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#### Abstract

A detailed numerical investigation of surface wave dispersion and particle motion associated with the higher Love and Rayleigh modes over realistic earth models has been carried out as a preliminary to the routine use of these waves in studies of the crust-mantle system. The suggestion that the so-called channel waves, such as the Lg, Li, and Sa phases, can be interpreted by higher mode group velocity dispersion curves is verified in detail. Furthermore, Sa should have a higher velocity across shield areas than across normal continental areas and a higher velocity across continents than across oceans.

Higher mode Rayleigh wave data are presented for long oceanic paths to Pasadena. The observed data favor the CIT 11 model of Anderson and Toksöz (1963) over the 8099 model of Dorman et al. (1960) and indicate that under the Pacific Ocean the low-velocity zone extends to a depth perhaps as deep as 400 km followed by an abrupt increase in shear velocity.


## Introduction

The presence of a low-velocity zone in the upper mantle has been demonstrated in various regions of the world. Observations of mantle Love and Rayleigh waves, furthermore, have indicated that the existence of a low-velocity region is a widespread phenomenon. However, a detailed picture of the low-velocity zone and of its regional variation is yet to come. Because of the inherent difficulties involved in standard body wave analyses the important questions of velocity reversals and rapid changes in velocity gradient require supplementary approaches. These difficulties are presumably most pronounced in the upper portion of the earth's mantle, in the region where surface waves have their maximum amplitude and sensitivity. To date, the surface wave data used for the study of the upper mantle have primarily been fundamental mode observations. Higher modes have not been applied to the study of this portion of the earth. There have been many observations of higher mode surface waves, but most of the previous studies (Oliver and Ewing, 1957, 1958; Kovach, 1959; Oliver, Dorman, and Sutton, 1959) were concerned with the use of higher mode data in conjunction with fundamental mode observations for the study of crustal structure and thickness.

Higher mode Love and Rayleigh wave calculations in the period range of 1 to about 50 seconds are presented for a variety of continental and oceanic models to demonstrate the behavior and sampling ability of the higher mode waves. All of the calculations have allowed for sphericity because the effect of sphericity on the higher mode dispersion curves is pronounced-particularly for earth models possessing a low-velocity zone (Kovach and Anderson, 1962). Comparison of dispersion results for equivalent spherical and flat models shows that sphericity should be considered for the higher Rayleigh modes if accurate deductions are to be made concerning the crust-mantle system.

The shape of the higher mode Love and Rayleigh wave group velocity curves is very sensitive to details of the layered wave guide. For example, the plateau structure determined for the higher modes in the Jeffreys-Bullen A model becomes
oscillatory with broad maximums and sharp minimums for a Gutenberg type velocity distribution. The higher mode curves also allow the explanation of the socalled channel waves $L g 1, L g 2, L i$, and $S a$, as was first suggested by Oliver and Ewing (1958). Our spherical results for the successive higher mode curves for specific earth models places the higher mode explanation of "channel waves" on firm ground.

The oceanic higher mode Rayleigh wave curves are compared with new observational data in the period range of 20 to 50 seconds recorded at Pasadena. These higher mode data corroborate conclusions on oceanic mantle structure presented by Anderson et al. (1963).

## Numerical Calculations

The method developed by Anderson and Toksöz (1963) was used to compute the dispersion of Love waves on a layered sphere. In this pseudo-spherical technique the problem of the free oscillations of a heterogeneous sphere is reformulated in


Fig. 1. First eight higher Love modes for the Gutenberg-Birch II continental model.
terms of dispersion over a plane half-space composed of anisotropic layers with a superposed velocity gradient. Group velocity is calculated exactly by the evaluation of energy integrals and by the analytical differentiation of Haskell product matrices (Harkrider and Anderson, 1963). A modified version of a torsional oscillation program (Kovach and Anderson, 1962), which allows for a liquid core and simultaneous analytic computation of group velocity during search for the eigenfrequency, was used to check by independent means the results of the pseudospherical calculations. The results generally agree to better than 0.06 per cent (Anderson and Toksöz, 1963).
A computer program with a double precision option (Press et al., 1961) was used to compute phase and group velocities for the higher Rayleigh modes using flat layers and flat layers combined with the earth-flattening approximation (Alterman et al., 1961). A program for the computation of spheroidal oscillations (Bolt and Dorman, 1961) was modified to complete double precision and used to compare results with the earth-flattening approximation. For order numbers exceeding 300 computation in double precision arithmetic is required because roundoff errors become severe in evaluation of the characteristic determinant.

## DISCUSSION

Figure 1 and table 1 give dispersion results in the period range of 1 to 50 seconds for the first eight higher Love modes for the Gutenberg-Birch II model (layer parameters given in table 2) computed using spherical and pseudo-spherical techniques. Jobert (1960) first presented calculations for the first higher Love mode for a Gutenberg type spherical earth model and by extrapolation showed that a group velocity maximum of about $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, appropriate in velocity for the $S a$ phase, would probably occur at a period of about 15 seconds. However, it should be emphasized that it is the group velocity plateaus in the neighborhood of 4.4 to $4.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ and the adjacent minimums for the higher modes that explain many of the observed features of $S a$ waves (Anderson and Toksöz, 1963).

TABLE 1
Gutenberg-Birch II
Higher Love Modes

| 2nd mode |  |  | 3d mode |  |  | 4th mode |  |  | 5 th mode |  |  | 6 th mode |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { secs } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}}{C}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}}{U}$ | $\underset{\text { secs }}{T}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}}{C}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}}{U}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { secs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}}{U}$ | $\underset{\text { secs }}{T}$ | $\stackrel{C}{\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}}{U}$ | $T$ secs | $\begin{gathered} C \\ \mathrm{~km} / \\ \mathrm{sec} \end{gathered}$ | U $\mathrm{km} /$ sec |
| 36.53 | 4.800 | 4.305 | 10.11 | 4.550 | 4.286 | 26.74 | 5.340 | 4.389 | 12.41 | 4.900 | 4.308 | 16.87 | 5.361 | 4.421 |
| 25.36 | 4.650 | 4.378 | 5.52 | 4.500 | 3.959 | 7.84 | 4.600 | 4.436 | 11.30 | 4.840 | 4.306 | 15.84 | 5.291 | 4.394 |
| 14.62 | 4.550 | 4.440 | 5.26 | 4.450 | 3.480 | 6.19 | 4.565 | 4.406 | 9.48 | 4.75 | 4.363 | 11.63 | 5.000 | 4.326 |
| 10.57 | 4.500 | 4.139 | 5.06 | 4.400 | 3.404 | 5.64 | 4.530 | 3.880 | 9.01 | 4.73 | 4.380 | 9.75 | 4.880 | 4.357 |
| 9.16 | 4.400 | 3.648 | 4.87 | 4.350 | 3.370 | 3.75 | 4.500 | 4.283 | 8.52 | 4.710 | 4.395 | 6.31 | 4.680 | 4.245 |
| 8.24 | 4.300 | 3.525 | 4.68 | 4.300 | 3.357 | 3.71 | 4.490 | 3.478 | 8.26 | 4.700 | 4.402 | 4.83 | 4.600 | 4.449 |
| 7.38 | 4.200 | 3.488 | 3.84 | 4.100 | 3.427 | 3.69 | 4.485 | 3.450 | 7.46 | 4.670 | 4.411 | 2.32 | 4.520 | 3.374 |
| 6.50 | 4.100 | 3.491 . | 3.64 | 4.061 | 3.459 | 3.68 | 4.480 | 3.433 | 6.93 | 4.650 | 4.399 | 1.58 | 4. | 3.202 |
| 5.54 | 4.000 | 3.515 | 3.30 | 4.000 | 3.520 | 3.65 | 4.470 | 3.410 | 6.45 | 4.630 | 4.343 | 1.2 | 4.410 | 3.177 |
| 4.49 | 3.900 | 3.533 | 2.57 | 3.900 | 3.628 | 3.53 | 4.420 | 3.352 | 6.10 | 4.610 | 4.206 | 1.00 | 4.40 | 3.170 |
| 3.38 | 3.800 | 3.506 | 1.81 | 3.800 | 3.489 | 3.48 | 4.400 | 3.340 | 5.98 | 4.600 | 4.138 | . 85 | 4,390 | 3.172 |
| 2.37 | 3.700 | 3.478 | 1.05 | 3.650 | 3.480 | 1.99 | 4.290 | 3.263 | 3.49 | 4.500 | 4.483 | . 73 | 4.380 | 3.174 |

The broad plateaus and the shallow and sharp minimums in the group velocity curves for periods less than 10 seconds also explain many of the observed characteristics of the $L g$ and $L i$ phases. For example, the broad plateaus of $3.54 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ centered at a period of about 4 to 5 seconds on the second Love mode group velocity curve is appropriate both in period and velocity for many observations of $L g 1$. The fairly narrow minimum of $3.36 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at a period of 4.5 seconds on the third Love mode curve is in agreement with numerous observations of the $L g 2$ phase. The extremely sharp group velocity minimums ranging from 3.58 to $3.89 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ are appropriate for the $L i$ phase. It should be pointed out that it is probably several modes that contribute to the apparent behavior of these phases. Which modes are actually observed depends on the period range being studied and the depth of the source. Variations in the velocity and period of the $L g$ waves are observed along different paths and of course variations in the position and velocity of these maxima and minima will occur when different elastic parameters than the ones in the Gutenberg-Birch II model are used.

The relationship between the so-called channel waves and higher modes can be understood by studying plots of particle displacement versus depth. Figure 2, for example, shows displacements with depth for the fourth Love mode for the Gutenberg-Birch II model. At $T=7.37$ seconds, $c=4.59 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, the fourth mode group velocity curve shows a maximum of about $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. Correspondingly, the two lower displacement lobes in the displacement plot are large within the low-velocity channel. As the period decreases to 5.64 seconds the group velocity dives sharply to a velocity of about $3.85 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. The upper displacement lobe which was previously in the channel has now moved out of the channel and is now con-


- Fig. 2. Displacements with depth for the 4th Love mode, Gutenberg-Birch II model. Uncoupling from the low-velocity channel occurs when phase velocity becomes less than shear velocity of the 'lid' of the low-velocity zone.
centrated in the lower crustal layer (notice displacement curve for $T=$ 5.64 seconds). At $T=5.40$ seconds the wavelength has decreased such that the lower lobe of the displacement begins to be trapped in the channel. The group velocity curve then climbs sharply to a velocity of about $4.45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at $T=4.37$ seconds. At this period the lower displacement lobe has exceedingly large amplitudes within the channel. When the period decreases to 3.72 seconds the lower displacement lobe moves out of the channel into the lower crustal layer and the group velocity curve again dives very sharply. All of these severe oscillations in the fourth Love mode group velocity curve have occurred within a small phase velocity interval, namely, 4.59 to $4.49 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. Similar phenomena occur for other modes.

A characteristic behavior for the higher Love modes can thus be summarized. Oscillations in the group velocity curve occur as individual displacement lobes move in and out of the low-velocity channel. Each successively higher mode has another displacement lobe which can be trapped in the channel so that the higher mode phase velocity curves become progressively more kinky and the group velocity curves more oscillatory as the mode number is increased.
Phase and group velocity results for the first three higher Rayleigh modes, the $M_{21}$, the $M_{12}$, and the $M_{22}$ modes (mode designation follows Tolstoy and Usdin, 1953), for the Jeffreys-Bullen A model (layer parameters taken from Sato et al.,


Frg. 3. Higher mode Rayleigh wave dispersion curves for the Jeffreys-Bullen A model comparing spherical, earth-flattening and plane layer calculations.
1960) are shown in figures 3 and table 2. For the $M_{21}$ mode, phase velocities obtained from flat layer calculations combined with the earth-flattening approximation agree well with the exact spherical solution for periods less than 25 seconds. For equivalent wave periods greater than 25 seconds the phase velocities begin to diverge and the earth-flattening results are higher in phase velocity than those obtained from the spherical solution. The effect of sphericity on the $M_{21}$ mode is surprisingly quite pronounced for wave periods down to about 8 seconds and the flat and spherical results have not merged even for periods as short as 4 seconds. However, sphericity can be neglected on the $M_{21}$ mode group velocity curve for periods less than 30 seconds.

Figure 4 and table 3 show phase and group velocity results for the first several

TABLE 2

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\text { Spher }}{\substack{\text { Order, } \\ n}}
\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{T \({ }_{\text {cal }}\) and} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Jeffreys-Bullen \\
\(M_{21}\) Mode \\
Earth Flattening
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A

Flat} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Jeffreys-Bullen A $M_{22}$ Mode Earth Flattening} <br>

\hline \& \& $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
U\left(\mathrm{~km} /{ }_{\mathrm{sec})}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& $T(\mathrm{sec})$ \& \[

\underset{\mathrm{sec})}{(\mathrm{km} /}
\] \& $T$ (sec) \& $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ \& $U(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ <br>

\hline 75 \& 89.61 \& 5.9170 \& \& \& \& 29.77 \& 5.6000 \& 4.38 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{100} \& 71.64 \& 5.5601 \& \& \& \& 28.81 \& 5.5500 \& 4.37 <br>
\hline \& 61.75 \& 5.4000 \& 4.41 \& 80.21 \& 4.45 \& 27.84 \& 5.5000 \& 4.37 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{125} \& 59.79 \& 5.3345 \& \& \& \& 26.86 \& 5.4500 \& 4.36 <br>
\hline \& 59.20 \& 5.3500 \& 4.41 \& 76.82 \& 4.40 \& 25.86 \& 5.4000 \& 4.37 <br>
\hline \& 56.58 \& 5.3000 \& 4.41 \& 73.52 \& 4.37 \& 24.83 \& 5.3500 \& 4.37 <br>
\hline \& 53.90 \& 5.2500 \& 4.42 \& 70.26 \& 4.35 \& 23.78 \& 5.3000 \& 4.38 <br>
\hline \& 51.15 \& 5.2000 \& 4.42 \& 67.01 \& 4.34 \& 22.69 \& 5.2500 \& 4.39 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{150} \& 51.30 \& 5.1852 \& \& \& \& 21.56 \& 5.2000 \& 4.41 <br>
\hline \& 48.32 \& 5.1500 \& 4.42 \& 63.74 \& 4.34 \& 20.39 \& 5.1500 \& 4.42 <br>
\hline \& 45.42 \& 5.1000 \& 4.43 \& 60.40 \& 4.34 \& 19.15 \& 5.1000 \& 4.44 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{175} \& 44.91 \& 5.0792 \& \& \& \& 17.87 \& 5.0500 \& 4.45 <br>
\hline \& 42.47 \& 5.0500 \& 4.43 \& 56.99 \& 4.34 \& 16.54 \& 5.0000 \& 4.46 <br>
\hline \& 39.48 \& 5.0000 \& 4.42 \& 53.46 \& 4.35 \& 15.16 \& 4.9500 \& 4.46 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{200} \& 39.94 \& 4.9992 \& \& \& \& 13.76 \& 4.9000 \& 4.46 <br>
\hline \& 36.48 \& 4.9500 \& 4.41 \& 49.81 \& 4.36 \& 12.35 \& 4.8500 \& 4.45 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{225} \& 35.97 \& 4.9350 \& \& \& \& 10.98 \& 4.8000 \& 4.42 <br>
\hline \& 33.52 \& 4.9000 \& 4.39 \& 46.02 \& 4.37 \& 9.73 \& 4.7500 \& 4.37 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250} \& 32.74 \& 4.8815 \& \& \& \& 8.70 \& 4.7000 \& 4.27 <br>
\hline \& 30.65 \& 4.8500 \& 4.36 \& 42.09 \& 4.37 \& 8.27 \& 4.6750 \& 4.22 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{275} \& 30.05 \& 4.8354 \& \& \& \& 7.52 \& 4.6250 \& 4.13 <br>
\hline \& 27.91 \& 4.8000 \& 4.33 \& 38.05 \& 4.37 \& 6.96 \& 4.6000 \& 4.29 <br>
\hline 300 \& 27.79 \& 4.7940 \& \& \& \& 5.76 \& 4.5500 \& 4.33 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{325} \& 25.85 \& 4.7582 \& \& \& \& 4.75 \& 4.5000 \& 4.17 <br>
\hline \& 25.36 \& 4.7500 \& 4.29 \& 33.99 \& 4.36 \& 4.30 \& 4.4500 \& 3.92 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{350} \& 24.18 \& 4.7226 \& \& \& \& 4.13 \& 4.4250 \& 3.88 <br>
\hline \& 23.00 \& 4.7000 \& 4.24 \& 30.02 \& 4.34 \& 3.81 \& 4.3750 \& 3.82 <br>
\hline 375 \& 22.72 \& 4.6911 \& \& \& \& 3.42 \& 4.3000 \& 3.66 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{400} \& 21.44 \& 4.6619 \& \& \& \& 3.22 \& 4.2500 \& 3.54 <br>
\hline \& 20.84 \& 4.6500 \& 4.20 \& \& \& 3.04 \& 4.2000 \& 3.45 <br>
\hline 425 \& 20.30 \& 4.6346 \& \& \& \& 2.88 \& 4.1500 \& 3.41 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{450} \& 19.28 \& 4.6093 \& \& \& \& 2.55 \& 4.0500 \& 3.41 <br>
\hline \& 18.83 \& 4.6000 \& 4.17 \& 22.97 \& 4.26 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 475 \& 18.36 \& 4.5860 \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{Jeffreys-Bullen A $M_{13}$ and $M_{23}$ Modes Earth Flattening}} <br>
\hline 500 \& 17.52 \& 4.5643 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{550} \& 16.07 \& 4.5256 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 15.07 \& 4.5000 \& 4.12 \& 17.51 \& 4.17 \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
600 \\
650
\end{gathered}
$$} \& 14.84

13.79 \& 4.4922
4.4629 \& \& \& \& $T$ (sec) \& $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ \& $U(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ <br>
\hline \& 13.30 \& 4.4500 \& 4.09 \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
700 \\
750
\end{gathered}
$$} \& 12.88 \& 4.4366 \& \& \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 23.09 \\
& 22.32
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.5750 \\
& 5.5250
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.39 \\
& 4.39
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& 12.09 \& 4.4123 \& \& \& \& 21.52 \& 5.4750 \& 4.40 <br>
\hline \& 11.69 \& 4.4000 \& 4.04 \& 13.11 \& 4.09 \& 20.69 \& 5.4250 \& 4.42 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{850} \& 11.39
10.78 \& 4.3892 \& \& \& \& 19.84 \& 5.3750 \& 4.44 <br>
\hline \& 10.78
10.35 \& 4.3669
4.3500 \& 3.99
3.95 \& \& \& 18.94 \& 5.3250 \& 4.46 <br>
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{900
950} \& 10.35
10.23 \& 4.3500
4.3446 \& 3.95 \& \& \& 18.00 \& 5.2750 \& 4.48 <br>
\hline \& 10.23
9.74 \& 4.3446
4.3220 \& \& \& \& 17.02 \& 5.2250 \& 4.49 <br>
\hline \& 9.33 \& 4.3000 \& 3.83 \& 9.95 \& 3.97 \& 16.00 \& 5.1750 \& 4.50 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{1000} \& 9.31 \& 4.2989 \& \& \& \& $$
15.50
$$ \& \[

5.1500
\] \& 4.54 <br>

\hline \& 8.93 \& 4.2750 \& 3.76 \& \& \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 18.84 \\
& 18
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

5.5750
\] \& <br>

\hline \& 7.95 \& 4.2000 \& 3.70 \& 8.24 \& 3.65 \& 17.46 \& 5.4750 \& <br>
\hline \& 6.95 \& 4.1000 \& 3.47 \& 7.13 \& 3.49 \& 17.46
16.73 \& 5.4750
5.4250 \& <br>
\hline \& 6.01 \& 4.0000 \& 3.45 \& 6.17 \& 3.45 \& 15.99 \& 5.3750 \& <br>
\hline \& 5.25 \& 3.9250 \& 3.47 \& \& \& 15.25 \& 5. 3250 \& <br>
\hline \& 3.89
3.05 \& 3.8000 \& 3.48 \& 4.03 \& 3.48 \& 14.44 \& 5.3750 \& <br>
\hline \& 3.05 \& 3.7250 \& 3.46 \& \& \& 13.57 \& 5.2250 \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 12.74 \& 5.1750 \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 12.35 \& 5.1500 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 2-Continued


Mean density of core $11.03 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
higher Rayleigh modes for the Gutenberg-Birch II model. A comparison of the phase velocities obtained from the exact spherical solution with those obtained from the plane layer calculations combined with the earth-flattening approximation reveals that the approximate values compare quite well with the exact spherical results for periods less than about 40 seconds. However, the flat layer phase velocity results are grossly in error down to very short periods for all of the higher modes. The disagreement is group velocity between flat and spherical calculations is not too severe for the $M_{21}$ mode, although there is a difference in group velocity of 0.04 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at a period of 11 seconds. The group velocities for the modes higher than the $M_{21}$ mode also disagree.

In the Gutenberg-Birch II model the $M_{21}$ mode group velocity curve has a
slight maximum of $4.34 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at about 17 seconds period and a broad minimum of $4.32 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ centered at a period of 30 seconds. The $M_{12}$ mode has a maximum of $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at a period of 8 seconds and a fairly sharp minimum of $4.22 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ centered at about 20 seconds. The successively higher modes also show minimums but shifted to lower and lower periods. The low-velocity channel has a more pronounced effect on the phase velocity curves as the mode number is increased.

Higher mode calculations for the Gutenberg-Bullen A model (an earth structure similar to the Gutenberg-Birch model except that Bullen A density structure is used) are given in table 4 . The $M_{21}$ mode has a relatively broad minimum of 4.3 $\mathrm{km} /$ sec centered at about 35 seconds period and a group velocity maximum of about $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at a period of 14 seconds. These results differ from the published results of Bolt and Dorman (1961) who found a local maximum of $4.54 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 25


Fig. 4. Higher mode Rayleigh wave dispersion curves for the Gutenberg-Birch II model comparing spherical, earth-flattening and plane layer calculations.
seconds period and a minimum of $4.29 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 60 seconds for the $M_{21}$ mode. For periods less than 32 seconds Bolt and Dorman's published phase velocities scatter badly and suggest machine roundoff errors.

Nevertheless, it is obvious that the local group velocity maximums for the higher Rayleigh modes can explain many of the observations of the so-called continental $S a$ wave observed on vertical component seismograms. These group velocity maximums predict a 7 to 9 second wave riding on a 14 to 25 second wave arriving with a group velocity of about $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. The higher Love modes also exhibited a corresponding structure. The recording of $S a$ waves by transverse, longitudinal, and vertical component instruments can thus be easily explained by the simultaneous arrival of higher mode Love and Rayleigh waves.

Regional variations in the velocity of $S a$ should be observed. Differences in the velocity and dominant period of the transverse $S a$ wave between oceans and

TABLE 3
Gutenberg-Birch II

|  |  | $M_{21} M$ |  |  |  |  |  | $M_{22} M$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spher | cal and | arth Flat | ening | Fl |  | Spher | cal and | arth Fla | tening |  |  |
| $\underset{n}{\text { Order, }}$ | $T$ (sec) | $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | $\begin{gathered} U \\ \binom{(\mathrm{~km} /}{\mathrm{sec})} \end{gathered}$ | $T(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\begin{gathered} U \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | Order, $n$ | $T$ (sec) | $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | $\begin{gathered} U \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $T(\mathrm{sec})$ | $\begin{aligned} & i U \\ & (\mathrm{~km} / \\ & \mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 75 | 91.69 | 5.7823 |  |  |  |  | 25.96 | 5.3210 | 4.51 |  |  |
| 100 | 72.98 | 5.4581 |  |  |  | 300 | 25.19 | 5.2889 |  |  |  |
| 125 | 60.79 | 5.2473 |  |  |  |  | 24.73 | 5.2710 | 4.38 |  |  |
| 150 | 52.15 | 5.0998 |  |  |  |  | 23.62 | 5.2210 | 4.31 |  |  |
|  |  | 5.0210 |  | 74.73 | 4.78 |  | 22.58 | 5.1710 | 4.27 |  |  |
| 175 | 45.70 | 4.9911 |  |  |  | 350 | 22.19 | 5.1466 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.9210 |  | 58.02 | 4.47 |  | 21.56 | 5.1210 | 4.24 |  |  |
| 200 | 40.67 | 4.9085 |  |  |  |  | 20.53 | 5.0710 | 4.24 |  |  |
| 225 | 36.65 | 4.8437 |  |  |  | 400 | 19.84 | 5.0386 |  |  |  |
|  | 36.57 | 4.8500 | 4.32 |  |  |  | 19.48 | 5.0210 | 4.25 |  |  |
|  |  | 4.8210 |  | 48.17 | 4.33 |  | 18.39 | 4.9710 | 4.26 |  |  |
|  | 33.51 | 4.8000 | 4.31 |  |  | 450 | 17.96 | 4.9467 | 4.26 |  |  |
| 250 | 33.35 | 4.7916 |  |  |  |  | 17.24 | 4.9210 | 4.29 |  |  |
|  | 30.39 | 4.7500 | 4.31 |  |  | 500 | 16.37 | 4.8851 |  |  |  |
| 275 | 30.60 | 4.7483 |  |  |  |  | 16.01 | 4.8710 | 4.31 |  |  |
|  | 27.21 | 4.7000 | 4.31 |  |  |  | 14.69 | 4.8210 | 4.34 |  |  |
| 325 | 26.27 | 4.6822 |  |  |  |  | 13.28 | 4.7710 | 4.35 |  |  |
|  | 23.95 | 4.6500 | 4.31 |  |  |  | 11.78 | 4.7210 | 4.37 |  |  |
| 375 | 23.00 | 4.6341 |  |  |  |  | 10.21 | 4.6710 | 4.37 |  |  |
|  |  | 4.6210 |  | 31.85 | 4.26 |  | 9.89 | 4.6610 | 4.37 |  |  |
|  | 20.57 | 4.6000 | 4.32 |  |  |  | 9.25 | 4.6410 | 4.32 |  |  |
| 450 | 19.39 | 4.5825 |  |  |  |  | 8.66 | 4.6210 |  |  |  |
|  | 17.02 | 4.5500 | 4.33 |  |  |  | 7.93 | 4.6000 |  |  |  |
| 550 | 16.03 | 4.5370 |  |  |  |  | 7.37 | 4.5810 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.5210 |  | 23.17 | 4.29 |  | 6.86 | 4.5630 |  |  |  |
|  | 13.51 | 4.5000 | 4.30 |  |  |  | 6.36 | 5.5410 |  |  |  |
| 700 | 12.73 | 4.4880 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5275 |  | 9.60 |  |
|  | 11.08 | 4.4500 | 4.16 |  |  |  | 5.88 | 4.5210 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.4420 |  | 14.43 | 4.33 |  |  | 4.5175 |  | 9.05 |  |
| 850 | 10.61 | 4.4348 |  |  |  |  |  | $4.5075$ |  | 8.51 |  |
|  |  | 4.4210 |  | 12.08 | 4.26 |  | 5.06 | 4.5000 |  |  |  |
|  | 9.79 | 4.4000 | 3.95 | 10.78 | 4.17 |  |  | 4.4975 |  | 7.96 |  |
| 950 | 9.60 | 4.3887 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4775 |  | 7.01 |  |
| 1000 | 9.17 | 4.3617 |  |  |  |  | 3.81 | 4.4750 |  |  |  |
|  | 9.00 | 4.3500 | 3.77 |  |  |  | 3.73 | 4.4580 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.3210 |  | 8.98 | 3.77 |  |  | 4.4575 |  | 6.23 |  |
|  | 8.43 | 4.3000 | 3.61 |  |  |  | 3.53 | 4.4055 | 3.56 |  |  |
|  | 7.96 | 4.2500 | 3.51 |  |  |  | 3.40 | 4.3635 | 3.46 |  |  |
|  |  | 4.2210 |  | 7.90 | 3.50 |  | 3.22 | 4.3005 | 3.38 |  |  |
|  | 7.55 | 4.2000 | 3.45 |  |  |  | 2.99 | 4.2165 | 3.34 |  |  |
|  | 7.15 | 4.1500 | 3.40 |  |  |  | 2.76 | 4.1325 | 3.35 |  |  |
|  | 6.76 | 4.1000 | 3.39 | 6.97 |  |  | 2.60 | 4.0800 | 3.38 |  |  |
|  | 6.37 | 4.0500 | 3.40 |  |  |  | 2.34 | 4.0000 | 3.44 |  |  |
|  | 5.93 | 4.0000 | 3.42 |  |  |  | 2.11 | 3.9400 | 3.49 |  |  |
|  | 4.98 | 3.9000 | 3.46 | 5.13 |  |  | 1.81 | 3.8800 | 3.61 |  |  |
|  | 4.43 | 3.8500 | 3.49 |  |  |  | 1.67 | 3.8600 | 3.65 |  |  |
|  | 3.86 | 3.8000 | 3.49 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.78 | 3.7000 | 3.45 | 2.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.25 | 3.6500 | 3.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | TA | 3 | NTinued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spher | cal and | $M_{12} M$ <br> Earth Flat | ode tening | Fla |  |  | and $M_{23}$ <br> th Flatte |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Order, } \\ n \end{gathered}$ | $T$ (sec) | $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | $\begin{gathered} U \\ (\mathrm{~km} \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $T$ (sec.) | $\begin{gathered} U \\ (\mathrm{~km} \\ \mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | $T$ (sec.) | $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | $U(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ |
|  | 38.65 | 53500 | 4.80 |  |  | 20.46 | 5.3210 | 4.48 |
|  | 37.06 | 5.3210 | 4.68 |  |  | 18.59 | 5.2210 | 4.37 |
|  | 34.84 | 5.2710 | 4.54 |  |  | 16.76 | 5.1210 | 4.35 |
|  | 32.93 | 5.2210 | 4.45 |  |  | 14.86 | 5.0210 | 4.36 |
|  | 31.19 | 5.1710 | 4.38 |  |  | 12.85 | 4.9210 | 4.37 |
|  | 29.55 | 5.1210 | 4.34 |  |  | 10.76 | 4.8210 | 4.37 |
|  | 28.00 | 5.0710 | 4.30 |  |  | 8.77 | 4.7210 |  |
|  | 26.47 | 5.0210 | 4.27 | 36.00 |  | 8.49 | 4.7010 |  |
|  | 24.97 | 4.9710 | 4.25 |  |  | 8.23 | 4.6910 |  |
|  | 23.49 | 4.9210 | 4.24 |  |  | 8.00 | 4.6810 |  |
|  | 22.02 | 4.8710 | 4.23 |  |  | 7.80 | 4.6710 |  |
|  | 20.53 | 4.8210 | 4.22 |  |  | 7.62 | 4.6610 |  |
| 450 | 18.62 | 4.7720 |  |  |  | 7.42 | 4.6510 |  |
|  | 19.00 | 4.7710 | 4.23 |  |  | 7.24 | 4.6410 |  |
|  | 17.41 | 4.7210 | 4.25 | 22.40 |  | 7.03 | 4.6310 |  |
|  | 15.68 | 4.6710 | 4.27 |  |  | 6.83 | 4.6210 |  |
| 600 | 14.35 | 4.6457 |  |  |  | 6.60 | 4.6110 |  |
|  | 13.73 | 4.6210 | 4.31 |  |  | 6.39 | 4.6010 |  |
|  | 13.04 | 4.6050 | 4.33 |  |  | 4.86 | 4.5270 |  |
| 700 | 12.44 | 4.5946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.06 | 4.5840 | 4.35 |  |  | 16.52 | 5.3220 |  |
|  | 10.95 | 4.5630 | 4.38 |  |  | 14.88 | 5.2220 | 4.42 |
| 800 | 10.94 | 4.5691 |  |  |  | 13.32 | 5.1220 | 4.39 |
|  | 10.16 | 4.5500 | 4.40 |  |  | 11.75 | 5.0220 | 4.39 |
| 900 | 9.77 | 4.5510 |  |  |  | 10.29 | 4.9220 |  |
| 1000 | 8.82 | 4.5361 |  |  |  | 8.39 | 4.8220 |  |
|  |  | 4.5220 |  | 13.94 | 4.24 |  |  |  |
|  | 7.75 | 4.5210 | 4.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.28 | 4.5070 | 4.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.85 | 4.4960 | 4.27 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.35 | 4.4570 | 3.94 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.95 | 4.4000 | 3.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.71 | 4.3500 | 3.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.3220 |  | 4.69 | 3.49 |  |  |  |
|  | 4.50 | 4.3000 | 3.38 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.14 | 4.2000 | 3.29 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.95 | 4.1500 | 3.29 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.1220 |  | 3.92 | 3.30 |  |  |  |
|  | 3.76 | 4.1000 | 3.32 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.33 | 4.0000 | 3.41 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.06 | 3.9500 | 3.49 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.9220 |  | 2.99 | 3.49 |  |  |  |
|  | 2.74 | 3.9000 | 3.53 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.34 | 3.8500 | 3.52 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.97 | 3.8000 | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.7220 |  | 1.56 | 3.45 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.43 | 3.7000 | 3.43 |  |  |  |  |  |

continents are shown in the curves of Anderson and Toksöz (1963). Brune and Dorman (1963) derived a model CANSD appropriate for the Canadian shield region. In this model the upper mantle has a high speed layer of shear velocity $4.72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ down to about 115 km depth, below which the low-velocity channel has a shear velocity of about $4.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ down to a depth of 315 km . Results for the $M_{21}$ mode for the model CANSD are given in table 5 . The $M_{21}$ mode group velocity curve shows a local maximum of $4.63 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 16 seconds period and a value of $4.43 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at a period of 40 seconds. Therefore, it is probable that the velocity of $S a$ observed across shield areas will be in the range of 4.5 to $4.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, i.e., higher than across normal continental areas.

TABLE 4
Gutenberg-Bullen A $M_{22}$ Mode
Spherical and Earth Flattening

TABLE 5
CANSD
$M_{21}$ Mode
Spherical and Earth Flattening

| Orrer, $n$ | $T(\mathrm{sec})$ | $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | $U(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | Order, $n$ | $T(\mathrm{sec})$ | $c(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ | $U(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31.80 | 4.7200 | 4.30 | 75 | 90.24 | 5.8753 |  |
|  | 29.96 | 4.6950 | 4.30 | 100 | 72.04 | 5.5293 |  |
|  | 28.34 | 4.6700 | 4.30 | 125 | 60.20 | 5.2988 |  |
|  | 23.38 | 4.6450 | 4.31 | 150 | 51.74 | 5.1411 |  |
| 350 | 24.68 | 4.6280 |  | 200 | 39.87 | 5.0073 |  |
|  | 24.69 | 4.6200 | 4.32 |  | 28.74 | 4.8100 | 4.52 |
| 400 | 21.74 | 4.5984 |  | 350 | 23.81 | 4.7976 |  |
|  | 22.46 | 4.5950 | 4.33 |  | 25.78 | 4.7850 | 4.55 |
| 450 | 19.42 | 4.5756 |  | 400 | 20.98 | 4.7639 |  |
|  | 20.62 | 4.5700 | 4.35 |  | 23.39 | 4.7600 | 4.57 |
|  | 17.63 | 4.5450 | 4.38 | 450 | 18.76 | 4.7356 |  |
|  | 15.42 | 4.5200 | 4.40 |  | 18.78 | 4.7350 | 4.61 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15.72 | 4.7100 | 4.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 12.83 | 4.6850 | 4.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 10.85 | 4.6600 | 4.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9.78 | 4.6100 | 4.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9.39 | 4.5850 | 4.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8.03 | 4.5600 | 3.96 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8.47 | 4.5100 | 3.81 |

Figure 5 shows displacements with depth for the $M_{21}$ mode for the GutenbergBirch II model. The maximum vertical amplitude occurs within the low-velocity channel although the amplitude is certainly not pronounced. The lack of a pronounced displacement maximum within the low-velocity zone is reflected in the group velocity structure which only shows a broad plateau and a very slight maximum at 17 seconds period. Similar particle amplitude-depth profiles for the $M_{21}$ mode for the Gutenberg-Bullen A model show a more pronounced maximum within the low-velocity zone for the wave periods associated with the local group velocity maximum of $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 14 seconds period. The particle amplitude profiles for the Gutenberg-Birch II model also make it clear that in the period range of 10 to 90 seconds the vertical motion at the surface dominates the horizontal. Therefore, the surface motion of this phase describes a retrograde, thin vertical
ellipse. In other words, this wave appears as an $S V$ wave travelling along the surface.

Figure 6 shows vertical displacements with depth for the $M_{12}$ mode for the Gutenberg-Birch II model. Between 12 and 7 seconds period the lower positive lobe of the displacement has a much larger amplitude than the corresponding lobe at shorter and longer periods. Within this period interval the phase velocity ranges
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Fig. 5. Vertical and horizontal particle amplitudes with depth for the $\mathrm{M}_{21}$ mode (1st higher Rayleigh mode) for the Gutenberg-Birch II model. Note that the vertical displacement at the surface is much larger than the horizontal.
from about $4.55 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ to about $4.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is approximately the same as the effective shear velocity of the 'lid' of the low-velocity zone. Analogous to the behavior of the higher mode Love waves (Anderson and Toksöz, 1963) these higher mode wave periods are effectively trapped in the low-velocity zone and result in a channel wave. Uncoupling of the wave from the channel occurs when the phase velocity becomes less than the shear velocity of the lid. Figure 6 illustrates this phenomenon and shows that at a period of 5.36 seconds and a phase velocity of
$4.458 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ the lower lobe of the vertical displacement has "popped-out" of the channel.

Horizontal displacements with depth for the $M_{12}$ mode for the GutenbergBirch II model are shown in figure 7. For phase velocities greater than the shear velocity of the uncoupling zone the horizontal amplitude profiles show three nodes of motion compared to two nodes in the vertical motion. As the phase velocity approaches the velocity at which uncoupling from the channel occurs the horizontal displacement curve shows a kink associated with the uncoupling zone. The curve associated with 7.77 seconds demonstrates this behavior. When uncoupling occurs, the horizontal motion loses two nodes of motion.


Fig. 6. Vertical particle amplitude with depth for the $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ mode (2nd higher Rayleigh mode) for the Gutenberg-Birch II model showing large amplitudes in the low-velocity zone. Uncoupling occurs when the phase velocity becomes less than the effective shear velocity of the lid of the low-velocity zone.

## Observational Data

For long oceanic paths, waves having a pulse like or transient character in the period range of 20 to 50 seconds are frequently observed on the vertical component seismogram arriving nearly at the same time as the familiar $G$ wave arrival on the transverse component.

Figure 8 shows long-period seismograms of the Santa Cruz Island shock of 2 January $1961\left(h=161 \mathrm{~km} ; M=6 \frac{3}{4}\right.$ ) recorded at Pasadena California (azimuth of approach $251^{\circ} ; \Delta=9476 \mathrm{~km}$ ). The $G$ wave arrival begins at about $10^{\mathrm{h}} 47^{\mathrm{m}} 00^{\mathrm{s}}$ on the $N-S$ seismogram. At this same time a pulse like wave having a period of about 26 seconds can be seen on the vertical component seismogram.

The Pasadena long-period seismograms of the Banda Sea shock of 14 February $1963\left(h=197 \mathrm{~km} ; M=6 \frac{1}{2} ; \Delta=113.5^{\circ}\right)$ are shown in figure 9 . The great circle
path from the epicenter intersects Pasadena at an azimuth of $277^{\circ}$ so that very little Love wave motion should be present on the $E-W$ (longitudinal component) seismogram. A pronounced $G$ wave arrival can be seen on the $N-S$ component at about $07^{\mathrm{h}} 52^{\mathrm{m}} 00^{\mathrm{s}}$. The $E-W$ component traces are noisy but the trough at $07^{\mathrm{h}}$ $52^{\mathrm{m}} 00^{8}$ on the $Z$ component lines up with the upward zero crossing on the $E-W$ component (the vertical and longitudinal components are $90^{\circ}$ out of phase) and


Fig. 7. Horizontal particle amplitude profiles with depth for the $M_{12}$ mode for the Guten-berg-Birch II model. Note distortions associated with horizontal amplitude curves when uncoupling occurs.
indicates retrograde Rayleigh motion for this arrival. As a rule, however, unless the azimuth of approach is such that $G$ wave motion (Love wave motion) does not contaminate the longitudinal component it is usually not possible to verify the orbital motion for Rayleigh waves in the simple visual manner. Rotation of axes can, of course, be used to generate longitudinal and transverse component seismograms of the arrival under study.

Figure 10 shows filtered vertical and longitudinal component seismograms of the Banda Sea shock described previously. A group velocity window ranging from 4.58

## G



SANTA CRUZ IS. 2 JAN. 1961
OT. 10 h 11 m 56.9 s
$\Delta=9476 \mathrm{~km}$
$\mathrm{h}=161 \mathrm{~km} ; M=63 / 4$
Azimuth to Pasadena $251^{\circ}$
Fig. 8. Pasadena long-period seismograms ( $\mathrm{T}_{0}=30 \mathrm{sec} ., \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}=90 \mathrm{sec}$.) of the Santa Cruz Islands shock of 2 Jan 1961 showing transient arrival on $Z$ component at same time as G wave.
$\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$ to $4.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ was taken and band pass filtering in the period range of 22.5 to 27.5 seconds. Rotation of the station axes was not attempted and the vertical component and the longitudinal component are not exactly $90^{\circ}$ out of phase but retrograde elliptical motion can be seen in the interval of $4.47 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ to 4.40 km / sec.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BANDA SEA } 14 \mathrm{FEB} 1963 \\
& \text { O.T. O7-04-40.8 } \\
& \Delta=113.5^{\circ} ; 12,639 \mathrm{~km} \\
& \mathrm{~h}=197 \mathrm{~km} ; \mathrm{M}=6 \mathrm{l} / 2 \\
& \text { Azimuth to Pas. } 277^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 9. Pasadena long-period seismograms ( $\mathrm{T}_{0}=30 \mathrm{sec} ., \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}=90 \mathrm{sec}$.) of Banda Sea shock of 14 Feb 1963.

Pasadena seismograms for 70 earthquakes of magnitude 6 or greater having their epicenters in the western Pacific were initially examined in search of this phase. Most all of the seismograms examined had recorded the familiar $G$ wave arrival on the horizontal components. Most of the shocks studied which had a surface focus given by the USCGS or BCIS had no arrival which could be detected on the vertical component seismograms at the same time as the $G$ wave on the horizontal com-
ponent seismograms. An arrival could quite commonly be found on the vertical component seismogram arriving almost or nearly simultaneously with the $G$ wave, for shocks of magnitude 6 or greater having focal depths ranging from 100 to 200 kilometers.


Fig. 10. Filtered seismogram of Banda Sea shock of 14 Feb 1963 showing retrograde elliptical motion in interval 4.47 to $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$.

TABLE 6
Earthquakes in the Pacific Ocean Used to Obtain Dispersion Data to Pasadena

| Date | Origin Time | Location |  | Magnitude | $\Delta^{* 0}$ | Depth (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 Dec. 1957 | 13 h 50 m 12 s | $12 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $166 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}$ | $7 \frac{3}{4}$ | 8.5 .1 | 100 |
| 23 Feb. 1960 | $16 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{04m} \mathrm{50s}$ | 6 S | $154 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}$ | 6-61 ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 91.1 |  |
| 29 July 1960 | 00 h 24 m 06 s | $19 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $170 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}$ | $6 \frac{1}{2}-6 \frac{3}{4}$ | 86.3 |  |
| 2 Aug. 1960 | 05 h 07 m 22.1 s | 22.2 S | 171.5E | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 87.2 | 108 |
| 22 Oct. 1960 | 08 h 22 m 00.9 s | 10.3 S | 161.2E | $6 \frac{3}{4}-7$ | 88 | 93 |
| 2 Jan. 1961 | 10 h 11 m 56.9 s | 12.4 S | 166.4E | $6 \frac{3}{4}$ | 85.1 | 161 |
| 5 Jan. 1961 | 17 h 57 m 56.6 s | 21.2 S | 169.3E | $6 \frac{3}{4}$ | 88.2 | 123 |
| 14 Aug. 1961 | 23 h 28 m 46.5 s | 20.3 S | 169.4E | $6 \frac{1}{4}$ | 87.6 | 97 |
| 17 Aug. 1961 | 21h 16 m 30 s | 46.3 N | 149.3 E | $6 \frac{3}{4}$ | 67.8 | 186 |
| 31 May 1962 | 06 h 28 m 26.2 s | 22.1 N | 142.6E | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 85.1 | 257 |
| 11 Aug. 1962 | 08h 15 m .44 s | 25.2 N | 123.3E | 6 | 97 | 140 |
| 14 Feb. 1963 | 07h 04m 40.8s | 7.2 S | 128.2E | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 113.5 | 197 |
| 16 Mar. 1963 | 08h 44m 48.3s | $46.5 N$ | 154.7E | 7 | 64.4 | 26 |

Dispersion data from the shocks listed in table 6 are compared in figure 11 with theoretical higher mode curves for two oceanic models, Case 122 and CIT 11A. Case 122 is a slight modification of Case 8099 of Dorman, Ewing and Oliver (1960). CIT 11A is a model, derived by successive approximations, which is compatible with oceanic mantle Love wave data (Anderson and Toksöz, 1963; Anderson et al., 1963). Layer parameters for the higher mode calculations of CIT 11A are given in table 7. By analogy with the calculations presented for a Gutenberg type model, it is
assumed that for the period range under discussion the earth-flattening approximation is in close agreement with the exact spherical solution.

The $M_{21}$ mode curve for the CIT 11A model rises steeply at a period of about 12 seconds to a velocity of about $4.35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 15 seconds and has a broad maximum of $4.41 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ centered at 30 seconds period. This dispersion curve thus predicts a pulse like arrival of 20 to 40 seconds period arriving at a group velocity of about $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. It is, therefore, believed that the $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ arrival on the vertical instruments has been propagated as the $M_{21}$ mode, i.e., the first higher Rayleigh mode. The $M_{21}$, the $M_{12}$ and the $M_{22}$ mode curves overlap in the period range from 11 to 15 seconds making mode separation and identification difficult in this period range. The $M_{12}$ mode curve has a group velocity maximum of $4.49 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 16


Fig. 11. Dispersion data compared with higher mode Rayleigh wave dispersion curves for two oceanic models.
seconds period and the $M_{22}$ mode curve a maximum of $4.37 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 15 seconds period.
The oceanic model Case 122 surprisingly does not have a similar group velocity maximum for the $M_{21}$ mode. This group velocity curve rises to a slight maximum of $4.31 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 14 seconds and then decreases to a broad minimum of $4.21 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ at about 28 seconds period. The $M_{12}$ mode curve for Case 122 also does not have the necessary shape and/or velocity to predict a $4.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ pulse-like arrival. It is clear that the data favor the CIT 11A model over the Case 122 model.

Before discussing the implications of these data let us first summarize the arguments for believing that this arrival is a higher mode Rayleigh wave. (1) For the epicentral distances studied, 64 to 114 degrees, no multiple body wave arrivals could be found that were appropriate in arrival time. (2) The arrival on the vertical
component seismograms could quite commonly be found for shocks having focal depths in the range of 100 to 200 km , the depth range where 20 to 40 second $M_{21}$ mode waves have their maximums in the vertical amplitude profiles. (3) The period and group velocity are in agreement with the theoretical dispersion curve for the $M_{21}$ mode for the CIT 11A model. (4) When separation can be made, the arrival exhibits retrograde elliptical motion.
Vertical displacements with depth for the $M_{21}$ mode for the CIT $11 A$ model are presented in figure 12 together with a comparison of the velocity distributions for

TABLE 7

| RAYLEIGH WAVE DISPERSION | CRUSTAL MOD OF CIT 11A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | ALPHA | BETA | RHO |
| 5.00 | 1.5200 | .0000 | 1.0300 |
| .50 | 2.0000 | .8000 | 1.9000 |
| 2.00 | 4.8000 | 2.8800 | 2.5400 |
| 5.00 | 6.4100 | 3.7000 | 2.9000 |
| 9.00 | 8.1000 | 4.6000 | 3.3200 |
| 5.00 | 8.1200 | 4.6110 | 3.3250 |
| 5.00 | 8.1200 | 4.6110 | 3.3300 |
| 10.00 | 8.1200 | 4.6090 | 3.3350 |
| 20.00 | 7.9600 | 4.5600 | 3.3500 |
| 20.00 | 7.7500 | 4.4490 | 3.3750 |
| 20.00 | 7.7000 | 4.3390 | 3.3900 |
| 20.00 | 7.7000 | 4.3400 | 3.4100 |
| 20.00 | 7.7000 | 4.3400 | 3.4250 |
| 20.00 | 7.8500 | 4.3400 | 3.4400 |
| 20.00 | 7.9500 | 4.5000 | 3.4600 |
| 20.00 | 8.0500 | 4.5000 | 3.4750 |
| 20.00 | 8.1500 | 4.5000 | 3.4900 |
| 20.00 | 8.2500 | 4.5000 | 3.5100 |
| 20.00 | 8.3500 | 4.5000 | 3.5250 |
| 20.00 | 8.4500 | 4.5000 | 3.5400 |
| 20.00 | 8.5600 | 4.5000 | 3.5600 |
| 20.00 | 8.6700 | 4.5000 | 3.5700 |
| 20.00 | 8.7800 | 4.5000 | 3.5900 |
| 20.00 | 8.8900 | 4.5000 | 3.6050 |
| 40.00 | 9.1100 | 4.8000 | 3.6300 |
| S-INF | 9.3000 | 5.0400 | 3.6900 |

Case 122 and CIT 11A. Case 122 ( 8099 mantle) has an abrupt and sharp lowvelocity zone having a velocity of $4.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ in the depth interval from about 70 to about 220 km and then the velocity increases gradually with depth. On the other hand, CIT 11A has a more gradual reversal into the low-velocity zone, a slightly higher velocity in the upper channel, and a constant velocity of $4.55 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ in the depth interval of 160 to 360 kilometers. The low-velocity zone extends to a greater depth than in Case 122 and then the velocity increases rather sharply in the interval of 360 to 440 kilometers.

The 20 to 40 second higher mode data that we have been considering are primarily
controlled by the velocity parameters in the depth interval from about 150 to 270 kilometers. The agreement of the observed data with the model CIT 11A, therefore, would seem to indicate that for the long oceanic paths considered, the low-velocity zone extends to greater depths than is indicated by Case 8099 or any other proposed mantle structure. In addition, the shear velocity increases rather sharply in the depth interval of 360 to 440 kilometers. These features do not violate body wave data.


Fig. 12. Shear velocity distribution for the oceanic models CIT 11A and 8099 and vertical amplitude-depth profiles for the $\mathbf{M}_{21}$ mode for the model CIT 11A.

## Summary and Conclusions

The broad plateaus and the shallow and sharp minimums in the higher Love mode group velocity curves can explain many of the observed characteristics of the $L g, L i$ and $S a$ phases.

The effect of sphericity on the higher Rayleigh modes has been found to be of great importance and to be dependent on the model under consideration. In general, the earth-flattening approximation is satisfactory for wave periods less than 25 seconds.

Calculations of the displacements with depth for the higher Rayleigh modes show a similar behavior to the higher Love modes. An effective channel wave results when the phase velocity is approximately the same as the shear velocity of the 'lid' of the low-velocity zone.

Regional variations in the velocity of $S a$ should be observed and are diagnostic of details of the upper mantle. $S a$ should have a higher velocity across shield areas compared to normal continental areas and $S a$ should have a higher velocity across continental areas than oceanic areas.

Higher mode Rayleigh wave data in the period range of 20 to 50 seconds have been observed for long oceanic paths to Pasadena and agree well with the theoretical model CIT 11A.

The model CIT 11A indicates that under the Pacific Ocean the low-velocity zone extends to greater depths ( 360 km ) than previously indicated by the Case 8099 of Dorman, Ewing, and Oliver (1960) and that the shear velocity must increase rather abruptly in the depth interval of 360 to 440 kilometers.
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