

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.02.17.21251732

Harnessing recombinase polymerase amplification for rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 in resource-limited settings — Source link [2]

Dounia Cherkaoui, Dounia Cherkaoui, Da Huang, Benjamin S. Miller ...+2 more authors Institutions: University College London, London Centre for Nanotechnology Published on: 19 Feb 2021 - medRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press) Topics: Recombinase Polymerase Amplification and Dipstick

Related papers:

- Harnessing recombinase polymerase amplification for rapid multi-gene detection of SARS-CoV-2 in resourcelimited settings.
- · An enhanced isothermal amplification assay for viral detection
- Rapid and highly sensitive one-tube colorimetric RT-LAMP assay for visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA.
- A rapid, highly sensitive and open-access SARS-CoV-2 detection assay for laboratory and home testing
- Rapid direct nucleic acid amplification test without RNA extraction for SARS-CoV-2 using a portable PCR thermocycler



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.17.21251732; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1 Harnessing recombinase polymerase amplification for

² rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 in resource-limited

3 settings

- 4 Dounia Cherkaoui^{a,b,1}, Da Huang^{a,1}, Benjamin S. Miller^a & Rachel A. McKendry^{a,b}*
- ⁵ ^a London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- ⁶ ^b Division of Medicine, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 7
- 8 ¹These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 9 * Corresponding author
- 10 Name: Professor Rachel McKendry
- 11 Address: London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, 19 Gordon Street,
- 12 London, United Kingdom
- 13 E-mail: <u>r.a.mckendry@ucl.ac.uk</u>
- 14 Telephone number: +44 (0)20 7679 9995

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

15 Abstract

- 16 The COVID-19 pandemic has challenged testing capacity worldwide. The mass testing
- 17 needed to stop the spread of the virus requires new molecular diagnostic tests that are faster
- 18 and with reduced equipment requirement, but as sensitive as the current gold standard
- 19 protocols based on polymerase chain reaction.
- 20 We developed a fast (25-35 minutes) molecular test using reverse transcription recombinase
- 21 polymerase amplification for simultaneous detection of two conserved regions of the virus,
- 22 targeting the E and RdRP genes. The diagnostic platform offers two complementary detection
- 23 methods: real-time fluorescence or visual dipstick.
- 24 The analytical sensitivity of the test by real-time fluorescence was 9.5 (95% CI: 7.0-18) RNA
- copies per reaction for the E gene and 17 (95% CI: 11-93) RNA copies per reaction for the
- 26 RdRP gene. The analytical sensitivity for the dipstick readout was 130 (95% CI: 82-500)
- 27 RNA copies per reaction. The assay showed high specificity with both detection methods
- 28 when tested against common seasonal coronaviruses, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV model
- 29 samples. The dipstick readout demonstrated potential for point-of-care testing, with simple or
- 30 equipment-free incubation methods and a user-friendly prototype smartphone application was
- 31 proposed with data capture and connectivity.

This ultrasensitive molecular test offers valuable advantages with a swift time-to-result and it requires minimal laboratory equipment compared to current gold standard assays. These features render this diagnostic platform more suitable for decentralised molecular testing.

35 Keywords: molecular testing, isothermal amplification, recombinase polymerase

36 amplification, SARS-CoV-2.

37 Introduction

At the end of December 2019, a public health alert was released from Wuhan, Hubei province, in China reporting cases of "viral pneumonia of unknown cause" observed in several patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome¹. Eventually, the newly identified virus was designated as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)² and the disease caused by the virus was named COVID-19³. As of 8th January 2021, a year after the discovery of the human coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, the World Health Organization reported globally over 86.4 million confirmed cases and 1.8 million deaths from COVID-19⁴.

46 Rapid development of diagnostic tests for detection of SARS-CoV-2 has been vital to limit the spread of the virus⁵. Molecular diagnosis is necessary to identify patients actively infected 47 48 when COVID-19 symptoms are not clearly differentiable from other coronaviruses, for 49 instance HCoV-NL63, HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-229E, causing common cold, or the deadly 50 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV). These tests are also needed to identify asymptomatic 51 52 cases⁶ (not showing symptoms) or pre-symptomatic cases⁷ (not showing symptoms at the 53 time of test but developing symptoms later) which can be infectious. Since the early stages of 54 the pandemic, the World Health Organization recommended the use of quantitative reverse 55 transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) for nucleic acid amplification as the gold standard diagnostic for SARS-CoV-2⁸. Some in-house gRT-PCR protocols were swiftly 56 developed and recommended for wide use in reference laboratories, such as Hong Kong 57 University (HKU)⁹, Charité Institute of Virology Universitätsmedizin Berlin (Charité-58 59 Berlin)¹⁰ and United States CDC (US CDC)¹¹. Although targeting various conserved regions of SARS-CoV-2, these qRT-PCR protocols all function with multiple targets to make the test 60 61 more sensitive and specific (Supplementary Fig. 1).

3

62

63	Despite the World Health Organisation's recommendation to use qRT-PCR technology for
64	detection of SARS-CoV-2, the pandemic has highlighted major issues in relying on only one
65	technology: a worldwide shortage of qRT-PCR reagents and instruments considerably slowed
66	down testing ^{12,13} . The massive number of tests needed to contain the spread of the virus could
67	not be met for many months, even in high-income countries ¹⁴ . Having viable alternatives to
68	qRT-PCR for acute COVID-19 that are as sensitive, but faster and simpler to use –
69	particularly in decentralised and resource-limited settings in the low and middle income
70	countries – could increase the testing capacity and reduce community transmission 15 .
71	
72	Recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA), loop-mediated isothermal amplification
73	(LAMP) and other isothermal amplification methods could meet the needs for mass
74	virological testing as alternatives to PCR ¹⁶ . They are portable, faster, giving results in less
75	than 30 minutes compared to several hours with qRT-PCR, enabling testing in settings with
76	scarce resources, without a thermocycler ^{17,18} . Indeed, the first molecular test to receive FDA
77	authorisation for emergency use, which can be operated by the patient without going to a test
78	centre, harnesses isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) to deliver an easy-to-use device ¹⁹ .
79	Several other RPA-based tests for SARS-CoV-2 reported in the literature present good
80	performances ^{20,21} .
01	

81

Reverse transcription RPA (RT-RPA) can rapidly amplify viral RNA and detection of the
RPA product has been demonstrated by several methods, the most common are by real-time
fluorescence or using dipsticks. An ultrasensitive diagnostic assay using RPA and dipstick
was successfully demonstrated by our group for HIV using novel nanoparticles²². While
LAMP has the advantage of being a patent-free method, it operates at higher temperatures

(60-65°C compared to 37-42°C for RPA) and it requires two pairs of primers instead of one,
making its design slightly more complex. Choosing an RPA-based amplification enables the
reaction to be carried out with basic equipment.

90

Mobile phone-based diagnostic tests can help reduce the need for specific equipment, whilst providing mobile connectivity, which has emerged as an important criteria in REASSURED diagnostic tests²³. By taking advantage of their processing speeds, display, storage capacity, their high resolution camera and connectivity, smartphones are useful devices to store information relative to the test and the patient, analyse test results with an enhanced readout compared to the naked eye, to communicate the result to a local hospital and send alerts in case a new outbreak or cluster is detected^{24,25}.

98

99 Here we report the development of a rapid molecular diagnostic for the detection of SARS-

100 CoV-2 by RT-RPA (Fig. 1a) simultaneously detecting two targets (Fig. 1b). We aimed to

101 design two alternative readouts which are both multiplexed: real-time fluorescence (Fig. 1c)

102 and dipsticks (Fig. 1d). Offering two detection methods makes the assay more accessible to

103 different settings, depending on their resources. The multiplexing of the targets has also been

104 used by gold standard qPCR methods (Supplementary Fig. 1) as it offers a greater confidence

105 on the test result, which can be confirmed if only one gene was detected.

106

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the rapid and multiplex RT-RPA assay with real time fluorescence and dipstick detection.

109

110 Methods

111 Reagents and equipment

112	RPA primers and the cDNA control for the N gene were obtained from Integrated DNA
113	Technologies. The cDNA controls for the E, RdRP and Orf1ab genes were supplied by
114	GenScript Biotech (pUC57-2019-nCoV-PC:E, pUC57-2019-nCoV-PC:RdRP, pUC57-2019-
115	nCoV-PC:ORF1ab). The coronavirus specificity panel (SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, MERS-
116	CoV, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-229E) was obtained from the European Virus
117	Archive (EVAg). These RNA samples were supplied as full-length virus RNA with reported
118	cycle threshold (Ct) values between 28-30 from qRT-PCR assays. The fluorescent probes
119	were synthesized by Eurogentec. The RPA reactions kits were ordered from TwistDX. The
120	QIAquick Gel Extraction and PCR Purification kits were ordered from Qiagen. The
121	Phusion TM High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase kit and the M-MLV reverse transcriptase were
122	from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The in vitro transcription and the RNA purification was
123	performed with the HiScribe TM T7 Quick High Yield RNA Synthesis kit and Monarch RNA
124	CleanUp kit from New England Biolabs Ltd. SUPERase•In TM RNase inhibitor from
125	Invitrogen was added to the RNA standards. Human saliva from healthy and pooled donors
126	(cat. 991-05-P-PreC) was purchased from Lee Biosolutions, Inc. cDNA concentrations were
127	measured on a Nanodrop TM One/One ^C microvolume UV-Vis spectrophotometer. RNA
128	concentrations were measured on a Qubit 4 fluorometer using the Qubit TM RNA HS Assay
129	Kit (Invitrogen). Fluorescent readings were done on the microplate reader SpectraMax® iD3
130	from Molecular Devices, for initial screening of SARS-CoV-2 genes, then the Axxin® T16-
131	ISO was used for the duplex diagnostic platform. The dipsticks and running buffer were
132	obtained from Abingdon Health.
133	

134 Screening of four different genes (N, E, RdRP and Orf1ab genes) by real-time RPA

135 RPA primers and probes with a FAM fluorophore were designed for four targets

136 (Supplementary Table 1) and screened using the TwistAmp® exo RPA reactions. The 50 µL

137 reactions contained TwistAmp® exo RPA pellets resuspended in 29.5 µL Rehydration Buffer 138 (TwistDX), 2.1 µL of forward primer (at concentration 10 µM), 2.1 µL of reverse primer (at 139 concentration 10 µM), 0.6 µL of probe (at concentration 10 µM), 1 µL of corresponding 140 cDNA template and 12.2 µL of nuclease-free water. Finally, 2.5 µL of magnesium acetate (at 141 concentration 280 mM) was added to start the reaction. Three cDNA concentrations (50, 500, 142 5000 copies) were tried along with a non-template control (NTC). The reactions were 143 incubated at 39°C for 30 minutes and real-time fluorescence was recorded using a microplate 144 reader (excitation wavelength 495 nm and emission 520 nm). The screen was done in 145 technical replicates (N=2). Background correction was done on all data by subtracting the 146 measurement value taken at ≈ 60 seconds from all other measurement values, and the values 147 before 60 seconds were set to zero. Then, the average values of duplicates were plotted on 148 GraphPad Prism along with error bars, corresponding to the standard deviation. The 149 fluorescence threshold value for the RPA screen of the four genes with cDNA was set to 150 25,000. This threshold value was roughly calculated by averaging fluorescence signals from 151 several NTC reactions and adding 3 times the associated standard deviation. The average 152 time to threshold, defined as the time corresponding to the intersection of the amplification 153 curve with the threshold value, was determined for each gene.

154

155 Synthesis of RNA standards for SARS-CoV-2 E and RdRP genes

The plasmid cDNA encoding for the E and RdRP genes were digested using a pair of restriction sites of the plasmid. Double digestion allowed to isolate the sequence of interest and get linear DNA. The product of digestion was run on a 1% agarose gel with a DNA ladder. The band of interest was excised from the gel and the DNA was purified. To generate positive-sense RNA transcripts, a T7 promoter sequence was added via PCR amplification with the promoter sequence on the forward primer (Supplementary Fig. 6a). The PCR

7

products were verified on an agarose gel (Supplementary Fig. 6b). *In vitro* transcription was done with 2.5 hours incubation, with several rounds of DNase I treatment to remove the DNA template, and the RNA was purified. The RNA was tested by PCR using the RPA primers (also suitable for PCR) to check for traces of DNA impurities (Supplementary Fig. 6c). The concentration of the RNA transcripts was measured using the Qubit, then the RNA was diluted in DEPC-treated water and stored at -80°C with RNase inhibitor. A dilution series was used to measure the analytical sensitivity of the molecular test.

169

170 Multiplex RT-RPA with complementary detection platforms

171 *Real-time fluorescence readout:* Amplification and detection of both genes was done using

172 the multi-channel portable reader (Axxin) using the FAM and HEX channels.

173 The 50 µL reactions contained TwistAmp® exo RPA pellets resuspended in 29.5 µL

174 Rehydration Buffer (TwistDX), 2.1 µL of both forward primers (at concentration 10 µM), 2.1

175 μ L of both reverse primers (at concentration 10 μ M), 0.6 μ L of both probes, 1 μ L of each

176 corresponding RNA samples (E and RdRP genes), 2.5 µL of reverse transcriptase (at 200

 $177 \quad U/\mu L$) and 3.9 μL of nuclease-free water. Finally, 2.5 μL of magnesium acetate (at

178 concentration 280 mM) was added to start the reaction. The reactions were incubated at 39°C,

179 with magnetic shaking and the fluorescence was measured in real-time directly from the

180 tubes.

181 Dipstick readout: RPA primers for E and RdRP genes were modified (Supplementary Table

182 1) for duplex detection on the dipsticks, which incorporate carbon nanoparticles conjugated

183 to neuravidin. The E gene primers were modified with biotin and digoxigenin for detection

184 on test line (1), whereas the RdRP gene primers were modified with FAM and biotin for

185 detection on test line (2). To eliminate non-specific binding due to dimers forming, the assay

186 was tested without any template (negative controls) with modified primers at concentration

187 10μ M, 2μ M, 1μ M and 0.5μ M (Supplementary Fig. 4). Eventually, the 50 μ L reactions contained TwistAmp® basic RPA pellets resuspended in 29.5 uL Rehvdration Buffer 188 189 (TwistDX), 2.1 µL of both forward primer (at concentration 1 µM), 2.1 µL of both reverse 190 primer (at concentration 1 µM), 1 µL of each corresponding RNA sample (E and RdRP 191 genes), 2.5 μ L of reverse transcriptase (at 200 U/ μ L) and 5.1 μ L of nuclease-free water. 192 Finally, 2.5 µL of magnesium acetate (at concentration 280 mM) was added to start the reaction. The reactions were incubated at 37°C in an incubator for 20 minutes, with shaking 193 194 at 250 rpm. Then, 10 µL of reaction was mixed in the well of a microplate with 140 µL of 195 running buffer, and the dipstick was dipped in the well. The test result was read after 10 196 minutes. A photograph of the strips was taken at this time and image analysis was done on 197 Matlab (R2020b). 198 Detection of RNA transcripts spiked in human saliva was done following the protocol for 199 RT-RPA with dipstick readout, yet the 5.1 µL of nuclease free-water were replaced by human 200 saliva. 201 202 Calculation of fluorescence thresholds and analytical sensitivity 203 Two thresholds were calculated for the RT-RPA protocol using the FAM and HEX dyes. The 204 thresholds were computed from eight NTC reactions. The maximum fluorescence values 205 were taken after background subtraction. The average of these values and the standard 206 deviation were calculated. Finally, the thresholds were calculated as followed:

207 Threshold = $average(NTC) + 4.785 \times standard deviation(NTC)$

208 The multiplication factor 4.785 corresponds to the 99.9% confidence interval of the t-

- 209 distribution with seven degrees of freedom, as per the equation 1 for determining limit of
- 210 blank²⁶. This high confidence interval was chosen to strengthen the specificity of the assay.

211	The analytical sensitivity of the RT-RPA with real-time fluorescence readout was done using
212	these thresholds. Repeats were run five times for a range of RNA inputs: 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10,
213	10^2 and 10^3 (only for the RdRP gene). The fraction of positive reactions (reactions which
214	reached the threshold in less than 20 minutes) was calculated separately for both genes and
215	probit analysis was done on Matlab (R2020b).
216	The EC_{95} was calculated from the probit analysis with its 95% CI. The EC_{95} was defined as
217	the analytical sensitivity of the test.
218	
219	Testing of coronavirus specificity panel
220	RT-RPA protocol (for both real-time and dipstick readout) was followed to test cross-
221	reactivity of the assay with other coronaviruses, namely SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, HCoV-
222	NL63, HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-229E. As the RNA concentrations of the stock RNA
223	received from supplier was unknown, the RT-RPA assays were run with 5 μL of RNA
224	directly from stock. The SARS-CoV-2 RNA sample supplied with the specificity panel was
225	also run with 5 μ L from stock for comparison with the other coronaviruses.
226	
227	Smartphone application
228	The "CovidApp" smartphone application was developed in Android Studio using java
229	libraries. Screenshots were taken from the emulator using a Galaxy Nexus API 28. The
230	complete code for the Android application is available on request.
231	The application opens onto a homepage where the users can choose between three activities
232	"Test", "Alerts" or "Map outbreak". The "Test" activity includes first recording of patient
233	information (patient ID, date of birth, GPS and symptoms). The GPS coordinates are
234	captured in real-time by clicking the button and time and date are also automatically
235	captured. Then, the user can click on the "Take Test Picture" button to get access to the

236 smartphone camera and take a photograph of the lateral flow test. Manual cropping is 237 required to crop onto the result area of the test (where the lines are). Another activity enables 238 image analysis of the cropped image for enhanced visualisation of the test lines and plotting 239 of the test line intensity. Finally, the user can select between the options "three lines", "two 240 lines", "one line", "no line" which records the test result as "positive", "presumptive 241 positive", "negative" or "invalid". If the user test result is "positive" or "presumptive 242 positive", the user is taken to the "Contacts" page when clicking on the "Next step" button. 243 This will enable to record the contacts of the positive case so then can be later reached by the 244 local contact tracing system. Finally, the activity "Map outbreak" opens to visualise the location of the tested case on the map. In the "Alerts" activity, the information of the patient, 245 246 with the test result, can be seen. 247

248 Comparison of four isothermal amplification methods

RT-RPA for visual detection on dipstick was commonly done using a laboratory incubator (New BrunswickTM Innova[®] 42) at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. Other incubation methods were tried and compared including incubation in a water bath at 37°C, incubation on a hand warmer bag (HotHands[®] air activated) releasing heat at ~36°C after activation and by holding the tube in the hand. The positive and negative reactions using these different incubation methods were all done in parallel with an incubation time of 20 minutes. Then, the reactions were analysed on dipsticks following the dipstick readout protocol.

256

257 **Results**

258 Gene screening for detection of SARS-CoV-2 by real-time fluorescence

A pair of RPA primers and a fluorescent "exo" probe (Supplementary Table 1) were designed

260 to target four conserved regions of the SARS-CoV-2 genome in the nucleocapsid (N) gene,

261 the envelope (E) gene, the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) gene and the open-262 reading frame 1a/b (Orf1ab). The RPA assay design was optimised for amplicon size of ~200 263 bp and long primers of ~30 bp. Preliminary BLAST analysis indicated that these pairs and 264 probes specifically detect SARS-CoV-2. 265 A preliminary gene screening aimed to identify the best two primers/probe sets among these 266 four targets, able to achieve rapid and sensitive detection of SARS-CoV-2 in a real-time RPA 267 assay. The gene screening was conducted with cDNA controls rapidly made available by 268 suppliers (Supplementary Fig. 2a). A single fluorescence threshold was used to compare the 269 four targets. All reactions using template, except one (50 copies for the N gene), showed 270 successful amplification of 50, 500 and 5,000 copies with fluorescent signals reaching the 271 threshold in less than 30 minutes (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Then, the average time to 272 threshold was determined for each gene and it was used to compare them (Supplementary 273 Fig. 2c). The two genes with the shortest average time to threshold with 50 copies of cDNA 274 were the E gene, in 14 minutes, and the RdRP gene, in 19 minutes. The Orf1ab gene was 275 slightly slower than RdRP gene, while the N gene showed particularly low sensitivity in the 276 RPA protocol and did not reach the threshold with 50 copies. Eventually, the E and RdRP 277 genes were selected and multiplexed to make an in-house duplex RT-RPA protocol to detect 278 SARS-CoV-2 virus. An analysis of genome variations (determined from 5139 sequenced 279 genomes deposited on https://www.gisaid.org/) for the selected primers and probes confirmed 280 that they target conserved regions of the SARS-CoV-2 genome with low variability 281 comprised between 0.1-0.5% (Supplementary Fig. 3).

282

283 Development of the duplex RT-RPA platforms

284 The RT-RPA assay was developed with two complementary detection systems (Fig. 1a).

First, an optical fluorescent readout similar to qRT-PCR that uses fluorescent probes to

286 monitor real-time amplification of the target was made by multiplexing fluorophores to 287 simultaneously detect the amplicons of the E gene with FAM and RdRP gene with HEX (Fig 288 1.c). The fluorescent probe was designed as a short ~45-50 oligonucleotide sequence, 289 complementary to the target sequence. The fluorescent probe included a fluorophore and a 290 proximal quencher, separated by a tetrahydrofuran (THF) residue. When the fluorescent 291 probe recognised the target sequence, it annealed and was cleaved at the THF site by the 292 exonuclease contained in the exo RPA reaction. As the fluorophore was released from its 293 quencher, a fluorescent signal was produced and recorded on a multi-channel and portable 294 fluorescence reader. 295 A second detection method was developed using dipsticks to detect the amplicons on a 296 nitrocellulose strip using nanoparticle labels. The dipstick-based platform was developed to 297 be as low-cost and minimalist as possible. The primer sequences used were the same as for 298 the real-time fluorescence readout above, but these primers were modified with small 299 molecules to mediate capture of the amplicons on the test lines of the dipstick 300 (Supplementary Table 1). Optimisation of the primer concentration was needed to eliminate 301 non-specific binding on the test lines (Supplementary Fig. 4) attributed to binding of 302 dimerised primers when used in excess (> 1 μ M). After the amplification was performed, 303 detection of the two amplicons was possible on two distinct test lines: (1) for the E gene, (2) 304 for the RdRP gene and a control line (C) provided confirmation that the test had worked 305 properly (Fig. 1d).

306

307 Evaluation of the RT-RPA assay with real-time fluorescence detection

308 The analytical sensitivity was measured for the real-time RT-RPA assay and defined as the

309 concentration of analyte, here synthetic SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA copies per reaction, that can

310 be detected \geq 95% of the time (< 5% false negative rate).

311	To determine the analytical sensitivity of the RT-RPA fluorescence readout two thresholds
312	were calculated, to account for the different background fluorescence of the FAM and HEX
313	fluorophores (see Material and methods section). The resulting thresholds were 112 for the E
314	gene (FAM) and 13 for the RdRP gene (HEX). RT-RPA reactions were run for different
315	RNA inputs ranging from 1 copy to 10 ⁵ copies and real-time fluorescence was recorded. The
316	time to threshold was determined for reactions reaching threshold in 20 minutes of
317	amplification (Fig. 2a). The amplification time was fixed at 20 minutes, as the assay was able
318	to detect as little as 1 RNA. To measure the analytical sensitivity of both genes, we calculated
319	the fraction positive to find and plot the EC_{95} (see "Methods" section). The analytical
320	sensitivity was 9.5 RNA copies per reaction (95% CI: 7.0-18) for the E gene and 17 RNA
321	copies per reaction (95% CI: 11-93) for the RdRP gene (Fig. 2b).
322	The specificity of the RT-RPA assay was tested with model samples against common
323	seasonal coronaviruses, namely HCoV-NL63, HCoV-OC63 and HCoV-229E, as their
324	symptoms could be easily confused with COVID-19, and we also tested cross-reactivity with
325	SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, as they are closely related viruses.
326	No cross-reactivity was observed with the primers/probe set targeting the E gene and the
327	RdRP gene when tested with SARS-CoV- and MERS-CoV and the common colds (Fig. 2c
328	and Fig. 2d). A slight increase in background signal could be observed, although remaining
329	comparable to the non-template control (NTC) reaction and the signal remained below the
330	thresholds.
331	
332	Fig. 2 Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of the RT-RPA assay with real-time
333	fluorescence detection.
334	

335 Evaluation of the RT-RPA assay with visual dipstick detection

336	The analytical sensitivity of the dipstick detection method was approximated by running a
337	range of RNA inputs, from 1 to 10 ⁵ copies. Six replicates were performed (Supplementary
338	Fig. 5) of which one representative dipstick per RNA concentration is shown in Fig. 3a. The
339	test line intensity analysis was used to quantify test line intensity. Single-copy detection was
340	possible for 2/6 repeats (33%), giving in a positive result, defined as both test lines visible by
341	eye or with image analysis. The probit analysis was performed to determine the analytical
342	sensitivity of the assay using the fraction positive (Fig. 3b). The analytical sensitivity of the
343	dipstick method was 130 (95% CI: 82-500) RNA copies per reaction.
344	The specificity of the dipstick detection method was assessed against the common seasonal
345	coronaviruses, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Fig. 3c). The dipstick showed high specificity
346	for only SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA and no cross-reactivity was seen with the other
347	coronaviruses.
348	
348 349	Fig. 3 Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of the RT-RPA assay with dipstick
	Fig. 3 Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of the RT-RPA assay with dipstick detection.
349	
349 350	
349 350 351	detection.
349 350 351 352	detection. Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method
 349 350 351 352 353 	detection. Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method We investigated the potential of the RT-RPA assay for detection of SARS-CoV-2 at the
 349 350 351 352 353 354 	detection. Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method We investigated the potential of the RT-RPA assay for detection of SARS-CoV-2 at the point-of-care with the dipstick readout, a format that could dramatically widen access to
 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 	detection. Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method We investigated the potential of the RT-RPA assay for detection of SARS-CoV-2 at the point-of-care with the dipstick readout, a format that could dramatically widen access to testing in decentralised settings.
 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 	detection. Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method We investigated the potential of the RT-RPA assay for detection of SARS-CoV-2 at the point-of-care with the dipstick readout, a format that could dramatically widen access to testing in decentralised settings. The tests could be read visually by eye. In addition, we developed a smartphone application

360 lines intensity analysis of the dipstick and a record of the test results. If the test is "Positive"

or "Presumptive Positive" the user could insert the names of close contacts for contact tracing
purposes. The application also included geographic visualisation of the tested patients to map
'hotspots'.

364

365 The major advantage of RPA, compared to other approaches such as PCR and LAMP, is its 366 isothermal amplification at ~37°C. We investigated the potential of different incubation 367 methods which could be more suitable for point-of-care settings. RT-RPA was performed to 368 detect 100 copies of RNA using four incubation approaches: an incubator, a water bath, a 369 disposable hand warmer bag and simply holding the tube in our hands. Incubators and water 370 baths are often found in well-equipped laboratories, but we also tried using a low-cost hand 371 warmer bag (based on an exothermic reaction shown to deliver a constant temperature of 372 \sim 36-37°C for several hours²⁷) and holding the tube in one hand (using body temperature 373 \sim 37°C) to show inexpensive and equipment-free alternatives. The results are shown in Fig. 374 4b. While amplification in the incubator seemed to show the best results with two test lines 375 visible on the dipstick, two test lines were also visible for the reaction incubated in the water 376 bath, although slightly fainter. The reactions incubated on a hand warmer bag and handheld 377 appeared less sensitive, showing only a signal on test line (1). However, we proved that very simple methods could be successfully used to amplify SARS-CoV-2 RNA via RT-RPA and 378 379 visual dipstick detection.

380

Finally, preliminary analysis was performed to assess the potential of the dipstick test to be compatible with mock clinical samples, using human saliva with spiked RNA transcripts to mimic mouth swabs (Fig. 4c). Saliva is an easy specimen for self-collection that has been FDA-approved for molecular testing of COVID-19²⁸. The E gene was clearly detectable on test line (1) with \geq 1 RNA copy per reaction, and a faint signal was seen on test line (2) for

16

the RdRP gene with 1 and 100 RNA copies per reaction. Two strong test lines were visible for 10⁵ copies per reaction. Therefore, the findings of this small study suggest that conducting the assay in saliva compared to buffer did not have a substantial impact on the assay sensitivity.

390

Fig. 4 Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method.

392 **Discussion**

Herein we report the development and evaluation of a rapid (25-35 minutes), multiplexed molecular diagnostic for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-RPA. The test was presented with two complementary detection methods: real-time fluorescence using a portable reader and visual dipstick readout on a low-cost nitrocellulose strip. The test showed high sensitivity and high specificity for both readouts. The detection method by dipstick was further investigated for point-of-care and decentralised testing using different incubation methods and a smartphone

point of our out of and accontant of the sound ability and a sing

399 application to capture, analyse and connect test results.

400

The development of the multiplex isothermal RT-RPA assay started by selecting two optimal
targets, in the E gene and RdRP gene, for rapid and ultrasensitive detection of SARS-CoV-2.
Detecting several targets in a multiplex test was done to increase the robustness of the assay,

404 as a common strategy also seen with PCR protocols (Supplementary Fig. 1).

405

406 Isothermal fluorescence readers are usually available in centralised laboratories; however,

407 they are not necessarily found in decentralised laboratories and low-resource settings. For this

408 reason, we developed a second readout format, using a dipstick. Dipsticks are portable, cost-

409 effective and user-friendly tools that can detect RPA amplicons with minimal equipment and

410 the test result can be seen with the naked eye. Here only a tube or microplate to mix the RT-

411 RPA reaction with the buffer and a pipette to apply the mix on the dipstick was needed. 412 Giving the option of two alternative readouts with their own advantages aimed to make 413 molecular testing more widely accessible and suitable for decentralised testing. 414 415 The amplification time for the RT-RPA assay was set to run for 20 minutes as it was enough 416 to achieve single-copy detection of the E gene with real-time fluorescence and visual dipstick 417 readouts, showing the ultrasensitive potential of the test. The analytical sensitivities for the E 418 and RdRP genes comparable with those reported by Charité for its qRT-PCR assay which 419 were 5.2 copy per reaction (95% CI: 3.7-9.6) for the E gene and 3.8 copy per reaction (95% 420 CI: 2.7-7.6) for the RdRP gene, in comparison to 9.5 RNA copies per reaction (95% CI: 7.0-

421 18) for the E gene and 17 RNA copies per reaction (95% CI: 11-93) for the RdRP gene

422 reported herein. It was necessary to achieve high sensitivity for the molecular test to detect

423 viral loads that are clinically relevant for the disease, here COVID-19. The RT-RPA assay

424 was shown to be highly specific to SARS-CoV-2, with no observed cross-reactivity with the

425 closely related coronaviruses tested, such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-

426 OC43 and HCoV-229E. This high specificity was demonstrated for both detection methods

427 and reduces the risk of false positives with closely related viruses.

428

The prototype smartphone application was proposed as a powerful tool for data capture, analysis and visualisation when testing in decentralised settings. Smartphones are widely accessible, easy-to-use and can act as a substitute to sophisticated laboratory equipment as they integrate a high-resolution camera, large data storage space, real-time location and connectivity. Altogether, these features make health-related smartphone applications attractive accessories to elevate point-of-care diagnostic tests. Moreover, the use of inexpensive methods for incubation at 37°C of the RT-RPA reaction for detection on

18

436 dipsticks, especially with a hand warmer bag (\sim \$0.5) and using body temperature,

437 emphasised the simplicity of the assay.

438

439 Conclusion

440 To close, we have developed an ultrasensitive and specific diagnostic for SARS-CoV-2 viral 441 RNA using isothermal RPA technology, and proposed two different detection methods, both 442 showing high accuracy. While real-time fluorescence detection developed here offers more 443 sensitivity and faster results (10 minutes faster than dipstick method), the proposed detection 444 on dipsticks appeared as the best method for decentralised testing. Having an alternative to 445 qRT-PCR that is comparable in performance, but with a shorter time-to-result, using different 446 supply chains, requiring less equipment and non-extensive laboratory experience could help 447 to alleviate the pressure on healthcare systems and curb the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide. 448

Further test development will include clinical validation of the RT-RPA assay with clinical samples with cross-validation of the developed assay with qRT-PCR results to determine the clinical sensitivity and specificity of the test. Preliminary analysis of the dipstick readout with human saliva showed no cross-reaction between mock clinical samples and the RT-RPA assay, hence saliva appeared as a good specimen candidate for a non-invasive test using mouth swab. In future, the adaption of multiplexed gene analysis to detect the S gene could

455 help to track the proportion of new variants of concern and the impact of COVID-19456 vaccinations.

457

458 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the European Virus Archive (EVAg) for providing thecoronavirus specificity panel free of charge. The authors thank Eleanor Gray for her help

461	with s	with synthesis of the RNA standards. The authors also thank Valerian Turbe for his help with						
462	the development of the smartphone application. The authors would like to thank Diluka Peiris							
463	for support in reagents and equipment acquisition. The authors thank Erin Manning and Jo							
464	McHugh for support in communication and project management.							
465								
466	Refe	References						
467	1.	Wu, F. et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China.						
468		Nature (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3						
469	2.	Gorbalenya, A. E. et al. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related						
470		coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat. Microbiol.						
471		(2020). doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z						
472	3.	World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's remarks at the media briefing on						

- 473 2019-nCoV on 11 February 2020. Available at:
- 474 https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-

475 briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020. (Accessed: 25th August 2020)

- 476 4. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard.
- 477 Available at:
- 478 https://covid19.who.int/?gclid=Cj0KCQjw7ZL6BRCmARIsAH6XFDI6_x2M3aCcbu
- 479 kdjbY-VVqN2TONEsTcjuRMEu7A6nqss4ydtGlcyFsaAj8lEALw_wcB. (Accessed:
 480 8th January 2021)
- 481 5. World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media
 482 briefing on COVID-19 13 April 2020. (2020). Available at:
- 483 https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-
- 484 the-media-briefing-on-covid-19--13-april-2020. (Accessed: 29th June 2020)
- 485 6. Hu, Z. *et al.* Clinical characteristics of 24 asymptomatic infections with COVID-19

486	screened	among c	lose contacts	in Nanjing.	China. Sci	China Life	e Sci (2020)	

487 doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1661-4

- 488 7. Nishiura, H., Linton, N. M. & Akhmetzhanov, A. R. Serial interval of novel
- 489 coronavirus (COVID-19) infections. Int. J. Infect. Dis. (2020).
- 490 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.02.060
- 491 8. World Health Organization. Laboratory testing of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-
- 492 nCoV) in suspected human cases: interim guidance, 17 January 2020. Available at:
- 493 https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/laboratory-testing-of-2019-novel-coronavirus-
- 494 (-2019-ncov)-in-suspected-human-cases-interim-guidance-17-january-2020.
- 495 (Accessed: 25th August 2020)
- 496 9. National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention. 新型冠状病毒核酸检测
- 497 引物和探针序列 (Specific primers and probes for detection 2019 novel coronavirus).
- 498 Available at: http://ivdc.chinacdc.cn/kyjz/202001/t20200121_211337.html. (Accessed:
 499 25th August 2020)
- 500 10. Corman, V. M. et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time
- 501 RT-PCR. *Eurosurveillance* (2020). doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045
- 502 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV)

503Real-time rRT-PCR Panel Primers and Probes. (2020).

- 504 12. FIND. Testing is our first line of defence. (2020). Available at:
- 505 https://www.finddx.org/testing-matters/. (Accessed: 29th June 2020)
- 506 13. Vandenberg, O., Martiny, D., Rochas, O., van Belkum, A. & Kozlakidis, Z.
- 507 Considerations for diagnostic COVID-19 tests. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* (2020).

508 doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00461-z

509 14. GOV.UK. UK reaches 200,000 coronavirus testing capacity target a day early -

- 510 GOV.UK. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-reaches-200000-
- 511 coronavirus-testing-capacity-target-a-day-early. (Accessed: 10th September 2020)
- 512 15. Sheridan, C. COVID-19 spurs wave of innovative diagnostics. *Nat. Biotechnol.*
- 513 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0597-x
- 514 16. TwistDx. Recombinase Polymerase Amplification (RPA). Available at:
- 515 https://www.twistdx.co.uk/en/rpa. (Accessed: 29th June 2020)
- 516 17. Daher, R. K., Stewart, G., Boissinot, M. & Bergeron, M. G. Recombinase Polymerase

517 Amplification for Diagnostic Applications. *Clin. Chem.* (2016).

- 518 doi:10.1373/clinchem.2015.245829
- 519 18. Mori, Y. & Notomi, T. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP): a rapid,
- 520 accurate, and cost-effective diagnostic method for infectious diseases. J. Infect.

521 *Chemother*. (2009). doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10156-009-0669-9

- 522 19. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: FDA
- 523 Authorizes First COVID-19 Test for Self-Testing at Home. (2020). Available at:
- 524 https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-
- 525 fda-authorizes-first-covid-19-test-self-testing-home. (Accessed: 18th November 2020)
- 526 20. Xia, S. & Chen, X. Single-copy sensitive, field-deployable, and simultaneous dual-
- 527 gene detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA via modified RT–RPA. *Cell Discov.* (2020).
- 528 doi:10.1038/s41421-020-0175-x
- 529 21. Behrmann, O. et al. Rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 by low volume real-time single
- 530 tube reverse transcription recombinase polymerase amplification using an exo probe
- 531 with an internally linked quencher (exo-IQ). (2020).
- 532 doi:10.1093/clinchem/hvaa116/5834714
- 533 22. Miller, B. S. et al. Spin-enhanced nanodiamond biosensing for ultrasensitive
- 534 diagnostics. *Nature* (2020). doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2917-1

- 535 23. Wood, C. S. et al. Taking Mobile Health Connected Infectious Disease Diagnostics to
- 536 the Field. *Nature* (2019). doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0956-2
- 537 24. Brangel, P. et al. A Serological Point-of-Care Test for the Detection of IgG Antibodies
- against Ebola Virus in Human Survivors. ACS Nano (2018).
- 539 doi:10.1021/acsnano.7b07021
- 540 25. Budd, J. et al. Digital technologies in the public-health response to COVID-19. Nat.
- 541 *Med.* (2020). doi:10.1038/s41591-020-1011-4
- 542 26. Holstein, C. A., Griffin, M., Hong, J. & Sampson, P. D. Statistical Method for
- 543 Determining and Comparing Limits of Detection of Bioassays. *Anal. Chem* (2015).
- 544 doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b02082
- 545 27. Wang, L. What's inside disposable hand warmers? Chemical and Engineering News
- 546 (2010). Available at: https://cen.acs.org/articles/88/i4/Hand-Warmers.html.
- 547 28. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: FDA
- 548 Authorizes First Diagnostic Test Using At-Home Collection of Saliva Specimens.
- 549 Available at: https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-
- 550 covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-first-diagnostic-test-using-home-collection-saliva.
- 551 (Accessed: 15th October 2020)
- 552

553 Funding

- 554 This research was funded by i-sense: EPSRC IRC in Agile Early Warning Sensing Systems
- 555 for Infectious Diseases and Antimicrobial Resistance (EP/R00529X/1) and associated
- 556 COVID-19 Plus Award. This research was also funded by EPSRC LCN studentship
- 557 (EP/N509577/1).
- 558

559 Author information

- 561 London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, London, UK
- 562 Dounia Cherkaoui, Da Huang, Benjamin S. Miller & Rachel A. McKendry
- 563 **Division of Medicine, University College London, London, UK**
- 564 Dounia Cherkaoui & Rachel A. McKendry
- 565
- 566 Ethics statement: Human saliva used as sample was purchased from Lee Biosolutions. The
- 567 details of the sample, the company and the catalog number can be found in the Material and
- 568 Methods section of the manuscript. Lee Biosolutions provided an ethics statement confirming
- 569 that the saliva samples are obtained from anonymised donors in an ethically-sound method
- 570 and on a voluntary basis.
- 571

572 Author contributions: Dounia Cherkaoui: Conceptualisation, investigation, methodology,

- 573 formal analysis, software, project administration, writing – original draft, writing – review & 574 editing.
- Da Huang: Conceptualisation, methodology, formal analysis, visualisation, project 575
- 576 administration, writing - review & editing
- 577 Benjamin S. Miller: Conceptualisation, software, methodology, writing – review & editing
- 578 Rachel A. McKendry: Conceptualisation, funding acquisition, supervision, writing - review
- 579 & editing
- 580
- 581 Declaration of competing interest: All authors declare no competing interest.
- 582
- 583 **Supplementary information**
- 584 File: Supplementary Table and Figures.docx

Figure captions 1

- 2
- Harnessing recombinase polymerase amplification for 3
- rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 in resource-limited 4

settings 5

- Dounia Cherkaoui^{a,b,1}, Da Huang^{a,1}, Benjamin S. Miller^a & Rachel A. McKendry^{a,b}* 6
- 7 ^a London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 8 ^b Division of Medicine, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 9
- 10 ¹These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 11 * Corresponding author
- 12 Name: Professor Rachel McKendry
- 13 Address: London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, 19 Gordon Street,
- 14 London, United Kingdom
- 15 E-mail: r.a.mckendry@ucl.ac.uk
- 16 Telephone number: +44 (0)20 7679 9995

17 Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the rapid and multiplex RT-RPA assay with real-

18 time fluorescence and dipstick detection.

- 19 a One-pot RT-RPA assay including reverse transcription of the viral RNA and amplification
- 20 by RPA at constant temperature (37-39°C). b Sequences of the primers/probe sets used for
- 21 SARS-CoV-2 E gene and RdRP gene in the multiplex RT-RPA assay with real-time
- 22 detection (blue) and sequences of the modified primers used for the multiplex dipstick
- 23 detection (orange). c Real-time fluorescence detection by exonuclease cleavage of the probes
- 24 for E gene and RdRP gene at their THF residue. **d** Design of the dipstick for multiplexed
- 25 detection of the E gene and the RdRP gene.
- 26

27 Fig. 2 Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of the RT-RPA assay with real-time

28 fluorescence detection.

29 a Time to threshold for positive RT-RPA reactions with real-time fluorescence detection. The 30 dots represent individual values for the positive reactions reaching threshold for the E gene 31 (in green) and for the RdRP gene (in orange). The bars represent the average time to 32 threshold for the positive reactions and the error bars represent the standard deviation. Each 33 RNA concentration was run in five replicates (N=5), only the positive reactions are 34 represented. **b** Probit analysis for the E gene (left, green) and RdRP gene (right, orange) with 35 their 95% confidence interval (CI). The fraction positive was determined from the RT-RPA 36 reactions in a and the probit analysis was done to find the effective concentration at 95% (EC₉₅) for both genes. **c** Validation of the specificity of the E gene primers/probe set against 37 38 SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV (top) and the seasonal coronaviruses (bottom). d Validation of the 39 specificity of the RdRP gene primers/probe set against SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV (top) and the 40 seasonal coronaviruses (bottom). NTC: non-template control.

41

42 Fig. 3 Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of the RT-RPA assay with dipstick

43 detection.

a Evaluation of the sensitivity of the RT-RPA with multiplex dipstick detection. Captures of 44 45 the dipsticks run with a range of RNA inputs are shown with the associated test line intensity 46 analysis. Dipsticks were annotated (--) if no test line was visible ("Negative"), (+-) or (-+) 47 if only one test line was visible ("Presumptive positive") and (++) if both test lines (1) and 48 (2) were visible ("Positive"). One representative dipstick capture is shown here. **b** Probit 49 analysis and determination of the EC₉₅ for the dipstick detection method (taking both genes 50 into account) with the 95% confidence interval (CI). The fraction positive was determined 51 from six replicates (N=6) RT-RPA reactions. c Specificity of the dipstick detection method 52 against SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV (left) and the seasonal coronaviruses (right). Photographs of 53 the dipsticks are shown (top) with the associated test line intensity analysis (bottom). NTC: 54 non-template control.

55

56 Fig. 4 Exploration of point-of-care testing with the RT-RPA dipstick method.

57 a Architecture of the prototype smartphone application "CovidApp". The design of the 58 smartphone application is represented along with screenshots of the different activities of the 59 application. The main activities, including "Homepage", "Test", "Contact", "Alerts" and 60 "Map Outbreak" are described. b Comparison of incubation of four methods for RT-RPA at 61 ~37°C with dipstick readout, including incubation using a traditional laboratory incubator 62 with shaking, water bath, hand warmer bag and handheld (using body temperature) 63 (photographs on the top). The lateral flow test captures are shown (middle) with the 64 associated test line intensity analysis (bottom). c Detection of RT-RPA reactions with mock 65 clinical samples (saliva spiked with RNA). Photographs of the lateral flow test captures are 66 shown (top) with the associated test line intensity analysis (bottom). b and c dipsticks were

- 67 annotated (- -) if no test line was visible ("Negative"), (+ -) or (- +) if only one test line was
- 68 visible ("Presumptive positive") and (++) if both test lines (1) and (2) were visible
- 69 ("Positive"). NTC: non-template control; PC: positive control (100 copies RNA/reaction).







