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#### Abstract

The Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces are Riemannian 3-manifolds whose isometry groups have at least 4-dimension and not of constant negative curvature. In this paper we study helicoids and axially symmetric minimal surfaces in the Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces. In particular, axially symmetric minimal surfaces are explicitly classified in terms of elliptic functions. Moreover the non-existence of totally umbilical surfaces in the irreducible Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces is proved.
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## Introduction.

It is a classical result that the maximum dimension of the isometry groups of Riemannian 3 -manifolds is 6 . The maximum dimension 6 is attained by 3 -dimensional Riemannian space forms. There are no Riemannian 3-manifolds with 5 -dimensional isometry group. Moreover, if a Riemannian 3-manifold has 4-dimensional isometry group, then it is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (See Kobayashi [28]).

Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with 4-dimensional isometry group has been appeared in many contexts of differential geometry.
L. Bianchi gave a local classification of such homogeneous metrics [9]. The following 2-parameter family of homogeneous Riemannian metrics on the Cartesian 3 -space $\mathbb{R}^{3}(x, y, z)$ are found by Bianchi [10], E. Cartan [12] and G. Vranceanu [50]:

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}}{\left(1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}+\left(\mathrm{d} z+\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y}{1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}\right)^{2}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The metric $\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}$ is defined on the region $\mathcal{D}=\left\{(x, y, z) \mid 1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)>0\right\}$. Note that for $\mu \geqq 0, \mathrm{~d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}$ is defined on the whole 3 -space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

This 2-parameter family contains all the Riemannian metrics with 4-dimensional isometry groups and metrics of constant non-negative curvature.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ the homogeneous Riemannian 3-manifold ( $\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}$ ) and call it the Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu space (BCV-space, in short).

The BCV-spaces has been appeared in some contexts of 3-dimensional Riemannian geometry. For instance, 3-dimensional naturally reductive homogeneous spaces are either constant curvature or a BCV-space. Three dimensional D'Atri spaces (Riemannian manifolds whose geodesic symmetries are volume preserving up to sign) are of constant curvature or BCV-spaces (see [48]). Every BCV-space is a pseudosymmetric space of constant type (see [14]). Moreover, BCV-spaces provide model spaces of Thurston's 3-dimensional model geometries except solvegeometry (see [47]).

Although, differential geometry of curves and surfaces in 3-dimensional Riemannian space forms has been studied extensively, such geometry in 3-dimensional homogeneous spaces of non-constant curvature has started recently. In these fifteen years differential geometry of BCV-spaces has been paid much attention of differential geometers (See [8] and references therein). In particular, many differential geometers investigate curves and surfaces in BCV-spaces. Here we emphasise that the BCV-family contains Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, spherical space forms, the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ (the model space of nilgeometry) and product symmetric spaces $\mathbb{S}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$.

For example, some fundamental examples of minimal surfaces in Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ are constructed in our previous works [3] [5] and [24, Part II]. Surfaces with parallel fundamental form in the BCV-space are classified by M. Belkhelfa, F. Dillen and the fourth named author [8]. More generally surfaces with higher order parallel second fundamental form in the BCV-space are recently classified by J. Van der Veken [49].
R. Caddeo, P. Piu and A. Ratto [13] and P. Tomter [46] investigated surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{H}_{3}$. Grassmann geometry of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ is investigated by Naitoh, Kuwabara and the fourth named author [25]. Note that Kuwabara studied Grassmann geometries of the Euclidean motion group $E(2)$ and the Minkowski motion group $E(1,1)$. Surfaces with parallel second fundamental form in $E(1,1), E(2)$ are classified by Van der Veken and the fourth named author [27]. The harmonicity of Gauss maps for surfaces in the BCV-space with $\mu \neq 0$ was studied by M. Tamura [45].

Minimal or constant mean curvature surfaces in the product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ are discussed in [32]-[40], [42].
S. Montaldo and I. Onnis [33] investigated invariant constant curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. Integral representation formulas for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ are obtained by Merucuri-Montaldo-Piu [31] and the fourth named author [21], [22].

For integral representation formulas of minimal surfaces in the model space Sol of solvegeometry, we refer to [20]-[21], [26].

In [6], D. A. Berdinskiĭ and I. A. Taŭmanov obtained a Weierstrass type representation for minimal surfaces in BCV-spaces and Sol in terms of spinors and Dirac operators.

Biharmonic curves in BCV-space are classified by Caddeo, Montaldo Oniciuc and Piu [11] and Cho, Lee and the fourth named author [15].

Moreover, since the discovery of holomorphic quadratic differential (called generalized Hopf differential or Abresch-Rosenberg differential) for constant mean curvature surfaces in the BCV-space, global geometry of constant mean curvature surfaces in the BCV-space has been extensively studied [1]-[2].

In this paper we shall study minimal surface equation in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ for a function
$z=f(x, y)$ in the BCV-space. The minimal surface equation for $f$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+Q^{2}\right) f_{x x} & -2 P Q f_{x y}+\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}\right) f_{y y} \\
& -\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(P x+Q y)\left[P^{2}+Q^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2 \delta}(Q x-P y)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\delta=1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), P=f_{x}+\frac{\lambda y}{2 \delta}, Q=f_{y}-\frac{\lambda x}{2 \delta} .
$$

We shall exhibit explicit examples of axially symmetric minimal surfaces in the BCVspace.

As an application of our result, we shall give a characterization of Euclidean and Heisenberg metrics among the BCV-family. More precisely, in the BCV-family, the only metrics which admit all the affine planes $z=f(x, y)=a x+b y+c$ as minimal surfaces are Euclidean and Heisenberg metrics.

It should be remarked that the Euclidean helicoid $z=f(x, y)=h\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)=a \tan ^{-1} g\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)+$ $b ; a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are minimal in general BCV-spaces.

## § 1. Preliminaries

subsection Take two real numbers $\lambda$ and $\mu$ and define the region $\mathcal{D}$ of the Cartesian 3 -space $\mathbb{R}^{3}(x, y, z)$ by

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { the whole space } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mu \geq 0 \\
x^{2}+y^{2}=-1 / \mu^{2}, \mu<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu space (BCV-space, in short) is a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}:=\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\right)$ with homogeneous metric:

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}}{\left\{1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}}+\left(\mathrm{d} z+\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y}{1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}\right)^{2}
$$

This 2-parameter family of metrics includes all 3-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian metrics with isometry group of dimension 4 or 6 except hyperbolic space forms. More precisely, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is (locally) isometric to the following homogeneous Riemannian 3manifolds (See [8], [41]):

- If $\lambda=\mu=0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}^{3}$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$.
- If $\lambda \neq 0, \mu=0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, 0}^{3}$ is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{3}$.
- If $\lambda \neq 0, \mu>0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is locally isometric to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ with left invariant metric.
- If $\lambda \neq 0, \mu<0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is locally isometric to $\mathrm{SL}_{2} \mathbb{R}$ with left invariant metric.
- If $\lambda=0, \mu>0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is locally isometric to $\mathbb{S}^{2}(1 / 2 \sqrt{\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$.
- If $\lambda=0, \mu<0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is $\mathbb{H}^{2}(1 / 2 \sqrt{-\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$.
- If $4 \mu-\lambda^{2}=0$ then $\mathcal{M}^{3}$ is locally isometric to $\mathbb{S}^{3}(2 / \lambda)$.

Here $\mathbb{S}^{n}(r)$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n}(r)$ denote the $n$-sphere of radius $r=1 / 2 \sqrt{\mu}$ and the hyperbolic $n$-space of radius $r=1 / 2 \sqrt{-\mu}(n=2,3)$, respectively. This explicit classification implies the following fact:

Proposition 1.1. A $B C V$-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is locally symmetric if and only if $\lambda^{2}(4 \mu-$ $\left.\lambda^{2}\right)=0$. More precisely, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is locally symmetric if and only if it is locally isometric to one of the following model spaces; $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, $\mathbb{S}^{3}(2 / \lambda)$ or the product spaces $\mathbb{S}^{2}(1 / 2 \sqrt{\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{H}^{2}(1 / 2 \sqrt{-\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$.

## 1.1

(1) Take an orthonormal frame field $\mathcal{E}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$, defined by

$$
e_{1}=\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\lambda y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad e_{2}=\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\frac{\lambda x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad e_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}
$$

with $\delta:=1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$. One can easily check that $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies $\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Here $\delta_{i j}$ denotes the Kronecker's delta;

$$
\delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

(2) The dual coframe field $\vartheta=\left(\theta^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}\right)$ associated to $e=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ is a triplet of 1 -forms which satisfies the condition $\theta^{i}\left(e_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. This coframe field is computed as given by

$$
\theta^{1}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\delta}, \theta^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\delta}, \theta^{3}=\mathrm{d} z+\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y}{\delta}
$$

Note that the 1-form $\theta^{3}$ is a contact form on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$, i.e., $\mathrm{d} \theta^{3} \wedge \theta^{3} \neq 0$, if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$.
(3) Denote by $\mathfrak{X}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ the space of all smooth vector fields on the BCV-space. The Levi-Civita connection $D$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}$ is the differential operator

$$
D: \mathfrak{X}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}\right) \times \mathfrak{X}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}\right) ; \quad(V, W) \longmapsto D_{V} W
$$

defined by the following Koszul formula (See [38, p. 61]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{~d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(D_{V} W, Z\right)= & V \mathrm{~d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(W, Z)+W \mathrm{~d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(Z, V)-Z \mathrm{~d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(V, W) \\
& -\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(V,[W, Z])+\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(W,[Z, V])+\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(Z,[V, W])
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $V, W, Z \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}\right)$. By using the Koszul formula, the Levi-Civita connection $D$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is explicitly given as follows;

$$
D_{e_{1}} e_{1}=2 \mu y e_{2}, \quad D_{e_{1}} e_{2}=-2 \mu y e_{1}+\frac{\lambda}{2} e_{3}, \quad D_{e_{1}} e_{3}=-\frac{\lambda}{2} e_{2},
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{e_{2}} e_{1}=-2 \mu x e_{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} e_{3}, \quad D_{e_{2}} e_{2}=2 \mu x e_{1}, \quad D_{e_{2}} e_{3}=\frac{\lambda}{2} e_{1}  \tag{1.1.1}\\
D_{e_{3}} e_{1}=-\frac{\lambda}{2} e_{2}, \quad D_{e_{3}} e_{2}=\frac{\lambda}{2} e_{1}, \quad D_{e_{3}} e_{3}=0 . \\
{\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=-2 \mu y e_{1}+2 \mu x e_{2}+\lambda e_{3}, \quad\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}\right]=0 .} \tag{1.1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

(4) The Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ is a tensor field on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ defined by

$$
R(V, W) Z=D_{V} D_{W} Z-D_{W} D_{V} Z-D_{[V, W]} Z
$$

The components $\left\{R_{l k i j}\right\}$ of $R$ relative to $\mathcal{E}$ are defined by

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) e_{k}, e_{l}\right)=R_{l k i j} .
$$

The Ricci tensor Ric is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(V, W)=\operatorname{trace}\{Z \longmapsto R(Z, V) W\}
$$

The components $\left\{R_{i j}\right\}$ of Ric relative to $\mathcal{E}$ are defined by

$$
R_{i j}=\operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{3} R_{k j k i} .
$$

The components $R_{i j}$ are computed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{1212}=4 \mu-\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2}, \quad R_{1313}=R_{2323}=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}  \tag{1.1.3}\\
R_{11}=R_{22}=4 \mu-\lambda^{2}, \quad R_{33}=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The scalar curvature $\mathrm{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} R_{i i}$ is $\mathrm{s}=8 \mu-\lambda^{2} / 2$.
For more informations on the BCV-space, we refer to [8]. Our general reference of Riemannian geometry is O'Neill's book [38].

## 1.2

Let us denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu}^{2}$ the Riemannian 2-manifold defined by

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu}^{2}=\left(\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid 1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)>0\right\}, \mathrm{d} \bar{s}^{2}\right)
$$

with metric

$$
\mathrm{d} \bar{s}_{\mu}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}}{\left\{1+\mu\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}}
$$

Here $\mu$ is a real constant. Then the map

$$
\pi: \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu}^{2} ; \quad \pi(x, y, z)=(x, y)
$$

is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers ([37]). One can easily check that the base space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu}^{2}$ is of constant curvature $4 \mu$.

Now let us take a curve $\gamma$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mu}^{2}$ with signed curvature $\bar{\kappa}$. Then its inverse image $S_{\gamma}=\pi^{-1}\{\gamma\}$ is a flat surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ with mean curvature $H=\frac{1}{2} \bar{\kappa} \circ \pi$. This surface $S_{\gamma}$ is called the vertical cylinder over $\gamma([8])$. The definition of mean curvature and flat surface will be given in the next section.

## § 2. Minimal surface equation

## 2.1

Let $S$ be an immersed surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ which is given as a graph of a function $z=$ $f(x, y)$. The position vector field $\boldsymbol{X}(x, y)$ of $S$ is expressed as a vector valued function $\boldsymbol{X}(x, y)=(x, y, f(x, y))$.

The tangent vector fields $\boldsymbol{X}_{x}=\partial \boldsymbol{X} / \partial x$ and $\boldsymbol{X}_{y}=\partial \boldsymbol{X} / \partial y$ are described by

$$
\boldsymbol{X}_{x}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\delta} e_{1}+P e_{3} \quad \boldsymbol{X}_{y}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\delta} e_{2}+Q e_{3}
$$

in terms of the orthonormal frame field $\mathcal{E}$. Here the functions $P$ and $Q$ are defined by

$$
P=f_{x}+\frac{\lambda}{2 \delta} y, \quad Q=f_{y}-\frac{\lambda}{2 \delta}
$$

The first fundamental form I of $S$ is a Riemannian metric on $S$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{I}=E \mathrm{~d} x^{2}+2 F \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+G \mathrm{~d} y^{2}
$$

where

$$
E=\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{x}, \boldsymbol{X}_{x}\right), \quad F=\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{x}, \boldsymbol{X}_{y}\right), \quad G=\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{y}, \boldsymbol{X}_{y}\right)
$$

The coefficient functions $E, F$ and $G$ are computed as

$$
E=\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}, \quad F=P Q, \quad G=\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+Q^{2}
$$

## 2.2

Take a unit vector field $\boldsymbol{N}$ normal to $S$. Namely $\boldsymbol{N}$ is a vector field along $S$ which satisfies

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{x}, \boldsymbol{N}\right)=\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{y}, \boldsymbol{N}\right)=0, \quad \mathrm{~d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}(\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{N})=1
$$

The second fundamental form II derived from $\boldsymbol{N}$ is defined by

$$
\mathbb{I I}=L \mathrm{~d} x^{2}+2 M \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+N \mathrm{~d} y^{2}
$$

where

$$
L=\mathrm{ds}_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{N}\right), \quad M=\mathrm{ds}_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{N}\right), \quad L=\mathrm{ds}_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{N}\right)
$$

Since $S$ is a graph of a function $f$, we can choose a unit normal vector field $N$ as

$$
N=-\frac{1}{W}\left(\delta P e_{1}+\delta Q e_{2}-e_{3}\right), \quad W=\sqrt{1+\delta^{2}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)}
$$

The second fundamental form derived from this unit normal vector field is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
W L & =f_{x x}+\lambda P Q+\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(P x-Q y)-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{2}} x y \\
W M & =f_{x y}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(Q^{2}-P^{2}\right)+\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(P y+Q x)+\frac{\lambda \mu}{2 \delta^{2}}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right) \\
W N & =f_{y y}-\lambda P Q-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(P x-Q y)+\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{2}} x y
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2.3

Let us denote the following matrix valued functions associated to I and II by the same letters I and II, respectively;

$$
\mathrm{I}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right), \mathbb{I}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & M \\
M & N
\end{array}\right)
$$

The solutions $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ to the characteristic equation $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{II}-t \mathrm{I})=0$ are called the principal curvatures of $S$. Recall that the average $H=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) / 2$ of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ is called the mean curvature of $S$. The mean curvature $H$ is computed by the formula:

$$
H=\frac{E N+G L-2 F M}{2(E G-F)^{2}}
$$

A surface $S: z=f(x, y)$ is said to be minimal if $H=0$.
On the other hand, the Gaussian curvature $K$ of $S$ is given by [38, p. 101]

$$
K=K_{\mathcal{M}}+k_{1} k_{2}
$$

where

$$
K_{\mathcal{M}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}\left(R\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{x}, \boldsymbol{X}_{y}\right) \boldsymbol{X}_{y}, \boldsymbol{X}_{x}\right)}{E G-F^{2}}
$$

A surface $S: z=f(x, y)$ is said to be flat if $K=0$.

## 2.4

The differential equation $H=0$ for a surface $S$ defined as a graph $(x, y, f(x, y))$ is called the minimal surface equation in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$. The minimal surface equation is given explicitly by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+Q^{2}\right) f_{x x} & -2 P Q f_{x y}+\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}\right) f_{y y} \\
& -\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(P x+Q y)\left[P^{2}+Q^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2 \delta}(Q x-P y)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, this equation reduces to the one for the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ if $\mu=0, \lambda=1$ ([3]), and for the minimal surface equation of $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ if $\lambda=\mu=0$, respectively.

## 2.5

The minimal surface equation $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ can be rewritten as the following divergence form:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{P}{W}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{Q}{W}\right)=0
$$

## § 3. Minimal surfaces in BCV-spaces

Minimal surface theory in Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ was started with constructing/classifying fundamental examples of minimal surfaces, eg., minimal surfaces of revolution, ruled minimal surfaces, or translation minimal surfaces etc. (For more informations on minimal surface theory in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, we refer to Nitsche's book [35] and Osserman's book [39]).

In this section we study elementary and fundamental examples of minimal surfaces in BCV-spaces.

## 3.1

The following 2-parameter family of Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are investigated by the first named author [4] and T. Hangan [19].
$g_{\eta, \xi}=\frac{1}{1-\left(\eta+\xi^{2}\right) r^{2}}\left[\mathrm{~d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}-\eta(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y)^{2}+2 \xi(y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y) \mathrm{d} z+\mathrm{d} z^{2}\right], \quad \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$,
where $r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$. These metrics have a characteristic property; with respect to which all the planes $z=a x+b y+c$ are minimal surfaces. Note that Euclidean metrics and the canonical left invariant metrics on the Heisenberg group belong to this family. In fact, the Euclidean metric is $g_{0,0}$. The left invariant metrics on the Heisenberg group are characterized as a parabola $\eta=-\xi^{2}$.

It is easy to observe that in the BCV-space, linear function $f(x, y)=a x+b y+c$ are not solutions to the minimal surface equation, for general $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

This implies that general BCV-metrics are not the member of the family $\left\{g_{\eta, \xi}\right\}$.
The Heisenberg metrics $g_{\xi,-\xi^{2}}(\xi \neq 0)$ and Euclidean metric belong to $\left\{g_{\eta, \xi}\right\}$. This property characterizes these two metrics as follows:

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ be a $B C V$-space. Then the surface $z=f(x, y)=a x+$ $b y+c$ is minimal for arbitrary $a, b$ and $c$ if and only if $\mu=0$, i.e, the space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is isomorphic to Euclidean 3-space or the Heisenberg group.
Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$ If $\mu=0$, then the minimal surface equation $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ admits all linear functions as its solutions (cf. [3]).
$(\Rightarrow)$ Conversely, let us assume that all the linear functions are solutions to the minimal surface equation $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$. Then the minimal surface equation $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ with respect to $f(x, y)=a x+b y+c$ is

$$
\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(a x+b y)\left[a^{2}+b^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2 \delta}(b x-a y)\right]=0 .
$$

Since this equation holds for all $a$ and $b$, we have $\mu=0$.

### 3.2 Helicoids in BCV-spaces

Euclidean helicoids can be characterized as a minimal surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ which is a graph of a function of the form $f(x, y)=h\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$. In this subsection we look for minimal surfaces determined by the solution $f(x, y)=h\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$ to the minimal surface equation in BCV-space.

Let $S$ be a surface which is a graph of a function of the form $f(x, y)=h\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$. Put $u=\frac{y}{x}$ for $x \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{x}=-\frac{y}{x^{2}} h^{\prime}, f_{y}=\frac{1}{x} h^{\prime} \\
& f_{x x}=\frac{2 y}{x^{3}} h^{\prime}+\frac{y^{2}}{x^{4}} h^{\prime \prime}, f_{x y}=-\frac{1}{x^{2}} h^{\prime}-\frac{y}{x^{3}} h^{\prime \prime}, f_{y y}=\frac{1}{x^{2}} h^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime \prime}$ are the derivatives with respect to $u$.
Now we insert these data to the minimal surface equation $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ and multiply $x^{2}$ to it, then we have the differential equation

$$
\left(1+u^{2}\right) h^{\prime \prime}+2 u h^{\prime}=0
$$

One can see that general solutions to this ODE is given explicitly by $f(x, y)=h\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)=$ $\alpha \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)+\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.3. The only minimal surfaces in BCV-space which has the form $z=$ $f(x, y)=h\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$ are the surfaces $f(x, y)=\alpha \tan ^{-1}(y / x)+\beta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.1. If $\lambda=\mu=0$, the minimal surface $z=\alpha \tan ^{-1}(y / x)+\beta$ is a helicoid in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$. This surface is minimal in the Heisenberg space as well as in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with metric $g_{\eta, \xi}$.

### 3.3 Axially symmetric minimal surfaces

It is easy to see that the metrics $\mathrm{d} s_{\lambda, \mu}^{2}$ are invariant under rotations about $z$-axis and translations along the same axis. Based on this fundamental property, in this subsection, we classify axially symmetric minimal graphs in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$.

A surface $S: z=f(x, y)$ is said to be axially symmetric if $f$ depends only on $r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$.

Now let $S$ be an axially symmetric graph of a function $f(x, y)=T(r)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{x}=\frac{x}{r} T^{\prime}, \quad f_{y}=\frac{y}{r} T^{\prime} \\
& f_{x x}=\frac{y^{2}}{r^{3}} T^{\prime}+\frac{x^{2}}{r^{2}} T^{\prime \prime}, \quad f_{y y}=\frac{x^{2}}{r^{3}} T^{\prime}+\frac{y^{2}}{r^{2}} T^{\prime \prime}, \quad f_{x y}=\frac{-x y}{r^{3}} T^{\prime}+\frac{x y}{r^{2}} T^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ are the derivatives with respect to $r$. From these, we get the following minimal surface equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right) T^{\prime \prime}+4 T^{\prime}\left[1+\left(1-\mu^{2} r^{4}\right) T^{\prime 2}\right]=0 \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve this equation we put $T^{\prime}=u$. Since $r\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right) \neq 0$, then (3.3.4) is rewritten as:

$$
u^{\prime}+\frac{4}{r\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right)} u=-\frac{4\left(1-\mu^{2} r^{4}\right)}{r\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right)} u^{3}
$$

This is a Bernouilli's differential equation. Now we put $v=1 / u^{2}$ then the preceding equation is rewritten as:

$$
v^{\prime}-\frac{8}{r\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right)} v=\frac{8\left(1-\mu^{2} r^{4}\right)}{r\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right)}
$$

General solutions to this equation are given by

$$
v=\frac{\alpha r^{2}-4\left(1+\mu^{2} r^{4}\right)}{\left(4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}\right)}, \alpha>0
$$

Hence

$$
\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{4+\lambda^{2} r^{2}}{\alpha r^{2}-4\left(1+\mu^{2} r^{4}\right)}=-\frac{1+(\lambda / 2)^{2} r^{2}}{1+r^{2}\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}-\beta\right)}, \quad \beta=\alpha / 4>0
$$

To solve this equation, we need separate discussions according to the values of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. Our general reference on the elliptic integrals is [17].
(1) $\lambda=\mu=0\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,0}^{3}=\mathbb{E}^{3}\right)$ : In this case we have $\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1 /\left(\beta r^{2}-1\right), r^{2}>1 / \beta$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The solution is the axially symmetric surface:

$$
T(r)=\int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}}^{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\sqrt{\beta t^{2}-1}}+c_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cosh ^{-1} \sqrt{\beta} r+c_{1}
$$

Hence the surface is a catenoid in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$.
(2) $\lambda \neq 0, \mu=0\left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}=\mathbb{H}_{3}\right)$ : In the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{3}$, we have

$$
\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{1+(\lambda / 2)^{2} r^{2}}{\beta r^{2}-1}=\left(\frac{k \lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{r^{2}+(2 / \lambda)^{2}}{r^{2}-k^{2}}, r^{2}>\frac{1}{\beta}=k^{2}, k>0
$$

The solution is the axially symmetric surface

$$
T(r)=\frac{k|\lambda|}{2} \int_{k}^{r} \sqrt{\frac{\left(t^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}\right)}{\left(t^{2}-k^{2}\right)}} \mathrm{d} t+c_{2}
$$

This elliptic integral can be expressed by the elliptic integrals in Legendre form of first and second kinds. In fact, the integral

$$
\mathcal{I}=\int_{b}^{u} \sqrt{\frac{\left(t^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\left(t^{2}-b^{2}\right)}} \mathrm{d} t
$$

is represented as

$$
\mathcal{I}=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}(F(\varepsilon, s)-E(\varepsilon, s))+\frac{1}{u} \sqrt{\left(u^{2}+a^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}-b^{2}\right)}
$$

with $u>b>0$. Here $F(\varepsilon, s)$ and $E(\varepsilon, s)$ are the elliptic integrals in Legendre form of first and second kind, respectively;

$$
F(\varepsilon, s)=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left(1-s^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d \alpha, \quad E(\varepsilon, s)=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left(1-s^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d \alpha
$$

The modulus $\varepsilon$ and the variable $s$ are given by $\varepsilon=\arccos \frac{b}{u}, s=a / \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$.
Note that this minimal surface was already given by the first named author's paper [3].
(3) $\lambda=0, \mu \neq 0$ : In this case $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is (locally) isometric to the product spaces. The minimal surface is determined by the solution $T$ to

$$
\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-\frac{1}{1+r^{2}\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}-\beta\right)}, \quad \beta>0,1+r^{2}\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}-\beta\right)<0
$$

Now we put $R=r^{2}$. Since $1+r^{2}\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}-\beta\right)<0$, we have $\Delta_{\beta, \mu}:=\beta^{2}-4 \mu^{2}>0$ and $R \in\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$, where $R_{1}=\left(\beta-\sqrt{\Delta_{\beta, \mu}}\right) / 2 \mu^{2}, 0<R_{1}<R_{2}=(\beta+$ $\left.\sqrt{\Delta_{\beta, \mu}}\right) / 2 \mu^{2}$. Hence the axially symmetric surface is given by

$$
T(R)=\frac{1}{2|\mu|} \int_{R_{1}}^{R} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\sqrt{t\left(t-R_{1}\right)\left(R_{2}-t\right)}}+c_{3}, \quad R<R_{2} .
$$

This is an elliptic integral which can be expressed by the elliptic integral in Legendre form of the first kind as follows:

$$
\mathcal{I}=\int_{b}^{u} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\sqrt{(a-t)(t-b)(t-c)}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{a-c}} F(\varepsilon, s)
$$

where $a \geq u>b>c, \varepsilon=\sin ^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{(a-c)(u-b)}{(a-b)(u-c)}}$ and $s=\sqrt{\frac{a-b}{a-c}}$.
(4) $\lambda \neq 0, \mu \neq 0$. In this case $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is locally isometric to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_{2} \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}=-\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{r^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}}{1+r^{2}\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}-\beta\right)}, \quad \beta>0,1+r^{2}\left(\mu^{2} r^{2}-\beta\right)<0
$$

As in the preceding case, one can solve this ODE in the following way:

$$
T(R)=\frac{|\lambda|}{4|\mu|} \int_{R_{1}}^{R} \sqrt{\frac{\left(t+4 / \lambda^{2}\right)}{t\left(t-R_{1}\right)\left(R_{2}-t\right)}} \mathrm{d} t+c_{4}, \quad R \leq R_{2} .
$$

This elliptic integral is expressed in Legendre form of first and third kinds. In fact, the elliptic integral of the form

$$
\mathcal{I}=\int_{b}^{u} \sqrt{\frac{(t-d)}{(a-t)(t-b)(t-c)}} \mathrm{d} t
$$

is represented as

$$
\mathcal{I}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{(a-c)(b-d)}}\left\{(b-c) \Pi\left(\varepsilon, \frac{a-b}{a-c}, s\right)+(c-d) F(\varepsilon, s)\right\}
$$

where

$$
a \geq u>b>c>d, \quad \varepsilon=\sin ^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{(a-c)(u-b)}{(a-b)(u-c)}}, s=\sqrt{\frac{(a-b)(c-d)}{(a-c)(b-d)}} .
$$

Here we recall that the elliptic integral in Legendre form of third kind $\Pi\left(\varepsilon, \frac{a-b}{a-c}, s\right)$ is given by

$$
\Pi\left(\varepsilon, \frac{a-b}{a-c}, s\right)=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} \alpha}{\left(1+\frac{a-b}{a-c} \sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \sqrt{1-s^{2} \sin ^{2} \alpha}}
$$

Theorem 3.1. The only axially symmetric minimal surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ are the graphs of functions $f(x, y)=T(r)=T\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)$ with $r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$, where
(1) $T(r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cosh ^{-1}>\sqrt{\beta} r+c_{1}, \beta>0$ for $\lambda=\mu=0$.
(2) $T(r)=\frac{k|\lambda|}{2} \int_{k}^{r} \sqrt{\frac{\left(t^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}\right)}{\left(t^{2}-k^{2}\right)}} \mathrm{d} t+c_{2}, k \geqslant 0$ for $\lambda \neq 0, \mu=0$.
(3) $T(R)=\frac{1}{2|\mu|} \int_{R_{1}}^{R} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\sqrt{t\left(t-R_{1}\right)\left(R_{2}-t\right)}}+c_{3} ; \quad R=r^{2} \leq R_{2}$ for $\lambda=0, \mu \neq 0$.
(4) $T(R)=\frac{|\lambda|}{4|\mu|} \int_{R_{1}}^{R} \sqrt{\frac{\left(t+4 / \lambda^{2}\right)}{t\left(t-R_{1}\right)\left(R_{2}-t\right)}} \mathrm{d} t+c_{4}, R=r^{2} \leq R_{2}$, for $\lambda \neq 0, \mu \neq 0$ where $R_{1}=\left(\beta-\sqrt{\beta^{2}-4 \mu^{2}}\right) / 2 \mu^{2}, R_{2}=\left(\beta+\sqrt{\beta^{2}-4 \mu^{2}}\right) / 2 \mu^{2}, \beta>0$.

Remark 3.2. Rotationally symmetric surfaces, i.e., surfaces which are invariant under rotations in $z$-axis in $\mathrm{SL}_{2} \mathbb{R}$ are investigated in [29], [7], [23].

## § 4. Umbilical surfaces

## 4.1

A point of a surface $S$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is said to be an umbilical point (or umbilic) if the second fundamental form is proportional to the first fundamental form. A surface $S$ is said to be (totally) umbilical if all the points are umbilical.

In particular, $S$ is said to be totally geodesic if its second fundamental form vanishes. Totally geodesic property is equivalent to the condition: every geodesic in $S$ is a geodesic in the ambient space, too.

Recall that in the Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$, the only umbilical surfaces are the planes and spheres. Note that planes are totally geodesic in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$.

In the 3 -sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3}(r)$ of radius $r$, the only umbilical surfaces are small spheres and great spheres. In particular, great spheres are the only totally geodesic surfaces.

In the Heisenberg space, Hangan [18] proved the non-existence of totally geodesic surfaces. A. Sanini [43] gave a geometric proof of the non-existence of umbilical surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_{3}$. In [25], a Grassmannian geometric proof for the non-existence of totally geodesic surfaces is obtained.

More generally, the following result is known (see eg., [8]):
Proposition 4.4. The BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ has totally geodesic surfaces if and only if $\lambda=0$ or $\lambda^{2}=4 \mu$.

In other words, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ admits totally geodesic surfaces if and only if it is locally symmetric. Totally geodesic surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, 0}^{3}$ are classified in [8] as follows:

Proposition 4.5. The only totally geodesic surfaces in the product space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, 0}^{3}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ are leaves $z=$ constant and vertical cylinders over geodesics.

## 4.2

Now we study totally umbilical surfaces in the non locally symmetric BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$.

Let $S$ be an umbilical surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ with $\lambda^{2}-4 \mu \neq 0$. Then, by definition, there exists a function $\rho$ such that $W \mathrm{II}=\rho \mathrm{I}$. Namely,

$$
\frac{L}{E}=\frac{M}{F}=\frac{N}{G}=\frac{\rho}{W}
$$

By the implicit function theorem, $S$ is locally expressed as a graph of some function $z=f(x, y)$ defined on a region in $\mathbb{R}^{2}(x, y)$.

The umbilical condition is given by the following system of partial differential equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{x x}=-\lambda P Q-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(x P-y Q)+\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{2}} x y+\rho\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}\right)  \tag{4.4.5}\\
f_{x y}=\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(P^{2}-Q^{2}\right)-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(y P+x Q)-\frac{\lambda \mu}{2 \delta^{2}}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\rho P Q \\
f_{y y}=\lambda P Q+\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}(x P-y Q)-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{2}} x y+\rho\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+Q^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The third derivatives of $f$ are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{x x y}=\rho_{y}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}\right)+\rho\left(2 P P_{y}-\frac{4 \mu}{\delta^{3}} y\right)+\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{3}} x\left(1+\mu\left(x^{2}-3 y^{2}\right)\right)+\frac{4 \mu^{2}}{\delta^{2}} y(P x-Q y), \\
\quad-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(P_{y} x-Q_{y} y-Q\right)-\lambda\left(P_{y} Q+P Q_{y}\right), \\
f_{x y x}=\rho_{x} P Q+\rho\left(P_{x} Q+P Q_{x}\right)+\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{3}} x\left(-1+\mu\left(x^{2}-3 y^{2}\right)\right)+\frac{4 \mu^{2}}{\delta^{2}} x(P y+Q x) \\
\quad-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(P_{x} y+Q_{x} x+Q\right)+\lambda\left(P P_{x}-Q Q_{x}\right), \\
f_{x y y}=\rho_{y} P Q+\rho\left(P_{y} Q+P Q_{y}\right)+\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{3}} y\left(1+\mu\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)\right)+\frac{4 \mu^{2}}{\delta^{2}} y(P y+Q x) \\
\quad-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(P_{y} y+Q_{y} x+P\right)+\lambda\left(P P_{y}-Q Q_{y}\right) \\
f_{y y x}=\rho_{x}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+Q^{2}\right)+\rho\left(2 Q Q_{x}-\frac{4 \mu}{\delta^{3}} x\right)-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\delta^{3}} y\left(1-\mu\left(3 x^{2}-y^{2}\right)\right)-\frac{4 \mu^{2}}{\delta^{2}} x(P x-Q y) \\
+\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(P_{x} x-Q_{x} y+P\right)+\lambda\left(P_{x} Q+P Q_{x}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From these the integrability condition:
$\left(s_{1}\right)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{x x y}=f_{x y x} \\
f_{y y x}=f_{x y y}
\end{array}\right.
$$

of $f$ are equivalent to the system:
$(4.4 .6) Q \rho_{x}+\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}\right) \rho_{y}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} Q \rho^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2} P\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \rho+\frac{4 \mu-\lambda^{2}}{\delta^{2}} Q=0$,
$\left(4.4 .7 \chi \frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+Q^{2}\right) \rho_{x}-P Q \rho_{y}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} P \rho^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} Q\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \rho+\frac{4 \mu-\lambda^{2}}{\delta^{2}} P=0$.
We multiply $P$ to (4.4.6). Next, multiply $Q$ to (4.4.7) and subtracting it from $P \times$ (4.4.6). Then we obtain $P \rho_{y}=Q \rho_{x}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \rho$. Inserting this into (4.4.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{x}=P\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu+\lambda^{2}\right)-\frac{\lambda}{2} Q \rho \tag{4.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we used a fact $P^{2}+Q^{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \neq 0$. Analogously we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{y}=Q\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu+\lambda^{2}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2} P \rho . \tag{4.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (4.4.8) and (4.4.9) together with the integrability condition for $\rho$ ( $\rho_{x y}=\rho_{y x}$ ) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{y}-Q_{x}\right)\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu+\lambda^{2}\right)+2 \rho\left(P \rho_{y}-Q \rho_{x}\right)-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(Q_{y}+P_{x}\right) \rho-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(Q \rho_{y}+P \rho_{x}\right)=0 \tag{4.4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the equations

$$
P=f_{x}+\frac{\lambda y}{2 \delta}, \quad Q=f_{y}-\frac{\lambda x}{2 \delta}
$$

into (4.4.10) we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)
$$

$$
\lambda\left(4 \mu-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-\frac{2}{\delta^{2}}\right)=0
$$

Since we assumed that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is not of constant curvature and $\lambda \neq 0,\left(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ reduces to the equation $\lambda\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-\frac{2}{\delta^{2}}\right)=0$.

Hence the umbilical surface $S$ satisfies $P^{2}+Q^{2}=2 / \delta^{2} \neq 0$. Differentiating this equation we have
$\left(s_{2}\right)$

$$
P P_{x}+Q Q_{x}=-\frac{4 \mu}{\delta^{3}} x, \quad P P_{y}+Q Q_{y}=-\frac{4 \mu}{\delta^{3}} y
$$

Substituting $P_{x}, P_{y}, Q_{x}$, and $Q_{y}$ into this system we have
$\left(s_{3}\right)$

$$
P \rho-\frac{\lambda}{2} Q=0, \quad Q \rho+\frac{\lambda}{2} P=0
$$

We multiply $P$ the first equation of $\left(s_{3}\right)$. Next multiplying $Q$ to the second equation of $\left(s_{3}\right)$. Adding the resulting two equations, we have $\rho\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=0$. Since $P^{2}+Q^{2}=$ $2 / \delta^{2} \neq 0$ we have necessarily $\rho=0$. Hence the surface is totally geodesic. However $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ with $\lambda^{2}-4 \mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ has no totally geodesic surfaces.

Thus we obtain the following Theorem.
Theorem 4.2. The non locally symmetric $B C V$-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ has no totally umbilical surfaces.

Remark 4.3. If $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ is a product space, i.e, $\lambda=0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, the derivatives of $\rho$ are given by

$$
\rho_{x}=\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu\right) f_{x}, \quad \rho_{y}=\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu\right) f_{y}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-\mu}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\rho(x, y)}{2 \sqrt{-\mu}}+c, \text { for } \mu<0  \tag{4.4.11}\\
& f(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\mu}} \tanh ^{-1} \frac{\rho(x, y)}{2 \sqrt{\mu}}+c, \text { for } \mu>0 \tag{4.4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $c$ is a real constant.
Inserting (4.4.11) or (4.4.12) into the umbilical condition (4.4.5), we get the following system of PDE's with respect to $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{x x} & =-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(x \rho_{x}-y \rho_{y}\right)+\frac{\rho}{\delta^{2}}\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu\right)+\frac{3 \rho \rho_{x}^{2}}{\rho^{2}-4 \mu}  \tag{4.4.13}\\
\rho_{x y} & =-\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(y \rho_{x}+x \rho_{y}\right)+\frac{\rho \rho_{x} \rho_{y}}{\rho^{2}-4 \mu}  \tag{4.4.14}\\
\rho_{y y} & =\frac{2 \mu}{\delta}\left(x \rho_{x}-y \rho_{y}\right)+\frac{\rho}{\delta^{2}}\left(\rho^{2}-4 \mu\right)+\frac{3 \rho \rho_{y}^{2}}{\rho^{2}-4 \mu} \tag{4.4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The system (4.4.13)-(4.4.15) for $\mu<0$ is solved by Montaldo and Onnis [34]. Namely, totally umbilical surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{2}(1 / 2 \sqrt{-\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$ are explicitly given in [34]. J. Van der Veken classfied all totally umbilical surfaces in the BCV-space, independently [49].

Remark 4.4. Sanini obtained the following result.
Lemma 4.1. Let $N$ be a Riemannian 3-manifold and $S$ a surface in $N$. Denote by $\psi$ the tangential Gauss map of $S$ :

$$
\psi: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{2}(T N), \psi(p):=T_{p} S \subset T_{p} N
$$

Here $\operatorname{Gr}_{2}(T N)$ denotes the Grassmannian bundle of all 2-planes in the tangent bundle of $N$. Then the tangential Gauss map is conformal if and only if $S$ is totally umbilical or minimal.

The following result is essentially due to Tamura [45]:

Theorem 4.3. The only minimal surfaces with vertically harmonic tangential Gauss map in the BCV-space of non-constant curvature are totally geodesic leaves or vertical cylinders over geodesics. Moreover their tangential Gauss maps are conformal and harmonic.

These two results together with our Theorem 4.2 imply the following characterization.

Corollary 4.1. The only surfaces in non-locally symmetric BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^{3}$ with conformal tangential Gauss map are the vertical cylinders over geodesics.
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