# The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

MARCH 20, 2014

VOL. 370 NO. 12

# Hemicraniectomy in Older Patients with Extensive Middle-Cerebral-Artery Stroke

Eric Jüttler, M.D., Ph.D., Andreas Unterberg, M.D., Ph.D., Johannes Woitzik, M.D., Ph.D., Julian Bösel, M.D., Hemasse Amiri, M.D., Oliver W. Sakowitz, M.D., Ph.D., Matthias Gondan, Ph.D., Petra Schiller, Ph.D., Ronald Limprecht, Steffen Luntz, M.D., Hauke Schneider, M.D., Ph.D., Thomas Pinzer, M.D., Ph.D., Carsten Hobohm, M.D., Jürgen Meixensberger, M.D., Ph.D., and Werner Hacke, M.D., Ph.D., for the DESTINY II Investigators\*

# ABSTRACT

#### BACKGROUND

Early decompressive hemicraniectomy reduces mortality without increasing the risk of very severe disability among patients 60 years of age or younger with complete or subtotal space-occupying middle-cerebral-artery infarction. Its benefit in older patients is uncertain.

# METHODS

We randomly assigned 112 patients 61 years of age or older (median, 70 years; range, 61 to 82) with malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarction to either conservative treatment in the intensive care unit (the control group) or hemicraniectomy (the hemicraniectomy group); assignments were made within 48 hours after the onset of symptoms. The primary end point was survival without severe disability (defined by a score of 0 to 4 on the modified Rankin scale, which ranges from 0 [no symptoms] to 6 [death]) 6 months after randomization.

#### RESULTS

Hemicraniectomy improved the primary outcome; the proportion of patients who survived without severe disability was 38% in the hemicraniectomy group, as compared with 18% in the control group (odds ratio, 2.91; 95% confidence interval, 1.06 to 7.49; P=0.04). This difference resulted from lower mortality in the surgery group (33% vs. 70%). No patients had a modified Rankin scale score of 0 to 2 (survival with no disability or slight disability); 7% of patients in the surgery group and 3% of patients in the control group had a score of 3 (moderate disability); 32% and 15%, respectively, had a score of 4 (moderately severe disability [requirement for assistance with most bodily needs]); and 28% and 13%, respectively, had a score of 5 (severe disability). Infections were more frequent in the hemicraniectomy group, and herniation was more frequent in the control group.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Hemicraniectomy increased survival without severe disability among patients 61 years of age or older with a malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarction. The majority of survivors required assistance with most bodily needs. (Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft; DESTINY II Current Controlled Trials number, ISRCTN21702227.)

From the Departments of Neurology (E.J., J.B., H.A., W.H.) and Neurosurgery (A.U., O.W.S.), the Institute of Medical Biometry and Informatics (M.G., P.S., R.L.), and the Coordination Center for Clinical Trials (S.L.), University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, the Department of Neurology, University of Ulm, University and Rehabilitation Hospitals, Ulm (E.J.), the Center for Stroke Research Berlin (E.J.) and the Department of Neurosurgery (J.W.), Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, the Departments of Neurology (H.S.) and Neurosurgery (T.P.), University of Dresden, Dresden, and the Departments of Neurology (C.H.) and Neurosurgery (J.M.), University of Leipzig, Leipzig all in Germany. Address reprint requests to Dr. Hacke at the Department of Neurology, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 400, Heidelberg, Germany, or at werner.hacke@med.uni-heidelberg.de.

Drs. Jüttler, Unterberg, and Woitzik contributed equally to this article.

\*A complete list of investigators in the Decompressive Surgery for the Treatment of Malignant Infarction of the Middle Cerebral Artery II (DESTINY II) study is provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available at NEJM.org.

N Engl J Med 2014;370:1091-100. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1311367 Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society. ARGE SPACE-OCCUPYING MIDDLE-CEREbral-artery or hemispheric ischemic brain infarcts are associated with the development of massive brain edema, which may lead to herniation and early death. This condition, which has been described as malignant middle-cerebralartery infarction, is associated with 80% mortality due to herniation during the first week, despite maximal conservative treatment in the intensive care unit (ICU), including osmotherapy, barbiturates, and hyperventilation.<sup>1-8</sup> Conservative therapies for ischemic brain edema are not supported by sufficient evidence from clinical trials.<sup>9,10</sup>

Decompressive hemicraniectomy (temporary removal of a large part of the skull) combined with duraplasty allows edematous tissue to expand outside the neurocranium, thereby preventing fatal internal displacement of brain tissue and subsequent herniation.9 A pooled analysis of three randomized, controlled trials has shown the benefit of hemicraniectomy in patients with a malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarct.5-8 Early hemicraniectomy (i.e., within 48 hours after the onset of stroke) increased 1-year survival from 29% to 78%. The rate of survival with severe disability was low in both groups (4% in the hemicraniectomy group and 5% in the control group). Forty-three percent of patients who underwent hemicraniectomy had a relatively good outcome (survival with mild or moderate disability), as compared with 21% of patients who received conservative treatment.5

The upper age limit in this pooled analysis was 60 years. In older patients, the benefit of decompressive hemicraniectomy is uncertain. Observational data suggest that the treatment effect may be smaller in older patients who undergo hemicraniectomy than in younger patients.<sup>11</sup> In a study by Uhl et al.,12 12% of patients older than 50 years of age who underwent hemicraniectomy survived with functional independence, whereas 37% died or were severely disabled. Gupta et al.13 reported that 80% of patients older than 50 years of age who underwent hemicraniectomy were severely disabled or died. In the Decompressive Surgery for the Treatment of Malignant Infarction of the Middle Cerebral Artery II (DESTINY II) study, we investigated the outcome of early hemicraniectomy as compared with conservative treatment in the ICU alone in patients 61 years of age or older with malignant middle-cerebralartery infarction.

# METHODS

## DESIGN

In this prospective, randomized, controlled, open, multicenter trial, we randomly assigned patients, in a 1:1 ratio, to treatment in the ICU alone (the control group) or early hemicraniectomy (the hemicraniectomy group). Randomization was performed with the use of an online tool (www.randomizer.at) within 48 hours after the onset of symptoms.

This investigator-initiated trial was sponsored by the German Research Foundation. It was approved by the institutional review boards of the University Hospital of Heidelberg and of all participating centers. DESTINY II was conducted at 13 German sites between August 2009 and May 2013. All patients or their legally authorized representatives provided written informed consent.

The study was designed by the steering committee and two of the authors. All the authors had full access to the data and vouch for the completeness and accuracy of the data; there was no writing assistance from anyone who is not listed as an author. There was no commercial support for this study. The published trial protocol includes details of randomization, a complete list of inclusion and exclusion criteria, details of the surgical and control treatments, and the statistical analysis plan.<sup>14</sup> The protocol is also available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

#### PATIENTS

Patients were eligible for inclusion in the study if they were 61 years of age or older, had clinical symptoms of acute unilateral middle-cerebralartery infarction with an onset of symptoms less than 48 hours before the initiation of treatment, and had scores higher than 14 (in patients with an infarction in the nondominant hemisphere) or higher than 19 (in patients with an infarction in the dominant hemisphere) with reduced levels of consciousness on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) (total scores on the NIHSS range from 0 to 42, with higher scores indicating more severe stroke). An additional criterion for inclusion was ischemic infarction of at least two thirds of the middle-cerebral-artery territory, including the basal ganglia, on brain imaging.

Exclusion criteria were a preexisting score of more than 1 on the modified Rankin scale (on a scale of 0 to 6, with 0 indicating no symptoms and 6 indicating death) or a preexisting score of less than 95 on the Barthel index of functional levels in activities of daily living (on a scale ranging from 0 [complete dependence] to 100 [independence] in increments of 5). Additional exclusion criteria were the absence of pupillary reflexes, a score of less than 6 on the Glasgow Coma Scale (on which scores range from 3 to 15, with lower scores indicating reduced levels of consciousness), hemorrhages or other associated brain lesions, contraindications to surgery, or an estimated life expectancy of less than 3 years.

# TREATMENT

Treatment was initiated within 48 hours after the onset of symptoms and not later than 6 hours after randomization. Conservative treatment options, based on a consensus protocol used by all participating centers, included basic therapy in the ICU for stroke; osmotherapy with the use of mannitol, glycerol, or hypertonic hydroxyethyl starch; sedation; intubation and mechanical ventilation; hyperventilation; and administration of buffer solutions. Surgical treatment consisted of a large hemicraniectomy (with a diameter of at least 12 cm) and duroplasty. The surgical standards and the conservative treatment protocol are detailed in the study protocol.<sup>14</sup>

# OUTCOMES AND END POINTS

Data were collected during hospitalization and at two follow-up visits scheduled 6 months (plus or minus 14 days) and 12 months (plus or minus 14 days) after randomization. Follow-up assessment was performed by study physicians who were otherwise not involved in the trial or treatment of the patients. The primary outcome was a score of 0 to 4 on the modified Rankin scale at 6 months. Secondary end points, assessed 12 months after randomization, included the survival rate, the NIHSS score, the score on the modified Rankin scale, the level of activities of daily living (according to the Barthel index), quality of life as measured by means of the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) (with scores ranging from 0 [severely affected] to 100 [not affected]) and the EuroQoL Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire (EQ-5D) visualanalogue scale (with scores ranging from 0 [worst] to 100 [best]), the level of depression according to the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) (with scores ranging from 0 to 52 and scores >19 indicating severe depression), and adverse events, including surgical complications. Patients or their caregivers (if patients were unable to understand the question because of severe cognitive impairment or aphasia) were also asked the question "Do you, in retrospect, consent to the treatment you received?"

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

DESTINY II was designed as a sequential trial to stop recruitment as soon as harm, futility, or efficacy was shown, with the use of an overall twosided significance level of 5% in the analysis of the primary end point. Sequential interim analyses were performed according to prespecified rules with the use of Whitehead's triangular test for binary outcomes (PEST software, version 4.4) each time a patient reached the primary end point.15,16 The result is reported as an odds ratio with a bias-corrected 95% confidence interval, adjusted for the sequential nature of the trial.15-17 The sample size was determined for 90% power, assuming success rates of 31.0% (in the hemicraniectomy group) and 8.6% (in the control group); these rates correspond to a log odds ratio of 1.56.

In each interim analysis, the preliminary data set included data from all patients for whom complete end-point information was available, and the triangular test was performed on the log odds ratio. As soon as an interim analysis showed a significant difference in success rates or the criterion for stopping for futility was reached, the data and safety monitoring board was informed, and the steering committee was asked to stop the trial if that was the board's recommendation. It was assumed that at that time, additional patients had already undergone randomization but had not yet reached the 6-month assessment of the primary end point. The confirmatory analysis is based on all patients who underwent randomization (the intention-to-treat population). The findings of the sensitivity analyses are reported in the Supplementary Appendix (available at NEJM.org) for the preliminary data set and the per-protocol data set, which excluded data from 11 patients with major protocol violations (i.e., crossover and delayed end-point assessment), as well as for the modified Rankin scores, dichotomized as 0 to 3 versus 4 to 6, and the raw modified Rankin scores.18 All results for secondary end points refer to the intention-to-treat population evaluated 12 months after stroke. Summary statistics are reported as raw frequencies. Tests of group differences were made with standard methods (chi-square test, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, or logrank test, depending on the type of variable). Group comparisons were based on data on the survivors and on the intention-to-treat population, with a worst-case assumption for missing end points in patients who had died and, for patients with an unknown modified Rankin score or NIHSS score, imputation of the last known observation after randomization (last observation carried forward, or 6-month results for the modified Rankin scale).

#### RESULTS

# STUDY PATIENTS

Between August 2009 and March 2012, a total of 112 patients were randomly assigned to a study group. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Figure S1 in the Supplementary Appendix shows randomization, treatment, and outcomes. Patient recruitment was stopped on the recommendation of the data and safety monitoring board after 82 patients (40 patients in the hemicraniectomy group and 42 patients in the control group) had been assessed for the primary end point at 6 months (Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Appendix). At that time, a total of 30 additional patients (9 patients in the hemicraniectomy group and 21 patients in the control group) had undergone randomization but had not yet reached the 6-month follow-up evaluation.

#### PRIMARY END POINT AT 6 MONTHS

In the intention-to-treat population (all 112 patients), 20 of 49 patients in the hemicraniectomy group had a score of 4 or better on the modified Rankin scale versus 10 of 63 patients in the control group (bias-corrected estimate of the rate of survival without severe disability, 38% in the hemicraniectomy group and 18% in the control group; odds ratio, 2.91 in favor of hemicraniectomy; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06 to 7.49; P=0.04) (Table 2). Whereas the analysis of the raw modified Rankin scores in a sequential proportional-odds model confirmed this result (bias-corrected odds ratio, 3.97; 95% CI, 1.39 to 8.76; P=0.01), on the modified Rankin scale, scores dichotomized as 0 to 3 (survival without moderately severe disability) versus 4 to 6 did not reveal significant effects of hemicraniectomy.

Detailed results of all sensitivity analyses are provided in Figures S2 through S5 and Tables S2 and S3 in the Supplementary Appendix.

No patients who survived had a score of 0 to 2 on the modified Rankin scale. Only 7% of patients in the hemicraniectomy group had a score of 3 on the modified Rankin scale, as compared with 3% in the control group. The rates for a score of 4 on the modified Rankin were 32% and 15%, respectively. A score of 5 on the modified Rankin scale was more frequent in the surgery group (28% vs. 13%), whereas death occurred much less frequently in the surgery group (33% vs. 70%) (Fig. 1A).

# SECONDARY END POINTS AT 12 MONTHS

The 12-month survival rate was 57% (95% CI, 42 to 72) in the hemicraniectomy group (27 of the 47 patients for whom survival status was known) and 24% (95% CI, 14 to 37) in the control group (15 of the 62 patients for whom survival status was known) (Fig. 2). Table 2 shows the percentages of patients in each group with various scores on the modified Rankin scale. In the intentionto-treat analysis, in which worst values were imputed for patients who had died, all secondary end points (raw modified Rankin score, NIHSS score, Barthel index score, SF-36 score, HDRS score, and EQ-5D score) were significantly better in the hemicraniectomy group. This effect was caused by the large difference in mortality and by the worst-case imputation of missing end points in patients who had died (Table 2). Analyses of secondary end points that excluded patients who had died did not show any significant differences between the groups (Table 2). Among surviving patients, 63% of those in the hemicraniectomy group and 53% of those in the control group gave retrospective consent to treatment (Table S5 in the Supplementary Appendix). The outcome was not influenced by withdrawal of care in either treatment group.

Figure S8 in the Supplementary Appendix shows the SF-36 quality-of-life scores among survivors in DESTINY II, as compared with the average scores in a population of older survivors of mild or moderate stroke and the general population of older persons without stroke.<sup>19,20</sup>

# SAFETY

Eighty-eight serious adverse events were reported in the hemicraniectomy group and 84 serious adverse events were reported in the control group

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Patients at Baseline.*				
Characteristic	Hemicraniectomy Group (N=49)	Control Group (N = 63)		
Age — yr				
Median	70	70		
Range	62–82	61-80		
Sex — no. (%)				
Male	25 (51)	31 (49)		
Female	24 (49)	32 (51)		
Preexisting modified Rankin scale score — no. (%)†				
0	39 (80)	53 (84)		
1	10 (20)	10 (16)		
2–6	0	0		
Preexisting Barthel index score‡				
Median	100	100		
Range	95–100	95–100		
Site of infarction — no. (%)				
Middle cerebral artery	36 (73)	40 (63)		
Middle cerebral artery and anterior cerebral artery	11 (22)	18 (29)		
Middle cerebral artery and posterior cerebral artery	2 (4)	5 (8)		
Stroke in dominant hemisphere — no. (%)	16 (33)	25 (40)		
Glasgow Coma Scale score§				
Median	12	10		
Range	6–15	6–15		
NIHSS total score¶				
Assessable — no. (%)	34 (69)	39 (62)		
Median	20	21		
Range	15–40	15-38		
Time from onset of symptoms to randomization — hr				
Median	25	26		
Range	12–49	9–47		
Time from onset of symptoms to hemicraniectomy — hr				
Median	28	NA		
Range	16–50	NA		
Adherence to assigned treatment — no. (%)	48 (98)	62 (98)		
Provision of informed consent — no. (%)				
Patient	7 (14)	5 (8)		
Legal representative	12 (24)	12 (19)		
Relative, application for legal representation, or independent physician∥	30 (61)	46 (73)		

\* NA denotes not applicable.

† Scores on the modified Rankin scale range from 0 to 6, with 0 indicating no symptoms, 1 no substantial disability despite the presence of symptoms, 2 slight disability, 3 moderate disability necessitating some help, 4 moderately severe disability, and 5 severe disability; a score of 6 indicates death. Persons with a score of 0, 1, or 2 are considered to be functionally independent.

± Scores on the Barthel index range from 0 (complete dependence) to 100 (independence) in increments of 5.

§ Scores on the Glasgow Coma Scale range from 3 to 15, with lower scores indicating reduced levels of consciousness. ¶ Scores on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) range from 0 to 42, with higher scores indicating

<sup>"</sup>more severe neurologic impairment.

In some cases, a relative or independent physician vouched for the patient before enrollment in the study, or an application for legal representation was used in lieu of informed consent before enrollment. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their legal representatives after enrollment.

Table 2. Secondary Outcomes at 12 Months.*						
Outcome	Hemicraniectomy Control Group Group (N=49) (N=63)		P Value			
			Intention- to-Treat Population	Surviving Patients		
	no. of patients/to	otal no. (%)				
Modified Rankin scale score			<0.001	0.73		
0–2	0/47	0/62				
3	3/47 (6)	3/62 (5)				
4	15/47 (32)	7/62 (11)				
5	9/47 (19)	5/62 (8)				
6	20/47 (43)	47/62 (76)				
NIHSS total score			<0.001	0.70		
17–42	7/22 (32)	3/10 (30)				
8–16	14/22 (64)	4/10 (40)				
0-7	1/22 (5)	3/10 (30)				
Barthel index score			0.002	0.34		
60–100	3/27 (11)	5/13 (38)				
0–55	24/27 (89)	8/13 (62)				
SF-36 score†			<0.001	0.86		
Mental component						
51–100	10/25 (40)	6/12 (50)				
26–50	14/25 (56)	6/12 (50)				
0–25	1/25 (4)	0/12				
Physical component			<0.001	0.43		
26–100	11/25 (44)	5/12 (42)				
0–25	14/25 (56)	7/12 (58)				
Hamilton Depression Rating Scale score ‡			<0.001	0.97		
0–19	18/18 (100)	5/6 (83)				
20–52	0/18	1/6 (17)				
EQ-5D visual-analogue scale score§			<0.001	0.94		
51–100	6/22 (27)	2/10 (20)				
26–50	10/22 (45)	5/10 (50)				
0–25	6/22 (27)	3/10 (30)				

\* There were 27 known survivors in the surgery group and 15 known survivors in the control group.

† Both the mental-component and physical-component summary scores of the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating greater well-being. In this study, no patients had a mental-component summary score higher than 75 and no patients had a physical-component summary score higher than 50.

‡ Scores on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale range from 0 to 52, with higher scores indicating greater severity of symptoms and scores higher than 19 indicating severe depression.

§ Scores on the EuroQoL Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire (EQ-5D) visual-analogue scale range from 0 (worst quality of life) to 100 (best quality of life).

#### HEMICRANIECTOMY IN MIDDLE-CEREBRAL-ARTERY STROKE



scale (range, 0 to 6, with 0 indicating no symptoms and 6 indicating death). The results shown are the probability estimates for all patients who underwent randomization (the intention-to-treat population). Panel A shows the bias-corrected distribution of scores on the modified Rankin scale at 6 months. Panel B shows the raw distribution of Rankin scores at 12 months.

(Table S6 in the Supplementary Appendix). Infections were more frequent in the hemicraniectomy group. In addition, 23 complications related to initial hemicraniectomy and bone-flap reimplantation were reported: 5 hemorrhages, 10 cases of pain requiring pharmacologic treatment, 1 hygroma, 1 incident related to anesthesia, and 6 nonspecified events, 5 of which were classified as serious adverse events. The most frequent serious adverse events in the control group were nervous system disorders (mainly herniation and brain edema). Causes of death are listed in Table 3. An increased rate of early death due to herniation in the control group was the only major difference between the two treatment groups.

#### DISCUSSION

The DESTINY II trial was stopped for reasons of efficacy after the reductions in deaths and severe disability at 6 months had become significant. This treatment effect remained stable after inclusion of all randomly assigned patients and after 12 months of follow-up.

The question of an age limit for hemicraniectomy in patients with malignant middle-cerebralartery infarction is controversial among neurologists and neurosurgeons. The uncertainty about whether surgery is beneficial in older patients with stroke, for whom the overall prognosis is poorer than that for younger patients with stroke,







stems from heterogeneous results of retrospective and uncontrolled observational studies and is reflected in numerous reviews and commentaries.<sup>11-13,21-28</sup>

Our randomized trial provides comparative evidence regarding the efficacy of hemicraniectomy in patients older than 60 years with malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarction. The benefit of hemicraniectomy with respect to the primary end point was significant. However, the size of the difference between the groups was smaller than that observed in similar trials involving younger patients and was mainly driven by the reduction in mortality. After 12 months, only 6% of the patients older than 60 years of age who underwent hemicraniectomy had a score of 3 on the modified Rankin scale, whereas 43% of younger patients had a score of 3 or even 2. This result was not unexpected.<sup>14</sup> In general, the outcome after stroke is age-dependent, and chances for a better outcome decrease with age. In this trial, surgery reduced 1-year mortality by 33%, as compared with a 50% reduction in a prior trial involving younger patients, and the rate of survival with a score of 5 on the modified Rankin scale at 1 year was 19%, as compared with 4% among younger patients.<sup>5</sup> The score on the modified Rankin scale is

frequently dichotomized as a "favorable" or "unfavorable" outcome to estimate the benefit of a therapy. For patients who survive malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarcts, these terms are probably not adequate, and they sparked controversial discussions after the randomized hemicraniectomy trials involving younger patients.<sup>29,30</sup> The terms "acceptable" and "unacceptable" may be more appropriate for a disease with a proven treatment that is lifesaving but results in survival with moderate or severe disability, but this is a discussion that goes beyond the report of this trial. Survival with substantial disability instead of death is an outcome that may be acceptable to some patients and caregivers and may not be acceptable to others. A majority of patients and caregivers gave retrospective consent to the treatment they received. This result should be interpreted with caution, given that 25 of 42 survivors (16 in the hemicraniectomy group and 9 in the control group) could not adequately answer this question because of severe aphasia or neuropsychological deficits. Nonetheless, this finding is consistent with observations in younger patients.31

Standard outcome measures such as the modified Rankin scale, the Barthel index, and the NIHSS focus on motor abilities but neglect other relevant deficits. Disability, however, is a complex construct that includes factors such as status with respect to depression, coping strategies, and cognitive functions, which are not assessed by these scales. In DESTINY II, we included a number of established instruments to evaluate other dimensions of the outcome after severe stroke. Quality of life assessed by means of the EQ-5D and the SF-36 was clearly impaired in survivors in our trial as compared with patients with less severe stroke and persons 60 years of age or older in the general population (Fig. S8 in the Supplementary Appendix).<sup>19,20</sup> It was, however, similar to that of survivors of severe traumatic brain injury, subarachnoid hemorrhage, or intracerebral hemorrhage.32-35 Quality of life was also similar to or even better than that in younger patients with malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarcts.8

Symptoms of depression are common in stroke survivors. Among survivors in our trial who were able to complete the depression scale, the frequency of major depression was similar to the

Table 3. Causes of Death.		
Variable	Hemicraniectomy Group (N = 49)	Control Group (N=63)
Total deaths — no.	20	47
Deaths from 0–14 days after randomization — no. of deaths/ total no. (%)		
Neurologic: herniation	4/20 (20)	34/47 (72)
Non-neurologic: pneumonia, myocardial infarction, or sepsis	1/20 (5)	2/47 (4)
Total	5/20 (25)	36/47 (77)
Deaths from 15 days–12 mo after randomization — no. of deaths/total no. (%)		
Neurologic: new contralateral infarct	2/20 (10)	2/47 (4)
Non-neurologic		
Pulmonary embolism	2/20 (10)	0/47
Pneumonia, sepsis	5/20 (25)	4/47 (9)
Myocardial infarction	0/20	2/47 (4)
Unknown	6/20 (30)	3/47 (6)
Total	15/20 (75)	11/47 (23)

reported frequencies in the overall population of patients with stroke, among patients with cerebral hemorrhage, and among younger patients with malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarcts.<sup>8,31,36-39</sup> These results should be interpreted cautiously, because depression was assessed in only 57% of survivors because of aphasia.

The number of serious adverse events was similar in the two treatment groups. The slight excess in numbers in the hemicraniectomy group is best explained by the longer survival time and the longer stay in the ICU, reflected in the numerically higher rate of infections in the surgery group. In the control group, the excess of serious adverse events related to the central nervous system was due to herniation, which was the leading cause of death in the trial.

Despite our observation of the efficacy of hemicraniectomy in older patients with malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarction, the treatment decision for such patients remains difficult. One may argue that survival with a score worse than 3 on the modified Rankin scale is not an acceptable outcome. Certainly, the quality of life and activities of daily living were considerably impaired in our patients who underwent hemicraniectomy, but these outcomes are similar to those in patients who have sustained other severe brain injuries. Our trial provides helpful information for health professionals, patients, and their caregivers who must decide whether or not to pursue hemicraniectomy. This trial showed that the most probable alternative to early hemicraniectomy is death. Most patients who underwent hemicraniectomy and survived had subsequent disability that was moderate or severe. Only a small minority of older patients who underwent hemicraniectomy survived without disability severe enough to require assistance with most bodily needs, and one third of the survivors had very severe disability (complete dependence according to the Barthel index).

In conclusion, early hemicraniectomy significantly increased the probability of survival among patients older than 60 years of age with malignant middle-cerebral-artery infarction, but most survivors had substantial disability. Important questions such as the long-term effect of chronic disability and patient characteristics associated with a greater or lesser benefit from hemicraniectomy require further research.

Supported by a grant (JU2764/1-1) from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

#### REFERENCES

**1.** Ropper AH, Shafran B. Brain edema after stroke: clinical syndrome and intracranial pressure. Arch Neurol 1984;41:26-9.

**2.** Hacke W, Schwab S, Horn M, Spranger M, De Georgia M, von Kummer R. 'Malignant' middle cerebral artery territory infarction: clinical course and prognostic signs. Arch Neurol 1996;53:309-15.

**3.** Silver FL, Norris JW, Lewis AJ, Hachinski VC. Early mortality following stroke: a prospective review. Stroke 1984;15:492-6.

**4.** Kasner SE, Demchuk AM, Berrouschot J, et al. Predictors of fatal brain edema in massive hemispheric ischemic stroke. Stroke 2001;32:2117-23.

**5.** Vahedi K, Hofmeijer J, Juettler E, et al. Early decompressive surgery in malignant middle cerebral artery infarction: a pooled analysis of three randomised controlled trials. Lancet Neurol 2007;6:215-22.

**6.** Vahedi K, Vicaut E, Mateo J, et al. Sequential-design, multicenter, randomized, controlled trial of early decompressive craniectomy in malignant middle cerebral artery infarction (DECIMAL Trial). Stroke 2007;38:2506-17.

7. Jüttler E, Schwab S, Schmiedek P, et al. Decompressive Surgery for the Treatment of Malignant Infarction of the Middle Cerebral Artery (DESTINY): a randomized, controlled trial. Stroke 2007;38:2518-25.

 Hofmeijer J, Kappelle LJ, Algra A, Amelink GJ, van Gijn J, van der Worp HB. Surgical decompression for space-occupying cerebral infarction (the Hemicraniectomy After Middle Cerebral Artery infarction with Life-threatening Edema Trial [HAMLET]): a multicentre, open, randomised trial. Lancet Neurol 2009;8:326-33.
 Huttner HB, Schwab S. Malignant middle cerebral artery infarction: clinical characteristics, treatment strategies, and future perspectives. Lancet Neurol 2009;8:949-58.
 Bardutzky J, Schwab S. Antiedema therapy in ischemic stroke. Stroke 2007;38:3084-94.

**11.** Arac A, Blanchard V, Lee M, Steinberg GK. Assessment of outcome following decompressive craniectomy for malignant middle cerebral artery infarction in patients older than 60 years of age. Neurosurg Focus 2009;26(6):E3.

**12.** Uhl E, Kreth FW, Elias B, et al. Outcome and prognostic factors of hemicraniectomy for space occupying cerebral infarction. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004;75:270-4.

**13.** Gupta R, Connolly ES, Mayer S, Elkind MS. Hemicraniectomy for massive middle cerebral artery territory infarction: a systematic review. Stroke 2004;35:539-43.

14. Jüttler E, Bösel J, Amiri H, et al.

DESTINY II: DEcompressive Surgery for the Treatment of malignant INfarction of the middle cerebral arterY II. Int J Stroke 2011;6:79-86.

**15.** Whitehead J. The design and analysis of sequential clinical trials. Chichester, United Kingdom: Wiley, 1997.

**16.** Whitehead J, Brunier H. Planning and Evaluation of Sequential Trials: the PEST project. Reading, United Kingdom: University of Reading, 1997.

**17.** Woodroofe M. Estimation after sequential testing: a simple approach for a truncated sequential probability ratio test. Biometrika 1992;79:347-53.

**18.** Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Reflection paper on methodological issues in confirmatory clinical trials with an adaptive design. London: European Medicines Agency, 2007.

**19.** Sprigg N, Gray LJ, Bath PM, et al. Quality of life after ischemic stroke varies in western countries: data from the Tinzaparin in Acute Ischaemic Stroke Trial (TAIST). J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2012;21:587-93.

**20.** Gunzelmann T, Albani C, Beutel M, Brähler E. Subjective health of older people in view of the SF-36: values from a large community-based sample. Z Gerontol Geriatr 2006;39:109-19. (In German.)

**21.** Leonhardt G, Wilhelm H, Doerfler A, et al. Clinical outcome and neuropsychological deficits after right decompressive hemicraniectomy in MCA infarction. J Neurol 2002;249:1433-40.

**22.** Holtkamp M, Buchheim K, Unterberg A, et al. Hemicraniectomy in elderly patients with space occupying media infarction: improved survival but poor functional outcome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:226-8.

**23.** Carter BS, Ogilvy CS, Candia GJ, Rosas HD, Buonanno F. One-year outcome after decompressive surgery for massive non-dominant hemispheric infarction. Neurosurgery 1997;40:1168-75.

**24.** Rieke K, Schwab S, Krieger D, et al. Decompressive surgery in space-occupying hemispheric infarction: results of an open, prospective trial. Crit Care Med 1995;23:1576-87.

**25.** Yao Y, Liu W, Yang X, Hu W, Li G. Is decompressive craniectomy for malignant middle cerebral artery territory infarction of any benefit for elderly patients? Surg Neurol 2005;64:165-9.

**26.** van der Worp HB, Kappelle LJ. Early decompressive hemicraniectomy in older patients with nondominant hemispheric infarction does not improve outcome. Stroke 2011;42:845-6.

**27.** Jüttler E, Hacke W. Early decompressive

hemicraniectomy in older patients with nondominant hemispheric infarction improves outcome. Stroke 2011;42:843-4.

**28.** Molina CA, Selim MH. Decompressive hemicraniectomy in elderly patients with malignant hemispheric infarction: open questions remain beyond DESTINY. Stroke 2011;42:847-8.

29. Puetz V, Campos CR, Eliasziw M, Hill MD, Demchuk AM. Assessing the benefits of hemicraniectomy: what is a favourable outcome? Lancet Neurol 2007;6:580.
30. van der Worp HBGJ, Greving JP. Surviving space-occupying cerebral infarction: a fate worse than death? Neurology 2010;75:676-7.

 Rahme R, Zuccarello M, Kleindorfer D, Adeoye OM, Ringer AJ. Decompressive hemicraniectomy for malignant middle cerebral artery territory infarction: is life worth living? J Neurosurg 2012;117:749-54.
 Intiso D, Lombardi T, Grimaldi G, et al. Long-term outcome and health status in decompressive craniectomized patients with intractable intracranial pressure after severe brain injury. Brain Inj 2011; 25:379-86.

**33.** King JT Jr, Tsevat J, Roberts MS. Measuring preference-based quality of life using the EuroQol EQ-5D in patients with cerebral aneurysms. Neurosurgery 2009;65:565-72.

**34.** Tjahjadi M, Heinen C, König R, et al. Health-related quality of life after spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage measured in a recent patient population. World Neurosurg 2013;79:296-307.

**35.** Christensen MC, Mayer S, Ferran JM. Quality of life after intracerebral hemorrhage: results of the Factor Seven for Acute Hemorrhagic Stroke (FAST) trial. Stroke 2009:40:1677-82.

36. Lund A, Michelet M, Sandvik L, Wyller TB, Sveen U. A lifestyle intervention as supplement to a physical activity programme in rehabilitation after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2012;26:502-12.
37. Weimar C, Kurth T, Kraywinkel K, et al. Assessment of functioning and disability after ischemic stroke. Stroke 2002; 33:2053-9.

**38.** Christensen MC, Mayer SA, Ferran JM, Kissela B. Depressed mood after intracerebral hemorrhage: the FAST trial. Cerebrovasc Dis 2009;27:353-60.

**39.** Barth M, Thomé C, Schmiedek P, Weiss C, Kasuya H, Vajkoczy P. Characterization of functional outcome and quality of life following subarachnoid hemorrhage in patients treated with and without nicardipine prolonged-release implants. J Neurosurg 2009;110:955-60.

Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society.