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# HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF QUASI-CIRCLES 

by Rufus BOWEN ( ${ }^{1}$ )

Let G be the group of all linear fractional transformations taking the unit disk U onto itself. One calls a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ a surface group if $\mathrm{U} / \Gamma$ is a compact surface without branch points. This paper concerns the relation between two such groups $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ yielding the same topological surface. This is a classical and welldeveloped problem [6]; what is novel here is the application of the Gibbs measures of statistical mechanics and dynamical systems.

The groups $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ as above are isomorphic since each is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the surface. Furthermore, for any isomorphism $\alpha: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$ there is an interesting homeomorphism $h: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ of the circle $\mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{1}}=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|=\mathrm{I}\}$ so that:

$$
h(\psi z)=\alpha(\psi) h(z) \quad \text { for } \quad \psi \in \Gamma_{1}, z \in \mathrm{~S}^{1} .
$$

This homeomorphism $h$, which is unique, is called the boundary correspondence (Fenchel and Nielsen, see [11], [14], or [22]). Let $\mathrm{G}^{*}$ be the group of linear fractional transformations taking $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ onto itself. ( G has index 2 in $\mathrm{G}^{*}$ ). We will give a new proof of the following result of Mostow [13]:

Theorem 1. - The boundary homeomorphism $h$ is a linear fractional transformation if it is absolutely continuous.

Now recall the quasi-Fuchsian group $\Lambda=\Lambda\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \alpha\right)$ [6] associated to the pair of Fuchsian groups $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, and a given abstract isomorphism $\alpha: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$. This is a discrete group $\Lambda$ of linear fractional transformations of the extended complex plane $\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}=\mathrm{S}^{2}\right)$ which simultancously uniformizes the surfaces $\mathrm{U} / \Gamma_{i}$ in the following sense:
(i) There is a Jordan curve $\gamma$ in $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ (called a quasi-circle) with $\psi(\gamma)=\gamma$ for all elements $\psi$ in $\Lambda$, and each orbit of $\Lambda$ is dense in $\gamma$.

[^0](ii) There are analytic diffeomorphisms $e_{i}: \mathrm{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{i}$ where $\mathrm{D}_{1}, \mathrm{D}_{2}$ are connected components of $\mathrm{S}^{2} \backslash \gamma$ so that $\alpha_{i}(\psi)=e_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi \circ e_{i}$ belongs to $\Gamma_{i}$ for all $\psi \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha_{i}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma_{i}$ is an isomorphism, and
(iii) $\alpha_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}^{-1}$ is the given isomorphism $\alpha: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$.

The curve $\gamma$ is generally not smooth nor even rectifiable [5, p. 263].


Fig. 1
Theorem 2. - Suppose $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are not conjugate as Fuchsian groups, namely via $\beta \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$. Then the Hausdorff dimension a of $\gamma$ is greater than I . Furthermore $\mathrm{o}<\nu_{a}(\gamma)<\infty$, where $\nu_{a}$ is a-dimensional Hausdorff measure, and $\nu_{a} \mid \gamma$ is ergodic under $\Lambda$.

The paper starts with a variant of the Nielsen development. This associates symbol sequences (generalized " decimal expansion ") to points in $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ in a way determined by $\Gamma_{i}$. The reader familiar with dynamical systems will recognize this as a "Markov partition for $\Gamma_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. Via this construction functions and measures are transferred from the circle (where they have geometric meaning) to the Cantor set of symbol sequences (where they can be analyzed). The paper ends with results on Schottky groups, where the symbolic sequences are quite transparent.

The author thanks Dennis Sullivan for introducing him to Kleinian groups and Hedlund for his paper [9] which motivated the present one.

## I. Nielsen Development.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{G}^{*}$ be a surface group. A piecewise smooth map $f: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ is called Markov for $\Gamma$ if one can partition $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ into segments $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{I}_{m}$ so that:
(i) $f\left|\mathrm{I}_{k}=f_{k}\right| \mathrm{I}_{k}$ some $f_{k} \in \Gamma$, and
(ii) for each $k, f\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}\right)$ is the union of various $\mathrm{I}_{j}$ 's.

Condition (ii) can be rephrased as follows. Letting W be the set of endpoints of the $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ 'ss, consider each such point to be really two points, depending on which $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ it is associated with. Then condition (ii) is equivalent to $f(\mathrm{~W}) \subset \mathrm{W}$. The idea of a Markov map is to replace the action of the group $\Gamma$ on $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ by the single map $f$.

For each $g \geqslant 2$ let $\Phi_{g}$ be a surface group of genus $g$ whose fundamental domain R in U is a regular $4 g$-sided noneuclidean polygon ([9], [12], [18, p. 89]). The Nielsen development is a certain Markov map for $\Phi_{g}$ ([9], [14, pp. 211-217]). We shall construct variants which are more suitable for our purposes.

Each angle of $R$ is $\alpha=\frac{\pi}{2 g}$ and each vertex of $R$ belongs to $4 g$ distinct translates $\varphi(\mathrm{R})$, $\varphi \in \Phi_{g}$. The net $\mathfrak{N}$ is defined to be the collection of all sides and vertices of all translates $\varphi(\mathrm{R}), \varphi \in \Phi_{g}$. This net has the following crucial property:
(*) the entire noneuclidean geodesic passing through any edge in $\mathfrak{N}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{N}$.
Let V be the set of vertices in $\mathfrak{N}$ which are adjacent in $\mathfrak{N}$ to vertices of R but are not themselves vertices of $R$. The set $V$ is contained in the set of vertices of the noneuclidean polygon $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}$ which is the union of R plus all the translates $\varphi(\mathrm{R})$ which touch R . The polygon $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$ is convex since each interior angle equals $2 \alpha<\pi$. For each vertex $p$ of $\mathfrak{R}$ let $\mathrm{W}_{p}$ be the set of $4 g$ points on $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ which are the points at infinity of the $2 g$ noneuclidean geodesics in $\mathfrak{N}$ passing through $p$. Letting $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{U}_{p \in \mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{~W}_{p}$, property (*) implies that:

$$
\mathrm{W}_{q} \subset \mathrm{~W} \text { for } q \text { a vertex of } \mathrm{R} \text {. }
$$

Recall now a set of generators for $\Phi_{g}$ ([9], [12], [18]). Divide the sides of $R$ into $g$ groups of 4 consecutive sides; label the $j$-th group $a_{k}, b_{k}, a_{k}^{-1}, b_{k}^{-1}$. Call $a_{k}$ and $a_{k}^{-1}$ (and $b_{k}$ and $b_{k}^{-1}$ ) corresponding sides. For each side $s$ of R there is an element $\varphi_{s} \in \Phi_{\theta}$ so that:

$$
\varphi_{s}(s)=\mathrm{R} \cap \varphi_{s}(\mathrm{R})=\text { side corresponding to } s .
$$

The set $\left\{\varphi_{s}\right\}$ generates $\Phi_{g}$. Let $\mathrm{J}_{s}$ be the smaller segment of $\mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{1}}$ whose endpoints are the points at infinity of the noneuclidean geodesic through $s$.

Lemma 1. - $\varphi_{s}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~W}\right) \subset \mathrm{W}$.
Proof. - The geodesics passing through nonconsecutive sides of R do not intersect. One way of checking this well-known fact is by an area argument. If two such geodesics intersected (perhaps at $\infty$ ), then, they together with $m$ sides ( $m \geqslant 1$ ) of $\mathbf{R}$ would form a polygon containing $R$ and with noneuclidean area less than $m \pi \leqslant(4 g-3) \pi$. This polygon contains at least $2 g$ translates of R , each having area ( $4 g-4$ ) $\pi$. So $2 g(4 g-4) \leqslant 4 g-3$, which is impossible since $g \geqslant 2$.

We claim that $\mathrm{J}_{s} \cap W \subset \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{T}_{s}} W_{p}$ where $\mathrm{T}_{s}$ is the set of vertices in $\mathfrak{R}$ adjacent to an endpoint of $s$. Now $\varphi_{s}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{s}\right) \subset \mathrm{V} \cup\{$ vertices of R$\}$ because $\varphi_{s}(s)$ is a side of R . Hence the above claim would yield:

$$
\varphi_{s}\left(J_{s} \cap W\right) \subset \bigcup_{p,\left(T_{s}\right)} W_{p} \subset W .
$$

Suppose $u \in \mathrm{~J}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~W}$ but $u \notin \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{T}_{s}} \mathrm{~W}_{p}$. Let $\gamma$ be a geodesic in $\mathfrak{N}$ from some $q \in \mathrm{~V} \backslash \mathrm{~T}_{s}$ to $u$. Let $q_{1}, q_{2}$ be the vertices of $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$ on the geodesic $\alpha$ through $s$; let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the vertices of $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$ adjacent to $q_{1}, q_{2}$ respectively and exterior to the domain bounded by $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{J}_{s}$. Let $\beta_{i}$ be the geodesic containing a side of $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$, passing through $r_{i}$ but not $q_{i}$. Then $\beta_{i}$ and $\alpha$ do not intersect as they pass through nonconsecutive sides of an image $\varphi(\mathrm{R})$.

Since the endpoints of $\mathrm{J}_{s}$ are in $\mathrm{W}_{q_{1}} \cup \mathrm{~W}_{q_{z}}$, and $q_{i} \in \mathrm{~T}_{s}$, one has $u \in \operatorname{int} \mathrm{~J}_{s}$. Therefore the geodesic $\gamma$ must cut the geodesic $\alpha$; this intersection $\tilde{q}$ is a vertex of the net. If $q$ lay between $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$, then $\widetilde{q}$ would be an endpoint of $s$ and $u \in \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{T}_{s}} W_{p}$. Suppose $\widetilde{q}$ lies between $q_{1}$ and $S^{1}$ on $\alpha$.

Consider the region $Q$ in the unit disk exterior to the half disks bounded by the geodesics $\alpha, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$. Because $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are sides of the convex polygon $\widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$, the point $q \in \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}$ above lies in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Because $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is convex the geodesic $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \gamma$ from $q$ to $\tilde{q}$ lies in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. $\tilde{\gamma}$ must now intersect $\widehat{r_{1} q_{1}}$; this intersection is a vertex of the next, hence $r_{1}$ or $q_{1}$. Either case gives a contradiction.

Let $v$ be a vertex of R , belonging to the sides $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ of R . We will construct a segment $\mathrm{J}(v) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{J}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~J}_{s^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $p, p^{\prime} \in \mathrm{V}$ be the vertices of the net $\mathfrak{R}$ adjacent to $v$ in $\mathfrak{N}$ and lying on the geodesics $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ through $s$ and $s^{\prime}$. Choose vertices $q, q^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ so that $q, p, v, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ are consecutive vertices of a translate $\varphi(\mathrm{R}), \varphi \in \Phi_{g}$. The geodesics $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ containing $\overparen{p q}, \overparen{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}$ do not intersect; they intersect $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ at points $w(v), w^{\prime}(v) \in \operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{J}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~J}_{s^{\prime}}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{J}(v)$ be the interval $\left[w(v), w^{\prime}(v)\right] \subset \mathrm{S}^{1}$. The endpoints $w(v), w^{\prime}(v)$ of $\mathrm{J}(v)$ are in W ; arguments analogous to the proof of Lemma i show that $\mathrm{W} \cap$ int $\mathrm{J}(v)=\varnothing$.

Theorem 0. - A surface group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{G}$ has transitive Markov maps on $\mathrm{S}^{1}$.
Proof. - For some $g \geqslant 2$ there is an isomorphism A: $\Gamma \rightarrow \Phi_{g}$ and a boundary correspondence $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ so that $\mathrm{H}(\psi z)=\mathrm{A}(\psi) \mathrm{H}(z)$ for $\psi \in \Gamma, z \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$. It is enough to produce Markov maps for $\Phi_{g}$ and pull them back by H .

The set $\mathrm{WCS} \mathrm{S}^{1}$ above partitions $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ into closed segments $\mathrm{I}_{1} ; \ldots, \mathrm{I}_{m}$. By Lemma I we get a Markov $f$ simply by requiring:

$$
f\left|\mathrm{I}_{k}=\varphi_{s}\right| \mathrm{I}_{k} \quad \text { where } \quad \mathrm{J}_{s} \supset \mathrm{I}_{k} .
$$

Since some $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ 's belong to more than one $\mathrm{J}_{s}$, there are a number of ways of doing this. Letting $v, v^{\prime}$ be the vertices of side $s$ we can arrange that:
(i) the set $\widetilde{J}_{s}$ where $f=\varphi_{s}$ is a closed segment with

$$
\operatorname{int} \mathrm{J}_{s} \supset \widetilde{\mathrm{~J}}_{s} \supset \mathrm{~J}_{s} \backslash\left(\mathrm{~J}(v) \cup \mathrm{J}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

and:
(ii) either $\mathrm{J}(v) \subset \widetilde{J}_{s}$ or

$$
\operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{J}(v) \cap \widetilde{J}_{s}\right)=\varnothing \text { as desired. }
$$

This is possible since the interval $\mathrm{J}(v)$ is an $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ and $\mathrm{J}(v) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{J}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~J}_{s^{\prime}}\right)$ for $v$ a common vertex of $s$ and $s^{\prime}$. The flexibility stated in (ii) will be important later. From now on $f$ will denote a Markov map for $\Phi_{g}$ chosen as in (8).

Let us write $k \rightarrow j$ if $f\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}\right) \supset \mathbf{I}_{j}$. Transitivity means that for any $k, j$ one can find $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ so that:

$$
k=x_{0} \rightarrow x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow x_{n-1} \rightarrow x_{n}=j .
$$

This is the same as saying that $f^{n}\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}\right) \supset \mathrm{I}_{j}$. First we claim that some iterate $f^{n}\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}\right)$ contains $\mathrm{J}(v)$ for some vertex $v$ of R. Otherwise $f$ is continuous and one-to-one on $f^{n}\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}\right)$, and $f^{n+1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{k}\right)$ is an interval longer than $f^{n}\left(\mathrm{I}_{k}\right)$ by a factor $\inf \left|f^{\prime}\right|>\mathrm{I}$ everywhere. This cannot continue indefinitely.

Given a vertex $v$ of R and a translate $\mathrm{S}=\varphi(\mathrm{R})$ containing $v$ we will construct a segment $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{~S}) \subset \mathrm{S}^{1}$ with endpoints in W . Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, v, q_{3}, q_{4}$ be consecutive vertices of S . Continue the directed geodesic rays $\overparen{q_{2} q_{1}}$ and $\overparen{q_{3} q_{4}}$ until they intersect $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ at $q_{0}$ and $q_{5}$. Let $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{~S})$ be the segment $\overparen{q_{0} q_{5}}$, chosen so that the interior of $q_{0} q_{1} q_{2} v q_{3} q_{4} q_{5}$ is (noneuclidean) convex. Notice that $\mathrm{J}(v)=\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{~S})$ for the translate $\mathrm{S}=\varphi(\mathrm{R})$ opposite R at $v$. The intervals $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{~S})$ are unions of various $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ 's. We say intervals $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{R})$ are of type 0 and $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{~S})$ has type $n(n \geqslant \mathrm{I})$ when there are $n-\mathrm{I}$ translates $\varphi(\mathrm{R})$ between S and R angularly at $v$. In particular $\mathrm{J}(v)$ has type $2 g$, the maximum type.

When $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathbf{S})$ has type $n \geqslant \mathrm{I}$, notice that $f(\mathrm{~J}(v, \mathbf{S}))=\mathrm{J}\left(v^{\prime}, \mathbf{S}^{\prime}\right)$ has type $n$ - $\mathbf{I}$. We now see that some iterate $f^{m}\left(\mathbf{I}_{k}\right)$ of any $\mathbf{I}_{k}$ contains a $\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{R})$. Since

$$
\mathrm{J}(v, \mathrm{R}) \cup f \mathrm{~J}(v, \mathrm{R})=\mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

$f$ is transitive.
Let $\varepsilon>0$ be smaller than the minimum distance along $S^{1}$ between points in $W$. For each $k$ let $\mathrm{D}_{k} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the closed disk containing $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ whose boundary circle $\partial \mathrm{D}_{k}$ is perpendicular to $S^{1}$, with $S^{1} \cap \partial D_{k}$ the two points on $S^{1}$ at distance $\varepsilon / 2$ from the endpoints of $\mathrm{I}_{k}$.

Lemma 2. - If $\lambda=\inf \left\{\left|f_{k}^{\prime}(z)\right|: z \in \mathrm{D}_{k}, \mathrm{I} \leqslant k \leqslant m\right\}$, then $\lambda>\mathrm{I}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{j} \subset \operatorname{int} f_{k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)$ for $k \rightarrow j$.

Proof. - $f_{k}=\varphi_{s}$ for some $J_{s} \supset \mathrm{I}_{k}$. Because R is a regular $4 g$-gon centered at the origin, one sees that $\left|\varphi_{s}^{\prime}(z)\right|=1$ on the circle containing $s$ and $\left|\varphi_{s}^{\prime}(z)\right|>1$ inside this circle. In particular $\lambda>{ }_{\mathrm{I}}$. Since $f_{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{k}\right) \supset \mathrm{I}_{j}$ and $f_{k} \mid \mathrm{D}_{k}$ expands distances, $\mathrm{D}_{j} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{1} \subset \operatorname{int}\left(f_{k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{k} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right)\right)$ as subsets of $\mathrm{S}^{1}$. As $f_{k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)$ is a disk with boundary perpendicular to $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ and $f_{k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right) \cap \mathrm{S}^{1}=f_{k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{k} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{1}\right)$, it follows that $\mathrm{D}_{j} \subset \operatorname{int} f_{k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)$.

We will now review the standard construction of symbolic dynamics. A finite sequence $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in\{\mathrm{I}, \ldots, m\}^{n+1}$ or an infinite sequence

$$
x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \in \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\{\mathrm{I}, \ldots, m\}
$$

is admissible if $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{i+1}$ for every $i$. The set of all admissible infinite sequences is denoted $\Sigma_{i} ;$ it is a closed subset of $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\{\mathrm{I}, \ldots, m\}$ and is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. The shift map $\sigma: \Sigma_{f} \rightarrow \Sigma_{f}$ is defined by $\sigma\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}=\left\{x_{i+1}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$.

For $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ admissible, the map $\mathrm{F}_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}=f_{x_{0}}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{x_{n-2}}^{-1} \circ \circ_{x_{n-1}}^{-1}$ maps $\mathrm{D}_{x_{n}}$ onto a disk $\mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{x_{n}}\right) \subset$ int $\mathrm{D}_{x_{0}}$ with diameter $\leqslant \lambda^{-n}$. By induction one sees that $\mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \cap \mathrm{S}^{1}$ is an interval intersecting $\mathrm{I}_{x_{0}}$. Clearly:

$$
\mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \supset \mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)
$$

and so, for $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{f}, \pi(\underline{x})=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a single point of $\mathrm{I}_{x_{0}}$. The map $\pi: \Sigma_{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ is continuous since $\pi\left\{\underline{y}: y_{i}=x_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n\right\} \subset \mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ has diameter $\leqslant \lambda^{-n}$. It is onto because $\pi \Sigma_{f}$ is compact and contains every $z$ not in the countable set $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n} \mathrm{~W}$. (For such a $z$ one has $z=\pi(\underline{x})$ where $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{f}$ is defined by $x_{k}=$ the $j$ with $f^{k} z \in \mathrm{I}_{j}$.)

We now return to the group $\Gamma$. The boundary correspondence $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\mathrm{S}^{2}=\mathbf{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ so that $\mathrm{H}(\psi z)=\alpha(\psi) \mathrm{H}(z)$ for $z \in \mathrm{~S}^{2}$, $\psi \in \Gamma$ ( H is not unique on all of $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ but it is unique on $\mathrm{S}^{1}[\mathrm{II}]$ ). Let $f_{\Gamma}=\mathrm{H}^{-1} f \mathrm{H}$, a Markov map for $\Gamma$.

Lemma 3. - There is an N so that, if $\mu=\inf \left\{\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{N}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|: z \in \mathbf{S}^{1}\right\}$, then $\mu>\mathrm{I}$.
Proof. -- Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, k}=\mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{k}, \quad f_{\Gamma, k}=\mathrm{H}^{-1} f_{k} \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{I}_{\Gamma, k}=\mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{I}_{k}, \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{\Gamma, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}=\mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{~F}_{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}} \mathrm{H}, \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\gamma_{k}$ be a smooth Jordan curve interior to $\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, k}$ and surrounding $\mathrm{I}_{\Gamma, k}$. For $y \in \mathrm{I}_{x_{n}}$ and $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}$, Cauchy's formula gives:

$$
\left|F^{\prime}(y)\right|=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\gamma_{x_{n}}} \frac{\mathrm{~F}(\zeta) d \zeta}{(\zeta-y)^{2}}\right| \leqslant \text { constant.diam } \mathrm{F}_{\Gamma, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, x_{n}}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, x_{n}}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{diam} \mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \lambda^{-n},\left|\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}(y)\right| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, for some N ,

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\mathrm{F}_{\Gamma, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{N}}}^{\prime}(y)\right|: y \in \mathrm{I}_{x_{\mathrm{N}}}, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{N}} \text { admissible }\right\}<\mathrm{I} .
$$

But $\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|\mathrm{F}_{\Gamma, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{N}}}^{\prime}(y)\right|^{-1}$ for some $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\mathbb{N}}$, where $y=f_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{N}}(z)$.
Define the continuous surjection $\pi_{\Gamma}: \Sigma_{/} \rightarrow S^{1}$ by:

$$
\pi_{\Gamma}(\underline{x})=\mathrm{H}^{-1} \pi(\underline{x})=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

Notice that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\Gamma, x_{0}} \pi_{\Gamma}(\underline{x}) & =\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{\Gamma, x_{0}} \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\pi_{\Gamma}(\sigma \underline{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Measures.

Define the real-valued function $\varphi_{\Gamma}$ on $\Sigma_{f}$ by:

$$
\varphi_{\Gamma}(\underline{x})=-\ln \left|f_{\Gamma, x_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Gamma} \underline{x}\right)\right|
$$

Lemma 4. - There are $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $c>0$ so that $\left|\varphi_{\Gamma}(\underline{x})-\varphi_{\Gamma}(\underline{y})\right| \leqslant c \alpha^{n}$ when $x_{i}=y_{i}$, for $i=0, \mathrm{I}, \ldots, n$.

Proof. - The set $\mathrm{E}=\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} f_{\Gamma}^{-j} \mathrm{I}_{x_{j}}=\pi_{\Gamma}\left\{\underline{y}: y_{i}=x_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=0, \mathrm{I}, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a closed segment; $f_{\Gamma}^{n}=f_{\Gamma, x_{n-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\Gamma, x_{1}} \circ f_{\Gamma, x_{0}}$ is continuous on E and $f_{\Gamma}^{n} \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{I}_{x_{0}} . \quad$ By Lemma 3:

$$
\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(u)\right| \geqslant \text { const. } \mu^{n / \mathbb{N}} \quad \text { with } \quad \mu>\mathrm{I}
$$

Hence $E$ has length at most const. $\alpha^{n}$ where $\alpha=\mu^{-1 / \mathbf{N}} \in(0, I)$. The lemma follows because $\pi_{\Gamma} \underline{x}, \pi_{\Gamma} \underline{y} \in \mathrm{E}$ and $-\ln \left|f_{\Gamma}^{\prime}\right|$ is Lipschitz on each $\mathrm{I}_{k}$.

Lemma 5. - There is a constant $d>\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}$ so that the segment $\mathrm{E}_{n}(\underline{x})=\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} f_{\Gamma^{-}}^{-j} \mathbf{I}_{x_{j}}$, for $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{f}$, has length in the interval $\exp \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{x}\right)\right)\left[d^{-1}, d\right]$.

Proof.-Each $y \in \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{n}(\underline{x})$ is $\pi_{\Gamma}(\underline{y})$ for some $\underline{y} \in \Sigma_{f}$ with $y_{i}=x_{i}$, for $i=0, \mathrm{I}, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right| & =\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|f_{\Gamma, x_{j}}^{\prime}\left(f^{j} y\right)\right|=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|f_{\Gamma, x_{j}}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} y\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\exp \left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{y}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma^{j} \underline{x}, \sigma^{j} \underline{y}$ agree in places $0,1, \ldots, n-j$,

$$
\left|\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{x}\right)-\varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{y}\right)\right| \leqslant c \alpha^{n-j}
$$

and

$$
\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{x}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{y}\right)\right| \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c \alpha^{n-j} \leqslant \frac{c}{1-\alpha}
$$

Hence $\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|$ differs from $\exp \left(-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{x}\right)\right)$ by at most a bounded factor; the lemma follows because $\ell\left(\mathrm{I}_{x_{0}}\right)=\ell\left(f_{\Gamma}^{n} . \mathrm{E}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{E}}\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{n}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right| d y$.

The theory of Gibbs states ([7], [16], [19]) constructs a Borel probability measure $\mu_{\Gamma}$ on $\Sigma_{i}$ so that:
(i) $\mu_{\Gamma}$ is invariant and ergodic under $\sigma$, and
(ii) there are constants $b$ and P so that:

$$
\mu_{\Gamma}\left\{\underline{y}: y_{i}=x_{i} \text { for } i=0, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is in the interval:

$$
\exp \left(-\mathrm{P} n+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{j} \underline{x}\right)\right)\left[b^{-1}, b\right] \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in \Sigma_{f}, n \geqslant 0
$$

The map $\pi_{\Gamma}: \Sigma_{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ is one-to-one except on the countable set $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n} \mathrm{~W}$ (where it is two-to-one). Since $\mu_{\Gamma}$ is nonatomic, $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma}=\pi_{\Gamma}^{*} \mu_{\Gamma}$ is a Borel probability measure on $S^{1}$ and $\pi_{\Gamma}$ gives an isomorphism of measure spaces $\left(\Sigma_{i}, \mu_{\Gamma}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbf{S}^{1}, \tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma}\right)$. By Lemma 5 , normalized Lebesgue measure $d \ell$ on $S^{1}$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma}$ differ by a multiplicative factor in $\exp (-\mathrm{P} n)\left[(b d)^{-1}, b d\right]$ on sets $\mathrm{E}_{n}(\underline{x})$. Calculating the total measure of $\mathrm{S}^{1}$ one has $\mathrm{I} \in \exp (-\mathrm{P} n)\left[(b d)^{-1}, b d\right]$; letting $n \rightarrow \infty, \mathrm{P}=0$. It now follows that $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma}$ is equivalent to $d$.

Theorem 1. - Suppose $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic surface groups. If the boundary correspondence corresponding to this isomorphism is absolutely continuous, then the isomorphism is conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{G}^{*}$.

Proof. - Choose consistent isomorphisms of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ with $\Phi_{g}$. Let $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{1}}$ be the boundary correspondence for $\Gamma_{1} \simeq \Gamma_{2}$. As $H$ is absolutely continuous and $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma_{1}} \sim d t, H_{\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma_{1}}}>\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma_{2}} ;$ hence $\mu_{\Gamma_{1}} \succ \mu_{\Gamma_{2}}$ on $\Sigma_{f}$. Since these measures are invariant and ergodic under $\sigma, \mu_{\Gamma_{1}}=\mu_{\Gamma_{2}}$. So $H_{\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma_{1}}}=\widetilde{\mu}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{-1}$ is absolutely continuous.

Since H and $\mathrm{H}^{-1}$ are absolutely continuous, $w(\underline{x})=-\ln \left|\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Gamma_{1}}(\underline{x})\right)\right|$ is defined for ( $\mu_{\Gamma_{1}}=\mu_{\Gamma_{2}}$ )-almost all $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{i}$. Differentiating the equation $f_{\Gamma_{2}}=\mathrm{H}^{-1} f_{\Gamma_{2}} \mathrm{H}$ gives $\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}}(\underline{x})-\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}}(\underline{x})=w(\underline{x})-w(\sigma \underline{x})$ for almost all $\underline{x}$. Now the theory of Gibbs measures ([10], [19], [7, p. 40]) produces a continuous $u: \Sigma_{f} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ so that $\varphi_{\Gamma_{1}}(\underline{x})-\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}}(\underline{x})=u(\underline{x})-u(\sigma \underline{x})$ for every $\underline{x}$. It follows that:

$$
u(\underline{x})-w(\underline{x})=u(\sigma \underline{x})-w(\sigma \underline{x})
$$

for almost all $\underline{x}$. Since $\mu_{\Gamma_{1}}$ is ergodic, $u(\underline{x})-w(\underline{x})$ is constant almost everywhere. Subtracting this constant from $u(\underline{x})$ we may assume $w(\underline{x})=u(\underline{x})$ almost everywhere ( ${ }^{1}$ ).

Lemma 6. - If $\pi(\underline{x})=\pi(\underline{y})$ and $x_{0}=y_{0}$, then $u(\underline{x})=u(\underline{y})$.
Proof. - Find a sequence of indices $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ so that $a_{1} \rightarrow x_{0}=y_{0}$ and $a_{k+1} \rightarrow a_{k}$. If $\underline{x}^{(n)}=a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{1} \underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}^{(n)}=a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{1} \underline{y}$, then $\sigma \underline{x}^{(n+1)}=\underline{x}^{(n)}$ yields:

$$
u\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)=u\left(\underline{x}^{(n+1}\right)+\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\underline{x}^{(n+1}\right)-\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\underline{x}^{(n+1)}\right)
$$

and

$$
u(\underline{x})=u\left(\underline{x}^{(\mathbb{N})}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\mathbb{N}}\left(\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)-\varphi_{\Gamma_{1}}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)\right) .
$$

Now $\pi\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)=\pi\left(\underline{y}^{(n)}\right)$ gives $\varphi_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)=\varphi_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\underline{y}^{(n)}\right)$ and $u(\underline{x})-u(\underline{y})=u\left(\underline{x}^{(\mathbb{N})}\right)-u\left(\underline{y}^{(\mathbb{N})}\right)$. This last expression tends to o as $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$ since $u$ is continuous.

Lemma 7. - Each $\mathrm{H}: \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\mathrm{\Gamma}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{~J}}_{\mathrm{r}_{2}, s}$ is $\mathrm{C}{ }^{1}$.
Proof. - Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}=\mathrm{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, j} \cup \mathrm{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, j+1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathrm{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, r}$. First we show that $\widetilde{u}\left(\pi_{\Gamma_{1}} \underline{x}\right)=u(\underline{x})$ defines a continuous function $\widetilde{u}$ on $\widetilde{J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$. Lemma 6 proves this for each $\widetilde{u} \mid \mathbf{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, k}$. Suppose

[^1]that $p$ is a common endpoint of $\mathrm{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, i}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, i+1}$; let $p=\pi_{\Gamma_{1}}(\underline{x})=\pi_{\Gamma_{2}}(\underline{y})$ where $x_{0}=i$, $y_{0}=i+\mathrm{I}$. Now $f_{\Gamma_{1}}=\varphi_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ on $\widetilde{J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ has image $\varphi_{\Gamma_{1}, s}\left(\widetilde{J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}\right) \supset \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$. Hence one can find sequences $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty},\left\{b_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ so that $\underline{x}^{(n)}=a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{1} \underline{x}, \underline{y}^{(n)}=b_{n} b_{n-1} \ldots b_{1} \underline{y}$ are admissible and furthermore $a_{k}, b_{k} \in[j, r]$. Then $\pi\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)=\pi\left(\underline{y}^{(n)}\right), \quad \varphi_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right)=\varphi_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\underline{y}^{(n)}\right)$ and the proof of Lemma 6 gives $u(\underline{x})=u(\underline{y})$. So $\tilde{u}$ is continuous on $\widetilde{J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$.

Because $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(x)=e^{-\tilde{u}}(x)$ for almost all $x \in \widetilde{\mathrm{~J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$, fixing $p \in \widetilde{\mathrm{~J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}(x) & =\mathrm{H}(p)+\int_{p}^{x} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\mathrm{H}(p)+\int_{p}^{x} e^{-u(t)} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(x)=e^{-\tilde{u}(x)}$.
Lemma 8. - For each s, $\mathrm{H}\left|\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}=\beta_{s}\right| \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ for some $\beta_{s} \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$.
Proof. - Let $p_{i}$ be the fixed points of $\varphi_{\Gamma_{i}, s} \mid \mathrm{J}_{\Gamma_{i}, s}$. Since H gives a local $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ conjugacy between these points, $\tau=\varphi_{\Gamma_{1}, s}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}\right)=\varphi_{\Gamma_{2}, s}^{\prime} s\left(p_{2}\right)$. These points are sources and $\tau>1$ by Lemma 3. Changing coordinates by linear fractional transformations each $\varphi_{\Gamma_{i, s}}^{-1} \mid \tilde{J}_{\Gamma_{i}, s}$ is conjugate to $x \mapsto \tau^{-1} x$ on a real interval $L_{i}$ containing $o$ ( $p_{i}$ is sent to $o$ ). In these coordinates $\mathrm{H}: \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{~J}}_{\Gamma_{2}, s}$ is transformed into $h: \mathrm{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ such that $\tau^{-1} h(x)=h\left(\tau^{-1} x\right)$ and $h(0)=0$. Writing $h(x)=\tau h\left(\tau^{-1} x\right)$ and iterating one gets:

$$
h(x)=\tau^{n} h\left(\tau^{-n} x\right)=x\left(\frac{h\left(\tau^{-n} x\right)-h(0)}{\tau^{-n} x}\right) \rightarrow h^{\prime}(0) x
$$

So $h(x)$ is linear. Unravelling coordinates H equals a linear fractional transformation $\beta_{s} \in \mathrm{G}^{*}$ on $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$.

Finally we prove Theorem r. For two consecutive sides $s$, $s^{\prime}$ of R each of $\mathrm{H} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ and $H \mid \widetilde{J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s^{\prime}}$ are in $G^{*}$. Now there is an interval $I_{\Gamma_{1}, j} \subset \mathrm{~J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s} \cap \mathrm{~J}_{\Gamma_{1}, s^{\prime}}$ which can be in either $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ or $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s^{\prime}}$ depending on the choice of $f$. Since $\mathrm{H} \mid \mathrm{I}_{\Gamma_{1}, g}$ does not depend on $f$, it follows that $\mathrm{H} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{1}, s}$ and $\mathrm{H} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\Gamma_{2}, s^{\prime}}$ are restrictions on the same element of $\mathrm{G}^{*}$. Continuing around the circle one has $H=\beta \mid S^{1}$ for some $\beta \in G^{*}$.

## 3. Quasi-Fuchsian Groups.

This section proves Theorem 2. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \alpha\right)$ as in the introduction. It is classical that $e_{i}$ extends to a homeomorphism $e_{i}: \overline{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{i}=\mathrm{D}_{i} \cup \gamma$ (e.g. [20], p. 121). It is well-known that if $\gamma$ is rectifiable, then $e_{i}: S^{1} \rightarrow \gamma$ is absolutely continuous (parametrizing $\gamma$ by arc length) and so is $e_{i}^{-1}: \gamma \rightarrow S^{1}$ (e.g. [2I, p. 293]). Then the boundary correspondence $e_{2}^{-1} \circ e_{1}: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ would be absolutely continuous. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2 therefore, Theorem I tells us that $\gamma$ is not rectifiable.

Since $\Lambda \mid \gamma$ is topologically conjugate to $\Gamma_{1} \mid S^{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2} \mid S^{1}$, there is a natural Markov
map $f_{\Lambda}$ of $\gamma$ and projection $\pi_{\Lambda}: \Sigma_{f} \rightarrow \gamma$. Since $\Lambda \mid D_{i} \cup_{\gamma}$ is topologically conjugate to $\Gamma_{i} \mid \overline{\mathrm{U}}_{i}$, one finds closed neighborhoods $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, k}$ of the topological intervals $\mathrm{I}_{\Lambda, k}$ by

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, k}=e_{1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{\Gamma}_{1}, k} \cap \overline{\mathrm{U}}\right) \cup e_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}_{2}, k} \cap \overline{\mathrm{U}}\right) .
$$

Then int $f_{\Lambda, k}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, k}\right) \supset \mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, j}$ and the proof of lemma 3 shows that the function

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}=f_{\Lambda, x_{0}}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\Lambda, x_{n-1}}^{-1}
$$

has derivative

$$
\left|\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \text { const. } \alpha^{n} \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}}
$$

where $\alpha \in(0, \mathrm{I})$. (Here one uses topological disks $\mathrm{E}_{\Lambda, k}$ slightly larger than $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, k}$ having the same properties and integrates around $\gamma_{k} \subset \mathrm{E}_{k}$ surrounding $\mathrm{D}_{A, k}$.) As in the proof of Lemma 5 we find a constant independent of $n$ so that:

$$
\left|\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leqslant \text { const. }\left|\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \text { for } z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}} .
$$

(Choose the $\mathrm{E}_{\Lambda, k}$ 's with smooth boundary so that any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{A}, x_{n}}$ can be joined by an arc in $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}}$ of bounded length.)

Lemma 9. - There are positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ so that the following is true:

$$
\mathrm{B}_{c_{1} r}\left(\pi_{\Lambda} \underline{x}\right) \subset \mathrm{D}_{\Lambda}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}}\right) \subset \mathrm{B}_{c_{2} r}\left(\pi_{\Lambda} x\right)
$$

where $r=\mathrm{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, \tau_{n}}^{\prime}\left(\pi\left(\sigma^{n} \underline{x}\right)\right)$.
Proof. - Now $\mathrm{D}_{A, x_{n}} \supset \mathrm{~B}_{d_{1}}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}\left(\sigma^{n} \underline{x}\right)\right)$ for some $d_{1}>0$ independent of $n$ and $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{l}$, since $\sigma^{n} \underline{x} \in \mathrm{I}_{x_{n}}$. By Kocbe's one-quarter theorem take $c_{1}=d_{1} / 4$. Assuming $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}}$ has a smooth boundary (perturb if necessary) there is a $d_{2}>0$ so that every $z_{1}, z_{2}$ in the same $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}}$ can be joined by a smooth curve of length $d_{2}$. Since $\left|\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(z)\right|$ varies by at most a bounded factor on $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, x_{n}}$ one has:

$$
\operatorname{diam} \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, \tau_{n}}\right) \leqslant 2 d_{2} . \text { const } .\left|\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}\left(\sigma^{n} \underline{x}\right)\right)\right| .
$$

Let $\varphi(\underline{x})=-\ln \left|f_{\Lambda, x_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Lambda} \underline{x}\right)\right|$ for $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{j}$. As before $|\varphi(\underline{x})-\varphi(\underline{y})| \leqslant c \alpha^{n}$ when $x_{i}=y_{i}$ for all $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. The inequality $\left|\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant$ const. $\alpha^{n}$ implies that for some $\mathrm{N}>0$

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \varphi(\underline{x})=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}\right) \leqslant-\varepsilon<0 .
$$

There is a unique $a>0$ so that the topological pressure $\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)=0$. To see this consider the variational formula (see [7]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(a \varphi) & =\sup _{\mu}\left(h_{\mu}(\sigma)+\int a \varphi d \mu\right) \\
& =\sup _{\mu}\left(h_{\mu}(\sigma)+\frac{a}{\mathrm{~N}} \int \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}} \rho d \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ varies over all $\sigma$-invariant measures on $\Sigma_{f}$. When $a=0$, one has

$$
\mathbf{P}(a \varphi)=\mathbf{P}(o)>0
$$

and when $a$ is sufficiently large $\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)<0$ (since $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \leqslant-\varepsilon$ ). The formula shows that $\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)$ strictly decreases as a increases; since $\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)$ is continuous in $a$, there is a unique $a$ with $\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)=0$.

Lemma 10. - The Hausdorff dimension of $\gamma$ is $a$. The a-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\searrow_{a}$ on $\gamma$ is finite and equivalent to $\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}$.

Proof. -- Here $\mu_{a \varphi}$ is the Gibbs measure for $a \varphi$. It is invariant and ergodic under $\sigma$ and there is a constant $u>_{\mathrm{I}}$ so that:

$$
\left(\pi_{A}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}_{n}(\underline{x})\right) \in \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} x\right)\right)\left[u^{-1}, u\right]
$$

for any $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{f}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{n}(\underline{x})=\bigcap_{k=0}^{n} f^{-k} \mathrm{I}_{\Lambda, x_{k}}=\pi_{\Lambda}\left\{y \in \Sigma_{f}: y_{i}=x_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=0, \mathrm{I}, \ldots, n\right\}$. Here we use that $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)=0$. The family $\mathscr{D}_{n}=\left\{\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ covers $\gamma$, each member has diameter $\leqslant$ const. $\alpha^{n}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\left(\operatorname{diam} \mathrm{D}_{\Lambda}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{a} & \leqslant \text { const } . \sum_{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\left|\mathbf{F}_{\Lambda, x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Lambda} \underline{x}\right)\right|^{a} \\
& \leqslant \text { const } \sum_{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}^{\sum} \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \text { const } . \sum_{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi \varphi}\right)\left(\mathbf{E}_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \text { const . I. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the Hausdorff dimension of $\gamma$ is at most $a$; restricting ourselves to ( $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ) beginning with a given sequence $\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ the above shows that

$$
v_{a}\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right) \leqslant \text { const. }\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right)
$$

We will now find an $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that the following is true: if $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an open cover of $\mathrm{E}_{m}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{diam} \mathrm{U}_{j}\right)^{a} \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right)$. Since every $\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}_{m}(\underline{y})\right)>0$, this will prove the lemma.

Suppose the inequality is false ( $\varepsilon_{0}$ as yet undetermined). For each $\mathrm{U}_{j}$ pick $z_{j}=\pi_{\Lambda} \underline{x}^{(j)} \in \mathrm{U}_{j} \cap \mathrm{E}_{m}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{U}_{j} \subset \mathrm{~B}_{2 t_{j}}\left(z_{j}\right)$ where $t_{j}=\operatorname{diam} \mathrm{U}_{j}$. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}\right)=-\ln \left|\left(f^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Lambda} \underline{x}\right)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{const}+\mathrm{N} \log \alpha$ where $\alpha \in(0, \mathrm{I})$, there is an $n_{j}$ so that:

$$
\ln \frac{2 t_{j}}{c_{1}} \in\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{j}} \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}^{(j)}\right), \sum_{k=0}^{n_{j}-1} \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}^{(j)}\right)\right)
$$

From Lemma 9 one has $\mathrm{U}_{j} \subset \mathrm{D}\left(x_{0}^{(j)}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}^{(j)}\right.$. Also

$$
t_{j} \geqslant\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} e^{-\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}\right) \exp \sum_{k=0}^{n_{j}-1} \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}^{(j)}\right)
$$

and so

$$
t_{j}^{a} \geqslant\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} e^{-\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}\right)^{a} u^{-1}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(x_{0}^{(j)}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}^{(j)}\right)\right)
$$

Our hypothesis that the desired inequality failed implies:

$$
\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) \geqslant \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{0} \sum_{j}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(x_{0}^{(j)}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}^{(j)}\right)\right)
$$

where $\quad \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{0}=\left(\frac{c_{1}}{2} e^{-\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}\right)^{a} u^{-1} \varepsilon_{0}^{-1}$.
The disks $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda, k}$ were chosen so as to intersect two of the intervals $\mathrm{I}_{\Lambda, j}$ other than $\mathrm{I}_{\Lambda, k}$, one containing each endpoint of $\mathbf{I}_{\Lambda, k}$ (true for $\Phi_{g}$ and then pulled back). By induction one sees that $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, which contains $\mathrm{E}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, intersects at most two other $\mathrm{E}\left(w_{0}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ 's ( ${ }^{1}$. As each of these contains a point of $\mathrm{E}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, the various estimates on measures gives us:

$$
\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \cap \gamma\right) \leqslant \mathrm{const} .\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Since the $\mathrm{D}_{\Lambda}\left(x_{0}^{(j)}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}^{(j)}\right)$ 's cover $\mathrm{E}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$, we now have:

$$
\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)>\frac{\mathrm{const}}{\varepsilon_{0}} \cdot\left(\pi_{\Lambda}^{*} \mu_{a \varphi}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)\right)
$$

For small $\varepsilon_{0}$ this is a contradiction.
The Hausdorff measure $\nu_{a}(\gamma)$ is finite and positive by the above inequalities. Now $a \geqslant \mathrm{I}$ since $\gamma$ is topologically a circle; $a \neq \mathrm{I}$ because then $\nu_{a}(\gamma)<+\infty$ would imply $\gamma$ is rectifiable. Hence $a>\mathrm{I}, \nu_{a} \mid \gamma$ is ergodic for $f_{\Lambda}$ because it is equivalent to $\mu_{a \varphi}$ for $\sigma$ and $\nu_{a} \mid \gamma$ is ergodic under $\Lambda$ because $f_{\Lambda}$ is locally in $\Lambda$. This finishes Theorem 2.

## 4. Schottky Groups.

Let $\mathbf{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{2 p}$ be $2 p$ Jordan curves in $\mathbf{C} \cup \infty(p \geqslant 2)$ whose interior domains are pairwise disjoint. Suppose for each $k$ such that $\mathrm{I} \leqslant k \leqslant p$ we are given a linear fractional transformation $g_{k}$ mapping the domain interior to $\mathrm{C}_{k}$ onto that exterior to $\mathrm{C}_{2 p+1-k}$. Then the $g_{k}$ 's freely generate a Kleinian group $\Gamma$ called a Schottky group [5].

[^2]Let $\mathbf{D}_{\Gamma, k}=\mathbf{C}_{k} \cup$ (domain interior to $\mathbf{C}_{k}$ ), $f_{k}=g_{k}$ for $\mathrm{I} \leqslant k \leqslant p, f_{k}=g_{p+1-k}^{-1}$ for $p<k \leqslant 2 p$, and $i \rightarrow j$ unless $i+j=2 p+\mathrm{I}$. Then $\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, j} \subset \operatorname{int} f_{i}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, i}\right)$ when $i \rightarrow j$ and the set $\Sigma$ of admissible sequences $x \in \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\{\mathrm{I}, \ldots, 2 p\}$ consists of those with $x_{i}+x_{i+1} \neq 2 p+\mathrm{I}$, for all $i$. These sequences correspond naturally to the unending irreducible words in the $g_{k}$ 's and $g_{k}^{-1}$ 's. By a quasi-conformal change of variables the Schottky group $\Gamma$ can be conjugated into another one $\Gamma^{*}$ where the $\mathrm{C}_{k}^{*}$ 's are isometric circles for the $g_{k}^{* \prime s}([4],[8])$. Here $\left|f_{\Gamma^{*}, k}^{\prime}\right|$ is larger than and bounded away from I on each $\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma^{*}, k}$. Defining $f_{\Gamma^{*}}$ on $\bigcup_{k} \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma^{*}, k}$ by $f_{\Gamma^{*}} \mid \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma^{*}, k}=f_{\Gamma^{*}, k}$, one can see there is a unique point $\pi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\underline{x}) \in \bigcap_{j=0} f_{\Gamma^{*}}^{-j}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma^{*} x_{j}}\right)$ for each $\underline{x} \in \Sigma$. The set $\mathrm{L}\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)=\left\{\pi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\underline{x}): \underline{x} \in \Sigma\right\}$ is just the limit set of $\Gamma^{*}$ (see [5]) and $\pi_{\Gamma^{*}}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{L}\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)$ is a homeomorphism of Cantor sets.

For the original $\Gamma$ we now assume the $\mathrm{C}_{k}$ 's came from the $\mathrm{C}_{k}^{*}$ 's by the quasiconformal change of variables. Defining $\pi_{\Gamma}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ by $\pi_{\Gamma}(\underline{x})=\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, x_{j}}\right)$, the proof of Lemma 3 in section I goes through to show $\left|\left(f_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{N}}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \geqslant \mu>_{1}$ on the domain of definition of $f_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{N}}$, for some N . Letting $\varphi(\underline{x})=-\ln \left|f_{\Gamma, x_{0}}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{\Gamma} \underline{x}\right)\right|$ it follows that for some $c>0, \alpha \in(0,1)$, one has $|\varphi(\underline{x})-\varphi(\underline{y})| \leqslant c \alpha^{n}$ when $x_{i}=y_{i}$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. The analysis of section 3 carries over. Things only become simpler because $\pi_{\Gamma}$ is a homeomorphism instead of a surjection which is 2-to-i over some points. The proof of Theorem 2 gives us

Theorem 3. - Let $\Gamma$ be a Schottky group with limit set $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ as above. The Hausdorff dimension a of $\Lambda$ is positive. Furthermore $0<\nu_{a}(\mathrm{~L}(\Gamma))<\infty$ where $\nu_{a}$ is the Hausdorff a-dimensional measure, and $\nu_{a} \mid \mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ is ergodic under $\Gamma$ ( ${ }^{1}$ ).

This theorem and the next one contain a number of earlier known results, namely those in [I], [2], [3], [I5] concerning Fuchsian groups without cusps. Since $L(\Gamma)$ has zero 2-dimensional measure, one has $a<2$ also.

Theorem 4. - Let $\Gamma$ be a Schottky group and a the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$. For $z \notin \mathrm{~L}(\Gamma)$ the absolute Poincaré series $\sum_{g \in \Gamma}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{s}$ converges iff $s>a \quad\left({ }^{1}\right)$.

Proof. - A fundamental domain R for $\Gamma$ consists of the region exterior to all the $\mathrm{C}_{k}$ 's. We omit from the series any term with $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|=\infty$ (at most one). Alternatively, we use a metric on $S^{2}$ to compute derivatives. Recall that $a>0$ was the unique positive number such that the pressure $\mathrm{P}(a \varphi)=0$. It is enough to check the statement for $z \in \overline{\mathrm{R}}$. Under the correspondence:

$$
g_{k} \Leftrightarrow k, \quad g_{k}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow p+\mathrm{I}-k \quad(\mathrm{I} \leqslant k \leqslant p),
$$

reduced words in the $\left\{g_{k}, g_{k}^{-1}\right\}$ correspond to finite admissible strings $x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$. Now:

$$
f_{\Gamma, x_{n}} \circ f_{\Gamma, x_{n-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\Gamma, x_{0}} \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \supset \mathrm{R}
$$

[^3]where $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{\Gamma, x_{0}}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\Gamma, x_{n-1}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, x_{n}}\right)$ is a disk. The derivative of
$$
f_{x_{n}, \ldots, x_{0}}=f_{\Gamma, x_{n}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{\Gamma, x_{0}}
$$
varies by at most a bounded factor over $\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, x_{n}}$, independent of $n$ (using metric on $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, as in section 3). It follows that $\left|\left(f_{x_{n}, \ldots, x_{0}}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{a}, z \in \overline{\mathrm{R}}$ differs by a bounded factor from
$$
\mu_{\text {app }}\left\{\underline{y} \in \Sigma: y_{i}=x_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n\right\}=\mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
$$

As $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ runs over all admissible strings, $f_{x_{n}}, \ldots, x_{0}$ runs over $\Gamma \backslash\{e\}$. So $\sum_{g \in \Gamma}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{s}$ converges or diverges as $\Sigma \mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\text {s/a }}$.

For $s \leqslant a$ this diverges, since the sum for each fixed $n$ is $\geqslant \mathrm{I}$. Because:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \varphi\left(\sigma^{k} \underline{x}\right) \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}<0,
$$

it follows that $\mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant c \beta^{n}$ for some $c>0, \beta \in(0, \mathrm{I})$. If $s>a$, then

$$
\frac{\mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{s / a}}{\mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} \leqslant \mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\left(\frac{s}{a}-1\right)} \leqslant \tau \widetilde{c} \widetilde{\beta}^{n}, \quad \widetilde{\beta} \in(0,1)
$$

and $\sum_{n \text { ixed }} \mu\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{s / a} \leqslant \widetilde{c} \widetilde{\beta}^{n}$.

## Remarks:

a) Let T be a finite union of pairwise disjoint rectifiable arcs with endpoints on the boundaries of the $\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, k}$ 's and not intersecting the interior of the $\mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, k}$ 's. Choose T so that two endpoints are on each $\partial \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}, k}, \mathrm{~S}^{2} \backslash\left(\mathrm{~T} \cup \bigcup_{k} \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, k}\right)$ has two connected components (each simply connected), and $f_{k}$ takes the two endpoints of T on $\partial \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, k}$ onto the two on $\partial \mathrm{D}_{\Gamma, p+1-k}$. Then $\gamma=\mathrm{L}(\Gamma) \cup \bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} g(\mathrm{~T})$ is a quasi-circle for $\Gamma$. The proof above shows that $\gamma$ is rectifiable iff the Hausdorff dimension $a$ of $\mathrm{L}(\Gamma)$ is less than I . Furthermore, if $\Gamma$ had a rectifiable quasi-circle $\gamma$, then the above constructs $\gamma$ from $\mathrm{T}=\boldsymbol{\gamma} \backslash\left(\mathrm{U}_{k} \operatorname{int} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}, k}\right)$.
b) Finally, we mention a zeta function. For $g \in \Gamma, g \neq e$, let $\rho(g)=g^{\prime}(p)$ where $p$ is the fixed source of $g$. Then $\rho(g)$ is constant on conjugacy classes. Call a conjugacy class primitive if $g$ in the class cannot be written as $h^{n}$ with $h \in \Gamma, n>\mathbf{I}$. The function:

$$
\zeta_{\Gamma}(s)=\prod_{\substack{\text { primitivive } \\ \text { clases }}}\left(\mathrm{I}-\rho(g)^{s}\right)
$$

converges for $\operatorname{Re} s>a$ and continues to a meromorphic function on all of $\mathbf{C}$ (the values of $\rho(g)^{s}$ being chosen in a proper consistent fashion). This is seen by the method of Ruelle [17]. The zeta function above is of course an analogue of Selberg's zeta function for surface groups. Ruelle's paper generalizes the meromorphy of Selberg's function. Presumably, the Nielsen development and Ruelle's method gives meromorphy of $\zeta_{\Lambda}(s)$ when $\Lambda$ is a quasi-Fuchsian group corresponding to surface groups, as in section 3 .

Problems ( ${ }^{1}$ ) (added November 1978 by the editor)
a) (Generalizing Ahlfors measure problem). For a (finitely generated) Kleinian group is it true that either the limit set is all of $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ or has Hausdorff dimension strictly less than 2 ?
b) If $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the closure of Teichmüller space in Kleinian groups and $\Sigma$ denotes the Cantor set of symbols above, is there a continuous parametrization of the limit set, $\overline{\mathrm{T}} \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{2}$, so that image $(t, \Sigma)=$ limit set $\Gamma_{t}$ ?

Is the Hausdorff dimension of $\Lambda\left(\Gamma_{t}\right)$ continuous in $t$ ranging over $\overline{\mathrm{T}}$ ?
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[^4]
[^0]:    (*) Partially supported by NSF MCS 74-19388.Aoi. $^{\text {( }}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ At this point one has that the derivative of H is a.e. bounded. It then follows that corresponding elements have the same eigenvalues at their fixed points. It is then classical that the two Fuchsian groups are conjugate (editor).

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ One can ignore this point if he omits the countable set ${\underset{n}{ }}^{f^{-n}} \mathbf{W}$ and computes the Hausdorff measure of the rest using the estimates valid on the open intervals (editor).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) For "Fuchsian Schottky groups" this is contained in Theorem (4.1) and (7.1) of [15] (editor).

[^4]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ These were the last two of over 150 items in a private notebook of problems and questions found in Bowen's papers. (Editor).

