## RESEARCH



# Higher overall admittance of immigrants to specialised palliative care in Denmark: a nationwide register-based study of 99,624 patients with cancer

 $\label{eq:matrix} Mathilde\ Adsersen^1 \cdot Lau\ Caspar\ Thygesen^2 \cdot Maria\ Kristiansen^3 \cdot Maiken\ Bang\ Hansen^1 \cdot Mette\ Asbjoern\ Neergaard^4 \cdot Morten\ Aagaard\ Petersen^1 \cdot Mogens\ Groenvold^{1,5}$ 

Received: 23 August 2022 / Accepted: 16 January 2023 / Published online: 25 January 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

# Abstract

**Background** The population of immigrants in Europe is ageing. Accordingly, the number of immigrants with life-threatening diseases and need for specialised palliative care will increase. In Europe, immigrants' admittance to specialised palliative care is not well explored.

**Aim** To investigate whether country of origin was associated with admittance to (I) palliative care team/unit, (II) hospice, and/or (III) specialised palliative care, overall (i.e. palliative care team/unit and/or hospice).

**Design** Data sources for the population cohort study were the Danish Palliative Care Database and several nationwide registers. We investigated the associations between country of origin and admittance to specialised palliative care, overall, and to type of palliative care using logistic regression analyses.

Setting/participants.

In 2010–2016, 104,775 cancer patients died in Denmark: 96% were born in Denmark, 2% in other Western countries, and 2% in non-Western countries.

**Results** Overall admittance to specialised palliative care was higher for immigrants from other Western (OR = 1.13; 95%CI: 1.03–1.24) and non-Western countries (OR = 1.22; 95%CI: 1.08–1.37) than for the majority population. Similar results were found for admittance to palliative care teams. No difference in admittance to hospice was found for immigrants from other Western countries (OR = 1.04; 95%CI: 0.93–1.16) compared to the majority population, while lower admittance was found for non-Western immigrants (OR = 0.70; 95%CI: 0.60–0.81).

**Conclusion** Admittance to specialised palliative care was higher for immigrants than for the majority population as higher admittance to palliative care teams for non-Western immigrants more than compensated for the lower hospice admittance. This may reflect a combination of larger needs and that hospital-based and home-based services are perceived as preferable by immigrants.

Keywords Immigrants · Specialised palliative care · Nationwide register · Cancer

Mathilde Adsersen mathilde.adsersen@regionh.dk

- <sup>1</sup> Palliative Care Research Unit, Department of Geriatrics and Palliative Medicine, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Bispebjerg Hospital 20D, Bispebjerg Bakke 23B, 2400 Copenhagen, NV, Denmark
- <sup>2</sup> National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Public Health & Center for Healthy Aging, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- <sup>4</sup> Palliative Care Team, Department of Oncology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

# Introduction

Ethnic minorities' access to health care has come under increasing scrutiny during the last few years [1, 2]. Higher mortality from COVID-19 and inequality in palliative care have been found for ethnic minority groups during the COVID-19 pandemic [1, 3, 4]. Furthermore, there have been movements like Black Lives Matter [2]. In European countries, the population of immigrants (i.e. refugees and migrants who at a point in their lives have migrated to another country) is ageing [5]. Accordingly, the number of immigrants with chronic and life-threatening diseases and a need for specialised palliative care will increase.

Specialised palliative care has been found to have positive effects on patients, e.g. higher quality of life and lower symptom burden, and some studies have even found longer survival [6, 7]. Specialised palliative care for immigrants has been studied mainly in the USA in relation to hospice admittance [8, 9], whereas it has rarely been studied in a European context and to our knowledge never in a nationwide study. The only European study investigating admittance to palliative care teams was a small Danish study which found higher admittance for immigrants and descendants compared to the majority population [10]. However, the majority of the European studies investigating admittance to specialised palliative care overall (including different specialised palliative care services) or specifically to hospice found lower admittance for ethnic minorities compared to the majority population [11-15], except for a Scottish study where no differences were found [16]. Thus, the previous European studies found lower admittance to hospice, but higher admittance to palliative care teams for minorities than for the majority population.

Likewise, we have previously found that the association between different factors and admittance to specialised palliative care was dependent on the type of service, e.g. we found higher admittance to hospice for women, and lower admittance to palliative care teams, but higher admittance to hospice for patients living alone [17, 18]. Furthermore, a white paper from the National Institute on Aging (USA) points out that a priority for future research should be to investigate disparities in settings other than hospice [8]. Therefore, besides investigating possible differences for immigrants compared to the majority population regarding admittance to specialised palliative care overall, it also seems central to also investigate admittance to specific types of units.

In Denmark, we have unique nationwide high-quality registers that include data on admittance to palliative care teams/units and hospice [19]. The high completeness of the registers makes them ideal repositories of information to address whether the country of origin of patients with cancer is associated with admittance to (I) hospital-based palliative care team/unit, (II) hospice, and/or (III) specialised palliative care, i.e. hospital-based palliative care team/unit and/or hospice.

# **Methods and materials**

## Setting

Of Denmark's 5.8 million inhabitants [20], approximately 10% are immigrants, and around 40,000 of these immigrants are 60 + years old [21]. More than fifty percent (58%) of the immigrants are from non-Western countries [22].

The vast majority of Danish health care, including specialised palliative care, is tax-financed. Specialised palliative care is provided by multidisciplinary teams at hospitals (2016, N=24) and free-standing hospices (2016, N=19). Hospital-based palliative care teams/units mainly provide palliative care for outpatients, while most patients at hospices are inpatients. The Danish-specialised palliative care capacity is half the size recommended by the European Association for Palliative Care [23–25].

#### Data sources

The study is based on a population cohort design, and the data sources for this study were the nationwide database, the Danish Palliative Care Database [19], and the nationwide registers, the Danish Register of Causes of Death [26], Cancer Registry [27], Danish Civil Registration System [28], Population's Education Register [29], and the Income Statistics Register [30] (Table 1). The completeness of the registers is close to 100%, e.g. 99% for the Danish Palliative Care Database and Danish

Table 1 The registers and related data used in the study

Register	Variables
Danish Palliative Care Database	Admittance to specialised pallia- tive care overall Admittance to hospice Admittance to palliative care team/unit
Danish Register of Causes of Death	Cause of death (cancer diagnosis)
Cancer Registry	Cancer diagnosis
Danish Civil Registration System	Sex Age Geographic region Cohabitation status Country of origin
Population's Education Register	Formal education
the Income Statistics Register	Income

Register of Causes of Death [19, 26]. The completeness of the Population's Education Register is high for Danish-born (97%), but slightly lower for immigrants (85–90% for birth cohorts 1945–1990) because education acquired outside Denmark is not automatically included in the register [29].

# **Study population**

Persons 18 + years old who died from cancer from 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2016 were identified in the Danish Register of Causes of Death. Cancer registration in the Danish Register of Causes of Death was compared with the Cancer Registry [27]. Persons who also had a cancer registration in the Cancer Registry were included. Individuals were excluded if there was missing data on country of origin, geographic region, cohabitation status, and income (Fig. 1).

# Variables

### Outcome

Admittance to specialised palliative care was defined as being an out- or inpatient in a specialised palliative care unit or having a specialised palliative care consultation while being an inpatient in a non-specialised palliative care department.

Admittance to specialised palliative care was measured as:

Overall admittance to specialised palliative care: admitted to hospital-based palliative care team/unit and/or hospice.

Type-specific admission:

Admitted to hospital-based palliative care team/unit (mainly outpatients)

Admitted to hospice (mainly inpatients)

Patients admitted to both types of institutions will be included in both groups.

## Exposure

Persons were categorised by country of origin (categories according to official terminology in Statistics Denmark):

- Majority population: persons born in Denmark or persons—regardless of place of birth—where at least one parent is a Danish citizen and also born in Denmark
- Immigrants from Western countries including the 26 EU countries, UK, Andorra, Iceland, Lichtenstein, Monaco, Norway, San Marino, Schweiz, Vatican State, Canada, USA, Australia, and New Zealand
- Immigrants from non-Western countries (all other countries)

## Other variables

Confounding variables: sex; age; cancer diagnosis (ICD-10) (with a few exceptions, corresponding to the main groups in the Cancer Registry); geographic region; cohabitation



status (cohabiting, married but not living together, divorced, widow/widower, never married) [18]; education level (lower secondary school, skilled worker, short theoretical (1-2 years after Certificate of Upper secondary School leaving Examination, e.g. business academy), long theoretical (3-4 years after Certificate of Upper secondary School leaving Examination, e.g. university college), academic (5 + years after Certificate of Upper secondary School leaving Examination, e.g. university) [31, 32]); family equalised disposable income (is the household disposable income divided by the number of members of the household converted into equalised adults) divided into quartiles  $(Q1: \le 21,029 \text{ EUR/year}, Q2: > 21,029-24,785 \text{ EUR/year},$ Q3: > 24,785–32,842 EUR/year, Q4: > 32,842 EUR/year); and year of death (2010-2016). Geographic region, cohabitation status income, and formal education were assessed the year before death.

## **Statistical analyses**

Country of origin (majority population, immigrants from Western or non-Western countries) was tabulated according to the confounders. The association between country of origin and admittance to specialised palliative care, overall and type-specific, was investigated using unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analyses including all the confounders (sex, age, cancer diagnosis, geographic region, cohabitation status, formal education, income, and year of death).

Sensitivity analyses were conducted in the logistic regression analyses including patients with missing data on education level in their own group and in the group of persons with the lowest and highest education level, respectively.

The results were reported as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The analyses were run in SAS statistical software (9.4).

## Results

In the study period, 104,775 individuals died from cancer (Fig. 1). The descriptive results showed a markedly lower mean age (64 vs 73 years), a higher proportion of digestive cancers, and a lower proportion of prostate cancer among immigrants from non-Western countries compared to the majority population (Table 2). A markedly higher proportion of immigrants were living in the Capital Region of Denmark than was the case for the majority population (Western countries 42% and non-Western countries 50% vs 27% majority population). Immigrants from non-Western countries were more often cohabiting and less often widows/widowers than

the majority population. A higher proportion of immigrants from other Western and non-Western countries had a long theoretical and academic education, e.g. 21% of immigrants from other Western and 19% from non-Western countries had a long theoretical education compared with the majority population (12%). A higher proportion of immigrants, particularly from non-Western countries, had missing data about education (4% of the majority population, 14% from Western countries, 23% from non-Western countries). Fifty-four percent of the immigrants from non-Western countries were in the lowest income quartile and 12% were in the highest compared with 24% and 25% of the majority population. The proportion dying from cancer in the study period increased for patients from non-Western countries (12–18%) (Table 2).

In the logistic regression analyses, 99,624 patients were included (excluding 4987 patients (5%) with missing values on education level). Compared to the included population, the excluded population had a higher proportion of patients from other Western countries (2% vs 7%) and from non-Western countries (1% vs 8%). Furthermore, the excluded group of patients was older (84 vs 72 years old), a lower proportion had cancer in the respiratory system (14% vs 24%), was more often widow/widower (50% vs 23%), was in the lowest income quartile (39% vs 24%), and the year of death was more often 2010 and 2011 (19–20% vs. 14%).

In the unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analysis, the odds of overall admittance to specialised palliative care were found to be higher for immigrants (from other Western and non-Western countries) than for the majority population, highest for patients from non-Western countries (adjusted OR = 1.22 [95%CI; 1.08–1.37]). Analysis of type-specific admittance showed that immigrants (from other Western and non-Western countries) had higher admittance to a hospital-based palliative care team/unit than the majority population, and non-Western immigrants had lower admittance to hospice (OR = 0.70[95%CI; 0.60–0.81]) (Table 3).

The results from the sensitivity analyses including those with missing data on the educational level in one group, as having lower secondary school or an academic education, respectively, did not influence the conclusion (Table 4). The biggest difference was seen for admittance to the palliative care team/unit for patients from non-Western countries (OR differed between = 1.54-1.69 (included missing data on education in a separate group).

# Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate whether the country of origin was associated with admittance to specialised palliative care overall and to type-specific specialised

## Table 2 Characteristics of the study population in relation to country of origin

			Country of origin						
Tadi population (%)04.61 (00)04.58 (%)237 (%)123 (47)93 (5)Six, (%)24.060 (47)7.662 (47)123 (47)931 (5)Feade7.662 (47)7.622 (47)7.127.12Six (50)307 (4)388 (3)7.662 (47)25 (9)Six (50)307 (4)388 (3)22 (3)32 (3)Six (50)10.04 (10)947 (9)25 (9)32 (3)Six (50)3.53 (3)3.54 (3)32 (4)32 (3)Six (50)3.53 (3)3.54 (3)31 (4)30 (18)Six (50)1.53 (3)3.062 (3)31 (4)30 (18)Six (51)8.25 (2)1.240 (12)21 (11)12 (10)Digosis (sancer (51), (%)1.353 (13)1.320 (13)31 (4)30 (18)Six (51)8.72 (2)2.240 (12)21 (11)12 (10)22 (11)Colorect (15) 1 & 62 (2+5)1.353 (12)1.307 (11)12 (10)22 (11)Six (51)6.63 (11)6.71 (1)12 (11)22 (11)Colorect (16) (15) 1 & 62 (2+5)1.537 (12)1.307 (11)12 (11)12 (11)Six (51)6.64 (11)1.357 (11)1.317 (11)13 (13)1.717 (11)Meanon skin caser (63)6.63 (11)1.707 (11)13 (13)1.767 (11)13 (13)1.757 (11)Fenate goin regular (53 55 4 C5 C 570 C57)1.857 (51)1.207 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11)1.367 (11) </th <th></th> <th>Total</th> <th>Majority population</th> <th>Immigrants from Western countries</th> <th>Immigrants from non- Western countries</th>		Total	Majority population	Immigrants from Western countries	Immigrants from non- Western countries				
Sec. n (%)S4.951 (S3)S2.896 (S3)1124 (47)931 (S6)Panla47.662 (A7)1224 (S3)744 (A4)Renna3007 (A)3488 (S)101 (A)218 (IS)Mean (SD) <sup>P</sup> 3007 (A)3488 (S)101 (A)218 (IS)18-49, n (%)10.094 (ID)9477 (9)225 (9)392 (23)50-5035.533 (G2)22.364 (G2)75 (G2)413 (25)06-6035.533 (G2)22.364 (G2)75 (G2)413 (25)07 (P)315 (B)30.662 (J0)64 (G2)37 (G2)07 (Cal (Cal (Cal (Cal (Cal (Cal (Cal (Cal	Total population, <i>n</i> (%)	104,611 (100)	100,558 (96)	2378 (2)	1675 (2)				
Male49,660 (47)47,662 (47)124 (33)741 (44)Age (perus)73 (12)73 (12)73 (12)72 (12)64 (13)Mean (SD)*3807 (4)3488 (3)101 (4)138 (13)18-49, n (%)100.044 (10)9477 (9)225 (9)392 (23)50-5925 (599 (25)24,567 (24)654 (28)438 (26)60-6933,533 (23)32,564 (23)756 (32)413 (25)70-7931,518 (30)30,662 (20)64 (27)114 (13)80 +12,852 (12)234 (12)64 (13)307 (2)10 perus (system (sec), (C0-C14)13,837 (13)13,203 (13)331 (14)301 (18)10 perus (system (C3-34)1487 (2)1387 (12)23 (1)17 (1)10 perus (system (C3-34)681 (1)647 (1)12 (1)12 (1)10 perus (system (C3-34)681 (1)647 (1)12 (1)21 (1)10 max (star (C5-C4)458 (5)7910 (8)157 (7)68 (4)10 max (star (C5-C4)459 (5)7910 (8)157 (7)68 (4)10 max (star (C64-C67)33 (13)971 (8)157 (7)68 (4)10 max (star (C64-C67)33 (2)23 (2)13 (1)17 (1)10 max (star (C64-C67)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)131 (13)177 (1)10 max (star (C64-C67)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)131 (13)177 (1)10 max (star (C64-C67)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)131 (13)177 (1)10 max (star (C64-C67)23 (14)<	Sex, <i>n</i> (%)	54,951 (53)	52,896 (53)	1124 (47)	931 (56)				
FamaleAge (yars)71 (12)73 (12)72 (12)64 (13)Man (SDP)3007 (4)448 (3)101 (4)218 (13)18 -49, n (5)25 (69)25 (69)25 (69)25 (69)50 5925 (59)25 (50)25 (60)64 (23)75 (32)50 5931,518 (3)30,662 (30)64 (23)75 (32)13 (13)70 - 7031,518 (3)30,662 (30)64 (3)77 (2)00 a (avi), msopharyngal (ac) (OC) (14)13,537 (13)13,205 (13)331 (14)101 (18)Digensis (cameer site), n (%)245 (27)23 (24) (24)249 (12)271 (11)172 (10)Oral aviy, msopharyngal (ac) (OC) (14)13,537 (13)13,205 (13)31 (14)101 (18)Digensiv system (C15-17 A C22 + 25)12,852 (12)2490 (12)23 (11)17 (11)Aleanoma skin cameer (C43)661 (1)677 (1)12 (1)21 (1)21 (1)Saccoma (C46-C40)826 (8)790 (8)137 (1)12 (1)21 (1)Saccoma (C46-C40)826 (8)1700 (8)134 (13)17 (1)15 (7)Femate (C51)654 (55)55.65 (55) (55) (55)5268 (5)116 (5)75 (5)Urante (16)12.65 (1)136 (1)15 (7)15 (7)15 (7)Satic/XS (XC) (XC) (XC) (XC) (XC) (XC) (XC) (XC)	Male	49,660 (47)	47,662 (47)	1254 (53)	744 (44)				
Age (vers)73 (12)73 (12)72 (12)64 (13)Barl, Ar, (%)10,094 (10)4488 (3)101 (4)218 (13)18-40, r. (%)10,094 (10)447 (70)225 (9)392 (23)50-5023,553 (23)23,563 (23)756 (32)413 (25)50-7033,533 (23)23,664 (23)756 (32)413 (25)80+Bigenis (neer site), r. (%)242 (2)234 (2)64 (3)37 (2)Oral cavity, nasopharyngael (ec.) (C0-C14)13,837 (13)13,203 (13)331 (14)301 (18)Digestive system (C3-14)1867 (2)1827 (2)23 (1)17 (1)172 (10)Colorectil (C15-C20)24,887 (4)2333 (24)566 (24)388 (23)Reprintory system (C3-34)1867 (2)1827 (2)23 (1)17 (1)Melmom skin carcer (C43)681 (1)647 (1)12 (1)22 (1)Saccam (C4-C49)8265 (8)7970 (8)17 (7)71 (1)Renat (C50)457 (5)528 (5)114 (5)75 (5)Uinary tract (C64-C67)3418 (3)149 (3)14 (4)76 (5)Uinary tract (C64-C67)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)13 (13)177 (1)Uinary tract (C64-C67)25,175 (53)2597 (53)54 (1)20 (16)Uinary tract (C64-C67)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)13 (13)177 (11)Uinary tract (C64-C67)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)13 (13)177 (11)Uinary tract (C64-C67)25,175 (53)<	Female								
Mean (SD) <sup>P</sup> 3807 (4)         3488 (3)         10 (4)         218 (13)           50-50         25,659 (25)         24,507 (24)         654 (23)         338 (26)           50-50         35,353 (23)         23,644 (22)         756 (32)         21,41 (3)           70-79         31,518 (20)         30,662 (26)         64 (27)         21,41 (3)           00-10 caivity, ansopharynegal (ec), (200-C14)         13,837 (13)         13,205 (13)         31,11 (4)         301 (18)           00-10 caivity, ansopharynegal (ec), (200-C14)         13,837 (13)         13,205 (13)         31,14 (4)         301 (18)           Digensity system (C15-71 A (22 + 25)         12,887 (24)         23,931 (24)         566 (24)         388 (23)           Respiratory system (C35-34)         1867 (2)         1827 (2)         23 (1)         17 (1)           Saccona (C46 - C49)         826 (8)         1910 (8)         11 (9)         14 (9)           Breasil (C50)         526 (C, 570-C574)         819 (8)         13 (14)         124 (5)         94 (6)           Finaric XS (C70-C571)         318 (3)         3149 (3)         114 (6)         75 (5)           Finaric XS (C70-C571)         318 (3)         3149 (3)         114 (3)         76 (7)           Finaria (C50-C71)	Age (years)	73 (12)	73 (12)	72 (12)	64 (13)				
18       49, n(%)       10,094 (10)       9477 (9)       25 (9)       325 (23)         60-60       33,533 (32)       32,564 (23)       75 (32)       413 (25)         70-70       31,518 (30)       30,662 (20)       64 (27)       214 (13)         80+       -       -       -       -       -         Diagnois (accurre site), n (%)       245 (2)       224 (2)       64 (3)       37 (2)         Oral cavity, nacopharyngeal (acc.) (C00-C14)       13,387 (13)       13,205 (13)       331 (14)       30 (18)         Digestive system (C33-43)       1867 (2)       12,852 (12)       12,409 (12)       22 (1)       17 (1)         Colorectal (C18-C20)       24,857 (36)       23,933 (24)       566 (24)       388 (23)         Sexpinatory system (C33-43)       681 (1)       647 (1)       12 (1)       17 (1)         Melanoma skin cancer (C43)       681 (1)       647 (1)       12 (1)       12 (1)         Sextoma (C46-C57)       454 (6)       431 (4)       12 (5)       94 (6)         Sextoma (C46-C67)       5318 (3)       349 (3)       91 (4)       78 (5)         Brain CNS (C70-C71, C73)*       649 (6)       6216 (6)       158 (7)       125 (7)         Unshave matopoleitic insac (C4-C67) </td <td>Mean (SD)<sup>n</sup></td> <td>3807 (4)</td> <td>3488 (3)</td> <td>101 (4)</td> <td>218 (13)</td>	Mean (SD) <sup>n</sup>	3807 (4)	3488 (3)	101 (4)	218 (13)				
59.9       25.69       24.507 (24)       654 (28)       438 (26)         96-00       31.518 (30)       30.662 (26)       642 (27)       214 (13)         Diagrois (cancer site), n (%)       2425 (2)       22.44 (2)       64 (3)       37 (2)         Onal cavity, neophyngeal (etc.) (OD C14)       13.837 (13)       13.205 (13)       331 (14)       301 (18)         Digestive system (C15-17 A (22 + 25)       12.882 (12)       12.409 (12)       271 (11)       172 (10)         Coloretal (C15-47 A (22 + 25)       12.887 (24)       23.931 (24)       566 (24)       388 (23)         Respiratory system (C35-34)       1867 (2)       1827 (2)       23 (1)       171 (1)         Saccona (C46C49)       826 (8)       7910 (8)       211 (9)       144 (9)         Breast (C50)       456 (5)       114 (5)       75 (5)       171 (1)         Saccona (C46C49)       838 (3)       3149 (3)       91 (4)       78 (5)         Breast (C50)       C16 (5)       5268 (5)       114 (5)       75 (5)         Urnay tract (C6-12 (75) - (75 (7))       318 (3)       3149 (3)       91 (4)       78 (5)         Brain(CSN (C70-C7)       318 (3)       3149 (3)       91 (4)       78 (5)         Brain(CSN (C70-C1, C1, C1, C1, C1, C3)<	18–49, <i>n</i> (%)	10,094 (10)	9477 (9)	225 (9)	392 (23)				
60-69         33.533 (32)         32.364 (32)         76 (32)         413 (25)           80+         7	50-59	25,659 (25)	24,567 (24)	654 (28)	438 (26)				
01-79         31,518 (.00)         30,062 (.30)         64 (.27)         214 (1.3)           Diagnois (camcer site), n (%)         245 (.2)         2324 (.2)         64 (.3)         37 (.2)           Ond cavity, mospharyngal (ec.) (C00-C14)         13,337 (.3)         13,205 (.13)         331 (.14)         301 (.18)           Digestive system (C15-17 & C22 + 2.5)         12,832 (.12)         12,409 (.12)         271 (.1)         172 (.10)           Colorectal (C18-C20)         24,887 (.24)         23,931 (.4)         124 (.5)         388 (.23)           Respiratory system (C32-24)         1867 (.2)         1827 (.2)         231 (.1)         171 (.1)           Saccoma (C46-C49)         826 (.8)         7910 (.8)         17 (.7)         68 (.4)           Prostate (C51)         538 (.6)         144 (.5)         75 (.5)           Urinary tract (C4-C67)         331 8 (.3)         3149 (.3)         91 (.4)         78 (.5)           Urinary tract (C4-C67)         331 8 (.3)         3149 (.3)         91 (.4)         78 (.5)           Urinary tract (C4-C67)         331 8 (.3)         3149 (.3)         91 (.4)         78 (.5)           Urinary tract (C4-C67)         331 8 (.3)         1340 (.3)         17 (.57 (.7)         17 (.17 (.17 (.17))         133 (.1)         17 (.17 (.17 (.17)	60–69	33,533 (32)	32,364 (32)	756 (32)	413 (25)				
Derive         Diagnois (camer site), $n$ (%)         2425 (2)         2324 (2)         64 (3)         37 (2)           Oral exity, nasopharyngal (etc.) (C00–C14)         13,387 (13)         13,205 (13)         331 (14)         301 (18)           Digesive system (C32–34)         24,887 (24)         23,933 (24)         566 (24)         388 (23)           Respiratory system (C32–34)         1867 (2)         187 (2)         23 (1)         17 (1)           Melanona skin cancer (C43)         681 (1)         647 (1)         12 (1)         22 (1)           Saccoma (C46–C49)         8265 (8)         7910 (8)         211 (9)         144 (9)           Breads ential organs (C53–58 & C56, C570–C574)         8195 (8)         7970 (8)         157 (7)         68 (4)           Prostate (C61)         158 (7)         126 (6)         158 (7)         125 (7)           Urinary tract (C64–C67)         318 (3)         314 (3)         149 (3)         144 (5)         97 (5)           Unknown or other cancer (all other C codes)         Geographic region, $n$ (%)         17,67 (17)         17,167 (17)         313 (13)         177 (11)           Region of Souther mark Region         22,543 (23)         2361 (23)         2361 (23)         2361 (23)           Codo-Si         Urinarut (C64–C67)         35	/0-/9	31,518 (30)	30,662 (30)	642 (27)	214 (13)				
Diagnosis (calaber site), $n(*)$ (b) 245 (2) 254 (2) 04) (3) 31 (14) 30 (18) Digestive system (C15-17 & C22+25) 12,852 (12) 12,409 (12) 271 (11) 172 (10) Contrectal (C18-C20) 24,887 (24) 23,93 (24) 566 (24) 388 (23) Respiratory system (C32-24) 1867 (2) 1827 (2) 23 (1) 171 (1) Melanon skin cancer (C43) 661 (1) 647 (1) 12 (1) 22 (1) 22 (1) Saccona (C46-C49) 8265 (8) 7910 (8) 211 (9) 144 (9) Breast (C50) 4549 (4) 4331 (4) 124 (5) 94 (6) Fremale genital organs (C33-55 & C56, C570-C574) 8195 (8) 7970 (8) 157 (7) 68 (4) Prostate (C61) 5457 (5) 5268 (5) 114 (5) 75 (5) Urinary tract (C54-C67) 3318 (3) 3149 (3) 91 (4) 78 (5) Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)* 6499 (6) 6216 (6) 158 (7) 125 (7) Lymphoid & haematopoint: tissue (C81-88 & 11,779 (11) 11,369 (11) 256 (11) 154 (9) (C90-95) Unknown or other cancer (all other C codes) Geographic region, $n$ (%) 77 (17) 17,167 (17) 313 (13) 177 (11) Region a Calaban 22,543 (22) 21,910 (22) 363 (15) 270 (16) Control Demmark Region 22,543 (22) 22,910 (23) 543 (15) 270 (16) Control Demmark Region 22,543 (22) 22,910 (23) 543 (15) 270 (16) Control Demmark Region 22,543 (23) 22,801 (23) 543 (15) 200 (16) Control Demmark Region 22,543 (23) 22,801 (23) 543 (15) 200 (16) Control Demmark Region 22,543 (23) 22,801 (23) 543 (15) 140 (8) Married, but not living together 13,145 (13) 12,5227 (2) 403 (17) 220 (13) Diverced 22,546 (24) 24,710 (25) 531 (22) 224 (13) Married, but not living together 2,5145 (25) 25,777 (5) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Conhabiting stuss, $n$ (%) 55,175 (53) 2497 (63) 113 (5) 140 (8) Married, but not living together 3,145 (13) 12,5227 (2) 403 (17) 220 (13) Diverced 22,546 (24) 24,710 (25) 531 (22) 224 (13) Married, but not living together 2,514 (52) 25,377 (25) 468 (20) 311 (19) Conhabiting totage, there (USE <sup>1</sup> ) 41,018 (13) 26 (2) Suited worker 3407 (USE <sup>1</sup> ) 41,018 (14) 250 (15) 25,11 (28) (29) (9) Married, (1-2) was after (USE <sup>1</sup> ) 42,114 (14) 44,130 (14) 301 (13) 22 (13) Suited worker 3407 (USE <sup>1</sup> ) 42,114 (14,189 (14) 301 (13) 22 (13) Suited wor	$\mathbf{D}$	2425 (2)	2224 (2)	(1/2)	27 (2)				
$\begin{aligned} & Calcularly, inscripting space (E), Cove-1, Cove-1,$	Diagnosis (cancer site), $n$ (%) Oral aquity, pagenhamingool (ata.) (C00, C14)	2425(2) 12 827(12)	2324(2) 13 205(13)	64(3)	$\frac{37}{201}$				
$\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,$	Digestive system $(C15-17 & C22+25)$	12,852 (12)	12,205(13) 12,409(12)	271 (11)	172 (10)				
Respiratory system (C32-34)1867 (2)1827 (2)23 (1)17 (1)Melanoma skin cancer (C43)681 (1)647 (1)12 (1)22 (1)Sarcoma (C46-C49)8255 (6)7910 (8)211 (9)144 (9)Breast (C50)4549 (4)4331 (4)124 (5)94 (6)Finalle genital organs (C53-55 & C56, C570-C574)8195 (8)7970 (8)157 (7)68 (4)Prostate (C61)5457 (5)5268 (5)114 (5)75 (5)Urinary tract (C64-C57)318 (3)314 (4) (3)91 (4)78 (5)Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)*6499 (6)6216 (6)158 (7)125 (7)Urinary tract (C64-C57)318 (3)17, 79 (11)11, 369 (11)256 (11)154 (9)(C90-95)Unkown or other cancer (all other C codes)C531 (2)240 (12)531 (13)177 (11)Region 76, 0%17, 657 (17)7, 17, 167 (17)313 (13)177 (11)Region 76, 0%17, 657 (17)7, 17, 167 (17)313 (13)177 (11)Region 610, 0%22, 542 (2)2, 191 (22)531 (23)296 (18)Region 610, 0%23, 640 (23)22, 801 (23)541 (23)296 (18)Region of Denmark29, 167 (28)27, 3531184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabition stans, $n$ (%)517 (53)52, 977 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabition stans, $n$ (%)517 (53)52, 977 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabition stans, $n$ (%)517 (53)52, 977 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61) <td>Colorectal (C18–C20)</td> <td>24 887 (24)</td> <td>23 933 (24)</td> <td>566 (24)</td> <td>388 (23)</td>	Colorectal (C18–C20)	24 887 (24)	23 933 (24)	566 (24)	388 (23)				
$\begin{split} & \text{Melanomi skin cancer (C43)} & 641 (1) & 647 (1) & 12 (1) & 22 (1) \\ & 21 (1) & 22 (1) \\ & \text{Breast (C50)} & 4549 (4) & 4331 (4) & 124 (5) & 94 (6) \\ & \text{Freade genital organs (C53-55 & C56, C570-C574)} & 8193 (8) & 7970 (8) & 157 (7) & 68 (4) \\ & \text{Froatae (C61)} & 5457 (5) & 5268 (5) & 114 (5) & 75 (5) \\ & \text{Urinary tract (C64-C67)} & 3318 (3) & 3149 (3) & 91 (4) & 78 (5) \\ & Brain(CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)^* & 6499 (6) & 6216 (6) & 158 (7) & 125 (7) \\ & \text{Lymphoid & haematopolicit tissue (C81-85 & 11,779 (11) & 11,369 (11) & 256 (11) & 154 (9) \\ & (C30-95) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Respiratory system (C32–34)	1867 (2)	1827 (2)	23(1)	17 (1)				
Sacona (C46-C49)         S265 (8)         7910 (8)         211 (9)         144 (9)           Breast (C50)         459 (94)         4331 (4)         124 (5)         94 (6)           Formale genital organs (C53-55 & C56, C570-C574)         8195 (8)         7970 (8)         157 (7)         68 (4)           Tronstate (C61)         5341 (3)         3140 (3)         91 (4)         78 (5)           Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C751)-C753)+         6499 (6)         6216 (6)         158 (7)         125 (7)           Unknown or other cancer (all other C codes)         Uknown or other cancer (all other C codes)         17.657 (17)         17.167 (17)         313 (13)         177 (11)           Region or Southern Denmark Region         23.640 (23)         22.801 (23)         543 (23)         296 (18)           Region of Southern Denmark         29.167 (28)         27.257 (7)         990 (42)         852 (51)           Cabibing thegion of Denmark         23.640 (23)         22.801 (23)         543 (23)         296 (18)           Married, but not living together         13.145 (13)         12.522 (12)         990 (42)         852 (51)           Cabibing thegion of Denmark         298 (8)         776 (8)         113 (50)         1014 (61)           Cohabiting together         13.145 (13)         12.522 (12)	Melanoma skin cancer (C43)	681 (1)	647 (1)	12(1)	22 (1)				
Breast (CS0)         4549 (4)         4331 (4)         124 (5)         94 (6)           Fenale genital organs (CS3-55 & C56, C570-C574)         819 (8)         7970 (8)         157 (7)         68 (4)           Prostate (C61)         5457 (5)         5268 (5)         114 (5)         75 (5)           Urinary tract (C64-C67)         3318 (3)         3149 (3)         91 (4)         78 (5)           Brain(CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)*         6499 (6)         6216 (6)         158 (7)         125 (7)           Lymphoid & haematopoietic tissue (C81-85 &         11,779 (11)         11,369 (11)         256 (11)         154 (9)           (C90-95)         Unknown or other cancer (all other C codes)         22,543 (22)         21,910 (22)         363 (15)         270 (16)           Contral Denmark Region         22,640 (23)         22,801 (23)         543 (23)         296 (18)           Region of Southern Denmark         29,167 (28)         27,325 (27)         990 (42)         852 (51)           Cahibitation status, n (%)         55,175 (53)         29,977 (53)         1184 (50)         1014 (61)           Cohabitation status, n (%)         55,175 (53)         29,977 (53)         1184 (50)         76 (53)           Divorced         25,465 (24)         24,710 (25)         531 (22)         224	Sarcoma (C46–C49)	8265 (8)	7910 (8)	211 (9)	144 (9)				
Female genital organs (CS3-55 & CS6, CS70-CS74)8195 (8)7970 (8)157 (7)68 (4)Postate (C61)545 (5)5268 (5)114 (5)75 (5)Urinary tract (C64-C67)3318 (3)3149 (3)91 (4)78 (5)Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)*6499 (6)6216 (6)158 (7)125 (7)(C90-95)VV11,59 (11)256 (11)154 (9)(C90-95)VV11,451 (14)135 (13)177 (11)Region Zealand11,604 (11)11,355 (11)169 (7)80 (5)North Demmark Region22,543 (22)21,910 (22)363 (15)270 (16)Central Demmark Region23,640 (23)22,801 (23)543 (23)296 (18)Region of Southern Demmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)990 (42)852 (51)Cabibiting status, n (%)2537 (5)25,977 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabiting status, n (%)2337 (3)254 (3)113 (5)140 (8)Married, but not living together13,145 (13)12,252 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25,405 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widowkidower7989 (8)776 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never marrie2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>8</sup> )357 (4)338 (4)360 (762 (37)361 (28)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>8</sup> )375 (4)338 (4	Breast (C50)	4549 (4)	4331 (4)	124 (5)	94 (6)				
Prostate (C61) 5457 (5) 5268 (5) 114 (5) 75 (5) Uninary tract (C64-C67) 318 (3) 3149 (3) 91 (4) 78 (5) Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)* 6499 (6) 6216 (6) 158 (7) 155 (7) 154 (9) (C90-35) Unymphoid & haematopoietic tissue (C81-85 & 11,779 (11) 1,369 (11) 256 (11) 154 (9) (C90-35) Uninary tract (2014) 17,057 (17) 17,167 (17) 313 (13) 177 (11) Regoraphic region, n (%) 7,657 (17) 17,167 (17) 313 (13) 177 (11) Regoraphic region, n (%) 7,657 (17) 17,167 (17) 313 (13) 177 (11) Regoraphic region, n (%) 7,657 (17) 22,2401 (22) 543 (23) 296 (18) Region of Southern Denmark 22,543 (22) 21,910 (22) 363 (15) 270 (16) Cartnal Denmark Region 23,640 (23) 22,801 (23) 543 (23) 296 (18) Region of Southern Denmark 29,167 (28) 27,325 (27) 990 (42) 852 (51) Capital Region of Denmark Cohabitation status, n (%) 55,175 (53) 52,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cababitation status, n (%) 25,175 (53) 22,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cababitation status, n (%) 25,175 (53) 25,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cababitation status, n (%) 25,175 (53) 25,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cababitation status, n (%) 25,175 (53) 25,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cababitation status, n (%) 25,175 (53) 25,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cababitation status, n (%) 25,175 (30) 2584 (3) 113 (5) 140 (8) Married, but not living together 13,145 (13) 12,522 (13) 403 (17) 220 (13) Divorced 25,465 (24) 24,710 (25) 531 (22) 224 (13) Widow/widower 7989 (8) 7765 (8) 147 (6) 77 (5) Never married Education level (missing =4987, 5%), n (%) 45,399 (46) 44,307 (46) 568 (28) 524 (41) Lower secondary school 35,927 (36) 34,804 (36) 762 (37) 361 (28) Skilled wrker 25,187 (25) 24,151 (24) 726 (31) 910 (54) C4 (missing =4987, 5%), n (%) 26,151 (25) 25,357 (25) 468 (20) 3111 (9) C4 (missing =4987, n (%) 26,151 (25) 25,357 (25) 468 (20) 3111 (9) C4 (19) C4 (19	Female genital organs (C53–55 & C56, C570–C574)	8195 (8)	7970 (8)	157 (7)	68 (4)				
Urinary tract (C64-C67)3318 (3)314 (3)91 (4)78 (5)Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C75)*649 (6)6216 (6)158 (7)125 (7)Lymphoid & haematopoietic tissue (C81-85 &11,79 (11)11,369 (11)256 (11)154 (9)(C90-95)Unknown or other cancer (all other C codes)77 (11)313 (13)177 (11)Region Zealand11,664 (11)11,355 (11)169 (7)80 (5)North Denmark Region22,543 (22)21,910 (22)363 (15)270 (16)Central Denmark Region of Denmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)990 (42)852 (51)Capital Region of Denmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)990 (42)852 (51)Capital Region of Denmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)990 (42)852 (51)Capital Region of Denmark29,167 (28)25,175 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabiting Usorced25,456 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widow/widower7989 (8)7765 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never marriedEEEEEducation level (missing =4987, 5%), $n$ (%)45,399 (46)44,307 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Low secondary school35,927 (36)34804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Skort deoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>1</sup> )2,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Low rescondary school35,927 (36)34804 (36)762 (31)910 (5) <tr< td=""><td>Prostate (C61)</td><td>5457 (5)</td><td>5268 (5)</td><td>114 (5)</td><td>75 (5)</td></tr<>	Prostate (C61)	5457 (5)	5268 (5)	114 (5)	75 (5)				
Brain/CNS (C70-C71, C751-C753)* 6499 (6) 6216 (6) 158 (7) 125 (7) 154 (9) (C90-95) 11,369 (11) 256 (11) 154 (9) (C90-95) 177 (11) 11,369	Urinary tract (C64–C67)	3318 (3)	3149 (3)	91 (4)	78 (5)				
Lymphoid & haematopetic tissue (C81–85 & 11,779 (11) 11,369 (11) 256 (11) 154 (9) (C300–95) Unknown or other cancer (all other C codes) Geographic region, n (%) 17,657 (17) 7,167 (17) 313 (13) 177 (11) Region Zealand 11,604 (11) 11,355 (11) 169 (7) 80 (5) North Denmark Region 23,640 (23) 22,801 (23) 543 (23) 296 (18) Region of Southern Denmark Cartral Denmark Cartral Denmark Cobabitation status, n (%) 55,175 (53) 52,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cohabitation status, n (%) 55,175 (53) 22,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cohabitation status, n (%) 55,175 (53) 25,977 (53) 1184 (50) 1014 (61) Cohabitation status, n (%) 765 (8) 140 (8) Married, but not living together 23,465 (24) 42,710 (25) 531 (22) 224 (13) Widowwidower 7989 (8) 7765 (8) 147 (6) 77 (5) Never married Education level (missing =4987, 5%), n (%) 45,399 (46) 44,307 (46) 568 (28) 524 (41) Lower secondary school 35,927 (36) 34,804 (36) 762 (37) 361 (28) Skilled worker 2513 (3) 2550 (2) 101 (5) 62 (5) Short theoretical (1–2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 12,110 (12) 11,446 (12) 425 (21) 239 (19) Long theoretical (3–4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 3675 (4) 3386 (4) 188 (9) 101 (8) Academic (5+years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 3675 (4) 3386 (4) 188 (9) 101 (8) Academic (5+years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 3675 (4) 24,515 (24) 726 (31) 910 (54) C4 (Lowest) 26,154 (25) 25,3375 (25) 543 (23) 254 (15) Long theoretical (3–4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 3675 (4) 3386 (4) 188 (9) 101 (8) Academic (5+years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 3675 (4) 3385 (5) 726 (31) 910 (54) C4 (Lowest) 26,154 (25) 25,3375 (25) 543 (23) 254 (15) C3 Q3 (26,154 (25) 25,3375 (25) 543 (23) 254 (15) Q3 (26,154 (25) 25,3375 (25) 543 (23) 254 (15) Q4 (highest) Year of death, n (%) 161 (13) 212 (13) 2101 (14,451 (14) 14,189 (14) 316 (13) 212 (13) 2114 (14,999 (14) 14,439 (14) 316 (14) 230 (14) 213 (14) 220 (15) 214 (14,999 (14) 14,390 (14) 32	Brain/CNS (C70–C71, C751–C753)*	6499 (6)	6216 (6)	158 (7)	125 (7)				
$\begin{aligned} & \text{Driving to find clarge (and other Codes)} \\ & \text{Region Zealand} & 11,604 (11) & 11,355 (11) & 169 (7) & 80 (5) \\ & \text{Region Zealand} & 11,604 (11) & 11,355 (11) & 169 (7) & 80 (5) \\ & \text{North Denmark Region} & 22,543 (22) & 21,910 (22) & 363 (15) & 270 (16) \\ & \text{Central Denmark Region} & 23,640 (23) & 22,801 (23) & 543 (23) & 296 (18) \\ & \text{Region of Southern Denmark} & 29,167 (28) & 27,325 (27) & 990 (42) & 852 (51) \\ & \text{Cabiliting status, } n (\%) & 55,175 (53) & 52,977 (53) & 1184 (50) & 1014 (61) \\ & \text{Cohabiting} & 2837 (3) & 2584 (3) & 113 (5) & 140 (8) \\ & \text{Married, but not living together} & 13,145 (13) & 12,522 (12) & 403 (17) & 220 (13) \\ & \text{Divorced} & 25,465 (24) & 24,710 (25) & 531 (22) & 224 (13) \\ & \text{Widow/widower} & 7989 (8) & 7765 (8) & 147 (6) & 77 (5) \\ & \text{Never married} \\ & \text{Education level (missing = 4987, 5\%), } n (\%) & 45,399 (46) & 44,307 (46) & 568 (28) & 524 (41) \\ & \text{Lower secondary school} & 35,927 (36) & 34,804 (36) & 762 (37) & 361 (28) \\ & \text{Skilled worker} & 2513 (3) & 2350 (2) & 101 (5) & 62 (5) \\ & \text{Short theoretical } (1-2 years after CUSE5) & 12,110 (12) & 11,446 (12) & 425 (21) & 239 (19) \\ & \text{Long theoretical } (1-2 years after CUSE5) & 3675 (4) & 3386 (4) & 188 (9) & 101 (8) \\ & \text{Academic } (5 + years after CUSE5) & 26,151 (25) & 24,515 (24) & 726 (31) & 910 (54) \\ & Q1 (uovest) & 26,154 (25) & 25,375 (25) & 468 (20) & 311 (19) \\ & Q2 & 26,154 (25) & 25,375 (25) & 543 (23) & 254 (15) \\ & Q3 & 26,154 (25) & 25,375 (25) & 543 (23) & 254 (15) \\ & 2010 & 14,951 (14) & 14,418 (14) & 301 (13) & 212 (13) \\ & 2010 & 14,951 (14) & 14,418 (14) & 301 (13) & 212 (13) \\ & 2011 & 15,125 (15) & 14,538 (15) & 365 (15) & 222 (13) \\ & 2014 & 14,909 (14) & 14,435 (14) & 331 (14) & 250 (15) \\ & 2014 & 14,909 (14) & 14,306 (14) & 352 (15) & 251 (15) \\ & 2015 & 2015 & 2015 & 251 (15) & 251 (15) \\ & 2015 & 2016 & 202 (14) & 235 (14) & 352 (15) & 251 (15) \\ & 2015 & 2016 & 202 (14) & 235 (14) & 352 (15) & 251 (15) \\ & 2016 & & & & & \\ \\ \end{array}$	Lymphoid & haematopoietic tissue (C81–85 & (C90–95)	11,779 (11)	11,369 (11)	256 (11)	154 (9)				
Geographic region, $n$ (%)17,657 (17)17,167 (17)313 (13)177 (11)Region Zealand11,604 (11)11,355 (11)169 (7)80 (5)North Denmark Region22,543 (22)21,910 (22)363 (15)270 (16)Central Denmark Region23,640 (23)22,801 (23)543 (23)296 (18)Region of Southern Denmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)990 (42)852 (51)Capital Region of Denmark2837 (3)2584 (3)113 (5)140 (61)Cohabiting2837 (3)2584 (3)113 (5)140 (8)Married, but not living together13,145 (13)12,522 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25,465 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widow/widower7989 (8)7765 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never married2243,07 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Lower secondary school35,927 (36)34,804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>5</sup> )2,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3-4 years after CUSE <sup>5</sup> )2,151 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q2Q326,152 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q426,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q326,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)<	Unknown of other cancer (all other C codes)								
Region Zealand11,604 (11)11,355 (11)109 (7)80 (5)North Denmark Region22,543 (22)21,910 (22)363 (15)270 (16)Central Denmark Region23,640 (23)22,801 (23)543 (23)296 (18)Region of Southern Denmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)990 (42)852 (51)Capital Region of Denmark2837 (3)2584 (3)113 (5)140 (8)Married, but not living together13,145 (13)12,522 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25,465 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widowikidower798 (8)7765 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never married2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Skort theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3-4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )26,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q326,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q414,495 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q326,152 (25)25,357 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25) <td>Geographic region, <math>n</math> (%)</td> <td>17,657 (17)</td> <td>17,167 (17)</td> <td>313 (13)</td> <td>177 (11)</td>	Geographic region, $n$ (%)	17,657 (17)	17,167 (17)	313 (13)	177 (11)				
North Definitian Region $22, 43, (22)$ $21, 910 (22)$ $505 (15)$ $210 (16)$ Central Demmark Region $23, 640 (23)$ $22, 801 (23)$ $543 (23)$ $296 (18)$ Region of Southern Denmark $29, 167 (28)$ $27, 325 (27)$ $990 (42)$ $852 (51)$ Capital Region of Denmark $2837 (3)$ $2584 (3)$ $113 (5)$ $140 (8)$ Cohabitation status, $n (\%)$ $55, 175 (53)$ $52, 977 (53)$ $1184 (50)$ $1014 (61)$ Cohabitation status, $n (\%)$ $25, 455 (24)$ $24, 710 (25)$ $531 (22)$ $224 (13)$ Divorced $25, 455 (24)$ $24, 710 (25)$ $531 (22)$ $224 (13)$ Widow/vidower $7989 (8)$ $7765 (8)$ $147 (6)$ $77 (5)$ Never marriedEducation level (missing =4987, 5%), $n (\%)$ $45, 399 (46)$ $44, 307 (46)$ $568 (28)$ $524 (41)$ Lower secondary school $35, 927 (36)$ $34, 804 (36)$ $762 (37)$ $361 (28)$ Skilled worker $2513 (3)$ $2350 (2)$ $101 (5)$ $62 (5)$ Long theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) $3675 (4)$ $3386 (4)$ $188 (9)$ $101 (8)$ Academic $(5 + years after CUSE§)$ $26, 151 (25)$ $24, 515 (24)$ $726 (31)$ $910 (54)$ Q1 (lowest) $26, 154 (25)$ $25, 375 (25)$ $468 (20)$ $311 (19)$ Q2 $26, 154 (25)$ $25, 375 (25)$ $461 (27)$ $200 (12)$ Q4 (highest) $4, 710 (14)$ $14, 189 (14)$ $316 (13)$ $206 (12)$ Q1 (lowest) $4, 711 (14)$ $14, 838 (14)$ <td>Region Zealand</td> <td>11,604 (11)</td> <td>11,355 (11)</td> <td>169(7)</td> <td>80 (5)</td>	Region Zealand	11,604 (11)	11,355 (11)	169(7)	80 (5)				
Canta Deminark Region23,040 (23)24,040 (23)34,0 (23)250 (16)Region of Southern Denmark29,167 (28)27,325 (27)99 (42)852 (51)Cohabitation status, $n$ (%)55,175 (53)52,977 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabiting2837 (3)2584 (3)113 (5)140 (8)Married, but not living together13,145 (13)12,522 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25,465 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widow/widower7989 (8)7765 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never marriedEducation level (missing = 4987, 5%), $n$ (%)45,399 (46)44,307 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Lower secondary school35,927 (36)34,804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled workerSkilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>3</sup> )12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3-4 years after CUSE <sup>3</sup> )26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,377 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q226,154 (25)25,371 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)Q1 (lowest)24,152 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,202 (14)339 (14)236 (14)2013	Control Donmark Region	22,343 (22)	21,910 (22)	543 (23)	270 (10)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Region of Southern Denmark	29,167 (28)	22,801 (23)	990 (42)	852 (51)				
Cohabitation status, $n$ (%)55, 175 (53)52, 977 (53)1184 (50)1014 (61)Cohabiting2837 (3)2584 (3)113 (5)140 (8)Married, but not living together13, 145 (13)12, 522 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25, 465 (24)24, 710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widow/widower7989 (8)776 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never marriedEEEEEducation level (missing = 4987, 5%), $n$ (%)45, 399 (46)44, 307 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Lower secondary school35, 927 (36)34, 804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1–2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )12, 110 (12)11, 446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3–4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )3675 (4)3386 (4)188 (9)101 (8)Academic (5 + years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )26, 154 (25)25, 375 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q1 (lowest)26, 154 (25)25, 357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q326, 154 (25)25, 357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q4 (highest)I14, 189 (14)316 (13)200 (12)Q4 (highest)I14, 991 (14)14, 438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115, 125 (15)14, 538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214, 805 (14)14, 290 (14)339 (14)250 (15)201414, 994 (14)14, 4396 (14)352 (15) </td <td>Capital Region of Denmark</td> <td>29,107 (20)</td> <td>21,323 (21)</td> <td><i>yyu</i> (12)</td> <td>002 (01)</td>	Capital Region of Denmark	29,107 (20)	21,323 (21)	<i>yyu</i> (12)	002 (01)				
Cohabiting2837 (3)2884 (3)113 (5)140 (8)Married, but not living together13,145 (13)12,522 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25,465 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widow/widower7989 (8)7765 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never marriedEducation level (missing = 4987, 5%), $n$ (%)45,399 (46)44,307 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Lower secondary school35,927 (36)34,804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled workerSkilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1–2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3-4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Academic (5 + years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,375 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,311 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)V14,711 (14)14,489 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,122 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,316 (14)331 (14)250 (15)201414,999 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)201515,027 (14)14,	Cohabitation status, $n$ (%)	55,175 (53)	52,977 (53)	1184 (50)	1014 (61)				
Married, but not living together13,145 (13)12,522 (12)403 (17)220 (13)Divorced25,465 (24)24,710 (25)531 (22)224 (13)Widow/widower7989 (8)7765 (8)147 (6)77 (5)Never marriedEducation level (missing = 4987, 5%), $n$ (%)45,399 (46)44,307 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Lower secondary school35,927 (36)34,804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3-4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )3675 (4)3386 (4)188 (9)101 (8)Academic (5 + years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q320,152 (25)25,311 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)Year of death, $n$ (%)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)356 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)250 (15)201314,999 (14)14,396 (14)352 (15)251 (15)201414,999 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14) </td <td>Cohabiting</td> <td>2837 (3)</td> <td>2584 (3)</td> <td>113 (5)</td> <td>140 (8)</td>	Cohabiting	2837 (3)	2584 (3)	113 (5)	140 (8)				
Divorced 25,465 (24) 24,710 (25) 531 (22) 224 (13) Widow/widower 7989 (8) 7765 (8) 147 (6) 77 (5) Never married Education level (missing = 4987, 5%), $n$ (%) 45,399 (46) 44,307 (46) 568 (28) 524 (41) Lower secondary school 35,927 (36) 34,804 (36) 762 (37) 361 (28) Skilled worker 2513 (3) 2350 (2) 101 (5) 62 (5) Short theoretical (1–2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 12,110 (12) 11,446 (12) 425 (21) 239 (19) Long theoretical (3–4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 3675 (4) 3386 (4) 80 (9) 101 (8) Academic (5 + years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> ) 26,151 (25) 24,515 (24) 726 (31) 910 (54) Q1 (lowest) 26,154 (25) 25,377 (25) 468 (20) 311 (19) Q2 26,154 (25) 25,377 (25) 468 (20) 311 (19) Q2 26,154 (25) 25,377 (25) 543 (23) 254 (15) Q3 26,152 (25) 25,311 (25) 641 (27) 200 (12) Q4 (highest) Year of death, $n$ (%) 14,711 (14) 14,489 (14) 316 (13) 206 (12) 2010 14,951 (14) 14,438 (14) 301 (13) 212 (13) 2011 15,125 (15) 14,538 (15) 365 (15) 222 (13) 2012 14,805 (14) 14,229 (14) 339 (14) 236 (14) 2013 14,999 (14) 14,413 (14) 311 (14) 250 (15) 2014 14,999 (14) 14,396 (14) 352 (15) 251 (15) 2015 15,027 (14) 14,355 (14) 374 (16) 298 (18) 2016	Married, but not living together	13,145 (13)	12,522 (12)	403 (17)	220 (13)				
Widower/989 (8)/765 (8)147 (6)/7 (5)Never marriedEducation level (missing = 4987, 5%), $n$ (%)45,399 (46)44,307 (46)568 (28)524 (41)Lower secondary school35,927 (36)34,804 (36)762 (37)361 (28)Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical (1–2 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical (3–4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )3675 (4)3386 (4)188 (9)101 (8)Academic (5 + years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Family income, quartiles <sup>#</sup> , $n$ (%)26,154 (25)25,375 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q326,152 (25)25,311 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,413 (14)311 (14)250 (15)201414,999 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)	Divorced	25,465 (24)	24,710 (25)	531 (22)	224 (13)				
Constant of the c	Widow/widower Never married	/989 (8)	//65 (8)	147 (6)	// (5)				
Ladiation for (m)10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 97, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10	Education level (missing = 4987 5%) $n$ (%)	45 399 (46)	44 307 (46)	568 (28)	524 (41)				
Skilled worker2513 (3)2350 (2)101 (5)62 (5)Short theoretical $(1-2 \text{ years after CUSE}^8)$ 12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical $(3-4 \text{ years after CUSE}^8)$ 3675 (4)3386 (4)188 (9)101 (8)Academic $(5 + \text{ years after CUSE}^8)$ 26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,375 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q326,152 (25)25,311 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)24,915 (14)14,489 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,439 (14)311 (14)250 (15)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)20162016208 (18)208 (18)	Lower secondary school	35.927 (36)	34.804 (36)	762 (37)	361 (28)				
Short theoretical $(1-2 \text{ years after CUSE}^8)$ 12,110 (12)11,446 (12)425 (21)239 (19)Long theoretical $(3-4 \text{ years after CUSE}^8)$ $3675 (4)$ $3386 (4)$ $188 (9)$ 101 (8)Academic $(5 + \text{ years after CUSE}^8)$ $26,151 (25)$ $24,515 (24)$ $726 (31)$ $910 (54)$ Family income, quartiles <sup>#</sup> , $n (\%)$ $26,151 (25)$ $25,375 (25)$ $468 (20)$ $311 (19)$ Q2 $26,154 (25)$ $25,357 (25)$ $543 (23)$ $254 (15)$ Q3 $26,152 (25)$ $25,311 (25)$ $641 (27)$ $200 (12)$ Q4 (highest) $14,711 (14)$ $14,189 (14)$ $316 (13)$ $206 (12)$ 2010 $14,951 (14)$ $14,438 (14)$ $301 (13)$ $212 (13)$ 2011 $15,125 (15)$ $14,538 (15)$ $365 (15)$ $222 (13)$ 2012 $14,805 (14)$ $14,229 (14)$ $339 (14)$ $236 (14)$ 2013 $14,994 (14)$ $14,396 (14)$ $352 (15)$ $251 (15)$ 2014 $14,999 (14)$ $14,355 (14)$ $374 (16)$ $298 (18)$ 2016 $2016$ $2016$ $2016$ $2016$ $2016$ $2016$	Skilled worker	2513 (3)	2350 (2)	101 (5)	62 (5)				
Long theoretical $(3-4 years after CUSE^8)$ $3675 (4)$ $3386 (4)$ $188 (9)$ $101 (8)$ Academic $(5 + years after CUSE^8)$ $26,151 (25)$ $24,515 (24)$ $726 (31)$ $910 (54)$ Family income, quartiles#, $n (\%)$ $26,151 (25)$ $25,375 (25)$ $468 (20)$ $311 (19)$ Q2 $26,154 (25)$ $25,357 (25)$ $543 (23)$ $254 (15)$ Q3 $26,152 (25)$ $25,311 (25)$ $641 (27)$ $200 (12)$ Q4 (highest) $4,711 (14)$ $14,189 (14)$ $316 (13)$ $206 (12)$ 2010 $14,951 (14)$ $14,438 (14)$ $301 (13)$ $212 (13)$ 2011 $15,125 (15)$ $14,538 (15)$ $365 (15)$ $222 (13)$ 2012 $14,805 (14)$ $14,229 (14)$ $339 (14)$ $236 (14)$ 2013 $14,994 (14)$ $14,436 (14)$ $331 (14)$ $250 (15)$ 2014 $14,999 (14)$ $14,396 (14)$ $352 (15)$ $251 (15)$ 2015 $15,027 (14)$ $14,355 (14)$ $374 (16)$ $298 (18)$	Short theoretical (1-2 years after CUSE§)	12,110 (12)	11,446 (12)	425 (21)	239 (19)				
Academic $(5 + years after CUSE8)$ Family income, quartiles <sup>#</sup> , $n$ (%)26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,375 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q326,152 (25)25,311 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)726 (31)910 (54)910 (54)Year of death, $n$ (%)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,413 (14)331 (14)250 (15)201414,999 (14)14,396 (14)352 (15)251 (15)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)201620162016201620162016	Long theoretical (3–4 years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )	3675 (4)	3386 (4)	188 (9)	101 (8)				
Family income, quartiles#, $n$ (%)26,151 (25)24,515 (24)726 (31)910 (54)Q1 (lowest)26,154 (25)25,375 (25)468 (20)311 (19)Q226,154 (25)25,357 (25)543 (23)254 (15)Q326,152 (25)25,311 (25)641 (27)200 (12)Q4 (highest)726 (31)910 (54)910 (54)Year of death, $n$ (%)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,413 (14)331 (14)250 (15)201414,999 (14)14,396 (14)352 (15)251 (15)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)	Academic $(5 + \text{years after CUSE}^{s})$								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Family income, quartiles <sup>#</sup> , $n$ (%)	26,151 (25)	24,515 (24)	726 (31)	910 (54)				
Q2 $26,154 (25)$ $25,357 (25)$ $543 (23)$ $254 (15)$ Q3 $26,152 (25)$ $25,311 (25)$ $641 (27)$ $200 (12)$ Q4 (highest) $4,711 (14)$ $14,189 (14)$ $316 (13)$ $206 (12)$ 2010 $14,951 (14)$ $14,438 (14)$ $301 (13)$ $212 (13)$ 2011 $15,125 (15)$ $14,538 (15)$ $365 (15)$ $222 (13)$ 2012 $14,805 (14)$ $14,229 (14)$ $339 (14)$ $236 (14)$ 2013 $14,994 (14)$ $14,413 (14)$ $331 (14)$ $250 (15)$ 2014 $14,999 (14)$ $14,396 (14)$ $352 (15)$ $251 (15)$ 2015 $15,027 (14)$ $14,355 (14)$ $374 (16)$ $298 (18)$	Q1 (lowest)	26,154 (25)	25,375 (25)	468 (20)	311 (19)				
Q3 $26,152 (25)$ $25,311 (25)$ $641 (27)$ $200 (12)$ Q4 (highest) $44,711 (14)$ $14,189 (14)$ $316 (13)$ $206 (12)$ $2010$ $14,951 (14)$ $14,438 (14)$ $301 (13)$ $212 (13)$ $2011$ $15,125 (15)$ $14,538 (15)$ $365 (15)$ $222 (13)$ $2012$ $14,805 (14)$ $14,229 (14)$ $339 (14)$ $236 (14)$ $2013$ $14,994 (14)$ $14,413 (14)$ $331 (14)$ $250 (15)$ $2014$ $15,027 (14)$ $14,355 (14)$ $374 (16)$ $298 (18)$ $2016$ $2016$ $200 (12)$ $200 (12)$	Q2	26,154 (25)	25,357 (25)	543 (23)	254 (15)				
Q4 (highest)Year of death, $n$ (%)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,413 (14)331 (14)250 (15)201414,999 (14)14,396 (14)352 (15)251 (15)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)	Q3	26,152 (25)	25,311 (25)	641 (27)	200 (12)				
Year of death, $n$ (%)14,711 (14)14,189 (14)316 (13)206 (12)201014,951 (14)14,438 (14)301 (13)212 (13)201115,125 (15)14,538 (15)365 (15)222 (13)201214,805 (14)14,229 (14)339 (14)236 (14)201314,994 (14)14,413 (14)331 (14)250 (15)201414,999 (14)14,396 (14)352 (15)251 (15)201515,027 (14)14,355 (14)374 (16)298 (18)	Q4 (highest)								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Year of death, $n$ (%)	14,711 (14)	14,189 (14)	316 (13)	206 (12)				
2011       15,125 (15)       14,538 (15)       365 (15)       222 (13)         2012       14,805 (14)       14,229 (14)       339 (14)       236 (14)         2013       14,994 (14)       14,413 (14)       331 (14)       250 (15)         2014       14,999 (14)       14,396 (14)       352 (15)       251 (15)         2015       15,027 (14)       14,355 (14)       374 (16)       298 (18)	2010	14,951 (14)	14,438 (14)	301 (13)	212 (13)				
2012       14,805 (14)       14,229 (14)       559 (14)       256 (14)         2013       14,994 (14)       14,413 (14)       331 (14)       250 (15)         2014       14,999 (14)       14,396 (14)       352 (15)       251 (15)         2015       15,027 (14)       14,355 (14)       374 (16)       298 (18)	2011	15,125 (15)	14,538 (15)	365 (15)	222 (13)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2012	14,805 (14)	14,229 (14)	339 (14) 331 (14)	250 (14)				
2017         14,572 (14)         14,550 (14)         552 (15)         251 (15)           2015         15,027 (14)         14,355 (14)         374 (16)         298 (18)           2016         2016         2016         2017         2017         2017	2015	14,994 (14)	14,415 (14)	351 (14) 352 (15)	250(15) 251(15)				
2016	2017	14,777 (14)	14,350 (14)	374 (16)	298 (18)				
	2016	10,027 (17)	1 1,000 (17)	5/1(10)					

<sup>¤</sup>SD Standard Deviation

\*Including the following D-codes: D32, D42, D330-332, D352-354, D430-432, D443-445, D333-339, and D433-439

CUSE Certificate of Upper secondary School leaving Examination

 $\#Q1:\leq 21,029 \; \text{EUR/year, } Q2:>21,029-24,785 \; \text{EUR/year, } Q3:>24,785-32,842 \; \text{EUR/year, } Q4:>32,842 \; \text{EUR/year, } Q4:$ 

Table 3	Overall and type-specifi	c admittance to	specialised	palliative	care for	cancer	patients	in relation	to country	/ of origin	n: unadjuste	d and
adjusted	percentages and odds rat	tios unadjusted	and adjusted									

N=99,624		Overall admittance % and OR (95% CI)			Type-specific admittance % and OR (95% CI)						
					Hospital-based palliative care team/unit			Hospice			
Country of origin	%	Unadjusted	Adjusted*	%	Unadjusted	Adjusted*	%	Unadjusted	Adjusted*		
Majority population	42	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	30	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	20	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		
Immigrants from Western countries	46	1.20 (1.10–1.31)	1.13 (1.03–1.24)	33	1.14 (1.04–1.25)	1.18 (1.07–1.31)	23	1.18 (1.07–1.31)	1.04 (0.93–1.16)		
Immigrants from non-Western countries	53	1.58 (1.41–1.76)	1.22 (1.08–1.37)	43	1.80 (1.61–2.01)	1.54 (1.36–1.74)	18	0.86 (0.74–0.99)	0.70 (0.60–0.81)		

\*Adjusted sex, age, diagnosis, geographic region, cohabitation status, education, income, and year of death.

OR odds ratio.

CI confidence interval.

Table 4Sensitivity analysesof overall and type-specificadmittance to specialisedpalliative care for cancerpatients in relation to countryof origin, adjusted for sex,age, diagnosis, geographicregion, cohabitation status,education, income, and yearof death including those withmissing data on education inone separate group, in the noneformal education group, and inthe academic education group

N=104,611	Overall admittance	Type-specific admittance OR (95% CI)			
	OR (95% CI)	Hospital-based palliative care team/ unit	Hospice		
Separate group*					
Country of origin:					
Majority population	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		
Immigrants from Western countries	1.20 (1.10–1.31)	1.20 (1.09–1.32)	1.10 (0.99–1.21)		
Immigrants from non-Western countries	1.31 (1.18–1.46)	1.69 (1.51–1.89)	0.69 (0.61–0.80)		
Lower secondary school**					
Country of origin:					
Majority population	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		
Immigrants from Western countries	1.16 (1.06–1.27)	1.17 (1.06–1.28)	1.07 (0.97–1.19)		
Immigrants from non-Western countries	1.23 (1.11–1.37)	1.60 (1.44–1.79)	0.67 (0.59–0.77)		
Academic (5 + years after CUSE <sup>§</sup> )***					
Country of origin:					
Majority population	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)		
Immigrants from Western countries	1.18 (1.08–1.29)	1.19 (1.08–1.31)	1.08 (0.98–1.19)		
Immigrants from non-Western countries	1.25 (1.12–1.39)	1.62 (1.45–1.81)	0.66 (0.58-0.76)		

\*Patients with missing data on education were included as a separate group.

\*\*Patients with missing data on education were included in 'no formal education'.

\*\*\*Patients with missing data on education were s included in 'academic education'.

<sup>§</sup>*CUSE* Certificate of Upper secondary School leaving Examination

OR odds ratio.

CI confidence interval.

palliative care: palliative care team/unit and hospice. We found that immigrants, especially from non-Western countries, had higher overall admittance to specialised palliative care, in particular palliative care teams/units, but a lower admittance to hospice than the majority population.

# **Comparison with the literature**

Few other studies have investigated admittance to specialised palliative care in relation to the country of origin [11, 12, 15, 33, 34] or immigration status [10]. In most studies in this field, admittance to specialised palliative care has been investigated in relation to ethnicity [13, 35-50].

In contrast to the findings in the present study, two German studies found that the majority population were more likely to access specialised palliative care than immigrants [11, 12]. Our findings are supported by an Australian study which found that people born in the UK and Europe were more likely to be admitted to palliative care than Australian born [34].

Similar to our study, another Danish study of admittance to a *palliative care team* found that immigrants were more likely to be admitted to this service than the majority population [10]. A similar result was found in one of the studies investigating admittance to a *palliative care consultation* [48], while two other studies found no association [37, 39].

In relation to *hospice*, most studies have found higher admittance rates to hospice for the majority population, supporting the results from this study [13, 15, 38, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 49, 50]. Few studies found no association [16, 36, 41, 44] or lower admittance for the majority population [33, 35, 47].

#### **Possible explanations**

Higher admittance to palliative care team/unit for immigrants may reflect a greater need for hospital admittance and therefore a fair difference in admittance between immigrants and the majority population. Furthermore, the higher admittance to palliative care team/unit and lower admittance to hospice for immigrants could be explained by a culture where the family takes care of the older family members ('the generation contract') [51–53]. A palliative care team visiting patients in their homes may be more suitable in a culture where there is a tradition (for the family or immigrants from the same country) that the family takes care of sick family members at home [54, 55]. However, the literature about the place of death does not show a higher proportion of immigrants dying at home [15, 16, 56], even though they, similar to the native population, would prefer to die at home [57, 58]. Furthermore, the lower admittance to hospice for immigrants from non-Western countries could be explained by religion, as the hospices in Denmark historically have had a relation to Christianity [59].

Danish studies investigating immigrants and their admittance to the health care system in general found no difference in relation to free-of-charge health care services [60, 61], but lower use of dentists, who are not free of charge and have private practices [57]. A study investigating face-toface contacts with general practitioners in the last 90 days of life found more face-to-face contacts for immigrants than non-immigrants, whereas no difference in home visits was found [62]. It is possible that even though hospices are free of charge like the main part of the health care system, the (physical and organisational) separation in small institutions outside the rest of the health care system (with the main focus on the treatment of the disease) is important for access.

Knowledge is another possible explanation for the findings in this study. Studies of patients with cancer and other diseases have shown lower awareness of palliative care and related services in ethnic minority groups [63, 64]. A Danish study found low knowledge about hospice among older immigrants and their caregivers [52]. Immigrants may come from countries where hospice does not exist, and the first step to requesting hospice is to know that hospice exists and for whom. Communication about this topic can be difficult for the patients and the health care professionals because of language and cultural barriers [53, 55], and studies have found that less information about hospice is given to immigrants [53, 65], which could explain the lower admittance to hospice. Additionally, it is possible that a referral to a palliative care team is less complicated (less explanation is needed) than a referral to a hospice placed outside the hospital. Also, a well-established contact to a palliative care team may manage the symptoms and problems of the patients and their family in their homes so well that the need for hospice decreases. However, the pattern was not found for immigrants from other Western countries. Furthermore, the policy and restrictions introduced in health care including palliative care during the COVID-19 pandemic have shown the importance of not only factors in relation to the patient and caregivers but also in the environment of palliative care in order to accommodate the needs of ethnic minority groups, e.g. in relation to the possibility to visit the patient and meet religious and cultural needs [4].

Finally, the expectations of specialised palliative care may influence admittance and preferences for admittance. A study from the UK of older people from the majority population and Chinese people found that for the majority population, hospice was associated with the 'good death', which was not the case for the Chinese people, who saw hospice as a place with limited opportunities for a good death [66]. The 'good death' may not be understood in the same way across different minority groups [67]. More research is required to elucidate how this is comprehended and how services may need to respond accordingly [68].

## **Strengths and limitations**

The major strengths of the study are the high validity and, except for education (discussed later), high completeness of data and the unique possibility to merge several nationwide registers with data at the patient level. The large size of the study population enabled us to investigate immigrants in relation to specialised palliative care overall and to type-specific care.

A limitation of the study is that the exposure (country of origin) was grouped into Denmark, other Western countries, and non-Western countries. The group of non-Western countries included very different countries from Africa, Asia, and the Middle East, thereby masking differences between these non-Western countries. With the presently available data, a country-specific analysis is not possible, and therefore, we used the classification of immigrants developed by Statistic Denmark and used in official statistics in Denmark [21]. Furthermore, in relation to exposure, it could have been relevant to use other measures of migration than the country of origin, e.g. the language spoken at home or a subjective measure about how the person identifies him/herself. But such information is not included in the registers and is therefore not included in this study. Another limitation is that 5% had missing data on education. The patients excluded from the analyses due to missing information differed in age and income, but in the sensitivity analyses, the conclusion was not affected by how the missing data was handled. Today, the need for specialised palliative care is unknown in the registers (symptoms and problems are only known for patients admitted to specialised palliative care), and it is therefore not possible to take the need for specialised palliative care into account in a register-based study like this. With the increasing focus on patient-reported outcomes in the health care system, it will in the future hopefully be possible to include information about the need for specialised palliative care based on patient-reported outcomes.

## What does this study add?

This study adds new knowledge about admittance to specialised palliative care in relation to the country of origin in a European context. This topic is rarely investigated, and knowledge is scarce about admittance to palliative care team/units, one of the priorities in the White Paper of the National Institute on Aging (2013) [8]. It shows further the importance of studying overall admittance to specialised palliative care, including all types of specialised palliative care units because differences in admittance were seen for the different types of specialised palliative care institutions.

## Implications

The implications of the present study are that health care professionals have an important duty to ensure that immigrants from non-Western countries are informed about hospice so that they have the same knowledge about hospice as do non-immigrants. Furthermore, it is important that information material about hospice is available in foreign languages.

## **Future research**

Further investigation of immigrants is needed to understand the difference found in this study, and whether the association reflects cultural differences in preferences, needs, values, etc. It would therefore be relevant to study the expectations of specialised palliative care for immigrants in different settings. Furthermore, it may be relevant to study whether (and how) the country of origin affects the health care professionals (especially physicians) in deciding which type of specialised palliative care unit the patient should be referred to.

# Conclusion

This study found higher overall admittance to specialised palliative care for immigrants. Immigrants from non-Western countries had higher admittance to palliative care team that more than compensated for the lower admittance to hospice. This may reflect a combination of larger needs and that hospital-based and home-based services are perceived as preferable by immigrants. Investigating admittance to specialised palliative care for immigrants should include all types of specialised palliative care units in the country, as this study has shown differences in admittance related to the two types of specialised palliative care units (palliative care teams and hospice) investigated in this study.

**Acknowledgements** We thank all hospices and hospital-based palliative care teams/units in Denmark for delivering data to DPD, which is funded by the Danish Regions.

Author contribution MA, LCT, and MK were the major contributors to the analysis of the register data. MA and MG were the major contributors to the writing of the manuscript. MAN was part of the data collection and contributed with clinical comments to the manuscript, especially in relation to the discussion. MBH and MAaP contributed with comments mainly in relation to method and discussion. All authors have given their approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Funding The study was funded by the Danish Cancer Society (R195-A12002).

**Data availability** The data used in this study are available at Statistics Denmark and Danish Palliative Care Database. Restrictions apply to the availability of these data.

# Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate According to Danish law, register-based studies do not require ethics committee approval. The study was approved by The Danish Data Protection Agency (BBH-2012–06, I-Suite nr.: 01637) and The Danish Clinical Registries (RKKP) (DPD-2017–11-30). Consent to participate was not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

- 1. Barber S (2020) Death by racism. Lancet Infect Dis 20(8):903
- 2. Yancy CW (2020) Academic medicine and Black Lives Matter: time for deep listening. JAMA 324(5):435–436

- Chidiac C, Feuer D, Flatley M, Rodgerson A, Grayson K, Preston N (2020) The need for early referral to palliative care especially for Black, Asian and minority ethnic groups in a COVID-19 pandemic: findings from a service evaluation. Palliat Med 34(9):1241–1248
- Bajwah S, Koffman J, Hussain J, Bradshaw A, Hocaoglu MB, Fraser LK, Oluyase A, Allwin C, Dunleavy L, Preston N (2021) Specialist palliative care services response to ethnic minority groups with COVID-19: equal but inequitable—an observational study. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care. https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjspcare-2021-003083
- Kristiansen M, Razum O, Tezcan-Güntekin H, Krasnik A (2016) Aging and health among migrants in a European perspective. Public Health Rev 37:20
- 6. Holmenlund K, Sjogren P, Nordly M (2017) Specialized palliative care in advanced cancer: what is the efficacy? A systematic review. Palliat Support Care (6):724–740
- Kavalieratos D, Corbelli J, Zhang D, Dionne-Odom JN, Ernecoff NC, Hanmer J, Hoydich ZP, Ikejiani DZ, Klein-Fedyshin M, Zimmermann C et al (2016) Association between palliative care and patient and caregiver outcomes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. JAMA 316(20):2104–2114
- Johnson KS (2013) Racial and ethnic disparities in palliative care. J Palliat Med 16(11):1329–1334
- LoPresti MA, Dement F, Gold HT (2016) End-of-life care for people with cancer from ethnic minority groups: a systematic review. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 33(3):291–305
- Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Olesen F, Vedsted P (2013) Access to outreach specialist palliative care teams among cancer patients in Denmark. J Palliat Med 16(8):951–957
- 11 Henke A, Thuss-Patience P, Behzadi A, Henke O (2017) End-oflife care for immigrants in Germany. An epidemiological appraisal of Berlin. PLoS One. 12(8):e0182033
- Jansky M, Owusu-Boakye S, Nauck F (2017) Palliative care for patients with Turkish or Arabic migration background in Lower Saxony : a survey from palliative care professionals' perspective. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz 60(1):45–54
- Karim K, Bailey M, Tunna K (2000) Nonwhite ethnicity and the provision of specialist palliative care services: factors affecting doctors' referral patterns. Palliat Med 14(6):471–478
- Fountain A (1999) Ethnic minorities and palliative care in Derby. Palliat Med 13(2):161–162
- Koffman J, Ho YK, Davies J, Gao W, Higginson IJ (2014) Does ethnicity affect where people with cancer die? A population-based 10 year study. PLoS ONE 9(4):e95052
- 16. Sharpe KH, Cezard G, Bansal N, Bhopal RS, Brewster DH (2015) Policy for home or hospice as the preferred place of death from cancer: Scottish Health and Ethnicity Linkage Study population cohort shows challenges across all ethnic groups in Scotland. BMJ Support Palliat Care 5(4):443–451
- Adsersen M, Thygesen LC, Jensen AB, Neergaard MA, Sjogren P, Groenvold M (2017) Is admittance to specialised palliative care among cancer patients related to sex, age and cancer diagnosis? A nation-wide study from the Danish Palliative Care Database (DPD). BMC Palliat Care 16(1):21
- Adsersen M, Thygesen LC, Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Sjøgren P, Damkier A, Clausen LM, Groenvold M (2019) Cohabitation status influenced admittance to specialized palliative care for cancer patients: a nationwide study from the Danish Palliative Care Database. J Palliat Med 22(2):164–172
- 19. Groenvold M, Adsersen M, Hansen MB (2016) Danish Palliative Care Database. Clin Epidemiol 8:637–643
- StatisticsDenmark: http://www.dst.dk/da/Statistik/emner/befolkningog-valg/befolkning-og-befolkningsfremskrivning/folketal. In.; 2022. Accessed 15 Jan 2022

- 21. StatisticsDenmark: http://www.dst.dk/da/Statistik/emner/befol kning-og-valg/indvandrere-og-efterkommere/indvandrere-og-efter kommere. In.; 2022. Accessed 15 Jan 2022
- 22. StatisticsDenmark: http://www.statistikbanken.dk/statbank5a/ selectvarval/define.asp?PLanguage=0&subword=tabsel&MainT able=FOLK1C&PXSId=199115&tablestyle=&ST=SD&butto ns=0. In.; 2022. Accessed 15 Jan 2022
- Adsersen M, Thygesen LC, Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Sjogren P, Damkier A, Groenvold M (2017) Admittance to specialized palliative care (SPC) of patients with an assessed need: a study from the Danish palliative care database (DPD). Acta Oncol 56(9):1210–1217
- Radbruch L (2010) Payne S: White Paper on standards and norms for hospice and palliative care in Europe: part 2. Eur J Palliativ Care 17(1):22–33
- Timm H, Mikkelsen TB, Jarlbaek L (2017) [Specialized palliative care in Denmark lacks capacity and accessibility]. Ugeskr Laeger 179(26)
- 26. Helweg-Larsen K (2011) The Danish Register of causes of death. Scand J Public Health 39(7 Suppl):26–29
- Gjerstorff ML (2011) The Danish Cancer Registry. Scand J Public Health 39(7 Suppl):42–45
- Pedersen CB (2011) The Danish Civil Registration System. Scand J Public Health 39(7 Suppl):22–25
- 29. Jensen VM, Rasmussen AW (2011) Danish Education Registers. Scand J Public Health 39(7 Suppl):91–94
- Baadsgaard M, Quitzau J (2011) Danish registers on personal income and transfer payments. Scand J Public Health 39(7 Suppl):103-105
- Education GPS. Country. Denmark. Diagram of the education system [https://gpseducation.oecd.org/CountryProfile?primaryCou ntry=DNK]. Accessed 15 May 2022
- 32. Adsersen M, Thygesen LC, Neergaard MA, Sjøgren P, Mondrup L, Nissen JS, Clausen LM, Groenvold M (2022) Higher admittance to specialized palliative care for patients with high education and income: a nation-wide register-based study. J Palliat Med
- Hunt R, McCaul K (1998) Coverage of cancer patients by hospice services, South Australia, 1990 to 1993. Aust N Z J Public Health 22(1):45–48
- 34. Hunt RW, Fazekas BS, Luke CG, Priest KR, Roder DM (2002) The coverage of cancer patients by designated palliative services: a population-based study, South Australia, 1999. Palliat Med 16(5):403–409
- Bell CL, Kuriya M, Fischberg D (2011) Hospice referrals and code status: outcomes of inpatient palliative care consultations among Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders with cancer. J Pain Symptom Manage 42(4):557–564
- Chen H, Haley WE, Robinson BE, Schonwetter RS (2003) Decisions for hospice care in patients with advanced cancer. J Am Geriatr Soc 51(6):789–797
- 37. Fadul N, Elsayem A, Palmer JL, Zhang T, Braiteh F, Bruera E (2007) Predictors of access to palliative care services among patients who died at a comprehensive cancer center. J Palliat Med 10(5):1146–1152
- Greiner KA, Perera S, Ahluwalia JS (2003) Hospice usage by minorities in the last year of life: results from the National Mortality Followback Survey. J Am Geriatr Soc 51(7):970–978
- Hui D, Kim SH, Kwon JH, Tanco KC, Zhang T, Kang JH, Rhondali W, Chisholm G, Bruera E (2012) Access to palliative care among patients treated at a comprehensive cancer center. Oncologist 17(12):1574–1580
- 40. Jenkins TM, Chapman KL, Harshbarger DS, Townsend JS (2009) Hospice use among cancer decedents in Alabama, 2002–2005. Prev Chronic Dis 6(4):A119

- Keating NL, Herrinton LJ, Zaslavsky AM, Liu L, Ayanian JZ (2006) Variations in hospice use among cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 98(15):1053–1059
- 42. Lackan NA, Ostir GV, Freeman JL, Mahnken JD, Goodwin JS (2004) Decreasing variation in the use of hospice among older adults with breast, colorectal, lung, and prostate cancer. Med Care 42(2):116–122
- 43. Locher JL, Kilgore ML, Morrisey MA, Ritchie CS (2006) Patterns and predictors of home health and hospice use by older adults with cancer. J Am Geriatr Soc 54(8):1206–1211
- 44. Loggers ET, Maciejewski PK, Jimenez R, Nilsson M, Paulk E, Stieglitz H, Prigerson HG (2013) Predictors of intensive endof-life and hospice care in Latino and white advanced cancer patients. J Palliat Med 16(10):1249–1254
- 45. Miesfeldt S, Murray K, Lucas L, Chang CH, Goodman D, Morden NE (2012) Association of age, gender, and race with intensity of end-of-life care for Medicare beneficiaries with cancer. J Palliat Med 15(5):548–554
- 46. Ngo-Metzger Q, McCarthy EP, Burns RB, Davis RB, Li FP, Phillips RS (2003) Older Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders dying of cancer use hospice less frequently than older white patients. Am J Med 115(1):47–53
- 47. Sharma RK, Dy SM (2011) Documentation of information and care planning for patients with advanced cancer: associations with patient characteristics and utilization of hospital care. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 28(8):543–549
- Sharma RK, Cameron KA, Chmiel JS, Von Roenn JH, Szmuilowicz E, Prigerson HG, Penedo FJ (2015) Racial/ethnic differences in inpatient palliative care consultation for patients with advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol 33(32):3802–3808
- 49. Smith AK, Earle CC, McCarthy EP (2009) Racial and ethnic differences in end-of-life care in fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries with advanced cancer. J Am Geriatr Soc 57(1):153–158
- Virnig BA, Mcbean AM, Kind S, Dholakia R (2002) Hospice use before death - variability across cancer diagnoses. Med Care 40(1):73–78
- 51. Moen B (2011) Family obligations as regards elders in Pakistani families. In Norweigian "Det står i koranen": Familieforpliktelser overfor eldre i pakistanske familier. Norsk Antropologisk Tidsskrift 22(1):37–48
- 52. Raunkiær M (2012) Conceptions about death of elderly with ethnic minority background in own homes and in nursing homes. In Danish: forestillinger om døden blandt ældre med etnisk minoritetsbaggrund i eget hjem og på plejehjem. Klinisk Sygepleje. 26(3):61–73
- 53. Worth A, Irshad T, Bhopal R, Brown D, Lawton J, Grant E, Murray S, Kendall M, Adam J, Gardee R et al (2009) Vulnerability and access to care for South Asian Sikh and Muslim patients with life limiting illness in Scotland: prospective longitudinal qualitative study. BMJ 338:b183
- 54. World Health Organization (2018) Health of older refugees and migrants. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe (Technical guidance on refugee and migrant health)
- 55. Shabnam J, Timm HU, Nielsen DS, Raunkiær M (2022) palliative care utilisation among non-Western migrants in Denmark: a qualitative study of the experiences of patients, family caregivers

and healthcare professionals. Omega (Westport). https://doi.org/ 10.1177/00302228221111933

- Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Sokolowski I, Olesen F, Vedsted P (2012) Socioeconomic position and place of death of cancer patients. BMJ Support Palliat Care 2(2):133–139
- 57. Koffman J, Higginson IJ (2004) Dying to be home? Preferred location of death of first-generation black Caribbean and native-born white patients in the United Kingdom. J Palliat Med 7(5):628–636
- Schou-Andersen M, Ullersted MP, Jensen AB, Neergaard MA (2016) Factors associated with preference for dying at home among terminally ill patients with cancer. Scand J Caring Sci 30(3):466–476
- Vejlgaard TB, Sjogren P, Maagaard R (2007) Organisation and development of palliative care in Denmark. Ugeskr Laeger 169(44):3737–3740
- 60. Nielsen SS, Hempler NF, Waldorff FB, Kreiner S, Krasnik A (2012) Is there equity in use of healthcare services among immigrants, their descendents, and ethnic Danes? Scand J Public Health 40(3):260–270
- 61. Krasnik A, Norredam M, Sorensen TM, Michaelsen JJ, Nielsen AS, Keiding N (2002) Effect of ethnic background on Danish hospital utilisation patterns. Soc Sci Med 55(7):1207–1211
- 62. Neergaard MA, Olesen F, Sondergaard J, Vedsted P, Jensen AB (2015) Are cancer patients' socioeconomic and cultural factors associated with contact to general practitioners in the last phase of life? Int J Family Med 2015:952314
- Davison SN, Jhangri GS, Koffman J (2016) Knowledge of and attitudes towards palliative care and hospice services among patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. BMJ Support Palliat Care 6(1):66–74
- 64. Koffman J, Burke G, Dias A, Raval B, Byrne J, Gonzales J, Daniels C (2007) Demographic factors and awareness of palliative care and related services. Palliat Med 21(2):145–153
- 65. Rhodes RL, Teno JM, Welch LC (2006) Access to hospice for African Americans: are they informed about the option of hospice? J Palliat Med 9(2):268–272
- 66. Seymour J, Payne S, Chapman A, Holloway M (2007) Hospice or home? Expectations of end-of-life care among white and Chinese older people in the UK. Sociol Health Illn 29(6):872–890
- 67. Kristiansen M, Irshad T, Worth A, Bhopal R, Lawton J, Sheikh A (2014) The practice of hope: a longitudinal, multi-perspective qualitative study among South Asian Sikhs and Muslims with life-limiting illness in Scotland. Ethn Health 19(1):1–19
- Sallnow L, Smith R, Ahmedzai SH, Bhadelia A, Chamberlain C, Cong Y, Doble B, Dullie L, Durie R, Finkelstein EA et al (2022) Report of the Lancet Commission on the Value of Death: bringing death back into life. Lancet 399(10327):837–884

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.