HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS OF POLYDISCS INTO COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

K. KODAIRA

In this paper we prove an inequality in the manner of the Nevanlinna theory expressing certain properties of holomorphic mappings of *n*-dimensional polydiscs into compact complex manifolds of the same dimension and discuss some of its applications.

1. Let W be a compact complex manifold of dimension n. For a point w in W, we denote a local coordinate of w by (w^1, w^2, \dots, w^n) . Take a complex line bundle L over W. By a theorem of de Rham, the Chern class c(L) of L can be regarded as a d-cohomology class of d-closed 2-forms on W. We say that a real (1, 1)-form

$$\gamma = i \sum_{lpha,eta=1}^n g_{lphaeta}(w) dw^lpha \wedge d\overline{w}^{\,eta} \;, \qquad i = \sqrt{-1} \;,$$

on W is positive semidefinite (or positive definite) if the Hermitian matrix $(g_{\alpha\beta}(w))_{\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,n}$ is positive semidefinite (or positive definite) at every point $w \in W$. Denote the canonical bundle of W by K. In this section we assume the existence of a complex line bundle L over W together with a positive integer m satisfying the following condition: The Chern class c(L) contains a positive semidefinite d-closed real (1, 1)-form and

(1)
$$\dim H^0(W, \mathcal{O}(K^m \otimes L^{-1})) > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}(K^m \otimes L^{-1})$ denotes the sheaf over W of germs of holomorphic sections of $K^m \otimes L^{-1}$.

Cover W by a finite number of small neighborhoods U_j , j = 1, 2, ..., and fix a local coordinate: $w \to (w_j^1, ..., w_j^n)$ on each U_j . Take a 1-cocycle $\{l_{jk}\}$ determining the line bundle L composed of nonvanishing holomorphic functions $l_{jk} = l_{jk}(w)$ defined, respectively, on $U_j \cap U_k$. We then find a 0-cochain $\{a_j\}$ composed of C^{∞} -differentiable functions $a_j = a_j(w) > 0$ defined, respectively, on U_j satisfying

$$a_{j}(w)^{m} = |l_{jk}(w)|^{2} a_{k}(w)^{m}$$
, on $U_{j} \cap U_{k}$,

such that

Received September 14, 1970, and, in revised form, November 5, 1970.

$$\gamma = i \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} g_{j_{\alpha\beta}}(w) dw_{j}^{\alpha} \wedge d\overline{w}_{j}^{\beta} = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log a_{j}(w)$$

is positive semidefinite. Note that the *d*-closed real (1, 1)-form $m\gamma$ belongs to the Chern class c(L). We choose a holomorphic section

 $\varphi \in H^0(W, \mathcal{O}(K^m \otimes L^{-1})) , \qquad \varphi \neq 0 ,$

and denote by $\varphi_j(w)$ the fibre coordinate of $\varphi(w)$ over U_j . It is clear that

$$v = a_j(w) | arphi_j(w) |^{2/m} (i/2)^n dw_j^1 \wedge d\overline{w}_j^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_j^n \wedge d\overline{w}_j^n$$

is a volume element, i.e., a real continuous 2*n*-form which is nonnegative everywhere on W. Fix a point $p^0 \in W$ such that $\varphi(p^0) \neq 0$, and assume that $p^0 \in U_1$. We normalize the volume element v by the condition:

$$(2)$$
 $a_1(p^0) |\varphi_1(p^0)|^{2/m} = 1$.

Let \mathbb{C}^n denote the space of *n* complex variables, define $|z| = \max_{\lambda} |z_{\lambda}|$ for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{\lambda}, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and denote by Δ_r a polydisc of radius *r*:

$$\varDelta_r = \{ z \in \mathbf{C}^n | |z| < r \} .$$

Take a polydisc $\Delta_R \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, consider a holomorphic mapping f of Δ_R into W, and assume that the Jacobian of f does not vanish at the origin $0 \in \Delta_R$ and that

$$(3) f(0) = p^0.$$

For simplicity we write

$$dV(z) = (i/2)^n dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$$

and let $f^*(v)$ denote the volume element on Δ_R induced from v by the mapping f. Then we have

$$f^*(v) = \xi(z) dV(z) , \qquad \xi(z) = a_j(f(z)) |\varphi_j(f(z))|^{2/m} |J_j(z)|^2 ,$$

where

$$J_j(z) = \det \left(\partial w_j^{\alpha} / \partial z_\lambda \right)_{\alpha, \lambda=1, \dots, n}, \qquad (w_j^1, \dots, w_j^n) = f(z) .$$

By hypothesis the Jacobian $J_j(z)$ of f does not vanish identically, and therefore the equation $\xi(z) = 0$ defines a proper analytic subset of Δ_R . Hence, by applying a suitable linear transformation to \mathbb{C}^n if necessary, we may assume that, for any fixed values of $z_1, \dots, z_{\lambda-1}, z_{\lambda+1}, \dots, z_n$, the function $\xi(z_1, \dots, z_{\lambda}, \dots, z_n)$ of z_{λ} does not vanish identically and that

$$(4) J_1(0) = 1.$$

Set

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_{\lambda} &= (i/2)^{n-1} dz_1 \wedge dar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dar{z}_{\lambda-1} \wedge dz_{\lambda+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dar{z}_n \ , \ &\sigma &= \sum\limits_{\lambda=1}^n \sigma_{\lambda} \ , \ &|\partial f(z)/\partial z_{\lambda}|^2 = \sum\limits_{lpha,eta=1}^n g_{jlphaeta}(f(z))(\partial w_j^{lpha}/\partial z_{\lambda})(\partial \overline{w}_j^{eta}/\partialar{z}_{\lambda}) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $(w_j^1, \dots, w_j^n) = f(z)$. Moreover, setting $z_{\lambda} = r_{\lambda} e^{i\theta_{\lambda}}$, we introduce polar coordinates $(r_{\lambda}, \theta_{\lambda})$ and let

$$dS(z) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n r_\lambda d\theta_\lambda \wedge \sigma_\lambda \; .$$

We denote the bundary of the polydisc Δ_r by $\partial \Delta_r$.

Now we define functions M(r), A(r) and N(r) of r, 0 < r < R, as follows:

$$egin{aligned} M(r) &= r^{-1} \int\limits_{\partial d_r} \log \, \xi(z) dS(z) \,\,, \ A(r) &= 4 \int\limits_{d_r} \sum\limits_{\lambda=1}^n |\partial f(z)/\partial z_\lambda|^2 dV(z) \,\,, \ N(r) &= 4\pi m^{-1} \int\limits_{(f^{*\varphi}) \cap d_r} \sigma \,+\, 4\pi \int\limits_{(J) \cap d_r} \sigma \,\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $(f^*\varphi)$ and (J) denote, respectively, the divisors of the holomorphic functions $\varphi_j(f(z))$ and $J_j(z)$.

Theorem 1. We have the inequality:

(5)
$$\int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt + \int_{0}^{r} N(t)t^{-1}dt \leq M(r) .$$

Proof. Let

$$\mu(z) = \log \xi(z) \; .$$

The set $\Gamma = \{z | \xi(z) = 0\}$ is a proper analytic subset of Δ_R , and $\mu(z)$ is C^{∞} -differentiable outside Γ . For brevity we write

$$z = (z_1, \zeta)$$
, $\zeta = (z_2, \cdots, z_n)$.

We set

$$\mu_1(r,\zeta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \mu(re^{i heta},\zeta) d heta \; .$$

Lemma. $\mu_1(r, \zeta)$ is a continuous function of (r, ζ) , 0 < r < R, $|\zeta| < R$, and is a piecewise smooth function of r, 0 < r < R, when ζ is fixed.

To prove this lemma, take a point ζ^0 , $|\zeta^0| < R$, and a real number r^0 , $0 < r^0 < R$, such that $(r^0 e^{i\theta}, \zeta^0) \notin \Gamma$ for $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$. Moreover, for each ζ , $|\zeta| < R$, denote by $\rho_h(\zeta)$, $h = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, the roots of the equation:

$$arphi_j(f(z_1,\zeta))\boldsymbol{J}_j(z_1,\zeta)^m=0$$
 .

Then for a small positive number ε we have, for $|z_1| < r^0$, $|\zeta - \zeta^0| < \varepsilon$,

$$\mu(z) = 2m^{-1}\sum_{h} \log |z_{i} - \rho_{h}(\zeta)| + \tau(z) ,$$

where the summation is extended over all roots $\rho_h(\zeta)$ with $|\rho_h(\zeta)| < r^0$, and $\tau(z)$ is a C^{∞} -differentiable function of z. Using the formula

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |re^{i\theta} - \rho| d\theta = 2\pi \max \{ \log r, \log |\rho| \},\$$

we hence obtain

(6)
$$\mu_1(r,\zeta) = 4\pi m^{-1} \sum_{h} \max \{ \log r, \log |\rho_h(\zeta)| \} + \tau_1(r,\zeta) ,$$

where $\tau_1(r, \zeta)$ is a C^{∞} -differentiable function of (r, ζ) , $|r| < r_0$, $|\zeta - \zeta^0| < \varepsilon$. Since the roots $\rho_h(\zeta)$, arranged in an appropriate order, are continuous functions of ζ , $|\zeta - \zeta^0| < \varepsilon$, the formula (6) proves the lemma.

Define

$$M(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) = \int \mu(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n ,$$

where the integral is extended over the domain: $0 \le \theta_1 < 2\pi$, $0 \le \theta_2 < 2\pi$, \dots , $0 \le \theta_n < 2\pi$. Since

$$M(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) = \int \mu_1(r_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_n$$

we infer from the above lemma that $M(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ is a continuous function of $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, while, by (2), (3) and (4), the function $\mu(z)$ of z is C^{∞} -differentiable in a neighborhood of 0. Consequently $M(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is a continuous function of (r_1, \dots, r_n) , $0 \leq r_2 < R$.

Let ∂_1 denote the exterior differentiation with respect to the variable z_1 . We then have

$$i\partial_1\bar{\partial}_1\mu(z) = i\partial_1\bar{\partial}_1\log a_j(f(z)) = |\partial f(z)/\partial z_1|^2 idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1$$
.

Define

$$B(r,\,\zeta)=\int\limits_{|z_1|< r}2i\partial_1ar\partial_1\mu(z)=\int\limits_{|z_1|< r}2\,|\,\partial f(z)/\partial z_1|^2idz_1\wedge\,dar z_1\,dz_1$$

Setting $z_1 = x + iy$, we have

$$2i\partial_1\bar{\partial}_1\mu = d*d\mu, \qquad *d\mu = (\partial\mu/\partial x)dy - (\partial\mu/\partial y)dx \; .$$

Moreover the function $\mu(z_1, \zeta)$ is C^{∞} -differentiable in z_1 for $z_1 \neq \rho_h(\zeta)$. Hence, letting

$$\oint_{\rho} *d\mu(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|z_1-\rho|=\varepsilon} *d\mu(z_1,\zeta) ,$$

we obtain

$$B(r, \zeta) = \int_{|z_1|=r} *d\mu(z) - \sum_{|\rho| < r} \oint_{\rho} *d\mu(z) .$$

Note that $\oint_{\rho} *d\mu(z) = 0$ for $\rho \neq \rho_h(\zeta)$, $h = 1, 2, \cdots$. We denote by $\nu(r, \zeta, f^*\varphi)$ and $\nu(r, \zeta, J)$, respectively, the number of the roots on the disc $|z_1| < r$ of the equations $\varphi(f(z_1, \zeta)) = 0$ and $J_j(z, \zeta) = 0$. Since

$$\mu(z) = \log a_j(f(z)) + 2m^{-1} \log |\varphi_j(f(z))| + 2 \log |J_j(z)|,$$

we have

$$\sum_{|\rho| < r} \oint_{\rho} *d\mu(z) = 4\pi m^{-1}\nu(r,\zeta,f^*\varphi) + 4\pi\nu(r,\zeta,J)$$

Moreover we see readily that

$$\int_{|z_1|=r} *d\mu(z) = r\partial\mu_1(r,\zeta)/\partial r$$

Hence, setting

$$\nu(r,\zeta) = 4\pi m^{-1}\nu(r,\zeta,f^*\varphi) + 4\pi\nu(r,\zeta,J) ,$$

we obtain

$$B(r,\zeta) + \nu(r,\zeta) = r\partial\mu_1(r,\zeta)/\partial r$$
,

and therefore

(7)
$$\int_{s}^{r} B(t,\zeta)t^{-1}dt + \int_{s}^{r} \nu(t,\zeta)t^{-1}dt = \mu_{1}(r,\zeta) - \mu_{1}(s,\zeta) .$$

This proves the inequality

$$\mu_1(r, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \geq \mu_1(s, z_2, \cdots, z_n)$$
, for $r > s > 0$.

It follows that

$$M(r, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \geq M(s, r_2, \cdots, r_n)$$
, for $r > s$.

Thus we infer that $M(r_1, \dots, r_{\lambda}, \dots, r_n)$ is a monotone nondecreasing function of each variable r_{λ} . Since, by (2), (3) and (4), $\xi(0)$ is equal to 1, we get

(8) $M(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \ge 0$.

Define

$$A(t, u) = \int_{|\zeta| \le u} B(t, \zeta) dV(\zeta) ,$$

$$N(t, u) = \int_{|\zeta| \le u} \nu(t, \zeta) dV(\zeta) ,$$

$$M_1(t, u) = \int_{|\zeta| \le u} \mu_1(t, \zeta) dV(\zeta) ,$$

where

$$dV(\zeta) = \sigma_1 = (i/2)^{n-1} dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$$
.

Since $idz_{\lambda} \wedge d\bar{z}_{\lambda} = 2r_{\lambda}dr_{\lambda}d\theta_{\lambda}$, we have

$$M_1(r, u) = \int_0^u M(r, r_2, r_3, \cdots, r_n) r_2 dr_2 r_3 dr_3 \cdots r_n dr_n ,$$

where the integral is extended over the domain: $0 \le r_{\lambda} \le u, \lambda = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Hence, using (8), we obtain from (7) the inequality

(9)
$$\int_{0}^{r} A(t, u)t^{-1}dt + \int_{0}^{r} N(t, u)t^{-1}dt \leq M_{1}(r, u) .$$

Set

$$M_{\lambda}(r) = \int_{0}^{r} M(t_{2}, \dots, t_{\lambda}, r, t_{\lambda+1}, \dots, t_{n}) t_{2} dt_{2} \cdots t_{n} dt_{n} ,$$

$$A_{\lambda}(r) = 4 \int_{d_{r}} |\partial f(z) / \partial z_{\lambda}|^{2} dV(z) ,$$

$$N_{\lambda}(r) = 4 \pi m^{-1} \int_{(f^{*} \varphi) \cap d_{r}} \sigma_{\lambda} + 4 \pi \int_{(J) \cap d_{r}} \sigma_{\lambda} .$$

Since $M_1(r) = M_1(r, r)$, $A_1(t) = A(t, t) \le A(t, u)$ and $N_1(t) = N(t, t) \le N(t, u)$ for $t \le u$, we derive from (9) the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{r} A_{1}(t)t^{-1}dt + \int_{0}^{r} N_{1}(t)t^{-1}dt \leq M_{1}(t) .$$

We infer in the same manner that

(10)
$$\int_{0}^{r} A_{\lambda}(t) t^{-1} dt + \int_{0}^{r} N_{\lambda}(t) t^{-1} dt \leq M_{\lambda}(t) .$$

Since

$$rM(r) = \int_{\partial J_r} \mu(z) dS(z) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \int_{|z|=|z_\lambda|=r} \mu(z) r_\lambda d\theta_\lambda \wedge d\sigma_\lambda$$

we have

$$M(r) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} M_{\lambda}(r)$$
,

while it is obvious that

$$A(t) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} A_{\lambda}(t) , \qquad N(t) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} N_{\lambda}(t) .$$

Hence the inequality (5) follows from (10). q.e.d.

For a positive number β , we define

$$\Omega_{\beta}(r) = \int_{\partial A_r} \xi(z)^{\beta} dS(z) ,$$

and set

$$S(r) = \int_{\partial d_r} dS(z) = 2n\pi^n r^{2n-1}.$$

Theorem 2. We have the inequality

(11)
$$\int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt + \int_{0}^{r} N(t)t^{-1}dt \leq \beta^{-1}r^{-1}S(r)\log\left(\Omega_{\beta}(r)/S(r)\right) + \int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt \leq \beta^{-1}r^{-1}S(r)\log\left(\Omega_{\beta}(r)/S(r)\right) + \int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt + \int_{0}^{r} N(t)t^{-1}dt \leq \beta^{-1}r^{-1}S(r)\log\left(\Omega_{\beta}(r)/S(r)\right) + \int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt \leq \beta^{-1}r^{-1}S(r) + \int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt \leq \beta^{-1}r^{-1}S(r) + \int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt \leq \beta^{-1}r^{-1}S(r)$$

Proof. Since log x is a *concave* function of x, x > 0, we have

$$rM(r) = \int_{\partial A_r} \log \xi(z) dS(z) = \beta^{-1} \int_{\partial A_r} \log \xi(z)^{\beta} dS(z)$$

$$\leq \beta^{-1}S(r) \log \left(S(r)^{-1} \int_{\partial A_r} \xi(z)^{\beta} dS(z) \right),$$

which together with (5) gives the inequality (11). q.e.d.

We have assumed so far that the system of coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_{\lambda}, \dots, z_n)$ is general in the sense that, for each λ and any fixed values of $z_1, \dots, z_{\lambda-1}$, $z_{\lambda+1}, \dots, z_n$, the function $\xi(z_1, \dots, z_{\lambda}, \dots, z_n)$ of z_{λ} does not vanish identically. However, this assumption is irrelevant to the inequality (11). The inequality (11) holds for any system of coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) satisfying the conditions (3) and (4). To prove this, suppose that the coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) are obtained from a fixed system of coordinates $(z_1^{(0)}, \dots, z_n^{(0)})$ by means of a linear transformation $u = (u_{\lambda\nu})$ with det $(u_{\lambda\nu}) = 1$:

$$z_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} u_{\lambda\nu} z_{\nu}^{(0)}$$
 .

There exists an everywhere dense subset G of the special linear group $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that, for every $u \in G$, the corresponding system of coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) is general and, consequently, the inequality (11) holds. For our purpose it suffices, therefore, to verify that each term of (11) depends continuously on u. It is obvious that $\int_{0}^{r} A(t)t^{-1}dt$ and $\Omega_{\beta}(r)$ are continuous in u. Denoting the positive part of log x by log⁺x, we have

$$\int_{0}^{r} N(t)t^{-1}dt = 4\pi m^{-1} \int_{(f^{*}\varphi)+m(J)} \log^{+} (r/|z|)\sigma ,$$

which shows that $\int_{0}^{r} N(t)t^{-1}dt$ depends continuously on *u*. q.e.d.

Note that

(12)
$$\int_{J_r} \xi(z)^{\beta} dV(z) = \int_0^r \Omega_{\beta}(t) dt$$

Since A(t) and N(t) are nonnegative, the inequality (11) implies that

(13)
$$\Omega_{\beta}(r) \ge S(r)$$

Combining this with (12), we get

(14)
$$\int_{A_r} \xi(z)^{\beta} dV(z) \geq \pi^n r^{2n} .$$

In particular, setting $\beta = 1$, we obtain

(15)
$$\int_{J_r} f^*(v) \geq \pi^n r^{2n} .$$

2. A holomorphic mapping is said to be *totally degenerate* if its Jacobian vanishes identically. Let v_0 be a volume element which is positive everywhere on W. Then, for any holomorphic mapping f of Δ_r into W, the quotient $\int f^*(v_0) / \int v_0$ may be regarded as a *mean degree* of the mapping $f: \Delta_r \to W$. Define

$$\deg (f|\varDelta_r) = \int\limits_{\varDelta_r} f^*(v_0) \Big/ \int\limits_W v_0$$
,

and further set

$$P_m = \dim H^0(W, \mathcal{O}(K^m))$$
, for $m_A^{\gamma} = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

Theorem 3. Let W be a compact complex manifold of dimension n. If there exists a holomorphic mapping f of \mathbf{C}^n into W which is not totally degenerate, and if

(16)
$$\liminf_{r \to +\infty} r^{-2n} \deg (f | \varDelta_r) = 0 ,$$

then all the plurigenera P_m of W vanish.

Proof. Suppose that one of the plurigenera, say P_m , is positive. Then, letting L be a trivial bundle, we have the inequality (1). Hence, by (15), we obtain

$$\int_{A_r} f^*(v) \geq \pi^n r^{2n} ,$$

which contradicts (16), since the quotient v/v_0 is bounded on W. q.e.d.

By a surface we shall mean a compact complex manifold of dimension 2. A surface W is said to be *regular* if the first Betti number $b_1(W)$ of W vanishes. A regular surface W is rational if and only if all the plurigenera P_m of W vanish (see [9, Theorem 54]).

Theorem 4. If a regular surface W contains \mathbb{C}^2 as its open subset, then W is a rational surface.

Proof. Let W be a regular surface containing \mathbb{C}^2 and let $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \subseteq W$ denote the inclusion map. It is obvious that deg $(f|\mathcal{A}_r) < 1$ for each polydisc $\mathcal{A}_r \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Thus by Theorem 3 all the plurigenera P_m of W vanish, and hence W is a rational surface. q.e.d.

Letting U be a non-empty open subset of a compact complex manifold W, we call W a *compactification* of U if the complement W - U of U is an analytic subset of W. F. Hirzebruch mentioned in his list [6] of problems the classification of all compactifications of \mathbb{C}^n . Concerning this problem, A. Van de Ven [13] pointed out that all the known examples of compactifications of \mathbb{C}^2 are rational surfaces.

Theorem 5. Every compactification of C^2 is a rational surface.

Proof. Let W be a compactification of \mathbb{C}^2 . It is then obvious that $b_1(W) = b_1(\mathbb{C}^2) = 0$. Hence, by Theorem 4, W is a rational surface. q.e.d.

The condition $\mathbb{C}^2 \subseteq W$ is much weaker than that W is a compactification of \mathbb{C}^2 . In fact, there exists an infinite sequence of *mutually disjoint* open subsets U_1, U_2, U_3, \cdots of \mathbb{C}^2 each of which is biholomorphically isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 (see § 4 below). Thus, if $\mathbb{C}^2 \subseteq W$, then $U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2 \subseteq W$, and the existence of $U_1 \subseteq W$ together with the vanishing of $b_1(W)$ already implies the rationality of W.

3. Letting W be a projective algebraic manifold of dimension n, we call W an algebraic manifold of general type if

(17)
$$\limsup_{m \to +\infty} m^{-n} \dim H^{0}(W, \mathcal{O}(K^{m})) > 0 ,$$

where K denotes the canonical bundle of W. Recently Iitaka [7] introduced the concept of canonical dimension. The condition (17) is equivalent to saying that the canonical dimension of W coincides with the dimension n of W. In this section we apply Theorem 1 to algebraic manifolds of general type and derive a recent result of Griffiths [5].

Let W be an algebraic manifold of general type of dimension n, X a general hyperplane section of W, and L = [X] the complex line bundle over W determined by the divisor X. Then, letting K_X denote the restriction of K to X, we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^0(W, \mathcal{O}(K^m \otimes L^{-1})) \to H^0(W, \mathcal{O}(K^m)) \to H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(K^m_X)) \to \cdots,$$

while dim $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(K_X^m))$ is a function of *m* of order $O(m^{n-1})$. Hence, by (17), dim $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(K^m \otimes L^{-1}))$ is positive for a large integer *m*, and thus we have the inequality (1). Obviously we may assume that the real (1, 1)-form

$$i \sum g_{j_{\alpha\beta}}(w) dw_j^{\alpha} \wedge d\overline{w}_j^{\beta} = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log a_j(w)$$

is positive definite. Therefore, setting

$$g_j(w) = \det (g_{j_{\alpha\beta}}(w))$$
,

we find a positive constant c such that

(18)
$$a_j(w) |\varphi_j(w)|^{2/m} \leq c^n g_j(w) , \quad \text{for } w \in W .$$

Now consider a holomorphic mapping $f: \Delta_R \to W$ satisfying the conditions (3) and (4), and set

$$\Omega(r) = \Omega_{1/n}(r), \qquad T(r) = \int_{A_r} \xi(z)^{1/n} dV(z) \ .$$

Since

$$g_j(f(w)) |J_j(z)|^2 \leq \prod_{\lambda=1}^n |\partial f(z)/\partial z_\lambda|^2$$
,

we have, in consequence of (18),

$$\xi(z) \leq c^n \prod_{\lambda=1}^n |\partial f(z)/\partial z_\lambda|^2 , \qquad \xi(z)^{1/n} \leq n^{-1}c \sum_{\lambda=1}^n |\partial f(z)/\partial z_\lambda|^2 ,$$

from which follows

$$T(r) \leq (4n)^{-1} c A(r) \; .$$

Combining this with (11) we obtain

(19)
$$\int_{0}^{r} T(t)t^{-1}dt \leq (4r)^{-1}cS(r)\log(\Omega(r)/S(r)) .$$

Set

$$Q(r) = \int_{0}^{r} T(t)t^{-1}dt$$
, $\Psi(r) = 2n\pi^{-n}r^{-2n}Q(r)$,

and note that, by (14), $T(r) \ge \pi^n r^{2n}$, $Q(r) \ge (2n)^{-1} \pi^n r^{2n}$ and $\Psi(r) \ge 1$. The inequality (19) implies that

$$r\leq r_0, \qquad r_0=r_0(c,n),$$

where $r_0(c, n)$ is a constant depending only on c and n (see Nevanlinna [11, p. 235]). In fact, if $\Omega(r) \le r^2 Q(r)^4$, then the inequality (19) yields

$$r^{2}\Psi(r) \leq n^{2}c(4\log\Psi(r) + (6n+3)\log r + 3n\log \pi)$$
.

Since $\Psi(r) \ge 1$ and $e \log x \le x$ for x > 0, this proves that

$$r \leq r_1 = \max\{1, n^2 c e^{-1} (6n + 7) + 3n \log \pi\}.$$

Therefore, if $r > r_1$, then (19) implies that $\Omega(r) > r^2 Q(r)^4$. It follows that either $\Omega(r) > T(r)^2$ or $T(r) > rQ(r)^2$. If $\Omega(r) > T(r)^2$, then

 $dr = \Omega(r)^{-1} dT(r) < T(r)^{-2} dT(r) .$

If $T(r) > rQ(r)^2$, then

$$dr = T(r)^{-1}rdQ(r) < Q(r)^{-2}dQ(r)$$
.

Hence we get

$$r - r_{1} = \int_{r_{1}}^{r} dt < -\int_{r_{1}}^{r} d(T(t)^{-1} + Q(t)^{-1}) < T(r_{1})^{-1} + Q(r_{1})^{-1} < (2n + 1)\pi^{-n} ,$$

which proves that

$$r \leq r_0$$
, $r_0 = r_1 + (2n + 1)\pi^{-n}$

Thus we obtain the following

Theorem 6. Let W be an algebraic manifold of general type, and p^0 a point on W such that $\varphi(p^0) \neq 0$ for an element $\varphi \in H^0(W, \mathcal{O}(K^m \otimes L^{-1}))$. Then there exists a constant r_0 with the following properties: For any holomorphic mapping $f: \mathcal{A}_R \to W$ with $f(0) = p^0$ and $J_1(0) = 1$, the inequality $R \leq r_0$ holds, where $J_1(0)$ denotes the Jacobian of f at the origin 0.

This theorem has been proved by Griffiths [5] under the assumption that the canonical system |K| is ample. We remark that his proof also applies to the case in which |K| is not assumed to be ample, and establishes the above Theorem 6 (see Kobayashi and Ochiai [8, Addendum]).

4. Bieberbach [2] constructed an example of a biholomorphic mapping f of \mathbb{C}^2 onto a proper open subset U of \mathbb{C}^2 . His construction is as follows. Let $\eta: z \to \eta z$ be a biholomorphic automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 of which the origin 0 is a fixed point: $\eta 0 = 0$. Obviously η induces a linear transformation of the tangent space $T_0(\mathbb{C}^2) (\cong \mathbb{C}^2)$ of \mathbb{C}^2 at 0. Let λ and μ denote the eigenvalues of this linear transformation, and assume that $|\lambda| \leq |\mu| < 1$. Then there exists a biholomorphic mapping $f_0: z \to f_0(z)$ of a neighborhood N of 0 into \mathbb{C}^2 with $f_0(0) = 0$ such that $g = f_0^{-1}\eta f_0$ takes the normal form

$$g: z = (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow gz = (\lambda z_1 + \beta z_2^p, \mu z_2) ,$$

where p is a positive integer and β is a constant which vanishes unless $\lambda = \mu^p$ (see Lattès [10], Sternberg [12]). Obviously g is a contraction in the sense that

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty}g^mz=0\;,\qquad\text{for }z\in{\bf C}^2\;.$$

For every positive integer m, we have

(20)
$$f_0(z) = \eta^{-m} f_0(g^m z) , \quad \text{for } z \in N ,$$

provided that $gN \subset N$. Since $\eta^{-m} f_0 g^m$ is defined on $g^{-m}N$ and $\bigcup_m g^{-m}N = \mathbb{C}^2$, it follows from (20) that f_0 can be continued analytically to a biholomorphic mapping f of \mathbb{C}^2 onto an open subset U of \mathbb{C}^2 (see Sternberg [12, p. 816]). For every integer m we have

$$f(z) = \eta^{-m} f(g^m z)$$
, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

It follows that

$$U = \{z \mid \lim_{m \to +\infty} \eta^m z = 0\} .$$

Now we specify η to be the automorphism

$$\eta: z = (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow \eta z = (z_2, \lambda^2 z_1 + (\lambda^2 - 1)(\sin z_2 - z_2))$$

where λ is a constant with $0 < |\lambda| < 1$. Note that the normal form of this η is

$$g: z = (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow gz = (\lambda z_1, -\lambda z_2)$$
.

We define a translation

$$\tau: z = (z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (z_1 + 2\pi, z_2 + 2\pi)$$

Then η and τ are commutative: $\eta \tau = \tau \eta$, and therefore, for each integer k, $\tau^k 0 = (2k\pi, 2k\pi)$ is a fixed point of η and

$$au^k U = \{ z \mid \lim_{m \to +\infty} \eta^m z = au^k 0 \}$$
.

It follows that $\tau^k U$ and $\tau^j U$ are disjoint for $k \neq j$. Thus we obtain an infinite sequence of mutually disjoint open subsets $\tau^k U, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$, each of which is biholomorphically isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 .

Letting $\{\tau\}$ denote the infinite cyclic group generated by τ , we have

$$\mathbf{C}^2/\{\mathbf{\tau}\} = \mathbf{C}^* imes \mathbf{C}$$
 .

Clearly we may regard $U = \bigcup_{k} \tau^{k} U/\{\tau\}$ as an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}$. Thus we see the existenc of a biholomorphic mapping: $\mathbb{C}^{2} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}$. Combining this with Theorem 4, we infer that if a regular surface W contains $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}$ as its open subset, then W is a rational surface. This result can be verified also in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 4. In fact, if $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C} \subseteq W$, then

 $f: (z_1, z_2) \to (\exp z_1, z_2)$ is a holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^2 into W with deg $(f | \Delta_r) = O(r)$. Thus by Theorem 3 all the plurigenera of W vanish, and hence W is a rational surface.

References

- L. V. Ahlfors, Geometrie der Riemannschen Flächen, C. R. Congrès Internat. Math. Oslo, 1936, 239–248.
- [2] L. Bieberbach, Beispiel zweier ganzer Funktionen zweier komplexer Variablen welche eine schlicht volumetreue Abbildung des R₄ auf einen Teil seiner selbest vermitteln, Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Sitzungsber. 1933, 476–479.
- [3] S. S. Chern, Complex analytic mappings of Riemann surfaces. I, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960) 323-337.
- [4] —, The integrated form of the first main theorem for complex analytic mappings in several complex variables, Ann. of Math. **71** (1960) 536–551.
- [5] P. A. Griffiths, Holomorphic mappings into canonical algebraic varieties, Ann. of Math. 93 (1971) 439–458.
- [6] F. Hirzebruch, Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds, Ann. of Math. 60 (1954) 213-236.
- [7] S. Iitaka, On D-dimensions of algebraic varieties, Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970) 487-489.
- [8] S. Kobayashi & T. Ochiai, Mappings into compact complex manifolds with negative first Chern classes, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971) 137–148.
- [9] K. Kodaira, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces. IV, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968) 1048–1066.
- [10] M. S. Lattès, Sur les formes réduites des transformations ponctuelles à deux variables, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 152 (1911) 1566–1569.
- [11] R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, Springer, Berlin, 1936.
- [12] S. Sternberg, Local contractions and a theorem of Poincaré, Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957) 809–824.
- [13] A. Van de Ven, Analytic compactification of complex homology cells, Math. Ann. 147 (1962) 189–204.

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO