



Wenxiong Xu<sup>1,2,3,†</sup>, Yanlin Huang<sup>4,†</sup>, Yongyu Mei<sup>1,2</sup>, Yeqiong Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Qiumin Luo<sup>1,2</sup>, Shu Zhu<sup>1,2</sup>, Liang Peng<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Zhiliang Gao<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ying Liu<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> and Jianguo Li<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510630, China
- <sup>2</sup> Guangdong Key Laboratory of Liver Disease Research, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510630, China
- <sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Control, Ministry of Education, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510630, China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510120, China
- \* Correspondence: liuy35@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Y.L.); ljiang@mail.sysu.edu.cn (J.L.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Objective: Kruppel-associated box (KRAB) proteins reportedly play a dual role in neoplastic transformation. At present, little is known about the function of the proteins encoded by the human pogo transposable element derived with KRAB domain (POGK) gene. Herein, we evaluated the prognostic significance of POGK expression in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Methods: The data of HCC patients was downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. To determine the relationship between POGK and clinical features, logistic regression was applied. Cox regression and Kaplan-Meier analyses were used to evaluate the correlation between POGK and survival rates. Gene ontology (GO) analysis and Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) were conducted to identify the enriched pathways and functions associated with POGK. Results: A total of 374 HCC patients were identified in TCGA. POGK was significantly upregulated in HCC and correlated with tumor status (p = 0.036), race (p = 0.025), weight (p = 0.002), body mass index (p = 0.033), histologic grade (p < 0.001), and alpha-fetoprotein (p < 0.001). High POGK expression in HCC patients correlated with a poor outcome in terms of overall survival (p = 0.0018), progressionfree survival (p = 0.0087), relapse-free survival (p = 0.045), and disease-specific survival (p = 0.014), according to Kaplan-Meier analysis. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis showed that the area under the curve of POGK expression for HCC diagnosis was 0.891. GSEA showed that high POGK expression might activate mitotic prometaphase, kinesins, homologous DNA pairing and strand exchange, MET activates PTK2 signaling pathway, G1 to S cell cycle control, Aurora B pathway, ncRNAs involved in WNT signaling pathway, hepatitis C, and ncRNAs involved in the STAT3 signaling pathway. POGK expression correlated with the abundance of adaptive and innate immunocytes in HCC. Conclusion: High expression of POGK has high diagnostic and prognostic values in patients with HCC. Moreover, POGK expression is correlated with immune infiltration in HCC.

Keywords: Kruppel-associated box; pogo transposable element derived with KRAB domain; hepatocellular carcinoma; prognosis

# 1. Introduction

In 2018, liver cancer was reportedly the fourth most prevalent cancer worldwide and the sixth most frequently diagnosed malignancy [1]. The 5-year relative survival rate of liver cancer was about 20%, the second lowest for cancers in the United States of America [2]. It has been established that most primary liver cancers are hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)



Citation: Xu, W.; Huang, Y.; Mei, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, Q.; Zhu, S.; Peng, L.; Gao, Z.; Liu, Y.; Li, J. High Expression of POGK Predicts Poor Prognosis in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Curr. Oncol.* **2022**, *29*, 8650–8667. https://doi.org/10.3390/ curroncol29110682

Received: 17 October 2022 Accepted: 10 November 2022 Published: 14 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). which accounts for nearly 90% of cases [3]. The two primary carcinogenic infectious agents for HCC are hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) [4]. At present, there are 20 to 30 million people diagnosed with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) in China [5], and it is widely acknowledged that most liver cancers are caused by chronic HBV infection in China [6]. These findings underscore the need to discover novel biomarkers that can be used to assist clinicians during the diagnostic workup and as therapeutic targets in this patient population.

An increasing body of evidence suggests that factors involved in HCC development and progression include the pro-carcinogenic effect of hepatitis virus (such as HBV and HCV), inactivation of multiple tumor suppressor genes (such as p53), abnormal activation of oncogenes (such as K-ras), dysregulation of epigenetic events (such as microRNAs), homeobox genes, multiple signaling pathways (PI3K, MAPK, JAK/STAT, NF-κB, Wnt/β-catenin, etc.), exosomes, and the immunological liver microenvironment [7,8]. Kruppel-associated box (KRAB) proteins, including KRAB zinc finger proteins (KRAB-ZFPs or ZNFs) and KRAB-associated protein 1 (KAP1), have been associated with different aspects of human adaptive immune cell differentiation and function [9]. Interestingly, the KRAB-ZFP/KAP1 system participates in chromatin relaxation and recruitment of the DNA repairing complex [10,11], playing a dual role in cancer development. Moreover, it has been shown that KRAB-ZFP mediates the DNA damage response and the expression of oncogene and/or oncosuppressor genes [12,13]. Indeed, KAP1 regulates the p21 and p53 pathways differently [14,15]. The Pogo transposable element derived with the KRAB domain (POGK) gene is well-established to be conserved in humans and other vertebrates like the chimpanzee, rhesus monkey, dog, cow, mouse, etc. Nonetheless, the functions of proteins encoded by the human POGK gene remain unclear. The KRAB domain found at the N-terminus is involved in protein-protein interactions, and a transposase domain is present at the C-terminus, suggesting that it could potentially belong to the family of DNA-mediated transposons in humans.

Few studies have hitherto explored POGK expression and its potential prognostic impact on HCC. Herein, we aimed to analyze the importance of POGK in patients with HCC systematically. Moreover, we further analyzed the correlation between POGK and immune infiltration in the tumor microenvironment.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. POGK Expression Validation

Oncomine (https://www.oncomine.org/resource/main.html (accessed on 3 September 2021)), Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER; cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer (accessed on 3 September 2021)) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; https://cancergenome.nih.gov (accessed on 3 September 2021)) databases were used to validate the expression level of POGK in patients with HCC and normal subjects. Boxplots and scatter plots were used to evaluate the expression of the POGK gene in patients with HCC. POGK expression higher or lower than the median value was defined as POGK-high or POGK-low, respectively.

To validate POGK over-expression in HCC, tissue microarrays (TMAs) were used to analyze POGK expression from clinical samples of 30 HCC patients of The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. Primary HCC tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected from eight patients. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining was performed on 5-µm sections of the TMAs to assess the cytoplasmic expression of POGK. TMA slides were scanned using the Aperio slide scanner and analyzed using Image Scope software (Version 12.4.0.5043) (Aperio, Leica Biosystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). After fixation in formalin and embedding in paraffin, two observers blinded to the histopathological features and clinical data evaluated the degree of immune staining. The immunohistochemical score was obtained based on the proportion of positively-stained tumor cells and the staining intensity. Scores rated by the two independent investigators were averaged. An optimal cut-off value was determined as follows: low expression of POGK was defined as a ratio of (expression index score of tumor/expression index score of paired adjacent non-neoplastic tissue) < 1, and normal or high expression of POGK was defined as a ratio  $\geq$  1.

## 2.2. Patient Data Source and Pprocessing

Gene expression data of patients with the corresponding clinical characteristics were downloaded from TCGA. Samples were excluded for the following reasons: (1) gene expression value was equal to zero and (2) incomplete survival information. Finally, a total of 374 patients with HCC were enrolled in this study. Data on clinical characteristics that were unavailable or unidentified were considered to be missing values.

# 2.3. Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Pathway Analysis

GO analysis, which offers the latest annotations and describes the features of genes and gene products in organisms, was used to describe biological processes (BPs), cellular components (CCs), and molecular functions (MFs) of the POGK gene. KEGG pathway analysis was used in pathway research of the POGK gene, including genetic processing, environmental processing, cellular processes, metabolism, and biological systems.

# 2.4. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)

GSEA was used to identify genes associated with POGK gene expression and examine the significance of differences in survival between the POGK-high or POGK-low groups. The criteria for significantly enriched gene sets included a nominal *p*-value of less than 5% and a false discovery rate of less than 25%. The relative tumor infiltration of 24 immune cell types was quantified by single-sample GSEA (ssGSEA).

## 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Logistic regression was performed to assess the association between POGK expression and clinical characteristics in HCC patients. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to compare the survival differences between the high and low POGK expression groups. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was applied to assess the diagnostic performance of POGK. Potential prognostic factors were screened using univariate Cox analysis, while multivariate Cox analysis assessed the effect of POGK expression on survival along with other clinical characteristics. Pearson and Spearman correlation analyses were used to explore the correlation between POGK and the infiltration levels of immune cells. All statistical analyses in the present study were performed using R statistical software (version 3.5.3) or SPSS software (version 24.0). A *p*-value < 0.05 was statistically significant.

## 3. Results

# 3.1. POGK Expression Analysis

We first found that POGK was significantly upregulated in HCC via pooled comparative analysis in the Oncomine database (Figure 1A,B). Consistently, the upregulation of POGK in HCC was found in the TIMER database (Figure 1C).

Subsequently, we compared POGK expression between normal and HCC samples in TCGA database. POGK expression was significantly higher in HCC samples than in normal samples (p < 0.001) (Figure 1D). Moreover, POGK expression was significantly higher in HCC samples compared with paired normal samples (p < 0.001) (Figure 1E).

We next conducted an IHC analysis of the TMAs from 30 HCC patients for POGK expression. We observed dark brown staining in HCC tissues, suggesting POGK expression was high in HCC tissues (Figure 2). In addition, TMAs analysis revealed that POGK expression in HCC tissues was significantly higher than in adjacent normal tissues for 20 (67%) cases.



**Figure 1.** POGK expression analysis by Oncomine, TIMER, and TCGA databases. **(A)** POGK expression in different types of human cancers in Oncomine database, the numbers in the boxes represent the number of datasets, green box highlights hepatocellular carcinoma; **(B)** Upregulation (red) of POGK in hepatocellular carcinoma compared with normal tissue by Oncomine meta-analysis, the numbers in the boxes refer to the datasets below [13,14]; **(C)** POGK expression in different types of human cancers in TIMER database, green box highlights hepatocellular carcinoma; **(D)** Different POGK expression in normal and tumor tissues in TCGA database; **(E)** Different POGK expression in paired tissues in TCGA database. \*: *p* < 0.05, \*\*: *p* < 0.01, \*\*\*: *p* < 0.001.



**Figure 2.** TMAs and IHC analysis of POGK expression in matched HCC tissues from patients with tumor grade 1 to 4. Top row: tumor tissues. Bottom row: adjacent normal tissues. The boxed area of each image is shown at a higher magnification in the inset. (Scale bars, 100 µm).

## 3.2. Baseline Characteristics of Patients

POGK expression data from 374 HCC patients and the corresponding clinical information were acquired from TCGA database, including patients with low expression (n = 187) and high expression (n = 187) of POGK. The detailed baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Among the 374 patients, 253 were male (67.6%), and 121 were female (32.4%). The pathological stage of most patients was stage I (n = 171, 45.7%), followed by stage II (n = 87, 23.3%), stage III (n = 85, 22.7%), and stage IV (n = 5, 1.3%). The tumor status included 202 patients with tumors (54.0%) and 153 tumor-free patients (40.9%). The OS event included 287 patients (76.7%) alive and 79 patients (21.1%) dead.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

Characteristic	Low POGK Expression	High POGK Expression	p Value
п	187	187	
T stage, <i>n</i> (%)			0.341
T1	99 (26.7%)	84 (22.6%)	
Τ2	45 (12.1%)	50 (13.5%)	
Т3	34 (9.2%)	46 (12.4%)	
Τ4	6 (1.6%)	7 (1.9%)	
N stage, <i>n</i> (%)			0.625
N0	120 (46.5%)	134 (51.9%)	
N1	1 (0.4%)	3 (1.2%)	
M stage, <i>n</i> (%)			0.361
M0	130 (47.8%)	138 (50.7%)	
M1	3 (1.1%)	1 (0.4%)	
Pathologic stage, n (%)			0.132
Stage I	94 (26.9%)	79 (22.6%)	
Stage II	44 (12.6%)	43 (12.3%)	
Stage III	35 (10%)	50 (14.3%)	
Stage IV	4 (1.1%)	1 (0.3%)	
Tumor status, $n$ (%)			0.036 *
Tumor free	111 (31.3%)	91 (25.6%)	
With tumor	66 (18.6%)	87 (24.5%)	
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)			0.377

# Table 1. Cont.

Characteristic	Low POGK Expression	High POGK Expression	p Value
Female	56 (15%)	65 (17.4%)	
Male	131 (35%)	122 (32.6%)	
Race, <i>n</i> (%)			0.025 *
Asian	65 (18%)	95 (26.2%)	
Black or African American	9 (2.5%)	8 (2.2%)	
White	102 (28.2%)	83 (22.9%)	0.400
Age, <i>n</i> (%)			0.133
$\leq 60$	81 (21.7%)	96 (25.7%) 00 (24.1%)	
>00	106 (28.4%)	90 (24.1%)	0.00 <b>2</b> **
< 70	78 (22 5%)	106 (30.6%)	0.002
>70	97 (22.3%)	65 (18.8%)	
Height $n$ (%)	<i>)1</i> (2070)	00 (10.070)	0.678
<170	99 (29%)	102 (29 9%)	0.070
>170	73 (21.4%)	67 (19.6%)	
$\underline{E}$ BMI. n (%)		(1)(0)(0)	0.033 *
<25	79 (23.4%)	98 (29.1%)	
>25	91 (27%)	69 (20.5%)	
Residual tumor, <i>n</i> (%)		( )	0.083
R0	170 (49.3%)	157 (45.5%)	
R1	5 (1.4%)	12 (3.5%)	
R2	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	
Histologic grade, n (%)			< 0.001 ***
G1	39 (10.6%)	16 (4.3%)	
G2	102 (27.6%)	76 (20.6%)	
G3	39 (10.6%)	85 (23%)	
G4	5 (1.4%)	7 (1.9%)	
Adjacent hepatic tissue			0.092
inflammation, $n$ (%)			0.072
None	69 (29.1%)	49 (20.7%)	
Mild	45 (19%)	56 (23.6%)	
Severe	11 (4.6%)	7 (3%)	0 001 ***
AFP (ng/mL), $n$ (%)	102 (42.00/)	(22, 00/)	<0.001
$\leq 400$	123(43.9%)	92 (32.9%) 44 (15 79/)	
>400	21 (7.5%)	44 (15.7%)	0.047
Albumin (g/ dL), $n$ (76)	38 (12 7%)	31 (10.3%)	0.947
>3.5	124 (41 3%)	107 (35 7%)	
Prothrombin time $n$ (%)	124 (41.070)	107 (00.770)	0 145
<4	103 (34.7%)	105 (35.4%)	0.110
>4	53 (17.8%)	36 (12.1%)	
Child-Pugh grade, n (%)			0.902
A	121 (50.2%)	98 (40.7%)	
В	11 (4.6%)	10 (4.1%)	
С	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	
Fibrosis ishak score, $n$ (%)			0.329
0	46 (21.4%)	29 (13.5%)	
1/2	15 (7%)	16 (7.4%)	
3/4	12 (5.6%)	16 (7.4%)	
5/6	45 (20.9%)	36 (16.7%)	
Vascular invasion, <i>n</i> (%)			0.245
No	114 (35.8%)	94 (29.6%)	
Yes	52 (16.4%)	58 (18.2%)	0.475
OS event, $n$ (%)			0.158
Alive	129 (34.5%)	115 (30.7%)	
Dead	58 (15.5%)	72 (19.3%)	0.444
DSS event, <i>n</i> (%)			0.414

Characteristic	Low POGK Expression	High POGK Expression	p Value
Alive	148 (40.4%)	139 (38%)	
Dead	36 (9.8%)	43 (11.7%)	
PFI event, <i>n</i> (%)			0.148
Alive	103 (27.5%)	88 (23.5%)	
Dead	84 (22.5%)	99 (26.5%)	

60 (51, 68)

Table 1. Cont.

\*: p < 0.05, \*\*: p < 0.01, \*\*\*: p < 0.001.

Age, median (IQR)

#### 3.3. Correlation between POGK Expression and Clinical Characteristics

As shown in Table 1 and Figure 3A–I, high expression of POGK significantly correlated with tumor status (p = 0.036), race (p = 0.025), weight (p = 0.002), body mass index (BMI, p = 0.033), histologic grade (p < 0.001), and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP, p < 0.001). Univariate analysis using logistic regression demonstrated that POGK expression was associated with clinicopathologic characteristics, typically associated with tumor aggressiveness (Table 2). Moreover, it was found that high POGK expression was significantly associated with tumor status (with tumor vs. Tumor free: odds ratio [OR] = 1.608, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.055–2.461, p = 0.028), race (White vs. Asian and Black or African American, OR = 0.585, 95% CI = 0.385–0.885, p = 0.011), weight (>70 kg vs.  $\leq$ 70 kg, OR = 0.493, 95% CI = 0.320–0.756, p = 0.001), BMI (>25 vs.  $\leq$ 25, OR = 0.611, 95% CI = 0.396–0.939, p = 0.025), histologic grade (G3 and G4 vs. G1 and G2, OR = 3.205, 95% CI = 2.064–5.033, p < 0.001), AFP (>400 ng/mL vs.  $\leq$ 400 ng/mL, OR =2.801, 95% CI = 1.576–5.110, p < 0.001).

63 (53.5, 69)

Table 2. Logistics regression analysis for POGK gene expression.

Characteristics	Total (N)	Odds Ratio (OR)	p Value
T stage (T2 and T3 and T4 vs. T1)	371	1.428 (0.950-2.153)	0.087
N stage (N1 vs. N0)	258	2.687 (0.339-54.709)	0.395
M stage (M1 vs. M0)	272	0.314 (0.015–2.487)	0.318
Pathologic stage (Stage II, Stage III, and Stage IV vs. Stage I)	350	1.348 (0.886-2.055)	0.164
Tumor status (With tumor vs. Tumor free)	355	1.608 (1.055-2.461)	0.028 *
Gender (Female vs. Male)	374	1.246 (0.808–1.927)	0.320
Age (>60 vs. ≤60)	373	0.716 (0.476–1.076)	0.109
Race (White vs. Asian and Black or African American)	362	0.585 (0.385–0.885)	0.011 *
Weight (>70 vs. $\leq$ 70)	346	0.493 (0.320-0.756)	0.001 **
Height (≥170 vs. <170)	341	0.891 (0.578-1.372)	0.600
$BMI (>25 vs. \le 25)$	337	0.611 (0.396-0.939)	0.025 *
Residual tumor (R1 and R2 vs. R0)	345	2.166 (0.820-6.348)	0.131
Histologic grade (G3 and G4 vs. G1 and G2)	369	3.205 (2.064-5.033)	< 0.001 ***
Adjacent hepatic tissue inflammation (Severe and Mild vs. None)	237	1.584 (0.950-2.656)	0.079
AFP (ng/mL) (>400 vs. $\leq 400$ )	280	2.801 (1.576-5.110)	< 0.001 ***
Albumin (g/dL) (≥3.5 vs. <3.5)	300	1.058 (0.617–1.824)	0.839
Prothrombin time (>4 vs. $\leq$ 4)	297	0.666 (0.401–1.099)	0.114
Child-Pugh grade (B and C vs. A)	241	1.029 (0.418-2.484)	0.949
Fibrosis ishak score $(3/4 \text{ and } 5/6 \text{ vs. } 0 \text{ and } 1/2)$	215	1.237 (0.722–2.123)	0.439
Vascular invasion (Yes vs. No)	318	1.353 (0.852–2.154)	0.201

\*: p < 0.05, \*\*: p < 0.01, \*\*\*: p < 0.001.

## 3.4. High Expression of POGK Is a Risk Factor for Survival in HCC

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that high POGK expression correlated with a poor prognosis in HCC patients in terms of overall survival (OS) (p = 0.0018), progression-free survival (PFS) (p = 0.0087), relapse-free survival (RFS) (p = 0.045), disease-specific survival (DSS) (p = 0.014) (Figure 4A–D). After stratifying based on clinical characteristics, high POGK expression was significantly associated with poor prognosis in HCC patients with pathologic stages 2 and 3 (p = 0.027), pathologic stages 3 and 4 (p = 0.0028), pathologic

0.091

stage 3 (p = 0.0053), T3 stage (p = 0.00089), histologic grade 1 (p = 0.00086), male gender (p = 0.0014), absence of history of HBV infection (p = 0.00073), and history of alcohol intake (p = 0.03) (Figure 4E–T). Univariate Cox analysis demonstrated that high POGK expression was significantly correlated with poor overall survival in HCC patients (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.582, 95% CI = 1.112–2.249, p = 0.011). However, multivariate Cox analysis demonstrated that high POGK expression was not significantly correlated with poor overall survival in HCC patients (HR = 1.550, 95% CI = 0.973–2.471, p = 0.065) (Table 3).



**Figure 3.** POGK expression of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma according to different clinical characteristics. (**A**) age; (**B**) gender; (**C**) AFP; (**D**) T stage; (**E**) N stage; (**F**) M stage; (**G**) pathologic stage; (**H**) histologic grade; (**I**) tumor status. ns: no significant; \*: p < 0.05; \*\*: p < 0.01; \*\*\*: p < 0.001.



**Figure 4.** Kaplan-Meier curve for survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma according to high and low expression levels of POGK. (**A**) overall survival (OS); (**B**) progression-free survival (PFS); (**C**) relapse-free survival (RFS); (**D**) disease-specific survival (DSS); (**E**) pathologic stage 1 and 2; (**F**) pathologic stage 2 and 3; (**G**) pathologic stage 3 and 4; (**H**) pathologic stage 3; (**I**) T1 stage; (**J**) T2 stage; (**K**) T3 stage; (**L**) histologic grade 1; (**M**) histologic grade 2; (**N**) histologic grade 3; (**O**) male; (**P**) female; (**Q**) with history of HBV infection; (**R**) absence of history of HBV infection; (**S**) with history of alcohol intake; (**T**) absence of alcohol intake.

CharacteristicsIotal (N)Hazard Ratio (95% CI) $p$ ValueHazard Ratio (95% CI) $p$ ValueAge373 $\leq 60$ 177Reference $>60$ 1961.205 (0.850–1.708)0.295Gender373Male253ReferenceFemale1211.261 (0.885–1.796)0.200BMI336 $\leq 25$ 177Reference $\geq 25$ 1600.798 (0.550–1.158)0.235	9 <b>Value</b> 0.886
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.886
	0.886
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.886
Gender373Male253ReferenceFemale1211.261 (0.885-1.796)0.200BMI336 $\leq 25$ 177Reference>251600.798 (0.550-1.158)0.235	0.886
Male         253         Reference           Female         121         1.261 (0.885−1.796)         0.200           BMI         336            ≤25         177         Reference           >25         160         0.798 (0.550−1.158)         0.235	0.886
Female     121     1.261 (0.885–1.796)     0.200       BMI     336       <25	0.886
BMI     336 $\leq 25$ 177 $>25$ 160 $0.798$ (0.550–1.158)     0.235	0.886
≤25 177 Reference >25 160 0.798 (0.550−1.158) 0.235	0.886
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.886
	0.886
$T_{abaco}$ 270	0.886
T1 12 Peference	0.886
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.886
12  and  13  and  14 $188$ $2.126 (1.481-3.052)$ $<0.001  m$ $0.865 (0.118-6.362)$ $0.8$	
N stage 238	
NU 254 Reference	
N1 4 2.029 (0.497–8.281) 0.324	
M stage 2/2	
M0 268 Reference	
M1 4 4.077 (1.281–12.973) 0.017 * 2.176 (0.508–9.328) 0.2	0.295
Pathologic stage 349	
Stage I 173 Reference	
Stage II and Stage III and Stage IV         177         2.090 (1.429–3.055)         <0.001 ***         2.690 (0.355–20.400)         0.3	0.338
Timor status 354	
Tumor frago 202 Reference	
With times 152 2 217 (1 500 2 276) <0.001 *** 1.021 (1 202 2.066) 0.00	006 **
Win fundo 155 2.517 (1.590–5.576) <0.001 1.521 (1.205–5.006) 0.00	.000
C1 and C2 202	
$C_{2} = C_{2} = C_{2$	
G3 and G4 1.56 1.091 (0.761–1.564) 0.656	
inflammation 236	
None 118 Reference	
Mild and Severe 119 1.194 (0.734–1.942) 0.475	
AFP(ng/mL) 279	
<400 215 Reference	
>400 65 1.075 (0.658–1.759) 0.772	
Albumin $(\sigma/dL)$ 299	
$\sim 35$ 60 Reference	
>35 231 0.897 (0.549-1.464) 0.662	
Prothrombin time 296	
$< 1 \qquad 208 \qquad \text{Potential}$	
$\sim 1$ 200 Reference	
$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & $	
Ciliari ugit glade 240	
$\mathbf{A} \qquad 217 \qquad \text{Reference} \qquad 017$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Piorosis isnak score 214	
$0 \operatorname{dit} 1/2$ 100 Reference	
5/4 and 5/6 109 0.440 (0.445–1.232) 0.24/	
Vascular invasion 317	
No 208 Reference	
Yes 110 1.344 (0.887–2.035) 0.163	
POGK 373	
Low 187 Reference	
High         187         1.582 (1.112-2.249)         0.011 *         1.550 (0.973-2.471)         0.0	0.065

Table 3. Cox regression analysis for POGK gene expression.

\*: p < 0.05, \*\*: p < 0.01, \*\*\*: p < 0.001.

## 3.5. Diagnostic Value of POGK Gene Expression in HCC

ROC curve analysis revealed that the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) of POGK gene expression was 0.891, suggesting the high diagnostic value of this gene in HCC (Figure 5A). After stratifying according to patient characteristics, the AUC value of POGK gene expression was 0.881 for T1 and T2 stage (Figure 5B), 0.921 for T3 and T4 stage (Figure 5C), 0.901 for M0 (Figure 5D), 0.898 for N0 (Figure 5E), 0.878 for pathologic stage 1 and 2 (Figure 5F), 0.912 for pathologic stage 3 and 4 (Figure 5G), 0.864 for histologic grade 1 and 2 (Figure 5H), and 0.934 for histologic grade 3 and 4 (Figure 5I).



**Figure 5.** ROC curve for diagnostic value of POGK expression in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. (**A**) in tumor tissue; (**B**) T1 and T2 stage; (**C**) T3 and T4 stage; (**D**) M0 stage; (**E**) N0 stage; (**F**) pathologic stage 1 and 2; (**G**) pathologic stage 3 and 4; (**H**) histologic grade 1 and 2; (**I**) histologic grade 3 and 4.

## 3.6. Functional Enrichment and Analyses of POGK Gene in HCC by GO Analysis

GO enrichment analysis was subsequently conducted to identify the biological processes, molecular functions, and cellular components related to the POGK gene. The POGK gene was significantly enriched in MFs including substrate-specific channel activity, ion channel activity, and metal ion transmembrane transporter activity. For CCs, significant enrichment in the apical plasma membrane, apical part of cells, and cell projection membrane was observed. The results are shown in Figure 6.

# 3.7. POGK-Related Signaling Pathways Identified by GSEA

GSEA showed a large difference in enriched MSigDB gene sets between the low and high POGK gene expression datasets. Based on the normalized enrichment score (NES), the most significantly enriched signaling pathways were then selected. Gene sets related to mitotic prometaphase, kinesins, homologous DNA pairing, and strand exchange, MET activates PTK2 signaling pathway, G1 to S cell cycle control, Aurora B pathway, ncRNAs involved in WNT signaling pathway, hepatitis C, and ncRNAs involved in the STAT3 signaling pathway, showed differential enrichment in the high POGK gene expression phenotype in HCC (Table 4; Figure 7A–I).



**Figure 6.** Significantly enriched GO annotations of the POGK gene in HCC. (**A**) GO functional enrichment analysis and KEGG pathway analysis; (**B**) biological process terms; (**C**) cell component terms and (**D**) molecular function terms. GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; MF, molecular function.

Table 4. Gene sets enriched in the high POGK expression phenotype.

Gene Set Name	Size	ES	NES	p.adjust	q Values
Mitotic Prometaphase	202	0.576702	2.79224	0.017522659	0.011278
Kinesins	61	0.671025	2.657603	0.017522659	0.011278
Homologous DNA Pairing and Strand Exchange	42	0.692287	2.568165	0.017522659	0.011278
MET Activates PTK2 Signaling	30	0.752825	2.545744	0.017522659	0.011278
G1 to S Cell Cycle Control	64	0.573636	2.289791	0.017522659	0.011278
Aurora B Pathway	39	0.623436	2.282505	0.017522659	0.011278
ncRNAs Involved in WNT Signaling in Hepatocellular Carcinoma	86	0.474281	2.018823	0.017522659	0.011278
Hepatitis C and Hepatocellular Carcinoma	50	0.506931	1.928244	0.019218103	0.012369
ncRNAs Involved in STAT3 Signaling in Hepatocellular Carcinoma	17	0.644878	1.902398	0.017522659	0.011278

Abbreviations: ES, enrichment score; NES, normalized enrichment score; Gene sets with p-value < 0.05 and q-value < 0.05 were considered as significantly enriched.



**Figure 7.** Enrichment plots from gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma with high POGK expression. (**A**) mitotic prometaphase; (**B**) kinesins; (**C**) homologous DNA pairing and strand exchange; (**D**) MET activates PTK2 signaling pathway; (**E**) G1 to S cell cycle control, (**F**) Aurora B pathway; (**G**) ncRNAs involved in WNT signaling pathway; (**H**) hepatitis C; (**I**) ncRNAs involved in the STAT3 signaling pathway.

# 3.8. Correlation between POGK Expression and Immune Infiltration

A negative correlation was found between POGK expression and the abundance of gamma delta T cells (Tgd), T cells, natural killer (NK) CD56 dim cells, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, regulatory T cells (TReg), plasmacytoid pre-dendritic cells (pDC), dendritic cells (DC), cytotoxic cells, while a positive correlation was found with the abundance of helper T2 (Th2) cells, T helper cells, NK CD56 bright cells, central memory T cells (Tcm), follicular helper T cells (TFH) in the HCC microenvironment ( $p_s < 0.05$ ) (Table 5 and Figure 8A–G).

Α

\_\_\_\_

Cells	Coefficient of Correlation (Pearson)	<i>p</i> Value (Pearson)	Coefficient of Correlation (Spearman)	<i>p</i> Value (Spearman)
aDC	0.080	0.122	0.056	0.279
B cells	-0.060	0.247	-0.081	0.119
CD8 T cells	-0.167	0.001 **	-0.180	< 0.001 ***
Cytotoxic cells	-0.399	< 0.001 ***	-0.427	< 0.001 ***
DC	-0.319	< 0.001 ***	-0.356	< 0.001 ***
Eosinophils	0.071	0.169	0.070	0.176
iDC	-0.050	0.334	-0.085	0.100
Macrophages	0.088	0.090	0.059	0.251
Mast cells	-0.087	0.094	-0.057	0.269
Neutrophils	-0.202	< 0.001 ***	-0.221	< 0.001 ***
NK CD56 bright cells	0.134	0.010 *	0.154	0.003 **
NK CD56 dim cells	-0.118	0.022 *	-0.158	0.002 **
NK cells	0.041	0.425	-0.026	0.617
pDC	-0.309	< 0.001 ***	-0.310	< 0.001 ***
T cells	-0.121	0.019 *	-0.144	0.005 **
T helper cells	0.283	< 0.001 ***	0.281	< 0.001 ***
Tcm	0.161	0.002 **	0.148	0.004 **
Tem	0.082	0.112	0.053	0.311
TFH	0.134	0.010 *	0.123	0.017 *
Tgd	-0.058	0.262	-0.143	0.006 **
Th1 cells	-0.042	0.419	-0.048	0.351
Th17 cells	-0.027	0.599	-0.022	0.668
Th2 cells	0.386	< 0.001 ***	0.369	< 0.001 ***
TReg	-0.227	< 0.001 ***	-0.235	< 0.001 ***

 Table 5. Correlation analysis between POGK and immune cells in GEO dataset.

Th: T helper cell; Tfh: Follicular helper T cell; Treg, regulatory T cell. \*: p < 0.05, \*\*: p < 0.01, \*\*\*: p < 0.001.



Figure 8. Cont.



**Figure 8.** Correlation between POGK expression and immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. (A) Correlation between the relative abundances of 24 immune cells and POGK expression level. The absolute value of Spearman R was shown by the size of the dots. (**B**–**G**) Scatter plots and correlation charts showing the difference in Th2 cells, T helper cells, and cytotoxic cell enrichment levels between high and low POGK expression level groups. \*\*\*: p < 0.001.

## 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess POGK gene expression and its potential prognostic impact on HCC. Herein, we found that POGK was upregulated in HCC patients and correlated with a poor prognosis. Furthermore, high POGK expression was involved in many signal pathways in HCC and correlated with the abundance of adaptive and innate immunocytes.

The past decade has witnessed unprecedented scientific advances, which have led to the discovery of many factors involved in HCC development and progression. In this study, POGK was significantly upregulated, and high POGK expression was associated with poor prognosis in HCC patients. Meanwhile, ROC curve analysis demonstrated the high diagnostic value of this gene in differentiating between HCC patients and healthy subjects (AUC = 0.891). It has been established that POGK contains a transposase domain at the C-terminus and a KRAB domain at the N-terminus. There is ample literature suggesting that KRAB proteins, including KRAB-ZFPs and KAP1, play important roles in neoplastic transformation [12–15]. A cluster of 16 KRAB-ZNFs was documented to be commonly upregulated across multiple cancer cohorts in a TCGA pan-cancer expression analysis [16]. Moreover, a systemic review reported that KRAB-ZFPs play oncogenic and suppressive roles in various cancers [17]. POGK, derived from the KRAB domain, may have similar roles to KRAB-ZFPs in neoplastic transformation. Moreover, pogo transposases are one of the superfamilies of IS630-Tc1-mariner (ITm), which represent the most prevalent DNA transposable elements (TEs) [18,19]. The evolution and diversity of pogo transposases has been widely documented in the literature [20,21]. The Pogo superfamily is widely distributed in animals and fungi, and has been reported in vertebrates with 12 genes, including POGK, pogo transposable element derived with ZNF domain (POGZ), Jrk helix-turn-helix protein (JRK), JRK-like (JRKL), centromere-associated protein B

(CENPB), CENPB DNA-binding domain containing 1 (CENPBD1), and Tigger transposable element-derived 2 to 7 (TIGD2-7) [22]. Overwhelming evidence substantiates that genes of the pogo superfamily are associated with certain malignancies. For instance, high expression of POGZ is reportedly associated with a poor prognosis of osteosarcoma [23], while JRK expression was aberrantly elevated in colorectal, breast, and ovarian cancers [24]. In addition, JRK expression predicts worse survival in soft tissue sarcomas [25]. For CENPB and CENPBD1, CENPB can be a serum biomarker for the diagnosis of lung cancer [26], while mRNA expression of CENPBD1 has prognostic value for survival in radio(chemo)therapy-treated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [27]. POGK is a gene of the pogo superfamily with similar functions to other genes in the family and is widely thought to be involved in tumorigenesis, growth, and metastasis of HCC.

The function of POGK has been largely understudied. In this study, GO enrichment analysis showed that the POGK gene was significantly enriched in many BPs, CCs (such as apical plasma membrane, apical part of the cell, and cell projection membrane), and MFs (such as substrate-specific channel activity, ion channel activity, and metal ion transmembrane transporter activity). GSEA showed significant enrichment in pathways such as mitotic prometaphase, kinesins, homologous DNA pairing, and strand exchange; MET activates the PTK2 signaling pathway, G1 to S cell cycle control, Aurora B pathway, ncR-NAs involved in WNT signaling pathway, hepatitis C, and ncRNAs involved in STAT3 signaling pathway) in the high POGK gene expression phenotype in HCC in this study. Meanwhile, POGK expression correlated with the abundance of immune cells in the tumor microenvironment of HCC. It has been shown that Pogo transposase contains a putative helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain indicating that it is a DNA transposon [28]. Current evidence suggests that KRAB proteins KRAB-ZFPs belong to the largest family of transcriptional regulators in higher vertebrates and mediate various processes related to development and physiology, such as heterochromatin induction in early development and TEs control, cell differentiation, and cellular metabolism [29]. Moreover, KRAB proteins have been demonstrated to control adaptive immune cell differentiation and function in mice and humans [9], which indicates the function and immune infiltration associated with POGK.

It is widely acknowledged that standard-of-care treatment for HCC is mainly based on tumor status and liver function. Although surgery remains the mainstay of curative treatment, it is indicated in only selected patients [30]. Molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy have made vast progress over the past few years. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-based combinations have huge prospects for application as the first-line therapy in unresectable HCC [31]. Unfortunately, only a minority of HCC patients benefit from molecular-targeted therapy and immunotherapy. Accordingly, more clinical trial data are needed to support specific treatment strategies. Herein, we substantiated that POGK gene expression correlated with the abundance of immune cells in the tumor microenvironment of HCC, suggesting it can be a potent therapeutic target for this patient population, emphasizing the need for more studies.

There were some limitations in this study. First, although the data were collected from multicenter studies in public databases, this study lacked uniform intervention measures and further details on the patients, given its retrospective nature. Indeed, further experiments are warranted to assess the expression differences of POGK between HCC, adjacent tissue, and normal tissue. Although matched HCC tissues and adjacent normal tissues from HCC patients were analyzed for POGK expression in this study, the robustness of our findings was affected to a certain extent by the heterogeneity of the study population obtained from the TCGA. Moreover, we did not explore the potential mechanism of POGK in HCC. Further studies are thus necessary to explore the underlying mechanisms via POGK-knockout and POGK-overexpressed models.

In summary, high expression of POGK has a high diagnostic value and correlates with a poor prognosis in HCC patients. Moreover, POGK expression is correlated with immune infiltration in HCC. These findings suggest that POGK has huge prospects for application as a new biomarker for HCC.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study pointed out that POGK has a high diagnostic value for hepatocellular carcinoma, and the high expression of POGK is closely related to the poor prognosis of HCC pa-tients. At the same time, our data explain the biological function of POGK and its relevance to other signaling pathways, and demonstrate that POGK expression is associated with immune infiltration in HCC. These findings reveal that POGK may be a potential new biomarker for HCC and provide a theoretical basis for the development of targeted drugs in HCC.

**Author Contributions:** W.X., Y.H., Y.M., Y.Z., Q.L., S.Z., L.P., Z.G., Y.L. and J.L. contributed to the concept and design of the study. W.X., Y.H. and J.L. had full access to all the data in the study and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. W.X., Y.H. and Y.M. participated in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data. W.X. drafted the manuscript. Y.H., Y.L. and J.L. revised the manuscript. W.X., Y.H. and Y.L. obtained funding and validated POGK expression in the clinical samples. Y.Z., Q.L., S.Z. and L.P. assisted in downloading and analyzing the data. J.L., Y.L. and Z.G. took responsibility for the supervision of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by grants from the Clinical Research Program of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (No. QHJH201808 to W.X.), Guangzhou Science and Technology Project (No. 202102080064 to W.X.), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2020A1515110907 to Y.L.), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82204447 to Y.L., No. 82202417 to Y.H.), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2022A1515011056 to Y.L.), and the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (No. 2019TQ0381 to Y.H.).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** This study conformed to the Ethical Guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (approval No. (2020)02-172-02).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: All the data and material are presented in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R.L.; Torre, L.A.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **2018**, *68*, 394–424. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Fuchs, H.E.; Jemal, A. Cancer Statistics. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2021, 71, 7–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Llovet, J.M.; Kelley, R.K.; Villanueva, A.; Singal, A.G.; Pikarsky, E.; Roayaie, S.; Lencioni, R.; Koike, K.; Zucman-Rossi, J.; Finn, R.S. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 2021, 7, 6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- De Martel, C.; Georges, D.; Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Clifford, G.M. Global burden of cancer attributable to infections in 2018: A worldwide incidence analysis. *Lancet Glob. Health* 2020, 8, e180–e190. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Liang, W.; Jing, W.; Liu, M. Countdown to 2030: Eliminating hepatitis B disease, China. Bull. World Health Organ. 2019, 97, 230–238. [CrossRef]
- Feng, R.-M.; Zong, Y.-N.; Cao, S.-M.; Xu, R.-H. Current cancer situation in China: Good or bad news from the 2018 Global Cancer Statistics? *Cancer Commun.* 2019, 39, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 7. Aravalli, R.N.; Cressman, E.N.K.; Steer, C.J. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of hepatocellular carcinoma: An update. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2012**, *87*, 227–247. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Y.; Han, Q.-J.; Zhang, J. Hepatocellular carcinoma: Mechanisms of progression and immunotherapy. World J. Gastroenterol. 2019, 25, 3151–3167. [CrossRef]
- 9. De Sio, F.R.S. Kruppel-associated box (KRAB) proteins in the adaptive immune system. Nucleus 2014, 5, 138–148. [CrossRef]
- Ziv, Y.; Bielopolski, D.; Galanty, Y.; Lukas, C.; Taya, Y.; Schultz, D.C.; Lukas, J.; Bekker-Jensen, S.; Bartek, J.; Shiloh, Y. Chromatin relaxation in response to DNA double-strand breaks is modulated by a novel ATM- and KAP-1 dependent pathway. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2006, *8*, 870–876. [CrossRef]

- Noon, A.T.; Shibata, A.; Rief, N.; Löbrich, M.; Stewart, G.; Jeggo, P.A.; Goodarzi, A.A. 53BP1-dependent robust localized KAP-1 phosphorylation is essential for heterochromatic DNA double-strand break repair. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2010, 12, 177–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liao, C.-C.; Tsai, C.Y.; Chang, W.-C.; Lee, W.-H.; Wang, J.-M. RB·E2F1 Complex Mediates DNA Damage Responses through Transcriptional Regulation of ZBRK1. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 33134–33143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, L.-F.; Chuang, C.-H.; Li, C.-F.; Liao, C.-C.; Cheng, C.-P.; Cheng, T.-L.; Shen, M.-R.; Tseng, J.T.; Chang, W.-C.; Lee, W.-H.; et al. ZBRK1 Acts as a Metastatic Suppressor by Directly Regulating *MMP9* in Cervical Cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2010, 70, 192–201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, B.; O'Herrin, S.M.; Wu, J.; Reagan-Shaw, S.; Ma, Y.; Bhat, K.M.; Gravekamp, C.; Setaluri, V.; Peters, N.; Hoffmann, F.M.; et al. MAGE-A, mMage-b, and MAGE-C Proteins Form Complexes with KAP1 and Suppress p53-Dependent Apoptosis in MAGE-Positive Cell Lines. *Cancer Res.* 2007, 67, 9954–9962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Yokoe, T.; Toiyama, Y.; Okugawa, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Ohi, M.; Inoue, Y.; Mohri, Y.; Miki, C.; Kusunoki, M. KAP1 Is Associated With Peritoneal Carcinomatosis in Gastric Cancer. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* **2009**, *17*, 821–828. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Machnik, M.; Cylwa, R.; Kiełczewski, K.; Biecek, P.; Liloglou, T.; Mackiewicz, A.; Oleksiewicz, U. The expression signature of cancer-associated KRAB—ZNF factors identified in TCGA pan-cancer transcriptomic data. *Mol. Oncol.* 2018, 13, 701–724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sobocińska, J.; Molenda, S.; Machnik, M.; Oleksiewicz, U. KRAB-ZFP Transcriptional Regulators Acting as Oncogenes and Tumor Suppressors: An Overview. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 2212. [CrossRef]
- Puzakov, M.V.; Puzakova, L.V.; Cheresiz, S.V.; Sang, Y. The IS630/Tc1/mariner transposons in three ctenophore genomes. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* 2021, 163, 107231. [CrossRef]
- 19. Dupeyron, M.; Baril, T.; Bass, C.; Hayward, A. Phylogenetic analysis of the Tc1/mariner superfamily reveals the unexplored diversity of pogo-like elements. *Mob. DNA* **2020**, *11*, 21. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Zong, W.; Diaby, M.; Lin, Z.; Wang, S.; Gao, B.; Ji, T.; Song, C. Diversity and Evolution of *pogo* and *Tc1/mariner* Transposons in the Apoidea Genomes. *Biology* 2021, 10, 940. [CrossRef]
- 21. Gao, B.; Sang, Y.; Zong, W.; Diaby, M.; Shen, D.; Wang, S.; Wang, Y.; Chen, C.; Song, C. Evolution and domestication of *Tc1/mariner* transposons in the genome of African coelacanth (*Latimeria chalumnae*). *Genome* **2020**, *63*, 375–386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gao, B.; Wang, Y.; Diaby, M.; Zong, W.; Shen, D.; Wang, S.; Chen, C.; Wang, X.; Song, C. Evolution of pogo, a separate superfamily of IS630-Tc1-mariner transposons, revealing recurrent domestication events in vertebrates. *Mob. DNA* 2020, *11*, 25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Zheng, S.; Liu, Y.; Sun, H.; Jia, J.; Wu, T.; Ding, R.; Cheng, X. Identification of abnormally high expression of POGZ as a new biomarker associated with a poor prognosis in osteosarcoma. *Eur. J. Histochem.* **2021**, *65*, 3264. [CrossRef]
- Pangon, L.; Ng, I.; Giry-Laterriere, M.; Currey, N.; Morgan, A.D.; Benthani, F.; Tran, P.N.; Alsohaily, S.; Segelov, E.; Parker, B.L.; et al. JRK is a positive regulator of β-catenin transcriptional activity commonly overexpressed in colon, breast and ovarian cancer. *Oncogene* 2015, *35*, 2834–2841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Wu, C.; Gong, S.; Osterhoff, G.; Schopow, N. A Novel Four-Gene Prognostic Signature for Prediction of Survival in Patients with Soft Tissue Sarcoma. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 5837. [CrossRef]
- Wu, S.; Zhang, J.; Wei, H.; Liu, Y.; Dai, X.; Xue, J.; Shen, T.; Liu, X. Serum Biomarker Panel for Rapid Early Diagnosis of Lung Cancer. Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 2022. online ahead of print. [CrossRef]
- Wintergerst, L.; Selmansberger, M.; Maihöfer, C.; Schüttrumpf, L.; Walch, A.; Wilke, C.; Pitea, A.; Woischke, C.; Baumeister, P.; Kirchner, T.; et al. A prognostic mRNA expression signature of four 16q24.3 genes in radio(chemo)therapy-treated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). *Mol. Oncol.* 2018, 12, 2085–2101. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wang, H.; Hartswood, E.; Finnegan, D.J. Pogo transposase contains a putative helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain that recognises a 12 bp sequence within the terminal inverted repeats. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1999**, *27*, 455–461. [CrossRef]
- 29. Ecco, G.; Imbeault, M.; Trono, D. KRAB zinc finger proteins. Development 2017, 144, 2719–2729. [CrossRef]
- Wen, N.; Cai, Y.; Li, F.; Ye, H.; Tang, W.; Song, P.; Cheng, N. The clinical management of hepatocellular carcinoma worldwide: A concise review and comparison of current guidelines: 2022 update. *Biosci. Trends* 2022, 16, 20–30. [CrossRef]
- Rizzo, A.; Ricci, A.D.; Gadaleta-Caldarola, G.; Brandi, G. First-line immune checkpoint inhibitor-based combinations in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: Current management and future challenges. *Expert Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2021, 15, 1245–1251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]