SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS:

FIBRE OPTICS AND OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS IMAGING AND SENSING

> Received 23 September 2014

Accepted 4 December 2014

Published 5 January 2015

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.W. (ypwang@szu. edu.cn) or J.Q. (jlqu@ szu.edu.cn)

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

High-sensitivity strain sensor based on in-fiber rectangular air bubble

Shen Liu*, Kaiming Yang*, Yiping Wang, Junle Qu, Changrui Liao, Jun He, Zhengyong Li, Guolu Yin, Bing Sun, Jiangtao Zhou, Guanjun Wang, Jian Tang & Jing Zhao

Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Devices and Systems of Ministry of Education and Guangdong Province, College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China.

We demonstrated a unique rectangular air bubble by means of splicing two sections of standard single mode fibers together and tapering the splicing joint. Such an air bubble can be used to develop a promising high-sensitivity strain sensor based on Fabry-Perot interference. The sensitivity of the strain sensor with a cavity length of about 61 μ m and a wall thickness of about 1 μ m was measured to be up to 43.0 pm/ μ E and is the highest strain sensor has a very low temperature sensitivity of about 2.0 pm/°C. Thus, the temperature-induced strain measurement error is less than 0.046 μ E/°C.

ighly sensitive strain measurements by use of optical fiber sensors are very attractive in many applications such as structure health monitoring, aerospace, and nanotechnology¹⁻³. Such a measurement can be realized by use of fiber-device-based schemes, e.g. fiber in-line interferometers, fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs), and long period fiber gratings (LPFGs). The measured strain sensitivity was typically less than 7.0 pm/µε for a fiber Mach-Zehnder interferometer^{4,5}, about 2.0 pm/µε for an FBG^{6,7}, and about 10 pm/µε for an LPFG⁸⁻¹¹. In addition, the cross-sensitivity between strain and temperature was hardly overcome in these sensor schemes. Recently, Fabry-Perot interferometers (FPIs) based on an in-fiber air cavity were proved to be outstanding in lots of sensing applications, such as strain measurements¹²⁻¹⁹, refractive index (RI) measurements^{20,21} and pressure measurements^{22,23}, due to the advantages of simple configuration, high sensitivity, compact size, and low temperature cross-sensitivity. However, it is very difficult to directly create an air cavity in the fiber. A few complex methods have been proposed to create in-fiber air cavities by use of silica hollow tube¹⁸ or photonic crystal fibers (PCFs)^{14,17,21}, direct micromachining using focused femtosecond laser beam^{5,13,20}, and assisted chemical etching²⁴. The above-mentioned FPIs usually require special optical fibers, high-cost micromachining equipment, or/and hazardous acid corrosion treatment. Recently, we reported a novel and simple technique for fabricating air-cavity-based FPIs that can be used to develop a strain sensor with a high sensitivity of up to 6.0 pm/ $\mu\epsilon^{19}$. The air cavity was created by use of a common commercial fusion splicer to splice together two sections of standard single mode fibers (SMFs) with easy pretreatment on the fiber ends.

In this paper, we demonstrated an improved technique to create a unique rectangular air bubble by means of splicing together two sections of SMF tapers. The rectangular air-bubble-based FPI with a cavity length of about 61 μ m exhibits a high strain sensitivity of 43.0 pm/ μ ϵ around the wavelength of 1550 nm. Moreover, such a strain sensor has a very low temperature sensitivity of about 2.0 pm/°C, where a lower temperature cross-sensitivity of 0.046 μ ϵ /°C than that reported in ref. 19 was obtained. So the rectangular air-bubble-based FPI could be used to develop a promising high-sensitivity strain sensor with a low temperature sensitivity.

Experiments

Fig. 1 illustrates the fabrication process of our proposed rectangular air bubble in a SMF, which involves six steps. In step 1, as shown in Fig. 1(I), two sections of SMFs (Corning SMF-28) with cleaved ends were placed in the left and right fiber holds of a commercial fusion splicer (Fujikura FSM-60S). In step 2, as shown in Fig. 1(II), the two fiber ends were reshaped into hemispherical and smooth ends via electrical arc discharge in order to enlarge the surface area of the fiber ends for coating sufficient liquid on the fiber ends. In step 3, as shown in Fig. 1(III), the two hemispherical fiber ends were immersed into a commercial refractive index matching liquid (Cargille Labs, http://www.cargille.com) to coat a liquid film on the end surface. The two sections of SMFs with liquid-coated ends were again placed in the left and right fiber holders of the fusion splicer. In step 4, as shown in Fig. 1(IV), the left and right fiber ends were moved toward each other until an overlap of $2d_0$ was achieved at the touching region of the



Figure 1 | Schematic diagrams of fabrication process of in-fiber FPI based on an air bubble.



Figure 2 | Four in-fiber air bubble samples. (a) and (b) Microscope images of the created elliptical air bubbles, i.e. S1 and S3, and the created rectangular air bubbles, i.e. S2 and S4, with a cavity length of about 88, 62, 85 and 61 μ m, respectively; (c) and (d) The corresponding reflection spectra of the air-cavity-based FPI samples.





Figure 3 | Strain response of the four air bubble samples. (a) Wavelength change of the interference fringe around 1550 nm as a function of tensile strain applied to the air-cavity-based FPI samples: (\bigcirc) S1, (\checkmark) S2, (\star) S3 and (\bigcirc) S4, with a cavity length of 88, 85, 62 and 61 µm, respectively;(b) Reflection spectrum evolution of the rectangular air bubble sample, i.e. S4, while the tensile strain increases from 0 to 400 µ ϵ .

two fiber ends by means of carefully controlling the movement of the left and right motors of the fusion splicer, where d₁ and d_r indicate the moved distance of the left and right fiber ends, respectively, before the two fiber ends touched, and d₀ indicates the moved distance of each fiber end after the two fiber ends touched. It should be noted that the value of " d_0 " here is less than that of "d" reported in Ref. 19, which means a smaller axial stress was applied to the fiber ends at the touching region. In step 5, the liquid-coated fiber ends were aligned with each other and then spliced together via electrical arc discharge with a fusion current of 18 mA and a fusion time of 1000 ms. Consequently, as shown in Fig. 1(V), a miniature air bubble with a diameter of about 10 µm was formed in the spliced region with an abrupt taper shape, resulting from the evaporation of the liquid coated on the fiber end faces and the fusion of silica during arc discharge. In step 6, the left and right fiber holders were moved backward to each other with a distance of d_2 in order to apply an axial tensile stress to the air bubble region located in the middle of fused taper, as shown in Fig. 1(VI). And then, an electrical arc discharge with a fusion current of 18 mA and a fusion time of 750 ms was implemented at the middle of air bubble. During the arc discharge, the silica wall of the air bubble melted due to the arc-discharge-induced high temperature and the air in the bubble thermally

expanded, resulting in a rectangular air bubble due to the pre-applied axial tensile stress, as shown in Fig. 1(VI). And the thickness of the silica wall was gradually decreased by means of repeating the step 6. Using the method above, we have successfully fabricated a few infiber rectangular air bubble samples. In the whole fabrication process, only a common fusion splicer was employed, and no additional device was required.

To investigate the strain sensitivity difference between the rectangular and elliptical air bubbles, as shown in Fig. 2, two rectangular air bubble sample, i.e. S2 and S4, were created by the technique above, and another two elliptical air bubbles, i.e. S1 and S3, were created by the technique reported previously in ref. 19. Each pair of the rectangular and elliptical air bubbles, e.g. S1 and S2, or S3 and S4, has an approximate cavity length of about 88 µm (85 µm) or 62 µm (61 µm) to maintain similar measured parameters. The wall thickness of S1, S2, S3 and S4 was measured to be about 23, 1, 28, and 1 µm, respectively. A broadband light source, a 3-dB fiber coupler, and an optical spectrum analyzer (YOKOGAWA AQ6370C) with a resolution of 0.01 nm were employed to measure the reflection spectra of these two pairs of air bubbles. As shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), clear interference fringes were observed in the reflection spectra of the air bubble samples, S1, S2, S3 and S4. And the corresponding free spectra range (FSR) of the interference fringes around 1550 nm was measured to be 13.9, 14.1, 19.36 and 19.94 nm, respectively. According to the measured FSR above and the equation of FSR = $\lambda^2/(2nL)$, the cavity length, L, of the four samples were calculated to be 85.2, 86.4, 62.0 and 60.2 µm, respectively, which agrees well with the values measured from Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

Results and discussion

One end of the air bubble sample was fixed, and another end was attached to a translation stage with a resolution of 10 μ m. A tensile strain was applied to the air bubble sample by moving the translation stage away from the fixed one at room temperature. The wavelength shift of the fringe dip around 1550 nm was measured while the tensile strain was increased from 0 to 450 μ ε with a step of 50 μ ε. As shown in Fig. 3, the fringe dips of the four samples shifted linearly toward a longer wavelength with the increased tensile strain. The strain sensitivity of S1, S2, S3 and S4 was calculated to be 3.0, 29.0, 3.5 and 43.0 pm/ μ ε, respectively, by applying a linear fitting of the experimental data. So, the strain sensitivity of the rectangular air bubble samples, i.e. S2 and S4, is almost nine and twelve times higher than that of the elliptical air bubbles, i.e. S1 and S3, respectively, which indicates that the rectangular air bubble can significantly enhance the strain sensitivity of the air-cavity-based FPI.

In order to study the stress distribution and the deformation of the air cavity under an applied tensile strain, simulation models were established by use of a commercial software, i.e. ANSYS, and the measured sizes of the four air bubble samples were illustrated in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). Fig. 4 illustrate the three- and two dimensional stress contours of the four air bubble samples, i.e. S1, S2, S3, and S4, with a tensile strain of 1 µɛ, which indicates the calculated stress distribution in different parts of each air bubble sample. While the applied tensile strain increases, as shown in Fig. 5(a), the calculated stress at the 'A' point on the outside surface of the two rectangular air bubbles, i.e. S2 and S4, increases linearly with a slope of 23.54 and 46.34 MPa/µɛ, respectively, in contrast, that of the two elliptical air bubbles, i.e. S1 and S3, hardly increased with a very low slope of 0.72 and 1.00 MPa/µɛ, respectively. So the stress distributed on the silica wall of an in-fiber air bubble sharply increases with the applied tensile strain in case such an air bubble has a rectangular shape and is created in the fused taper. Moreover, while the applied tensile strain increases, as shown in Fig. 5(b), the calculated cavity of the rectangular air bubble samples, i.e. S2 and S4, sharply lengthened with a slope of 1.87, and 3.08 nm/µɛ, respectively, in contrast, that of the elliptical air bubble samples, i.e. S1 and S3, slowly lengthened



Figure 4 | Stress distribution of the four air bubble samples, i.e. S1, S2, S3, and S4, under an applied tensile strain of 1 μ E. (a1), (a2), (a3) and (a4) Threedimensional stress contours and (b1), (b2), (b3), and (b4) Two-dimensional stress contours on the A–A' section plane, where Young's modulus of silica is 73 Gpa, Poisson's ratio is 0.17, silica density is 2700 Kg/m³.

with a low slope of 0.20 and 0.25 nm/ μ E, respectively. So the calculated cavity length sensitivity, i.e. strain sensitivity, of the rectangular air bubble samples, i.e. S2 and S4, is nine and twelve times higher than that of the elliptical air bubble samples, i.e. S1 and S3, respectively, which agrees well with the measured strain sensitivities, as shown in Fig. 3(a).

It was very difficult to measure the practical perpendicular deformation of the air bubble sample during applying a tensile strain. So we simulated the perpendicular deformation of the rectangular air bubble sample (S4) with a tensile strain. The perpendicular deformation contours on the cross-section of S4 with a tensile strain of 500 $\mu\epsilon$ is shown in Fig. 6 (a), where the dotted and solid line in the inset illustrates the outline of the air bubble wall before and after the tensile strain was applied, respectively. It can be seen from the inset of Fig. 6(a) that a maximum perpendicular deformation of 0.821 μ m occurred at the A (A') point of S4. Moreover, while the applied tensile strain increases from 0 to 500 $\mu\epsilon$, as shown in Fig. 6 (b), the calcu-

lated perpendicular deformation at the A (A') point of S4 increased linearly with a slope of 1.63 nm/ $\mu\epsilon.$

As shown in Fig. 7 (a), four types of in-fiber air bubble models with a same cavity length of 61 μ m was designed to investigate quantitatively the dependency of their strain sensitivity on the wall thickness and the cavity shape, where the tapered sections of Model-I and Model-III are with a same size. We calculated the cavity length change of each air bubble model (I, II, III and IV) with different wall thickness (-) 1 μ m, (-) 5 μ m, (-) 10 μ m, (-) 15 μ m and (-) 20 μ m while an applied tensile strain increases from 0 to 500 μ E. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the cavity length sensitivity of the rectangular air bubble with a tapered section (I) and a wall thickness of 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 μ m is 3.01, 0.91, 0.55, 0.38 and 0.31 μ m/ μ E, respectively. As shown in Fig. 8(b), the cavity length sensitivity of the rectangular air bubble without a tapered section (II) and with a wall thickness of 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 μ m is 1.24, 0.52, 0.35 and 0.26 μ m/ μ E, respectively. As shown in Fig. 8(c), the cavity length sensitivity of the elliptical air



bubble with a tapered section (III) and a wall thickness of 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 μ m is 2.64, 0.87, 0.52, 0.36 and 0.29 μ m/ μ ε, respectively. As shown in Fig. 8(d), the cavity length sensitivity of the elliptical air bubble without a tapered section (IV) and with a wall thickness of 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 μ m is 1.14, 0.48, 0.32, 0.24 and 0.20 μ m/ μ ε, respectively.

The cavity length sensitivities of each type of air bubble model above versus different wall thickness are summarized in Fig. 7(b), where the corresponding strain sensitivity (left axis), i.e. the wavelength sensitivity of the interference fringes, was calculated by use of Fabry-Perot interference principle, i.e. $d\lambda/d\varepsilon = (\lambda_m/L)dL/d\varepsilon$, where λ_m is the wavelength of the mth order interference dip²⁰. As shown in Fig. 7 (b), (1) for each type of air bubbles, the wall thickness is smaller, the strain sensitivity is higher; (2) both rectangular and elliptical air bubbles with a tapered section exhibits a much higher stain sensitivity than those without a tapered section; (3) the rectangular air bubble with or without a tapered section exhibits a litter higher strain sensitivity than the elliptical air bubble with or without a tapered section, respectively. So the strain sensitivity enhancement of the air bubble owes to the reduction of the wall thickness, rather than the shape of the air cavity. In our experiments, it was to reduce the wall thickness of the air cavity and thus enhance its strain sensitivity that



Figure 6 | Numerical simulation for the perpendicular deformation of the sample (S4). (a) Simulated perpendicular deformation on the A–A' section plane, illustrated in Fig. 4 (a4), of the sample (S4) with a tensile strain of 500 $\mu\epsilon$, where the maximum perpendicular deformation is 0.821 μ m; (b) Calculated perpendicular deformation of the sample (S4) versus an applied tensile strain from 0 to 500 $\mu\epsilon$.

an elliptical air bubble was reshaped into a rectangle air bubble by means of tapering the air cavity section.

A few types of in-fiber FPI-based strain sensors with different air cavities and their sensitivities were presented in Table 1. It can be seen from Table 1 that, to the best of our knowledge, our current strain sensor exhibits the highest sensitivity of 43.0 pm/ μ c, which owes that our proposed sensor is based on a rectangular air bubble with a very thin wall thickness of about 1 μ m and a tapered section.

Temperature response of the rectangular air bubble sample, i.e. S4, also was investigated by placing it into an electrical oven and gradually raising temperature from 25 to 100°C with a step of 10°C. As shown in Fig. 9, the dip wavelength of the interference fringe in the reflection spectrum of S4 slowly shifted linearly toward a longer wavelength with a low sensitivity of about 2.0 pm/°C. According to the strain and temperature sensitivity of the interference fringe, the temperature-induced strain measurement error is less than 0.046 μ ε/°C in case no temperature compensation is done.

Conclusions

A novel technique was demonstrated to fabricate a unique rectangular air bubble with a very thin wall thickness of about 1 μ m and a tapered section in an optical fiber. Such a rectangular air bubble can be used to develop a promising high-sensitivity strain sensor based on Fabry-Perot interference. The sensitivity of the strain sensor is up to 43.0 pm/ μ ϵ and is the highest sensitivity among the in-fiber FPI-





Figure 7 | Numerical simulation for different types of in-fiber air bubbles. (a) Four types of air bubble models with a same cavity length of 61 μ m, I: a rectangular air bubble with a tapered section, II: a rectangular air bubble without a tapered section, III: an elliptical air bubble with a tapered section; (b) Strain sensitivity (Left axis), i.e. the wavelength sensitivity of the interference fringes, and cavity length sensitivity (Right axis) of the air bubbles (I, II, III and IV) with different wall thickness.



Figure 8 | Calculated cavity length change of each air bubble model, i.e. (a) I,(b) II, (c) III and (d) IV, with different wall thickness (-) 1 μ m, (-) 5 μ m, (-) 15 μ m and (-) 20 μ m while the applied tensile strain increases from 0 to 500 μ E.

Table 1 A few types of in-fiber FPI-based strain sensors with air cavities			
cavity structure	strain sensitivity	cavity size	reference
elliptical air bubble hollow-core ring PCF micro-bubble air hole spheroidal cavity+PCF spheroidal cavity rectangular air bubble	6.0 pm/με 15.4 pm/με 4 pm/με 6 pm/με 2.7 pm/με 10.3 pm/με 43 pm/με	46 μm 13 μm 91 μm 80 μm 58 μm 10 μm 61 μm	Ref. 19 Ref. 17 Ref. 15 Ref. 13 Ref. 14 Ref. 16 this work





Figure 9 | Wavelength shift of the interference dip at the wavelength of about 1560 nm of the sample S4 versus ambient temperature. Inset: Reflection spectra of S4 at 25 and 100°C.

based strain sensors with air cavities reported so far. Moreover, such a strain sensor has a very low temperature sensitivity of about 2.0 pm/°C, and therefore the cross-sensitivity between strain and temperature was reduced to a very low value of 0.046 $\mu\epsilon/^\circ C.$

- Wang, Y. Review of long period fiber gratings written by CO₂ laser. J. Appl. Phys. 108 (2010).
- Zhu, T. *et al.* In-Line Fiber Optic Interferometric Sensors in Single-Mode Fibers. Sensors 12, 10430–10449 (2012).
- Islam, M. R. *et al.* Chronology of Fabry-Perot Interferometer Fiber-Optic Sensors and Their Applications: A Review. *Sensors* 14, 7451–7488 (2014).
- Hu, L. M. et al. Photonic Crystal Fiber Strain Sensor Based on Modified Mach-Zehnder Interferometer. IEEE Photonics J. 4, 114–118 (2012).
- Liao, C. R., Wang, D. N. & Wang, Y. Microfiber in-line Mach-Zehnder interferometer for strain sensing. *Opt. Lett.* 38, 757–759 (2013).
- Rao, Y. *et al.* Temperature-strain discrimination sensor using a WDM chirped infibre bragg grating and an extrinsic Fabry-Perot. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 18, 644–644 (2001).
- Chen, C. *et al.* Sensitivity of photonic crystal fiber modes to temperature, strain and external refractive index. *Opt. Express* 16, 9645–9653 (2008).
- Wang, Y. P., Chen, J. P. & Rao, Y. J. Torsion characteristics of long-period fiber gratings induced by high-frequency CO2 laser pulses. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B-Opt. Phys. 22, 1167–1172 (2005).
- Wang, Y. P. *et al.* A novel tunable gain equalizer based on a long-period fiber grating written by high-frequency CO2 laser pulses. *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* 15, 251–253 (2003).
- Wang, Y. P. et al. Highly sensitive long-period fiber-grating strain sensor with low temperature sensitivity. Opt. Lett. 31, 3414–3416 (2006).
- Liao, C. et al. Femtosecond Laser Inscribed Long-Period Gratings in All-Solid Photonic Bandgap Fibers. IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett. 22, 425–427 (2010).
- Rao, Y. J. *et al.* Simultaneous strain and temperature measurement of advanced 3-D braided composite materials using an improved EFPI/FBG system. *Opt. Lasers Eng.* 38, 557–566 (2002).

- 13. Rao, Y. J. *et al.* Micro Fabry-Perot interferometers in silica fibers machined by femtosecond laser. *Opt. Express* **15**, 14123–14128 (2007).
- 14. Villatoro, J. et al. Photonic-crystal-fiber-enabled micro-Fabry-Perot interferometer. Opt. Lett. 34, 2441–2443 (2009).
- Duan, D. W. *et al.* Microbubble based fiber-optic Fabry-Perot interferometer formed by fusion splicing single-mode fibers for strain measurement. *Appl. Optics* 51, 1033–1036 (2012).
- Favero, F. C. *et al.* Spheroidal Fabry-Perot microcavities in optical fibers for highsensitivity sensing. *Opt. Express* 20, 7112–7118 (2012).
- 17. Ferreira, M. S. *et al.* Towards the control of highly sensitive Fabry-Perot strain sensor based on hollow-core ring photonic crystal fiber. *Opt. Express* **20**, 21946–21952 (2012).
- Ferreira, M. S. et al. Spatial optical filter sensor based on hollow-core silica tube. Opt. Lett. 37, 890–892 (2012).
- Liu, S. et al. High-sensitivity strain sensor based on in-fiber improved Fabry-Perot interferometer. Opt. Lett. 39, 2121–2124 (2014).
- Liao, C. R., Hu, T. Y. & Wang, D. N. Optical fiber Fabry-Perot interferometer cavity fabricated by femtosecond laser micromachining and fusion splicing for refractive index sensing. *Opt. Express* 20, 22813–22818 (2012).
- Wang, Y. *et al.* Temperature-insensitive refractive index sensing by use of micro Fabry-Perot cavity based on simplified hollow-core photonic crystal fiber. *Opt. Lett.* 38, 269–271 (2013).
- 22. Ma, J. et al. High-sensitivity fiber-tip pressure sensor with graphene diaphragm. *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 2493–2495 (2012).
- Liao, C. et al. Sub-micron silica diaphragm-based fiber-tip Fabry-Perot interferometer for pressure measurement. Opt. Lett. 39, 2827–2830 (2014).
- Cibula, E. & Donlagic, D. In-line short cavity Fabry-Perot strain sensor for quasi distributed measurement utilizing standard OTDR. *Opt. Express* 15, 8719–8730 (2007).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos.61425007, 11174064, 61308027, and 61377090), Natural Science Foundation of SZU (grant no. 80100036401), Science & Technology Innovation Commission of Shenzhen/ Nanshan (grants nos. KQCX20120815161444632, JCYJ20130329140017262, ZDSYS20140430164957664, KC2014ZDZJ0008A), and Distinguished Professors Funding from Shenzhen University and Guangdong Province Pearl River Scholars.

Author contributions

S.L. and K.Y. performed experiments and analyzed the data. S.L. and K.Y. wrote the manuscript. S.L. and C.L. contributed to simulation analysis using software, i.e. ANSYS, and C.L. modified the manuscript. Y.W. and J.Q. were responsible for the overall design and oversight of the project. J.H., Z.L., G.Y., B.S., J.T.Z., G.W., J.T. and J.Z. gave scientific suggestions and intellectual input. All authors contributed to discussion and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests. How to cite this article: Liu, S. *et al.* High-sensitivity strain sensor based on in-fiber rectangular air bubble. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 7624; DOI:10.1038/srep07624 (2015).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/