1	High-resolution surface velocities and strain for Anatolia from Sentinel-1
2	InSAR and GNSS data
3	
4	Jonathan R. Weiss <sup>1,2*</sup> , Richard J. Walters <sup>3</sup> , Yu Morishita <sup>1,4</sup> , Tim J. Wright <sup>1</sup> , Milan Lazecky <sup>1</sup> , Hua
5	Wang <sup>5</sup> , Ekbal Hussain <sup>6</sup> , Andrew J. Hooper <sup>1</sup> , John R. Elliott <sup>1</sup> , Chris Rollins <sup>1</sup> , Chen Yu <sup>10</sup> , Pablo J.
6	González <sup>7,8</sup> , Karsten Spaans <sup>9</sup> , Zhenhong Li <sup>10</sup> , and Barry Parsons <sup>11</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> COMET, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, United Kingdom
9	<sup>2</sup> Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Germany
10	<sup>3</sup> COMET, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Durham, United Kingdom
11	<sup>4</sup> Geospatial Information Authority of Japan, Tsukuba, Japan
12	<sup>5</sup> Department of Surveying Engineering, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou,
13	China
14	<sup>6</sup> British Geological Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, United Kingdom
15	<sup>7</sup> COMET, Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, United
16	Kingdom
17	<sup>8</sup> Volcanology Research Group, Department of Life and Earth Sciences, IPNA-CSIC, Spain
18	<sup>9</sup> SatSense, Leeds, United Kingdom
19	<sup>10</sup> COMET, School of Engineering, Newcastle University, United Kingdom
20	<sup>11</sup> COMET, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, United Kingdom
21	
22	*Correspondence to: jonathan.weiss@uni-potsdam.de
23	

# 24 Key Points:

- We produce high-resolution horizontal and vertical velocity and strain rate fields for Anatolia
   from Sentinel-1 and GNSS observations
- Velocity gradients indicate shear strain accumulation along the North and East Anatolian
   Faults and extension across western Anatolia
- InSAR data are critical for capturing high-resolution details of the velocity and strain rate
   field
- 31

#### 32 Abstract

33 Measurements of present-day surface deformation are essential for the assessment of long-34 term seismic hazard. The European Space Agency's Sentinel-1 satellites enable global, high-35 resolution observation of crustal motion from Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR). 36 We have developed new automated InSAR processing systems that exploit the first ~5 years of Sentinel-1 data to measure surface motions for the ~800,000 km<sup>2</sup> Anatolian region. Our new 3D 37 38 velocity and strain rate fields illuminate deformation patterns dominated by westward motion of 39 Anatolia relative to Eurasia, localized strain accumulation along the North and East Anatolian 40 Faults, and rapid vertical signals associated with anthropogenic activities and to a lesser extent 41 extension across the grabens of western Anatolia. We show that automatically processed 42 Sentinel-1 InSAR can characterize details of the velocity and strain rate fields with high 43 resolution and accuracy over large regions. These results are important for assessing the 44 relationship between strain accumulation and release in earthquakes.

45

# 46 Plain Language Summary

47 Satellite-based measurements of small rates of motion of the Earth's surface made at high 48 spatial resolutions and over large areas are important for many geophysical applications 49 including improving earthquake hazard models. We take advantage of recent advances in 50 geodetic techniques in order to measure surface velocities and tectonic strain accumulation 51 across the Anatolia region, including the highly seismogenic and often deadly, North Anatolian 52 Fault. We show that by combining Sentinel-1 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) 53 data with Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) measurements we can enhance our view of 54 surface deformation associated with active tectonics, the earthquake cycle, and anthropogenic 55 processes.

56

#### 57 **1. Introduction**

58 Geodetic measurements of crustal motion are crucial for understanding the earthquake cycle 59 [e.g. Elliott et al., 2016; Hearn, 2003; Smith and Sandwell, 2006; Wright, 2016; Wright et al., 60 2001], characterizing spatial variations in lithospheric rheology and fault frictional properties 61 [e.g. Jolivet et al., 2013; Lindsey et al., 2014; Weiss et al., 2019], and illuminating the mechanics 62 of large-scale continental deformation [e.g. England et al., 2016; Loveless and Meade, 2011; 63 Walters et al., 2017]. Satellite-based geodetic data are also becoming an increasingly important component of efforts to assess earthquake hazard [e.g. Chaussard et al., 2015; Kreemer et al., 64 65 2014] as many major faults exhibit focused and measurable strain at the surface during the 66 interseismic period [Wei et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2004b; Wright et al., 2013].

67

68 Geodetic strain rate measurements can be related to seismicity rates [e.g. *Bird et al.*, 2015;
69 *Molnar*, 1979; *Rollins and Avouac*, 2019]. However, global and regional strain rate models

70 usually rely on Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) velocity measurements, and these 71 often have insufficient density in many countries at risk from earthquakes, particularly in the 72 Alpine-Himalayan Belt. Even in well-instrumented regions such as California and Japan, the 73 typical spacing between GNSS observation points of 10-50 km may still be insufficient to 74 resolve strain localization at the scale necessary to distinguish between faults that are locked at 75 the surface and those that are creeping aseismically [Elliott et al., 2016]. The gaps in GNSS 76 coverage are likely to persist and they have a major effect on the corresponding estimates of 77 strain rate; regions of inferred high strain rate are controlled by the distribution of observations, 78 potentially resulting in inaccuracies. Furthermore, temporal variations in strain accumulation 79 around active faults may go undetected if velocities and strain-rates are based on old or non-80 continuous observations [Bilham et al., 2016; Cetin et al., 2014; Rousset et al., 2016].

81

82 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture radar (InSAR) provides spatially continuous 83 measurements of surface motions, without instruments on the ground, with precision 84 approaching that obtained from GNSS, and at a resolution that ranges from meters to hundreds of 85 meters [e.g. Bürgmann et al., 2000; Hooper et al., 2012; Hussain et al., 2016; Walters et al., 86 2014; Wright et al., 2001]. However, estimating interseismic strain remains challenging 87 particularly in slowly deforming regions where ground displacements are small and error sources 88 can dominate the differential radar phase [Elliott et al., 2016; Hooper et al., 2012; Shen et al., 89 2019]. Recently, the number of InSAR-capable satellites and volume of associated data have 90 increased and improvements in data quality and processing techniques now permit routine 91 measurements of surface velocities over spatial scales appropriate for studying tectonic plate 92 motions, regional fault systems, and the growth of mountains [e.g. Fattahi and Amelung, 2016;

93 Grandin et al., 2012; Pagli et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2013; Wang and Wright, 2012; Wang et al., 94 2019]. In particular, the European Commission's Sentinel-1 constellation, operated by the 95 European Space Agency, with two near-polar orbiting SAR instruments and a revisit period of 6-96 12 days for most active tectonic belts, has the potential to be a powerful hazard mapping and 97 monitoring tool, which the geoscience community has begun to exploit [e.g. *Elliott et al.*, 2015; 98 González et al., 2015; Grandin et al., 2016; Shirzaei et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020]. By analyzing 99 large volumes of short-revisit Sentinel-1 data, we can produce displacement time series with 100 reduced impact from atmospheric noise.

101

102 In order to manage and process the large data volumes produced by Sentinel-1, we have 103 developed open-source, automated workflows to efficiently produce interferograms and line-of-104 sight (LOS) time series and velocities [Morishita et al., 2020], which are valuable for a range of 105 applications. Here we demonstrate our ability to measure large-scale interseismic deformation across Anatolia, an area encompassing ~800,000 km<sup>2</sup> and including the highly seismogenic 106 107 North Anatolian Fault (NAF) Zone. We combine InSAR observations from the first ~5 years of 108 the Sentinel-1 mission with published GNSS data to create high-resolution surface velocity and 109 strain rate fields for the region.

110

#### 111 2. Sentinel-1 Data and LiCSAR processing

We process Sentinel-1 SAR data acquired on 14 overlapping tracks (7 ascending and 7 descending) over Anatolia, which were selected to cover the entire region from the intersection of the North and East Anatolian Faults in the east to the Aegean Sea in the west (Figs. 1 and S1). Sentinel-1 data were acquired on every 12-day revisit from the beginning of the Sentinel-1A operational mission in October 2014 and every 6 days since Sentinel-1B became fullyoperational in September 2016.

118

119 Our InSAR dataset includes 40 spatially and temporally consistent frames (~250 x 250 km) 120 that we define as part of the Sentinel-1 processing system LiCSAR (Figs. 1 and S1) [González et 121 al., 2016; Morishita et al., 2020]. By default, we construct temporal baseline interferograms to 122 the six closest acquisitions in time (3 forwards and 3 backwards) and ad hoc additional longer-123 timespan interferograms to help deal with low coherence due to vegetation in summer months 124 and snow cover in winter months. For each frame, this results in a network of ~600-800 125 interferograms derived from ~200 acquisitions (Fig. S2). Interferograms are downsampled (i.e. 126 multilooked) by a factor of 20 in range and 4 in azimuth producing ground pixels of ~80 x 80 m 127 (resampled to ~100 m spacing during geocoding), and the interferometric phase is unwrapped 128 using a statistical-cost, network-flow algorithm [i.e. SNAPHU; Chen and Zebker, 2000; 2001]. 129 We partially mitigate atmospheric contributions to apparent displacement signals by applying the 130 iterative troposphere decomposition model implemented in the Generic Atmospheric Correction 131 Online Service for InSAR (GACOS) [Yu et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2018a; Yu et al., 2018b]. On 132 average GACOS reduces the interferogram phase standard deviations by 20-30% (Fig. S3) 133 [Morishita et al., 2020], which should reduce the uncertainty in our LOS velocities by a similar 134 amount compared to the uncorrected velocities. Additional LiCSAR data processing details can 135 be found in the Supporting Information (SI).

136

## 137 3. Interseismic Line-of-sight Velocity Field Estimation and Uncertainties

138 We use LiCSBAS, an open-source InSAR time series analysis package integrated with the 139 LiCSAR processing system [Morishita et al., 2020], to derive InSAR LOS displacement time 140 series and velocities. Our LiCSBAS workflow for Anatolia consists of further downsampling the 141 data by a factor of 10 to a pixel size of  $\sim 1$  km, which is sufficient for large-scale tectonic 142 applications. We perform statistical quality checks [Morishita et al., 2020] prior to the small 143 baseline (SB) inversion, which yields incremental and cumulative displacements and the mean 144 displacement velocity. Despite the short spatial and temporal baselines that generally 145 characterize Sentinel-1 data, gaps in the SB network may still be present due to severe 146 decorrelation (e.g. due to snowfall), extended periods of time with no acquisitions, and after 147 unwrapping consistency checks (Fig. S2). LiCSBAS circumvents this problem by imposing the 148 constraint that displacements are linear in time (i.e. constant velocity) across the gaps [e.g. Doin 149 et al., 2011; López-Quiroz et al., 2009]. Finally, we estimate the uncertainty in the velocity from 150 its standard deviation (STD) using the percentile bootstrap method [Efron and Tibshirani, 1986] 151 (Fig. S4) and we mask pixels based on several noise indices (Fig. S5). We also test for potential 152 velocity biases associated with short temporal baseline interferograms in a Sentinel-1 network 153 [e.g. Ansari et al., 2020] by removing 6- and 12-day pairs for one LiCSAR frame prior to 154 LiCSBAS velocity inversion (Fig. S11); the standard deviation of the difference between these 155 results is small (~2 mm/yr).

156

After LiCSAR/LiCSBAS processing each frame has its own independent reference point for velocity determination (e.g. Fig. S6). We transform the LOS rate maps into a Eurasia-fixed reference frame using a regional GNSS velocity compilation (Fig. 1A and SI) following the method outlined in *Hussain et al.* [2018]; for each frame, we estimate and remove the best-fitting 161 second order polynomial between an interpolated, smoothed GNSS-derived horizontal velocity 162 field projected into the satellite LOS and the InSAR velocities (Fig. 1; SI). This transformation 163 yields a velocity field where the longest wavelength signals are tied to the GNSS data, but it does 164 not affect features at the ~100 km length scale and below.

165

Fault-perpendicular profiles from the overlap zones of adjacent tracks provide an indication of how well the rate maps agree after the reference frame transformation (Fig. 2). We present one profile taken from ascending-track data crossing the NAF near Ismetpasa and extending southward through the Konya Basin (Figs. 1 and 2) and another taken farther east from descending-track data crossing the NAF and EAF. Both profiles show good agreement between adjacent frames and clear changes in LOS velocity across major fault zones, consistent with the localization of interseismic strain [*Cavalié and Jónsson*, 2014; *Walters et al.*, 2014].

173

174 The bootstrap-derived uncertainties are generally considered to be underestimates 175 particularly if the network is not fully connected [Morishita et al., 2020]. Therefore, we also 176 assess LOS velocity uncertainties by calculating the difference between our LOS velocities and a 177 velocity field created by interpolating horizontal GNSS data (see SI), and an associated semi-178 variogram  $\gamma$  at separation distances h ranging from 0 to 150 km for two off-fault frames (Fig. S6). Our  $\sqrt{\gamma(h)}$  values serve as an estimate of velocity uncertainty that is robust up to length 179 180 scales of ~150 km (see SI) [Bagnardi and Hooper, 2018]. We use this approach to examine the evolution of uncertainty in our residual LOS measurements by estimating  $\sqrt{\gamma(h)}$  for 181 182 progressively longer time intervals and we find general consistency with the theoretical model 183 derived for error analysis of GNSS time-series data [Zhang et al., 1997] for the first ~3 years of our Sentinel-1 time series (Fig. S6). At longer time intervals the uncertainty estimates on our Eurasia-fixed velocities reach a minimum of 2-3 mm/yr, likely because our interpolated GNSS velocities are only accurate to this level, whereas the bootstrap-derived estimates continue to decrease with increasing time series length. However, this exercise is useful for determining our ability to measure small amounts of displacement, the time necessary to achieve a certain level of accuracy across different length scales [*Morishita et al.*, 2020], and how detection limits on interseismic velocities evolve with time (Fig. S6).

191

192 As an additional estimate of uncertainty, we also calculate the velocity residuals in the 193 overlap areas for all frames. We do this by assuming horizontal motion only and by correcting 194 for variable LOS by dividing the LOS velocities by the sine of the local incidence angles before 195 multiplying by the sine of the incidence angle at the center of each track [e.g. Hussain et al., 196 2018; Walters et al., 2014]. Histograms of the overlap residuals are approximately Gaussian with 197 means close to zero and standard deviations of 3.1-3.7 mm/yr (Fig. S7). Because LOS velocities 198 are not purely horizontal and due to uncertainties in the GNSS velocities used to transform the 199 LOS information into a Eurasia-fixed reference frame, these values can be considered upper-200 bound estimates of  $\sqrt{2}$  × the velocity uncertainties for the frames giving an average LOS 201 velocity STD of ~2.4 mm/yr.

202

# 203 4. East-west and Vertical Surface Velocities for Anatolia

The Eurasia-fixed ascending and descending LOS velocities (Fig. 1) provide a detailed picture of Anatolian surface motions. The most prominent feature is the pronounced gradient in velocity across the NAF, from negligible motion north of the NAF to rapid westward motion of Anatolia relative to Eurasia south of the fault (e.g. Fig. S6). Additional features include localized regions where there is apparent motion away from the satellite in both ascending and descending geometries indicating subsidence (Fig. 1B, 1C, and S6).

210

To remove some of the ambiguity associated with LOS measurements, we follow the approach of *Wright et al.* [2004a] and decompose the LOS velocities into east-west and vertical components for pixels with both ascending and descending information

$$V_{LOS} = [\sin\theta\cos\alpha - \sin\theta\sin\alpha - \cos\theta] \begin{bmatrix} V_E \\ V_N \\ V_U \end{bmatrix}$$

214

where  $V_{LOS}$  is the Eurasia-fixed LOS velocity,  $\theta$  is the local radar incidence angle,  $\alpha$  is the 215 azimuth of the satellite heading vector, and  $[V_E V_N V_U]^T$  is a vector with the east, north, and 216 217 vertical components of motion, respectively. This equation has three unknowns and we have two 218 observational constraints in the form of ascending and descending LOS velocities. To calculate 219 the full 3-D velocity field, we note that both viewing geometries are relatively insensitive to 220 north-south motion and use the interpolated, smoothed north-south component of the GNSS velocity field (Fig. 3A) to constrain  $V_N$  before solving for  $V_E$  and  $V_U$ . This approach does not 221 222 result in smoothed east-west or vertical velocities because of the LOS north-south insensitivity.

223

The resulting decomposed east-west velocity field (Fig. 3) is easier to interpret than the LOS rate map mosaic and shows large-scale westward motion of Anatolia at a rate of 20-25 mm/yr relative to Eurasia, with visible strain (a localized velocity gradient) across the entire NAF and portions of the EAF (Fig. 3B). Along-strike variations in the width of the velocity transition are also evident and correspond to portions of the NAF near Izmit and Ismetpasa where shallow
aseismic slip (i.e. creep) has been previously documented (Figs. 1, 3, and S9) [*Ambraseys*, 1970; *Bilham et al.*, 2016; *Cakir et al.*, 2014; *Hussain et al.*, 2016; *Jolivet and Frank*, 2020; *Kaneko et al.*, 2013; *Rousset et al.*, 2016].

232

The decomposed velocity field reveals that portions of Anatolia are experiencing rapid vertical motions. The clearest example is the large zone of subsidence with rates >50 mm/yr surrounding the Konya Basin in south-central Turkey (Figs. 2A, 3C, 3E, S6, and S11), which is attributed to rapid aquifer compaction due to groundwater extraction [*Caló et al.*, 2017; *Üstün et al.*, 2015].

238

### 239 5. Velocity and Strain Rate Fields from Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS Data

240 To estimate rates of tectonic strain accumulation, we can calculate velocity gradients directly 241 from the decomposed velocity field (see SI; Fig. S10) but our preferred method (see SI for a 242 detailed justification) involves combining InSAR LOS velocity maps with GNSS data and 243 inverting for a velocity and strain rate model using the VELMAP approach [Wang and Wright, 244 2012] (see SI). The technique consists of dividing the study area into a mesh of arbitrary 245 spherical triangles (Fig. S13), assuming the velocity varies linearly (i.e. the strain rate is 246 constant) within each triangle, and using shape functions [England and Molnar, 2005] to solve 247 for the unknown velocities at the vertices of each triangle using the observed InSAR and GNSS 248 measurements. The associated strain and rotation rates are calculated using the spherical 249 approximation equations of Savage et al. [2001]. The inversion is regularized using Laplacian 250 smoothing, the strength of which has an impact on the resulting strain rate magnitudes (Figs. S13

and S15) including slightly underestimating the strain rates associated with active faults. The
approach also does not allow for steps in the velocity field. Additional VELMAP modeling
information can be found in the SI.

254

255 Comparison of our preferred Sentinel-1- and GNSS-based model with one based on GNSS 256 data alone (see SI; Figs. 4 and S15) reveals that the inclusion of InSAR data improves the 257 accuracy of the velocity field (Fig. S14) and better captures velocity gradients (and therefore also 258 estimates of strain accumulation) along the major faults (Fig. 2). In the GNSS-only model, the 259 second invariant of the horizontal components of the strain rate tensor (a measure of the total 260 magnitude of the strain rate) indicates the NAF is characterized by a patchy distribution of 261 regions straining at rates >100 nanostrain/yr with even higher strain rates ( $\geq$ 150 nanostrain/yr) 262 primarily near clusters of GNSS sites around the western and eastern strands of the fault. 263 Furthermore, central Anatolia is inferred to be essentially undeforming, but in Western Anatolia 264 where earthquake focal mechanisms and the GPS-derived velocity and strain rate fields of Aktug 265 et al. [2009] show that normal faulting and extension is prevalent, portions of the major grabens 266 are straining at rates >50 nanostrain/yr (Figs. 3 and 4). In contrast, the combined InSAR and 267 GNSS strain rate model shows spatially coherent strain rate magnitudes  $\geq$ 150 nanostrain/yr 268 localized along nearly the entire length of the NAF. The previously identified creeping sections of the fault (Fig. 2B) are also associated with elevated strain rates compared to the GNSS-only 269 270 map, which exhibits high strain rates in the Izmit region (Fig. 2D) but much lower rates near 271 Ismetpasa (Fig. S9). For comparison, we also derive VELMAP strain rates using the alternative 272 Global Strain Rate Model (GSRM) GNSS dataset [see SI; Kreemer et al., 2014], which are

characterized by localized patches of high strain along the NAF and in central Anatolia, largelycontrolled by GNSS site density (Fig. S17).

275

276 Another characteristic of our combined Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS result is that the 277 inferred strain rates along the NAF (Fig. 4) are typically half of those stemming from an analysis 278 of Envisat InSAR data by *Hussain et al.* [2018], who took a different approach to estimating 279 strain rate by modeling fault-parallel velocities using 1-D elastic dislocation theory. A main 280 conclusion of *Hussain et al.* [2018] is that strain rates are essentially uniform along the entire 281 length of the fault, implying that the interseismic strain rate is constant in time except in the first 282 decade or two after a major earthquake. We attribute most of the strain rate magnitude 283 discrepancy to the factor of two difference between shear strain rates obtained by computing the 284 full strain rate tensor [Savage and Burford, 1973; Savage et al., 2001] and those obtained by 285 taking the spatial derivative of the smoothed, decomposed east-west surface velocity field (i.e. 286 the velocity gradient; Fig. S10; see SI for a detailed explanation) or as in *Hussain et al.* [2018], 287 the gradient of fault-parallel velocity profiles associated with slip on a dislocation in an elastic 288 half space. Once the factor of two is taken in to account, our strain rate magnitudes are still 289 slightly lower than those of *Hussain et al.* [2018] but exhibit a similar first-order pattern 290 suggesting the nearly constant along-strike strain rate is a real and robust feature of the NAF (Fig. S19). This result has important implications as it suggests geodetic strain rate can be used 291 292 as a long-term estimate of future seismic hazard independent of time since the last earthquake. 293 Second-order differences in strain rate magnitudes are due to the smoothing implemented in 294 VELMAP (Figs. S13, S15, and S19) and not explicitly accounting for fault creep. For example, 295 if we examine the NAF-parallel velocities in a profile that crosses the creeping zone near

Ismetpasa, we see that our preferred solution does not capture the sharp velocity gradient evident in the GSRM GNSS velocities (Fig. 2B). Rougher VELMAP models (e.g. Figs. S15 and S16) better reproduce this gradient and return strain rate magnitudes more consistent with the dislocation-based estimates of *Hussain et al.* [2018], but also introduce unacceptably high levels of apparent noise in the central Anatolian strain field (e.g. Fig. S15). Future efforts will focus on developing an improved approach to model regularization that includes spatially variable smoothing and accounts for fault creep.

303

304 While a velocity gradient across portions of the EAF is visible in the decomposed east-west 305 velocities (Fig. 3), our combined strain rate model infers relatively low levels of strain along this 306 fault zone compared to the NAF (Fig. 4), consistent with previous InSAR-based studies [e.g. 307 Cavalié and Jónsson, 2014; Walters et al., 2014]. Furthermore, we find appreciable, localized 308 strain accumulation only along the northeastern half of the EAF that is not apparent in the 309 GNSS-only model. This is also where the east-west velocity contrast is most apparent (Fig. 3B). 310 While there is some seismicity associated with the EAF (Fig. 3A), the recently complied 1900-311 2012 earthquake catalogue for Turkey [Kadirioğlu et al., 2018] indicates that the associated 312 magnitudes and thus total moment release are much lower than along the NAF, supporting the 313 notion that less strain is accumulating along the EAF than the NAF [Bletery et al., 2020]. The 24 314 January 2020 M<sub>w</sub> 6.7 Elaziğ earthquake [Melgar et al., 2020] occurred on the short portion of the 315 EAF where we resolve both an east-west velocity gradient and elevated strain rates on the order 316 of ~70 nanostrain/yr (Figs. 3 and 4). We infer maximum shear strain and dilatation rates  $\geq 100$ 317 nanostrain/yr associated with active grabens and normal faulting within a broad zone of positive

dilatation across the Western Anatolian Extensional Province but relatively low levels of strainalong the Central Anatolian Fault Zone (Figs. 3 and 4).

320

#### 321 6. Conclusions

322 We have produced, to our knowledge, the largest regional interseismic measurement from InSAR to date, covering a  $\sim$ 800,000 km<sup>2</sup> area and the majority of Anatolia. Our strain rate model 323 324 displays high strains along the major tectonic features, which is consistent with the distribution 325 of seismicity (Figs. 2A and S20). While the availability of abundant GNSS and Sentinel-1 326 InSAR data for Anatolia combined with favorable fault orientations make it ideal for such a 327 study, our results demonstrate the potential of Sentinel-1 data for enhancing the picture of 328 surface deformation and hazard in other regions. A key factor is the equal geographical coverage 329 of Sentinel-1 ascending and descending data, which permits the retrieval of 2D and 3D 330 deformation fields for tectonic zones globally even without the benefit of a dense GNSS dataset 331 (see SI; Fig. S19. In addition, the relatively low uncertainties on Sentinel-1-derived interseismic 332 velocities (Fig. S7) are beneficial for estimating strain across slowly deforming regions and for 333 resolving small temporal changes in deformation throughout the earthquake cycle. Although 334 some challenges still remain for fault systems where the majority of motion is in the north-south 335 direction, Sentinel-1 represents a major improvement over past SAR datasets. This improvement 336 is crucial for monitoring vertical motions from anthropogenic activities and for constraining 337 earthquake hazard, particularly across regions with millennial earthquake recurrence intervals, 338 where seismic hazard assessments based on incomplete historical earthquake records can 339 dangerously underestimate the true hazard [Stein et al., 2012; Stevens and Avouac, 2016].

340

### 341 Acknowledgements

342 We thank Marco Bagnardi and Thomas Ingleby for helping JRW get up to speed with InSAR upon his arrival in Leeds, Philip England and Gregory Houseman for insights regarding deriving 343 344 velocities and strain rates from geodetic data, and Emma Hatton and Nicholas Greenall for their 345 contributions to LiCSAR development. We also thank Romain Jolivet, Lucy Flesch, and an 346 anonymous reviewer for comments that helped us improve the manuscript. We are grateful to 347 Tom Merry for help with code debugging. This research was supported by the Natural 348 Environmental Research Council (NERC) through the Centre for the Observation and Modelling 349 of Earthquakes, Volcanoes and Tectonics, the Looking inside the Continents from Space large 350 grants to Oxford (NE/K011006/1), Leeds (NE/K010867/1), and Newcastle (NE/K010794/1) 351 Universities, and the Earthquakes without Frontiers project (EwF\_NE/J02001X/1\_1). JRW is 352 also supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the State of Brandenburg, TJW 353 by the Royal Society, YM by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Overseas Research 354 Fellowship, and HW by the NSFC (41672205). GMT [Wessel et al., 2013] was used to create the 355 figures presented in this paper. All interferograms are available for download from 356 comet.nerc.ac.uk/comet-lics-portal, the time series analysis software LiCSBAS can be accessed 357 via Morishita et al. [2020], and information regarding accessing GACOS corrections can be 358 found in Yu et al. [2018b].

- 359
- 360
- 361
- 362
- 363





370

371 Fig. 1. Tectonic setting of Anatolia and interseismic surface velocities in a Eurasia-fixed 372 reference frame. (A) GNSS velocity vectors from England et al. [2016] and Nocquet [2012], 373 illuminating the counterclockwise rotation of Anatolia and Arabia relative to Eurasia. Black lines indicate the main strands of the North Anatolian Fault (NAF) and East Anatolian Fault (EAF). 374 (B) Ascending and (C) descending track Sentinel-1 line-of-sight (LOS) velocities with LiCSAR 375 376 frame boundaries. Negative (blue) and positive (red) values indicate relative motion towards and 377 away from the satellite, respectively. Color scale is the same in (B) and (C). Fig. 2 profile 378 locations are indicated in (B) and (C).





381

382 Fig. 2. Velocity profiles for Anatolia. (A) InSAR LOS velocities within 25 km of the NAF-383 crossing profile shown in Fig. 1B for overlapping tracks T160A (blue) and T087A (red). The black line is the mean VELMAP LOS velocity for T087A. (B) Red band shows combined 384 385 Sentinel-1 InSAR and GNSS profile-perpendicular horizontal velocities with  $1\sigma$  errors from our 386 preferred VELMAP model. Green band represents the GNSS-only model. Filled circles and  $2\sigma$ 387 error bars are the GNSS velocities (white from GSRM are not used in the VELMAP inversion). 388 The southern portion of the profile crosses the Konya Basin (KB; see main text and Fig. S8). (C-389 D) Same as above but for a profile that crosses the NAF and EAF (Fig. 1C).



390 391

392 Fig. 3. Horizontal and vertical surface velocities for the Anatolian region. (A) Interpolated north-393 south velocities based on the GNSS data and shallow earthquake focal mechanisms from the 394 GCMT catalogue [Ekström et al., 2012]. Recent large labeled events include the 1999 M<sub>w</sub> 7.4 Izmit, the 1999  $M_w$  7.2 Düzce, the 1992  $M_w$  6.8 Erzincan, and the 2020  $M_w$  6.7 Elaziğ 395 earthquakes. (B) East-west and (C) vertical velocities decomposed from the combined Sentinel-1 396 397 LOS and GNSS north-south velocities. Previously identified creeping portions of the NAF are indicated in (B). Also shown are close-up views of the decomposed surface velocities for (D) a 398 399 section of the NAF surrounding the Izmit and Düzce earthquakes with the creeping section 400 determined by Aslan et al. [2019] indicated with arrows and (E) the Konya Basin region with 401 areas subsiding at rates ≥50 mm/yr shown in black. Semi-transparent SRTM topography hill-402 shades are draped over the velocity fields shown in the close-ups. See Fig. S9 for a detailed view 403 of the creeping section near Ismetpasa. Thin black lines in (A), (C), (D), and (E) are active faults 404 from [*Emre et al.*, 2018]. KB=Konya Basin. CAFZ=Central Anatolian Fault Zone. 405 WAEP=Western Anatolian Extensional Province.

- 406 407
- 408
- 409 410





413 Fig. 4 VELMAP strain rate fields for Anatolia. (A) Maximum shear strain rate, (B) dilatation 414 rate, and (C) second invariant of the strain rate tensor, derived using GNSS data only. White triangles in (A) are GNSS site locations. (D-F) Strain rate components from a joint inversion of 415 the GNSS and Sentinel-1 LOS velocities. Black and magenta bars in (C) and (F) represent the 416 417 contractional and extensional principal strain rates, respectively. The maximum shear strain rates imply focused deformation along the NAF and EAF and wholesale positive dilatation across 418 419 western Anatolia is indicative of extension whereas short-wavelength features in the dilatation field likely reflect anthropogenic vertical signals that result in subsurface expansion and 420 contraction and contribute to noisy patches in the 2<sup>nd</sup> invariant estimates. See Figs. S15 and S19 421

422 for additional components of the strain rate tensor and a comparison with seismicity rates, 423 respectively.

- 423 re 424
- 424 425
- 426
- 427
- 428
- 429
- 430
- 431 432
- 433 **References**
- 434 Aktug, B., et al. (2009), Deformation of western Turkey from a combination of permanent and
- 435 campaign GPS data: Limits to block-like behavior, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*436 *Earth*, *114*(B10).
- 437 Ambraseys, N. N. (1970), Some characteristic features of the Anatolian fault zone,
- 438 *Tectonophysics*, 9(2), 143-165.
- Ansari, H., F. De Zan, and A. Parizzi (2020), Study of Systematic Bias in Measuring Surface
  Deformation with SAR Interferometry.
- 441 Argus, D. F., R. G. Gordon, and C. DeMets (2011), Geologically current motion of 56 plates
- relative to the no-net-rotation reference frame, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 12*(11).
- 443 Aslan, G., C. Lasserre, Z. Cakir, S. Ergintav, S. Özarpaci, U. Dogan, R. Bilham, and F. Renard
- 444 (2019), Shallow Creep Along the 1999 Izmit Earthquake Rupture (Turkey) From GPS and High
- 445 Temporal Resolution Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar Data (2011–2017), *Journal of*
- 446 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(2), 2218-2236.
- 447 Bagnardi, M., and A. Hooper (2018), Inversion of Surface Deformation Data for Rapid Estimates
- 448 of Source Parameters and Uncertainties: A Bayesian Approach, *Geochemistry, Geophysics*,
- 449 *Geosystems*, 19(7), 2194-2211.
- Bilham, R., et al. (2016), Surface creep on the North Anatolian Fault at Ismetpasa, Turkey,
  1944–2016, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *121*(10), 7409-7431.
- 452 Bird, P., D. D. Jackson, Y. Y. Kagan, C. Kreemer, and R. S. Stein (2015), GEAR1: A Global
- 453 Earthquake Activity Rate Model Constructed from Geodetic Strain Rates and Smoothed
- 454 Seismicity, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America.
- 455 Bletery, Q., O. Cavalie, J.-M. Nocquet, and T. Ragon (2020), Distribution of interseismic
- 456 coupling along the North and East Anatolian Faults inferred from InSAR and GPS data, *Earth* 457 *and Space Science Open Archive*.
- 458 Bürgmann, R., P. A. Rosen, and E. J. Fielding (2000), Synthetic Aperture Radar Interferometry
- to Measure Earth's Surface Topography and Its Deformation, *Annual Review of Earth and*
- 460 *Planetary Sciences*, 28(1), 169-209.

461 Cakir, Z., S. Ergintav, A. M. Akoğlu, R. Çakmak, O. Tatar, and M. Meghraoui (2014), InSAR

462 velocity field across the North Anatolian Fault (eastern Turkey): Implications for the loading and

463 release of interseismic strain accumulation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,

464 *119*(10), 7934-7943.

465 Caló, F., D. Notti, J. P. Galve, S. Abdikan, T. Görüm, A. Pepe, and F. Balik Şanli (2017),

466 DInSAR-Based Detection of Land Subsidence and Correlation with Groundwater Depletion in 467 Konya Plain, Turkey, *Remote Sensing*, 9(1), 83.

468 Cavalié, O., and S. Jónsson (2014), Block-like plate movements in eastern Anatolia observed by
469 InSAR, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(1), 26-31.

470 Cetin, E., Z. Cakir, M. Meghraoui, S. Ergintav, and A. M. Akoglu (2014), Extent and

471 distribution of aseismic slip on the Ismetpaşa segment of the North Anatolian Fault (Turkey)

472 from Persistent Scatterer InSAR, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 15(7), 2883-2894.

473 Chaussard, E., R. Bürgmann, H. Fattahi, R. M. Nadeau, T. Taira, C. W. Johnson, and I. Johanson

474 (2015), Potential for larger earthquakes in the East San Francisco Bay Area due to the direct

475 connection between the Hayward and Calaveras Faults, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(8),

476 2734-2741.

Chen, C. W., and H. A. Zebker (2000), Network approaches to two-dimensional phase
unwrapping: intractability and two new algorithms, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, *17*(3), 401-414.

479 Chen, C. W., and H. A. Zebker (2001), Two-dimensional phase unwrapping with use of

statistical models for cost functions in nonlinear optimization, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, 18(2), 338351.

482 Doin, M.-P., F. Lodge, S. Guillaso, R. Jolivet, C. Lasserre, G. Ducret, R. Grandin, E. Pathier, and

483 V. Pinel (2011), Presentation of the small baseline NSBAS processing chain on a case example:

484 The Etna deformation monitoring from 2003 to 2010 using Envisat data, in *FRINGE*, edited,

485 Frascati, Italy.

486 Efron, B., and R. Tibshirani (1986), Bootstrap Methods for Standard Errors, Confidence

487 Intervals, and Other Measures of Statistical Accuracy, *Statist. Sci.*, *1*(1), 54-75.

Ekström, G., M. Nettles, and A. M. Dziewoński (2012), The global CMT project 2004–2010:

489 Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*,
490 200-201, 1-9.

491 Elliott, J. R., R. J. Walters, and T. J. Wright (2016), The role of space-based observation in

understanding and responding to active tectonics and earthquakes, *Nature Communications*, 7,13844.

494 Elliott, J. R., A. J. Elliott, A. Hooper, Y. Larsen, P. Marinkovic, and T. J. Wright (2015),

Earthquake monitoring gets boost from new satellite, *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 96.*

- 497 Emre, Ö., T. Y. Duman, S. Özalp, F. Şaroğlu, Ş. Olgun, H. Elmacı, and T. Çan (2018), Active
- 498 fault database of Turkey, *Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering*, *16*(8), 3229-3275.
- England, P., and P. Molnar (2005), Late Quaternary to decadal velocity fields in Asia, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 110(B12), n/a-n/a.
- 501 England, P. C., G. A. Houseman, and J. M. Nocquet (2016), Constraints from GPS
- 502 measurements on the dynamics of deformation in Anatolia and the Aegean, *Journal of* 503 *Combusing Pagarath*, Solid Fauth
- 503 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.
- 504 Fattahi, H., and F. Amelung (2016), InSAR observations of strain accumulation and fault creep
- along the Chaman Fault system, Pakistan and Afghanistan, *Geophysical Research Letters*,
   43(16), 8399-8406.
- 507 Goldstein, R. M., and C. L. Werner (1998), Radar interferogram filtering for geophysical 508 applications, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(21), 4035-4038.
- 509 González, P. J., E. Hatton, R. J. Walters, A. J. Hooper, and T. J. Wright (2016), Sentinel-1
- 510 InSAR time series processing: one year and counting, paper presented at The Living Planet
- 511 Symposium, Czech Republic.
- 512 González, P. J., M. Bagnardi, A. J. Hooper, Y. Larsen, P. Marinkovic, S. V. Samsonov, and T. J.
- 513 Wright (2015), The 2014–2015 eruption of Fogo volcano: Geodetic modeling of Sentinel-1
- 514 TOPS interferometry, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(21), 9239-9246.
- 515 Grandin, R., E. Klein, M. Métois, and C. Vigny (2016), Three-dimensional displacement field of
- the 2015 Mw8.3 Illapel earthquake (Chile) from across- and along-track Sentinel-1 TOPS
- 517 interferometry, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(6), 2552-2561.
- 518 Grandin, R., M.-P. Doin, L. Bollinger, B. Pinel-Puyssegu, G. Ducret, R. Jolivet, and S. N.
- 519 Sapkota (2012), Long-term growth of the Himalaya inferred from interseismic InSAR
  520 measurement, *Geology*, 40(12), 1059-1062.
- 521 Hearn, E. H. (2003), What can GPS data tell us about the dynamics of post-seismic
- deformation?, *Geophysical Journal International*, 155(3), 753-777.
- 523 Hooper, A., D. Bekaert, K. Spaans, and M. Arıkan (2012), Recent advances in SAR
- interferometry time series analysis for measuring crustal deformation, *Tectonophysics*, 514-517,
  1-13.
- 526 Hussain, E., A. Hooper, T. J. Wright, R. J. Walters, and D. P. S. Bekaert (2016), Interseismic
- 527 strain accumulation across the central North Anatolian Fault from iteratively unwrapped InSAR
- 528 measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *121*(12), 9000-9019.
- 529 Hussain, E., T. J. Wright, R. J. Walters, D. P. S. Bekaert, R. Lloyd, and A. Hooper (2018),
- 530 Constant strain accumulation rate between major earthquakes on the North Anatolian Fault,
- 531 *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1392.

- Jolivet, R., and W. B. Frank (2020), The Transient and Intermittent Nature of Slow Slip, AGU
   Advances, 1(1), e2019AV000126.
- Jolivet, R., C. Lasserre, M. P. Doin, G. Peltzer, J. P. Avouac, J. Sun, and R. Dailu (2013), Spatio-
- 535 temporal evolution of aseismic slip along the Haiyuan fault, China: Implications for fault
- frictional properties, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 377-378, 23-33.
- 537 Kadirioğlu, F., R. Kartal, T. Kılıç, D. Kalafat, T. Duman, T. Eroğlu Azak, S. Özalp, and Ö. Emre
- 538 (2018), An improved earthquake catalogue ( $M \ge 4.0$ ) for Turkey and its near vicinity (1900–
- 539 2012), Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 16, 3317-3338.
- 540 Kaneko, Y., Y. Fialko, D. T. Sandwell, X. Tong, and M. Furuya (2013), Interseismic
- 541 deformation and creep along the central section of the North Anatolian Fault (Turkey): InSAR
- 542 observations and implications for rate-and-state friction properties, *Journal of Geophysical*
- 543 *Research: Solid Earth*, *118*(1), 316-331.
- 544 Kreemer, C., G. Blewitt, and E. C. Klein (2014), A geodetic plate motion and Global Strain Rate 545 Model, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *15*(10), 3849-3889.
- 546 Lindsey, E. O., Y. Fialko, Y. Bock, D. T. Sandwell, and R. Bilham (2014), Localized and
- 546 Endsey, E. O., T. Planko, T. Boek, D. T. Sandwen, and R. Binnan (2014), Eccanzed and
   547 distributed creep along the southern San Andreas Fault, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid* 548 *Earth*, 119(10), 7909-7922.
- 548 Earth, 119(10), 1909-1922.
- 549 López-Quiroz, P., M.-P. Doin, F. Tupin, P. Briole, and J.-M. Nicolas (2009), Time series
- analysis of Mexico City subsidence constrained by radar interferometry, *Journal of Applied*
- 551 *Geophysics*, 69(1), 1-15.
- 552 Loveless, J. P., and B. J. Meade (2011), Partitioning of localized and diffuse deformation in the
- 553 Tibetan Plateau from joint inversions of geologic and geodetic observations, *Earth and*
- 554 *Planetary Science Letters*, 303(1), 11-24.
- 555 Melgar, D., A. Ganas, T. Taymaz, S. Valkaniotis, B. W. Crowell, V. Kapetanidis, V. Tsironi, S.
- 556 Yolsal-Cevikbilen, and T. Ocalan (2020), Rupture Kinematics of January 24, 2020 Mw 6.7
- 557 Doğanyol-Sivrice, Turkey Earthquake on the East Anatolian Fault Zone Imaged by Space
- 558 Geodesy, EarthArXiv.
- Molnar, P. (1979), Earthquake recurrence intervals and plate tectonics, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 69(1), 115-133.
- 561 Morishita, Y., M. Lazecky, T. J. Wright, J. R. Weiss, J. R. Elliott, and A. Hooper (2020),
- LiCSBAS: An Open-Source InSAR Time Series Analysis Package Integrated with the LiCSAR Automated Sentinel-1 InSAR Processor, *Remote Sensing*, *12*(3), 424.
- 564 Nocquet, J.-M. (2012), Present-day kinematics of the Mediterranean: A comprehensive overview 565 of GPS results, *Tectonophysics*, 579, 220-242.

- 566 Pagli, C., H. Wang, T. J. Wright, E. Calais, and E. Lewi (2014), Current plate boundary
- deformation of the Afar rift from a 3-D velocity field inversion of InSAR and GPS, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *119*(11), 8562-8575.
- 569 Parsons, B., T. Wright, P. Rowe, J. Andrews, J. Jackson, R. Walker, M. Khatib, M. Talebian, E.
- 570 Bergman, and E. R. Engdahl (2006), The 1994 Sefidabeh (eastern Iran) earthquakes revisited:
- 571 new evidence from satellite radar interferometry and carbonate dating about the growth of an
- active fold above a blind thrust fault, *Geophysical Journal International*, *164*(1), 202-217.
- 573 Prats-Iraola, P., R. Scheiber, L. Marotti, S. Wollstadt, and A. Reigber (2012), TOPS
- 574 Interferometry With TerraSAR-X, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 575 50(8), 3179-3188.
- 576 Reilinger, R., and S. McClusky (2011), Nubia–Arabia–Eurasia plate motions and the dynamics
- 577 of Mediterranean and Middle East tectonics, *Geophysical Journal International*, *186*(3), 971-578 979.
- 579 Rollins, C., and J.-P. Avouac (2019), A Geodesy- and Seismicity-Based Local Earthquake
- 580 Likelihood Model for Central Los Angeles, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(6), 3153-3162.
- 581 Rousset, B., R. Jolivet, M. Simons, C. Lasserre, B. Riel, P. Milillo, Z. Çakir, and F. Renard
- (2016), An aseismic slip transient on the North Anatolian Fault, *Geophysical Research Letters*,
   43(7), 3254-3262.
- 584 Savage, J. C., and R. O. Burford (1973), Geodetic determination of relative plate motion in 585 central California, *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)*, 78(5), 832-845.
- Savage, J. C., W. Gan, and J. L. Svarc (2001), Strain accumulation and rotation in the Eastern
  California Shear Zone, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *106*(B10), 21995-22007.
- 588 Schmidt, D. A., and R. Bürgmann (2003), Time-dependent land uplift and subsidence in the
- Santa Clara valley, California, from a large interferometric synthetic aperture radar data set,
   *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 108(B9).
- 591 Shen, L., A. Hooper, and J. Elliott (2019), A Spatially Varying Scaling Method for InSAR
- 591 Sheh, E., A. Hooper, and S. Elhou (2019), A Spatially Varying Scaling Weilou for histait
   592 Tropospheric Corrections Using a High-Resolution Weather Model, *Journal of Geophysical* 593 *Research: Solid Earth*, 124(4), 4051-4068.
- 594 Shirzaei, M., R. Bürgmann, and E. J. Fielding (2017), Applicability of Sentinel-1 Terrain
- 595 Observation by Progressive Scans multitemporal interferometry for monitoring slow ground
- 596 motions in the San Francisco Bay Area, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(6), 2733-2742.
- Smith, B. R., and D. T. Sandwell (2006), A model of the earthquake cycle along the San Andreas
  Fault System for the past 1000 years, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *111*(B1),
  n/a-n/a.
- 600 Stein, S., R. J. Geller, and M. Liu (2012), Why earthquake hazard maps often fail and what to do 601 about it, *Tectonophysics*, 562-563, 1-25.

- 602 Stevens, V. L., and J.-P. Avouac (2016), Millenary Mw > 9.0 earthquakes required by geodetic
- 603 strain in the Himalaya, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(3), 1118-1123.
- Tong, X., D. T. Sandwell, and B. Smith-Konter (2013), High-resolution interseismic velocity
- 605 data along the San Andreas Fault from GPS and InSAR, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid* 606 *Earth*, 118(1), 369-389.
- Torres, R., et al. (2012), GMES Sentinel-1 mission, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 120, 9-24.
- Üstün, A., et al. (2015), Land subsidence in Konya Closed Basin and its spatio-temporal
   detection by GPS and DInSAR, *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 73(10), 6691-6703.
- 610 Walters, R. J., B. Parsons, and T. J. Wright (2014), Constraining crustal velocity fields with
- 611 InSAR for Eastern Turkey: Limits to the block-like behavior of Eastern Anatolia, *Journal of* 612 *Coophysical Passarch: Solid Eastern 110*(6), 5215, 5224
- 612 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *119*(6), 5215-5234.
- 613 Walters, R. J., P. C. England, and G. A. Houseman (2017), Constraints from GPS measurements
- 614 on the dynamics of the zone of convergence between Arabia and Eurasia, *Journal of*
- 615 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *122*(2), 1470-1495.
- 616 Wang, H., and T. J. Wright (2012), Satellite geodetic imaging reveals internal deformation of 617 western Tibet, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *39*(7).
- 618 Wang, H., T. J. Wright, J. Liu-Zeng, and L. Peng (2019), Strain Rate Distribution in South-
- 619 Central Tibet From Two Decades of InSAR and GPS, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(10),
  620 5170-5179.
- Wei, M., D. Sandwell, and B. Smith-Konter (2010), Optimal combination of InSAR and GPS for
  measuring interseismic crustal deformation, *Advances in Space Research*, 46(2), 236-249.
- 623 Weiss, J. R., et al. (2019), Illuminating subduction zone rheological properties in the wake of a 624 giant earthquake, *Science Advances*.
- Wessel, P., W. H. F. Smith, R. Scharroo, J. Luis, and F. Wobbe (2013), Generic Mapping Tools:
  Improved Version Released, *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, *94*(45), 409-410.
- 627 Wright, T. (2016), The earthquake deformation cycle, *Astronomy & Geophysics*, 57, 4.20-24.26.
- 628 Wright, T. J., B. Parsons, and E. Fielding (2001), Measurement of interseismic strain
- 629 accumulation across the North Anatolian Fault by satellite radar interferometry, *Geophysical*
- 630 *Research Letters*, 28(10), 2117-2120.
- Wright, T. J., B. E. Parsons, and Z. Lu (2004a), Toward mapping surface deformation in three
  dimensions using InSAR, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(1), n/a-n/a.
- 633 Wright, T. J., B. Parsons, P. C. England, and E. J. Fielding (2004b), InSAR Observations of Low
- 634 Slip Rates on the Major Faults of Western Tibet, *Science*, *305*(5681), 236-239.

- Wright, T. J., J. R. Elliott, H. Wang, and I. Ryder (2013), Earthquake cycle deformation and the Moho: Implications for the rheology of continental lithosphere, *Tectonophysics*, *609*, 504-523.
- Ku, X., D. T. Sandwell, and B. Smith-Konter (2020), Coseismic Displacements and Surface
   Fractures from Sentinel-1 InSAR: 2019 Ridgecrest Earthquakes, *Seismological Research Letters*.
- 639 Yu, C., N. T. Penna, and Z. Li (2017), Generation of real-time mode high-resolution water vapor
- 640 fields from GPS observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *122*(3), 2008641 2025.
- - Yu, C., Z. Li, and N. T. Penna (2018a), Interferometric synthetic aperture radar atmospheric
     correction using a GPS-based iterative tropospheric decomposition model, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 204, 109-121.
  - 645 Yu, C., Z. Li, N. T. Penna, and P. Crippa (2018b), Generic Atmospheric Correction Model for
  - 646 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar Observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*
  - 647 Earth, 123(10), 9202-9222.
- 648 Zhang, J., Y. Bock, H. Johnson, P. Fang, S. Williams, J. Genrich, S. Wdowinski, and J. Behr
- 649 (1997), Southern California Permanent GPS Geodetic Array: Error analysis of daily position
- estimates and site velocities, *J Geophys Res-Sol Ea*, *102*(B8), 18035-18055.
- 651