SYMPOSIUM: COMPLICATIONS OF HIP ARTHROPLASTY

Histological Features of Pseudotumor-like Tissues From Metal-on-Metal Hips

Pat Campbell PhD, Edward Ebramzadeh PhD, Scott Nelson MD, Karren Takamura BA, Koen De Smet MD, Harlan C. Amstutz MD

Published online: 11 May 2010 © The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Background Pseudotumor-like periprosthetic tissue reactions around metal-on-metal (M-M) hip replacements can cause pain and lead to revision surgery. The cause of these reactions is not well understood but could be due to excessive wear, or metal hypersensitivity or an as-yet unknown cause. The tissue features may help distinguish reactions to high wear from those with suspected metal hypersensitivity.

One or more of the authors (PC) received funding from the Orthopaedic Hospital Foundation, St Vincent Medical Center, Wright Medical Technology, DePuy Inc, and Smith and Nephew Inc (Australia). One or more of the authors (HA, KD) are consultants for Wright Medical Technology. The institution of the authors (PC, EE) has received funding from Wright Medical Technology, DePuy Inc, Zimmer Inc, Smith & Nephew Inc, Stryker Inc and Biomet Inc. This work was conducted in conformity with ethical principles of research and the institutional review board of the principal author approved the use of the retrieval specimens in this study. This work was performed at the Implant Retrieval Laboratory, Orthopaedic Hospital, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

P. Campbell (⊠), E. Ebramzadeh, K. Takamura J. Vernon Luck Sr MD Orthopaedic Research Center, Orthopaedic Hospital/UCLA, 2400 S Flower Street, Los Angeles, CA 90007, USA e-mail: pcampbell@laoh.ucla.edu

K. De Smet ANCA Clinic, Ghent, Belgium

S. Nelson Department of Pathology, UCLA, Santa Monica/Orthopaedic Hospital, Santa Monica, CA, USA

H. C. Amstutz

Joint Replacement Institute, St Vincent Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Questions/purposes We therefore examined the synovial lining integrity, inflammatory cell infiltrates, tissue organization, necrosis and metal wear particles of pseudotumor-like tissues from M-M hips revised for suspected high wear related and suspected metal hypersensitivity causes.

Methods Tissue samples from 32 revised hip replacements with pseudotumor-like reactions were studied. A 10point histological score was used to rank the degree of aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis-associated lesions (ALVAL) by examination of synovial lining integrity, inflammatory cell infiltrates, and tissue organization. Lymphocytes, macrophages, plasma cells, giant cells, necrosis and metal wear particles were semiquantitatively rated. Implant wear was measured with a coordinate measuring machine. The cases were divided into those suspected of having high wear and those suspected of having metal hypersensitivity based on clinical, radiographic and retrieval findings. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the histological features in these two groups.

Results The tissues from patients revised for suspected high wear had a lower ALVAL score, fewer lymphocytes, but more macrophages and metal particles than those tissues from hips revised for pain and suspected metal hypersensitivity. The highest ALVAL scores occurred in patients who were revised for pain and suspected metal hypersensitivity. Component wear was lower in that group. *Conclusions* Pseudotumor-like reactions can be caused by high wear, but may also occur around implants with low wear, likely because of a metal hypersensitivity reaction. Histologic features including synovial integrity, inflammatory cell infiltrates, tissue organization, and metal particles may help differentiate these causes.

Clinical Relevance Painful hips with periprosthetic masses may be caused by high wear, but if this can be ruled out, metal hypersensitivity should be considered.

Introduction

The first generation hip resurfacing arthroplasty (HRA) developed in the 1970s used a cobalt-chromium or titanium alloy femoral component bearing against a polyethylene metal-backed acetabular component. These HRAs generated large volumes of polyethylene wear debris [18] and were highly susceptible to osteolysis and, because of this, they were largely abandoned. The reintroduction of metalon-metal (M-M) bearings in THAs [36] has encouraged their use in HRA as well [1, 28]. One design, the Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR), has been in wide use in the United Kingdom, Europe, and Australia for a decade [34] and received FDA approval for use in the United States in 2006. A dozen or more HRA designs are available in Europe; some of these are undergoing clinical trials and are pending FDA approval for use in the United States. Targeted to young and active patients, HRAs are expected to account for an increasing number of hip arthroplasties in the future [5]. Thus, it is important to understand the limitations and complications associated with these devices before their widespread use.

Femoral neck fractures and aseptic loosening account for the majority of HRA failures [6, 12] whereas, unlike their historical metal-polyethylene predecessors, osteolysis is not a common cause of failure in modern M-M HRAs [2, 19, 34]. This is consistent with their ability to operate with very low wear if factors such as surface smoothness and diametric clearance (the difference between the diameters of the femoral head and acetabular cup) are optimized [17, 32]. However, it is becoming evident that socket placement outside of a recommended range (30° to 50° abduction and 15° to 25° of anteversion) [9, 10, 23] can lead to a greater amount of metal release, particularly in small-diameter components [10, 22, 29]. Under such conditions, large quantities of particulate cobalt-chromium debris and associated corrosion products can lead to a variety of adverse reactions, including osteolysis [6, 9], periprosthetic soft tissue masses [9, 13, 14] and extensive necrosis [4, 29].

Recent reports from one large-volume resurfacing surgery center described "pseudotumors" forming in the hips of some female patients with M-M HRAs [30] which led the authors to speculate that a preoperative sensitization to metal may be a factor. This complication was estimated to occur in 1% of patients undergoing HRA within 5 years, but the incidence could be higher with longer followup and in patients with bilateral implants [25]. Subsequent studies by this group reported higher metal wear in patients with pseudotumors compared to patients without pseudotumors [20]. Pseudotumor-like, enlarged, fluid-filled bursae in HRAs with malpositioned acetabular components had been previously reported by our group [6, 9] and others [8]. However, pseudotumor-like reactions have also been reported in M-M HRAs without evidence of high wear or metal hypersensitivity [27] as well as in non-M-M bearing hips [16, 24]. The histology of pseudotumors includes features consistent with metal wear reactions (eg, macrophages with particles [9, 30]) as well as metal hypersensitivity (eg, lymphocytic aggregates, granulomas [26, 31]) although both may occur together or extensive necrosis may prevent detailed histological characterization [31].

The aim of this study was to compare the histopathologic features (synovial lining integrity, inflammatory cell infiltrates including lymphocytes, macrophages, plasma cells, giant cells, as well as tissue organization, necrosis and metal wear particles) in pseudotumor-like tissues from M-M hips revised for suspected high wear with pseudotumor-like tissues from M-M hips revised for unexplained pain and suspected metal hypersensitivity.

Materials and Methods

We selected from archived M-M hip retrievals 32 specimens that were submitted with an unusual soft tissue reaction described by the revising surgeons as an aseptic "soft tissue mass," "enlarged bursa," or a "cyst" which could be considered as "pseudotumor-like." Twenty-seven of the 32 cases were hip resurfacings (four articular surface replacements (ASR, DePuy International, Leeds, UK), 20 Birmingham Hip Resurfacings (BHR, Smith and Nephew, Memphis, TN), two Conserve Plus hip resurfacings (Wright Medical Technology, Memphis, TN), and one McMinn resurfacing (Corin, Cirencester, UK). The remaining five cases were conventional total hip arthroplasties (one Biomet M2 THA, Biomet, Warsaw, IN), one big femoral head THA (Wright Medical Technology), and three Metasul bearing total hips (Zimmer, Warsaw, IN). There were 23 females and nine males with average ages of 54 years (range, 18-68 years) and 62 years (range, 48-82 years), respectively. As documented by the revising surgeons, the reasons for revision were acetabular malposition (steep abduction angle, excessive or insufficient anteversion, n = 15), unexplained pain (i.e., in the absence of infection, radiographic loosening or malposition, and where metal sensitivity was suspected, n = 9), and aseptic loosening (n = 5).

We calculated the wear depth of 24 of the 32 explanted components including HRAs that had not been sectioned and were still intact by digitizing 300 to 400 points on the bearing surface with a coordinate measurement machine (BMT 504; Mitotoyo, Aurora, IL). The remainder had been sectioned without prior wear measurements for a separate study. The resolution of this equipment was approximately 4 μ m, so wear depths at or below this level were considered

"undetectable." Acetabular cup abduction angles were measured by the revising surgeons on AP radiographs in 28 of the 32 cases using standard radiographic techniques [33] (four cases had poor-quality radiographs deemed unsuitable for this analysis). This involved measuring the angle between a line connecting the ischial spines and another line drawn tangent to the opening of the cup, representing the large diameter of the ellipse. This method is widely used in clinical practice for postoperative measurement of cup position [35].

Twenty-two of the tissue samples submitted were large, smooth-walled sacs, and some of the tissues were clearly metal-stained (Fig. 1). All of the tissues were fixed in 10% formalin immediately after removal. They were weighed, measured, photographed, and their gross appearance was noted. From two to five tissue samples from several sites, especially if there were variations in color or texture of the specimen, were embedded in paraffin blocks for routine sectioning and staining with hematoxylin and eosin. Three of us (PC, SN, KT) examined at least six tissue specimens per case semiquantitatively for lymphocytes, macrophages, plasma cells, giant cells, necrosis and metal wear particles using the method of Doorn et al. [11], i.e., where a zero to 3 plus score is given as features of interest become more numerous in a high power $40 \times$ microscopic field of view. This type of method reportedly has an interobserver agreement level of 0.91 [3].

Each case was also given an ALVAL (aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis-associated lesion) score of 1 to 10 (Table 1); ALVAL has been applied to a unique, lymphocyte-dominated reaction in M-M periprosthetic tissues [37]. To check the reproducibility of scoring, two of us (PC, KT) performed the scoring in a blinded fashion on two



Fig. 1 An enlarged fluid-filled bursa excised from the hip of a male patient during revision surgery for acetabular malpositioning 13 months after metal-on-metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty is shown. There is light gray discoloration and the wear measurement of the explanted component showed an annual femoral wear rate of 12.8 μ m.

separate occasions. The kappa coefficient for interobserver variability showed a correlation between the two observers of 0.71 and between the two separate measurements of each observer of 0.68. Using only the histologic features and the ALVAL scores, each observer predicted whether each case failed in association with high-wear, suspected metal hypersensitivity, or some other cause. The kappa coefficient for interobserver variability was used to determine the validity of these predictions against the actual wear measurement from the retrievals. The kappa coefficient for the agreement between the first observer's prediction and the retrieval findings was between 0.69 and 0.81 and the second observer's between 0.43 and 0.73. The kappa coefficient for the prediction regarding the

Table 1. Histologic scoring criteria for ALVAL score

Points	Synovial lining			
0	Intact synovial lining			
1	Focal loss of synovial surface, fibrin attachment may occur			
2	Moderate to marked loss of synovial surface, fibrin attachment			
3	Complete loss of synovium, abundant attached fibrin and /or necrosis of lining tissue			
Points	Inflammatory infiltrate			
0	Minimal inflammatory cell infiltrates			
1	Predominantly macrophages, occasional lymphocytes may occur			
2	Mix of macrophages and lymphocytes, either diffuse and/or small (< 50% of hpf) perivascular aggregates			
3	Mix of macrophages and lymphocytes, large (> 50% hpf) perivascular aggregates may occur			
4	Predominantly lymphocytes, mostly in multiple, large (> 50% hpf) perivascular aggregates, follicles may be present			
Points	Tissue organization			
0	Normal tissue arrangement			
1	Mostly normal tissue arrangement, small areas of synovial hyperplasia, focal necrosis may occur			
2	Marked loss of normal arrangement, appearance of distinct cellular and acellular zones, thick fibrous layers may occur			
3	Perivascular lymphocytic aggregates mostly located distally, thick acellular areas may occur			
	Sum			
	Low = 0-4			
	Moderate = $5-8$			
	High = 9-10			

ALVAL = aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis-associated lesion; hpf = high-power field.

association of a case with high wear was higher for the observer with many years of experience with histologic analysis of retrieval tissues (PC) compared with the second, less experienced observer.

The independent variable considered in this study was whether the patients were revised with suspected high wear or with suspected metal hypersensitivity. The dependent variables were the histologic features related to the intensity of the inflammatory reaction: ALVAL score, lymphocytes, macrophages, plasma cells, giant cells, necrosis, and metal particles. Univariate analysis was used to determine the mean, median, SD, and distribution for each variable as necessary. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the femoral wear and wear rate of patients with suspected high wear with those suspected to have metal hypersensitivity. Likewise, histologic ratings for ALVAL were compared in these two groups. The Mann-Whitney test was determined to be appropriate because the dependent variables were not normally distributed.

Results

When comparing the histologic features in the tissues of patients revised for suspected high wear with those revised for pain and suspected metal sensitivity, the higher wear cases had a lower (p < 0.001) ALVAL score, fewer lymphocytes but more macrophages and metal particles (Table 2). Histologically, there was considerable variability in the amount and distribution of metal debris, the number and type and arrangement of inflammatory cells, and the degree of necrosis. Very few tissues demonstrated an intact synovial lining and there was often a layer of adherent fibrin, organized fibrin, or necrosis on the joint cavity side of the tissue. Macrophages and lymphocytes were present in all cases, but those with extensive

Table 2. Results of the semiquantitative evaluation of histologic features for cases revised for suspected high wear and for unexplained pain/suspected metal sensitivity

Variable (p value)	Suspected wear related		Suspected metal sensitivity	
	Average	SD	Average	SD
ALVAL score ($p < 0.001$)	3.6	2.5	8.5	1.4
Macrophages (p < 0.001)	2.7	0.5	1.7	0.5
Lymphocytes ($p = 0.001$)	1.4	0.6	2.5	0.7
Metal particles ($p = 0.008$)	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.5
Necrosis ($p = 0.29$)	1.0	0.7	1.4	0.7
Tidemark ($p = 0.03$)	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.5
Layering organization $(p = 0.024)$	0.28	0.5	0.8	0.4

ALVAL = aseptic lymphocytic vasculitis-associated lesion.

infiltrates of macrophages tended to have smaller lymphocyte aggregates (Fig. 2). This was in contrast to the appearance of very large, dense lymphocyte aggregates, often arranged distal to the surface (Fig. 3), which were more often seen in association with small to moderate amounts of macrophages. The highest ALVAL scores occurred in patients revised for pain and suspected metal sensitivity. Most of the tissues had focal to moderate



Fig. 2 Light micrograph showing typical histologic features of high wear cases, including organized fibrin (F), a diffuse, extensive infiltration of slate blue/gray macrophages, and a small aggregate of lymphocytes (arrows) (Stain, hematoxylin and eosin, original magnification $\times 40$). This received an ALVAL score of 5 (2 for synovial lining, 2 for inflammatory infiltrate, and 1 for tissue organization).



Fig. 3 Light micrograph showing typical histologic features of cases revised for suspected metal sensitivity, including a thick, mostly acellular tidemark area lined by fibrin (F) and thick, dense aggregates of lymphocytes at the rear of the tissue (arrows) (Stain, hematoxylin and eosin, original magnification \times 40). This received an ALVAL score of 10 (3 for synovial lining, 4 for inflammatory infiltrate, and 3 for tissue organization).



Fig. 4 Light micrograph showing dense lymphocyte aggregates behind a thick necrotic fibrous tissue layer. The tissues were from a male patient with a THA that was revised for pain after 2 years. Extensive necrosis was found at revision, but the component wear was within normal range (Stain, hematoxylin and eosin, original magnification \times 40).

necrosis and one case had necrosis that dominated most of the tissue sections (Fig. 4).

The average femoral wear rate for the components from patients revised for suspected high wear was 19.9 microns per year (standard deviation 18.1, range 3.1-76.2 microns per year) and was higher (p = 0.003) than that for components from patients revised for pain and suspected metal sensitivity (average 3.7, SD 2.2, range 1.5–6.7 microns per year).

Discussion

Pseudotumors, masses and enlarged bursae have been reported in hips with M-M bearings associated with pain and swelling. The cause of these reactions is unclear but several authors have suggested it is a reaction to high wear [20, 30] or to metal hypersensitivity [15, 26, 30]. This study was conducted to compare the histology of pseudotumor-like tissues from hips suspected to have high wear with those from patients with pain and suspected metal sensitivity and our results support the formation of pseudotumors from both wear and hypersensitivity reactions.

We acknowledge several major limitations. First, we did not provide any specific morphologic criteria for the tissue specimens we studied because we were confident experienced orthopaedic surgeons would recognize an unusual, adverse reaction. For this reason, we have used the term "pseudotumor-like" and we are confident that the submitted specimens, even though labeled as masses, cysts or enlarged bursae, were comparable to the cystic or solid pseudotumors described by Pandit et al. [30]. Similar histological features were noted in pseudotumor and pseudocapsule tissues in our analysis (results not shown), a finding also reported by Mahendra et al. [26]. Thus, even if some of our samples were actually misclassified thickened capsules, the results of our analysis remain valid. Second, we cannot prove a presumptive diagnosis of metal sensitivity. Unlike component wear or serum ion levels, which can be measured with a known degree of accuracy, there are currently no definitive blood tests or histopathologic criteria to diagnose metal hypersensitivity. However, we devised a working postulate to diagnose hypersensitivity: early onset of pain, the absence of other reasons for pain (such as loosening, impingement, infection, or high wear), and the resolution of symptoms after the removal of the cobalt-chromium components. Other clinical reports have noted similar features in patients suspected to have a metal hypersensitivity reaction [7, 37]. We recognize that it is possible for metal hypersensitivity to coexist with any of these other causes of pain and we also recognize that there is variability in the clinical presentation that will confound this working definition. Third, we observed a range of intra- and interobserver statistics for light microscopic tissue features. This is to be expected given that we were using a semiquantitative scoring system and that the importance of histologic features is subject to individual interpretation. The histologic rating is meant to be used in conjunction with the case history, radiographic findings, and retrieval findings and when so used, the interpretation of histologic features is more likely to predict their cause.

Our semiquantitative analyses demonstrated substantial differences in the histological features of pseudotumor-like tissues from patients with high wear compared with those tissues from patients suspected to have metal hypersensitivity. The tissues from both groups contained macrophages and lymphocytes in variable amounts and distributions but applying the ALVAL rating allowed clear patterns to emerge. In particular, there was generally less disruption of the synovial surface, and greater preservation of the normal tissue architecture in the high wear group. In contrast, the most extensive damage to the tissues and the densest lymphocyte aggregates occurred in patients suspected to have a metal hypersensitivity reaction and typically this occurred in the absence of high wear. The variability we noted is consistent with other histologic reports; Pandit et al. [30] noted scattered, focally heavy macrophage and lymphocytic infiltrates, including lymphoid aggregates, in formal biopsy samples of pseudotumors from 10 hip resurfacings revised for pain and/or pseudotumor formation. Metal particles were present but not prominent in the tissues. Similar findings were reported in two female patients with masses causing femoral neuropathy around unilateral hip resurfacings [15]. Wear measurements were not provided for the

implants associated with these pseudotumors and it is not clear if the patients had risk factors for high wear such as small component size and implant malposition.

One recent study by Langton et al. [21] analyzed tissues from 17 patients with M-M hips following revision for an adverse response to metal debris including pseudotumor formation. They reported substantially higher component wear and blood ion levels in these patients compared with those revised for other reasons. Their histological examination noted ALVAL features such as synovial ulceration and perivascular lymphocytes which ranged from absent to moderate. The lack of lymphocytes in some of their cases and the absence of high levels of lymphocytic infiltrates in this group of patients with high wear is consistent with our observations. We suggest that using the ALVAL score will promote more standardized reporting of the histological features of tissues removed from M-M hips.

Acknowledgments We thank Dr Zhen Lu for performing the CMM measurements, and Dr Fabrizio Billi for helpful discussions.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- 1. Amstutz HC, Le Duff MJ. Background of metal-on-metal resurfacing. Proc Inst Mech Eng [H]. 2006;220:85–94.
- Amstutz HC, Le Duff MJ. Eleven years of experience with metalon-metal hybrid hip resurfacing: A review of 1000 conserve plus. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23:36–43.
- Aroukatos P, Repanti M, Repantis T, Bravou V, Korovessis P. Immunologic adverse reaction associated with low-carbide metalon-metal bearings in total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009 December 18. [Epub ahead of print].
- Boardman DR, Middleton FR, Kavanagh TG. A benign psoas mass following metal-on-metal resurfacing of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2006;88:402–404.
- Bozic KJ, Kurtz S, Lau E, Ong K, Chiu V, Vail TP, Rubash HE, Berry DJ. The epidemiology of bearing surface usage in total hip arthroplasty in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2009;91: 1614–1620.
- Campbell P, Beaule P, Ebramzadeh E, Le Duff M, De Smet K, Lu Z, Amstutz H. A study of implant failure in metal-on-metal surface arthroplasties. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2006;453:35–46.
- Campbell P, Shimmin A, Walter L, Solomon M. Metal sensitivity as a cause of groin pain in metal-on-metal hip resurfacing. *J Arthroplasty.* 2008;23:1080–1085.
- Clayton RA, Beggs I, Salter DM, Grant MH, Patton JT, Porter DE. Inflammatory pseudotumor associated with femoral nerve palsy following metal-on-metal resurfacing of the hip. A case report. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:1988–1993.
- De Haan R, Campbell PA, Su EP, De Smet KA. Revision of metal-on-metal resurfacing arthroplasty of the hip: The influence of malposition of the components. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2008;90:1158–1163.

- De Haan R, Pattyn C, Gill HS, Murray DW, Campbell PA, De Smet K. Correlation between inclination of the acetabular component and metal ion levels in metal-on-metal hip resurfacing replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2008;90:1291–1297.
- Doorn PF, Mirra JM, Campbell PA, Amstutz HC. Tissue reaction to metal on metal total hip prostheses. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1996;329 Suppl:S187–S205.
- Graves S. National joint replacement registry annual report 2009. AOA National Joint Replacement Registry Web site. Available at: http://www.dmac.adelaide.edu.au/aoanjrr/publications.jsp. Accessed Septemper 1, 2009.
- Gruber FW, Bock A, Trattnig S, Lintner F, Ritschl P. Cystic lesion of the groin due to metallosis: A rare long-term complication of metal-on-metal total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2007;22:923–927.
- Hart AJ, Sabah S, Henckel J, Lewis A, Cobb J, Sampson B, Mitchell A, Skinner JA. The painful metal-on-metal hip resurfacing. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2009;91:738–744.
- Harvie P, Giele H, Fang C, Ansorge O, Ostlere S, Gibbons M, Whitwell D. The treatment of femoral neuropathy due to pseudotumour caused by metal-on-metal resurfacing arthroplasty. *Hip Int.* 2008;18:313–320.
- Howie DW, Cain CM, Cornish BL. Pseudo-abscess of the psoas bursa in failed double-cup arthroplasty of the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1991;73:29–32.
- Isaac GH, Siebel T, Schmalzried TP, Cobb AG, O'Sullivan T, Oakeshott RD, Flett M, Vail TP. Development rationale for an articular surface replacement: A science-based evolution. *Proc Inst Mech Eng [H].* 2006;220:253–268.
- Kabo JM, Gebhard JS, Loren G, Amstutz HC. In vivo wear of polyethylene acetabular components. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1993;75:254–258.
- Khan M, Kuiper JH, Edwards D, Robinson E, Richardson JB. Birmingham hip arthroplasty: five to eight years of prospective multicenter results. *J Arthroplasty*. 2009;24:1044–1050.
- Kwon YM, Glyn-Jones S, Simpson DJ, Kamali A, McLardy-Smith P, Gill HS, Murray DW. Analysis of wear of retrieved metal-on-metal hip resurfacing implants revised due to pseudotumours. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2010;92:356–361.
- Langton DJ, Jameson SS, Joyce TJ, Hallab NJ, Natu S, Nargol AV. Early failure of metal-on-metal bearings in hip resurfacing and large-diameter total hip replacement: A consequence of excess wear. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2010;92:38–46.
- Langton DJ, Jameson SS, Joyce TJ, Webb J, Nargol AV. The effect of component size and orientation on the concentrations of metal ions after resurfacing arthroplasty of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2008;90:1143–1151.
- 23. Langton DJ, Sprowson AP, Joyce TJ, Reed M, Carluke I, Partington P, Nargol AV. Blood metal ion concentrations after hip resurfacing arthroplasty: A comparative study of articular surface replacement and Birmingham hip resurfacing arthroplasties. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2009;91:1287–1295.
- 24. Leigh W, O'Grady P, Lawson EM, Hung NA, Theis JC, Matheson J. Pelvic pseudotumor: An unusual presentation of an extraarticular granuloma in a well-fixed total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23:934–938.
- Mabilleau G, Kwon YM, Pandit H, Murray DW, Sabokbar A. Metal-on-metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty: A review of periprosthetic biological reactions. *Acta Orthop.* 2008;79:734–747.
- Mahendra G, Pandit H, Kliskey K, Murray D, Gill HS, Athanasou N. Necrotic and inflammatory changes in metal-on-metal resurfacing hip arthroplasties. *Acta Orthop.* 2009;80:653–659.
- Malviya A, Holland JP. Pseudotumours associated with metal-onmetal hip resurfacing: 10-year Newcastle experience. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2009;75:477–483.

- McMinn D, Daniel J. History and modern concepts in surface replacement. *Proc Inst Mech Eng. J. Eng. Med.* [H]. 2006;220: 239–251.
- 29. Ollivere B, Darrah C, Barker T, Nolan J, Porteous MJ. Early clinical failure of the Birmingham metal-on-metal hip resurfacing is associated with metallosis and soft-tissue necrosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2009;91:1025–1030.
- Pandit H, Glyn-Jones S, McLardy-Smith P, Gundle R, Whitwell D, Gibbons CL, Ostlere S, Athanasou N, Gill HS, Murray DW. Pseudotumours associated with metal-on-metal hip resurfacings. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2008;90:847–851.
- Pandit H, Vlychou M, Whitwell D, Crook D, Luqmani R, Ostlere S, Murray DW, Athanasou NA. Necrotic granulomatous pseudotumours in bilateral resurfacing hip arthoplasties: Evidence for a type iv immune response. *Virchows Arch.* 2008;453:529–534.
- 32. Rieker CB, Schon R, Konrad R, Liebentritt G, Gnepf P, Shen M, Roberts P, Grigoris P. Influence of the clearance on in-vitro tribology

of large diameter metal-on-metal articulations pertaining to resurfacing hip implants. Orthop Clin North Am. 2005;36:135–142.

- Sarmiento A, Ebramzadeh E, Gogan WJ, McKellop HA. Cup containment and orientation in cemented total hip arthroplasties. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1990;72:996–1002.
- Shimmin A, Beaule PE, Campbell P. Current concept review. Metal-on-metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:637–654.
- 35. Wan Z, Malik A, Jaramaz B, Chao L, Dorr LD. Imaging and navigation measurement of acetabular component position in THA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009;467:32–42.
- 36. Weber BG. Experience with the Metasul total hip bearing system. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1996;329 Suppl:S69–S77.
- 37. Willert H-G, Buchhorn GH, Fayyazi A, Flury R, Windler M, Koster G, Lohmann CH. Metal-on-metal bearings and hypersensitivity in patients with artificial hip joints. A clinical and histomorphological study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2005;87:28–36.