

# Homoclinic and non-wandering points for maps of the circle

LOUIS BLOCK, ETHAN COVEN, IRENE MULVEY  
AND ZBIGNIEW NITECKI

*Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA;*

*Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06457, USA;*

*Department of Mathematics, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA 19081, USA;*

*Department of Mathematics, Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155, USA*

(Received 4 January 1983 and revised 15 May 1983)

*Abstract.* For continuous maps  $f$  of the circle to itself, we show: (A) the set of nonwandering points of  $f$  coincides with that of  $f^n$  for every odd  $n$ ; (B)  $f$  has a horseshoe if and only if it has a non-wandering homoclinic point; (C) if the set of periodic points is closed and non-empty, then every non-wandering point is periodic.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we examine the dynamics of continuous maps of the circle to itself, establishing for such maps versions of three results known to hold for maps of a compact interval.

**THEOREM A.** *If  $f$  is a continuous map of the circle, then the set of non-wandering points of  $f$  coincides with that of  $f^n$  for every odd  $n$ .*

Theorem A is identical to the corresponding result [3] for maps of the interval.

**THEOREM B.** *A continuous map of the circle has a horseshoe if and only if it has a non-wandering homoclinic point.*

Here we have added to the corresponding result [1], [9], [10] for maps of the interval the condition that the homoclinic point is non-wandering. We show by example that on the circle this condition cannot be omitted. Actually we prove a stronger result – see theorem B+ and the remarks following it at the end of § 5.

**THEOREM C.** *If the set of periodic points of a continuous map of the circle is closed and non-empty, then every non-wandering point is periodic.*

Here we have added to the corresponding result [4], [8], [11] for maps of the interval the obvious requirement that the set of periodic points is non-empty – consider an irrational rotation.

We will prove theorem A by adapting the proof in [3] to the circle. In fact we will produce a shorter proof, valid for the interval as well as the circle. We will prove theorems B and C by lifting the map of the circle to a map of the reals, for which these results are known to hold. We will then project back down to the circle.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $f$  will denote a continuous map of the circle to itself. The set of non-wandering points of  $f$  will be denoted by  $\Omega(f)$  and the set of periodic points by  $\text{Per}(f)$ .  $\Omega(f)$  is always closed and non-empty and

$$\text{Per}(f) = \text{Per}(f^n) \subseteq \Omega(f^n) \subseteq \Omega(f)$$

holds for all  $n$ .

Formally we will think of the circle as  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and use  $\pi$  to denote the canonical projection. Thus every continuous map  $f$  of the circle has countably many *lifts*, i.e. continuous maps  $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$f \circ \pi = \pi \circ F.$$

Any two such lifts differ by an integer and the unique integer  $d$  satisfying

$$F(X + 1) = F(X) + d$$

for all lifts  $F$  and all  $X$  is called the *degree* of  $f$ , denoted  $\text{deg}(f)$ .

In addition, we will think of the circle as *oriented* so that  $\pi$  is orientation-preserving. Thus notation such as  $[a, b]$  will make sense on the circle as well as on the interval or reals. In all three cases, we will have occasion to refer to points near a given point as being on the positive (+) or negative (−) side of that point. When we wish to speak of a side without specifying which it is we will use the letter  $S$ .

We define a (basic) *half-neighbourhood* of a point to be a non-degenerate closed interval having that point as the appropriate endpoint. Thus a positive half-neighbourhood of  $x$  is a set of the form  $[x, y]$ .

A technical concept we will use is that of  $f$ -covering. We say of two closed intervals  $J$  and  $K$  that  $J$   $f$ -covers  $K$  if  $f(J') = K$  for some sub-interval  $J'$  of  $J$ . (For maps of the interval, this is equivalent to  $f(J) \supseteq K$ ; for maps of the circle, it is stronger.) The importance of  $f$ -coverings lies in the fact that if  $J$   $f^n$ -covers itself for some  $n$ , then  $f$  has a periodic point in  $J$ .

3. Unstable sets

The basic tool for proving all three theorems is the analysis of one-sided unstable sets. We review here the basic facts of this theory from [1], [3] and [7]. The definition we adopt is that of [1]; the unstable sets in [3] and [7] are the closures of the ones we consider here.

For a fixed point  $p$  of  $f$  and a side  $S$ , the *one-sided unstable set* of  $p$  is

$$W^u(p, f, S) = \bigcap_U \bigcup_{k \geq 0} f^k(U),$$

where the intersection is taken over all  $S$ -half-neighbourhoods of  $p$ . Each one-sided unstable set is a (possibly degenerate) interval (possibly the whole circle) containing  $p$  which is mapped onto itself by  $f$ . We remind the reader that there are no universal relations between the two one-sided unstable sets. In particular, a one-sided unstable set need not be a half-neighbourhood.

For a fixed point  $p$  of  $f^N$ , the unstable sets (under  $f^N$ ) of the points in the  $f$ -orbit of  $p$  are related in the manner stated in the following lemma, which summarizes the relevant portions of lemmas 8.1–8.3 of [3].

LEMMA 1. Let  $p$  be a fixed point of  $f^N$  and let  $S_0 = +$  or  $-$ . If  $W^u(p, f^N, S_0)$  is non-degenerate, then for each  $i \geq 0$  there is a side  $S_i$  at  $f^i(p)$  such that

(a) for every  $S_0$ -half-neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$ ,  $f^i(U)$  contains an  $S_i$ -half-neighbourhood of  $f^i(p)$ .

Write  $W_i$  in place of  $W^u(f^i(p), f^N, S_i)$ . Then

- (b)  $W_i = f^i(W_0)$ ;
- (c)  $W_{i+N} = W_i$ ;
- (d) if  $f^i(p) \in \text{int}(W_j)$ , then  $W_i = W_j$ .

Note that (c) does not assert that  $S_{i+N} = S_i$ , but only that the one-sided unstable sets are the same. The proof of (d) may require a moment's thought. When  $W_j$  is a proper sub-interval of the circle, (d) is just a restatement of lemma 8.3 of [3]. On the other hand, if  $W_j$  is the whole circle, then (b) implies that  $f$  maps the circle onto itself so that  $W_i$  is the whole circle as well.

To get some feeling for one-sided unstable sets, the reader is invited to verify the lemma for the examples which appear in § 5.

4. Non-wandering points: theorem A

Recall that  $x$  is non-wandering under  $f$ , denoted  $x \in \Omega(f)$ , if for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,

$$f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for some } n \geq 1.$$

The following two technical lemmas are proved using essentially the same arguments used to prove the corresponding results [3] for maps of the interval. For details, see [6].

LEMMA 2. If  $x \in \Omega(f)$ , then for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $x \in f^n(U)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $x \in \Omega(f)$  has an infinite orbit, then  $x \in \Omega(f^n)$  for every  $n$ .

It is easy to construct, for any pre-assigned even  $n$ , a map  $f$  with  $\Omega(f^n) \neq \Omega(f)$  – just embed the appropriate example from [3] in a map of the circle.

It follows from lemma 3 that to prove theorem A it suffices to prove

(\*) If  $x \in \Omega(f)$  and the orbit of  $x$  contains a fixed point of  $f^N$ , then  $x \in \Omega(f^n)$  for every odd  $n$ .

We do so by induction on  $N$ . Before we begin the induction we state the following technical lemma, which is an immediate consequence of lemmas 1 and 2.

LEMMA 4. If  $x \in \Omega(f)$  and  $f^{kN}(x) = p$  is a fixed point of  $f^N$ , then there are sides  $S_i (i \geq 0)$ , consistent with lemma 1, such that

(a) for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $f^{kN+i}(U)$  contains an  $S_i$ -half-neighbourhood of  $f^{kN+i}(x)$ ;

(b)  $x \in W_i = W^u(f^i(p), f^N, S_i)$  for some  $i$ .

The following lemma proves (\*) for  $N = 1$  and 2. The proof we give is a streamlined version of the proof of Lemma 9.1 of [3].

LEMMA 5. *If  $x \in \Omega(f)$  and the orbit of  $x$  contains a fixed point of  $f^2$ , then  $x \in \Omega(f^n)$  for every odd  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $x$  is not itself periodic. Let  $f^{2k}(x)$  be a fixed point of  $f^2$ . Using the notation of lemma 4, there exists  $i = 0$  or  $1$  and a side  $S = S_i$  of  $q = f^{2k+i}(x)$  such that for every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $f^{2k+i}(U)$  contains an  $S$ -half-neighbourhood of  $q$  and such that  $x \in W^u(q, f^2, S)$ .

Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $x$  and let  $V$  be an  $S$ -half-neighbourhood of  $q$  contained in  $f^{2k+i}(U)$  with

$$x \notin V \cup f^2(V) \cup f^4(V).$$

Note that  $x \in f^{2j}(V)$  for some  $j \geq 3$ . There are three possibilities for the behaviour of  $V$  under  $f^2$ :

(1)  $f^2(V) \subseteq V$ ;

(2)  $f^2(V) \supseteq V$ ;

(3)  $f^2(V) = V' \cup V''$ , where  $V'$  is a (possibly degenerate)  $S$ -half-neighbourhood of  $q$  properly contained in  $V$  and  $V''$  is a non-degenerate half-neighbourhood of  $q$  on the other side.

If (1) holds, then  $x \notin W^u(q, f^2, S)$ . If (2) holds, then  $x \in f^{2m+2j}(V)$  for every  $m$ . If (3) holds, then  $f^2(V \cup V'')$  is not contained in  $V \cup V''$ , so either

(3a)  $f^2(V'') \supseteq V''$ , or

(3b)  $f^2(V'') \supseteq V$ .

If (3a) holds, then

$$x \in f^{2(m-1)+2j}(V'') \subseteq f^{2m+2j}(V) \quad \text{for every } m.$$

If (3b) holds, then  $x \in f^{4m+2j}(V)$  for every  $m$ . In all three possible situations – (2), (3a), (3b) – we have  $x \in f^{4m+2j+2k+i}(U)$  for every  $m$ . Thus  $\{r \mid x \in f^r(U)\}$  contains all sufficiently large integers in some residue class modulo 4. But every such class contains arbitrarily large multiples of every odd number. Thus  $x \in \Omega(f^n)$  for every odd  $n$ . □

LEMMA 6. *Suppose  $x \in \Omega(f)$  has a finite orbit. If, with notation as in lemma 4,  $x \in \text{int}(W_i)$  for some  $i$ , then  $x \in \text{Per}(f)$  and hence  $x \in \Omega(f^n)$  for every  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We again assume that  $x$  is not itself periodic. Let  $f^{kN}(x) = p$  be a fixed point of  $f^N$ . There are sides  $S$  at  $x$  and  $S_i$  at  $f^{kN+i}(x) = q$  such that for every  $S$ -half-neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $f^{kN+i}(U)$  contains an  $S_i$ -half-neighbourhood of  $q$ .

Let  $G$  be a lift of  $f^N$  with a fixed point  $Q$  satisfying  $\pi(Q) = q$ . Let  $X$  be such that  $\pi(X) = x$  and  $Q \in (X - 1, X)$ . Since  $x \in \text{int}(W^u(q, f^N, S_i))$  and  $x$  is not periodic, at least one of the following must hold:

(1)  $X \in \text{int}(W^u(Q, G, S_i))$ ;

(2)  $X - 1 \in \text{int}(W^u(Q, G, S_i))$ ;

(3) both  $X$  and  $X - 1$  are in  $W^u(Q, G, S_i)$ .

In each case it follows that if  $U$  is a small enough  $S$ -half-neighbourhood of  $x$  contained in  $W_i$ , then  $U f^{jN+kN+i}$ -covers itself for some  $j$ . Thus every such  $U$  contains a periodic point and hence  $x \in \overline{\text{Per}(f)}$ . □

Now comes the inductive step.

**LEMMA 7.** *Let  $N \geq 3$ . If  $x \in \Omega(f) - \overline{\text{Per}(f)}$  and the orbit of  $x$  contains a fixed point of  $f^N$ , then there exist  $m \geq 2$  and  $M < N$  such that for  $g = f^m$ ,  $x \in \Omega(g) - \overline{\text{Per}(g)}$  and the  $g$ -orbit of  $x$  contains a fixed point of  $g^M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f^{kN}(x) = p$  be a fixed point of  $f^N$ . Without loss of generality,  $N$  is the least period of  $p$ , for otherwise the conclusion holds with  $M =$  the least period of  $p$ , and  $m = N/M$ .

Let  $W_i, i \geq 0$ , be given by lemma 4. Note that if  $x \in W_0$ , then the conclusion holds with  $m = N$  and  $M = 1$ . Suppose then that  $x \notin W_0$  and hence that  $x \in W_i$  for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ .

**Claim 1.** The endpoints of  $W_i$  are  $x$  and  $p$ .

By lemma 6,  $x$  must be an endpoint of  $W_i$ . Since  $W_i$  is  $f^N$ -invariant,  $p \in W_i$ . If  $p$  were not an endpoint of  $W_i$ , then by lemma 1,  $W_0 = W_i$  which contains  $x$ . This proves claim 1.

**Claim 2.**  $W_i$  contains only  $p$  and  $f^i(p)$  from the orbit of  $p$ .

We use lemma 1 repeatedly. Suppose  $f^j(p) \in W_i$  where  $f^j(p) \neq p$  and  $f^j(p) \neq f^i(p)$ . Then  $f^j(p) \in \text{int}(W_i)$  and hence  $W_i = W_j$ . Let  $t = |i - j|$ . Then  $W_i$  is  $f^t$ -invariant and hence contains the entire  $f^t$ -orbit of  $p$ . In particular, for some  $r$ ,

$$f^r(p), f^{2r}(p), \dots, f^n(p) \in \text{int}(W_i) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{(r+1)t}(p) = p.$$

Then  $W_i = W_r$  and hence  $W_0 = f^i(W_r) = W_i$  which contains  $x$ . This proves claim 2.

**Claim 3.** Each  $W_j$  contains exactly two members of the orbit of  $p$ .

This follows immediately from claim 2.

We now complete the proof of the lemma. If  $N = 2i$ , the conclusion follows with  $M = 2$  and  $n = N/2$ . Suppose that  $N \neq 2i$ . Then the points  $p, f^i(p)$ , and  $f^{2i}(p)$  are distinct. Now  $W_{2i}$  contains both  $f^i(p) \in \text{int}(W_i)$  and  $f^{2i}(p) \notin W_i$ . Thus  $p \notin W_{2i}$  and hence  $x \in \text{int}(W_{2i})$ . Then by lemma 6,  $x \in \text{Per}(f)$ . □

Assertion (\*) and hence theorem A now follow easily from lemmas 5 and 7. Note that our proof of theorem A works for maps of the interval as well as for maps of the circle.

### 5. Homoclinic points: theorem B

Recall that  $x$  is a *homoclinic point* if for some  $N$  there is a fixed point  $p$  of  $f^N$  such that  $x \neq p$ ,  $p$  is in the  $f^N$ -orbit of  $x$ , and  $x \in W^u(p, f^N, S)$  for some side  $S$ . In this case we say that  $x$  is homoclinic to  $p$ . We sometimes call such a point a ‘strong’ homoclinic point, to distinguish it from a ‘weak’ homoclinic point, which we define below.

A point  $x$  is a *weak homoclinic point* if for some  $N$  there is a fixed point  $p$  of  $f^N$  such that  $x \neq p$ ,  $p$  is in the  $f^N$ -orbit of  $x$ , and  $x \in W^u(q, f^N, S)$  for some  $q$  in the  $f$ -orbit of  $p$  and some side  $S$ . It follows from lemma 4 that any point in  $\Omega(f) - \text{Per}(f)$  with a finite orbit is a weak homoclinic point. Conversely, a non-wandering weak homoclinic point has a finite orbit but is not periodic. The distinction between strong and weak homoclinic points is illustrated by the following example.

*Example 1.* Let  $f$  be a map of the circle with the following properties:  $f$  has a periodic orbit  $\{p, q\}$  of period 2 with  $p$  and  $q$  diametrically opposite;  $f$  maps  $[p, q]$  isometrically onto  $[q, p]$  preserving orientation; and for some  $x \in (q, p)$ ,  $f$  collapses  $[q, x]$  to  $p$  and uniformly stretches  $[x, p]$  onto  $[p, q]$  preserving orientation. Then  $x$  is a weak homoclinic point but there are no strong homoclinic points.

We note in passing that this example shows that on the circle, unlike the interval [6], [8], [11], the existence of a weak homoclinic point does not imply the existence of a strong homoclinic point.

We say that  $f$  has a *horseshoe* if for some  $N$  there are disjoint closed intervals  $J$  and  $K$  such that each of  $J$  and  $K$   $f^N$ -covers both  $J$  and  $K$ . When  $f$  has a horseshoe as above, the  $f^N$ -invariant set

$$H = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} f^{-iN} (J \cup K),$$

the set of points whose  $f^N$ -orbit lies in  $J \cup K$ , has the full one-sided shift on two symbols as a continuous factor, via the map that assigns to each point in  $H$  its itinerary under  $f^N$ . This factor map takes the periodic points of  $f^N|_H$  onto the periodic points of the shift, as a consequence of the fact that any interval which  $f^i$ -covers itself contains a fixed point of  $f^i$ .

The Homoclinic Point Theorem [1], [9], [10] states (in part) that a map of the interval (or the reals) which has a strong homoclinic point also has a horseshoe.

It is easy to construct a map of the circle with a strong homoclinic point but no horseshoes.

*Example 2.* Let  $f$  be a map of the circle with the following properties:  $f$  has a fixed point  $p$ ,  $x \neq p$ ,  $f$  collapses  $[x, p]$  to  $p$  and homeomorphically stretches  $(p, x)$  onto the complement of  $p$ , with every point moving a positive distance forward. Then  $x$  is homoclinic to  $p$  but  $f$  cannot have a horseshoe, since for any closed interval  $J$  which does not contain  $p$ , and for any  $n$ ,  $f^n(J)$  does not contain  $J$ .

We will prove the two implications of theorem B separately. The easier implication is:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $f$  has a horseshoe, then it has a non-wandering homoclinic point.*

A preliminary technical observation will streamline our proof. If  $J$   $f$ -covers  $K$ , it is clear that we can choose the subinterval  $J'$  for which  $f(J') = K$  so that its endpoints map onto the endpoints of  $K$ . We then refer to  $J'$  as a *precise pre-image* of  $K$  in  $J$ . If  $J' = [a, b]$  is a precise pre-image of  $K = [c, d]$  then  $f$  either preserves the endpoint order ( $f(a) = c, f(b) = d$ ) or reverses it ( $f(a) = d, f(b) = c$ ).

**LEMMA 8.** *Suppose  $J$   $f$ -covers  $K$  and  $J'$  is a precise pre-image of  $K$  in  $J$ . If  $f$  preserves (respectively reverses) the endpoint order on  $J'$ , then every sub-interval  $L$  of  $K$  has a precise pre-image in  $J'$  on which  $f$  preserves (respectively reverses) the endpoint order.*

We omit the straightforward proof.

*Proof of proposition 1.* Suppose  $f$  has a horseshoe, exhibited by  $J = [a_0, b_0]$ ,  $K$ , and  $f^N$ . Since a non-wandering point for  $f^N$  is non-wandering for  $f$ , we may assume that  $N = 1$ .

In particular,  $J$   $f$ -covers itself, and hence it  $f^2$ -covers itself as well. A precise pre-image  $J'$  of  $J$  which reverses endpoint order must, by lemma 8, contain a precise pre-image  $J''$  of  $J'$  on which  $f$  also reverses endpoint order. But then  $f^2$  maps  $J''$  onto  $J$  preserving endpoint order. Thus (replacing  $f$  by  $f^2$  if necessary) we can assume that  $J_1 = [a_1, b_1]$  is a precise pre-image of  $J$  in  $J$  on which  $f$  preserves endpoint order. Invoking lemma 8 inductively, we find a nested sequence of intervals  $J_m = [a_m, b_m]$  such that  $J_m$  is a precise pre-image of  $J_{m-1}$  in  $J_{m-1}$  on which  $f$  preserves endpoint order. In particular, we have

$$a_{m-1} = f(a_m) \leq a_m < b_m \leq f(b_m) = b_{m-1},$$

so that the sequences  $a_m$  and  $b_m$  converge monotonically to fixed points, say  $a$  and  $b$ , of  $f$ . Furthermore, given a negative half-neighbourhood  $U$  of  $a$  and a positive half-neighbourhood  $V$  of  $b$ ,  $a_m \in U$  and  $b_m \in V$  for all sufficiently large  $m$ . This implies that  $a_i \in W^u(a, f, -)$  and  $b_i \in W^u(b, f, +)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Now, since  $[a_0, b_0]$   $f$ -covers  $K$  and

$$f[a_1, b_1] \cap K = \emptyset,$$

either  $[a_0, a_1]$  or  $[b_1, b_0]$   $f$ -covers  $K$ . Without loss of generality, we assume the latter. Then, since the  $f$ -invariant set  $W^u(b, f, +)$  contains  $[b, b_0]$ , it contains  $K$  as well.

On the other hand,  $K$   $f$ -covers  $J$ , so there is a point  $x \in K$  such that  $f(x) = b$  and the image of every neighbourhood of  $x$  contains a positive half-neighbourhood of  $b$ . Then  $x$  is non-wandering and homoclinic to  $b$ . □

An examination of the proof of the Homoclinic Point Theorem in [1] reveals that, for maps of the interval or the reals, the intervals exhibiting the horseshoe can be chosen to lie inside any pre-assigned neighbourhood of the periodic point involved. In particular, if some lift  $F$  of  $f$  has a homoclinic point, then  $F$  has a horseshoe exhibited by intervals which are contained in an interval of length less than one, and hence which project under  $\pi$  to disjoint intervals on the circle. These latter intervals exhibit a horseshoe for  $f$ . Thus we have

**LEMMA 9.** *If some lift of  $f$  has a homoclinic point, then  $f$  has a horseshoe.*

We will make use of the following fact, which (for maps of the interval) is implicit in [1] and explicit in [8] and [11].

**LEMMA 10.** *Let  $F$  be a map of the interval or reals. If there is a fixed point  $P$  of  $F$  and a point  $X > P$  with  $X \in W^u(P, F, -) - W^u(P, F, +)$ , then  $F$  has a homoclinic point.*

We formulate a strengthened converse of proposition 1 as

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If a map of the circle has a non-periodic non-wandering point with a finite orbit, then it has a horseshoe.*

We first prove a special case.

LEMMA 11. *If  $x \in \Omega(f)$  is not a fixed point, but has a fixed point in its orbit, then  $f$  has a horseshoe.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $f(x) = \pi(0)$  is a fixed point and choose a lift  $F$  of  $f$  such that  $F(0) = 0$ . By lemma 9, we may assume that  $F$  has no homoclinic points. Let  $X$  be the unique point between 0 and 1 such that  $\pi(X) = x$ . Then either  $X$  or  $X - 1$  belongs to

$$W^u(0, F, +) \cup W^u(0, F, -).$$

We assume that it is  $X$ , noting that the proof in the other case is similar. Then by lemma 10,  $X \in W^u(0, F, +)$ , for otherwise  $F$  has a homoclinic point.

To show that  $f$  has a horseshoe, it suffices to show

$$W^u(0, F, +) \text{ contains some } Y > 1. \tag{*}$$

To see this, note first that we may assume that  $Y < 2$ . There exist  $m > 0$  and points

$$0 < X_0 < X_1 < X_2 < Y - 1$$

such that

$$F^m(X_2) = Y, \quad F^m(X_1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F^m(X_0) = X_2.$$

Then the intervals  $\pi[0, X_0]$  and  $\pi[X_1, X_2]$  are disjoint and each  $f^m$ -covers both.

To prove (\*), note that since  $f(x) = \pi(0)$  is a fixed point,  $F(X)$  must be an integer, which is non-zero since otherwise  $X$  is homoclinic to 0. Furthermore, if  $F(X) > 1$ , then (\*) holds with  $Y = F(X)$ . Thus we have two cases:  $F(X) = 1$  and  $F(X) < 0$ .

*Case 1.*  $F(X) = 1$ .

We may assume that  $F(1) = 1$ ; for if  $F(1) < 0$ , then some point in  $(X, 1)$  is homoclinic to 0; if  $F(1) = 0$ , then 1 is homoclinic to 0; and if  $F(1) > 1$ , then (\*) holds with  $Y = F(1)$ . Thus we have  $F(X) = F(1) = 1$ . For every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $X$ ,  $F(U)$  contains at least a half-neighbourhood of 1, otherwise  $x \notin \Omega(f)$ . In fact, it contains a positive half-neighbourhood, since if  $F(U)$  is a negative half-neighbourhood of 1, then by lemma 2,  $X \in W^u(1, F, -)$ , making  $X$  homoclinic to 1. But since  $F(U)$  contains a positive half-neighbourhood of 1, (\*) holds for some  $Y \in F(U)$ .

*Case 2.*  $F(X) < 0$ .

If  $F^2(X) < 0$  as well, then  $\text{deg}(f) > 0$  and hence  $F^m(X) < 0$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Now  $F[0, X]$  contains no point to the right of 0, since otherwise some point in  $[0, X]$  is homoclinic to 0. Similarly, for all  $m \geq 1$ ,  $F^m[0, X]$  contains no point to the right of 0 and hence  $X \notin W^u(0, F, +)$ .

Suppose then that  $F^2(X) \geq 0$ . If  $F^2(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  is homoclinic to 0. If  $F^2(X) = 1$ , then  $F(X) = -1$ , so  $\text{deg}(f) = -1$  and hence  $F(X - 1) = 0$ , making  $X - 1$  homoclinic to 0. This leaves only  $F^2(X) > 1$ , and so (\*) holds with  $Y = F^2(X)$ . This proves (\*) and hence the lemma. □

*Proof of proposition 2.* Let  $x \in \Omega(f)$  be non-periodic and suppose  $f^{kN}(x) = p$  is a fixed point of  $f^N$ . If  $x \in \text{Per}(f)$ , then  $x \in \Omega(f^N)$ . By lemma 11,  $f^N$  has a horseshoe and hence so does  $f$ .

Suppose then that  $x \notin \text{Per}(f)$ . Using the notation of lemma 4, we have  $x \in W_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq N - 1$ . If  $x \in W_0$ , then  $x \in \Omega(f^N)$  and  $f$  has a horseshoe as in the



preceding paragraph. Suppose then that  $x \notin W_0$  and  $x \in W_i$  where  $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ . As in the proof of lemma 7, the endpoints of  $W_i$  are  $x$  and  $p$ . Thus  $W_i$  is a compact,  $f^N$ -invariant, proper sub-interval of the circle. Let  $q = f^i(p)$  and suppose without loss of generality that  $S_i = +$  and hence that  $W_i = W^u(q, f^N, +)$ .

If  $W_i = [p, x]$ , then  $f^{jN}(y) = x$  for some  $y \in (q, x)$  and some  $j \geq k$ . Since  $f^{jN}(x) = p$ , there exists  $z \in (y, x)$  such that  $f^{jN}(z) = q$ . But then  $z$  is a homoclinic point for  $f^N|W_i$ . By the Homoclinic Point Theorem,  $f^N|W_i$  has a horseshoe, and hence  $f$  has one as well.

If  $W_i = [x, p]$ , then by lemma 10, either  $f^N|W_i$  has a homoclinic point or  $x \in W^u(q, f^N|W_i, -)$ . In either case, as in the preceding paragraph,  $f$  has a horseshoe. □

Note that we have proved a stronger version of theorem B, analogous to the proposition in [8].

**THEOREM B+.** *For a continuous map  $f$  of the circle, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  has a horseshoe;
- (2)  $f$  has a non-wandering (strong) homoclinic point;
- (3a)  $f$  has a non-wandering weak homoclinic point;
- (3b)  $f$  has a non-periodic non-wandering point with a finite orbit.

We remark that these conditions are also equivalent to each of the following:

- (4)  $f$  has positive topological entropy;
- (5)  $f$  has periodic points with least periods  $n < m$  where  $m/n$  is not a power of 2.

(1) implies (5) follows from the fact that the factor map from the horseshoe preserves periods, (5) implies (4) from [2], and (4) implies (1) from [5].

### 6. Maps with closed periodic set: theorem C

Our proof of theorem C will follow from an analysis of the non-wandering set for maps with no horseshoes. That this is the right situation to look at follows from

**LEMMA 12.** *If  $f$  has a horseshoe, then  $\text{Per}(f)$  is not closed.*

*Proof.* Recall from the earlier discussion of horseshoes that for some  $N$  there is a compact  $f^N$ -invariant set  $H$  such that  $f^N|H$  has the full one-sided shift on two symbols as a continuous factor. Furthermore,  $\text{Per}(f^N|H)$  maps onto the set of periodic points of the shift. If  $\text{Per}(f)$  is closed, then so is  $\text{Per}(f^N|H)$  and hence also the set of periodic points of the shift. But this last set is not closed. □

**LEMMA 13.** *If for some lift  $F$  of  $f$ , there is an interval  $J \subseteq [0, 1]$  of length less than one such that for some  $m \geq 1$ ,  $F^m(J)$  contains three consecutive integers, then  $f$  has a horseshoe.*

The proof of lemma 13 is straightforward (see lemma 5.10 of [6]).

Lemmas 12 and 13 allow us to concentrate on maps of degree 0 or  $\pm 1$ . We handle these cases separately.

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose  $f$  has degree zero and  $F$  is a lift of  $f$ . We have*

- (1)  $\pi[\Omega(F)] = \Omega(f)$ ;
- (2) *if  $\text{Per}(f)$  is closed, then so is  $\text{Per}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the range of  $F$  is compact,  $F$  has a fixed point, and so we can assume without loss of generality that  $F(0) = 0$ . Let  $I$  be a compact interval which contains the range of  $F$  and has (distinct) integer endpoints. Then  $\Omega(F) = \Omega(F|I)$  and  $\pi|I$  is a finite-to-one factor map of  $F|I$  onto  $I$ . We will abuse notation slightly by using  $F$  in place of  $F|I$  and  $\pi$  in place of  $\pi|I$ .

To show (1), we need only show that  $\Omega(f) \subseteq \pi[\Omega(F)]$ . Given  $x \in \Omega(f)$ , we may assume that  $0 \notin \pi^{-1}(x)$ , since otherwise  $x \in \pi[\Omega(F)]$ . Let

$$\pi^{-1}(x) = \{X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}\};$$

observe that  $X^{(j)} \in \text{int}(I)$  for all  $j$ . By lemma 2, there exist  $x_i \rightarrow x$  and  $n_i \geq 1$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x_i) = x$ . We may assume that  $0 \notin \pi^{-1}(x_i)$  and hence that each  $\pi^{-1}(x_i)$  consists of exactly  $m$  points in  $I$ ,

$$\pi^{-1}(x_i) = \{X_i^{(1)}, \dots, X_i^{(m)}\}.$$

We can label these points so that  $X_i^{(j)} \rightarrow X^{(j)}$  for each  $j$ . Note that  $F(X_i^{(j)})$  depends on  $i$  but not on  $j$ , since  $f$  has degree zero.

Now  $\pi[F^{n_i}(X_i^{(j)})] = x$  for all  $i$  and all  $j$ , and  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is finite while  $F^{n_i}(X_i^{(j)})$  is independent of  $j$ . It follows that for some  $k$ ,

$$F^{n_i}(X_i^{(j)}) = X^{(k)}$$

for infinitely many  $i$  and all  $j$ , and hence that

$$X^{(k)} \in \Omega(F) \cap \pi^{-1}(x).$$

This proves (1).

To show (2), suppose  $X \in \overline{\text{Per}(F)} - \text{Per}(F)$ . Then  $\pi(X) \in \overline{\text{Per}(f)} = \text{Per}(f)$ . Thus  $\pi(X)$  has a finite orbit and so  $X$  does too. This makes  $X$  a weak homoclinic point. Then  $F$  must have a strong homoclinic point as well (see [6], [8] or [11]). Hence by lemma 9,  $f$  has a horseshoe and then by lemma 12,  $\text{Per}(f)$  is not closed.  $\square$

To obtain the analogue of proposition 3 for maps of degree one we need to assume more.

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose  $f$  is a map of degree one which has no horseshoes. If  $F$  is a lift of  $f$  which has a fixed point, then*

- (1)  $\text{Per}(F) = \pi^{-1}[\text{Per}(f)]$ ;
- (2)  $\Omega(F) = \pi^{-1}[\Omega(f)]$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that 0 is a fixed point of  $F$ . We first establish

$$X - 1 < F^n(X) < X + 1 \quad \text{for all } X \text{ and all } n. \tag{*}$$

Since  $\deg(f) = 1$ ,  $F^n(X + k) = F^n(X) + k$ , so we need prove (\*) only for  $X \in (0, 1)$ . If  $F^n(X) \geq X + 1$ , then

$$F^{2n}[0, X] \supseteq F^n[0, X + 1] \supseteq [0, X + 2],$$

and so by lemma 13  $f$  has a horseshoe. Similarly, if  $F^n(X) \leq X - 1$ , then

$$F^{2n}[X, 1] \supseteq [X - 2, 1],$$

and again  $f$  has a horseshoe. This proves (\*).

To prove (1), it suffices to show that if  $\pi(X) \in \text{Per}(f)$ , then  $X \in \text{Per}(F)$ . If  $\pi(X) \in \text{Per}(f)$ , say  $f^n(\pi(X)) = \pi(X)$ , then  $F^n(X) = X + k$  for some integer  $k$ . By (\*),  $k = 0$  and hence  $X \in \text{Per}(F)$ .

To prove (2), it suffices to show that if  $x = \pi(X) \in \Omega(f)$ , then  $X \in \Omega(F)$ . Since  $x \in \Omega(f)$ , lemma 2 implies that there exist  $x_i \rightarrow x$  and  $n_i \geq 1$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x_i) = x$ . Let  $X_i$  be the point closest to  $X$  in  $\pi^{-1}(x_i)$ . Then  $X_i \rightarrow X$  and  $F^{n_i}(X_i) = X + k_i$  for some integer  $k_i$ . The convergence of  $X_i$  to  $X$  together with (\*) imply that for  $i$  sufficiently large,  $k_i = -1, 0$ , or  $1$ . Thus, for a subsequence of  $X_i$  (which we still denote  $X_i$ )  $F^{n_i}(X_i)$  is constant and equals  $X - 1, X$ , or  $X + 1$ .

Suppose  $F^{n_i}(X_i) = X + 1$ . If  $F(X) < X$ , then for some  $\delta > 0$  and all sufficiently large  $i$ ,  $F(X_i) < X - \delta$ . Using (\*) again, for these  $i$  we have

$$F^{n_i}(X_i) = F^{n_i-1}(F(X_i)) < F(X_i) + 1 < X + 1 - \delta,$$

contradicting the assumption that  $F^{n_i}(X_i) = X + 1$ . Using the fact that

$$F^{n_i+1}(X_i) = F(X) + 1,$$

similar arguments lead to a contradiction when  $F(X) > X$ . Hence  $F(X) = X$  and  $X \in \Omega(F)$ .

In the same way, if  $F^{n_i}(X_i) = X - 1$ , then  $F(X) = X$  and  $X \in \Omega(F)$ . Finally, if  $F^{n_i}(X_i) = X$ , then  $X \in \Omega(F)$  by definition. □

We now assemble a proof of theorem C. Suppose  $\text{Per}(f)$  is closed and non-empty. By lemma 12,  $f$  has no horseshoes. It follows from lemma 13 that  $\text{deg}(f) = 0$  or  $\pm 1$ . If  $\text{deg}(f) = 0$ , then by proposition 3, for a lift  $F$  of  $f$ ,  $\text{Per}(F)$  is closed and non-empty. Then by [8],  $\Omega(F) = \text{Per}(F)$ , and by proposition 3 again,

$$\Omega(f) = \pi[\text{Per}(F)] = \text{Per}(f).$$

If  $\text{deg}(f) = \pm 1$  and  $x \in \Omega(f) - \text{Per}(f)$ , then  $x$  must have an infinite orbit, since otherwise by theorem B  $f$  has a horseshoe. But then by lemma 3,  $x \in \Omega(f^n)$  for all  $n$ . Choose  $n$  even (so that  $f^n$  has degree one) and such that  $f^n$  has a fixed point. Let  $G$  be a lift of  $f^n$  which has a fixed point. Since  $f^n$  has no horseshoes, it follows from proposition 4 that  $\text{Per}(G)$  is closed and non-empty, and hence by [8] again that  $\Omega(G) = \text{Per}(G)$ . But then

$$x \in \Omega(f^n) = \pi[\Omega(G)] = \pi[\text{Per}(G)] = \text{Per}(f^n) = \text{Per}(f).$$

The proof is complete. □

REFERENCES

[1] L. Block. Homoclinic points of mappings of the interval. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 576–580.  
 [2] L. Block, E. M. Coven & Z. Nitecki. Minimizing topological entropy for maps of the circle. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **1** (1981), 145–149.  
 [3] E. M. Coven & Z. Nitecki. Non-wandering sets of the powers of maps of the interval. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **1** (1981), 9–31.

- [4] V. V. Fedorenko & A. N. Sarkovskii. Continuous mappings of the interval with closed sets of periodic points. In *Introduction to Differential and Differential-difference Equations*, pp. 137–145. Kiev, 1980. (Russian)
- [5] M. Misiurewicz. Horseshoes for mappings of the interval. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math.* **27** (1979), 167–169.
- [6] I. Mulvey. Periodic, recurrent and non-wandering points for continuous maps of the circle. Ph.D. thesis. Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn. (1982).
- [7] Z. Nitecki. Topological dynamics on the interval. In *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems II*, pp. 1–73. College Park, Md., 1979–80, *Progr. Math.* vol. 21. Birkhäuser: Boston, 1982.
- [8] Z. Nitecki. Maps of the interval with closed periodic set. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), 451–456.
- [9] A. N. Sarkovskii. On cycles and structure of continuous mappings. *Ukrain. Mat. Z.* **17** (1965), 104–111. (Russian)
- [10] A. N. Sarkovskii. On the problem of isomorphism of dynamical systems. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Nonlinear Trajectories*, vol. 2, pp. 541–545. Kiev, 1970. (Russian)
- [11] J.-C. Xiong. Continuous self-maps of the closed interval whose periodic points form a closed set. *J. China University of Science and Technology* **11** (1981), 14–23.