theorem A implies that if H is a connected graph of order k, then the graph G of order $k+1$ obtained by adding a pendant edge to H is an H-convex graph.

2. The cartesian product of graphs

We now consider the relationship between $\mathrm{con}(H)$ and $\mathrm{con}(H \times K_2)$ for a connected graph H. Let $H \times K_2$ be formed from two copies H_1 and H_2 of H, where corresponding vertices of H_1 and H_2 are adjacent. Let $S_i \subseteq V(H_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then S_2 is called the *projection* of S_1 onto H_2 if S_2 is the set of vertices in H_2 corresponding to the vertices of H_1 that are in S_1. We begin with a lemma concerning convex sets in $H \times K_2$.

Lemma 2.1. *For a nontrivial connected graph H, let $H \times K_2$ be formed from two copies H_1 and H_2 of H, where corresponding vertices of H_1 and H_2 are adjacent. Then every convex set of $H \times K_2$ is either*

(1) *a convex set in H_1,*

(2) *a convex set in H_2, or*

(3) *$S_1 \cup S_2$, where S_1 is convex in H_1 and S_2 is the projection of S_1 onto H_2.*

Proof. Let S be a convex set in $H \times K_2$. If $S \subseteq V(H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, then S is a convex set of H_i, implying that (1) or (2) holds. Otherwise, $S_i = S \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2$, and $S = S_1 \cup S_2$. Assume, to the contrary, that S_2 is not the projection of S_1 onto H_2. Then there exist corresponding vertices $x \in V_1$ and $x' \in V_2$ such that exactly one of these belongs to $S_1 \cup S_2$, say $x \notin S_1$ and $x' \in S_2$. Let $y \in S_1$ and let P be an $x - y$ geodesic in H_1. Then the $x' - y$ path Q beginning at x' and followed by P is a geodesic, implying that $V(Q) \subseteq S_1 \cup S_2$. So $x \in S_1$, a contradiction. Therefore, (3) holds. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *If H is a connected graph of order at least 2, then*

$$\mathrm{con}(H \times K_2) = \max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\,\mathrm{con}(H)\}.$$

P r o o f. Let S be a maximum convex set in $H \times K_2$, where $H \times K_2$ is formed from two copies H_1 and H_2 of H. If $S \cap V(H_i) = \emptyset$ for some i ($i = 1, 2$), say $S \cap V(H_2) = \emptyset$, then $S = V(H_1)$ since S is a maximum convex set. Hence $|S| = \operatorname{con}(H \times K_2) = |V(H_1)| = |V(H)|$. Otherwise, $S_i = S \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, where by Lemma 2.1, S_2 is the projection of S_1 onto H_2. Again, since S is a maximum convex set in $H \times K_2$, it follows that S_i is a maximum convex set in H_i for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $|S| = \operatorname{con}(H \times K_2) = |S_1 \cup S_2| = 2\operatorname{con}(G)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{con}(H \times K_2) = \max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}$. $\square$

As an illustration of Theorem 2.2, for $H = P_4, C_4, K_{2,3}$, the graphs $H \times K_2$ are shown of Figure 3. Now $|V(P_4)| = 4$ and $\operatorname{con}(P_4) = 3$, so $\operatorname{con}(P_4 \times K_2) = 2\operatorname{con}(P_4) = 6$. Also, $|V(C_4)| = 4$ and $\operatorname{con}(C_4) = 2$, so $\operatorname{con}(C_4 \times K_2) = |V(C_4)| = 2\operatorname{con}(C_4) = 4$. Moreover, $|V(K_{2,3})| = 5$ and $\operatorname{con}(K_{2,3}) = 2$, so $\operatorname{con}(K_{2,3} \times K_2) = |V(K_{2,3})| = 5$. A maximum convex set is indicated in each graph in Figure 3.



$P_4 \times K_2$ $\quad$ $C_4 \times K_2$ $\quad$ $K_{2,3} \times K_2$

Figure 3. The graphs $P_4 \times K_2$, $C_4 \times K_2$, and $K_{2,3} \times K_2$

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *If H is a nontrivial connected graph of order k with $\operatorname{con}(H) \leqslant k/2$, then there exists an H-convex graph of order $2k$.*

Corollary 2.4. *If H is a nontrivial connected graph, then for $n \geqslant 2$,*

$$\operatorname{con}(H \times Q_{n-1}) = 2^{n-2} \max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}.$$

In particular, for $n \geqslant 2$, $\operatorname{con}(Q_n) = 2^{n-1}$.

P r o o f. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 2$, then $H \times Q_1 = H \times K_2$ and the result is trivial. Assume that $\operatorname{con}(H \times Q_{k-1}) = 2^{k-2} \max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}$ for some $k \geqslant 2$. Since $H \times Q_k = (H \times Q_{k-1}) \times K_2$, it follows by Theorem 2.2 and the induction hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{con}(H \times Q_k) &= \max\{|V(H \times Q_{k-1})|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H \times Q_{k-1})\}\\
&= \max\{2^{k-1}|V(H)|,\ 2[2^{k-2}\max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}]\}\\
&= 2^{k-1}\max\{|V(H)|,\ \max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}\}\\
&= 2^{k-1}\max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{con}(H \times Q_{n-1}) = 2^{n-2}\max\{|V(H)|,\ 2\operatorname{con}(H)\}$. For $H = K_2$, $H \times Q_{n-1} = Q_n$ and $H \times K_2 = C_4$. Thus $\operatorname{con}(Q_n) = 2^{n-2}\operatorname{con}(C_4) = 2^{n-2} \cdot 2 = 2^{n-1}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *For $n \geqslant 2$, Q_{n+1} is a Q_n-convex graph. Indeed, Q_n is the unique graph H such that Q_{n+1} is H-convex.*

By an argument similar to that employed in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.6. *If H is a connected graph of order at least 2, then*

$$\operatorname{con}(H \times K_n) = \max\{(n-1)|V(H)|,\ n\operatorname{con}(H)\}.$$

3. H-convex graphs of large order

We have seen that if H is a connected graph of order k, then there exists an H-convex graph of order $k+1$. If H is complete, however, then there exists an H-convex graph of order n for all $n \geqslant k+1$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $k \geqslant 2$, there exists a K_k-convex graph of order n for all $n \geqslant k+1$.*

Proof. For vertices x and y in the complete graph K_{k+1}, let $F = K_{k+1} - xy$. Clearly, F is a K_k-convex graph of order $k+1$. Thus we may assume that $n \geqslant k+2$. Let G be the graph obtained from F by adding $n-k-1$ ($\geqslant 1$) new vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-k-1}$ and the $2(n-k-1)$ edges xv_i and yv_i, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-k-1$. The graph G is shown in Figure 4. Let $S = V(F) - \{x\}$. Since $\langle S \rangle = K_k$, it follows that S is convex. It remains to show that S is a maximum convex set in G.



Figure 4. A K_k-convex graph of order n

Let S' be a convex set of G with $|S'| = \operatorname{con}(G) \geqslant k$. Since $I(x,y) = V(G)$, it follows that S' contains at most one of x and y. Let $X = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-k-1}\}$. We claim that $S' \cap X = \emptyset$. Assume, to the contrary, that this is not the case. First assume that S' contains two vertices of X, say $v_1, v_2 \in S'$. Then $x, y \in I(v_1, v_2)$ and so $I(S') = V(G)$, a contradiction. Hence S' contains exactly one vertex of X, say v_1. Since $k \geqslant 3$, it follows that S' contains at least two distinct vertices $u, v \in V(F)$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $u \neq x, y$ as S' contains at most one of x and y. Since x and y lie on a $u - v_1$ geodesic, it follows that $x, y \in I(u, v_1)$ and so $I(u, v) = V(G)$, again a contradiction. Hence $S' \cap X = \emptyset$, as claimed. Because S' contains at most one of x and y, $\operatorname{con}(G) = |S'| \leqslant k$ and so $\operatorname{con}(G) = k$. $\square$

We next show that for every connected graph H of order k with convexity number 2, there exists an H-convex graph of order n for all $n \geqslant k + 1$. First note that if u, v, w is a path of length 2 in a connected graph G of order at least 4, then $\{u, v, w\}$ is convex if either $uw \in E(G)$ or v is the unique vertex mutually adjacent to u and w. We summarize this observation below.

Lemma 3.2. *If G is a connected graph of order $n \geqslant 4$ with $\operatorname{con}(G) = 2$, then every path of length 2 lies on a 4-cycle in G but on no 3-cycle.*

The converse of Lemma 3.2 is not true since, for example, every path of length 2 in the n-cube Q_n, $n \geqslant 3$, lies on a 4-cycle but on no 3-cycle, while $\operatorname{con}(Q_n) = 2^{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.3. *For every connected graph H of order $k \geqslant 3$ with convexity number 2, there exists an H-convex graph of order n for all $n \geqslant k + 1$.*

Proof. If $k = 3$, then $H = K_3$ or $H = P_3$. If $H = K_3$, then there exists an H-convex graph of order n for all $n \geqslant k+1$ by Theorem 3.1. For $H = P_3$, the cycles C_5 and C_6 are P_3-convex graphs of orders 5 and 6, respectively, so we may assume that $n \geqslant 7$. Let G be an elementary subdivision of $K_{3,n-4}$ (shown in Figure 5). Since $S = \{u_1, v_1, w\}$ is a maximum convex set of G and $\langle S \rangle = P_3$, it follows that G is a P_3-convex graph of order n.



Figure 5. A P_3-convex graph of order n

Assume next that $k = 4$. Since $\operatorname{con}(H) = 2$, it follows that H contains neither triangles nor extreme vertices. This implies that $H = C_4$. For each $n \geqslant 5$, a C_4-convex graph of order n is shown in Figure 6.

We now assume that $k \geqslant 5$. Since there always exists an H-convex graph of order $k + 1$, we assume that $n \geqslant k + 2$. Again, H contains no triangles. If $n = k + 2$,



Figure 6. C_4-convex graphs

then the graph G obtained from H by adding two new vertices x, y and the edges ux, xy, yv, where $uv \in E(H)$, has the desired properties. So we may assume that $n = k + l$, where $l \geqslant 3$. Let x, z, y be a path of length 2 in H. Thus $xy \notin E(H)$. Let $F = K_{2,l-1}$ whose partite sets are $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_1 = z, v_2, \ldots, v_{l-1}\}$ such that $V(H) \cap V(F) = \{z\}$. The graph G is constructed from H and F by adding the edges (1) yv_i ($2 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$) and (2) xu_j for $j = 1, 2$. Thus $yv_i \in E(G)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$ and $xv_i \in E(G)$ if and only if $i = 1$. The graphs H and G are shown in Figure 7. The order of G is $k + l = n$. Since $S = V(H)$ is convex and $\langle S \rangle = H$, it remains to show that S is a maximum convex set in G.



Figure 7. Graphs H and G

First we make an observation. For any two nonadjacent vertices z', z'' of F, it follows that $u_1, u_2 \in [\{z', z''\}]$, implying that $\{x, y, z = v_1\} \subseteq [\{z', z''\}]$. Since $\mathrm{con}(H) = 2$, it follows that $V(H) \subseteq [\{x, y, z\}]$ and so $[\{z', z''\}] = V(G)$. Hence if S_0 is a set of vertices containing two nonadjacent vertices of F, then $[S_0] = V(G)$. Thus there is no maximum convex set in G containing two nonadjacent vertices of F.

Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a convex set S' in G, where $k + 1 \leqslant |S'| < n$. Then $S' \cap (V(G) - S) = S' \cap (V(F) - \{z\}) \neq \emptyset$. Assume first that $z \in S'$. Then S' contains exactly one of u_1 and u_2, say u_1, and, in fact, $S' = S \cup \{u_1\}$. Since $d(y, u_1) = 2$, it follows that $\{v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{l-1}\} \subseteq [\{u_1, y\}] \subseteq S'$, and so $S' = V(G)$, a contradiction. Hence $z \notin S'$. Since S' does not contain two nonadjacent vertices of F, it follows that S' contains exactly two (necessarily adjacent) vertices of $V(F) - \{z\}$ and that $V(H) - \{z\} \subseteq S'$. Hence $y \in S'$ and S' contains either u_1 or u_2, say

u_1. Again, $\{v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{l-1}\} \subseteq [\{u_1, y\}] \subseteq S'$ and once again $S' = V(G)$, which is impossible. $\square$

Since the complete bipartite graphs $K_{r,s}$, where $2 \leqslant r \leqslant s$, have convexity number 2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *For $2 \leqslant r \leqslant s$, there exists a $K_{r,s}$-convex graph of order n for all $n \geqslant r + s + 1$.*

We have seen that for some graphs H of order $k \geqslant 2$, there exist H-convex graphs of order n for all $n \geqslant k+1$. However, there are graphs H such that H-convex graphs of order n exist for some integers $n \geqslant k+1$ but not for all such integers n. For example, for each tree T of order $k \geqslant 4$, there is no T-convex graph of order $k + 2$. To see this, first let $T = P_k$, where $k \geqslant 4$, and assume, to the contrary, that there exists a connected graph G of order $k + 2$ with $\operatorname{con}(G) = k$ and having a maximum convex set $S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ such that $E(\langle S \rangle) = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{k-1}v_k\}$. Necessarily, G contains no complete vertices. Let $V(G) - S = \{x, y\}$. Since G contains no end-vertices, v_1 and v_k are adjacent to at least one of x and y. If v_1 and v_k are both adjacent to one of x and y, say x, then x lies on a $v_1 - v_k$ geodesic in G and so S is not convex. So we may assume that $v_1x, v_ky \in E(G)$ and $v_1y, v_kx \notin E(G)$. If $xy \in E(G)$, then x and y lie on the $v_1 - v_k$ geodesic v_1, x, y, v_k, which is impossible. Hence $xy \notin E(G)$. Since x is not an extreme vertex, $v_ix \notin E(G)$ for some i with $3 \leqslant i \leqslant k - 1$. But then x lies on a $v_1 - v_i$ geodesic, a contradiction. Therefore, there is no P_k-convex graph of order $k + 2$.

Assume now that $T \neq P_k$. Thus T has at least three end-vertices. Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a connected graph G of order $k + 2$ with $\operatorname{con}(G) = k$ and G contains a maximum convex set S such that $\langle S \rangle = T$, where $V(G) - S = \{x, y\}$. Necessarily, at least one of x and y is adjacent to at least two end-vertices of T, which is impossible. In fact, this argument implies that if T is a tree of order k with p end-vertices, then there exists no T-convex graph of order n with $k+2 \leqslant n \leqslant k+p-1$.

From what we have seen, there exist connected graphs H of order $k \geqslant 2$ such that for many integers $n \geqslant k + 1$, no H-convex graph of order n exist. However, any such integers n with this property must be finite in number, as we now show.

Theorem 3.5. *For every nontrivial connected graph H, there exists a positive integer N and an H-convex graph of order n for every integer $n \geqslant N$.*

P r o o f. If H is a complete graph, then the result follows by Theorem 3.1. So we may assume that H is not complete and that $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_p\}$ is a minimum geodetic set in H. Since H is not complete, W contains some pairs of nonadjacent vertices. We first construct a graph F_q for each integer $q \geqslant 3$. Let P and Q be two copies of the path P_q of order q, where $P\colon\ x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q$ and $Q\colon\ y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q$. Then the graph F_q is obtained from P and Q by adding the edges $x_i y_{i+1}$ and $y_i x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant q-1$. The graph F_4 is shown in Figure 8.

F_4:



Figure 8. The graph F_4

We next construct a graph F by adding a copy of F_q, for some $q \geqslant 3$, for each pair w_i, w_j, $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant p$, of nonadjacent vertices of W as well as certain edges between this pair of vertices and F_q. If $d(w_i, w_j) = 2$, then we add a copy F_{ij} of F_3 to H, where $V(F_{ij}) = \{x_{ij}(1), x_{ij}(2), x_{ij}(3)\} \cup \{y_{ij}(1), y_{ij}(2), y_{ij}(3)\}$, and the edges $w_i x_{ij}(1)$, $w_i y_{ij}(1)$, $w_j x_{ij}(3)\}$, $w_j y_{ij}(3)$ (see Figure 9 (a)). If $d(w_i, w_j) = l_{ij} \geqslant 3$, then we add a copy F_{ij} of $F_{l_{ij}}$ to H, where $V(F_{ij}) = \{x_{ij}(1), x_{ij}(2), \ldots, x_{ij}(l_{ij})\} \cup \{y_{ij}(1), y_{ij}(2), \ldots, y_{ij}(l_{ij})\}$, and the edges $w_i x_{ij}(1)$, $w_i y_{ij}(1)$, $w_j x_{ij}(l_{ij})$, $w_j y_{ij}(l_{ij})$ (see Figure 9 (b) for the case $l_{ij} = 4$). The resulting graph is F. Let

$$Y = \bigcup \{y_{ij}\left(\lceil l_{ij}/2\rceil - 1\right), y_{ij}\left(\lceil l_{ij}/2\rceil\right), y_{ij}\left(\lceil l_{ij}/2\rceil + 1\right)\}$$

where the union is taken over all pairs i, j with $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant p$ for which $w_i w_j \notin E(G)$. Then Y is a subset of $V(F)$. Define $N = 2 + |V(F)|$ and let n be an integer such that $n \geqslant N$. Then $n = k + |V(F)|$ for some integer $k \geqslant 2$. We next construct a graph G from F by adding k new vertices $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$ and the edges $u_i y$ for all $y \in Y$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Thus G has order n. Observe that if G contains four mutually adjacent vertices, then these four vertices must belong to H.



Figure 9. Constructing the graph G

Next we show that G is an H-convex graph. Let $S = V(H)$ and $\overline{S} = V(G) - V(H)$. Let $u, v \in S$. Observe that every $u - v$ geodesic in G contains only vertices of H. Hence S is convex in G and $\langle S\rangle = H$. It remains to show that S is a maximum convex set in G.

First we make some observations. Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\}$. If $u_i, u_j \in U$ and $u_i \neq u_j$, then $[\{u_i, u_j\}] = V(G)$. For any two nonadjacent vertices z', z'' of $\overline{S}$,

$U \subseteq [\{z', z''\}]$, implying that $[\{z', z''\}] = V(G)$. Also, if $z \in \overline{S}$, then $[S \cup \{z\}] = V(G)$. Hence if S_0 is a set of vertices containing either (1) two nonadjacent vertices of $\overline{S}$ or (2) $S \cup \{z\}$ for some $z \in \overline{S}$, then $[S_0] = V(G)$.

Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a proper convex set S' of G with $|S'| \geqslant |S|+1$. Then S' contains at least one and at most three vertices of $\overline{S}$ since no vertices of $\overline{S}$ belong to a subgraph isomorphic to K_4. By the observations above, we have two cases.

Case 1. $(S - \{x\}) \cup \{z_1, z_2\} \subseteq S'$, where $x \in S$, $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{S}$, and $z_1 z_2 \in E(G)$. Since W is a geodetic set of H, it follows that x lies on a $w_a - w_b$ geodesic P' in H, where $w_a, w_b \in W$ and $1 \leqslant a < b \leqslant p$. If $z_1, z_2 \in V(F_{ab})$, then $[(V(P') - \{x\}) \cup \{z_1, z_2\}] = V(G)$. Since $(V(P') - \{x\}) \cup \{z_1, z_2\} \subseteq S'$, it follows that $S' = V(G)$, a contradiction. Thus at least one of z_1 and z_2 does not belong to $V(F_{ab})$, say $z_1 \notin V(F_{ab})$. Assume first that $z_1 \in V(F_{st})$, where $\{s,t\} \neq \{a,b\}$. Then $w_s, w_t \in S'$ and $[\{w_s, w_t, z_1\}] = V(G)$. Otherwise, $z_1 \in U$. Then $[\{w_i, w_j, z_1\}] = V(G)$ for every two nonadjacent vertices $w_i, w_j \in W$. This implies that $S' = V(G)$, again a contradiction.

Case 2. $(S - \{x, x'\}) \cup \{z_1, z_2, z_3\} \subseteq S'$, where $x, x' \in S$, $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \overline{S}$, and $\langle \{z_1, z_2, z_3\} \rangle = K_3$. This implies that at least one of z_1, z_2, z_3 belongs to U, say $z_1 = u_1$. Since $[(V(H) - \{x, x'\}) \cup \{u_1\}] = V(G)$ and $(V(H) - \{x, x'\}) \cup \{u_1\} \subseteq S'$, it follows that $S' = V(G)$, which is impossible.

Therefore, G is H-convex. $\square$

References

[1] *F. Buckley, F. Harary*: Distance in Graphs. Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1990.
[2] *G. Chartrand, F. Harary, P. Zhang*: On the geodetic number of a graph. To appear in Networks.
[3] *G. Chartrand, F. Harary, P. Zhang*: On the hull number of a graph. To appear in Ars Combin.
[4] *G. Chartrand, C. E. Wall, P. Zhang*: The convexity number of a graph. Preprint.
[5] *G. Chartrand, P. Zhang*: The geodetic number of an oriented graph. Eur. J. Comb. *21* (2000), 181–189.
[6] *G. Chartrand, P. Zhang*: The forcing convexity number of a graph. To appear in Czech. Math. J.
[7] *G. Chartrand, P. Zhang*: The forcing hull number of a graph. To appear in J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.
[8] *G. Chartrand, P. Zhang*: Convex sets in graphs. To appear in Congress. Numer.
[9] *M. G. Everett, S. B. Seidman*: The hull number of a graph. Discrete Math. *57* (1985), 217–223.
[10] *F. Harary, J. Nieminen*: Convexity in graphs. J. Differential Geom. *16* (1981), 185–190.
[11] *H. M. Mulder*: The expansion procedure for graphs. Contemporary Methods in Graph Theory (R. Bodendiek, ed.). Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1990, pp. 459–477.
[12] *H. M. Mulder*: The Interval Function of a Graph. Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.

[13] *L. Nebeský*: A characterization of the interval function of a connected graph. Czech. Math. J. *44* (1994), 173–178.
[14] *L. Nebeský*: Characterizing of the interval function of a connected graph. Math. Bohem. *123* (1998), 137–144.

Author's address: *Gary Chartrand, Ping Zhang*, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008, USA, e-mail: `zhang@math-stat.wmich.edu`.