## Holomorphic line bundles on a domain of a two-dimensional Stein manifold

by MAKOTO ABE (Kumamoto)

**Abstract.** Let D be an open subset of a two-dimensional Stein manifold S. Then D is Stein if and only if every holomorphic line bundle L on D is the line bundle associated to some (not necessarily effective) Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on D.

**1. Introduction.** It is well known that every holomorphic line bundle L on a projective algebraic manifold P is associated to some (not necessarily effective) Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on P (see Griffiths–Harris [5, p. 161]). A similar fact holds for reduced Stein spaces. For every holomorphic line bundle L on a reduced Stein space S there exists a holomorphic global section s of L such that s does not vanish identically on any irreducible component of S. Therefore L is the line bundle associated to the effective Cartier divisor div(s) on S (see Gunning [6, pp. 120–122]).

On the other hand a holomorphic line bundle L on the punctured didisk  $X := \Delta^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ , which is not Stein, is holomorphically trivial if and only if L is the line bundle associated to some Cartier divisor on X (see Remark 1.4 of Ballico [1]).

In this paper we prove that an open subset D of a two-dimensional Stein manifold S is Stein if and only if every holomorphic line bundle L on D is the line bundle associated to some (not necessarily effective) Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on D (Theorem 3). By using the method of Kajiwara–Kazama [10] the proof is deduced from the above-mentioned property of the punctured didisk.

If S is a Stein manifold of dimension more than two, then there can exist an open subset D of S such that D is not Stein and every holomorphic line bundle L on D is the line bundle associated to some effective Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on D.

**2. Lemmas.** Let X be a reduced complex space. Let  $\mathfrak{d}$  be a Cartier divisor on X defined by a meromorphic Cousin-II distribution  $\{(U_i, m_i)\}_{i \in I}$ 

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 32E10, 32L10, 32T05.

Key words and phrases: holomorphic line bundle, Cartier divisor, Stein manifold.

## M. Abe

on X. We denote by  $[\mathfrak{d}]$  the holomorphic line bundle on X defined by the cochain  $\{m_i/m_j\} \in Z^1(\{U_i\}_{i \in I}, \mathscr{O}^*)$ . We say that  $[\mathfrak{d}]$  is the holomorphic line bundle associated to  $\mathfrak{d}$ .

Let  $\Delta := \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$ . A holomorphic line bundle L on the punctured didisk  $X := \Delta^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  is holomorphically trivial if and only if there exists a Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on X such that  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$  (see Remark 1.4 of Ballico [1]). More generally we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let S be a two-dimensional Stein manifold with  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Let A be a non-empty discrete subset of S. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on the open set  $S \setminus A$ . Then L is holomorphically trivial if and only if there exists a Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on  $S \setminus A$  such that  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on  $D := S \setminus A$  such that  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$ . Since D is Cousin-II (see Theorem 8.25 of Hitotumatu [7, p. 174]),  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$  is holomorphically trivial. The converse is clear.

Let S be a reduced complex space and D an open subset of S. Let p be a boundary point of D in S. Then we say that D is *locally Stein* at p if there exists a neighborhood U of p in S such that  $U \cap D$  is Stein. By using the method of the proof of Lemma 11 of Kajiwara–Kazama [10] we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let S be a purely two-dimensional reduced Stein space and D an open subset of S. Assume that for every holomorphic line bundle L on D there exists a Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on D such that  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$ . Then D is locally Stein at every point  $p \in \partial D \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(S)$ .

Proof. Assume that there exists a point  $p \in \partial D \setminus \operatorname{Sing}(S)$  such that Dis not locally Stein at p. Since S is Stein, there exist a holomorphic map  $\psi : S \to \mathbb{C}^2$  and a neighborhood W of p such that W is non-singular,  $\psi(W)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\psi|_W : W \to \psi(W)$  is biholomorphic (see Grauert–Remmert [4, p. 151]). Take a Stein open subset V of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\psi(p) \in V \Subset \psi(W)$ . Then  $U := \psi^{-1}(V) \cap W$  is a Stein neighborhood of p and  $\psi(U) = V$ . Since D is not locally Stein at p, the open set  $\psi(D \cap U)$  is not Stein. By Lemma 1 of Kajiwara–Kazama [10] (see also the proof of Lemma 11 of [10]) there exist  $H, P, \varepsilon, \varphi$  and  $(b_1, b_2)$  with the following properties:

$$\begin{split} H &= \{ (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |w_1| < 1, \ |w_2| < 1 \} \\ &\cup \{ (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid 1 - 2\varepsilon < |w_1| < 1 + 2\varepsilon, \ |w_2| < 1 + 2\varepsilon \}, \\ P &= \{ (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |w_1| < 1 + 2\varepsilon, \ |w_2| < 1 + 2\varepsilon \}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1/2, \\ \varphi : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ is a biholomorphic map}, \quad \varphi(H) \subset \psi(D \cap U), \\ |b_1| &\leq 1 - 2\varepsilon, \quad |b_2| = 1, \quad \varphi(b_1, b_2) \in \partial(\psi(D \cap U)). \end{split}$$

Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) := \varphi^{-1} \circ \psi : S \to \mathbb{C}^2$ . Let  $T := \{ |\theta_1| < 1 + 2\varepsilon \}, T_0 := \{ |\theta_2| < \varepsilon \}$  $1+2\varepsilon\}\cap T\cap U$  and  $T_1:=\{|\theta_2|>1+\varepsilon\}\cup (T\setminus \overline{U})$ . Then T is a Stein open subset of S and  $\{T_0, T_1\}$  is an open covering of T. The function  $1/(\theta_2 - b_2)$  is holomorphic on  $T_0 \cap T_1$ . Since  $H^1(\{T_0, T_1\}, \mathscr{O}) = 0$ , there exist  $v_i \in \mathscr{O}(T_i)$ , i = 1, 2, such that  $1/(\theta_2 - b_2) = v_1 - v_0$  on  $T_0 \cap T_1$ . We define a meromorphic function v on T by the equalities  $v = v_0 + 1/(\theta_2 - b_2)$  on  $T_0$  and  $v = v_1$  on  $T_1$ . Then v is holomorphic on  $(\{\theta_2 \neq b_2\} \cap T_0) \cup T_1$ . Let  $D_1 := \{\theta_1 \neq b_1\} \cap D$  and  $D_2 := ((\{\theta_2 \neq b_2\} \cap T) \cup (T \setminus \overline{U})) \cap D$ . Then  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  is an open covering of D and the function  $v/(\theta_1 - b_1)$  is holomorphic on  $D_1 \cap D_2$ . By assumption there exist invertible meromorphic functions  $g_i$  on  $D_i$ , i = 1, 2, such that  $\exp(v/(\theta_1-b_1)) = g_1/g_2$  on  $D_1 \cap D_2$ . The function  $g'_1 := \exp(-v_0/(\theta_1-b_1)) g_1$ is meromorphic on  $T_0 \cap D_1$  and  $\exp(1/((\theta_1 - b_1)(\theta_2 - b_2))) = g_1'/g_2$  on  $T_0 \cap D_1 \cap D_2$ . Let  $H_i := \{(w_1, w_2) \in H \mid w_i \neq b_i\}$  and  $P_i := \{(w_1, w_2) \in H \mid w_i \neq b_i\}$  $P \mid w_i \neq b_i$  for i = 1, 2. Since P is the envelope of holomorphy of H, the open set  $P_i$  is the envelope of holomorphy of  $H_i$  for each i = 1, 2 by Satz 7 of Grauert–Remmert [3] (see Theorem 2.5.9 of Jarnicki–Pflug [8, p. 182]). Since  $H_i \subset \theta(U)$  and  $\theta^{-1}(H_i) \cap U \subset T_0 \cap D_i$  for i = 1, 2, the function  $f_1 := g'_1 \circ (\theta|_U)^{-1}$  is meromorphic on  $H_1$  and  $f_2 := g_2 \circ (\theta|_U)^{-1}$  is meromorphic on  $H_2$ . By Proposition 3 of Kajiwara–Sakai [11] there exists a meromorphic function  $\tilde{f}_i$  on  $P_i$  such that  $\tilde{f}_i = f_i$  on  $H_i$  for each i = 1, 2. Since  $f_i$  is invertible on  $H_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}_i$  is also invertible on  $P_i$  by the theorem of identity. We have  $\exp(1/((w_1 - b_1)(w_2 - b_2))) = \tilde{f}_1/\tilde{f}_2$  on  $P_1 \cap P_2$ . This contradicts Lemma 1 because the function  $\exp(1/((w_1 - b_1)(w_2 - b_2))) \in \mathscr{O}^*(P_1 \cap P_2)$ defines a non-trivial holomorphic line bundle on  $P_1 \cup P_2 = P \setminus \{(b_1, b_2)\}$  (see Lemma 1 of Kajiwara [9] or Serre [12, p. 372]).

**3.** Theorem. We have the following theorem which characterizes a Stein open subset of a two-dimensional Stein manifold.

THEOREM 3. Let S be a two-dimensional Stein manifold and D an open subset of S. Then the following four conditions are equivalent.

- (1) D is Stein.
- (2) For every holomorphic line bundle L on D there exists an effective Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on D such that  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$ .
- (3) For every holomorphic line bundle L on D there exists a Cartier divisor  $\mathfrak{d}$  on D such that  $L = [\mathfrak{d}]$ .
- (4) The image of the natural homomorphism  $H^1(D, \mathscr{O}^*) \to H^1(D, \mathscr{M}^*)$  vanishes.

*Proof.*  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . By Lemma 2 the open set D is locally Stein at every boundary point p of D in S. It follows that D is Stein by the theorem of Docquier–Grauert [2].

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Every holomorphic line bundle L on an arbitrary reduced Stein space is associated to some Cartier divisor  $[\mathfrak{d}]$ . For the proof of this fact we refer to Gunning [6, pp. 120–122].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ . Clear.

For an open subset D of a Stein manifold S such that dim  $S \ge 3$  the theorem above does not hold. As an example, we take a non-empty analytic subset A of S such that codim  $A \ge 3$ . The open subset  $D := S \setminus A$  of S is not Stein. Let L be an arbitrary holomorphic line bundle on D. There exists a holomorphic line bundle  $\tilde{L}$  on S such that  $\tilde{L}|_D = L$  (see Shiffman [13, p. 340]). Since S is Stein, there exists an effective divisor  $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$  on S such that  $\tilde{L} = [\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}]$ . Then we have  $L = [\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}|_D]$  and condition (2) of Theorem 3 is satisfied.

## References

- [1] E. Ballico, Holomorphic vector bundles on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , Israel J. Math. 128 (2002), 197–204.
- [2] F. Docquier und H. Grauert, Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz f
  ür Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Ann. 140 (1960), 94–123.
- [3] H. Grauert und R. Remmert, Konvexität in der komplexen Analysis. Nicht-holomorph-konvexe Holomorphiegebiete und Anwendungen auf die Abbildungstheorie, Comment. Math. Helv. 31 (1956), 152–183.
- [4] —, —, Theory of Stein Spaces, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 236, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [5] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [6] R. C. Gunning, Introduction to Holomorphic Functions of Several Variables, Vol. 3, Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1990.
- [7] S. Hitotumatu, Theory of Analytic Functions of Several Variables, Baifûkan, Tokyo, 1960 (in Japanese).
- [8] M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug, *Extension of Holomorphic Functions*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- J. Kajiwara, On Thullen's example of a Cousin-II domain, Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ. 9 (1964), 1–8.
- [10] J. Kajiwara and H. Kazama, Two dimensional complex manifold with vanishing cohomology set, Math. Ann. 204 (1973), 1–12.
- J. Kajiwara and E. Sakai, Generalization of Levi–Oka's theorem concerning meromorphic functions, Nagoya Math. J. 29 (1967), 75–84.
- [12] J.-P. Serre, Prolongement de faisceaux analytiques cohérents, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16 (1966), no. 1, 363–374.
- B. Shiffman, Extension of positive line bundles and meromorphic maps, Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 332–347.

School of Health Sciences Kumamoto University Kumamoto 862-0976, Japan E-mail: mabe@hs.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

> Reçu par la Rédaction le 27.10.2003 Révisé le 12.3.2004

(1479)