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Abstract

Sorption capacities and pore characteristics of bulk shales and isolated kerogens have been determined for immature, oil-window and gas-window mature samples from the Lower Toarcian Posidonia Shale formation. Dubinin-Radushkevich (DR) micropore volumes, sorption pore volumes and surface areas of shales and kerogens were determined from CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms at -78°C and 0°C, and N$_2$ adsorption isotherms at -196°C. Mercury injection capillary pressure porosimetry, grain density measurements and helium pycnometry were used to determine shale and kerogen densities and total pore volumes. Total porosities decrease through the oil-window and then increase into the gas-window. High-pressure methane isotherms up to 14 MPa were determined at 45, 65 and 85°C on dry shale and at 45 and 65°C on kerogen. Methane excess uptakes at 65°C and 11.5 MPa were in the range 0.056-0.110 mmol g$^{-1}$ (40-78 scf t$^{-1}$) for dry Posidonia shales and 0.36-0.70 mmol g$^{-1}$ (253-499 scf t$^{-1}$) for the corresponding dry kerogens. Absolute methane isotherms were calculated by correcting for the gas at bulk gas phase density in the sorption pore volume. The enthalpies of CH$_4$ adsorption for shales and kerogens at zero surface coverage showed no significant variation with maturity, indicating that the sorption pore volume is the primary control on sorption uptake. The sum of pore volumes measured by a) CO$_2$ sorption at -78°C and b) mercury injection, are similar to the total porosity for shales. Since mercury in our experiments occupies pores with constrictions larger than ca. 6 nm, we infer that porosity measured by CO$_2$ adsorption at -78°C in the samples used in this study is largely within pores with effective diameters smaller than 6 nm. The linear correlation between maximum CH$_4$ surface excess sorption and CO$_2$ sorption pore volume at -78°C is very strong for both shales and kerogens, and goes through the origin, suggesting that the vast majority of sorbed CH$_4$ occurs in pores smaller than 6 nm. The DR micropore volume obtained from CO$_2$ adsorption at 0°C was 40-62% of the corresponding CO$_2$ sorption pore volume. Sorption mass balances using kerogen and shale isotherms showed that approximately half of the CO$_2$ sorption in these dry shales is in organic matter, with the rest likely to be associated with the inorganic phase (mainly clay minerals). A similar distribution was observed for supercritical CH$_4$ adsorption. Mass balances for adsorption isotherms for kerogen and clay minerals do not always account for the total measured sorbed CH$_4$ on dry
shales, suggesting that some sorption may possibly occur, which is not accounted for by the
minerals identified and kerogens in the shales.
1. INTRODUCTION

Shale gas is a key methane supply resource for the future. In 2010 it accounted for 20 % of the natural gas production in the United States, up from 1 % in 2000.\(^1\) The economic potential of shale gas reservoirs is essentially a function of the Gas-in-Place (GIP) and the rate at which that gas can be supplied from the shale matrix to an induced fracture network connected to a wellbore. At the heart of both factors is the requirement to quantify the nature of the shale pore volume and to understand how variations in pore size distributions affect the location and amounts of both sorbed and homogeneous bulk (“free”) gas.

Pore volumes and size distributions in shales are affected by compaction, maturity, grain size and mineralogy.\(^2\)-\(^7\) The pore volume of shales can be determined by various techniques such as mercury injection capillary pressure (MICP) porosimetry and grain density/ helium pycnometry, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and gas sorption techniques, with each method characterizing a specific pore size range. Ultra small angle neutron scattering and small angle neutron scattering techniques are also useful for the determination of pore connectivity in shales.\(^8\)-\(^10\) Sorbed gas in shale is in equilibrium with the homogeneous bulk gas phase (“free gas”) in larger pores. Sorption capacity depends on pressure and temperature, and structural characteristics, such as (micro-) pore volume and organic matter type, maturity and content. Supercritical methane maximum surface excess adsorption decreases linearly with reciprocal of absolute temperature for an Alum shale.\(^11\) The hygroscopic moisture content of shales correlates with methane sorption capacity indicating that methane and water compete for the same sorption sites. Also, under geological conditions, moisture may be present leading to reduced methane capacity compared with dry shales.\(^12\),\(^13\),\(^14\)

Ross et al have reported positive correlations between maturity and sorption capacity in organic-rich shales, which they attributed to an increased micropore volume (pore width 0.3 – 2 nm).\(^14\) However, they were not able to fully explain variations in sorption capacity by micropore volume and Total Organic Carbon (TOC) content alone. Several authors have reported positive correlations of methane sorption uptake and TOC, implying that much of the sorbed methane in shale is associated with organic matter.\(^12\),\(^14\),\(^15\) Gasparik et al. reported no correlation for methane sorption capacity and TOC (0.8-10.5%) for dry shales\(^16\),
but found a correlation for another suite of dry shales with TOC (0.4-14.1%). These results illustrate the complex nature of the problem when relating composition and structure of shales to methane sorption capacity. Water may also be present under subsurface conditions and methane adsorption studies of moisture-equilibrated shale samples show that competitive adsorption has a detrimental effect on methane sorption capacity.

The differences between surface excess and absolute amounts adsorbed become significant in high pressure isotherms. The surface excess adsorbed represents the amount of gas exceeding bulk gas phase density in the system. The absolute amount adsorbed represents the total amount of gas molecules in the sorbed state. Various semi-empirical models such as the Langmuir or the supercritical Dubinin-Radushkevich model are available alongside models based on density functional theory. While the Langmuir models have been commonly used to parameterize shale sorption data, the supercritical Dubinin-Radushkevich model has been shown to have good applicability for a predominantly ultramicroporous (< 0.7 nm) high maturity Alum shale (Ro=2.26%), for isotherms over a wide temperature range (27-200°C). Although sorption data on shales are available, the absolute sorption capacity in shale remains poorly constrained.

Shales are complex heterogeneous materials with amorphous kerogen and inorganic phases. The amounts of methane adsorbed on shales are also very low and this is complicated by the fact that the minor kerogen component has a much larger adsorption capacity than the inorganic phase in the shale. Previous studies have concentrated on the correlation of surface excess with geological characterization data, for example, total organic carbon, maturity etc. and this has significant limitations. The aim of this study was to investigate how supercritical methane sorption capacity and pore structural characteristics in shale and kerogen obtained from subcritical adsorption, change with maturity. The pore structural characteristics were obtained using subcritical carbon dioxide (-78 and 0°C) and nitrogen (-196°C) adsorption, mercury injection capillary pressure (MICP) porosimetry, helium pycnometry and grain density measurements. Posidonia shale samples from the early oil window, the oil window and the gas window were studied. The shale sample set is relatively homogeneous in terms of TOC and mineral composition, allowing the impact of maturity on shale and kerogen pore structure and methane capacity to be studied independently of these variables. Kerogen samples were isolated using chemical methods.
Furthermore, high-pressure methane sorption isotherms were determined on both shales and isolated organic kerogen matter to investigate the interrelations between high-pressure sorption capacity, maturity, pore structure and the sorbed gas distribution between organic and inorganic phases.

1.1 Posidonia Shales

The Lower Toarcian Posidonia Shale is regarded as one of the most widespread and economically important petroleum source rocks of Western Europe. The formation is a reference source rock of Type II kerogen.21-23 These black shales were deposited in an epicontinental sea of moderate depth, extending from the Yorkshire Basin (United Kingdom), over the Lower Saxony Basin and the Southwest German Basin into the Paris Basin, during the Lower Toarcian period.21,24,25 Recent electron microscopy studies inferred that the formation of nanoporous organic materials occurred due to gaseous hydrocarbon generation in Posidonia shales of gas window maturity.26 Geological and geochemical history has been reviewed in detail elsewhere.21,24-30

2 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

Posidonia shales were obtained from the Wickensen (WIC), Harderode (HAR) and Hadessen (HAD) boreholes. These boreholes were drilled along the Western flank of the Hils half-graben. The shales progressively increase in maturity from the early oil window Wickensen ($R_0 = 0.53\%$), through the mid oil window Harderode ($R_0 = 0.89\%$), to the gas window Hadessen ($R_0 = 1.45\%$) samples.26

Carbon dioxide and nitrogen gases were obtained from BOC with purities of 99.995% and 99.9995%, respectively. Methane, with a purity of 99.995%, was obtained from Air Products and Air Liquide.

2.2 Petrophysical characterization

2.2.1 Grain density measurements

These measurements were carried using a glass pycnometer with nominal volume 50 mL. Approximately 3 g of material was crushed to $< 0.5$ mm particle size and dried overnight.
at 105°C. The sample was added to a pre-weighed pycnometer and weighed. 10 mL of surfactant (5% Teepol) solution was added and the pycnometer was filled with degassed water and reweighed. Measurements were carried out using the British Standard methods for calibration and use of pycnometers. Duplicate determinations were within 0.03 g cm$^{-3}$. Details of the method used for calculation of the grain densities are given in a previous publication.$^{11}$

2.2.2 Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Measurements

The samples were crushed to pass through a 0.5 mm sieve. 0.1 g of the powder, in a porous crucible, was treated with sufficient hydrochloric acid, 4 mol L$^{-1}$, to remove carbonates. After the acid had drained from the crucible, the crucible and sample were dried overnight at 65°C. The total organic carbon content was then measured using a Leco CS244 Carbon/Sulphur Analysaer.

2.2.3 Rock Eval Pyrolysis

Rock-Eval Pyrolysis was carried out with a Delsi Rock Eval OSA pyrolysis instrument and the following parameters were measured: The S1 value is the amount of free hydrocarbons in the sample and S2 is the amount of hydrocarbons generated through thermal cracking of non-volatile organic matter. $T_{\text{max}}$ is the temperature of maximum release of cracked hydrocarbons. This temperature is an indicator of the maturity of the sample.$^{32}$

2.2.4 X-ray diffraction (XRD)

The XRD data were obtained using a Siemens D5000 Diffractometer, using cobalt $K_\alpha$ radiation. The samples were scanned from 2-75° 2θ, with a step time of 2 seconds per 0.02 degree step. The minerals were quantified by Hillier’s method.$^{33,34}$

2.2.5 Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure Porosimetry

Porosimetry measurements were performed using a Micromeritics Autopore IV Mercury Injection Porosimeter. Shale samples were freeze-dried for 48 h and ~ 1 cm$^3$ samples were loaded and outgassed under vacuum. The mercury pressure was increased stepwise up to 268.9 MPa. MICP bulk volumes/densities of shale were calculated from the bulk volume of the known mass of sample placed into the MICP equipment and the grain density of the sample measured by the small pycnometer method. Pore volumes, measured
by injected mercury, \((\text{MICP}_{pv})\) were calculated from the difference of the volume of mercury injected at 1.379 MPa and 268.9 MPa, assuming that the small amounts of mercury injected at the lower pressure, only fill surface topography and micro fractures. The macro porosity (1093 - 50 nm) present in some samples, which could act as methane gas storage capacity was included in the total pore volume, but surface topography and micro fractures related to the de-stressing and drying of geological samples were excluded (see Table 1). The Washburn equation\(^{35}\) is derived for cylindrical pores and the calculations were carried out assuming a contact angle 141° between mercury and particle surface and a surface tension of 0.485 N m\(^{-1}\).\(^{3,36,37}\) predicts that at these pressures, mercury penetrates pore throats (constrictions) with equivalent diameters between 1093 nm at 1.379 MPa and 5.6 nm at 268.9 MPa. These diameters should be regarded as equivalent pore diameters because of the variation in pore shape in the heterogeneous shale materials. Details about the measurements are given in the Supporting Information (section A).

### 2.3 Kerogen Isolation

Shales (~25 g each) were crushed to powder and treated with 8 mL HCl (0.5 M) to remove carbonates and acidified \(\text{CrCl}_2\) (1.0 M) to remove pyrite. The mixture was diluted with degassed water. The shale particles were separated from the solution by centrifuging (15 min, 3500 min\(^{-1}\)). The process was repeated 3 times. Samples were then freeze-dried (-25°C). Silicates were removed by treating the decarbonated and depyritized shales with 15 mL HF (0.4 M). The process was repeated twice. The shale-acid mixture was diluted with degassed water and the kerogens were separated by filtering. For Harderode shales no depyritization was conducted as pyrite removal proved inefficient for Wickensen and Hadessen shales. XRD profiles were obtained before and after the demineralization to ensure removal of all minerals except pyrite. Details about the process and chemical reactions are given in Supporting Information (Section B1). The isolated kerogen samples contained varying amounts of pyrite (see Supporting Information, Section B2, Figure S1), which were measured by proximate analysis (see Section B3 and Table S1). \(\text{CO}_2\) (-78°C) and \(\text{N}_2\) (-196°C) adsorption isotherms for pyrite showed that no significant adsorption occurred (see Supporting Information, Figure S2). Therefore, all kerogen adsorption isotherms were corrected for pyrite content (Supporting Information, Section B3).
2.4 Pore characterization by low-pressure sorption

Adsorption characteristics of nitrogen and carbon dioxide on the shales and kerogens were investigated using an Intelligent Gravimetric Analyzer (IGA), supplied by Hiden Isochema Ltd., Warrington, UK. The system has been described in detail previously. Sorption measurements on shale by IGAs at temperatures above 0°C require removal of traces of moisture from the gas supply. Details of system modifications for moisture-removal from the gas stream are given in Supporting Information (section C2).

Adsorbent samples were crushed to particle sizes between 500 – 1180 µm, loaded (130 – 160 mg of shales, 40-105 mg of kerogens) in the IGA and out-gassed to a constant weight (typically for ~4 hours), at < 10⁻⁴ Pa, at 110°C. N₂ isotherms were measured at -196°C up to a pressure of 99 kPa. CO₂ isotherms were collected at -78°C and 0°C up to 100 kPa. The saturated vapor pressure (p₀) for CO₂ at 0°C is 3.49 MPa. All adsorption isotherms were measured a minimum of two times and the experimental repeatabilities were typically ± 1.5 % for CO₂ adsorption uptakes at both -78 and 0°C at 0.1 MPa and ± 1.1 % for N₂ uptakes at -196°C and 0.099 MPa.

2.5 High-pressure methane sorption

High pressure methane isotherms were measured on a Hiden Isochema Intelligent Manometric Instrument (IMI). System specifications are described in Supporting Information (see Table S2). Crushed shale samples (500 – 1180 µm) and kerogens were pre-dried (typically for ~4 hours, at < 500 Pa and at 110°C) in a vacuum oven and loaded (typically ~10 g shale, 0.8-1.3 g kerogen) into the IMI sample cell. For kerogens, displacers were employed to reduce the void volume, because only relatively small quantities were available. Prior to the measurements another internal drying was carried out (typically for ~4 hours), at < 10⁻⁴ Pa, at 110°C followed by helium pycnometry (2 MPa dosing pressure at 40°C and ca. 0.7 MPa at equilibrium after dosing) to determine the skeletal volume. The assumption is that helium penetrates all accessible porosity.

Methane isotherms were measured at 45, 65 and 85°C. In between measuring isotherms the system was out-gassed below < 10⁻⁶ Pa and heated to 110°C. Excess uptake was calculated by a mass balance given in Supporting Information (Section D1). Isotherm experimental repeatabilities were typically ± 5.0 % for both shale and kerogens at 10 MPa.
In addition, assuming that helium adsorption is negligible, helium isotherms were measured at all temperatures as blank determinations for no adsorption, to leak-test the system and to monitor skeletal densities.

2.6 Saturated vapor pressure

Saturated vapor pressures ($p_0$) and gas densities were calculated from the NIST Standard Reference database 23 by using the REFPROP Version 9.0 software. The following equations of state (EOS) were used: CO$_2$ (Span et al) , N$_2$ (Span et al), CH$_4$ (Setzmann et al) and helium (Lemmon et al).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Petrophysical characterization

Total Organic Carbon and Grain densities

The TOC and the grain densities obtained from both buoyancy and helium pycnometry are shown in Table 1. TOC values range from 5.8 - 10.9 wt%. Excellent agreement was observed for shale grain densities (2.331 - 2.607 g cm$^{-3}$) and helium pycnometry (2.297 - 2.614 g cm$^{-3}$) with measurements for specific samples agreeing within 3%. Kerogen densities were much lower and ranged from 1.024 to 1.368 g cm$^{-3}$.

Rock Eval Pyrolysis

The bulk geochemical data classifies the Posidonia Shale kerogen as Type II with a maturation trend typical for the marine algal kerogen (see Table 2). The Rock-Eval analyses showed a decrease of hydrogen index (HI) from ~700 mg HC/g in the early oil window down to ~370 mg HC/g in the peak oil window and 50 mg HC/g in the gas window. The S1 and S2 parameters decrease with increasing maturity.

The Rock Eval data for the kerogens shows that the $T_{\text{max}}$ values are slightly lower than for the corresponding shales (see Table S1b) with the difference increasing with increasing kerogen maturity. Heat and mass transfer effects are likely to be quite different for isolated kerogens and kerogens embedded in an inorganic shale matrix and these factors will influence $T_{\text{max}}$ significantly. However, good linear correlations are observed for S2 peak ($R^2 = 0.9595$) and HI ($R^2 = 0.9977$) parameters for pyrolysis of the kerogen and shales (see Figures...
S1c and d). Previous work has shown that S2 and HI parameters are decreased by mineral matrix effects involving retention of pyrolysis products. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that the kerogen isolation process only had a minimal effect on kerogen properties.

Mineralogy

The bulk mineralogical compositions of these Posidonia samples are similar throughout the whole maturation sequence (see Table 3). The most abundant phases are calcite (31-55 wt.%) and phyllosilicates (23-37 wt.%). Within the phyllosilicates group, illite-rich mixed layer illite-smectite is the most prominent component, followed by kaolinite, illite, muscovite and chlorite. In addition, there is a moderate content of quartz (8-16 wt.%) and minor contents of pyrite (4-9 wt.%), feldspars (1-5 wt.%) and dolomite (0.3-6.4 wt.%). Other minerals include siderite, marcasite and anatase, but their content does not exceed 2-3 wt.%. The XRD and microscopic data classify the immature Posidonia Shale as a calcareous nanoplankton-, silt- and clay-bearing mudstone.

3.2 Pore characterization

3.2.1 Total Pore Volume (TPV) and MICP Pore Volume (MICP$_{pv}$)

The bulk volume obtained from MICP and the skeletal densities from grain density measurements and helium pycnometry were used to calculate the total pore volumes of the Posidonia shales. The total pore volume (< 1093 nm) was obtained from mercury injection at 1.379 MPa and the helium or grain densities. Mercury injection measures the accessible pore volume for constrictions with equivalent pore widths > 5.6 nm based on the prediction of the Washburn equation for the pressure (268.90 MPa) used. Correcting for conformance/surface roughness using the method of Wang et al, which, depending on the sample, ranged from 1.379 - 35.2 MPa mercury pressure (corresponding to equivalent pore diameters of 43 to 1093 nm), did not lead to significantly different MICP pore volumes. The maximum pore sizes in the samples obtained from MICP were as follows: WIC7145 (156 nm), WIC7155: (156 nm), HAR7038 (21 nm), HAR 7060 (24 nm), HAD 7090 (156 nm) and HAD7199 (547 nm). These maximum pore sizes decrease through the oil-window and then increase into the gas-window.
Mercury injection volumes were ~80% of total pore volumes in the Wickensen samples, ~40% in the Harderode samples and 50-75% in the Hadessen samples. Total pore volumes and mercury injection volumes show the same trend with maturity (see Table 4). There is a decrease in total pore volume from around of 65 to 15 mm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for the Wickensen samples ($R_0=0.53\%$) to the Harderode samples ($R_0=0.89\%$) and an increase back to about 55 mm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for Hadessen ($R_0=1.45\%$) shales. A similar trend of pore volume with maturity, with a minimum in the oil window, has been observed previously for coals.$^{45}$

3.2.2 Sorption Pore Volumes

According to the Gurvitch Rule adsorption uptake at $p/p_0 \approx 1$ when expressed as a volume of liquid, using the liquid density should be the same for all adsorptives on a given adsorbent. $^{46-48}$ These sorption pore volumes can thus be calculated from CO$_2$ isotherms at 0.1 MPa and -78°C or nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 0.1 MPa and -196°C.

Carbon dioxide isotherms for both shales and kerogens at -78°C do not reach plateaus and are therefore classified as Type I/II in the IUPAC Classification Scheme (see Figures 1 and 2). $^{49}$ Maximum uptake (at $p/p_0 =1$) was 0.23 – 0.44 mmol g$^{-1}$ on shales and 1.83 – 3.02 mmol g$^{-1}$ on kerogens (see Figure 1 and 2). Tabulated isotherm data are given in Supporting Information, Table S4. Normalizing the isotherms by maximum uptake reveals that all isotherms (shale and kerogen) have very similar isotherm shapes. This indicates that the Posidonia shales and kerogens have similar pore size distributions within the porosity range measured by CO$_2$ adsorption at -78°C.

Calculated sorption pore volumes from CO$_2$ isotherms at-78°C (CO$_2$ SPV) of shale and kerogen are shown in Table 4. An adsorbed phase density of 1.177 g cm$^{-3}$ was assumed. There is a range of possible values for densities of adsorbed CO$_2$ from 1.562 g cm$^{-3}$ for solid at -78°C to 0.762 g cm$^{-3}$ at 21.1°C. The density chosen is in the middle of this range and is the liquid density of CO$_2$ at the boiling point (-56.6°C).$^{39}$ CO$_2$ sorption pore volumes range from 8.3 to 16.4 mm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ on shale and from 68.5 to 113.0 mm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ on kerogen (see Table 4). There is a trend in sorption and MICP pore volumes with maturity with the minimum observed for HAR shales. The sum of the CO$_2$ sorption pore volumes and MICP pore volumes are very similar to the corresponding total pore volumes calculated from the MICP bulk volume ( < 1093 nm) and the grain or helium density with a linear correlation coefficient
with $R^2 > 0.97$ (see Figure 3). Therefore, the CO$_2$ sorption pore volume and MICP pore volume (5.6 – 1093 nm) account for the total pore volume (< 1093 nm) in this suite of shale samples. Considering that the Washburn equation is derived using cylindrical pores and predicts that mercury penetrates pore constrictions down to effective pore diameters of approximately 5.6 nm, the good agreement suggests that the CO$_2$ sorption pore volume accounts for pores up to approximately this pore diameter. This sorption pore volume represents approximately 25% of total pore volume in the Wickensen samples, 46 and 66 % in the Harderode samples and around 21 and 32% in the Hadessen samples (see Table 4). However, we note that the high pressures used in mercury injection porosimetry may distort shale samples. Furthermore, MICP measurements were carried out on 1 cm$^3$ chips while sorption measurements were carried out on particles (500 – 1180 µm) and, thus, the accessible pore volume may differ.

Nitrogen adsorption isotherms of shales and kerogen at -196°C are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Tabulated isotherm data are given in Supporting Information, Table S5. Nitrogen uptakes on Harderode shales were too low to allow measurements of isotherms. This is in contrast to the CO$_2$ isotherms for the Harderode shales at -78°C, which, although having the lowest uptake, have isotherm shapes consistent with the other samples. This difference in isotherm uptake is attributed to activated diffusion effects for N$_2$ in ultramicroporosity at -196°C, which is not apparent for CO$_2$ adsorption at -78°C.$^{50,51}$ The shapes of the N$_2$ adsorption isotherms indicate micropore filling (up to $p/p_0 = 0.2$) and gradual increase for $p/p_0 > 0.2$ and increased upward isotherm curvature close to $p/p_0 =1$. Maximum uptakes at $p/p_0 = 1$ were in the range 0.22 – 0.59 mmol g$^{-1}$ on shale and 0.32 – 4.0 mmol g$^{-1}$ on kerogen (see Table S5). Steep uptake of nitrogen isotherms of Hadessen shales and kerogens at -196°C at very low $p/p_0$ ($p/p_0 < 0.02$) indicates filling of ultramicropores.$^{52}$

Surface Areas calculated from the BET isotherms are given in Table 4. Since some activated diffusion effects were observed for N$_2$ adsorption on HAR shales and kerogens at -196°C, the surface areas were not suitable for comparisons between samples.
3.2.3 Micropore Volumes

Some authors favor the use of carbon dioxide adsorption at 0°C for the characterization of the microporous structure in activated carbons and coals, because, compared with N₂ at -196°C, the higher temperature for CO₂ adsorption overcomes kinetic limitations due to activated diffusion.⁵⁰,⁵³,⁵⁴ Carbon dioxide isotherms at 0°C of shale and kerogen are Type I Isotherms (see Supporting Information Table S3 for tabulated data). At these temperature and pressure conditions (< 0.1 MPa), CO₂ sorption is limited to ultramicropores (< ~0.7 nm).⁵⁰,⁵⁵ Maximum uptakes at p/p₀ ~ 0.029 were between 0.06 and 0.12 mmol g⁻¹ for shales and between 0.27 and 0.74 mmol g⁻¹ for kerogens (Table S3). Thus, CO₂ uptake on kerogen is about 5 times higher than on shales under these conditions.

The Dubinin-Radushkevich (DR) model was used to calculate ultramicropore volumes using a density of 1.032 g cm⁻³ (liquid density of CO₂ at -20°C).⁵⁶ A value of 1.023 g cm⁻³ was used previously in a detailed study of the adsorption of CO₂ on activated carbons.⁵⁰ Additionally, a non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) equilibrium model with a kernel based on slit-shaped pores in carbon was used to calculate pore size distributions (see Supporting Information, Section G, Figures S7 and S8) and micropore volumes from the isotherms. These pore size distributions (< 5 nm) are shifted to slightly lower pore sizes compared with Eagle Ford shale obtained from nitrogen (-196°C).⁵⁷ Table 4 shows that the ultramicropore volumes in shales, estimated from the DR model range from 4.6 to 8.0 mm³ g⁻¹, or from 4.0 to 7.7 mm³ g⁻¹ estimated from the NLDFT model. Ultramicropores thus represent approximately 12% of total pore volume in Wickensen and Hadessen samples, and 25 and 41% of total pore volume in the Harderode samples. Ultramicropore volumes follow the same trends as CO₂ sorption pore volumes. There is only a weak trend of micropore volume with maturity for the shales.

Ultramicropore volumes in kerogens are much higher than in shales, ranging from 27.0 to 54.6 mm³ g⁻¹, estimated from the DR model, and 21.8 to 50.8 mm³ g⁻¹ according to the NLDFT model (see Table 4). Ultramicropore volumes are similar in Wickensen(R₀ = 0.53%) and Harderode(R₀ = 0.89%) samples, but are almost double in the Hadessen(R₀ = 1.45%) samples (see Table 4).
Even though the models are entirely different, NDLFT micropore volumes generally agree well with the DR ultramicropore volumes. NDLFT micropore size distributions are shown in Supporting Information (Figures S7 and S8). The pore size distribution show very little porosity above a pore width of about 1 nm, confirming that CO$_2$ at 0°C and 0.1 MPa is limited to ultramicroporosity.

DR micropore volumes were also calculated from nitrogen -196°C isotherms. At low relative pressure nitrogen fills micropores < 2 nm providing there is no activated diffusion or molecular sieving. The N$_2$ micropore volumes are shown in Table 4. For kerogen the N$_2$ micropore volumes are significantly lower than the CO$_2$ ultra-micropore volume probably reflecting some activated diffusion or molecular sieving, as mentioned in section 3.2.2. However, the nitrogen micropore volumes increase with increasing maturity in a similar manner to the CO$_2$ ultramicropore volumes. This large increase in micropore volume might be due to the generation of slightly larger micropores in kerogen through which nitrogen can diffuse more readily.

3.3 Methane Sorption

3.3.1 Shale

Shale isotherms were measured at 45, 65 and 85°C and up to ~14 MPa. Excess shale isotherms are show in Figures 6 and 7. Tabulated isotherm data are given in Supporting Information Table S6. Isotherms at 45°C for HAR7060 and HAD7090 show a distinct excess maximum, while the other isotherms show plateaus. Maximum surface excess uptakes are between 0.096 and 0.119 mmol g$^{-1}$ for Wickensen and Hadessen shales while uptake on Harderode shales were lower, with maxima of 0.054 and 0.070 mmol g$^{-1}$ (Table S6). Maximum methane surface excess uptake decreases with increasing temperature for all shales. Furthermore, at 65°C and 85°C the isotherms do not have a distinct maximum in the pressure range used in this study.

3.3.2 Kerogen

Kerogen isotherms were measured at 45 and 65°C and up to ~14 MPa. The surface excess isotherms are shown in Figures 8 and 9 and tabulated data are given in Table S6. The pressure range is not large enough for the isotherms to reach the excess maximum or plateau. WIC and HAR kerogens take up between 0.45 - 0.58 mmol g$^{-1}$ at ~13.5 MPa and
45°C, which is similar to Pennsylvanian coals of various rank ($V_R = 0.72 – 1.56\%$). Maximum uptake on HAD kerogens ($R_0 = 1.45\%$ ) was higher (0.90 - 0.95 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 45°C and ~14 MPa) than on WIC ($R_0 = 0.53\%$) and HAR ($R_0 = 0.89\%$) kerogens. This shows the increase in adsorption capacity at the onset of the gas window.

### 3.3.3 Methane Sorption and Pore Volumes

There is only a weak correlation ($R^2 = 0.48$) of maximum excess uptake at 45°C on shale and TOC (see Figure 10). However, there is a very strong correlation of methane uptake and CO$_2$ sorption pore volume. Figure 11 shows methane uptake on shale and kerogen at 45°C and 10.0 MPa against the CO$_2$ sorption pore volume, with a regression line which goes through the origin. A strong correlation also exists between methane uptake at 65°C and 11.5 MPa and CO$_2$ sorption pore volume (see Figure 12). Similar correlations are obtained at lower pressures (see Figures S9a and b) and also at 85°C (see Figure S9c). The correlations suggest that supercritical methane sorption on Posidonia shales and kerogens takes mainly place in pore volumes as measured by CO$_2$ sorption at -78°C. Both the CO$_2$ subcritical and methane supercritical adsorption studies show that kerogens have much larger adsorption than for the corresponding shales (see Figures 11 and 12). Comparison of kerogen and shale isotherms with TOC measurements indicate that the shale adsorption exceeds that which can be accounted for by the kerogen alone, indicating that significant adsorption occurs in the inorganic phase of the shale. The Sorption mass balances for kerogens and inorganic phase materials (clays etc.) are discussed later.

### 3.3.4 Absolute isotherms and parameterization

The absolute isotherm can be calculated from the excess isotherm using the following equation

$$n_{ab} = n_{ex} + \rho_b \cdot V_{ad} \quad (1)$$

Where $n_{ex}$ is the excess amount adsorbed, $\rho_b$ is bulk gas phase density and $V_{ad}$ is the adsorption pore volume. Equation (1) has been used for crystalline zeolites and metal organic frameworks, where the structure can be determined from X-ray or neutron
diffraction studies. Good agreement has been observed between crystallographic data and pore volumes measured by gas adsorption for microporous metal organic framework materials.\textsuperscript{59,60} In these materials, $V_{ad}$ is assumed to be equal to the crystallographic pore volume, which is an inherent property of the adsorbent.\textsuperscript{61,62} However, for heterogeneous materials, such as shales, which have a wide pore size distribution, this is more problematic since adsorption in the larger pores may not be significant.\textsuperscript{63} In the shales used in this study, the subcritical CO\textsubscript{2} sorption pore volumes were 21-32\% of the total pore volume for the WIC and HAD shale samples and 46-66\% of the total pore volume for the HAR shale samples (see Table 4). It is evident that in these materials, $V_{ad}$ is significantly lower than the total pore volume. It is important to distinguish between the adsorbed and bulk gas phases in shale in order to understand the occurrence of adsorbed gas in shale and therefore, $V_{ad}$ needs to be estimated. Studies of a high maturity Alum shale showed that subcritical pore volumes obtained from adsorption of CO\textsubscript{2} (-78°C and 0°C), N\textsubscript{2} (-196°C) and CH\textsubscript{4} (-161°C) agreed within ± 5\%.\textsuperscript{11} Hence, the CO\textsubscript{2} sorption pore volume at -78°C is a reasonable estimate for an upper limit for $V_{ad}$. Therefore, in this study, a sorption volume balance for the shales was investigated by measuring the total pore volume (< 1093 nm) from helium pycnometry, grain density and mercury bulk density; mercury injection capillary pressure porosimetry and gas sorption pore volumes.

The Washburn equation indicates that mercury enters pores with constrictions with equivalent diameters > 1093 nm at 1.379 MPa. Therefore, at 1.379 MPa, $1/\rho_{HgBulk}$ will be equal to the volume of the shale and pores < 1093 nm, where $\rho_{HgBulk}$ is the mercury bulk density of the shale. Assuming helium enters all accessible pores and is not adsorbed; $1/\rho_{He}$ is equal to the volume of shale, where $\rho_{He}$ is the density of the shale measured by helium pycnometry. Therefore, the total pore volume (< 1093 nm) is given by the following equation.

\begin{equation}
\text{Total Pore Volume (< 1093 nm) = } \frac{1}{\rho_{HgBulk}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{He}} \tag{2}
\end{equation}

and the Total Porosity (< 1093 nm)

\begin{equation}
\text{Total Porosity (< 1093 nm) = } 1 - \frac{\rho_{HgBulk}}{\rho_{He}} \tag{3}
\end{equation}
Alternatively, since the helium and grain densities are similar within experimental error, \( \rho_{\text{He}} \) can be replaced by \( \rho_{\text{Grain}} \) in equations (2) and (3).

Figure 3 shows that a graph of Total Pore Volume \(< 1093 \text{ nm} \) versus \( \text{CO}_2 \) sorption pore volume \( (\text{CO}_2 \text{SPV}) \) + mercury injection pore volume \( (\text{MICP}_{\text{PV}}) \) corresponding to equation (4) below, has good linearity \( (R^2 = 0.974) \) for the 6 shales studied, which vary markedly in maturity.

\[
\text{Total Pore Volume}(< 1093 \text{ nm}) = \text{CO}_2 \text{ SPV} + \text{MICP}_{\text{PV}} \quad (4)
\]

The \( \text{MICP}_{\text{PV}} \) corresponds to the difference between the mercury injected at 1.379 and 268.9 MPa, and \( \text{CO}_2 \text{ SPV} \) is the pore volume obtained from \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorption at 0.1 MPa and -78°C using a \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorbed phase density of 1.177 g cm\(^{-3}\). The \( \text{CO}_2 \text{ SPV} \) characterizes the microporosity and some mesoporosity where gas adsorption predominates, while the \( \text{MICP}_{\text{PV}} \) characterizes the mesoporosity \( > 5.6 \text{ nm} \) and macroporosity up to 1093 nm. The upper size limit for significant \( \text{CO}_2 \) adsorption is unknown, but the linear relationship in Figure 3 indicates that it is approximately 5-6 nm. However, there will be very small amounts of adsorption in larger pores. The linearity of the relationship indicates that all the accessible porosity \(< 1093 \text{ nm} \) has been taken into account by the combination of \( \text{CO}_2 \) sorption and mercury injection. It is not possible to use mercury injection porosimetry for isolated kerogens. The strong linear \( (R^2 = 0.9557 \text{ and } 0.9727) \) correlation between \( \text{CH}_4 \) sorption uptake and \( \text{CO}_2 \) sorption pore volume for both shales and kerogens used in this study suggest that supercritical methane sorption in shales and kerogen takes place in the \( \text{CO}_2 \) sorption pore volume (see Figures 11 and 12). These correlations support the use of the \( \text{CO}_2 \) sorption pore volume (-78°C) for \( V_{ad} \) to estimate absolute isotherms and thus, quantify the sorbed phases in shales. Also, it provides an estimate of adsorbed phase versus bulk “free” gas phase contributions to methane stored in shale.

The absolute isotherms of shales and kerogen are shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14. Absolute amounts on HAD shales at \(~13 \text{ MPa} \) and \( 85^\circ \text{C} \) are 0.14 and 0.18 mmol g\(^{-1}\). HAD kerogens exhibit much higher absolute amounts adsorbed of 1.21 and 1.31 mmol g\(^{-1}\) (at 13 MPa and 65°C). Mass balance calculations between the shale and kerogen data obtained by normalizing these uptakes with TOC and comparison with the uptake on shale under the
same conditions, shows that approximately 50% of the methane uptake in HAD shales is within the kerogen.

The Dubinin-Radushkevich and Langmuir equations can be used as semi-empirical equations to parameterize the methane absolute isotherms. The supercritical Dubinin-Radushkevich equation has been shown to describe methane isotherms more accurately than the Langmuir equation over a wide temperature range (27-200 °C) for an Alum shale sample.\textsuperscript{11} The DR micropore volume was 77 % of the CO₂ sorption pore volume in the Alum shale and this is significantly higher than the shales used in this study, which were in the range 40-62%. However, the Posidonia Shale and kerogen absolute and excess isotherms for 45, 65 and 85°C were described more accurately by a modified version of the Langmuir equation:

\begin{equation}
\frac{n_{ab}}{n_{ab,max}} = \frac{K(T) f}{1+K(T) f} \quad (5)
\end{equation}

where \( n_{ab,max} \) is the maximum absolute amount adsorbed, \( K(T) \) is the Langmuir parameter, \( f \) is fugacity. One fitting parameter can be eliminated by using \( n_{ab,max} = CO₂SPV \cdot \rho_{ad,max} \) where \( CO₂SPV \) is the CO₂ sorption pore volume and \( \rho_{ad,max} \) is the maximum adsorbed phase density.

The shale and kerogen absolute and excess isotherms were fitted to Equation (5) with 2 fitting parameters for shales and kerogens, the temperature-dependent Langmuir parameter \( K(T) \) and the maximum adsorbed phase density \( \rho_{ad,max} \). Due to the narrow temperature range (45-85°C for shale and 45-65°C for kerogen) \( \rho_{ad,max} \) was assumed to be independent of temperature. Other studies on shale sorption have used pressure instead of fugacity in the Langmuir model.\textsuperscript{12,16} The use of pressure instead of fugacity gave almost identical results to those presented in Table 4.

Good fits were obtained for shale and kerogen isotherms. The calculated parameters are shown in Table 5 and the fits of the model to the absolute isotherms at 65°C are shown in Figure 13 for shale and Figure 14 for kerogen. The corresponding CH₄ adsorption data at 45°C and 85°C are given in Supporting Information, Figures S4-S6. The adsorbed phase densities of methane on shale are all below the liquid density of methane (425.1 kg m\(^{-3}\) at boiling point: -161.49°C and 101.3 kPa).\textsuperscript{56} The hypothesis is that adsorbed phase densities in the pores do not exceed the liquid density of the sorptive. The adsorbed phase densities of
WIC and HAR shales are within 11% of the methane adsorbed phase density on shale determined by molecular simulations (370 kg m\(^{-3}\)) by Ambrose et al.\(^{64}\) The adsorbed phase densities of HAD shales (~ 290 kg m\(^{-3}\)) are lower. This could be due to wider pores in the HAD gas window shales (R\(_0\) = 1.45%), as indicated earlier. The Langmuir constants and adsorbed phase densities are similar to the values determined by Gasparik et al. on Aalburg and Sleen shale samples at 65°C (K(65) = 0.064 – 0.104 MPa\(^{-1}\); 295 – 332 kg m\(^{-3}\)).\(^{16}\) The calculated adsorbed phase densities for all kerogens with the exception of HAD7090 are above the liquid methane density suggesting that the Langmuir model may have significant limitations for determining physically reasonable sorption parameters or possibly, there are sorption induced volumetric changes.

### 3.3.5 Distribution of high-pressure methane sorption

Mass balances of methane sorption on shales were determined to investigate the distribution of sorption sites between kerogen plus clay minerals and the organic-inorganic interface. Methane sorption on clay minerals has been studied previously.\(^{65,66}\) Ji et al.\(^{65}\) have measured methane sorption at 65°C up to around 10 MPa on montmorillonite, kaolinite, chlorite and illite at 65°C while Liu et al.\(^{66}\) measured methane sorption on montmorillonite, kaolinite and illite at 60°C and up to 18 MPa. The clay sorption data and kerogen sorption data were normalized by the XRD data and TOC, respectively, and compared against shale isotherm at 65°C. Results are shown in Figure 15. Around 45 – 60% and 60 – 70% of the methane sorption can be attributed to clays and kerogen in WIC and HAD shales, respectively, whereas kerogen and clay minerals can account for the entire sorption capacity measured on HAR shales.

### 3.3.6 Enthalpy of Adsorption of shales

The isosteric enthalpies of adsorption can be calculated for a pure gas using the equation below.\(^{67}\)

\[
Q_{st,n} = RT^2 \left( \frac{\delta \ln P}{\delta T} \right)_n
\]

Where \(Q_{st,n}\) is the isosteric enthalpy of adsorption at a surface excess loading \(n\), \(P\) is pressure, \(T\) is temperature and \(R\) is the gas constant. The surface excess is approximately equal to the
absolute amount adsorbed at low pressure. The isosteric enthalpy of adsorption at zero surface coverage \(Q_{st,n=0}\) is a fundamental measure of the adsorbate interaction with the adsorbent. At the zero surface coverage adsorption limit, the isosteric enthalpies of adsorption derived from surface excess and absolute isotherms should be identical.

The methane absolute isotherms were calculated using equation (1) and the CO\(_2\) sorption pore volume (-78°C). The isosteric enthalpies of adsorption of methane on shale and kerogen were calculated at zero surface coverage by two methods (Myers and Monson\(^68\) and virial equation\(^69,70\)). The virial equation at low surface coverage is given below:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{n_{ab}}{p} \right) = A_0 + A_1 n_{ab} \quad (7)
\]

where \(n_{ab}\) is the absolute amount adsorbed (mmol g\(^{-1}\)), \(p\) is pressure (Pa), \(A_0\) and \(A_1\) are virial parameters. The slope of the graph of \(A_0\) against \(T^{-1}\) gives \(Q_{st,n=0}/R\). The Henry’s Law constant is given by the equation below:

\[
K_H = \exp(A_0) \quad (8)
\]

The \(Q_{st,n=0}\) was also determined using the Langmuir equation (5), which provide a good fit for the experimental data. Myers and Monson define the absolute uptake as the amount of gas inside the pore volume and use the Langmuir equation to derive \(Q_{st}\). In the case of shales, which have a significant amount of meso- and macroporosity, the sorption pore volume is the volume of increased density due to sorption. The equation derived is:

\[
C = \frac{1}{P^0} \exp\left( \frac{A}{R} \right) \exp\left( \frac{-B}{RT} \right) \quad (9)
\]

Where \(C\) is the constant in the Langmuir equation, which is a function of temperature; \(B\) is the enthalpy of adsorption (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), and \(P^0 = 1\) bar is the pressure at the perfect-gas reference state. The temperature-independent component \(\exp(A/R)\) is an entropic factor.

The enthalpies of CH\(_4\) adsorption at zero surface coverage on shales and kerogens calculated by both methods are shown in Table 6. The enthalpies of adsorption for shales and kerogen are very similar for calculations by both methods. The average values for \(Q_{st,n=0}\) for shale are 14.4 ± 1.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Virial Method\(^69\)) 12.2 ± 1.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Myers and Monson Method\(^68\)) while the values for kerogens are 13.1 ± 1.9 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Virial Method\(^69\)) and 13.4 ± 3.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Myers and Monson Method\(^68\)). No clear trend with maturity was observed. The
\( Q_{st,n=0} \) values are slightly lower than the corresponding enthalpy of 19.2 ± 0.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) determined at 0.025 mmol g\(^{-1}\) for an Alum shale, which had a greater proportion of ultramicroporosity. Similar results have been obtained for a Barnett shale from the gas window (\( R_0 = 2.01\% \), \( Q_{st} = 18.4 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)).\(^{12}\) Shales from the pre-gas window had lower isosteric enthalpies of adsorption (\( Q_{st} = 7.3 - 15.3 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)).\(^{12}\) The range of isosteric enthalpies obtained for \( \text{CH}_4 \) adsorption on other porous materials are similar (activated carbon (\( Q_{st} = 9-20 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^{71-73}\) and coal (\( Q_{st} = 10-22 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\))\(^{74,75}\)). It is apparent that the strength of interactions of methane with porosity in various shales are similar and also, similar to \( \text{CH}_4 \) adsorption on carbonaceous materials. There are, at most, small differences in adsorption enthalpy with maturity or uptake for the samples studied. The thermodynamics discussed above and the correlation of supercritical \( \text{CH}_4 \) adsorption uptake with \( \text{CO}_2 \) sorption pore volume (-78°C) for shales suggest that the amount adsorbed is controlled by the available porosity (< ~6 nm) rather than the strength of the interaction of \( \text{CH}_4 \) with the pore walls in shales.

4 DISCUSSION

Comparison of the subcritical \( \text{CO}_2 \) isotherms for Posidonia shales and the corresponding kerogen isotherms shows that they have similar shapes. Both the DR micropore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), 0°C) and sorption pore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), -78°C) increase with increasing total pore volumes and have approximately linear trends for the shales (see Figures S11 a, b and c). The DR micropore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), 0°C) and sorption pore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), -78°C) has a similar trend for the kerogens and better linearity (\( R^2 = 0.8893 \)) (see Figures S11 d). However, no specific trends are expected between these parameters. The DR micropore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), 0°C) and sorption pore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), -78°C) for the kerogens are 3.6-9.1 and 4.6-10.5 times larger than the corresponding shales indicating the potential importance of the kerogen in determining \( \text{CH}_4 \) storage capacity. Comparison of the DR micropore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), 0°C) and sorption pore volumes (\( \text{CO}_2 \), -78°C) for shales and kerogens shows no correlation suggesting that adsorption in the inorganic phase (clays etc.) makes a significant contribution to subcritical adsorption (see Figures S11e and f). Comparison of the supercritical high pressure methane isotherms for the Posidonia kerogens and shales shows that similar trends to the \( \text{CO}_2 \) subcritical isotherms are observed, with the kerogen uptakes being ~ 3.6 – 8.4 times greater than the corresponding shale at
11.5 MPa and 65°C. However, the TOC values for the samples studied are in the range 5.78 - 10.92%, and therefore, the inorganic phase is >89% of the shales. The sorption mass balance between kerogen and shale indicates that methane storage in the inorganic phase of dry shales is also significant.

Posidonia kerogens have DR micropore volumes which are at the lower end of the range of micropore volumes measured on various coals (0.014 – 0.057 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$). A trend of DR micropore volume with maturity for coals has been reported with DR micropore volume decreasing from high volatile to medium volatile bituminous coals and then increasing with further coalification. The decrease in micropore volumes was attributed to the filling of pores by low-volatile hydrocarbons and the subsequent increase to the cracking of the occluded oils with coalification. Similar trends were observed in DR micropore and sorption pore volumes in this study, suggesting that there is pore generation and/or pore opening by cracking of occluded oil in gas-window Posidonia kerogens. Micropore ‘blocking’ by bitumen in the oil-window kerogens (HAR) may take place, similar to coal. The presence of low-volatile bitumen is suggested by the relatively low helium densities of HAR kerogens compared with HAD kerogens, which had the highest densities (see Table 1). The shale CO$_2$ DR micropore and sorption pore volumes reach a minimum in the oil window. However, kerogens do not show a well-defined minimum in the oil window. There is no significant change from WIC to HAR, but an increase to HAD. It is apparent that changes in the microporosity of kerogen are probably controlling changes in the microporosity of shales into the gas-window.

The shale pore volume is comprised of contributions from inorganic materials (including phyllosilicates, etc), kerogens and the interface region between these materials. The contribution of the kerogen component to the shale porosity can be determined by normalizing the kerogen pore volume with TOC. This shows that approximately half of the CO$_2$ sorption pore volume for a given shale is within the organic matter for all Posidonia shale samples. The other part of the porosity cannot be attributed to any specific mineral type as comparisons of mineral composition with pore volumes do not show any correlations. However, previous publications have concluded that micropores are present in clay but not in quartz, although the clay content variation in Posidonia shales (illite/smectite ranging from only 18.5 – 26.2 wt%, kaolinite 1.1 – 8.9 wt%) is too small to
show any trend with pore volume. The role of clays for sorption in dry shales is consistent with the similar shapes of kerogen and clay mineral isotherms.\textsuperscript{14}

Since the sum of porosity measured by (a) CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption at -78°C and (b) mercury injection is very similar to total porosity, and since mercury injection measures pore constrictions larger than approximately \(\sim 6\) nm, we infer that most of the CO\textsubscript{2} sorption porosity is in pores smaller than \(\sim 6\) nm. The very strong correlation between CH\textsubscript{4} maximum excess uptake and CO\textsubscript{2} sorption volume suggests that most CH\textsubscript{4} is sorbed in pores, which are smaller than \(\sim 6\) nm and associated with both kerogen and clay minerals. Grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations of methane adsorption have been carried out for graphitic surfaces, as models for kerogens, across a range of pore sizes for various temperature and pressure conditions. The results suggest that adsorbed methane density changes non-monotonically with increasing pore width, and decreases to a minimum in 1.2 nm pores at 12 MPa.\textsuperscript{80} Cai et al\textsuperscript{81} suggested that the pore volume, with pore widths in the range 2 – 5 nm, was the primary control for methane adsorption capacity of coals from Northeastern China.

Methane isotherms for shales and kerogens normalized to TOC are shown in Figure S10a-f. It is apparent that the TOC normalized isotherms for shales are always higher than the corresponding kerogen isotherms. This indicates significant methane adsorption in the inorganic component of the shale. A mass balance for CH\textsubscript{4} sorption based on the kerogen isotherms measured in this study and the illite or smectite isotherms published by Ji et al\textsuperscript{65} and Liu et al\textsuperscript{66} suggests that for HAR shales, all the CH\textsubscript{4} sorption can be accounted for by uptake on clay minerals and kerogen. However, only 45-60% of the sorption of WIC shales and 60-70% of the sorption of HAD shales can be accounted for by a mass balance of sorption by kerogen and clay minerals (Figure 15).\textsuperscript{65,66} Sorption mass balances determined using kerogen and shale isotherms suggest that in dry natural shales, possibly significant sorption also occurs at interfaces between kerogen and clay minerals for the WIC and HAD shales. Also, the kerogen separation process may modify its adsorption characteristics, and some other inorganic materials not accounted for in the sorption mass balance may increase sorption.

We note that since water is present in natural subsurface shales, pores associated with clay minerals may be at least partly water-filled, in which case they will not contribute
to the sorption pore volume. The adsorbed phase in porous systems is in equilibrium with the homogeneous bulk gas phase in meso- and macropores. Fracturing shale and releasing gas from the bulk gas phase allows the sorbed gas to desorb. The amount of gas released (sorbed + bulk gas) at reservoir temperatures can be estimated from the excess isotherms and total pore volumes. The amount of gas desorbed can be visualized directly from the absolute isotherms. Absolute CH$_4$ sorption on dry Posidonia shales at 65°C and 15 MPa ranges from 0.092 - 0.202 mmol g$^{-1}$ (66 – 144 scf t$^{-1}$) on dry shale, and from 0.76 - 1.32 mmol g$^{-1}$ (540 – 942 scf t$^{-1}$) on dry kerogen. Absolute amounts adsorbed at 85°C and 15 MPa are 103 and 127 scf ton$^{-1}$ in dry Hadsessen shales. The shapes of the absolute shale and kerogen isotherms indicate that only small amounts of gas will desorb at high pressure. This is not only because most sorbed gas is within pores smaller than approximately 6 nm, but also, comparison of the DR micropore volumes obtained from CO$_2$ adsorption at 0°C suggests that 40 – 62 % of the sorption pore volumes in shales and 39 – 53 % in kerogen are ultramicroporous. Gas in ultramicropores is strongly sorbed due to the proximity of the walls and the resulting high sorption potential. Furthermore, there is no significant variation of enthalpies of adsorption determined from high-pressure methane isotherms, indicating that the interactions of the pore surfaces and the methane molecules are similar in all these Posidonia samples and is not affected by maturity.

Although N$_2$ isotherms at -196°C are often used to characterize porosity they can be misleading. The narrowest ultramicroporosity cannot be accessed by nitrogen molecules at -196°C, due to activated diffusion. Furthermore, microporous solids may give rise to unrealistically high BET surface areas as micropore filling may occur. Both issues have been pointed out on coal previously.

While the supercritical Dubinin-Radushkevich equation produced good fits for CH$_4$ sorption in a previous study on Alum shale, Posidonia shale are more accurately described by the Langmuir equation. In comparison to the Posidonia shales, the Alum shale has a larger proportion of ultra-microporosity (DR CO$_2$ ultramicropore volume of 12.9 and CO$_2$ sorption pore volume of 16.8 mm$^3$ g$^{-1}$). The DR model is based on micropore filling and, thus, it produced good results on ultra microporous shales. The Posidonia shales have a much greater fraction of larger pores as shown by the ratio of the CO$_2$ -78°C sorption pore volume to the CO$_2$ (0°C) DR micropore volume (see Table 4) and this may be the reason for
the Langmuir model providing a better fit than the DR equation for the Posidonia isotherm data.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Methane sorption capacities and pore characteristics of bulk shales and isolated kerogens have been determined for immature, oil-window and gas-window samples from the Lower Toarcian Posidonia Shale formation. Total porosities and CO₂ sorption volumes (-78°C) of organic-rich Posidonia shales decrease through the oil-window and then increase into the gas-window. This implies that part of the sorption porosity is blocked by bitumen and then regenerated as a result of gas generation from bitumen and/or kerogen. Since (a) the sum of porosities measured by CO₂ at -78°C and mercury injection are very similar to the corresponding total pore volume (< 1093 nm) thereby accounting for all the available shale porosity and (b) mercury at 268.9 MPa occupies pores with constrictions larger than ca. 6 nm, we infer that porosity measured by CO₂ adsorption at -78°C is largely within pores smaller than 6 nm. The CO₂ sorption pore volume represents 21 to 66 % of the total pore volume in these shales, with 10 to 41 % of the total pore volume in DR micropore pore (<=0.7 nm) volume. Porosity information from subcritical nitrogen sorption at -196°C is not applicable due to activated diffusion effects.

A modified Langmuir model including the sorption pore volume fits the absolute and surface excess CH₄ isotherms well and this provides a useful parameterization of the data. Methane is sorbed strongly in ultramicropores and will only be desorbed at low pressure. Enthalpies of methane adsorption on dry shales range from 11.2 - 15.7 kJ mol⁻¹ and from 8.5 - 17.2 kJ mol⁻¹ on kerogen, and are not related to maturity. The linear correlation between maximum CH₄ sorption and CO₂ sorption pore volume (-78°C) is very strong for both shales and kerogens, and suggests that the vast majority of sorbed CH₄ occurs in pores smaller than 6 nm, with around half of that within ultramicroporosity in the shales studied. Shale and kerogen mass balance considerations indicate that approximately half of the CH₄ sorption on dry shales takes place within the organic matter and this indicates the significance of the inorganic phase, including the role of clays and possibly the organic-inorganic interface as sorption sites in dry shales. However, caution is required in extrapolating these results to the subsurface, where water may occur.
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**Figures and Tables**

Table 1: Well depth, TOC, grain and helium densities, mercury injection pore volumes and total porosities for Posidonia shales and kerogens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>TOC</th>
<th>Shale grain density (Helium Pycnometry)</th>
<th>Shale Bulk Vol. (MICP)</th>
<th>Shale Total Pore Vol.</th>
<th>Kerogen TOC†</th>
<th>Kerogen density (Helium Pycnometry)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WIC</td>
<td>7145</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>2.321</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC</td>
<td>7155</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>2.361</td>
<td>2.297</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR</td>
<td>7038</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>2.468</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR</td>
<td>7060</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>2.592</td>
<td>2.550</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD</td>
<td>7090</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>2.572</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD</td>
<td>7119</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>2.607</td>
<td>2.614</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† The kerogen TOC content is corrected for the residual pyrite content, which could not be removed by the separation process.
Table 2: Rock-Eval pyrolysis results for Posidonia shales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>T_{MAX}</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[mg g(^{-1})]</td>
<td>[mg g(^{-1})]</td>
<td>[°C]</td>
<td>[mg g(^{-1})]</td>
<td>[mg_{HC} g_{TOC}^{-1}]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7145</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7155</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7038</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7060</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7090</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7119</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S1 = Free hydrocarbon

S2 = Generated hydrocarbon through thermal cracking of non-volatile organic matter

T_{MAX} = Temperature of maximum release of cracked hydrocarbons

PP = Production Potential

PI = Production Index

HI = Hydrogen Index

Table 3: Mineral composition of Posidonia shales in wt%. Other minerals includes Feldspar, Siderite, Anatase, Marcasite, Aragonite and Dickite (not more than 1.5 wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Plagioclase</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
<th>Gypsum</th>
<th>Muscovite</th>
<th>Illite + I-S</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Chlorite</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WIC7145</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7155</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7038</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7060</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7090</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7119</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Shale and kerogen pore volumes measured by different techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pore Diameter Range [nm]</th>
<th>BET Surface Area</th>
<th>SPV+MICP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mm³ g⁻¹</td>
<td>m² g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 – 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-1093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (0°C) UMP</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (0°C) NLDFT</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂ (-196°C) MPV</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (-78°C) SPV</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7145</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7155</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7038</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7060</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7090</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7119</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerogen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7145</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC7155</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7038</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR7060</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7090</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>113.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD7119</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>103.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UMP = Ultramicropore Volume determined using the DR equation and CO₂ sorption at 0°C; NLDFT = Pore volume determined by applying a Non-Local Density Functional Theory model to Carbon Dioxide 0°C isotherms, N₂ MPV = Micropore volume determined by the DR equation from -196°C nitrogen isotherms; SPV = Sorption pore volume based on Gurvitch’s Rule and calculated from CO₂ isotherms at -78°C; MICP = Pore Volume from mercury injection capillary pressure porosimetry, the estimated pore constriction sizes were derived from Washburn equation (see Supporting Information); TPV = Total pore volume from equation (2) using mercury bulk density and Grain Density/He Helium Pycnometry (skeletal volume); Total Porosity = 1 – Mercury bulk density/(Grain or He density), equation (3); SPV+MICP = sum of CO₂ -78°C sorption pore volume and MICP pore volume, equation (4).
Table 5: Parameters calculated by fitting the Langmuir equation to the shale methane sorption data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Shale</th>
<th>Kerogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ρ&lt;sub&gt;ad&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>K(45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kg m&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MPa&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC 7145</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIC 7155</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR 7038</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAR 7060</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD 7090</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD 7119</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The error column shows the accumulated error (residuals sum of squares) of the fit. The adsorbed phase density is assumed to be constant over the (relatively small) temperature range.

Table 6: Isosteric Enthalpies of Adsorption of CH<sub>4</sub> on shales and kerogens at zero surface coverage (Q<sub>st,n=0</sub>).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation Method</th>
<th>Shale Q&lt;sub&gt;st,n=0&lt;/sub&gt; (kJ mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Kerogen Q&lt;sub&gt;st,n=0&lt;/sub&gt; (kJ mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WIC 7145</td>
<td>WIC 7155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Virial</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>15.7 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Monson &amp; Myers</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: The Virial equation was used to calculate the enthalpy at zero surface coverage (ZSC) Q<sub>st,n=0</sub> from the absolute isotherm. 2: The method of Myers and Monson was used to calculate Q<sub>st,n=0</sub>. Kerogen WIC7155: sorption data did not give a suitable fit to the Virial equation.
Figure 1: CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms for shales at -78°C.
Figure 2: CO₂ isotherms for kerogens at -78°C.
Figure 3: Correlation of Total Pore Volume with CO$_2$ Sorption Pore Volume(-78°C) plus MICP Pore Volume. Pore Volumes were calculated assuming an adsorbed phase density of 1.177 g cm$^{-3}$. 
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Figure 4: N$_2$ adsorption isotherms at -196°C for Posidonia shales.
Figure 5: N₂ adsorption isotherms at -196°C for Posidonia kerogens.
Figure 6: Methane surface excess adsorption isotherms at 45°C on Posidonia shales
Figure 7: Methane surface excess adsorption isotherms at 65°C and 85°C on Posidonia shales.
Figure 8: Methane surface excess isotherms for Posidonia kerogens at 45°C.

Figure 9: Methane surface excess adsorption isotherms for Posidonia kerogens at 65°C.
Figure 10: Plot of Maximum methane surface excess uptake at 45°C versus TOC.
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Figure 11: Graph of CH$_4$ maximum excess uptake at 45°C and 10 MPa on shale (squares) and kerogen (triangles) versus CO$_2$ sorption pore volume (-78°C).
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Figure 12: Graph of CH$_4$ excess uptake at 65°C and 11.5 MPa on shale (squares) and kerogen (triangles) versus CO$_2$ sorption pore volume (-78°C).
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Figure 13: Absolute methane isotherms on shale at 65°C. It is assumed that the volume of the adsorbed phase is equal to the CO\textsubscript{2} sorption pore volume. The lines represent the Langmuir fit to the absolute and excess data (simultaneous fit).
Figure 14: Absolute methane isotherms on kerogen at 65°C. It is assumed that the volume of the adsorbed phase is equal to the CO₂ sorption pore volume. The lines represent the Langmuir fit to the absolute and excess data (simultaneous fit).
Figure 15: Mass balances of methane surface excess sorption on shale at 65°C. The experimental shale excess isotherms (black) are compared to mass balance isotherms calculated from the sorption excess data for kerogen, TOC and mineral composition determined in this study and sorption data for clay minerals reported by Ji et al (blue) and Liu et al (green). The isotherms were obtained by fitting kerogen and clay mineral data to the Langmuir equation and components were normalized and summed for comparisons with the experimental shale isotherms.
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