

HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF PULLBACKS

SUSANA SCRIVANTI

By a ring, we always mean a commutative ring with identity. A commutative square of rings and ring homomorphisms

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A_1 \\ i_2 \downarrow & & j_1 \downarrow \\ A_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & A_0 \end{array}$$

is said to be a cartesian square (or a pullback, or a fiber product) if given $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_2 \in A_2$ with $j_1(a_1) = j_2(a_2)$ there exists a unique element $a \in A$ such that $i_1(a) = a_1$ and $i_2(a) = a_2$ (note that if j_2 is a surjection then so is i_1 , but not conversely). The ring A is called the fiber product of A_1 and A_2 over A_0 .

For a ring A , $\text{gldim } A$ and $\text{wd } A$ will denote the global dimension of A and the weak global dimension of A , respectively. For an A -module M , the projective dimension of M , and the flat dimension of M are denoted by $\text{pd}_A(M)$ and $\text{fd}_A(M)$, respectively.

This paper is motivated by the results in Kirkman and Kuzmanovich [KK] which give an upper bound on the global dimension of a fiber product. In [KK, Theorem 2] Kirkman and Kuzmanovich showed that if (1) is a cartesian square with j_2 surjective, then

$$(*) \quad \text{gldim } A \leq \max_{i=1,2} \{ \text{gldim } A_i + \text{fd}_A(A_i) \}$$

We give sufficient conditions for the fiber product of rings with global dimension $\leq n$ to be a ring with global dimension $\leq n$, that generalize the preceding result. We also give examples which show that, in a certain sense, our results are best possible. Indeed, we can cover cases where (*) is a strict inequality.

Our main result is:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose given a pullback diagram (1), with i_1 surjective, and such*

that for all ideals a of A we have that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, A/a)) \leq n - j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\text{gldim } A \leq n$$

We will also prove the analogue of theorem 1 for weak global dimension:

THEOREM 2. *Let (1) be a pullback diagram in which i_1 is surjective and such that for all finitely generated A -ideals a we have that $\text{fd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A/a, A_i)) \leq n - j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\text{wd } A \leq n$$

We begin by giving sufficient conditions for an A -module M to have projective (flat) dimension $\leq n$.

We need the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let the diagram (1) be a pullback in which i_1 is surjective, M an A -module and suppose that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, M)) \leq n - j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then if $n \geq 1$, we have that*

$$\text{pd}_{A_i}(A_i \otimes_A K_t) \leq n - (t + 1) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq n - 1 \quad \text{and } i = 1, 2$$

where K_t is a t th syzygy of M .

PROOF. The proof is by induction on t . Let

$$(2) \quad P: \quad \dots \rightarrow P_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of M .

For $t = 0$, if we tensor the exact sequence of A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

with A_i ($i = 1, 2$), we obtain an exact sequence of A_i -modules.

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, M) \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A K_0 \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A P_0 \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

and an isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, K_0) \simeq \text{Tor}_{j+1}^A(A_i, M), \quad j \geq 1.$$

Put $I_{i,0} = \ker(1_{A_0} \otimes f_0)$, and break up (3) into two exact sequences

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_{i,0} \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A P_0 \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, M) \rightarrow A_i \otimes_i K_0 \rightarrow I_{i,0} \rightarrow 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

If P_0 is an A -projective module, it is well known that $A_i \otimes_A P_0$ is an A_i -projective module. Since $\text{pd}_{A_i}(A_i \otimes_A M) \leq n$, we obtain from (4) that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(I_{i,0}) \leq n - 1$. In addition we have that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, M)) \leq n - 1$, and hence from (5) we obtain that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(A_i \otimes_A K_0) \leq n - 1$ for $i = 1, 2$ as desired.

For $t \geq 1$, consider the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow K_t \rightarrow P_t \xrightarrow{f_t} K_{t-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

If we apply the functor $\text{Tor}_*^A(A_i, -)$ ($i = 1, 2$) to short exact sequence above, we obtain exact sequences of A_i -modules

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, K_{t-1}) \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A K_t \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A P_t \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A K_{t-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms

$$\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, K_t) \simeq \text{Tor}_{j+1}^A(A_i, K_{t-1}), j \geq 1.$$

Put $I_{i,t} = \ker(1_{A_i} \otimes f_t)$, and break up (6) into two exact sequences

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_{i,t} \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A P_t \xrightarrow{1_{A_i} \otimes f_t} A_i \otimes_A K_{t-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, K_{t-1}) \rightarrow A_i \otimes_A K_t \rightarrow I_{i,t} \rightarrow 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

By the induction hypothesis $\text{pd}_{A_i}(A_i \otimes_A K_{t-1}) \leq n - t$, thus (7) implies that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(I_{i,t}) \leq n - (t + 1)$. Recall now that we have that $\text{Tor}_t^A(A_i, K_{t-1}) \simeq \text{Tor}_{t+1}^A(A_i, M)$. Since $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_{t+1}^A(A_i, M)) \leq n - (t + 1)$ for $0 \leq t \leq n - 1$, we obtain from (8) that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(A_i \otimes_A K_t) \leq n - (t + 1)$ for $i = 1, 2$ as desired.

Now we can deduce the following proposition which generalizes theorem 2.3 of [W]. Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of proposition 4.

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose given a pullback diagram (1) with i_1 surjective and let M be an A -module with $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, M)) \leq n - j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\text{pd}_A(M) \leq n.$$

PROOF. For $n = 0$ we have, from [W, theorem 2.3], that M is a projective A -module iff $A_i \otimes_A M$ are projective A_i -modules ($i = 1, 2$).

For $n \geq 1$, consider (2). We want to show that $K_{n-1} = \text{im}(f_1)$ is an A -projective module. By proposition 3, we have that $A_i \otimes_A K_{n-1}$ are A_i -projective modules for $i = 1, 2$ as desired.

REMARK. We can obtain similar results about flat dimension if we replace projective by flat, in the argument above. Thus:

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose given a pullback diagram (1) with i_1 surjective, and let*

M be an A -module with $\text{fd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, M)) \leq n - j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\text{fd}_A(M) \leq n.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let a be an ideal of A with $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, A/a)) \leq n - j$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. It follows from proposition 4 that $\text{pd}_A(A/a) \leq n$, and since $\text{gldim } A = \sup\{\text{pd}_A(A/a) \mid a \text{ an ideal of } A\}$, we conclude that $\text{gldim } A \leq n$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. From proposition 5 we have that $\text{fd}_A(A/a) \leq n$ for all finitely generated ideals a of A , and since $\text{wd } A = \sup\{\text{fd}_A(A/a) \mid a \text{ a finitely generated ideal of } A\}$, we conclude that $\text{ws } A \leq n$.

Now we can use theorem 2 to get an upper bound about weak global dimension, analogous to theorem 2 in [KK].

COROLLARY 6. *Let diagram (1) be a pullback in which i_1 is a surjection. Then*

$$\text{wd } A \leq \max_{i=1,2} \{\text{wd } A_i + \text{fd}_A(A_i)\}$$

PROOF. Let $n = \max_{i=1,2} \{\text{wd } A_i + \text{fd}_A(A_i)\}$.

Then for $j > \text{fd}_A(A_i)$ we have that $\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, -) = 0$, and for $0 \leq j \leq \text{fd}_A(A_i)$, we have that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_j^A(A_i, -)) \leq n - j$ ($i = 1, 2$), since $n - j \geq n - \text{fd}_A(A_i) \geq \text{wd } A_i$. Using theorem 2 we conclude that $\text{wd } A \leq n$.

The next corollaries show that we can obtain more precise results in some specific cases.

COROLLARY 7. *Let (1) be a pullback diagram in which i_1 is a surjection, $\text{gldim } A_i \leq n$ and $\text{fd}_A(A_i) \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\text{gldim } A \leq n \text{ iff } \text{pd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, A/a)) \leq n - 1 \text{ for all ideals } a \text{ of } A \text{ and } i = 1, 2.$$

PROOF. The only if assertion follows from theorem 1. We will prove the converse. Thus assume $\text{gldim } A \leq n$. Let M_i be an A_i -module ($i = 1, 2$). Then there is a change of rings spectral sequence

$$(9) \quad E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_{A_i}^p(\text{Tor}_q^A(A_i, A/a), M_i) \Rightarrow H^n = \text{Ext}_A^n(A/a, M_i)$$

and from [CE, theorem 5.11] there is an exact sequence

$$(10) \quad \dots \rightarrow H^{n+1} \rightarrow E_2^{n,1} \rightarrow E_2^{n+2,0} \rightarrow H^{n+2} \rightarrow \dots$$

since $H^m = 0$ for $m > n$ and $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p > n$ we conclude that $E_2^{n,1} = 0$ for all A_i -modules M_i and $i = 1, 2$ as desired.

COROLLARY 8. *Let (1) be a pullback diagram in which i_1 is surjective, $\text{wd } A_i \leq n$ and $\text{fd}_A(A_i) \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$\text{wd } A \leq n$ iff $\text{fd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_1^A(A/a, A_i)) \leq n - 1$ for all f.g. ideals a of A and $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. The only if assertion follows from theorem 2. We will prove the converse. Thus assume $\text{wd } A \leq n$. Let a_i be an finitely generated ideal of A_i ($i = 1, 2$). Then there is a change of rings spectralsequence

$$(11) \quad E_{p,q}^2 = \text{Tor}_p^A(\text{Tor}_q^A(A/a, A_i), A_i/a_i) \Rightarrow H_n = \text{Tor}_n^A(A/a, A_i/a_i)$$

and from [R, Exercise 11.31] there is an exact sequence

$$(12) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{n+2} \rightarrow E_{n+2,0}^2 \rightarrow E_{n,1}^2 \rightarrow H_{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\text{wd } A \leq n$ and $H_m = 0$ for $m > n$ and since $\text{wd } A_i \leq n, E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ for $p > n$, then we have that $\text{Tor}_n^A(\text{Tor}_1^A(A/a, A_i), A_i/a_i) = 0$ for all finitely generated ideals a_i of A_i , which is equivalent to $\text{fd}_{A_i}(\text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, A/a)) \leq n - 1$ ($i = 1, 2$).

COROLLARY 9. Let diagram (1) be a pullback in which i_1 is a surjection, $\text{wd } A_i \leq n, \text{fd}_A(A_i) \leq 1$, and where for all finitely generated ideals a_i of A_i we have that $\text{fd}_A(A_i/a_i) \leq n$ ($i = 1, 2$), Then

$$\text{wd } A \leq n$$

PROOF. If we consider the sequences (11) and (12), then corollary 9 is an immediate consequence of corollary 8.

COROLLARY 10. Let diagram (1) be a pullback in which i_1 is a surjection, and suppose that $\text{gldim } A_i \leq 1$. Then

$$\text{gldim } A \leq n \text{ iff } \text{Tor}_n^A(A_i, A/a) \text{ is } A_i\text{-projective for all ideals } a \text{ of } A \text{ (} i = 1, 2 \text{)}$$

PROOF. The only if assertion follows from theorem 1. We will prove the converse. Thus assume $\text{gldim } A \leq n$. Let $M = A/a$ in (2) where a is an ideal of A . We know that $\text{Tor}_n^A(A_i, A/a) \simeq \text{Tor}_1^A(A_i, K_{n-2})$ ($i = 1, 2$). If we consider the sequences (7) and (8) for $t = n - 1$, and recall that $\text{pd}_{A_i}(A_i \otimes_A K_{n-1})$ is A_i -projective ($i = 1, 2$), we obtain that $\text{Tor}_n^A(A_i, A/a)$ is A_i -projective for $i = 1, 2$.

As an example where corollaries 6, 7 and 8 can be applied, we present the following.

EXAMPLE 1. Let V be a valuation domain with a non principal maximal ideal m . Explicit examples of such rings will be given below.

Consider the cartesian square, where V_1 and V_2 are two copies of V

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & V_1 \\ i_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_2 & \longrightarrow & V/m_0 \end{array}$$

and where the maps onto V/m are the natural ones. Then $A = \{(a, b) \in V_1 \times V_2 / a - b \in m\}$. The ring A is local with zero divisors and maximal ideal $J = m \times m$.

(i) We claim that $\text{fd}_A(V_k) \leq 1$ for $k = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Let I be a finitely generated ideal of A . By considering $\min\{v(a_1) \mid (a_1, a_2) \in I\}$ where v is the valuation associated to V we can conclude that either $I = (a_1, a_2)A$ with $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 \neq 0$, (and since V is a domain, I is projective), or $I = (a, 0)A \oplus (0, b)A$.

Thus for the proof of (i), we may assume that $I = (a, 0)A$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow (0, m) \rightarrow A \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring (13) with V_k , we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(V_k, I) \rightarrow V_k \otimes_A (0, m) \xrightarrow{f_k} V_k \rightarrow V_k \otimes_A I \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k = 1, 2$$

For $k = 1$, we have that $V_1 \otimes_A (0, m) = 0$.

Indeed, since m is not a principal ideal, the set $\{v(m) \mid m \in m\}$ has no minimal elements, hence for every $m \in m$, there exists an element n in m , such that $v(m) > v(n)$. Recalling that the lattice of ideals of V are linearly ordered, we obtain that $m \in nV$. Thus we may write $m = n \cdot w$, where n and w are elements of m .

It follows that if $v \in V$, $m \in m$, then $v \otimes (0, n)(0, w) = 0$.

For $k = 2$, we have that f_2 is injective.

To see this, let x be an element of $V_2 \otimes_A (0, m)$, say $x = \sum_{i=0}^n (v_i \otimes (0, m_i))$, where $v_i \in V_2$, and $m_i \in m$. By considering $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, and recalling that the lattice of ideals of V are linearly ordered, we obtain an element $v \in V$, such that $v_i = \alpha_i \cdot v$, where $\alpha_i \in V$.

Thus $x = \sum_{i=0}^n (v_i \otimes (0, m_i)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (\alpha_i \cdot v \otimes (0, m_i)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (v \otimes (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)(0, m_i)) = v \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n (0, \alpha_i \cdot m_i)$, and $f_2(x) = v \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i \cdot m_i)$. Since V_2 is a domain, it follows that f_2 is injective.

Thus $\text{Tor}_1^A(V_k, I) = 0$ for every finitely generated ideal I of A and $k = 1, 2$. Hence we can conclude that $\text{fd}_A(V_k) \leq 1$ ($k = 1, 2$).

In [V, theorem 3.4] W. Vasconcelos showed that

$$\text{gldim } V \leq \text{gldim } A \leq \text{gldim } V + 1$$

(ii) Let k be a field, G be a totally ordered group, $G^+ = \{g \in G \mid g \geq e\}$ (e is the neutral element of G) and let $V = k[[G^+]]$ be the ring of all formal power series, i.e. V consists of formal infinite sums $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G^+} \alpha_g g$, where $\alpha_g \in k$ and $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{g \in G^+ \mid \alpha_g \neq 0\}$ is well ordered. An element $\alpha \neq 0$ of V , may be written

in the form $\alpha = \beta g(e + \varphi)$, with $\beta \in k, g \in G^+, \varphi \in V$, and $\varphi_e = 0$ ($(e + \varphi)$ is a unit of V , and, $(e + \varphi)^{-1} = e + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\varphi)^n$).

We can think of V as the ring of all power series in a symbol x with exponents the well ordered subsets in G^+ , i.e., if $r \in V$, we can write $r = x^a u$, where $\alpha \in G^+$ and u is a unit in V . The ring V is a valuation domain (more information about this ring can be found in [F, p134] and in [S]).

Suppose that $|G| = \mathcal{N}_n$ ($|G|$ denotes the cardinality of G) and that $G^+ - \{e\}$ has no coinital subset B with $|B| \leq \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$, i.e., for all subsets B of $G^+ - \{e\}$ with $|B| \leq \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$, there exists an element g in $G^+ - \{e\}$ (g not in B) such that $g < b$, for every element b in B . Then every ideal I of V can be generated by a set D with $|D| \leq \mathcal{N}_n$. The maximal ideal m can not be generated by $\leq \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$ elements. To see this, suppose that m has a set of generators D with $|D| \leq \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$. If we let $B = \{g_i | x^{g_i} u \in D\}$ then $|B| \leq \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$, and there exists an element $g \in G^+ - \{e\}$, such that $g < g_i$ for all $g_i \in B$, i.e. x^g is not in m , which is a contradiction.

In [OB-2, p227] B. Ososky showed that for a ring R with no zero divisors and linearly ordered ideals, an R -ideal I has $\text{pd}_R(I) = n + 1$ if and only if the smallest cardinality of a generating set of I is \mathcal{N}_n . From this we obtain that $\text{pd}_V(m) = n + 1$ if and only if the smallest cardinality of a generating set of I is \mathcal{N}_n . From this we obtain that $\text{pd}_V(m) = n + 1$ and $\text{pd}_V(I) \leq n + 1$ for every ideal I of V , and from these assertions we conclude that $\text{gldim } V = n + 2$.

(iii) Let I be the well ordered set of all ordinals $< \mathcal{N}_n$. Let G be the coproduct of I copies of \mathbb{Z} , i.e, $m G = \coprod_I \mathbb{Z}$. Order G lexicographically. Then we have that G is a totally ordered group with $|G| = \mathcal{N}_n$, and $G^+ - \{e\}$ has no coinital subset of cardinality $< \mathcal{N}_n$. So that by (ii) we obtain that $\text{gldim } V = n + 2$.

CLAIM. $\text{gldim } A = n + 3$.

PROOF. We know that

$$n + 2 \leq \text{gldim } A \leq n + 3$$

Consider the ideal $I = (a, 0)A$ with a in m . We tensor the exact sequence of A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$$

with V_2 , and we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(V_2, A/I) \rightarrow V_2 \otimes_A I \xrightarrow{g} V_2 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes_A A/I \rightarrow 0$$

Since $g = 0$, we have that $\text{Tor}_1^A(V_2, A/I) \simeq V_2 \otimes_A I$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes_A (0, m) \xrightarrow{f} V_2 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes_A I \rightarrow 0$$

we see that $\text{pd}_{V_2}(\text{Tor}_1^A(V_2, A/I)) = n + 2$. This follows from the fact that

$V_2 \otimes_A (0, m) \simeq m$ and that, by (ii), $\text{pd}_{V_2}(m) = n + 1$. Appealing to corollary 7, we can conclude that $\text{gldim } A = n + 3$.

(iv) If we consider $G = \mathbf{Q}$, i.e., $V = k[[\mathbf{Q}^+]]$, we obtain that $\text{gldim } A = 3$. This is the same ring that B. Osofsky studies in [OB-1, theorem 2.37].

EXAMPLE 2. (a) Let $T = k[[\mathbf{Q}^+]]$, m the maximal ideal of T , and $R = T \times_m T$ with maximal ideal J .

Consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & R/J \simeq T/m \simeq k \end{array}$$

where the maps onto k are the natural ones. Then $S = \{a, b, c \mid a, b, c \in T \text{ and } a_0 = b_0 = c_0\}$ where $a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^{n_i}$, $b = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^{n_i}$, $c = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i x^{n_i}$ and $0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$. The ring S is local with zero divisors and maximal ideal $m \times J$. We know that $\text{gldim } T = 2$, $\text{wd } T = 1$, $\text{gldim } R = 3$ and $\text{wd } R = 2$.

We will determine $\text{gldim } S$.

First we shall show that $\text{fd}_S T \leq 1$ and $\text{fd}_S R \leq 1$.

To see this, let I be a finitely generated ideal of S , generated by

$\{(r_1 v_1, w_1), (r_2, v_2, w_2), (r_3, v_3, w_3), \dots, (r_n, v_n, w_n)\}$. If v denotes the valuation associated to T , then by considering $\min\{v(r_i)\}$ and $\min\{v(v_i)\}$, we can conclude that either

$$I = (a, 0, 0)S \oplus (0, b, c)S, \text{ or}$$

$$I = (a, b, 0)S \oplus (0, 0, c)S, \text{ or}$$

$I = (a, b, c)S$ where $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$, $c \neq 0$ (I is then S -projective since T is a domain).

Let $I_1 = (a, 0, 0)S$, $I_2 = (0, b, 0)S$, $I_3 = (0, b, c)S$ and $I_4 = (a, b, 0)S$. It is sufficient to assume that $I = I_k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

We shall show that $\text{Tor}_1^S(T, I_k) = 0$

For $k = 1$ we have an exact sequence

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow (0, J) \rightarrow S \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring (14) with T , we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(T, I_1) \rightarrow T \otimes_S (0, J) \xrightarrow{f_1} T \rightarrow T \otimes_S I_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

But since J is not principal, $T \otimes_S (0, J) = 0$, and hence we have that $\text{Tor}_1^S(T, I_1) = 0$.

For $k = 2$, there exists an exact sequence

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow (m, 0, m) \rightarrow S \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring (15) with T , we get

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I_2) \rightarrow T \otimes_S(m, 0, m) \xrightarrow{f_2} T \rightarrow T \otimes_S I_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

But since T is a valuation ring and $T \otimes_S(m, 0, m) \simeq T \otimes_S(m, 0, 0)$, f_2 is injective, hence we obtain that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I_2) = 0$.

For $k = 3$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I_3) \rightarrow T \otimes_S(m, 0, 0) \xrightarrow{f_3} T \rightarrow T \otimes_S I_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since f_3 is injective, we obtain that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I_3) = 0$.

For $k = 4$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I_4) \rightarrow T \otimes_S(0, 0, m) \xrightarrow{f_4} T \rightarrow T \otimes_S I_4 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $T \otimes_S(0, 0, m) = 0$, we obtain that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I_4) = 0$.

Now we show that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I_k) = 0$.

For $k = 1$ tensoring (14) with R , we get

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I_1) \rightarrow R \otimes_S(0, J) \xrightarrow{f_1} R \rightarrow R \otimes_S I_1 \rightarrow 0$$

Since $R \otimes_S(0, J) \simeq J$, f_1 is injective and it follows that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I_1) = 0$.

For $k = 2$ tensoring (15) with R , we get

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I_2) \rightarrow R \otimes_S(m, 0, m) \xrightarrow{f_2} R \rightarrow R \otimes_S I_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $R \otimes_S(m, 0, m) \simeq R \otimes_S(0, 0, m)$, f_2 is injective, and hence we obtain that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I_2) = 0$.

With similar arguments, we can show that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I_k) = 0$ for $k = 3, 4$.

We can show that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(T, I) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, I) = 0$, for every finitely generated ideal I of S , so we can conclude that $\operatorname{fd}_S T \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{fd}_S R \leq 1$.

From [KK, theorem 2], [OB-1, proposition 2.36] and corollary 6 we have that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} 3 &\leq \operatorname{gldim} S \leq \max\{2 + 1, 3 + 1\} = 4 \text{ and} \\ 2 &\leq \operatorname{wd} S \leq \max\{1 + 1, 2 + 1\} = 3 \end{aligned}$$

CLAIM. $\operatorname{wd} S = 2$

PROOF. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_k \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I_k \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with R , we get

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I_k) \rightarrow R \otimes_S I_k \xrightarrow{g_k} R \rightarrow R \otimes_S S/I_k \rightarrow 0.$$

But $g_1 = 0$, so $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I_1) \simeq R \otimes_S I_1$. Since $R \otimes_S(0, J) \simeq J$, and J is R -flat by [OB-1, p53], we obtain from (16) and $\operatorname{fd}_S(R) \leq 1$, that $\operatorname{fd}_S(R \otimes_S I_1) \leq 1$.

For $k = 2, 3, 4$ we have that g_k is injective hence $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I_k) = 0$.

We have shown that $\operatorname{fd}_R(\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I)) \leq 1$ for all finitely generated ideals I of S .

Since $\text{wd } T = 1$ we have that $\text{fd}_T(M) \leq 1$ for all T -modules M . Then using corollary 8, we obtain that $\text{wd } S \leq 2$. Using (17) we conclude that $\text{wd } S = 2$.

CLAIM. $\text{gldim } S = 3$

PROOF. By corollary 7 and (17), and given the fact that $\text{gldim } T = 2$, we only need to show that $\text{pd}_R(\text{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I)) \leq 2$ for all ideals I of S .

If I is a finitely generated ideal of S , we have shown that $\text{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I) = 0$ or $\text{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I) \simeq R \otimes_S I$. Since $R \otimes_S (0, J) \simeq J$ and from [OB-1, p53] we know that $\text{pd}_R(J) \leq 1$, we conclude (from (16) and $\text{fd}_S R \leq 1$) that $\text{pd}_R(\text{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I)) \leq 2$ for all finitely generated ideals I of S .

If I is not finitely generated, we have that either $\{v(r), (r, v, w) \in I\}$, or $\{v(v), (r, v, w) \in I\}$, or $\{v(w), (r, v, w) \in I\}$ has no minimal elements. Hence we can assume that

$$I = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i, 0, 0)S \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (0, b_i, 0)S \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (0, 0, c_i)S$$

where the orders of a_i, b_i, c_i strictly decrease.

Thus $\text{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I) \simeq R \otimes_S \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i, 0, 0)S$. With arguments similar to those used in [OB-1, p53] to show that $\text{pd}_R(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i R) \leq 1$, we can prove that

$\text{pd}_S \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i, 0, 0)S \leq 1$. And since $\text{fd}_S R \leq 1$, we conclude that

$\text{pd}_R(R \otimes_S \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i, 0, 0)S) \leq 1$.

We have shown that $\text{pd}_R(\text{Tor}_1^S(R, S/I)) \leq 2$ for all ideals I of S . It follows that $\text{gldim } S = 3$.

(b) Let R and T be rings as in (a).

Consider the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{(2)} & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & R/J \end{array}$$

where the maps onto R/J are the natural ones.

Then $R^{(2)} = \{(a, b, c, d) \in T \times T \times T \times T \mid a_0 = b_0 = c_0 = d_0\}$ where $a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^{n_i}$, $b = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i x^{n_i}$, $d = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i x^{n_i}$ and $0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ is a local ring with zero divisors and maximal ideal $J \times J$.

With arguments similar to those in (a), we can show that

$$\text{fd}_{R^{(2)}}(R) \leq 1, \text{wd } R^{(2)} = 2 \text{ and } \text{gldim } R^{(2)} = 3.$$

Summing up we have given examples of pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A_1 \\
 i_2 \downarrow & & j_1 \downarrow \\
 A_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & A_0
 \end{array}$$

such that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{gldim } A & \text{gldim } A_1 \\ \text{gldim } A_2 & \text{gldim } A_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

takes the values

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} n+3 & n+2 \\ n+2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $n \geq 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I want to thank Jan-Erik Roos for many helpful discussions and useful comments during the preparation of this paper. I am also thank Chr. U. Jensen for guidance about the literature about valuation rings and Ralf Fröberg and Juan Alonso for comments on the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [CE] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1956.
- [F] L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon, 1963.
- [KK] E. Kirkman and J. Kuzmanovich, *On the global dimension of fibre products*, *Pacific J. Math.* 134 (1988), 121–132.
- [OB-1] B. Osofsky, *Homological dimensions of Modules*, CBMS Regional Series in Math., 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1973.
- [OB-2] B. Osofsky, *Homological dimension and the continuum hypothesis*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (1968) 217–230.
- [R] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 85, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [S] O. F. G. Schilling, *The Theory of Valuations*, Math. Surveys, 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1950.
- [V] W. Vasconcelos, *The Rings of Dimension Two*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 22, Dekker, New York, 1976.
- [W] A. N. Wiseman, *Projective modules over pullback rings*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* (1985), 399–406.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM
SWEDEN

CURRENT ADDRESS
VÄSTER BY BACKE 164TR
163 72 SPÅNGA
SWEDEN