PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 85, Number 4, August 1982

HOMOTOPY IDEMPOTENTS ON FINITE-DIMENSIONAL COMPLEXES SPLIT[1]

HAROLD M. HASTINGS[2] AND ALEX HELLER

ABSTRACT. We prove that (unpointed) homotopy idempotents on finite-dimensional complexes split, and describe some geometric consequences.

1. Introduction. A *homotopy idempotent* on a space X is a map $f\colon X \to X$ such that $f^2 \simeq f$. It is said to *split* if there are maps $W \xrightarrow{u} X \xrightarrow{v} W$ with $vu \simeq 1_W$, and $uv \simeq f$. It is well known (see E. M. Brown [**2**], D. A. Edwards and R. Geoghegan [**8**], P. Freyd [**10**]) that *pointed* homotopy idempotents on *pointed connected* CW complexes split. Questions about splitting unpointed homotopy idempotents have arisen in several areas. In homotopy theory (Freyd and Heller [**11**], Heller [**13**]) this question is closely linked with Brown's representation theorem for half-exact functors. This question is also closely related with the study of FANR's (fundamental absolute neighborhood retracts). A compact metric space C is a FANR if it is a shape (fundamental) retract of a (compact) ANR (absolute neighborhood retract) A, that is, if there is a map $j\colon C \to A$ and a shape map $r\colon A \to C$ with rj shape equivalent to 1_C. By J. West [**16**], A is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex X. Then, cf. [**8**], the composite mapping jr induces a homotopy idempotent on X. In pro-homotopy (D. A. Edwards and Hastings [**9**]), the question of splitting homotopy idempotents is a special case of the more general question of whether weak pro-homotopy equivalences are strong pro-homotopy equivalences. Similar questions arise in shape theory (J. Dydak and Hastings [**6**], Dydak and J. Segal [**7**], Edwards and R. Geoghegan [**8**]: Is every shape equivalence a strong shape equivalence?) and proper homotopy theory (T. A. Chapman [**3**], Chapman and L. Siebenmann [**4**, Appendix II], and Edwards and Geoghegan [**8**]: Is every weak proper homotopy equivalence a proper homotopy equivalence?).

Recently, Dydak and P. Minc [**5**], and Freyd and Heller [**11**] independently found an unpointed homotopy idempotent on an infinite-dimensional complex which does not split. See §2. This answered the pro-homotopy question in the negative. However, the shape and proper homotopy questions remained open, because they involve implicit finiteness restrictions.

We shall prove the following.

Received by the editors August 18, 1980 and, in revised form, April 20, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P65; Secondary 55P55, 55U35.

[1]These results were announced at the conference "Shape theory and pro-homotopy", Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia, January 1981 [**12**].

[2]Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 81-02053.

0002-9939/82/0000-0173/$02.00

THEOREM 1. *(Unpointed) homotopy idempotents on finite-dimensional complexes split.*

COROLLARY. *Every FANR is a pointed FANR.*

We need consider, without loss of generality, pointed, connected CW complexes. All maps, with such obvious exceptions as deck-transformations of covering spaces, preserve basepoints. We shall consider both pointed ($\sim$) and unpointed ($\simeq$) homotopies.

We thank J. Dydak and R. Geoghegan for helpful conversations.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We begin by recalling an earlier result [**5**, **11**]. Let $f: X \to X$ be a homotopy idempotent, and let $H: f^2 \simeq f$ be a homotopy. Let F be the group with presentation

$$\left\langle x_0, x_1, \ldots \mid x_i x_j x_i^{-1} = x_{j+1}, \text{ all } i < j \right\rangle.$$

Then F admits an endomorphism ϕ with $\phi x_i = x_{i+1}$ for all i. Under the homotopy H, the basepoint of X traces out an element ξ_0 in $\pi_1 X$. Then there is a unique homomorphism $\Phi: F \to \pi_1 X$ such that $\Phi x_0 = \xi_0$ and $\Phi\phi = (\pi_1 f)\Phi$.

THEOREM 2 [**5**, **11**]. *The homotopy idempotent $f: X \to X$ fails to split if and only if Φ is injective. In particular, the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space $K(F, 1)$ has an unsplit homotopy idempotent, the induced map $K(\phi, 1)$.*

If a homotopy idempotent f splits, then f has an image. (Of course, images do not exist, in general in homotopy theory.) Here is a candidate for homotopy "image" of f. Let Y be the homotopy of the diagram colimit of the diagram

$$X \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{f} \cdots. \tag{2.1}$$

For an explicit construction, let Y be the Milnor telescope [**13**], of (2.1), that is,

$$Y = \operatorname{Tel}\left(X \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{f} X \to \cdots \right) = M_f \cup_X M_f \cup_X M_f \cup_X \cdots. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $i_k: X \to Y$ include X as the base of the kth mapping cylinder in Y. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(a)} \quad i_{k+1} f \sim i_k, \\ &\text{(b)} \quad g i_{k+1} \sim i_k, \text{ and} \\ &\text{(c)} \quad \pi_* Y = \operatorname{colim}\left(\pi_* X \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_* X \xrightarrow{f_*} \cdots \right), \\ &\text{(d)} \quad H_* Y = \operatorname{colim}\left(H_* X \xrightarrow{f_*} H_* X \xrightarrow{f_*} \cdots \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Thus for all q, $H_q Y = \bigcup_k \operatorname{Im}(H_q i_k)$. By (2.3)(b), all of the images $\operatorname{Im}(H_q i_k)$ are isomorphic. Thus unless $H_q Y = 0$, all $\operatorname{Im}(H_q i_k) \neq 0$.

Similarly form

$$\tilde{Y} = \operatorname{Tel}\left(\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \cdots \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Then, up to homotopy, $\tilde{Y}$ is the universal cover of Y (compare $\pi_* Y$ and $\pi_* \tilde{Y}$) and analogues of (2.3) hold for $\tilde{Y}$. We consider the left action of fundamental groups on universal covering spaces and their homology. Then for any element ξ of $\pi_1 X$, the following equivariance conditions hold:

$$\tilde{f}\xi \simeq ((\pi_1 f)\xi)\tilde{f}; \quad \tilde{i}_k \xi \simeq ((\pi_1 \tilde{i}_k)\xi)\tilde{i}_k, \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{2.5}$$

We may now proceed to the proof of the theorem. Let $f\colon X \to X$ be a homotopy idempotent on a finite-dimensional complex. Assume that f does not split. First observe that by taking a suitable covering space we may assume that $\pi_1 X = F$, $\Phi = 1_F$, and $\phi = \pi_1 f$. We may then compute, the homotopy "image" of f in (2.2) and (2.4):

$$\begin{gathered} \pi_1 Y = G = \left\langle \ldots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \ldots \mid x_i x_j x_i^{-1} = x_{j+i}, \text{all } i < j \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \\ (\pi_1 i_k) x_j = x_{j-k}, \qquad j = 0, 1, \ldots. \end{gathered} \tag{2.6}$$

For the deck-transformations on the universal coverings, (2.6) yields the formula $\tilde{i}_k x_j \simeq x_{j-k} \tilde{i}_k$.

The homotopy $\tilde{f}^2 \simeq \tilde{f}$ lifts to a homotopy $\tilde{f}^2 \simeq x_0 \tilde{f}$. Thus for all $k > 0$, $\tilde{f}^{k+1} \simeq x_0 f^k$ and, composing with i_{k+1}, $\tilde{i}_0 \simeq x_{-k-1} \tilde{i}_1$. Thus all x_l, $l \leq -2$, operate in the same way on the subgroup $\operatorname{Im}(H_q \tilde{i}_1) \subset H_q Y$. For each integer $n > 0$, let T_n be the subgroup of G generated by the n elements

$$x_{-3}^{-1} x_{-2}, x_{-6}^{-1} x_{-5}, \ldots, x_{-3n}^{-1} x_{-3n+1}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is shown in [**11**, cf. also **6**, **7**] that T_n is free abelian on these n generators; we have just seen that it operates trivially on $\operatorname{Im}(H_q i_1)$.

Because X is finite-dimensional, there is a largest degree r such that $H_r \tilde{Y} \neq 0$. Also, for each n, let Y_n be the covering space of Y with fundamental group T_n, and compute $H^* Y_n$ by the spectral sequence of the covering $\tilde{Y} \to Y_n$; cf. [**14**] for a detailed description. In this spectral sequence $E^2_{pq} = H_p(T_n, H_q Y)$. Thus $E^2_{pq} \neq 0$ if $p > n$ or $q > r$. Accordingly

$$E^2_{nr} = E_{nr} = H_n(T_n, H_r Y) = (H_r Y)^{T_n}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $(H_r Y)^{T_n}$ denotes the subgroup fixed under T_n. The last equality may be obtained directly (cf. [**14**]) or using Poincaré duality in local coefficients (following a suggestion of the referee):

$$H_n(K(T_n, 1), H_r Y) \cong H^0(K(T_n, 1), H_r Y). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus

$$H_{n+r} Y_n = (H_r Y)^{T_n} = \operatorname{Im}(H_r \tilde{i}_1) \neq 0, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence the dimension of Y, and hence also of X, is infinite, a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

3. A geometric application. We sketch a proof of the following, as an example of the use of Theorem 1 in geometric topology.

THEOREM 3. *Every* (*compact*) *FANR is a pointed FANR.*

PROOF. Let C be a (compact) FANR. Following [**8**], as explained in our Introduction, C is a shape retract a finite complex X via shape maps $j: C \to X$ and $r: X \to C$. These yield a homotopy idempotent $f: X \to X$. By [**8**], f splits in weak pro-homotopy through the inverse sequence

$$X \overset{f}{\leftarrow} X \overset{f}{\leftarrow} X \overset{f}{\leftarrow} \cdots, \tag{3.1}$$

which defines the shape of C. Also, C has the shape of a complex if and only if f splits in the homotopy category of complexes. (In this case, f splits through the homotopy limit, holim, of (3.1); see A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan [**1**], also [**8**], [**9**].) We provide a splitting in the unpointed case; Edwards and Geoghegan [**8**] had only been able to consider pointed shape and pointed FANR's. Our Theorem 1 extends the Edwards-Geoghegan argument to unpointed shape, thus C has the shape of a complex Y. Hence C has the strong shape of Y, and the pointed shape of Y [**9**, §5]. The conclusion now follows from [**8**]. □

REFERENCES

1. A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions, and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.

2. E. M. Brown, *Cohomology theories*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 467–484.

3. T. A. Chapman, *On some applications of infinite-dimensional manifolds to the theory of shape*, Fund. Math. **76** (1972), 181–193.

4. T. A. Chapman and L. Siebenmann, *Finding a boundary for a Hilbert cube manifold*, Acta Math. **139** (1976), 171–208.

5. J. Dydak, *A simple proof that pointed, connected FANR-spaces are regular fundamental retracts of ANR's*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **25** (1977), 55–62.

6. J. Dydak and H. M. Hastings, *Homotopy idempotents on two-dimensional complexes split*, Proc. Internat. Conf. on Geometric Topology (Warsaw, 1978), (ed. K. Borsuk and A. Kirkor), PWN, Warsaw, 1980, pp. 127–133.

7. J. Dydak and J. Segal, *Shape theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 688, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978.

8. D. A. Edwards and R. Geoghegan, *Shapes of complexes, ends of manifolds, homotopy limits, and the Wall obstruction*, Ann. of Math. (2) **101** (1975), 521–535; Correction **104** (1976), 379.

9. D. A. Edwards and H. M. Hastings, *Čech and Steenrod homotopy theory, with applications to geometric topology*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 542, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.

10. P. Freyd, *Splitting homotopy idempotents*, Proc. Conf. on Categorical Algebra (La Jolla 1965), (ed. S. Eilenberg and G. M. Kelley), Springer, Berlin and New York, 1966, pp. 173–176.

11. P. Freyd and A. Heller, *Splitting homotopy idempotents* (to appear).

12. H. M. Hastings and A. Heller, *Splitting homotopy idempotents*, Conf. on Shape and Pro-Homotopy (Dubrovnik, 1981), (ed. S. Mardešić and J. Segal), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 870, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1981, pp. 23–36.

13. A. Heller, *On the representability of homotopy functors* (to appear).

14. P. J. Hilton and S. Wiley, *Homology theory: an introduction to algebraic topology*, Cambridge, 1962.

15. J. Milnor, *On axiomatic homology theory*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 337–341.

16. J. West, *Mapping Hilbert cube manifolds to ANR's: A solution to a conjecture of Borsuk*, Ann. of Math. (2) **106** (1977), 1–18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY, HEMPSTEAD, NEW YORK 11550

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL & UNIVERSITY CENTER, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, 33 WEST 42 STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036