

- [DH2] E. Damek and A. Hulanicki, *Maximal functions related to subelliptic operators invariant under the action of a solvable group*, *Studia Math.* 101 (1991), 33–68.
- [DH3] —, —, *Boundaries and the Fatou theorem for subelliptic second order operators on solvable Lie groups*, *Colloq. Math.* 68 (1995), 121–140.
- [DR] E. Damek and F. Ricci, *Harmonic analysis on solvable extensions of H-type groups*, *J. Geom. Anal.* 2 (1992), 213–249.
- [Hei] E. Heintze, *On homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature*, *Math. Ann.* 211 (1974), 23–34.
- [H] A. Hulanicki, *Subalgebra of  $L_1(G)$  associated with laplacian on a Lie group*, *Colloq. Math.* 31 (1974), 259–287.
- [M] P. Malliavin, *Géométrie Différentielle Stochastique*, *Sém. Math. Sup.* 64, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1977.
- [N] E. Nelson, *Analytic vectors*, *Ann. of Math.* 70 (1959), 572–615.
- [P] I. I. Pyatetskiĭ-Shapiro, *Geometry and classification of homogeneous bounded domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 20 (2) (1965), 3–51 (in Russian); English transl.: *Russian Math. Surveys* 20 (1966), 1–48.
- [R] A. Raugi, *Fonctions harmoniques sur les groupes localement compacts à base dénombrable*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém.* 54 (1977), 5–118.
- [Ro] D. W. Robinson, *Elliptic Operators and Lie Groups*, *Oxford Math. Monographs*, Clarendon Press, 1991.
- [So] J. Sołowiej, *Fatou theorem—a negative result*, *Colloq. Math.* 67 (1995), 131–145.
- [S] D. Stroock, *Lectures on Stochastic Analysis: Diffusion Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [SV] D. Stroock and S. R. Varadhan, *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Springer, 1979.
- [T] J. C. Taylor, *Skew products, regular conditional probabilities and stochastic differential equations: a technical remark*, in: *Séminaire de Probabilités XXVI, Lecture Notes in Math.* 1526, Springer, 1992, 113–126.
- [U] K. Urbanik, *Functionals of transient stochastic processes with independent increments*, *Studia Math.* 103 (1992), 299–314.
- [V1] N. T. Varopoulos, *Diffusion on Lie groups (I)*, *Canad. J. Math.* 46 (1994), 1073–1093.
- [V2] —, *The heat kernel on Lie groups*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 12 (1996), 147–186.
- [V3] N. T. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste and T. Coulhon, *Analysis and Geometry on Groups*, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.* 100, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
- [Vi] E. B. Vinberg, *The theory of convex homogeneous cones*, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 12 (1963), 340–403.

Institute of Mathematics  
The University of Wrocław  
Plac Grunwaldzki 2/4  
50-384 Wrocław, Poland  
E-mail: edamek@math.uni.wroc.pl  
hulanick@math.uni.wroc.pl  
zenek@math.uni.wroc.pl

Received May 27, 1996

Revised version March 27 and June 13, 1997

(3680)

## Hardy spaces associated with some Schrödinger operators

by

JACEK DZIUBAŃSKI and JACEK ZIENKIEWICZ (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** For a Schrödinger operator  $A = -\Delta + V$ , where  $V$  is a nonnegative polynomial, we define a Hardy  $H_A^1$  space associated with  $A$ . An atomic characterization of  $H_A^1$  is shown.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which has the form

$$(1.1) \quad A = -\Delta + V,$$

where  $V(x) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} a_\beta x^\beta$  is a nonnegative nonzero polynomial on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ .

These operators have attracted attention of a number of authors (cf. [Fe], [HN], [Z]). Recent results of J. Zhong [Z] deal with the Riesz transforms  $R_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} A^{-1/2}$ . Among other things it is proved in [Z] that  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is mapped by  $R_j$  into  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In general, however, this does not characterize  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e. the norm  $\|f\|_{L^1} + \sum_{j=1}^d \|R_j f\|_{L^1}$  is not equivalent to the  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  norm.

The operator  $A$ , however, gives rise to a perhaps more natural notion of the space  $H_A^1$  which is the following. Let  $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$  be the semigroup of operators generated by  $-A$  (e.g. on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ),  $T_t(x, y)$  being their kernels. We notice that, since  $V$  is nonnegative, we have

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \leq T_t(x, y) \leq \tilde{T}_t(x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp(-|x - y|^2/(4t)).$$

Let

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{M}f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |T_t f(x)|.$$

By (1.2),  $\mathcal{M}$  is of weak type (1, 1). Therefore we may say that a function  $f$  is in the Hardy space  $H_A^1$  associated with  $A$  if

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{H_A^1} = \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

As we shall see later  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a *proper* subspace of  $H_A^1$ .

The aim of this paper is to study the space  $H_A^1$  in more detail. Our main theorem concerns an atomic characterization of  $H_A^1$ .

Since the operator  $A$  is neither translation nor dilation invariant, the position and size of the support of atoms play an important role. Indeed, our notion of atom is the same as that of the classical  $(1, \infty)$ -atom, except that the mean zero condition is required only if the diameter of the support of the atom is small and its center and size are related to the level sets of the potential and its derivatives. Therefore the use of Goldberg's theory of local Hardy spaces with a localization properly scaled is natural here (cf. Section 3 for the details).

We make the utmost use of the idea which relates the operators  $-\Delta + V$  to operators  $\Pi_P$ , where  $\Pi$  is a unitary representation of a nilpotent Lie group and  $P$  is a specific left-invariant homogeneous operator on the group. The results of P. Głowacki [G] and W. Hebisch [He] are crucial in this context. These enable us to derive appropriate estimates for the kernels  $T_t(x, y)$  of the semigroup; the details will appear in a separate paper where some other applications will be presented. The estimates will be used to show that in time and space variables our kernels behave "locally" as the appropriately localized Weierstrass heat kernels and globally, for large time, they are small.

As one might expect, the space  $H_A^1$  can be characterized by means of suitable Littlewood–Paley square functions. Also our  $H_A^1$  space is natural in the sense that the Zhong Riesz transforms characterize it. Indeed, the norm  $\|f\|_{H_A^1}$  is equivalent to the norm  $\sum_{j=1}^d \|(\partial/\partial x_j)A^{-1/2}f\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** This paper was written when the authors were visiting Washington University in Saint Louis. They would like to express their gratitude to Mitchell Taibleson and Guido Weiss for their hospitality. The authors would also like to thank Andrzej Hulanicki for useful comments and the referee for pointing out the reference [Z].

**2. Decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .** For our potential  $V(x) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} a_\beta x^\beta$  and for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  we define the sets  $\mathcal{A}_n$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^{n/2} \leq \sup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \{2^{-n(|\beta|+1)/2} |D^\beta V(x)|\} \leq 2^{(2+|\alpha|+n)/2}\}.$$

We also set

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \{|D^\beta V(x)|\} \leq 2^{(2+|\alpha|)/2}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{A}_n - \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_k$ . We have  $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{B}_n$ . We will denote by  $B(x, r)$  the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ .

The following lemmas follow from the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and the Taylor formula.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *There is a constant  $C$  such that for every  $R > 2$  and every  $n$ , if  $x \in \mathcal{B}_n$  then*

$$\#\{k : B(x, 2^{-n/2}R) \cap \mathcal{B}_k \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \log_2 R.$$

**LEMMA 2.4.** *There is a constant  $C$  and a collection of balls  $B_{(n,k)} = B(x_{(n,k)}, 2^{-n/2})$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $x_{(n,k)} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \bigcup_k B_{(n,k)}$ , and  $\#\{(n', k') : B(x_{(n,k)}, R2^{-n/2}) \cap B(x_{(n',k')}, R2^{-n'/2}) \neq \emptyset\} \leq R^C$  for every  $(n, k)$  and  $R \geq 2$ .*

As a consequence of Lemma 2.4, we obtain

**LEMMA 2.5.** *There are nonnegative functions  $\psi_{(n,k)}$  such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \psi_{(n,k)} \in C_c^\infty(B(x_{(n,k)}, 2^{1-n/2})),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{(n,k)} \psi_{(n,k)}(x) = 1,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|\nabla \psi_{(n,k)}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C2^{n/2}.$$

**3. Atoms.** We say that a function  $a$  is an *atom* for the Hardy space  $H_A^1$  associated with a ball  $B(x_0, r)$  if

$$(3.1) \quad \text{supp } a \subset B(x_0, r),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|a\|_{L^\infty} \leq (\text{vol } B(x_0, r))^{-1},$$

$$(3.3) \quad \text{if } x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_n, \text{ then } r \leq 2^{-n/2},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{if } x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_n \text{ and } r \leq 2^{-1-n/2}, \text{ then } \int a(x) dx = 0.$$

The atomic norm in the space  $H_A^1$  is defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \|f\|_a = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^\infty |c_j| \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions  $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j a_j$ , with  $a_j$  being  $H_A^1$  atoms and  $c_j$  being scalars.

Our aim is

**THEOREM 3.6.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(3.7) \quad C^{-1} \|f\|_{H_A^1} \leq \|f\|_a \leq C \|f\|_{H_A^1}$$

for every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

We now state some results from the theory of local Hardy spaces (cf. [Go]) we shall need later.

Let  $\{\tilde{T}_t\}_{t>0}$  be the semigroup of linear operators generated by the Laplacian  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define the local maximal function  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n$  setting

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n f(x) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-n}} |\tilde{T}_t f(x)|.$$

We say that a function  $f$  is in the local Hardy space  $\mathbf{h}_n^1$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{h}_n^1} = \|\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n f\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

A function  $\tilde{a}$  is an atom for the local Hardy space  $\mathbf{h}_n^1$  if

$$(3.9) \quad \text{supp } \tilde{a} \subset B(x, r), \quad r \leq 2^{-n/2},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \|\tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty} \leq (\text{vol } B(x, r))^{-1},$$

$$(3.11) \quad \text{if } r \leq 2^{-1-n/2}, \text{ then } \int \tilde{a}(x) dx = 0.$$

The atomic norm in  $\mathbf{h}_n^1$  is defined by

$$(3.12) \quad \|f\|_{\mathbf{h}_{\tilde{a},n}^1} = \inf \left\{ \sum_j |c_j| \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions  $f = \sum c_j \tilde{a}_j$ , where  $\tilde{a}_j$  are  $\mathbf{h}_n^1$  atoms.

**THEOREM 3.13** [Go]. *The norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{h}_n^1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{h}_{\tilde{a},n}^1}$  are equivalent with constants independent of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, if  $f \in \mathbf{h}_n^1$  and  $\text{supp } f \subset B(x, 2^{1-n/2})$ , then there are  $\mathbf{h}_n^1$  atoms  $\tilde{a}_j$  such that  $\text{supp } \tilde{a}_j \subset B(x, 2^{2-n/2})$  and*

$$(3.14) \quad f = \sum_j c_j \tilde{a}_j, \quad \sum_j |c_j| \leq C \|f\|_{\mathbf{h}_n^1}$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $n$ .

**4. Estimates of kernels.** Let

$$Af = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_A(\lambda) f$$

be the spectral resolution of the operator  $A$ . The results in [He] combined with [DHJ] (see [D] for details) imply that there is a nilpotent Lie group  $G$ , a unitary representation  $\Pi$ , and a regular symmetric kernel  $P$  of order 2 such that  $\Pi P = A$ . The construction of the Lie group and the representation  $\Pi$  allow us to show that for a  $C^\infty$  function  $\varphi$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi \in C_c^\infty([1/2, 2]), \quad |\varphi(\lambda)| > c > 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in [3/4, 7/4]$$

the operators

$$(4.2) \quad Q_\mu f = \varphi(2^{-\mu} A) f = \int_0^\infty \varphi(2^{-\mu} \lambda) dE_A(\lambda) f$$

are expressed by

$$(4.3) \quad Q_\mu f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q_\mu(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad Q_\mu(x, y) = 2^{d\mu/2} F(2^{\mu/2}(y-x), 2^{-\mu} V(x), \dots, 2^{-\mu(|\beta|+2)/2} D^\beta V(x), \dots)$$

with  $F$  being in the Schwartz space of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^D$ ,  $D = (\alpha_1 + 1) \times (\alpha_2 + 1) \dots (\alpha_d + 1)$ . Moreover, the Schwartz class functions  $\tilde{F}_{2^{-\mu}}(x) = 2^{d\mu/2} F(-2^{\mu/2} x, 0)$  are the convolution kernels of the operators

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{Q}_\mu f = \varphi(-2^{-\mu} \Delta) f.$$

Denote by  $T_t(x, y)$  the kernels of the operators  $T_t$ . It was proved in [D, Proposition 3.17] that for every  $b > 0$  there is a constant  $C_b$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \leq T_t(x, y) \leq C_b t^{-d/2} (1 + t^{-1/2} |y-x|)^{-b} \times \prod_{\beta \leq \alpha} (1 + |t^{(|\beta|+2)/2} D^\beta V(x)|)^{-b}.$$

Proposition 3.13 of [D] asserts that the kernels  $K_s(x, y)$  of the operators  $AT_s = -\frac{d}{ds} T_s$  are given by

$$(4.7) \quad K_s(x, y) = s^{-1} s^{-d/2} \Xi(s^{-1/2}(y-x), sV(x), \dots, s^{(|\beta|+2)/2} D^\beta V(x), \dots),$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad |\Xi(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-d-2},$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad |\Xi(x, \xi) - \Xi(x, 0)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-d-1} |\xi|^\epsilon \quad \text{with some } \epsilon > 0.$$

Similarly

$$(4.10) \quad \tilde{K}_s(x) = s^{-1} s^{-d/2} \Xi(s^{-1/2}(-x), 0, \dots, 0)$$

is the convolution kernel of the operator  $-\Delta \tilde{T}_s$ .

**5. Some lemmas**

**LEMMA 5.1.** *There is a constant  $C$  such that for every nonnegative integer  $n$ ,*

$$(5.2) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-n}} |\tilde{T}_t(\psi_{(n,k)} f)(x) - T_t(\psi_{(n,k)} f)(x)| \right\|_{L^1(dx)} \leq C \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1},$$

where  $\psi_{(n,k)}$  are the functions from Lemma 2.5.

Proof. Let  $0 < t \leq 2^{-n}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{T}_t(\psi_{(n,k)}f)(x) - T_t(\psi_{(n,k)}f)(x)| &= \left| \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} (\widetilde{T}_s - T_s)(\psi_{(n,k)}f)(x) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{2^{-n}} |(\Delta \widetilde{T}_s + AT_s)(\psi_{(n,k)}f)(x)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.7) and (4.10), the functions  $R_s(x, y) = K_s(x, y) - \widetilde{K}_s(x - y)$  are the kernels of the operators  $\Delta \widetilde{T}_s + AT_s$ .

Obviously,

$$(5.3) \quad \|(\Delta \widetilde{T}_s + AT_s)(\psi_{(n,k)}f)\|_{L^1} \leq D_{s,n,k} \|\psi_{(n,k)}f\|_{L^1},$$

where  $D_{s,n,k} = \sup_y \int |R_s(x, y)| \chi_{B_{(n,k)}^*}(y) dx$ , and  $B_{(n,k)}^* = B(x_{(n,k)}, 2^{1-n/2})$ .

If  $x \in B_{(n,k)}^{**} = B(x_{(n,k)}, 2^{2-n/2})$ , then  $2^{-n(|\beta|+1)/2} |D^\beta V(x)| \leq C2^{n/2}$ , and, consequently,  $|s^{(|\beta|+2)/2} D^\beta V(x)| \leq C2^n s$ . From (4.9) we conclude that

$$(5.4) \quad \sup_y \int_{x \in B_{(n,k)}^{**}} |R_s(x, y)| \chi_{B_{(n,k)}^*}(y) dx \leq C2^{ne} s^{\varepsilon-1}.$$

If  $x \notin B_{(n,k)}^{**}$ , then  $|x - y| \geq 2^{-n/2}$  for  $y \in B_{(n,k)}^*$ . Since  $|R_s(x, y)| \leq s^{-1} s^{-d/2} C(1 + |s^{-1/2}(y - x)|)^{-d-2}$  (cf. (4.8)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_y \int_{x \notin B_{(n,k)}^{**}} |R_s(x, y)| \chi_{B_{(n,k)}^*}(y) dx \\ \leq s^{-1} s^{-d/2} C \int_{|x| > 2^{-n/2}} (1 + |s^{-1/2}x|)^{-d-2} dx \leq C2^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $D_{s,n,k} \leq C(2^n + 2^{\varepsilon n} s^{\varepsilon-1})$ . Finally, the left-hand side of (5.2) is estimated by

$$\|\psi_{(n,k)}f\|_{L^1} \int_0^{2^{-n}} D_{s,n,k} ds \leq C \|\psi_{(n,k)}f\|_{L^1},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Let  $\omega^{[N]}(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-N}$ . From Lemma 2.4 we deduce that for  $N$  sufficiently large,

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{(n,k)} \omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)})) \in L^\infty \quad \text{as a function of } x.$$

We define the maximal function  $\mathcal{M}_{(n,k)}$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_{(n,k)}f(x) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-n}} |T_t(\psi_{(n,k)}f)(x) - \psi_{(n,k)}(x)T_t f(x)|.$$

LEMMA 5.7. For every  $N > 0$  there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$(5.8) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_{(n,k)}f\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f(x)\omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)}))\|_{L^1(dx)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$[\psi_{(n,k)}, T_t]f(x) = \sum_{(n',k')} T_{t,(n,k),(n',k')}f(x),$$

where  $T_{t,(n,k),(n',k')}f(x) = \int f(y)T_t(x, y)(\psi_{(n,k)}(x) - \psi_{(n,k)}(y))\psi_{(n',k')}(y) dy$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_{(n,k),(n',k')}f(x) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-n}} |T_{t,(n,k),(n',k')}f(x)|.$$

Set  $J_{(n,k)} = \{(n', k') : |x_{(n',k')} - x_{(n,k)}| \leq C'2^{-n/2}\}$ , and  $I_{(n,k)} = \{(n', k') : |x_{(n',k')} - x_{(n,k)}| > C'2^{-n/2}\}$ .

Note that the number of elements in  $J_{(n,k)}$  is bounded by a constant independent of  $(n, k)$ . Moreover, taking  $C'$  sufficiently large we see that  $B_{(n,k)}^{**} \cap B_{(n',k')}^{**} = \emptyset$  for  $(n', k') \in I_{(n,k)}$ . Thus, by (4.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_{(n,k),(n',k')}f\|_{L^1} \\ \leq \begin{cases} C \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(n',k')}^*)} & \text{if } (n', k') \in J_{(n,k)}, \\ C_N 2^{-Nn/2} |x_{(n,k)} - x_{(n',k')}|^{-N} \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(n',k')}^*)} & \text{if } (n', k') \in I_{(n,k)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_{(n,k)}f\|_{L^1} &\leq \sum_{(n',k')} \|\mathcal{M}_{(n,k),(n',k')}f\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \sum_{(n',k') \in J_{(n,k)}} \|f\|_{L^1(B(x_{(n,k)}, C'2^{-n/2}))} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{(n',k') \in I_{(n,k)}} C_N 2^{-Nn/2} |x_{(n,k)} - x_{(n',k')}|^{-N} \|f\|_{L^1(B_{(n',k')}^*)} \\ &\leq C \|f(x)\omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)}))\|_{L^1(dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

**6. Proof of Theorem 3.6.** Let

$$\mathcal{M}_n f(x) = \sup_{0 < t \leq 2^{-n}} |T_t f(x)|.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. We first assume that  $f \in H_A^1$ . By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n(\psi_{(n,k)}f)\|_{L^1} &\leq C(\|\mathcal{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)}f)\|_{L^1} + \|\psi_{(n,k)}f\|_{L^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\psi_{(n,k)}(\mathcal{M}_n f)\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + \|f(x)\omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)}))\|_{L^1(dx)}). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.6) we conclude that

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{(n,k)} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n(\psi_{(n,k)}f)\|_{L^1} \leq C(\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1}).$$

An application of Theorem 3.13 gives

$$(6.2) \quad \psi_{(n,k)}f = \sum_j c_j^{(n,k)} a_j^{(n,k)}, \quad \text{where } a_j^{(n,k)} \text{ are } H_A^1 \text{ atoms,}$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_j |c_j^{(n,k)}| \leq C\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n(\psi_{(n,k)}f)\|_{L^1}.$$

Finally, using (6.1) and (6.3), we obtain the required  $H_A^1$  atomic decomposition

$$(6.4) \quad f = \sum_{(n,k)} \sum_j c_j^{(n,k)} a_j^{(n,k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{(n,k)} \sum_j |c_j^{(n,k)}| \leq C\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^1},$$

and the inequality  $\|f\|_a \leq C\|f\|_{H_A^1}$  is proved.

In order to prove the reverse inequality it suffices to show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$(6.5) \quad \|\mathcal{M}a\|_{L^1} \leq C$$

for every  $H_A^1$  atom  $a$ .

Let  $a$  be an  $H_A^1$  atom associated with a ball  $B(x_0, r)$ . Let  $n$  be such that  $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . By definition,  $r \leq 2^{-n/2}$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $\|\mathcal{M}_n a\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_n a\|_{L^1}$ . Theorem 3.13 asserts that the right-hand side of this inequality is bounded by a constant  $C$  independent of  $a$ . It remains to show that

$$(6.6) \quad \left\| \sup_{t>2^{-n}} |T_t a(x)| \right\|_{L^1(dx)} \leq C,$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $a$ .

Let  $P_m^t$  be the operator defined by

$$P_m^t f(x) = \int |f(y)| t^{-d/2} \chi_{B(0,m)}(t^{-1/2}(y-x)) \\ \times \left( \prod_{\beta \leq \alpha} \chi_{[-m,m]}(t^{(|\beta|+2)/2} D^\beta V(x)) \right) dy.$$

According to (4.6), we have

$$(6.7) \quad |T_t a(x)| \leq \sum_{m \geq 2} b_m P_m^t a(x),$$

where  $b_m < C_p(1+m)^{-p}$  for every positive  $p$ . We shall use the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.8. *There is a constant  $C_1 \geq 1$  independent of  $n$  such that for every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subset B(x_n, 2^{1-n/2})$ ,  $x_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , and for every  $m \geq 2$ , we have*

$$(6.9) \quad P_m^t f = 0 \quad \text{for } t > m^{C_1} 2^{-n}.$$

The proof of Lemma 6.8 will be presented below. Using (6.7) and Lemma 6.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_{t>2^{-n}} |T_t a(x)| \right\|_{L^1(dx)} &= \left\| \sup_{2^{-n} < t \leq 2^{-n} m^{C_1}} |T_t a(x)| \right\|_{L^1(dx)} \\ &\leq \sum_{m \geq 2} b_m \left\| \sup_{2^{-n} < t \leq 2^{-n} m^{C_1}} |P_m^t a(x)| \right\|_{L^1(dx)} \\ &\leq C \sum_{m \geq 2} b_m m^{dC_1} \|a\|_{L^1(dx)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.8. Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\text{supp } f \subset B(x_n, 2^{1-n/2})$ ,  $x_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3,  $\text{supp } f \subset \bigcup_{k=n-C_2}^{n+C_2} \mathcal{B}_k$ . Assume that  $P_m^t f \neq 0$ . Then there are  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \text{supp } f$  such that

$$t^{(|\beta|+2)/2} D^\beta V(x) \in B(0, m) \quad \text{for all } \beta \leq \alpha,$$

$$t^{-1/2}(y-x) \in B(0, m).$$

Since  $D^\gamma V(y) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{1}{\beta!} D^{\beta+\gamma} V(x)(y-x)^\beta$  for every  $\gamma \leq \alpha$ ,

$$|D^\gamma V(y)| \leq C m^{|\alpha|+1} t^{-(|\gamma|+2)/2}.$$

On the other hand,  $|D^\gamma V(y)| \geq 2^{(n-C_2+(n-C_2)(|\gamma|+1))/2}$ , thus

$$2^{(n-C_2+(n-C_2)(|\gamma|+1))/2} \leq C m^{|\alpha|+1} t^{-(|\gamma|+2)/2}.$$

This implies  $t \leq m^{C_1} 2^{-n}$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.

**7. Characterization of  $H_A^1$  by square functions and Riesz transforms.** For a  $C^\infty$  function  $\varphi$  satisfying (4.1) we define the square function

$$(7.1) \quad Sf(x) = \left( \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} |Q_\mu f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $Q_\mu = \varphi(2^{-\mu}A)$ . Our purpose is

THEOREM 7.2. *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(7.3) \quad C^{-1} \|f\|_{H_A^1} \leq \|Sf\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{H_A^1}.$$

For an integer  $n$  we define the truncated square functions

$$(7.4) \quad S_n f(x) = \left( \sum_{\mu \geq n} |Q_\mu f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \widetilde{S}_n f(x) = \left( \sum_{\mu \geq n} |\widetilde{Q}_\mu f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $\widetilde{Q}_\mu = \varphi(-2^{-\mu}A)$ .

Theorem 7.5 below is a simple consequence of the results of Goldberg [Go].

**THEOREM 7.5.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$(7.6) \quad C^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}_n^1} \leq \|\tilde{S}_n f\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}_n^1}.$$

The two lemmas below can be proved by the same means we used in Section 5.

**LEMMA 7.7.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every integer  $n$ ,*

$$\|\tilde{Q}_\mu(\psi_{(n,k)} f) - Q_\mu(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C 2^{(n-\mu)/2} \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}.$$

**LEMMA 7.8.** *For every  $N > 0$  there is a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that*

$$\|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f) - \psi_{(n,k)}(S_n f)\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f(x) \omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)}))\|_{L^1(dx)}.$$

A simple application of Lemma 7.7 leads to

**COROLLARY 7.9.** *There is a constant  $C$  such that for every  $n$  and  $k$ ,*

$$(7.10) \quad \|\tilde{S}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\| \leq C(\|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}),$$

$$(7.11) \quad \|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\| \leq C(\|\tilde{S}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}).$$

**Proof of Theorem 7.2.** Assume that  $f \in H_A^1$ . Since the infimum of the spectrum of  $A$  is strictly positive,  $Q_\mu = 0$  for  $\mu \leq B$ . Hence

$$(7.12) \quad \|Sf\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{(n,k)} \|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{(n,k)} \|S_n^0(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1},$$

where  $S_n^0(\psi_{(n,k)} f)(x) = (\sum_{B \leq \mu < n} |Q_\mu(\psi_{(n,k)} f)(x)|^2)^{1/2}$ . By (7.11), Theorem 7.5, and Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} &\leq C(\|\tilde{S}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}) \\ &\leq C\|\tilde{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\mathcal{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 5.7, we obtain

$$(7.13) \quad \|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\psi_{(n,k)} \mathcal{M}f\|_{L^1} + \|f(x) \omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)}))\|_{L^1(dx)}.$$

As a consequence of Lemma 6.8 we have

$$(7.14) \quad \|S_n^0(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}.$$

Therefore, by (7.13), (7.14), and (5.6), we get  $\|Sf\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1} \leq C\|f\|_{H_A^1}$ .

Our proof of the reverse inequality is similar. Assume that  $\|Sf\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1} < \infty$ . Trivially,

$$(7.15) \quad \|\mathcal{M}f\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{(n,k)} \|\mathcal{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{(n,k)} \|\mathcal{M}_n^\infty(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_n^\infty(\psi_{(n,k)} f)(x) = \sup_{t > 2^{-n}} |T_t(\psi_{(n,k)} f)(x)|$ . We conclude from Lemma 6.8 that

$$(7.16) \quad \|\mathcal{M}_n^\infty(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1}.$$

Using Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 7.5, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\tilde{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} \leq C(\|\tilde{S}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}).$$

Lemma 7.8 and (7.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M}_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} &\leq C(\|S_n(\psi_{(n,k)} f)\|_{L^1} + \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}) \\ &\leq C(\|\psi_{(n,k)} Sf\|_{L^1} + \|f(x) \omega^{[N]}(2^{n/2}(x - x_{(n,k)}))\|_{L^1(dx)} + \|\psi_{(n,k)} f\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (5.6), we have  $\|f\|_{H_A^1} \leq C(\|Sf\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1})$ , which ends the proof of Theorem 7.2.

Let us define the Riesz transforms  $R_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , associated with the operator  $A$  setting

$$(7.17) \quad R_j f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} A^{-1/2} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} dE_A(\lambda) f.$$

Similar arguments can be used to prove the following characterization of the space  $H_A^1$ :

**THEOREM 7.18.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{H_A^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1} + \sum_{j=1}^d \|R_j f\|_{L^1} \leq C \|f\|_{H_A^1}.$$

## References

- [D] J. Dziubański, *A note on Schrödinger operators with polynomial potentials*, preprint.
- [DHJ] J. Dziubański, A. Hulanicki, and J. W. Jenkins, *A nilpotent Lie algebra and eigenvalue estimates*, Colloq. Math. 68 (1995), 7–16.
- [Fe] C. Fefferman, *The uncertainty principle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1983), 129–206.
- [FeS] C. Fefferman and E. Stein,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137–193.
- [FS] G. Folland and E. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1982.
- [G] P. Głowacki, *Stable semi-groups of measures as commutative approximate identities on nongraded homogeneous groups*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 557–582.
- [Go] D. Goldberg, *A local version of real Hardy spaces*, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), 27–42.
- [He] W. Hebisch, *On operators satisfying Rockland condition*, preprint, Univ. of Wrocław.
- [HN] B. Helffer et J. Nourrigat, *Une inégalité  $L^2$* , preprint.

- [S1] E. M. Stein, *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood–Paley Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.  
 [S2] —, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993.  
 [Z] J. Zhong, *Harmonic analysis for some Schrödinger type operators*, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton Univ., 1993.

Institute of Mathematics  
 University of Wrocław  
 Plac Grunwaldzki 2/4  
 50-384 Wrocław, Poland  
 E-mail: jdziuban@math.uni.wroc.pl

Received October 7, 1996

Revised version December 6, 1996 and March 24, 1997

(3748)

## Perfect sets of finite class without the extension property

by

A. GONCHAROV (Ankara and Rostov-na-Donu)

**Abstract.** We prove that generalized Cantor sets of class  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \neq 2$ , have the extension property iff  $\alpha < 2$ . Thus belonging of a compact set  $K$  to some finite class  $\alpha$  cannot be a characterization for the existence of an extension operator. The result has some interconnection with potential theory.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  is the space of Whitney jets with the topology defined by the norms (in what follows we will consider only the one-dimensional case)

$$\|f\|_q = |f|_q + \sup \left\{ \frac{|(R_y^q f)^{(k)}(x)|}{|x-y|^{q-k}} : x, y \in K, x \neq y, k = 0, 1, \dots, q \right\},$$

$q = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $|f|_q = \sup\{|f^{(k)}(x)| : x \in K, k \leq q\}$  and  $R_y^q f(x) = f(x) - T_y^q f(x)$  is the Taylor remainder. We say that  $K$  has the extension property if there exists a linear continuous extension operator  $L : \mathcal{E}(K) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . The problem of finding such an operator was investigated by many authors (see e.g. [2], [9], [11], [12], [14]–[17]). In [16] Tidten applied Vogt's condition for a splitting of exact sequences of Fréchet spaces and gave a topological characterization of the extension property (see Th. 1 below). In order to give a corresponding geometric description Tidten introduced in [17] the following property: a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a *perfect set of class  $\alpha$*  ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ) if there are constants  $C \geq 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $y \in K$  one can find a sequence  $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset K$  such that  $|y - x_j| \downarrow 0$ ,  $|y - x_1| \geq \delta$  and  $C|y - x_{j+1}| \geq |y - x_j|^\alpha$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case we will write  $K \in (\alpha)$ . It was proved in [17] that

- (i)  $K \in (1) \Rightarrow$
- (ii)  $K$  has the extension property  $\Rightarrow$
- (iii)  $K \in (\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ .