High School-University Collaborations for Latinx **Student Success: Navigating the Political Reality**

Genia M. Bettencourt, Chrystal A. George Mwangi, Keisha L. Green, and Daniel Morales Morales

Abstract

Latinx students are a growing population in postsecondary education but attain degrees at a pace behind their non-Latinx peers. This research examines a partnership between a research university (RU) and career and technical education (CTE) high school, Hillside Technical High School (HTHS). Through a 2-year ethnographic case study, we found that different logistics and cultural values were primary contributors to the bifurcated pathway between high school and college. These pathways were most successfully connected through strategies such as flexibility, personal relationships, and incorporation of community resources as well as viewing the students as resources. Our study suggests a need to reframe partnerships in recognition of the assets that students bring to these efforts, while also creating opportunities for additional faculty support and community involvement.

Keywords: Latinx youth, career and technical education, high school– university partnerships, LatCrit

atinx college students have exin rates of postsecondary education among racial and ethnic groups over the past two decades. However, these students continue to earn bachelor's degrees at lower rates than their peers (Krogstad, 2016). The discrepancy in educational attainment creates what Contreras (2011) refers to as the brown paradox, in which Latinx influence is spreading without corresponding levels of educational attainment or economic stability. Research that examines the educational pathway for Latinx student populations is needed to understand how disparities occur across enrollment, retention, and graduation (Solórzano et al., 2005).

The importance of postsecondary attain-P-12 and postsecondary bifurcation (Kirst support Latinx populations.

& Usdan, 2007) that makes for two systems perienced the largest increase with little connection between them. This bifurcation can create challenges for Latinx students in navigating from elementary and secondary school into higher education. Scholars emphasize that developing stronger partnerships between these two components of the education pipeline is critical for improving college access and success for minoritized students (Howard et al., 2017; Kirst & Venezia, 2004). In this study, we examine possible ways to foster relationships between high schools and universities to promote student success. This study posed two research questions: (a) What factors impact the development of K-16 partnerships? (b) What strategies do educators use to develop K-16 partnerships? In this study, we examine one such ment emphasizes the need for alignment partnership through an ethnographic case across high school and college (Brand et study to examine how collaboration can be al., 2013). However, there is a history of fostered across K-16 pathways to better

Literature Review

In the following study, we use the term Latinx over Latina/o, Latin@, or other designations for those people with Latin American ancestry to align with emerging usage in higher education scholarship that promotes inclusivity and institutional understandings of intersectionality (Salinas & Lozano, 2017). To frame our study, we drew upon two bodies of literature: (a) high school-university partnerships and (b) Latinx education.

High School-University Partnerships

There is a long history of bifurcation between K-12 and postsecondary education systems (Kirst & Usdan, 2007). Fundamentally, engagement with knowledge and ideas is different within high school and university contexts. In high school, education is traditionally seen as the transmission of knowledge (Conley, 2007). Such views align with theoretical models that critique a banking model of education in which students are viewed as empty vessels that receive deposits of information from more knowledgeable instructors (Freire, 1970). In contrast, higher education environments are often described as sites of critical thinking and knowledge generation (Conley, 2007). Although techniques exist to help students prepare for this adjustment, such as senior seminars that introduce the reasoning and critical awareness required in postsecondary contexts (Conley, 2007), the shift is notable. Beyond this core component of learning, high schools are also logistically quite different from the heterogeneous spaces, academic calendars, and Latinx Education daily schedules of universities (Cunningham & Matthews, 2007; Hoffman et al., 2009).

Such discrepancies emphasize the need to align high school requirements with postsecondary expectations in a way that Contreras, 2009). Latinx are the largest and frames all curriculum as college prepara- most rapidly growing minoritized ethnic tory (Jones, 2007). Researchers have found group in the United States, but they have a need to support students across high not experienced a subsequent increase in school completion and college preparation, college graduation rates in three decades enrollment, and persistence (Goldberger, (Gándara & Contreras, 2009). Here we use 2007). The term secondary-postsecondary the term "minoritized" because it recoglearning options (SPLOs), introduced by the nizes the social construction of representa-American Youth Policy Forum, provides an tion and that individuals are not inherently inclusive framing for the programs that link minorities but are "rendered minorities high school and college (Lerner & Brand, in particular situations and institutional 2006). These programs span dual enroll- environments that sustain an overreprement, technical preparation, middle and sentation of whiteness" (Harper, 2013, p. early college high schools, college access 207). Madrigal-Garcia and Acevedo-Gil

ginalized populations to positively impact college-going (Lerner & Brand, 2006). Eddy (2010) grouped these partnerships within seven categories: (1) education reform, (2) economic development, (3) dual enrollment or student transfer, (4) student learning, (5) resource saving, (6) shared goals and visions, and (7) international joint ventures. Within these partnerships, benefits for students include opportunities to prepare for college-level work (Goldberger, 2007; Nakkula & Foster, 2007) and develop collaborative peer networks (Cunningham & Matthews, 2007).

Many questions exist about the long-term possibility of high school-university partnerships. Prior literature has shown these collaborations to be most successful when they focus on specific issues and common interests rather than structural integration (Farrell & Seifert, 2007; Kirst & Usdan, 2007). However, it is not clear how partnerships can be sustained in perpetuity. For college faculty, participation in collaborative efforts may be at odds with structures of tenure and promotion within higher education (Eddy, 2010). In addition, collaborations may raise short-term costs as state funds cover both secondary and postsecondary expenses during the creation of new initiatives; thus, short-term investment is often seen as a trade-off for long-term benefits (Farrell & Seifert, 2007; Palaich et al., 2007). In this article, we seek to understand one high school-university partnership and what lessons it offers for other such collaborations.

It has been well documented that Latinx students encounter numerous barriers in their pathways to and through secondary and postsecondary education (Gándara & programs, and programs designed for mar- (2016) coined the term "New Juan Crow of

ways in which Latinx students experience marginalization through educational systems (Contreras, 2011). The result of the opportunity gap is a leaky educational pipeline with disparities for Latinx students between 2-year and 4-year enrollments, transfer 2005).

Research has shown that school support networks (Gándara & Moreno, 2002), meaningful teacher-student relationships (Garza, 2009), and relationships with school personnel and college-bound peers (Stanton-Salazar, 2001) provide students with the encouragement and tools to succeed in high school and be better prepared to apply for and enroll in college. Services such as academic and career guidance, class scheduling, information regarding college, and campus visits are some of the elements that contribute to a college-going culture (Corwin et al., 2004). Additionally, Castillo and colleagues (2010) found that school counselors, in addition to parents and guardians, play a significant role in conorganizational cultures to students' cultures is also necessary for improving student outcomes (Banks & Banks, 2009; De Jesús & Antrop-González, 2006). For such cultural change, teachers and adults need to learn about their students' interests, aspirations, and ecological surroundings to know how to communicate a genuine sense of care and create conditions that support academic success (De Jesús & Antrop-González, 2006; Valenzuela, 1999).

Education" (p. 163) to refer to the inequi- destabilize racist structures while prioritiztable resources and culture of control that ing the needs of marginalized communities, hinder the academic preparation of Latinx nor do they infuse equity and social justice students. Their examples included deficit work in sustainable and comprehensive labels from school administrators regarding ways" (Delgado Bernal & Alemán, 2017, student performance, use of physical locks p. 6). In contrast, programs that specifito keep students in and out of educational cally work with Latinx youth often seek to spaces, and curriculum and processes de- prepare all students to enroll and succeed signed to limit independent thinking. In in college by integrating higher education other cases, Latinx students have been into school experiences and establishing placed on noncollege tracks in K-12 educa- a college-going culture (Delgado Bernal & tion systems, received limited information Alemán, 2017). Successful programs incoron college preparation, and suffered from porate counseling, academic enrichment, a lack of encouragement and support re- personal and cultural support, mentoring, garding postsecondary options (Gaxiola and scholarships. Programs that bridge the Serrano, 2017). These barriers suggest a two educational systems provide important need to look at an opportunity gap rather opportunities for students to gain familthan an achievement gap to understand the iarity with postsecondary environments, and often remain an important source of support and guidance even after graduation (Gándara & Contreras, 2009).

Researcher Worldview

rates to 4-year institutions, and low reten- As a research team, we strove to situate tion and graduation rates (Solórzano et al., this project, pedagogy, and research within a critical lens to challenge current inequitable distributions of power that frame our systems of education. In using this lens, we drew upon critical race theory (CRT) and Latinx critical race theory (LatCrit). The framework of CRT emerged from legal discourse that framed racism as a tool to maintain inequity through curriculum, instruction, assessment, school funding, and desegregation (Ladson-Billings, 1998). Scholars have described CRT as composed of five tenets: (1) centrality and intersectionality of race and racism; (2) challenge to dominant ideology; (3) commitment to social justice; (4) centrality of experiential knowledge; and (5) interdisciplinary perspective (Solórzano, 1997; Solórzano & Delgado Bernal, 2001; Solórzano & Yosso, 2001a). In using CRT as both a theoretical tributing to a procollege culture. Adapting framework and a methodology, researchers challenge deficit perspectives by providing liberatory or transformative methods (Solórzano, 1997; Solórzano & Yosso, 2001b).

LatCrit serves as a specific emphasis within CRT as a "framework that can be used to theorize and examine the ways in which race and racism explicitly and implicitly impact on the educational structures, processes, and discourses that affect People of Color generally and Latinas/os specifically" (Solórzano & Yosso, 2001a, p. 479). Here, we Although many high school-university used LatCrit as a reflexive tool throughout partnerships exist, they "rarely attempt to the formation, implementation, data collecof resources framed the educational context ships. that our students navigated.

another.

Theoretical Framework

In their discussion of organizational theory, Bolman and Deal (2013) conceptualize four This article stems from a larger 2-year

tion, and analysis of our high school-uni- sions and allocating resources in a context versity partnership to inform our approach of scarcity and divergent interests" (p. 183). and center the voices of Latinx students. Assumptions embedded within this frame LatCrit provides an important framework highlight the ways that coalitions comprise to understand the experiences of Latinx individuals with unique values and beliefs, students in education (Davila & de Bradley, that conflict is a daily by-product of scarce 2010; Huber, 2010) and to share counter- resources, and that power (defined as "the stories that challenge stereotypes and es- capacity to make things happen" [p. 190]) sentialization (Elenes, 1997; Solórzano & is the most important asset. Coalitions form Yosso, 2001a). This lens illuminates the when members are interdependent and ways in which current education pathways prioritize collaboration, and goals evolve deter Latinx students from success through through negotiation and bargaining. In this inadequate preparation, poor schooling frame, leaders are less likely to issue edicts conditions, and lack of support (Solórzano around priorities than to build support and et al., 2005). In our study, a systemic lack bring together groups in working relation-

Trends in higher education suggest an in-Using LatCrit and CRT emphasizes the ways creased need for partnerships within the that racism is embedded throughout educa- preschool through bachelor's degree (P-16) tion systems and acknowledges the multi- trajectories, particularly to pool resources plicity of realities (Ladson-Billings & Tate, (Eddy, 2010). Such resources can include 1995). CRT in education can provide ways academic enrichment for students, postsecto challenge racism by defining, analyzing, ondary transitional support and exposure, and looking at examples of race and racism and additional trained teachers. Although and transforming education for minoritized university-school partnerships can span students (Solórzano, 1997). Such approach- school partners across K-12 education, we es provide transformational resistance that focus on high school-university collabora-"allows one to look at resistance among tions and use the political frame to under-Students of Color that is political, collective, stand how two distinct education systems conscious, and motivated by a sense that approach common issues. In these collaboindividual and social change is possible" rations, high schools and universities have (Solórzano & Delgado Bernal, 2001, p. 320). unique agendas, necessitating clear com-Many traditional interventions in educa- munication and acknowledgement of differtion reify societal inequities or emphasize ences across goals and approaches (Farrell ideas of multiculturalism without a focus on & Seifert, 2007). As Eddy (2010) noted, true social justice (Ladson-Billings, 1998; "these ventures may vary in motivations for Ladson-Billings & Tate, 1995). Instead, members to join, rationales for cooperating, using a critical lens can center the attributes and ability to sustain" (p. 3). For example, of marginalized communities, such as the faculty members may struggle to prioritize model of community cultural wealth posed such involvement within a rewards system by Yosso (2005) that outlines six forms of that primarily values research. At an insticapital (aspirational, familial, social, lin-tutional level, collaborations between high guistic, resistant, navigational) utilized by schools and universities require shared communities of color. Here, LatCrit and CRT consensus, including defining and operaframed our goals and motivations in ap- tionalizing ideas of college readiness and proaching the educational partnership, our preparation (Farrell & Seifert, 2007). We inengagement with the high school teachers terpret this theoretical framework through a and staff, and our relationships with one LatCrit and CRT lens to recognize the racial context that frames political agendas, coalitions, and resources.

Methodology and Methods

approaches that illuminate how groups ethnographic case study that took place approach issues, distribute resources, and at a career and technical education (CTE) make decisions. Our study is informed by high school, here given the pseudonym their political frame, which defines politics Hillside Technical High School (HTHS). as "the realistic process of making deci- Ethnographic case studies combine case

ethnographic case studies are not limited by white or biracial. the data collection and analysis techniques found in traditional ethnography (Simons, Research Participants 2009).

This article focuses on one component of the study, the partnership between administration at HTHS and faculty from an institution of higher education given the pseudonym Research University (RU), a large public research institution in New England. The partnership was developed as part of an urban education initiative at RU focused on community engagement with Hillside. The project was led by a four-member university teaching team: two tenure-track faculty (lead instructors) and two doctoral students (teaching assistants) affiliated with RU. As part of that partnership, HTHS administrators agreed to have the teaching team instruct an 11th grade English language arts (ELA) course at the high school for 1 year. The course focused on developing students' research skills, increasing academic and critical literacy, promoting critical thinking, and incorporating Puerto Rican diasporic literature. There was also a youth participatory action research project within the course that students elected to focus on the school-to-prison pipeline. After the first year of the project, the high school's administration allowed the teaching team to continue working with HTHS students for a second year. At HTHS, the project reinforced district goals of improving literacy, graduation rates, and the overall educational trajectory and college access of primarily Latinx youth.

Research Site and Access

HTHS is located in the urban community of Hillside in the northeastern United States, selected for involvement in this study because of its physical proximity to RU, its lack of resources (most demonstrable through a designation as "failing" by the state), and is a career and technical education (CTE) data collection to provide in-depth descrip-

study techniques with ethnographic in- Latinx. The student population is predomiterpretation (Simons, 2009) to give "a nantly Puerto Rican, and the Latinx diaspora sociocultural analysis and interpretation within the study also encompassed students of the unit of study" (Merriam, 1988, p. with Mexican and Dominican heritage. It 23). Although we use some ethnographic is important to note that our study did not techniques such as participant observation exclusively involve Latinx students. Two and directive and nondirective interviewing, of our 15 student participants identified as

Our study consisted of engagement with multiple individuals from HTHS and RU. At HTHS, this included senior administrators, specifically the principal, associate principal, guidance counselor, and deans of students. We also engaged with several teachers at the high school, specifically two teachers who were assigned by HTHS leadership to "host" the teaching team's ELA course. The host teacher allowed us to use their classroom, occasionally observed our teaching, and served as a resource for HTHS information. The HTHS senior leadership selected the 15 students that participated in the class. Because our teaching team did not recruit members of the HTHS community into our project (instead, they were asked or volunteered by HTHS leadership to do so), we developed an informed consent/ assent process to ensure that individuals had the option to participate in the class without having to participate in the empirical research project. In addition to the HTHS participants, this study noted the ways in which the four members of the teaching team navigated the two institutions of RU and HTHS.

Data Collection

During the first year of the project, we spent approximately 2 to 2.5 hours at the research site every other day over the course of an academic school year (a total of 114 contact hours). Approximately 90 minutes were spent on classroom instruction and 30 to 60 minutes engaging with HTHS staff, course planning, and course debriefing. During Year 2 of the project, we spent approximately 1 to 1.5 hours every other week (60 minutes with students, 30 with staff) engaging in college and career planning for a total of approximately 60 hours.

its lack of preexisting connections with RU. We collected multiple forms of data Approximately 24% of Hillside residents throughout the study, which is reflective of age 18 or older do not have a high school an ethnographic case study approach that diploma or equivalent certification. HTHS utilizes several sources of information in high school, and 90% of students identify as tion and explanation of the case (Simons,

For this study, we focused predominantly LatCrit theory (Solórzano & Yosso, 2001a). on the data provided by the internal team documents represented by these observation notes, emails, and course lessons, as well as interviews with students during each year of the program.

Data Analysis

flects only one part of that larger study. The data (Guest & MacQueen, 2008). purpose of this article (high school-university partnerships) emerged inductively as Positionality and Trustworthiness a theme in our initial data analysis. In our initial analysis, there was a strong emphasis on how the processes and individuals at RU and HTHS, as well as the partnership between the two, impacted the ability of the teaching team to work with the HTHS students. Although this topic was not the focus of the original study, the prominence of the theme warranted additional targeted analysis.

dent agency. We complemented inductive data sources (e.g., students, staff, ourselves;

2009). After obtaining institutional review codes with deductive codes generated from board (IRB) approval, we engaged in par- our theoretical framework. Using Bolman ticipant observations, individual student and Deal (2013) and literature on high interviews, and student focus groups, as school-university partnerships, we created well as reviewing students' photographs, a codebook of concepts such as power, rewritten narratives, and reflections. Team source distribution, relationships, and nemembers captured researcher notes and gotiation (Simons, 2009). In developing our memos after class sessions; we also used codes, we frequently discussed as a group email communication to share classroom how these themes were contextualized by reflections and engage in course planning. race and racism, incorporating principles of

Each member of the teaching team then coded for and wrote one section of the findings, using the data itself (e.g., participant narratives) as evidence of their interpretations and analysis (Guest & MacQueen, 2008). Finally, we used NVIVO software to analyze the data using multiple tools to While our larger study reflected an eth- identify patterns and themes (Merriam & nographic case study focused on the high Tisdell, 2016). The findings were then reschool class the teaching team taught, the viewed by all team members for consistency analysis presented within this article re- and a collaborative understanding of the

As a teaching team, we brought our positionality to the course. The two lead instructors in the course were tenure-track assistant professors who identified as Black women (George Mwangi and Green). The two teaching assistants identified as a white woman (Bettencourt) and Latinx man (Morales). As both teachers and researchers, we sought to recognize the ways that our identities shaped our interactions with We sought to further understand and the project, frequently using peer debriefing analyze this theme by developing research and reflexive strategies through meetings questions centered on it, engaging in induc- and emails. This awareness was congrutive analysis as a team, and drawing upon ent with principles of CRT that advocate the frameworks we present in this article for constant reflection to avoid perpetuat-(deductive analysis). To begin this analy- ing social inequalities through education sis, the lead author read through all data (Ladson-Billings, 1998; Ladson-Billings & collected through the project to identify Tate, 1995). In addition to our social identhe evidence most relevant to answering tities, we brought a range of experience the research questions. All four members working across the educational pipeline. then reviewed the data points and devel- Although two members of our teaching oped memos to record initial reflections and team directly focused on postsecondary potential themes and patterns within the education and two on K-12 systems, we case data (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016; Simons, all had experience working with students 2009). These memos allowed the team to in both contexts prior to the ELA course. engage in constant comparative coding by Thus, we brought an emic perspective to our engaging first in open coding for interesting work. Additional techniques for engaging and important data and then axial coding research trustworthiness include incorpoto compare and connect ideas into catego- rating methodological triangulation through ries (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). Such codes multiple forms of data collection (docuincluded cultural relevancy in curriculum ments, interviews, observations) and data design, administrative instability, and stu- triangulation through engaging multiple Patton, 2002).

Findings

Our research questions asked (a) What factors impact the development of K-16 partnerships? and (b) What strategies do educators use to develop K-16 partnerships? Our findings illuminated two primary areas. The two themes that emerged regarding the first question emphasized bridging the bifurcated systems between HTHS and RU that resulted in separate educational worldviews and administrative procedures. Regarding the second question, analysis showed that the teaching team developed strategies to address constant change and drew upon the students as resources to sustain the partnership.

Different Educational Worldviews

The difference in educational worldviews, exemplified across behavioral management and pedagogy, was a key factor impacting information was unclear. Moreover, HTHS the partnership between the teaching team staff and teachers felt immense pressure to and the broader culture of HTHS. In the con- focus on state testing to stabilize the posiceptual phases of the program, the teaching tion of the school. The scarcity of resources team attempted to center the Latinx student and diverse interests at times created disexperience within lessons. The course was connect across divergent goals (Bolman & conceptualized by the faculty as "a literary Deal, 2013). arts course that would cultivate critical literacy skills; academic writing/college-level writing skills; heritage knowledge." In the course, students were expected to be critical thinkers and engaged in complex conversations about racism and power. One teaching team member saw this as "balancing that out with things that may not be considered as valuable in schools, but that we see as valuable to students' learning."

As a result, the students in the ELA class saw the course as a place where they learned not only academic content, but about what was going on in the world. One student referred to the course as his "activist course." Laura, a student studying health care, described the course as preparing her for the broader world, noting, "I want to know world. That's exactly what we're doing." (Solórzano & Yosso, 2001a). Students often teaching assistants described this process:

described other teachers at HTHS as not as engaged in student learning or critical thinking. Laura contrasted her experience with the ELA course with her overall experience at HTHS, noting that "I feel like some [teachers] don't care about us, what we do, and what makes us want to learn. If we don't want to learn they'll be like, 'Okay. You don't need to learn. Go home."

These differences in pedagogy aligned with the differences across the two institutions, where HTHS was primarily focused on preparing students for a career and the RU teaching team prioritized critical thinking aligned with college coursework. In this case, the worldview of HTHS was also informed by larger structural limitations like the impact of the state receivership imposed due to low test scores, continual change in leadership, and limited resources. The instability of resources limited the ability of the teaching team to engage in holistic planning as systems were often changing or

Expectations of and strategies related to behavioral management served as a second area reflecting the tension between educational worldviews. The teaching team articulated a community-based strategy rooted in a collegiate approach that asked students to establish group norms and hold themselves accountable. During the first class session, the teaching team asked the students to generate "ROPES," a shared set of expectations that used each letter in the word to generate key terms (e.g., R = responsibility or respect, O = openness or on-time). The team then attempted to revisit these principles during the course to remind students of the mutually agreedupon expectations.

about everything that's happening in the Ultimately, ROPES did not have the desired impact. Rather than inform a community Such an approach challenged traditional agreement, the group listed various terms banking approaches to education, in which (e.g., polite, organized) without a clear students were expected merely to remem- consensus of their goal and how to hold ber and repeat information (Freire, 1970). one another accountable. The team later In this way, the course aligned with CRT revisited the exercise by creating a collective by engaging in social justice, experiential contract that outlined the shared expectalearning, and minoritized perspectives tions for students and teachers. One of the

I put pieces of paper around the room that read "Expectations of Students," "Expectations of Instructors," and "Failing to Meet Expectations." The students got a marker each and wrote things on each piece of paper. Most students seemed to take the exercise seriously.

The approach of asking students to hold themselves accountable was different from the culture of the school in which students rarely shaped or had input in policies. Participants in the ELA course shared examples such as a no cell phone policy, the expectation to always carry their ID cards, and the shortened lunch period (approximately 20 minutes). One student, Juan, described the behavioral management at HTHS as a business rather than an educational institution. He shared an example of a student who was injured as the bystander of a fight and received suspension, describing how the student "was treated as if she was just any person outside on the street who stole someone's money or something."

Misaligned Logistics

A second factor was the logistical misaligneducation (Cunningham & Matthews, 2007; Hoffman et al., 2009). HTHS and RU had completely different academic calendars. The start and end dates differed (August and June for HTHS; September and April for RU), a dichotomy that was amplified by varying schedules for closures related to holidays, professional development, and inclement weather. Given the physical HTHS space and limited number of university members on the project, it was more practical to work within the HTHS calendar, rather than use the RU calendar or a hybrid. The commitment to the HTHS precedent required the teaching team to work outside our contracted employment schedule and to forgo breaks during the academic year because of limited overlap in break schedules. In discussing how to teach the HTHS class while the university was closed for winter break, one team member explained, "Figuring out December will be tricky, but . . . we just need to map our time out on the calendar and see who will be here and then we can work around any holes." The misaligned schedules led to feelings of burnout for the teaching team.

Communication was another logistical issue. Although HTHS staff were typically responsive to email inquiries and the teaching team utilized in-person communication where possible, it was challenging to receive up-to-date information. In one example, teaching team members were told by HTHS administration that the school had implemented a new website to post updates and communication throughout the year. However, the website was often out of date. For HTHS teachers who were on the campus daily, other forms of communication supplemented the online presence. For the RU team, the lack of information available online created confusion. In trying to use the website to complete required field trip paperwork, one team member emailed the group to explain, "There used to be a link to it from [the website], but I don't see it there anymore. . . . maybe [HTHS] aren't using it anymore." Teaching team members were not on official staff electronic mailing lists or privy to other forms of communication, as they were not considered HTHS staff. Therefore, team members did not have a formal mechanism for receiving real-time information about the school (e.g., schedule changes, new initiatives, staff turnover) and, at times, made decisions about the project using outdated or ment between K-12 and postsecondary inaccurate information. The misinformation reflects the conflicting priorities around which resources were most important (Bolman & Deal, 2013).

> The misaligned calendars and communication also made it challenging for RU team members and HTHS partners to meet and engage collaboratively, a challenge amplified by differences in roles and level of commitment/responsibility to the project. The RU team was responsible for coordinating the project, whereas HTHS staff served in support roles, causing much of the communication to occur through requests to the administration rather than direct collaboration with teachers. For both parties, there were challenges in making the collaboration a priority due to competing obligations and times to sit down in person (Bolman & Deal, 2013). For example, in an email to the school administrators to request a meeting at the end of Year 1, one RU team member asked,

[We] are reaching out to see if you have any interest in meeting before the end of the school year to share what accomplished during the school year, and/or to hear your thoughts about the year or to answer any questions. We are also interested in knowing more about the schedules for the students in our class, toward a possibility of continuing to work with these students through graduation.

scheduled. Across the project, team members were unable to find times to reflect expertise and assistance to HTHS staff in together and to make mutually beneficial return for insider knowledge of the school adjustments that supported all stakehold- and students (Bolman & Deal, 2013). As a ers. While the project was conducted, these result, HTHS and teaching team members logistical misalignments created difficulty were able to form a loose coalition related in developing a clear partnership.

Navigating Across Change

trators (e.g., principal, associate principal, members were on parental leave during turnover for multiple key leadership posithe teaching team used individual relationships, flexibility in design, and community resources.

The teaching team collaborated with members of the HTHS staff and administration to support the efforts of the course, building individual relationships to obtain resources and information. In one example, one team member discovered an unexpected connection in that "the new Dean of Students is my old neighbor." She leveraged her prior familiarity to open a communication channel, which she used to get administrative buy-in at HTHS for field trips and activities with students. In a second example, the teaching team supported the HTHS host teacher during Year 1 by helping to cover additional class sessions when a time conflict arose, providing a space to process concerns, and even celebrating his retirement. During the students' senior year, The flexibility also occurred in the abilthe teaching team built connections with ity to respond to the high school climate. the guidance counselor and new ELA host When one student, Juan, was involved in a teacher to facilitate opportunities related to physical altercation at HTHS, the teaching

college and career planning. In an email to establish a plan for the year, one member noted that "we are looking forward to continuing our relationship with the students and the school this year. We are committed to seeing everyone graduate, and hopefully transition to a post-secondary pathway or opportunity." Without a formal system, building individual relationships provided Unfortunately, this meeting was never support and assistance. These relationships allowed the teaching team to offer their to mutual benefit.

Flexibility in design occurred as the HTHS schedule was constantly evolving or shifting Given the challenges of two very different due to state testing, CTE curriculum, and educational systems, the teaching team changing needs of students. During the drew upon several strategies to create last semester of the project, the students partnerships. During the 2 years of the ELA were unavailable during the previously project, the host teacher and key adminis- established time. In addition, both faculty dean of students) all left HTHS and were re- the semester. To accommodate the new placed by new individuals. HTHS was placed schedule and the smaller team, one of the under a receivership by the state due to low teaching assistants proposed a plan where test scores. RU also underwent substantial "at least two [teaching team members will] changes during the project, resulting in be able to keep doing some small group/1:1 attention as students work on applications, tions on campus and creating challenges scholarships, and job applications." When to sustaining the partnership (Eddy, 2010). these concerns were no longer salient with To navigate these changing circumstances, students, who largely had plans after high school, the team moved to an individualized support model. In this way, the teaching team renegotiated relationships and resources not only externally, but within their own practice as well (Bolman & Deal, 2013). As one of the research assistants described,

> It seems like, our group is ready to be off on their way and isn't engaging as much in [group] planning for next steps at this point. We've made sure that they have our contact information so that we can help individually.

In addition to the course and physical meetings, engagement in virtual spaces such as Facebook, Google chat, and texting also allowed for communication across teachers and students.

team wrote a letter to the administration to to bolster their work (Bolman & Deal, 2013). advocate for a developmental process rather than a suspension:

We see in him an immense capacity that can continue to grow with continued support, encouragement, and opportunities to stimulate his intellect and creativity. As educators, we believe the school environment is one of the primary contexts in which this can happen and thus ask that he not be removed.

In this case, the fact that the faculty had project to advocate for an alternative disdraw upon in meetings with administration.

The final subtheme focused on the ways in which the ELA class incorporated local and community resources beyond HTHS. One of the faculty members was well-connected with both national scholars and local activists performing social justice and racial equity work and used her connections to bring prominent individuals to HTHS. In an email, she stated,

We are hoping to expose students to programming at [the Hillside Community College], students (Latinx and/or activist groups), faculty who work on education and incarceration issues, or perhaps sit in on a [college] class.

In another example, one of the doctoral students frequently passed along opportunities to participate in local events and activities of interest. Perhaps the clearest example

Students as Resources

In many traditional educational contexts, young people are not viewed as knowledgeable assets. At times, HTHS fell into a similar pattern of treating students as receivers of information and services. In this high school-university partnership, however, students were assets and experts with whom the teaching team partnered to receive information and learn. As outsiders and newcomers, the teaching team benthe expertise and credentialing of college efited from information that the students professors also bolstered the intervention of provided about the historical and contemthe teaching team on behalf of the student. porary contexts of HTHS. This navigational It was an attempt to utilize the power that capital (Yosso, 2005) was invaluable. Given the RU team had accumulated through the the differences within the high school standard operating procedures, schedules, rouciplinary outcome (Bolman & Deal, 2013). tines, policies, and cultural norms, students Although Juan was still ultimately suspend- served as a main point of contact enabling ed, the letter gave Juan's family a tool to the teaching team to decode HTHS. For example, the school operated on an "A" and "B" day rotation, which related to when students went to certain academic classes or their "shops" or vocational tracks. This schedule was disrupted by snow days, holidays, or testing days, changing the rotation. One such schedule change happened at the beginning of the year, as described by one of the faculty members:

> Early in the school year, we showed up at the school and there was no class; we had come on the wrong day. One of the students had actually tried to tell [us] the week prior, but we didn't listen, and thought we had the schedule correct.

These logistical pieces of information also took the form of information about school policies or staffing changes, including the departure of the dean of students and the retirement of the host teacher during Year 1.

was a field trip in which the teaching team Similarly, the teaching team gained insight took students to a conference on the school- into the contentious dynamic between to-prison pipeline hosted by an Ivy League HTHS and Hillside High School (HHS), the university. The field trip provided students two high schools in the area, through the with exposure to higher education beyond students. According to ELA students, HTHS their immediate environment, connected had been a "credible" option for those inthem with outside peers, and offered them terested in a trade, with many of the stunew research skills. These supplemental dents' parents having been alumni. During opportunities helped provide resources and our project, however, HTHS carried a stigma opportunities not present within the turbu- felt by the students and was viewed as not lent environment of HTHS, demonstrating as academically rigorous as HHS. From the the ability of the RU team to integrate re- students, the teaching team learned that the sources beyond the immediate partnership "students do not have a lot of school pride,"

does not show much care," and that "disre- nature of political relationships. In order to spectful students and staff" were perceived "make things happen" (Bolman & Deal, as part of HTHS culture. Students shared 2013, p. 190), the faculty and teaching asinformation on the reputation of particular sistants were challenged to be constantly Hillside neighborhoods, the relationship be- flexible to create working relationships that tween the two high schools, and the ways often changed in the context of the school. their Puerto Rican identities were framed However, the use of LatCrit theory allowed in the broader Hillside context. In this way, the teaching team to center an important the students were also able to offer coun- resource often overlooked within such colterstories that challenged the stereotypes laborations—the students themselves. By given to the Hillside community (Solórzano viewing students as resources, we also drew & Yosso, 2001a).

In addition to their knowledge of the context of Hillside, students served as key partners in shaping curricular choices. Prior to the school year, the two lead instructors In particular, the navigational capital of collaborated to create a skeleton curriculum. Without input from the students or information about their academic skills or interests, the lesson plans were outlined with the understanding they might need to change after meeting the students. This pedagogical approach meant remaining flexible and viewing student input as an asset. For example, at the beginning of the school year, one of the faculty members began introductions and mentioned that the class would use the HTHS online platform. Students voiced concerns that the platform had not worked well during the prior year, often failing to update their grades. Additionally, the instructor suggested using Twitter for the class, which also was met with mixed reactions from students. One student remarked that "education should not be on social media," but another stube good "because it allows other people to see what we are doing in class." Ultimately, based on the responses they provided. We eventually created a Facebook page for the class as a popular platform among students. In the ELA class, students were also treated as holding power and were individuals with whom we as a teaching team had to collaborate and negotiate to build a coalition for our shared educational goals (Bettencourt, 2018; Bolman & Deal, 2013).

Discussion

"the school does not care about students, or dent voices. Our study emphasizes the fluid on asset-based frameworks such as community cultural wealth (Yosso, 2005) that challenge deficit views applied to marginalized communities.

> students was crucial to create the collaboration and understand the culture of HTHS (Yosso, 2005). Navigational capital is described as the "skills of maneuvering through social institutions" (Yosso, 2005, p. 80). Indeed, it was the students' navigation abilities that helped to bridge the bifurcated pathway. This was particularly important as none of the four members of the teaching team identified as Puerto Rican or as staff at HTHS. The insider knowledge was crucial to bridging the divergent interests at HTHS and RU. The students provided pragmatic support in helping to manage the different logistical systems of the two institutions. Importantly, they also helped to illuminate the hidden curriculum (Anyon, 1980) of HTHS that dictated how students were expected to learn and act.

dent offered the opinion that Twitter would Our participants also engaged in resistant capital that challenged the deficit views within the high school, Hillside, and the the teaching team decided to forgo using the larger geographical community that they HTHS system and Twitter, opting for simply were less capable than other students or emailing, texting, or calling the students that pursuing CTE was less valuable than traditional curriculum. Yosso (2005) described resistant capital as "knowledges and skills fostered through oppositional behavior that challenges inequality" (p. 80). Although participants experienced inequity daily, the ELA course helped students to position their experiences within larger national discourse. They connected their experiences with key ideas and terminology, and they situated their experience within a national landscape of racial injustice that included the election of Donald Trump, In this study, we attempt to reconcile the the Black Lives Matter movement, and political frame of Bolman and Deal (2013) school discipline policies (Morales et al., with the tenets of LatCrit theory (Solórzano 2017). Resistant capital helped the students & Yosso, 2001a), which center Latinx stu- navigate through the racism, classism, and

this way, our participants directly embod-tion or in another context. ied key tenets of CRT such as challenges to dominant ideology, commitment to social In addition, HTHS was a CTE school. Prior knowledge (Solórzano, 1997; Solórzano & Delgado Bernal, 2001; Solórzano & Yosso, 2001a).

Thus, our study expands the political framework (Bolman & Deal, 2013) to examine how traditionally marginalized communities viewing Latinx students as passive entities study illuminates the agency of our particibroader community. Like prior studies, this research shows that students and teachers can partner to adapt curriculum and take advantage of limited resources (Madrigal-Garcia & Acevedo-Gil, 2016).

The HTHS and RU partnership also suggests a need to recenter communities as part of this collaboration. Given the administrative changes at both HTHS and RU, the local community college, museums, and organizations provided key resources that would have otherwise been unavailable. Taken with the last point, our research suggests a need to create an infrastructure for these partnerships that involves students, families, and community organizations in addition to colleges and universities. Since most successful partnerships are largely rooted in organic creation (Delgado Bernal & Alemán, 2017) instead of governmentmandated efforts (Farrell & Seifert, 2007), a best practice may be to regularly convene meetings of such collaborators to examine the broader trends and needs in the community and create strategies for successfully addressing them.

Although this study provides significant considerations for partnerships, it is im-

violence that pervaded their daily lives. In very different at a highly resourced institu-

justice, and the importance of experiential research has found that the high school outcomes for CTE students often are different; students are more likely to attend community colleges and pursue shorter term career interests or delay their educational goals (Laird et al., 2006). Literature around partnerships between research universities and CTE schools is exceedingly wield power in partnerships. Rather than rare. However, it is possible that for some students in CTE schools, college-going may to whom these partnerships happen, our not be an immediate goal. Or, more specifically, college-going at a school such as RU pants and the community. Moreover, LatCrit may not be the goal. In these cases, it may served as a social justice tool to link theory be crucial for stakeholders to decide earlier with our own teaching practice, scholarship on what the goal of these collaborations is. with teaching, and the academy with the As a research team, we attempted to center student agency over traditional student success metrics. However, such a view requires that colleges and universities more holistically grapple with their role in local communities beyond the goal of enrollment. This question is one that other scholars have also grappled with, and the partnership here echoes those considerations:

> To the extent that these students are arriving at the university underprepared for the rigors of college-level work, leaders of these institutions believe it to be in their self-interest to help strengthen the public schools. At another level, the involvement of public colleges and universities stems in part from a growing perception by taxpayers that the university holds some responsibility for the state of American education, and that some of its resources should be put to the task of improving public schooling. (Gándara & Contreras, 2009, p. 277)

Limitations

The partnership that we analyzed within portant to note that HTHS was a highly this article demonstrates the complex dysurveilled school that was deemed failing by namics that can emerge in high schoolthe state, and was even perceived as a defi- university collaborations. Nonetheless, our cit by the local community when compared study also reflects limitations that should be to the other local high school. This partner- considered when interpreting our findings. ship was also unique based on the limited Primarily, our study was not intentionally resources and particular circumstances of designed to study the partnership herein HTHS and the time period in which our described. Instead, our study was intended course took place, during the transition to to focus on the teaching team's work with and imposition of a state receivership. Our HTHS students and engagement in the 11th collaboration probably would have looked grade ELA course. Therefore, the partnertheme within the study, we recommend within the local community. future researchers working in similar partnerships intentionally capture their partnership's structure and engagement through their research design, rather than a sole focus on the outcomes.

Implications

College education is increasingly imporstructural resources (Kanny, 2015).

Our study illuminates potential challenges and opportunities to building high schooluniversity partnerships. By establishing educational pathways, institutions can move from expecting students to be college ready to being student ready for the populations that arrive on campus. This student-ready mind-set requires that institutions create climates that involve K-12 and higher education stakeholders in a process of challenging the deficit labels and biases that frame minoritized students as lesser and instead seek to be more proactive and innovative in providing support (McNair et al., 2016). In this case, there is a direct need to prepare faculty members to engage in these types

ship was not selected for being a model or of research and partnerships. These topics for other targeted characteristics. Although could include how to develop these partnerthe partnership topic emerged as a major ships, ongoing support, and introductions

A key priority moving forward for these partnerships is to identify areas of interest convergence. If peer-refereed journal articles are the metric of success for faculty members (Slaughter & Rhoads, 2004; Webber, 2011), collaborations between high schools and colleges may provide unique opportunities to engage in research with tant given the nation's focus on a global a variety of participants. The P-12 sector knowledge economy, the collapse of blue- provides rich sample sites for scholars collar labor positions, and the scarcity of to engage with participants and to colsocial resources (Carnevale, 2007). Although laboratively investigate pedagogy, youth Latinx college-going rates may be increas- development, and postgraduation trajecing, gaps around degree achievement per- tories. However, these partnerships also sist (Krogstad, 2016). To support students, challenge traditional conceptions of merit. further efforts are necessary to help manage Community-engaged research may involve student expectations prior to enrollment, to different pedagogies and products that are prepare college faculty, and to develop more not traditionally recognized within academia, suggesting a need for senior faculty and administrators to proactively emphasize their value (Fine, 2008). National organizations can also support this trend. For example, in 2018 the Association for the Study of Higher Education, one of the main postsecondary research organizations in the United States, added a section to its annual program on community-engaged research. There is a pressing need to address the issue of K-12, higher education, and Latinx community partnerships because Latinx students represent an untapped resource in the academic production of knowledge. We need to highlight the importance of educational partnerships that support and sustain Latinx youth in the educational system.



About the Authors

Genia M. Bettencourt is a postdoctoral research associate with the Center for Student Success Research at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. Her research focuses on college access and equity for marginalized student populations, with a particular focus on issues of social class. She received her Ph.D. in higher education from the University of Massachusetts Amherst.

Chrystal A. George Mwangi is an associate professor of higher education in the Department of Educational Policy, Research, and Administration at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. Her research broadly centers on structures of opportunity, access, and educational attainment for racially minoritized college students; internationalization and the impact of globalization and migration on higher education; and African and African Diaspora populations in higher education. She received her Ph.D. in higher education administration from the University of Maryland, College Park.

Keisha Green is an assistant professor in the Department of Teacher Education and Curriculum Studies at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. Her research focuses on English education, youth literacy practices, critical literacy and critical pedagogy. She received her Ph.D. in educational studies from Emory University.

Daniel Morales Morales is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Teacher Education and Curriculum Studies at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. His research interests include college access, critical pedagogy and social justice education. He received his M.A. in intercultural communication from the University of Maryland Baltimore County.

References

- Anyon, J. (1980). Social class and the hidden curriculum of work. *Journal of Education*, 162(1), 67–92.
- Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. (Eds.). (2009). *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bettencourt, G. M. (2018). Embracing problems, processes, and contact zones: Using youth participatory action research to challenge adultism. *Action Research*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1476750318789475
- Bolman, L. G., & Deal, T. E. (2013). *Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership* (5th ed.). Jossey–Bass.
- Brand, B., Valent, A., & Browning, A. (2013). How career and technical education can help students be college and career ready: A primer. American Institutes for Research. http://www.ccrscenter.org/sites/default/files/CCRS%20Primer%20Brief.pdf
- Carnevale, A. P. (2007). Confessions of an education fundamentalist: Why grade 12 is not the right end point for anyone. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), *Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it* (pp. 15–26). Harvard Education Press.
- Castillo, L. G., Conoley, C. W., Cepeda, L. M., Ivy, K. K., & Archuleta, D. J. (2010). Mexican American adolescents' perceptions of a pro-college culture. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, 9(1), 61–72.
- Conley, D. (2007). Challenges in the transition from high school to college. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it (pp. 93–103). Harvard Education Press.
- Contreras, F. (2011). Achieving equity for Latino students: Expanding the pathway to higher education through public policy. Teachers College Press.
- Corwin, Z. B., Venegas, K. M., Oliverez, P. M., & Colyar, J. E. (2004). School counsel: How appropriate guidance affects educational equity. *Urban Education*, 39(4), 442–457.
- Cunningham, C., & Matthews, R. S. (2007). Lessons from the field: A tale of two early college high schools. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), *Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it* (pp. 123–131). Harvard Education Press.
- Davila, E. R., & de Bradley, A. A. (2010). Examining education for Latinas/os in Chicago: A CRT/LatCrit approach. *Educational Foundations*, 24(1/2), 39–58.
- De Jesús, A., & Antrop-González, R. (2006). Instrumental relationships and high expectations: Exploring critical care in two Latino community-based schools. *Intercultural Education*, 17(3), 281–299.
- Delgado Bernal, D., & Alemán, E., Jr. (2017). Transforming educational pathways for Chicana/o students: A critical race feminist praxis. Teachers College Press.
- Eddy, P. L. (2010). Partnerships and collaborations in higher education [Special issue]. ASHE Higher Education Report, 36(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/aehe.3602
- Elenes, C. A. (1997). Reclaiming the borderlands: Chicana/o identity, difference, and critical pedagogy. *Educational Theory*, 47(3), 359–375.
- Farrell, P. L., & Seifert, K. A. (2007). Lessons learned from a dual-enrollment partnership. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 2007(139), 69-77. https://doi.org/10.1002/cc.294
- Fine, M. (2008). An epilogue, of sorts. In J. Cammarota & M. Fine (Eds.), Revolutionizing education: Youth participatory action research in motion (pp. 213–234). Routledge.
- Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Continuum.
- Gándara, P., & Contreras, F. (2009). The Latino education crisis: The consequences of failed social policies. Harvard University Press.
- Gándara, P., & Moreno, J. F. (2002). Introduction: The Puente Project: Issues and perspectives on preparing Latino youth for higher education. *Educational Policy*, 16(4), 463–473.

- Garza, R. (2009). Latino and White high school students' perceptions of caring behaviors: Are we culturally responsive to our students? *Urban Education*, 44(3), 297–321.
- Gaxiola Serrano, T. J. (2017). "Wait, what do you mean by college?" A critical race analysis of Latina/o students and their pathways to community college. Community College Journal of Research and Practice, 41(4-5), 239-252. https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926 .2016.1251362
- Goldberger, S. (2007). Doing the math: What it means to double the number of lowincome college graduates. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it (pp. 27-41). Harvard Education Press.
- Guest, G., & MacQueen, K. M. (Eds.). (2008). Handbook for team-based qualitative research. Altamira Press.
- Harper, S. R. (2013). Am I my brother's teacher? Black undergraduates, racial socialization, and peer pedagogies in predominantly White postsecondary contexts. Review of Research in Education, 37(1), 183-211. https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X12471300
- Hoffman, N., Vargas, J., & Santos, J. (2009). New directions for dual enrollment: Creating stronger pathways from high school through college. New Directions for Community Colleges, 2009(145), 43-58. https://doi.org/10.1002/cc.354
- Howard, T. C., Tunstall, J., & Flennaugh, T. K. (Eds.). (2016). Expanding college access for urban youth: What schools and colleges can do. Teachers College Press.
- Huber, L. P. (2010). Using Latina/o critical race theory (LatCrit) and racist nativism to explore intersectionality in the educational experiences of undocumented Chicana college students. The Journal of Educational Foundations, 24(1/2), 77-96.
- Jones, S. (2007). Raising expectations for academic achievement. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it (pp. 73–91). Harvard Education Press.
- Kanny, M. A. (2015). Dual enrollment participation from the student perspective. New Directions for Community Colleges, 2016(169), 59-70. https://doi.org/10.1002/cc.20133
- Kirst, M. W., & Usdan, M. D. (2007). The history of the separation of K-12 and postsecondary education. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it (pp. 55-64). Harvard Education Press.
- Kirst, M. W., & Venezia, A. (Eds.). (2004). From high school to college: Improving opportunities for success in postsecondary education. Jossey-Bass.
- Krogstad, J. M. (2016, July 28). 5 facts about Latinos and education. Pew Research Center. http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/07/28/5-facts-about-latinos-andeducation/
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1998). Just what is critical race theory and what's it doing in a nice field like education? International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 11(1), 7–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/095183998236863
- Ladson-Billings, G., & Tate, W., IV. (1995). Toward a critical race theory of education. Teachers College Record, 97(1), 47–68.
- Laird, J., Chen, Z., Levesque, K., & Owings, J. (2006). The postsecondary educational experiences of high school career and technical education concentrators. National Center for **Educational Statistics.**
- Lerner, J. B., & Brand, B. (2006). The college ladder: Linking secondary and postsecondary education for success for all students. American Youth Policy Forum. https://www.aypf. org/resource/thecollegeladder/
- Madrigal-Garcia, Y. I., & Acevedo-Gil, N. (2016). The new Juan Crow in education: Revealing panoptic measures and the inequitable resources that hinder Latina/o postsecondary pathways. Journal of Hispanic Higher Education, 15(2), 154–181. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1538192716629192
- McNair, T. B., Albertine, S., Cooper, M. A., McDonald, N., & Major, T., Jr. (2016). Becoming a student-ready college: A new culture of leadership for student success. Jossey-Bass.

- Merriam, S. B. (1988). Case study research in education: A qualitative approach. Jossey-Bass.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Morales, D. M., Bettencourt, G. M., Green, K., & George Mwangi, C. A. (2017). "I want to know about everything that's happening in the world": Enhancing critical awareness through youth participatory action research with Latinx youths. *The Educational Forum*, 81(4), 401–417. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131725.2017.1350236
- Nakkula, M. J., & Foster, K. C. (2007). Academic identity development: Student experiences in two early college high schools. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), *Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it* (pp. 151–164). Harvard Education Press.
- Palaich, R., Augenblick, J., & Maloney, M. (2007). Return on investment analysis of integrating grades 9–14. In N. Hoffman, J. Vargas, A. Venezia, & M. S. Miller (Eds.), *Minding the gap: Why integrating high school with college makes sense and how to do it* (pp. 183–189). Harvard Education Press.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Salinas, C., Jr., & Lozano, A. (2017). Mapping and recontextualizing the evolution of the term Latinx: An environmental scanning in higher education. *Journal of Latinos and Education*, 18(4), 302–315. https://doi.org/10.1080/15348431.2017.1390464
- Simons, H. (2009). *Case study research in practice*. SAGE Publications.
- Slaughter, S., & Rhoads, G. (2004). Academic capitalism and the new economy: Markets, state, and higher education. John Hopkins University Press.
- Solórzano, D. G. (1997). Images and words that wound: Critical race theory, racial stereotyping, and teacher education. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 24(3), 5–19.
- Solórzano, D. G., & Delgado Bernal, D. (2001). Examining transformational resistance through a critical race and LatCrit theory framework: Chicana and Chicano students in the urban context. *Urban Education*, 36(3), 308–342. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085901363002
- Solórzano, D. G., Villalpando, O., & Oseguera, L. (2005). Educational inequities and Latina/o undergraduate students in the United States: A critical race analysis of their educational progress. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, 4(3), 272–294. https://doi.org/10.1177/1538192705276550
- Solórzano, D. G., & Yosso, T. J. (2001a). Critical race and LatCrit theory and method: Counter-storytelling. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 14(4), 471–495. https://doi.org/10.1080/09518390110063365
- Solórzano, D. G., & Yosso, T. J. (2001b). Maintaining social justice hopes within academic realities: A Freirean approach to critical race/LatCrit pedagogy. *Denver University Law Review*, 78(4), 595–622.
- Stanton–Salazar, R. D. (2001). Manufacturing hope and despair: The school and kin support networks of US–Mexican youth. Teachers College Press.
- Valenzuela, A. (1999). Subtractive schooling: US-Mexican youth and the politics of caring. SUNY Press.
- Webber, K. L. (2011). Factors related to faculty research productivity and implications for academic planners. *Planning for Higher Education*, 39(4), 32–43.
- Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 8(1), 69–91.