

Hand, Foot, and Mouth Disease Caused by Coxsackievirus A6, Thailand, 2012

Jiratchaya Puenpa, Thaweesak Chieochansin,
Piyada Linsuwanon, Sumeth Korkong,
Siwanat Thongkomplew,
Preyaporn Vichaiwattana,
Apiradee Theamboonlers, and Yong Poovorawan

In Thailand, hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD) is usually caused by enterovirus 71 or coxsackievirus A16. To determine the cause of a large outbreak of HFMD in Thailand during June–August 2012, we examined patient specimens. Coxsackievirus A6 was the causative agent. To improve prevention and control, causes of HFMD should be monitored.

Coxsackievirus A6 (CAV6) is 1 of 10 genotypes within the family *Picornaviridae*, genus *Enterovirus*, species *Human enterovirus A*. Other genotypes include coxsackievirus A16 (CAV16) and enterovirus 71 (EV71). Although CAV6 is commonly associated with hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD) and herpangina (1,2), it has not been of concern until the recent global outbreaks of HFMD (3–6).

In Thailand, the viruses predominately associated with HFMD have been EV71 and CAV16 (7,8); to our knowledge, CAV6 has not been implicated. In 2012, extensive outbreaks of HFMD occurred in Thailand. To determine the pattern, causative agents, and clinical manifestations of HFMD in this 2012 outbreak, we analyzed specimens from patients. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University; the requirement for written informed consent was waived because the samples were analyzed anonymously.

The Study

In Thailand, HFMD usually occurs during the rainy season (June–August); average incidence during 2007–2011 was 20.2 cases per 100,000 population (9,10). In 2012, an extensive outbreak of HFMD occurred; the incidence rate was 3-fold higher than the average incidence rate of 58.15

cases per 100,000 population or >36,000 cases; the 2012 outbreak included 2 fatal cases of EV71 encephalitis (11). In this outbreak, 2 clinical patterns were observed, and 2 case definitions were applied. Suspected HFMD cases were defined as painful blisters in the oropharynx and blisters on the palms, soles, knees, elbows, and/or buttocks. Suspected herpangina cases were defined as painful blisters in the mouth only, predominantly on the soft palate. Suspected HFMD and herpangina cases were virologically confirmed if samples were positive for viral RNA by nested PCR.

During January–October 2012, a total of 847 samples were collected from 825 patients with suspected cases. Among those 825 patients, the diagnosis was HFMD for 672 (81.4%) and herpangina for 153 (18.6%). Patients' ages ranged from 1 month to 38 years. The samples were collected from hospitalized patients and outpatients who had a clinical diagnosis of HFMD or herpangina and who came from different parts of Thailand: Bangkok, 566 cases; Khonkaen, 252 cases; Suphanburi, 4 cases; and Saraburi, Rayong, and Chantaburi, 1 case each (Figure 1). Of the 847 samples, 695 were rectal swabs, 73 fecal, 39 throat swabs, 20 serum, 9 vesicle fluid, 7 nasal swabs, 3 cerebrospinal fluid, and 1 saliva. All samples, other than stool samples, were collected in virus transport media modified according to recommendations by the World Health Organization (12). Fecal samples were diluted 1:10 with phosphate-buffered saline and centrifuged, and the supernatant was collected for testing. Viral RNA was extracted from 200- μ L samples



Figure 1. Location of sample collection sites during outbreak of hand, foot, and mouth disease, Thailand, January–October 2012.

Author affiliation: Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1904.121666>




Figure 2. Weekly number of reported suspected cases of hand, foot, and mouth disease and herpangina during outbreak, Thailand, 2012. EV, enterovirus; CA6, coxsackievirus 6; CA16, coxsackievirus 16; EV71, enterovirus 71.

by using the Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit (RBC Bioscience, Taipei, Taiwan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized by using the ImProm-II Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with random hexamers as primers according to the manufacturer's recommendation (First BASE Laboratories, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia).

To identify enteroviruses, we performed 3 separate PCRs. The first PCR, which could detect most enteroviruses, was used to screen for panenterovirus. The 5' untranslated region of the viruses was amplified by nested PCR as described (13). The second PCR was selective for EV71 and CAV16; the primers and reaction conditions were identical to those used in a previous study (7). The third PCR, for CAV6 detection, used primers designed to amplify the viral protein (VP) 1 gene by seminested PCR with CU-EV72632 (5'-TGTGTGATGAATCGAAACGGGGT-3') and CU-EVR3288 (5'-TGCAGTGTTAGTTATTGT TTGGCT-3') as first-round primers and CU-EVR3053 (5'-GGGTAACCATCATAAAAACCACTG-3') as a reverse primer for the second round. The expected 420-bp PCR product was examined under UV light after being resolved in 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and subsequently stained with ethidium bromide.

Most samples were collected during the rainy season, from the end of June through early August 2012 (weeks 25–32), which accounted for 83.1% of all reported cases. Altogether, enterovirus results were positive for 459 (68.3%) HFMD and 101 (66.0%) herpangina patients (Figure 2),

Of note, 93.1% of patients were <5 years of age. A high proportion of cases was found among children 1, 2, and 3 years of age and accounted for 68.4% of HFMD cases and 64.2% of herpangina cases (online Technical Appendix Figure 1, wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/19/4/12-1666-Techapp1.pdf).

Of the 672 HFMD cases, 221 (32.9%) were caused by CAV6, 62 (9.2%) by EV71, 62 (9.2%) by CAV16, and 114 (17.0%) by untyped enteroviruses. Of the herpangina

cases, 13.7% were caused by CAV6 and 1.3% by CAV16. Moreover, samples from 51.0% of patients with herpangina were positive for an untyped enterovirus (Table).

Generally, the clinical manifestations of HFMD were fever; drooling, and refusal to eat (among young children); painful lesions in the mouth, especially on the soft palate (online Technical Appendix Figure 2, panel A); and vesicular rashes on the palms and feet (online Technical Appendix Figure 2, panels B, C). For patients affected by this outbreak, physicians from reporting sites reported anecdotally that they observed more severe skin manifestations than usual, especially on the buttocks and perianal area (online Technical Appendix Figure 2, panel D), knees, and elbows. Two cases with neurologic involvement (convulsion, altered consciousness) were caused by EV71 and were treated with intravenous immunoglobulin. No patients died.

Direct sequencing was performed on the VP1 region of 143 randomly selected CAV6-positive samples. The sequences were submitted to GenBank under accession nos. JX556422–JX556564.

The VP1 nucleotide sequences of CAV6 were aligned with the reference sequences by using ClustalW in the BioEdit program version 7.0.9.0 (www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html). A phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA software, version 5.0, by applying the maximum-likelihood method and using the Kimura 2-parameter model, in which 1,000 replications were selected for bootstrapping (14) (online Technical Appendix Figure 3). The sequences of EV71 strain BrCr (accession no. U22521) and CAV16 strain G10 (accession no. U05876) were used as outgroups in the phylogenetic analysis.

The relationship between the CAV6 characterized in this study and the prototype strain (Gdula) was investigated by phylogenetic analysis of partial VP1 sequences. All CAV6 clustered in the same lineage and with the reference strain CAV6 (Gdula); nucleotide homologies among these strains were 81.4%–84.7%.

Table. Causative agents identified during hand, foot, and mouth disease outbreak, Thailand, 2012

Virus	No. (%) cases	
	Hand, foot, and mouth disease	Herpangina
Coxsackievirus A6	221 (32.9)	21 (13.7)
Coxsackievirus A16	62 (9.2)	2 (1.3)
Enterovirus A71	62 (9.2)	0
Parvovirus only	114 (17.0)	78 (51.0)
None detected	213 (31.7)	52 (34.0)
Total	672	153

Conclusions

Although the positive samples collected during January–October 2012 were mostly from patients in Bangkok and Khonkaen, they partially represented the HFMD and herpangina cases in Thailand's 30,000-case outbreak. Virus prevalence in Thailand was highest in HFMD and herpangina patients 1–3 years of age (Technical Appendix Figure 1). For this seasonal outbreak, the most common causative agent was CAV6. All CAV6 strains shared an isolated cluster and had high similarity, as shown in the phylogenetic analysis of VP1 region. Although CAV6 has been a predominant emerging pathogen since 2012, no patients infected with CAV6 died. According to the study conducted during 2008–2011 EV71 and CAV16 were the main pathogens contributing to the disease (7). However, we found a different main pathogen: CAV6. For prevention and control of future outbreaks, the causes of HFMD should be monitored.

Acknowledgments

We thank Petra Hirsch and Noppawat Charoensinphon for reviewing the manuscript.

The study was supported by grants from The Higher Education Research Promotion and National Research University Project of Thailand Office of the Higher Education Commission (HR1155A-55); the National Research Council of Thailand, Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Chulalongkorn University; Chulalongkorn University Centenary Academic Development Project, Integrated Innovation Academic Center; Chulalongkorn University Centenary Academic Development Project (CU56-HR01); Outstanding Professor of the Thailand Research Fund (DPG5480002); the RGJ PhD program (PHD/0087/2554); and by generous support from the National Research Council of Thailand and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital.

Ms Puenpa is a researcher who works in the Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University. Her research interests focus on the molecular epidemiology of human enteroviruses.

References

1. Yamashita T, Ito M, Taniguchi A, Sakae K. Prevalence of coxsackievirus A5, A6, and A10 in patients with herpangina in Aichi Prefecture, 2005. *Jpn J Infect Dis.* 2005;58:390–1.
2. Mirand A, Henquell C, Archimbaud C, Ughetto S, Antona D, Bailly JL, et al. Outbreak of hand, foot and mouth disease/herpangina associated with coxsackievirus A6 and A10 infections in 2010, France: a large citywide, prospective observational study. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;18:E110–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03789.x>
3. Fujimoto T, Iizuka S, Enomoto M, Abe K, Yamashita K, Hanaoka N. Hand, foot, and mouth disease caused by coxsackievirus A6, Japan, 2011. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2012;18:337–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1802.111147>
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Notes from the field: severe hand, foot, and mouth disease associated with coxsackievirus A6—Alabama, Connecticut, California, and Nevada, November 2011–February 2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012;61:213–4.
5. Flett K, Youngster I, Huang J, McAdam A, Sandora TJ, Rennick M, et al. Hand, foot, and mouth disease caused by coxsackievirus A6. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2012;18:1702–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1810.120813>
6. Österback R, Vuorinen T, Linna M, Susi P, Hyypiä T, Waris M. Coxsackievirus A6 and hand, foot, and mouth disease, Finland. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2009;15:1485–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1509.090438>
7. Puenpa J, Theamboonlers A, Korkong S, Linsuwanon P, Thongmee C, Chatproedprai S, et al. Molecular characterization and complete genome analysis of human enterovirus 71 and coxsackievirus A16 from children with hand, foot and mouth disease in Thailand during 2008–2011. *Arch Virol.* 2011;156:2007–13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00705-011-1098-5>
8. Chatproedprai S, Theamboonlers A, Korkong S, Thongmee C, Wanankul S, Poovorawan Y. Clinical and molecular characterization of hand-foot-and-mouth disease in Thailand, 2008–2009. *Jpn J Infect Dis.* 2010;63:229–33.
9. Bureau of Epidemiology, Department of Disease Control. Hand, foot and mouth disease [in Thai] [cited 2012 Oct 29]. <http://www.boe.moph.go.th/>
10. Thongcharoen P. Hand, foot and mouth disease. Thailand: world-shaking outbreaks; 2012; vol 19. Bangkok (Thailand): Aksorn Sampan Press; 2012. p. 136–44.
11. Bureau of Epidemiology, Department of Disease Control. Hand, foot and mouth disease, 506 surveillance report [in Thai] [cited 2012 Oct 29]. http://www.boe.moph.go.th/boedb/surdata/506wk/y55/d71_4055.pdf
12. World Health Organization. Collecting, preserving and shipping specimens for the diagnosis of avian influenza A(H5N1) virus infection. 2006:42–3 [cited 2012 Oct 29]. <http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/surveillance/Annex8.pdf>
13. Kapusinszky B, Szomor KN, Farkas A, Takács M, Berencsi G. Detection of non-polio enteroviruses in Hungary 2000–2008 and molecular epidemiology of enterovirus 71, coxsackievirus A16, and echovirus 30. *Virus Genes.* 2010;40:163–73. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11262-009-0440-4>
14. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2011;28:2731–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121>

Address for correspondence: Yong Poovorawan, Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand; email: yong.p@chula.ac.th