HOPF ALGEBRAS UP TO HOMOTOPY

DAVID J. ANICK

1. BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

Ever since functors from the category TOP of topological spaces to various algebraic categories were first discovered, topologists have sought functors which are complete homotopy invariants. A functor is called a "homotopy invariant" if its values on two maps f and g coincide (or are equivalent in some nice enough sense) whenever f and g are homotopic. For a "complete homotopy invariant" one requires the converse as well. Having a complete homotopy invariant on a subcategory \mathscr{C} of TOP means that one can study all the homotopy-theoretic properties of \mathscr{C} purely by doing algebra.

The single most exploited complete homotopy invariant has been the Quillen model¹ for Q-local simply-connected spaces [13]. This model associates to each such space a differential graded Lie algebra over Q. A "differential graded Lie algebra" (henceforth "dgL") over Q is a positively graded Q-module, $L = \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} L_n$ with $L_n = 0$ for $n \le 0$, together with a bilinear bracket [,]: $L_m \otimes L_n \to L_{m+n}$ and a differential $\delta: L_n \to L_{n-1}$. The bracket must satisfy the Jacobi identities (with signs) for a Lie algebra, and δ is a derivation whose square is zero. There is a reasonable concept of "homotopy" among homomorphisms between two dgL's, analogous in many respects to the topological notion of homotopy. Using this concept, Quillen showed that rational homotopy types of simply-connected spaces biject naturally with homotopy types of dgL's, and likewise for maps. This seminal discovery has made possible the immensely successful field of rational homotopy theory.

An older, noncomplete homotopy invariant was discovered by Adams and Hilton [2]. For each simply-connected CW complex their construction yields an associative differential graded algebra (henceforth "dga"). Compared with Quillen's model, the Adams-Hilton model has the advantages of being easier to compute in practice, and of providing information over an arbitrary ring R (not just \mathbf{Q}). Quillen's model enjoys the comparative strengths of being a Lie rather than an associative algebra, meaning that it contains less superfluous

©1989 American Mathematical Society 0894-0347/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors February 23, 1988 and, in revised form, December 12, 1988.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 55P62, 18G55.

The author was partially supported by a National Science Foundation grant.

¹ A "model" is for practical purposes a functor, but uniqueness and compatibility with compositions may hold only up to homotopy.

information, and as we have mentioned, it is rationally a complete homotopy invariant.

Let R denote a subring of \mathbf{Q} . In [6] and [7], Baues, Halperin, and Lemaire established a compatibility between the Adams-Hilton (over R) and the Quillen models. For spaces of finite type, the **Q**-localization of Adams-Hilton coincides (up to homotopy) with the universal enveloping algebra (denoted U) of Quillen. This is illustrated by the solid arrows in (1).

(1)

 $(?) \xrightarrow{-U} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Adams-Hilton dga} \\ \text{model over } R \subset \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \text{Quillen dgL} \\ \text{model over } \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{U} \begin{pmatrix} \text{dga model} \\ \text{over } \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix}$ The dotted arrows in (1) suggest the possibility of a "unified model," represented by the question mark, enjoying the strong points of both models. It is here that this paper comes in. Under certain dimension and connectivity conditions, we construct precisely such a unified model. Since we adopt the Adams-Hilton model as our starting point, one consequence is a new construction of the Quillen model.

Specifically, if for some p R contains n^{-1} for n < p, and if we restrict ourselves for some $r \ge 1$ to r-connected CW complexes of dimension $\le rp$, then (1) may be filled in. The simple fact that the Adams-Hilton model for Xis an enveloping algebra under these hypotheses also leads to a quick proof of Wilkerson's conjecture, viz., the vanishing of *p*th powers in $\widetilde{H}^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The bulk of this paper will be dedicated to the proof of a single purely algebraic theorem, Theorem 4.8. This theorem asserts that certain dga's are automatically the enveloping algebras of dgL's. We will now describe how these results were discovered, hoping thereby to elucidate the key ideas involved as well as what we mean by "certain dga's."

Consider a typical dga, (A, d). Is (A, d) the Adams-Hilton model for any space? In general, the answer will be "no." What properties distinguish dga's which are Adams-Hilton models from those which are not?

Here is a dga which is not an Adams-Hilton model: $A = R\langle x, y \rangle =$ free associative R-algebra on x and y, |x| = 1, |y| = 4, d(x) = 0, $d(y) = x^3$. Suppose (A,d) were the Adams-Hilton model for some X, denoted A(X). Consider the diagonal map $\Delta: X \to X \times X$, and the induced homomorphism

(2)
$$\mathbf{A}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{A}(\Delta)} \mathbf{A}(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} j \mathbf{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{A}(X)$$
.

(We must borrow here the quasi-isomorphism j from the original Adams-Hilton paper [2, p. 322].) Denote by ψ this composite dga homomorphism. Then $\psi(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, so

 $d\psi(y) = \psi d(y) = \psi(x^3) = \psi(x)^3 = x^3 \otimes 1 + x^2 \otimes x + x \otimes x^2 + 1 \otimes x^3$. But $x^3 \otimes 1 + x^2 \otimes x + x \otimes x^2 + 1 \otimes x^3$ is not a boundary in $(A, d) \otimes (A, d)$, so $\psi(y)$ cannot exist!

In order for a dga (A,d) to equal A(X), it must be capable of receiving a "coproduct" $\psi: (A,d) \to (A,d) \otimes (A,d)$. Since this coproduct is to come from a model for the diagonal map, some of its properties are constrained. As we shall see in §8, there must be homotopies between $(1_A \otimes \varepsilon)\psi$ and 1_A , between $(\varepsilon \otimes 1_A)\psi$ and 1_A , between $\tau\psi$ and ψ , and between $(\psi \otimes 1)\psi$ and $(1 \otimes \psi)\psi$. Here $\varepsilon: A \to R$ is the augmentation, $1_A: A \to A$ is the identity, and τ sends $a \otimes b$ to $(-1)^{|a||b|}b \otimes a$. The idea is to consider only those (A,d) for which there exists a ψ permitting these four homotopies.

If we had equalities in place of the four homotopies, ψ would make (A, d) into a differential graded cocommutative coassociative Hopf algebra. As it stands, we call the triple (A, d, ψ) a "Hopf algebra up to homotopy" or "Hah." Our point of view becomes the following. Keeping the diagonal information (2), we view the Adams-Hilton model as converting spaces not into dga's, but into Hopf algebras up to homotopy.

Recall that the universal enveloping algebra of a graded Lie algebra is automatically a cocommutative coassociative Hopf algebra. For a dgL (L, δ) , $U(L, \delta)$ is automatically a differential graded Hopf algebra, which is a special kind of Hah. A remarkable converse also holds: within certain connectivity and dimension constraints, *every* Hah is isomorphic to $U(L, \delta)$ for some (unique) (L, δ) ! It follows for suitable spaces X that $A(X) \approx U(L, \delta)$. We may now adopt (L, δ) as our Lie algebra model for X, thus filling in diagram (1).

Theorem 4.8 is, of course, precisely this "remarkable converse." We are at last in a position to state it precisely. Let R contain n^{-1} for n < p. Given a Hah (A, d, ψ) , where A is a tensor algebra generated by the range A_r through A_{rp-1} , there is a dgL (L, δ) for which $U(L, \delta) \approx (A, d)$, and the Hopf algebra coproduct Δ_L on $U(L, \delta)$ is homotopic with ψ . The homotopy type of (L, δ) is determined by that of (A, d, ψ) . Furthermore, for any homomorphism $f: (A, d, \psi) \to (A', d', \psi')$ satisfying $\psi' f \simeq (f \otimes f)\psi$, there is an $\alpha: (L, \delta) \to (L', \delta')$ such that $U\alpha \simeq f$ once we identify (A, d) with $U(L, \delta)$ and (A', d') with $U(L', \delta')$. Lastly, the homotopy class of α is determined by the class of f (cf. [4]).

Here is the briefest overview of the proof of Theorem 4.8. First, consider the "nondifferential" version, essentially due to Milnor and Moore [10], that a cocommutative coassociative free Hopf R-algebra generated in the stated range is primitively generated (hence an enveloping algebra). We can give a proof of this nondifferential version via splittings of a certain exact sequence. Inducting on the dimension, if the reduced coproduct of some generator is nonzero, it is possible to subtract from it a decomposable element so that the difference, also a valid choice for the generator, is primitive.

The differential version works much the same way. Assuming we have constructed a strictly cocommutative coassociative χ homotopic to ψ in dimensions below n, we utilize the four homotopies together with the splittings to adjust χ , so it becomes strictly cocommutative and coassociative in dimension

D. J. ANICK

n as well. This procedure requires a detailed understanding of the homotopy theory for the category of dga's, as well as some delicate properties of the splittings.

Finally, let us mention some new open questions which arise from this work. Is a free Hah always isomorphic to a differential graded (not necessarily primitively generated) Hopf algebra? Can our model be improved by incorporating into it the action of the Steenrod algebra? Which theorems of rational homotopy remain valid over subrings of \mathbf{Q} or over \mathbf{Z}_p , subject to dimension and connectivity hypotheses?

2. HOMOTOPY THEORY FOR DIFFERENTIAL GRADED ALGEBRAS

We collect in this section all the definitions and lemmas we shall use later about the category DGA of differential graded algebras. Since none of the results are new, their proofs have been omitted or drastically curtailed. Still, the material is presented in sequence, so what follows is actually a development of the concept of homotopy in DGA, minus the proofs. References include [3, 7, 11].

Definitions. Let R denote a commutative ring with unity. A graded algebra over R is a graded R-module $A = \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n$, $A_n = 0$ for n < 0, with associative bilinear pairings $A_m \otimes A_n \to A_{m+n}$. It is connected if $1 \in A_0 \approx R$. It is *r*-reduced if it is connected and $A_n = 0$ for 0 < n < r. Connected algebras have a unique graded algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon: A \to R$ called the augmentation. $A_+ = \ker(\varepsilon)$ is the augmentation ideal. For $x \in A_n$, $x \neq 0$, we write |x| = n and call n the dimension of x.

A differential on a graded algebra A is an R-homomorphism d of degree -1 satisfying $d^2 = 0$ and

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + (-1)^{|x|} x d(y).$$

A differential graded algebra (henceforth dga) is a connected graded algebra A together with a differential d for which $d(A_+) \subseteq A_+$. Our notation is (A, d) or, where no confusion can result, simply A.

A homomorphism of dga's is both a graded algebra homomorphism and a chain map. The category of dga's over R is denoted DGA(R), or simply DGA. Homology, denoted $H_*()$, is a functor from DGA to connected graded algebras. A DGA homomorphism inducing an isomorphism on homology is called a *quasi-isomorphism* or *quism*.

The category of connected graded algebras has push-outs. The push-out of the diagram $B \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} A \stackrel{g}{\rightarrow} C$ is denoted $B \amalg_A C$. Most often, we consider push-outs over the trivial graded algebra R, for which the notation is simply $B \amalg C$. As an *R*-module, $B \amalg C$ equals the direct sum of the graded *R*-modules

$$R, B_+, C_+, B_+ \otimes C_+, C_+ \otimes B_+, B_+ \otimes C_+ \otimes B_+, \ldots$$

It has the obvious multiplicative structure. If f and g are DGA homomorphisms, then $B \coprod_A C$, endowed with the obvious differential, is the push-out in DGA of the diagram $(B, e) \stackrel{f}{\leftarrow} (A, d) \stackrel{g}{\to} (C, \gamma)$.

The tensor product of dga's (A, d) and (B, e) is $(A \otimes B, \delta)$, where $\delta(a \otimes b) = d(a) \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \otimes e(b)$. Their direct product, denoted $(A, d) \times (B, e)$ or $A \times B$, is given by $(A \times B)_0 = R$, $(A \times B)_n = A_n \oplus B_n$ for n > 0, with componentwise addition, multiplication, and differentiation. There are obvious DGA surjections $A \amalg B \to A \otimes B \to A \times B \rightrightarrows A, B$.

Given a free positively graded *R*-module *V*, *TV* denotes the tensor algebra on *V* with grading inherited from *V*. We identify *V* with $T^1V \subseteq TV$. We call a dga (A,d) free if *A* is isomorphic with some *TV*. Note that *A* need not be a free object in DGA. Given a graded set *S* (i.e., *S* together with $e: S \to \mathbb{Z}_+$) the free associative algebra on *S* is denoted $R\langle S \rangle$. Clearly, $R\langle S \rangle$ and $T(\text{Span}_R(S))$ coincide.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f: (A, d) \rightarrow (B, e)$ be a DGA homomorphism.

(a) f may be factored as

 $(A,d) \xrightarrow{h} (A,d) \amalg (TV,\delta) \xrightarrow{p} (B,e),$

where h is a quism and p is surjective.

(b) f may be factored as

$$(A,d) \xrightarrow{J} (A \amalg TW,\delta) \xrightarrow{q} (B,e),$$

where q is a quism.

Definition. Let (A, d) be a dga. A dga (A^*, d^*) together with homomorphisms

$$(A,d) \stackrel{j_1}{\underset{j_2}{\Longrightarrow}} (A^*,d^*) \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} (A,d)$$

is called a *cylinder* on (A, d) if

- (i) $j_1 \amalg j_2 \colon (A, d) \amalg (A, d) \to (A^*, d^*)$ is injective;
- (ii) $pj_1 = pj_2 = 1_A$; and
- (iii) p is a quism.

We say that two homomorphisms $f, g: (A, d) \to (B, e)$ are homotopic, $f \simeq g$, if $f \amalg g: (A, d) \amalg (A, d) \to (B, e)$ extends over some cylinder (A^*, d^*) .

By Lemma 2.1(b) applied to the collapse map $A \amalg A \to A$, every dga has a cylinder.

Lemma 2.2. (a) If $A \xrightarrow{h} B \xrightarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{i} D$ are DGA homomorphisms and $f \simeq g$, then if $h \simeq igh$. (b) \simeq is an equivalence relation.

Remark. Only transitivity presents any challenge. It follows from the observation that the push-out over A of two cylinders on A is another cylinder.

When A is free, we can give an alternate criterion for two homomorphisms with source A to be homotopic.

Definition. Let $f, g: (A, d) \to (B, e)$. A degree r R-homomorphism $F: A \to B$ is an (f, g)-derivation if it satisfies

(3)
$$F(xy) = F(x)g(y) + (-1)^{r|x|}f(x)F(y)$$

A derivation homotopy from f to g is an (f,g)-derivation of degree +1 further satisfying

$$(4) eF + Fd = f - g.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $f, g: TV \to B$ be algebra homomorphisms and let $F: V \to B$ be *R*-linear of degree r. Then F extends uniquely to an (f,g)-derivation $\tilde{F}: TV \to B$. If in addition f and g are DGA homomorphisms and $\tilde{F}|_V$ satisfies (4), then \tilde{F} satisfies (4).

Remark. The proof of Lemma 2.3 does not require that $d^2 = 0$ or $e^2 = 0$.

In [7], Baues and Lemaire construct a canonical cylinder on a free dga (A, d). We denote this the *Baues-Lemaire cylinder* by I(A, d) or (IA, D), and we recall briefly its construction. If A = TV, then $IA = T(V \oplus V' \oplus sV)$ as an algebra, where $V' \approx V$ and $(sV)_n \approx (V)_{n-1}$. To define D, first put $f, f': TV \rightarrow$ IA by f(x) = x and f'(x) = x'. Using Lemma 2.3, let S be the unique (f, f')-derivation having S(x) = sx for $x \in V$. Setting D(x) = fd(x) and D(x') = f'd(x) and D(sx) = x - x' - Sd(x), extend D (uniquely) to a (1, 1)derivation of degree -1 on IA. Then Sd+DS is an (f, f')-derivation. Hence by Lemma 2.3,

$$Sd + DS = f - f'$$

because this holds on V, even though we do not know yet that $D^2 = 0$. Using (5), now check that D^2 is a (1,1)-derivation of degree -2, vanishing on each generator of IA, so $D^2 = 0$. We have constructed the dga (IA, D).

Lemma 2.4. The homomorphisms $j_1(x) = x$, $j_2(x) = x'$, and p(x) = p(x') = x, p(sx) = 0, for $x \in V$, make (IA, D) into a cylinder on (A, d).

Proof. To see that p is a quism, define a $(j_1p, 1)$ -derivation J on (IA, D) by J(x) = 0, J(x') = sx, J(sx) = 0, and observe that J is a derivation homotopy.

Proposition 2.5. Let $f, g: (A, d) \rightarrow (B, d)$ with A free. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $f \simeq g$;
- (ii) $f \amalg g: (A, d) \amalg (A, d) \rightarrow (B, e)$ extends over I(A, d);
- (iii) there is a derivation homotopy from f to g.

This characterization of DGA homotopy, as the existence of a derivation homotopy, is a powerful one, and we shall rely upon it constantly. Using it, two important corollaries arise at once from an alternate way of viewing the Baues-Lemaire cylinder. We have described I(TV, d) as generated by $\{x, x', sx\}$, where x runs through an R-basis for V, but an equally valid generating set is $\{x, sx, Dsx\}$. This is summarized by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. (a) $I(TV, d) = (TV, d) \amalg (T(sV \oplus DsV), D)$. Likewise,

 $I(T(V \oplus W), d) = (T(V \oplus W), d) \amalg (T(sV \oplus DsV), D) \amalg (T(sW \oplus DsW), D).$

(b) Given any $f: (TV, d) \rightarrow (B, e)$ and any *R*-linear $G: V \rightarrow B$ of degree +1, there is a unique $h: I(TV, d) \rightarrow (B, e)$ satisfying $hj_1 = f$ and h(sx) = G(x) for $x \in V$.

A split inclusion $i: (A, d) \to (B, e)$ is a DGA homomorphism which has a retraction as algebras. When A and B are free, this means that A = TV, $B = T(V \oplus W)$, and e extends d. A split inclusion of free algebras is a cofibration in the following sense.

Proposition 2.7 (Homotopy extension property). Let $i: (TV, d) \rightarrow (T(V \oplus W), d')$ be a split inclusion of free dga's. Let $f: (T(V \oplus W), d') \rightarrow (B, e)$, and let $F: ITV \rightarrow B$ be a homotopy between $f|_{TV}$ and some other homomorphism g. Then there is an extension \tilde{F} of F to a homotopy between f and an extension \tilde{g} of g.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.6(a), retract $IT(V \oplus W)$ onto $T(V \oplus W) \amalg_{TV} ITV$ and compose with $f \amalg F$.

In DGA, surjections are fibrations relative to free objects, in the following sense.

Proposition 2.8 (Homotopy lifting property). Let $p: (C, \gamma) \to (B, e)$ be surjective. Let $f: (A,d) \to (C,\gamma)$ with A = TV, and let $F: IA \to B$ be a homotopy between pf and some other homomorphism g. Then there exists a homotopy \widetilde{F} between f and a homomorphism \widetilde{g} such that $p\widetilde{F} = F$ and $p\widetilde{g} \simeq g$.

Proof. Let $F: IA \to B$ be the homotopy. Choose any *R*-linear lifting *G* of $F|_{SV}$ and apply Lemma 2.6(b).

Definition. In any category with a concept of homotopy satisfying Lemma 2.2, we call a morphism $f: A \to B$ a homotopy equivalence if there exists $g: B \to A$ for which $gf \simeq 1_A$ and $fg \simeq 1_B$. The morphism g is called a homotopy inverse for f. Two objects have the same homotopy type if there is a homotopy equivalence between them.

In DGA we have a particularly elegant characterization of homotopy equivalences between free objects: they are precisely the quasi-isomorphisms. While it is trivial that a homotopy equivalence is a quism, the converse depends upon the following. **Proposition 2.9** (Lifting lemma). Let $p: (C, \gamma) \to (B, e)$ be a quasi-isomorphism. Let $i: (TV, d) \to (T(V \oplus W), d)$ be a split inclusion of free dga's. Let $f: (T(V \oplus W), d) \to (B, e)$ and put $f' = f|_{TV}$.

- (a) Suppose p is surjective. Given any lifting $\tilde{f}': (TV, d) \to (C, \gamma)$ of f', i.e., $p\tilde{f}' = f'$, we may extend \tilde{f}' to a lifting \tilde{f} of f.
- (b) Suppose p is surjective. Let f̃, g̃ be two liftings of f, and let f̃', g̃' be their restrictions to TV. Any homotopy F̃': ITV → C between f̃' and g̃' having pF̃'(sV) = 0 may be extended to a homotopy F̃: IT(V ⊕ W) → C between f̃ and g̃ having pF̃(sV ⊕ sW) = 0.
- (c) Let \tilde{f}' be any lifting of f'. There is an extension \tilde{f} of \tilde{f}' such that $p\tilde{f} \simeq f$.
- (d) If $p\tilde{f} \simeq p\tilde{g}$, then $\tilde{f} \simeq \tilde{g}$.

Proof. For (a), note that ker(p) is an acyclic ideal, and induct on the dimension; part (b) is a special case. For (c), turn p into a surjection p' via Lemma 2.1(a) and note that the left inverse for the quism h of that lemma is a homotopy inverse. Now utilize the homotopy lifting property for p'. Part (d) specializes (c).

Lemma 2.10. Let (A, d) be free. A quism $p: (C, \gamma) \rightarrow (B, e)$ induces a bijection on sets of homotopy classes,

$$p_{\#}: [A; C] \xrightarrow{\approx} [A; B].$$

Proposition 2.11. Let (A, d) and (B, e) be free. A homomorphism $f: (A, d) \rightarrow (B, e)$ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if it is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, there exists $g: B \to A$ such that $fg \simeq 1_B$. Again by Lemma 2.10, there exists $f': A \to B$ such that $gf' \simeq 1_A$. Then $f \simeq fgf' \simeq f'$, so $gf \simeq 1_A$.

3. DIFFERENTIAL GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS

We assemble here the facts we shall need involving differential graded Lie algebras. These facts fall into three subsections. First, we briefly review homotopy theory for the category DGL(R), again omitting most proofs. We pay particular attention to the additional hypotheses needed when $R \not\supseteq Q$. Second, we examine a limited situation where the concept of derivation homotopy can be invoked for Lie algebras. We digress to cover a fairly specialized application. Third, we consider in detail a certain exact sequence of Der(L)-modules. This sequence and its splittings will provide the key ingredients for the proof of Theorem 4.8.

References for the homotopy theory include [7, 13, 15].

Definitions. Let R denote a commutative ring with unity. A graded Lie algebra over R is a graded R-module $L = \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} L_n$, together with a bilinear pairing

 $[\ ,\]\colon L_m\otimes L_n\to L_{m+n}$ satisfying the Jacobi identities:

(6a)
$$[y, x] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[x, y];$$

(6b)
$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y]z] + (-1)^{|x||y|} [y, [x, z]].$$

If $L_n = 0$ for n < t, we call L t-reduced; "1-reduced" is also called connected. An R-linear $F: L \to L$ of degree r is called a derivation if

(7)
$$F([x, y]) = [F(x), y] + (-1)^{r|x|} [x, F(y)].$$

The derivations of L themselves form a graded Lie algebra denoted Der(L).

A differential graded Lie algebra (henceforth dgL) over R is a connected Lie algebra L together with a derivation δ of degree -1 whose square is zero. Our notation is (L, δ) or simply L. The category of dgL's over R is denoted DGL(R) or simply DGL.

In our work with dgL's the universal enveloping algebra functor U from DGL(R) to DGA(R) plays a central role. Push-outs exist in DGL, and they commute with U. A dgL (L, δ) is *free* if L is free on some set S as a graded Lie algebra. A free dgL need not be a free object in DGL, but (L, δ) is free if and only if $U(L, \delta)$ is free. If L is free on the graded set S (resp. on the R-free module V), we write $L = L_R \langle S \rangle$ (resp. $L = \mathscr{L}V$). Thus $UL_T \langle S \rangle = R \langle S \rangle$ and $U\mathscr{L}V = TV$.

A DGL homomorphism $f: (L, \delta) \to (M, e)$ is called a *quasi-isomorphism*, or *quism*, if and only if Uf is a quism in DGA. When $R \supseteq Q$, this is equivalent to the criterion that f induce an isomorphism on (Lie algebra) homology. When $R \not\supseteq Q$, however, the two concepts generally differ. For instance, let $L = L_R(x, y)$ with |x| = 1, |y| = 2, and $\delta(y) = x$, $\delta(x) = 0$. By [8], if $p^{-1} \notin R$ for some prime p, then $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq H_{2p-2}(L, \delta)$. Thus the homomorphism $f: 0 \to (L, \delta)$ does not induce an isomorphism on homology, yet it is a quism because U(0) = R and $U(L_R(x, y), \delta)$ are both acyclic.

Let us imitate for DGL as much as we can of the outline provided in $\S2$ for DGA. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and the definition of cylinder may be copied essentially verbatim. However, there is no analog for derivation homotopy. The canonical cylinder, due to Tanré [15], is obtained through an entirely new method.

The Tanré cylinder, defined for free dgL's in DGL(Q), is constructed as follows. Given $(\mathscr{L}V, \delta)$, put $I(\mathscr{L}V, \delta) = (I\mathscr{L}V, D)$, where $I\mathscr{L}V = \mathscr{L}(V \oplus sV \oplus DsV)$ and $D|_{\mathscr{L}V} = \delta$. The obvious inclusion quism $j_1: \mathscr{L}V \to I\mathscr{L}V$ is one "end" of the cylinder, and $p: I\mathscr{L}V \to \mathscr{L}V$ is given on generators by p(x) = x, p(sx) = 0, p(Dsx) = 0.

The tricky part is to define the other "end" of the cylinder, the inclusion j_2 . Given a pointwise nilpotent derivation θ of degree zero on a graded Lie algebra L, observe that

(8)
$$e^{\theta} = 1_L + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^n}{n!}$$

defines a Lie algebra automorphism on L. Define a derivation S of degree +1 on $I\mathscr{L}V$ by defining it on generators as S(x) = sx, S(sx) = 0, S(Dsx) = 0. Then $\alpha = [D, S] = DS + SD$ is a derivation on $I\mathscr{L}V$ of degree zero. Put

$$(9) j_2 = e^{\alpha} j_1.$$

One sees easily that $j_1 \amalg j_2 : (\mathscr{L}V, \delta) \amalg (\mathscr{L}V, \delta) \to (I\mathscr{L}V, D)$ injects and that $I\mathscr{L}V \approx \mathscr{L}V \amalg \mathscr{L}V \amalg \mathscr{L}(sV)$ as Lie algebras.

This definition can break down in DGL(R) $(R \not\supseteq \mathbf{Q})$ if the denominators required by (8) are unavailable in R. Indeed, let $\rho(R)$ denote the least positive integer (if none exists put $\rho(R) = \infty$) which is not a unit in R. (9) requires that α be nilpotent of order at most $\rho(R)$ on V. We can guarantee this only by placing constraints on the range of dimensions in which $\mathcal{L}V$ is generated.

Viewing $I \mathscr{L} V$ as $(\mathscr{L} V) \amalg \mathscr{L} (sV \oplus DsV)$, put a "new grading" on it by letting $\mathscr{L} V$ keep its usual grading but assigning sV and DsV to lie in new grade zero. Let F^j be spanned by all terms of new grade j or less. We have $DF^j \subseteq F^j$. If $\mathscr{L} V$ is *r*-reduced, then $SF^j \subseteq F^{j-r}$. Thus $\alpha^{\rho(R)}F^j \subseteq F^{-1} = 0$ as long as $j < r\rho(R)$.

Definition. A free dgL or dga over R is *r*-mild if it is generated as a Lie or associative algebra by the range of dimensions r through $r\rho(R) - 1$, inclusive. Denote by $DGL_r(R)$ (resp. $DGA_r(R)$) the full subcategory of DGL(R) (resp. DGA(R)) consisting of all r-mild objects.

The above remarks show

Lemma 3.1. The Tanré cylinder construction yields a valid cylinder on any r-mild dgL.

Analogous to Proposition 2.5 is

Lemma 3.2. Let $f, g: (L, \delta) \to (M, e)$ be two homomorphisms in $\text{DGL}_r(R)$. Then $f \simeq g$ if and only if $f \amalg g$ factors (in DGL) through the inclusion $j_1 \amalg j_2$ of L into its Tanré cylinder.

Although we shall not need this, Lemma 2.6 through Proposition 2.11 now have perfect analogs in $DGL_r(R)$. In particular, since $DGL_r(R)$ contains only free dgL's, the homotopy equivalences are precisely the quasi-isomorphisms.

Lemma 3.1 also implies that the Lemaire-Aubry theorem is valid in $DGL_{r}(R)$.

Proposition 3.3 (Lemaire-Aubry theorem). Let $f, g: (L, \delta) \to (M, e)$ be homomorphisms in $\text{DGL}_r(R)$. Then $f \simeq g$ if and only if $Uf \simeq Ug$ in DGA(R). Proof. See [4].

As mentioned, the concept of derivation homotopy breaks down in DGL. We would like to be able to replace the multiplications in (3) by Lie brackets, but an F satisfying the resulting expression cannot be well defined. We can salvage it only at the dimension where the two homomorphisms f and g first differ.

The next lemma is a variation on an idea used by Lemaire and Aubry (in [4], see Lemma 3.2 and the proof of Theorem 4.1).

Notation. For a graded R-module M, $M_{\leq k}$ denotes $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} M_j$. For instance, $\mathscr{L}(V_{\leq k})$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathscr{L}V$ generated in dimensions k and smaller. Similarly, for $M_{\leq k}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let V be an R-free positively graded R-module, and assume $V = V_{\leq n}$. Let $f, g: U(\mathscr{L}V, \delta) \to (B, e)$ be two DGA homomorphisms which coincide on $\mathscr{L}(V_{\leq n})$. Then a degree +1 R-linear

$$F: (\mathscr{L}V)_{< n} \to B$$

extends to a derivation homotopy from f to g if and only if

(10a)
$$F([x, y]) = [F(x), f(y)] + (-1)^{|x|} [f(x), F(y)]$$

for $x, y \in \mathcal{L}(V_{< n}), |x| + |y| \le n$; and

(10b)
$$eF + F\delta = f - g \quad on V$$

In particular, $f \simeq g$ if and only if there exists such an F.

Proof. Let \tilde{F} be the unique (f, g)-derivation extending $F|_V$. Then \tilde{F} satisfies (10a), so F satisfies (10a) if and only if F coincides with \tilde{F} on $(\mathscr{L}(V_{< n}))_{\leq n}$. Consequently, if F satisfies (10a) and (10b), then \tilde{F} satisfies (10b) on V. By Lemma 2.3, \tilde{F} is a derivation homotopy from f to g extending F. The converse is straightforward.

We will be interested in applying Lemma 3.4 in a setting where the target dga is a tensor product.

Notation. The subalgebra of the dga (A, d) (resp. the dgL (L, δ)) generated by $A_{\leq n}$ (resp. $L_{\leq n}$) is denoted $(A_{(n)}, d)$ (resp. $(L_{(n)}, \delta)$).

Lemma 3.5. Let $(\mathscr{L}V, \delta)$ be a free dgL, $V = V_{\leq n}$, and let (B, e) be any dga. Let $f, g: U(\mathscr{L}V, \delta) \to (B, e) \otimes (B, e)$ be two homotopic DGA homomorphisms which coincide on $\mathscr{L}(V_{\leq n})$. Suppose that $f(\mathscr{L}(V_{\leq n})) \subseteq B \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B$ and that $f(x) - g(x) \in B_+ \otimes B_+$ for x belonging to V_n . Then there exists an F as in Lemma 3.4 satisfying (10a) and (10b) such that $\operatorname{im}(F) \subseteq B_+ \otimes B_+$. A similar result holds if $(B, e) \otimes (B, e)$, $B_+ \otimes B_+$, and $B \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B$ are replaced respectively by $(B, e)^{\otimes 3}$, $B_+^{\otimes 3}$, and $B \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B$.

Proof. Let $q: B \to B$ be the *R*-linear homomorphism given by q(1) = 0 and q(b) = b for $b \in B_+$. Let $G: (\mathscr{L}V)_{\leq n} \to B \otimes B$ be the restriction of a derivation homotopy as in Lemma 3.4, and put $F = (q \otimes q)G: (\mathscr{L}V)_{\leq n} \to B_+ \otimes B_+$. Since q is a chain map,

(11a)
$$e'F + F\delta = (q \otimes q)(f - g) = f - g \quad \text{on } V,$$

where e' is the differential on $(B, e) \otimes (B, e)$. For any $a, b \in B$ and $w \in B \otimes B$ we have

 $(q \otimes q)([w, a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b]) = [(q \otimes q)(w), a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b].$

Since f(x) and f(y) have the form $a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b$ for $x, y \in \mathscr{L}(V_{< n})$, we obtain from (10a) (for G)

(11b)

$$F([x,y]) = (q \otimes q)G([x,y])$$

$$= (q \otimes q)([G(x), f(y)] + (-1)^{|x|}[f(x), G(y)])$$

$$= [F(x), f(y)] + (-1)^{|x|}[f(x), F(y)]$$

when $x, y \in \mathcal{L}(V_{\leq n})$, $|x| + |y| \leq n$. Thus F has the desired properties.

For the remainder of this section, we explore the properties of UL and tensor powers of UL as Der(L)-modules. Now L denotes any connected graded Lie algebra which is free as an R-module, and A = UL. Write p for $\rho(R)$, so $k^{-1} \in R$ for $1 \le k < p$. We begin with a discussion about Der(L)-modules.

A Der(L)-module is assumed to have a graded R-module structure compatible with the graded Der(L)-action. Given two Der(L)-modules M and N, their tensor product $M \otimes N$ becomes a Der(L)-module by setting

$$\delta(a \otimes b) = \delta(a) \otimes b + (-1)^{|\delta||a|} a \otimes \delta(b)$$

for $\delta \in \text{Der}(L)$. If $f: M \to M'$ and $g: N \to N'$ are homomorphisms of Der(L)-modules, then so is $f \otimes g: M \otimes N \to M' \otimes N'$. The switching homomorphism $\tau: M \otimes N \to N \otimes M$ given by $\tau(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{|a||b|} b \otimes a$ is another Der(L)-homomorphism. Trivially L, hence $L^{\otimes n}$ and hence TL, are Der(L)-modules.

Using these facts, we deduce quickly that the multiplicative homomorphism Δ_{TL} : $TL \rightarrow TL \otimes TL$, defined by $\Delta_{TL}(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ for $x \in L$, is a homomorphism of Der(L)-modules. Viewing A = UL as a quotient of TL, we see that it inherits both a Der(L)-action and a multiplicative Der(L)-homomorphism

$$\Delta = \Delta_L \colon A \to A \otimes A \,.$$

This Δ is of course the standard coproduct on UL for which each $x \in L$ is primitive. We mention once and for all that Δ makes UL into a cocommutative coassociative Hopf algebra [10]. The *reduced coproduct* $\overline{\Delta}$: $A_+ \to A_+ \otimes A_+$, given by $\overline{\Delta}(x) = \Delta(x) - 1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1$, is also a Der(L)-homomorphism.

The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem tells us that UL is a filtered Der(L)module, the kth filtration quotient being isomorphic to the k-fold symmetric product of L with itself [13]. We shall see shortly that for k < p these quotients are Der(L)-summands of UL.

Notation. Let S_k denote the symmetric group on k letters. Let $\sigma \in S_k$ and let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ be a list of homogeneous elements in L. Define the graded sign $[\sigma: \underline{x}]$ of σ relative to \underline{x} to be the sign (i.e., +1 or -1) that σ has when viewed as permuting k blocks of sizes $|x_1|, \ldots, |x_k|$. Put

$$C(\underline{x}) = C(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} [\sigma : \underline{x}](x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)}),$$

which defines an element of UL whenever $k . Put <math>A_{+}^{[1]} = L$ and for $2 \le k < p$,

$$A_{+}^{[k]} = \operatorname{Span}_{R} \{ C(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}) | x_{i} \in L \} \subseteq UL.$$

For $1 \le k < p$, we know that $A_+^{[k]}$ is a Der(L)-submodule of A. Furthermore, these submodules account for all of A_+ in dimensions below rp. Define two more families of Der(L)-modules by

$$(A_{+}^{\otimes 2})^{[k]} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{+}^{[i]} \otimes A_{+}^{[k-i]} \subseteq A_{+}^{\otimes 2},$$
$$(A_{+}^{\otimes 3})^{[k]} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k-i-1} A_{+}^{[i]} \otimes A_{+}^{[j]} \otimes A_{+}^{[k-i-j]} \subseteq (A_{+}^{\otimes 3}).$$

Direct computation reveals the following.

Lemma 3.6. $\overline{\Delta}(A_+^{[1]}) = 0$ and $\overline{\Delta}(A_+^{[k]}) \subseteq (A_+^{\otimes 2})^{[k]}$ for k < p. Specifically, (12) $\overline{\Delta}C(\underline{x}) = \sum [\underline{x}'; \underline{x}'']C(\underline{x}') \otimes C(\underline{x}'')$.

In (12) the sum runs over all $2^k - 2$ partitions of (1, 2, ..., k) into an ordered pair of nonempty sublists. The corresponding sublists of \underline{x} are denoted \underline{x}' and \underline{x}'' . The notation $[\underline{x}'; \underline{x}'']$ represents $[\sigma: \underline{x}]$, where σ rearranges (1, 2, ..., k) so as to obtain the first sublist followed immediately by the second sublist.

We present next a kind of inverse for $\overline{\Delta}$.

Definition. For $1 \le k < p$, let $\mu: (A_+^{\otimes 2})^{[k]} \to A_+^{[k]}$ be given by $\begin{cases}
\mu(x_1 \otimes C(x_2, \dots, x_k)) = \frac{1}{k}C(x_1, \dots, x_k); \\
\mu = 0 \quad \text{on } \bigoplus_{i=2}^{k-1} A_+^{[i]} \otimes A_+^{[k-i]}.
\end{cases}$

Direct computation verifies

Lemma 3.7. (a) μ is a Der(L)-homomorphism.

(b) $\mu\overline{\Delta} = 1$ on $\bigoplus_{k=2}^{p-1} A_+^{[k]}$. In particular, $\overline{\Delta}$ is one-to-one on these $A_+^{[k]}$. (c) $\overline{\Delta}\mu\overline{\Delta} = \underline{\Delta}$ on $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{p-1} A_+^{[k]}$.

There are two more specific Der(L)-homomorphisms that we shall need.

Definition. Put $E = (A_+^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (A_+^{\otimes 3})$ and let $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \colon A_+^{\otimes 2} \to E$, where $\phi_1 = 1 - \tau$ and $\phi_2 = \overline{\Delta} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \overline{\Delta}$. For k < p, let $E^{[k]} = (A_+^{\otimes 2})^{[k]} \oplus (A_+^{\otimes 3})^{[k]}$ and note that $\phi \colon (A_+^{\otimes 2})^{[k]} \to E^{[k]}$. Define $\lambda \colon E^{[k]} \to (A_+^{\otimes 2})^{[k]}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(a \otimes b) = -(\frac{i}{k})\tau(a \otimes b) & \text{on } A_+^{[i]} \otimes A_+^{[k-i]}; \\ \lambda(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \frac{i+j}{k}(1+\tau)(\mu(a \otimes b) \otimes c) & \text{on } A_+^{[i]} \otimes A_+^{[j]} \otimes A_+^{[k-i-j]}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.8. (a) ϕ and λ are Der(L)-homomorphisms.

(b) $\phi \overline{\Delta} = 0$. (c) $On \ (A_+^{\otimes 2})^{[k]}$, k < p, $\overline{\Delta}\mu + \lambda \phi = 1$. (d) $\phi \lambda \phi = \phi$. (e) For $2 \le k < p$, the following sequence is exact:

(13)
$$0 \to (A_{+}^{[k]}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\Delta}} (A_{+}^{\otimes 2})^{[k]} \xrightarrow{\phi} E^{[k]}.$$

Proof. For (a), ϕ and λ are built out of other known Der(L)-homomorphisms. (b) expresses precisely the cocommutativity and coassociativity of the coproduct $\Delta: A \to A \otimes A$. (c) is a direct calculation involving Lemma 3.7(b) and (12), and (d) follows from (b) and (c). For (e), Lemma 3.7(b) showed exactness at $A_+^{[k]}$; by (c), if $\phi(x) = 0$, then $x \in im(\overline{\Delta})$.

Taking the direct sum over k of the sequences (13) yields at once

Lemma 3.9. Let L be r-reduced and free as an R-module. The sequence of Der(L)-homomorphisms

(14)
$$0 \to L \to A_+ \xrightarrow{\Delta} A_+ \otimes A_+ \xrightarrow{\phi} E$$

is exact in dimensions below rp.

We can extend our results by one additional dimension.

Lemma 3.10. Extend μ over the module $(A_+^{\otimes 2})_{rp}$ by defining it to be zero on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} (A_+^{[i]} \otimes A_+^{[p-i]})_{rp}$. Then μ is a Der(L)-homomorphism on $(A_+^{\otimes 2})_{\leq rp}$ in the sense that, whenever $\delta \in \text{Der}(L)$ and $x \in A_+^{\otimes 2}$ with $|x| \leq rp$ and $|\delta| + |x| \leq rp$, then $\mu\delta(x) = \delta\mu(x)$. Likewise, λ may be extended to a Der(L)-homomorphism on $(A_+^{\otimes 2} \oplus A_+^{\otimes 3})_{\leq rp}$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Proposition 3.11. Let B be a Hopf algebra whose coproduct ψ is cocommutative and coassociative in dimensions $\leq m$, where $m \leq rp - 1$. Suppose B is r-reduced and free as an R-algebra. Write $B = R\langle S \rangle$. Then the natural Hopf algebra homomorphism $f: U(\ker \overline{\psi}) \to B$ restricts to an isomorphism $f': U((\ker \overline{\psi})_{(m)}) \to B_{(m)}$. Moreover, $(\ker \overline{\psi})_{(m)} \approx L_R \langle S \cap B_{\leq m} \rangle$, so μ is defined on $(B_+^{\otimes 2})_{m+1}$, and f' extends to an epimorphism (not as Hopf algebras) $f'': U((\operatorname{im}(1 - \mu \overline{\psi}))_{(m+1)}) \to B_{(m+1)}$.

Proof. For all but the last assertion, it may be assumed that $B = B_{(m)}$, and that ψ is cocommutative and coassociative. Let $L = \ker \overline{\psi}$. We will choose, for each $x \in S$, a ψ -primitive $x' \in B$ such that $x - x' \in (B_+)^2$. Then $S' = \{x' | x \in S\}$ is isomorphic as a graded set with S, and the inclusion of S' into $\ker \overline{\psi}$ induces the Lie algebra homomorphism $g: L_R(S') \to L$. Since

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

430

each x' differs from x by a decomposable, S' generates B freely. Thus the composite

$$R\langle S'\rangle = UL_R\langle S'\rangle \stackrel{Ug}{\to} U(L) \stackrel{f}{\to} B$$

is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras, where f is the standard Hopf algebra homomorphism from the enveloping algebra of the primitives. Since $\Delta_{L_R(S')} = \psi$, Lemma 3.9 says that $L = \ker \overline{\psi} = \ker \overline{\Delta}_{L_R(S')} = L_R(S')$ below dimension m + 1. This does it.

Suppose inductively, for some $n \le m$, that we have constructed x' for each $x \in S$ of dimension smaller than n. By the argument of the preceding paragraph we may identify $B_{(n-1)}$ with $U(L_{(n-1)})$ as Hopf algebras. In particular, the $\overline{\Delta}$ for $L_{(n-1)}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ coincide in dimensions less than n. Let $x \in S$, |x| = n, and put $y = \overline{\psi}(x)$. The cocommutativity and coassociativity of ψ tell us that

$$(1-\tau)(y) = 0$$
 and $(\overline{\Delta} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \overline{\Delta})(y) = (\overline{\psi} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \overline{\psi})(y) = 0$,

i.e., $\phi(y) = 0$. By Lemma 3.9, $y = \overline{\Delta}(z)$ for some $z \in (B_{(n-1)})_n = (B_+^2)_n$. Since $\overline{\Delta}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ agree on $B_{(n-1)}$, $\overline{\psi}(x-z) = y - \overline{\Delta}(z) = 0$, i.e., x' = x - z is ψ -primitive. This completes the inductive step, and the proof of all but the last assertion.

For the assertion regarding f'', we may assume that $B = B_{(m+1)}$ and that ψ first fails to be cocommutative or coassociative in dimension m+1. Thus $B_{(m)} = U((\ker \overline{\psi})_{(m)})$, and μ is defined on $(B_+^{\otimes 2})_{\leq m+1}$ (using Lemma 3.10 if m = rp - 1). Replace each (m+1)-dimensional generator $x \in S$ by $x - \mu \overline{\psi}(x)$. Since $\operatorname{im}(\mu) \subseteq (B_+)^2$, we obtain a new generating set for B. Recalling that $(\ker \overline{\psi})_{\leq m} = (\operatorname{im}(1 - \mu \overline{\psi}))_{\leq m}$, we see that f'' surjects.

Remark. In exchange for certain limitations on R, we can replace the hypothesis of B being free with B being free as an R-module, without altering the conclusion that $B \approx U(\ker \overline{\psi})$ below dimension m + 1. When R is a field, this conclusion is implicit in [10, Proposition 4.17] for char(R) = 0, in [10, Proposition 4.21] for char $(R) \neq 0$.

Finally, suppose a Hopf algebra A with coproduct ψ can be given a differential d such that $\psi: (A, d) \to (A, d) \otimes (A, d)$ is a DGA homomorphism. If a set of generators for A belongs to $L = \ker(\overline{\psi})$, then their boundaries (i.e., d-images) also lie in L. If ψ first fails to be cocommutative or coassociative in dimension m+1, and if some (m+1)-dimensional generators for A lie in $\operatorname{im}(1 - \mu \overline{\psi})_{m+1}$, then their boundaries lie in $(\operatorname{im}(1 - \mu \overline{\psi}))_m = (\ker \overline{\psi})_m = L_m$. This gives us at once

Corollary 3.12. Let (A, d) be a free r-reduced dga, A = TV. Let ψ be a coproduct on A which is also a DGA homomorphism. Suppose that ψ is cocommutative and coassociative in dimensions $\leq m$, where $m \leq rp - 1$. Then there is a free graded R-module $W \subseteq A$ such that $W \approx V$ as R-modules, $W_{\leq m} \subseteq \ker(\overline{\psi})$,

 $(A_{(m)}, d) = U(\mathscr{L}(W_{\leq m}), d), W_{>m+1} = V_{>m+1}, and d(W_{\leq m+1}) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(W_{\leq m})$. In particular, if (A, d) is r-mild and ψ is both cocommutative and coassociative, then (A, d) has the form $U(\mathscr{L}W, d)$ and $\psi = \Delta_{\mathscr{L}W}$, where $(\mathscr{L}W, d)$ is an r-mild dgL.

4. HOPF ALGEBRAS UP TO HOMOTOPY

We define the category HAH of homotopy algebras up to homotopy. We observe that the properties of DGA described in $\S2$ by and large have analogs in HAH. We discuss homotopy categories briefly and state the paper's main algebraic theorem, Theorem 4.8.

As above, R denotes a commutative ring with unity, $n^{-1} \in R$ for $n , and <math>\tau(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{|a||b|} b \otimes a$. Recall that $\varepsilon \colon A \to R$ denotes the augmentation for a graded algebra, and put $\pi_1 = (1 \otimes \varepsilon) \colon A \otimes A \to A$, $\pi_2 = (\varepsilon \otimes 1) \colon A \otimes A \to A$.

Definition 4.1. Let $(A,d) \in DGA(R)$, and let $\psi(A,d) \to (A,d) \otimes (A,d)$ be a DGA homomorphism. The triple (A,d,ψ) is called a *Hopf algebra up to* homotopy (henceforth Hah) over R if there exist four homotopies in DGA:

- (i) between $\pi_1 \psi$ and 1,
- (ii) between $\pi_2 \psi$ and 1,
- (iii) between $\tau \psi$ and ψ ,
- (iv) between $(\psi \otimes 1)\psi$ and $(1 \otimes \psi)\psi$.

A homomorphism of Hah's is a DGA homomorphism $f: (A, d) \to (A', d')$ such that $(f \otimes f) \psi \simeq \psi' f$. The category of Hah's over R and their homomorphisms is denoted HAH(R), or simply HAH.

A Hah (A, d, ψ) is called *free*, *r*-reduced, *r*-mild, etc. if (A, d) is so in DGA. A homomorphism in HAH is called a *surjection*, quism, or split inclusion if it is one in DGA.

Lemma 4.2. The identity 1: $(A, d, \psi) \rightarrow (A, d, \chi)$ is a HAH homomorphism if and only if $\psi \simeq \chi$. In this case, it is an isomorphism.

Proof. Clear.

We define *cylinder* and *homotopy* in HAH by essentially copying the definitions for these concepts in DGA. Let $(A, d, \psi) \in \text{HAH}$. Given any DGA cylinder (A^*, d^*) on the underlying dga (A, d), put $\psi^* = (j_1 \otimes j_2)\psi p$. Then j_1, j_2 , and p are automatically HAH homomorphisms. We deduce at once the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f: (A, d, \psi) \to (B, e, \chi)$ be an HAH homomorphism and let $g: (A, d) \to (B, e)$ be a DGA homomorphism. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $f \simeq g$ in DGA;
- (ii) g is an HAH homomorphism and $f \simeq g$ in HAH.

Lemma 4.4. Let $f: (A, d, \psi) \to (B, e, \chi)$ be an HAH homomorphism and suppose $g: (B, e) \to (A, d)$ is a homotopy inverse in DGA. Then $g \in HAH$, and f and g are homotopy inverses in HAH.

Can we prove analogs for HAH of the facts in $\S2$ about DGA? Perhaps surprisingly, we encounter a snag right away! Lemma 2.1(a) is trivial, but for Lemma 2.1(b) we obtain only the following two special cases, which fortunately suffice for subsequent applications.

Lemma 4.5. Let $(B, e, \chi) \in HAH$ and let $f: (TV, d) \to (B, e)$ be a quism in DGA. Then there exists ω making $f: (TV, d, \omega) \to (B, e, \chi)$ into an HAH quism. In particular, for any (B, e, χ) , there exists a surjective quism $f: (TV, d, \omega) \to (B, e, \chi)$ in HAH.

Proof. Use the lifting lemma to lift $\chi f: TV \to B \otimes B$ through $f \otimes f$, obtaining $\omega: TV \to TV \otimes TV$ such that $(f \otimes f)\omega \simeq \chi f$. It remains only to check the four axioms for (TV, d, ω) to be a Hah. This comes down to four applications of Proposition 2.9(d).

Lemma 4.6. Any HAH homomorphism $f(TV, d, \psi) \rightarrow (B, e, \chi)$ may be factored as $(TV, d, \psi) \xrightarrow{j} (T(V \oplus W), \delta, \omega) \xrightarrow{q} (B, e, \chi)$, where q is a quism and j is a split inclusion.

Proof. Let A = TV. Let q and j be given by Lemma 2.1(b). Choose ω according to the previous lemma. Then $(T(V \oplus W), \delta, \omega) \in HAH$ and q is an HAH homomorphism. Since ωj and $(j \otimes j)\psi$ are both liftings (through $q \otimes q$) up to homotopy of χf , they are homotopic, i.e., j is an HAH homomorphism.

The reader may now check that the remaining facts listed in §2 remain valid in HAH.

Let $(L, \delta) \in DGL(R)$. Then (UL, δ, Δ) is a Hah. Indeed, we have equality, not just homotopy, for the four axioms. Likewise, Uf is an HAH homomorphism when $f \in DGL$. Let $HAH_r(R)$ denote the full subcategory of HAH(R)consisting of *r*-mild objects (i.e., free, *r*-reduced, and generated in degrees below $r\rho(R)$).

Observation 4.7. We may view U as a functor from DGL(R) to HAH(R) or, for any $r \ge 1$, as a functor from $DGL_r(R)$ to $HAH_r(R)$.

Let us briefly discuss homotopy categories and closed model categories. For any category \mathscr{C} having a notion of homotopy between morphisms satisfying Lemma 2.2, we may construct the "classical" homotopy category $\mathscr{H}\mathscr{E}$. Objects in $\mathscr{H}\mathscr{E}$ are objects of C, but morphisms in $\mathscr{H}\mathscr{E}$ are homotopy classes of morphisms in \mathscr{C} . Two objects of the same homotopy type in \mathscr{C} become isomorphic in $\mathscr{H}\mathscr{E}$. A functor $\mathscr{F}: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ such that $\mathscr{F}f \simeq \mathscr{F}g$ whenever $f \simeq g$ induces a functor $\mathscr{H}(F): \mathscr{H}\mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{H}\mathscr{D}$. In particular, the functor Uof Observation 4.7 induces $\mathscr{H}\mathscr{U}$. In this paper we do not actually utilize any deeper concept of homotopy category than this classical one.

Quillen [12] gave a list of axioms for a closed model category, which DGA(R) and DGL(Q) satisfy. The axioms refer to three special classes of morphisms,

called fibrations, cofibrations, and weak equivalences. On any closed model category Quillen constructed a homotopy category by formally inverting the weak equivalences.

Is HAH(R) a closed model category? The author does not know. One of Quillen's axioms requires that any morphism factor as in Lemma 2.1(b). This axiom might not hold for all morphisms. One can also wonder whether HAH(R) satisfies the weaker set of axioms discovered by Baues for a cofibration category [5]. Again this hinges on the factorization axiom, but the answer is "yes" if we restrict to the full subcategory HAH_{*}(R) consisting of free Hah's. The homotopy category for HAH_{*}(R) in Baues' sense coincides with the classical \mathcal{H} HAH_{*}(R). When $\rho(R) < \infty$, the categories DGL_r(R) and HAH_r(R) are not closed model nor cofibration categories.

A functor $\mathscr{F}: \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{D}$ is an *equivalence* of categories if it induces a bijection on isomorphism classes of objects, as well as bijections $\operatorname{Mor}_{\mathscr{C}}(A, B) \to \operatorname{Mor}_{\mathscr{D}}(\mathscr{F}A, \mathscr{F}B)$ for each pair of objects in \mathscr{C} . We are at last prepared to state our principal result.

Theorem 4.8. $\mathcal{H}(U)$: $\mathcal{H}(DGL_r(R) \to \mathcal{H}(HAH_r(R))$ is an equivalence. Furthermore, the functor U itself induces a bijection on isomorphism classes of objects.

The proof that U induces a surjection will occupy all of §5, while §6 will explore naturality and uniqueness.

5. The surjectivity of U

We prove here what is the heart of Theorem 4.8, namely, the surjectivity of U on isomorphism classes. Our method of proof is an induction on dimension. Given a Hah (A, d, ψ) , we construct a sequence of diagonals $\psi \simeq \psi^1 \simeq \psi^2 \simeq \cdots$ which converge to something strictly cocommutative and coassociative.

Our first two lemmas are adaptations to DGA(R) of results proved by Lemaire and Aubry for DGL(Q). The proofs are essentially identical to those of [4] and we omit them.

Lemma 5.1. Let $f, g, h: (TV, d) \to (B, e)$ be DGA homomorphisms which coincide on $V_{\leq n}$. Suppose F and G are derivation homotopies from f to g and from h to g, respectively. Then there is a derivation homotopy H from f to h such that H = F - G on $T(V_{\leq n})$.

Lemma 5.2. Let (A, d) and (B, e) be two dga's, A = TV, and let $\{f^n: (A, d) \rightarrow (B, e)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of DGA homomorphisms. Suppose there exist derivation homotopies F^n from f^n to f^{n+1} . Suppose further that for some monotonically increasing integer sequence N(n) such that $N(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $F^n(V_{\leq N(n)}) = 0$. Define $f: (A, d) \rightarrow (B, e)$ by letting $f(x) = f^k(x)$ where $N(k) \geq |x|$. Then f is a well-defined DGA homomorphism, and $f \simeq f^0$. Also, if each f^n is an isomorphism, then f is an isomorphism.

Consider an r-mild Hah (A, d, ψ) , A = TV. Let $k \leq rp - 1$, where $p = \rho(R)$. Suppose that $\pi_1 \psi = 1_A = \pi_2 \psi$, and also that the restrictions to $T(V_{\leq k})$ of ψ and of $\tau \psi$, and of $(\psi \otimes 1)\psi$ and of $(1 \otimes \psi)\psi$, coincide. Corollary 3.12 tells us that $(T(V_{\leq k}), d, \psi) = (UL, d, \Delta)$ for some dgL (L, d), where $L \approx \mathscr{L}(V_{\leq k})$. We may, therefore, build the Der(L)-homomorphisms ϕ and λ of §3. They are defined on $(A_+^{\otimes 2})_{\leq k}$ and on $E_{\leq k} = (A_+^{\otimes 2})_{\leq k} \oplus (A_+^{\otimes 3})_{\leq k}$, respectively. Of course, Lemma 3.8 is true for this range of dimensions.

Let $q = (1 - \varepsilon): A \to A_+$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.5. We also allow q to denote any of the homomorphisms $q^{\otimes 2}: A^{\otimes 2} \to A_+^{\otimes 2}$, $q^{\otimes 3}: A^{\otimes 3} \to A_+^{\otimes 3}$, or $(q^{\otimes 2} \oplus q^{\otimes 3}): (A^{\otimes 2} \oplus A^{\otimes 3}) \to (A_+^{\otimes 2} \oplus A_+^{\otimes 3}) = E$.

Definition 5.3. Let (A, d, ψ) be an *r*-mild Hah, A = TV. Call (A, d, ψ) *n*-perfect if

- (i) $\pi_1 \psi = 1_A = \pi_2 \psi$;
- (ii) $\psi = \tau \psi$ and $(\psi \otimes 1)\psi = (1 \otimes \psi)\psi$ on $V_{\leq n}$; and
- (iii) there exist derivation homotopies G_1 from ψ to $\tau \psi$ and G_2 from $(\psi \otimes 1)\psi$ to $(1 \otimes \psi)\psi$ such that $q(G_1, G_2)((\ker \overline{\psi})_{< n}) \subseteq \operatorname{im}(1_E \phi \lambda) \subseteq E$ (cf. the paragraph after Lemma 5.2).

The initial step of our induction is provided by

Lemma 5.4. Let (A, d, ψ) be an r-mild Hah. Then there exists $\chi \simeq \psi$ such that (A, d, χ) is 1-perfect.

Proof. Let π be the surjection $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$: $A \otimes A \to A \times A$. Because (A, d, ψ) is a Hah, there is a homotopy $\pi \psi \simeq (1, 1)$: $A \to A \times A$. Apply Proposition 2.8 to obtain a lifting χ of (1, 1) homotopic to ψ . Now (i) is satisfied. For n = 1, (ii) follows immediately and (iii) is vacuous.

Proposition 5.5. Let (A, d, ψ) be r-mild and n-perfect, n < rp - 1, A = TV. Then there exists $\chi \simeq \psi$ such that χ coincides with ψ on $T(V_{\leq n})$ and (A, d, χ) is (n + 1)-perfect. Furthermore, the derivation homotopy F from ψ to χ may be chosen so that $F(V_i) = 0$ for i < n and for i > n + 1.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may replace V by the R-module W of Corollary 3.12. Then $V_{\leq n} \subseteq \ker(\overline{\psi})$ and $d(V_{\leq n+1}) \subseteq \mathscr{L}V_{\leq n}$. Also, since $d \in \operatorname{Der}(L)$, it acts on the $\operatorname{Der}(L)$ -modules $(T(V_{\leq n}))^{\otimes 2}$ and $(T(V_{\leq n}))^{\otimes 3}$. Fortunately, the action of d in this sense coincides with the differentials on these objects viewed as dga's. The notation "d" is thus unambiguous.

Let G_1 , G_2 be as in Definition 5.3(iii). By Definition 5.3(i) and (ii), the homomorphisms ψ and $\tau \psi$ fulfill the hypotheses of Lemma 3.5. We deduce that qG_1 satisfies

(15a)
$$d(qG_1) + (qG_1)d = \psi - \tau \psi = \phi_1 \overline{\psi} \quad \text{on } V_{\leq n+1};$$

D. J. ANICK

(15b)

$$(qG_1)[x, y] = [(qG_1)(x), \psi(y)] + (-1)^{|x|} [\psi(x), (qG_1)(y)]$$
(15b)

$$= \varepsilon_0(x, y) \operatorname{ad}(y)(qG_1(x)) + (-1)^{|x|} \operatorname{ad}(x)(qG_1(y))$$
for $x, y \in L, |x| + |y| \le n + 1$

Here $\varepsilon_0(x, y) = -(-1)^{(|x|+1)|y|}$, and we view $\operatorname{ad}(z)$ for $z \in L$ as an element of $\operatorname{Der}(L)$.

Likewise, writing ψ_3 for $(\psi \otimes 1)\psi$, qG_2 satisfies

(16a)
$$d(qG_2) + (qG_2)d = (\psi \otimes 1)\psi - (1 \otimes \psi)\psi = \phi_2\overline{\psi} \quad \text{on } V_{\leq n+1};$$

(16b)

$$(qG_2)[x, y] = [(qG_2)(x), \psi_3(y)] + (-1)^{|x|} [\psi_3(x), (qG_2)(y)]$$

$$= \varepsilon_0(x, y) \operatorname{ad}(y)(qG_2(x)) + (-1)^{|x|} \operatorname{ad}(x)(qG_2(y))$$
for $x, y \in L, |x| + |y| \le n + 1$.

Since ϕ and λ are Der(L)-homomorphisms, they commute with d and with any ad(z). Apply $\lambda\phi\lambda$ to the previous four equations and write F for $\lambda\phi\lambda q(G_1, G_2): (\mathscr{L}V)_{\leq n+1} \to A \otimes A$. We obtain

(17a)
$$dF + Fd = (\lambda\phi\lambda)(\phi_1, \phi_2)\overline{\psi} = \lambda\phi\lambda\phi\overline{\psi} = \lambda\phi\overline{\psi} \text{ on } V_{\leq n+1};$$

(17b)

$$F[x, y] = \varepsilon_0(x, y) \operatorname{ad}(y) F(x) + (-1)^{|x|} \operatorname{ad}(x) F(y)$$

$$= [F(x), \psi(y)] + (-1)^{|x|} [\psi(x), F(y)]$$
for $x \in y \in I$, $|x| + |y|$

for $x, y \in L$, $|x| + |y| \le n + 1$.

Define $\chi'' = \psi - \lambda \phi \overline{\psi} \colon V_{\leq n+1} \to A \otimes A$, and extend χ'' uniquely to an algebra homomorphism $\chi' \colon T(V_{\leq n+1}) \to A \otimes A$. By Definition 5.3(ii), $\phi \overline{\psi}$ vanishes on $V_{\leq n}$, hence on $T(V_{\leq n})$. Thus χ' coincides with ψ and with $\psi - \lambda \phi \overline{\psi}$ on $T(V_{\leq n})$. For $x \in V_{n+1}$, $d(x) \in T(V_{\leq n})$, so

$$\chi' d(x) = (\psi - \lambda \phi \overline{\psi}) d(x) = d(\psi - \lambda \phi \overline{\psi})(x) = d\chi'(x).$$

Hence χ' is a DGA homomorphism. The right-hand side of (17a) is precisely $\psi - \chi'$, so Lemma 3.4 applies to F. Using Lemma 3.4, F extends to a derivation homotopy, also denoted F, from ψ to χ' on $T(V_{\leq n+1})$.

Now apply the homotopy extension property (we may take $F(V_i) = 0$ for i > n + 1) to obtain a further extension of F which is a derivation homotopy from ψ to some DGA homomorphism χ that extends χ' . Because $F(V) \subseteq A_+^{\otimes 2}$, we have $\pi(\psi - \chi) = \pi(dF + Fd) \subseteq \pi(A_+^{\otimes 2}) = 0$, i.e., $\pi_1 \chi = \pi_1 \psi = 1_A$ and $\pi_2 \chi = \pi_2 \psi = 1_A$. We have $F(V_{>n+1}) = 0$ by definition, whereas for $x \in V_{<n}$ Definition 5.3(iii) yields

$$F(x) \in \operatorname{im}(\lambda \phi \lambda (1 - \phi \lambda)) = \operatorname{im}(\lambda \phi \lambda - \lambda (\phi \lambda \phi) \lambda) = 0.$$

To verify Definition 5.3(ii) for χ , let ϕ_{χ} be the " ϕ " for the coproduct χ , i.e., $\phi_{\chi} = (1 - \tau, \overline{\chi} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \overline{\chi})$. Note that ϕ_{χ} and ϕ (= ϕ_{ψ}) coincide in dimensions $\leq n + 1$. Hence on $V_{\leq n+1}$,

$$\phi_{\chi}\overline{\chi} = \phi_{\chi}(\overline{\psi} - \lambda\phi\overline{\psi}) = \phi(\overline{\psi} - \lambda\phi\overline{\psi}) = (\phi - \phi\lambda\phi)\overline{\psi} = 0\,.$$

Finally, let us construct the derivation homotopies J_1 and J_2 required by Definition 5.3(iii) for (A, d, χ) . We have derivation homotopies F from ψ to χ , G_1 from ψ to $\tau\psi$, and τF from $\tau\psi$ to $\tau\chi$, while all four DGA homomorphisms coincide on $V_{\leq n}$. By Lemma 5.1, there is a J_1 from χ to $\tau\chi$ such that

$$J_1 = -F + G_1 + \tau F = G_1 - \phi_1 \lambda \phi \lambda q G \quad \text{on } V_{\leq n},$$

where $G = (G_1, G_2) \colon TV \to (A^{\otimes 2}) \oplus (A^{\otimes 3})$. The diagram of derivation homotopies

$$(\chi \otimes 1)\chi \stackrel{(\chi \otimes 1)F}{\leftarrow} (\chi \otimes 1)\psi \stackrel{(F \otimes 1)\psi}{\leftarrow} (\psi \otimes 1)\psi \stackrel{G_2}{\rightarrow} (1 \otimes \psi)\psi$$
$$\stackrel{(1 \otimes F)\psi}{\rightarrow} (1 \otimes \chi)\psi \stackrel{(1 \otimes \chi)F}{\rightarrow} (1 \otimes \chi)\chi$$

likewise yields a J_2 from $(\chi \otimes 1)\chi$ to $(1 \otimes \chi)\chi$ such that

$$J_2 = -(\chi \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \chi)F - (F \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes F)\psi + G_2$$

= $G_2 - \phi_2 \lambda \phi \lambda q G$ - (something in ker(q)) on $V_{< n}$.

Thus

$$q(J_1, J_2) = q(G - \phi \lambda \phi \lambda q G)$$

= $(1 - \phi \lambda) q G \subseteq \operatorname{im}(1_E - \phi \lambda)$ on $V_{\leq n}$.

It remains only to pass from knowing this relation on $V_{\leq n}$ to knowing it on all of $(\ker(\overline{\chi}))_{\leq n}$, as needed for Definition 5.3(iii). Observe that if $b_i \in \ker(\overline{\chi})$ and $q(J_1, \overline{J_2})b_i = (1_E - \phi\lambda)(x_i)$ for i = 1, 2, with $|b_1| + |b_2| \leq n$, then $q(J_1, J_2)([b_1, b_2]) = (1_E - \phi\lambda)(y)$, where

$$y = (-1)^{|b_1|} \operatorname{ad}(b_1)(x_2) + \varepsilon_0(b_1, b_2) \operatorname{ad}(b_2)(x_1).$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 5.6. The functor $U: \text{DGL}_r(R) \to \text{HAH}_r(R)$ surjects on isomorphism classes. I.e., for any $(A, d, \psi) \in \text{HAH}_r(R)$, there exists $\chi \simeq \psi$ such that $(A, d, \chi) = (UL, d, \Delta)$ for some $(L, d) \in \text{DGL}_r(R)$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 5.5 inductively, we obtain a sequence $\psi \simeq \psi^1 \simeq \psi^2 \simeq \cdots$ for which (A, d, ψ^n) is *n*-perfect. If $p = \rho(R) < \infty$, the sequence terminates at ψ^{rp-1} but ψ^{rp-1} is strictly cocommutative and coassociative. By Corollary 3.12, $(A, d, \psi^{rp-1}) = (UL, d, \Delta)$. If instead $\rho(R) = \infty$, Lemma 5.2 shows that ψ is homotopic to some "limit coproduct" χ which is cocommutative and coassociative. Again apply Corollary 3.12.

6. NATURALITY AND UNIQUENESS

We complete the proof of Theorem 4.8. We demonstrate that any homomorphism f between enveloping algebras in $HAH_r(R)$ is homotopic to $U\alpha$ for

some homomorphism α in $\text{DGL}_r(R)$. By the Lemaire-Aubry theorem (Proposition 3.3), the homotopy class of α is unique. If f is an isomorphism, α may be taken to be an isomorphism. Thus U induces an injection on isomorphism classes. Combining these facts with Theorem 5.6, we easily obtain the desired equivalence of homotopy categories.

We begin with a definition, an inductive step, and a theorem, which are analogs of Definition 5.3, Proposition 5.5, and Theorem 5.6. We retain the notation q for the retraction $q: B \otimes B \to B_+ \otimes B_+$.

Definition 6.1. Let $(\mathscr{L}V, d)$ be an *r*-mild dgL and let (M, e) be an *r*-reduced *R*-free dgL. An HAH homomorphism $f: U(\mathscr{L}V, d) \to U(M, e)$ is *n*-perfect if

- (i) $f(V_{\leq n}) \subseteq M$; and
- (ii) there is a derivation homotopy G from $\Delta_M f$ to $(f \otimes f)\Delta_L$ such that $qG(V_{< n}) \subseteq \operatorname{im}(1 \overline{\Delta}_M \mu) \subseteq ((UM)_+)^{\otimes 2}$, where μ is the $\operatorname{Der}(M)$ -homomorphism of §3.

Remark. Any such f is automatically 1-perfect.

Proposition 6.2. Let $(\mathscr{L}V, d) \in DGL_r(R)$, let (M, e) be an r-reduced R-free dgL, and let $f: U(\mathscr{L}V, d) \to U(M, e)$ be n-perfect, where n < rp - 1, $p = \rho(R)$. There is an (n + 1)-perfect g such that $g \simeq f$. If f is an isomorphism, so is g. There is a derivation homotopy F from f to g having $F(V_i) = 0$ for i < n and for i > n + 1.

Proof. Write $L = \mathscr{D}V$, B = UM, and let Δ_L , Δ_M denote the respective coproducts on UL, B. Let $\mu: (B_+^{\otimes 2})_{\leq rp} \to (B_+)_{\leq rp}$ be the Der(M)-homomorphism defined in §3. It satisfies $\overline{\Delta}_M \mu \overline{\Delta}_M = \overline{\Delta}_M$ and $\mu \overline{\Delta}_M \mu = \mu$ below dimension rp. Since $e \in Der(M)$, it acts on $B \otimes B$, and this action coincides with the differential on $B \otimes B$ as a dga. Provided we stay at or below dimension rp, μ commutes with e and with any ad(z) for $z \in M$.

Note that $\Delta_M f$ and $(f \otimes f) \Delta_L$ are two DGA homomorphisms from (UL, d) to $(B \otimes B, e)$ which coincide on $V_{\leq n}$. The restriction of $(f \otimes f) \Delta_L$ to $\mathscr{L} V$ lies in $B \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B$, and the difference

$$\Delta_{M}f - (f \otimes f)\Delta_{L} = \overline{\Delta}_{M}f$$

automatically takes its image in $B_+ \otimes B_+$. Apply Lemma 3.5 to the G given by Definition 6.1(ii). We see that qG satisfies

(18a)
$$e(qG) + (qG)d = \overline{\Delta}_M f \quad \text{on } V_{< n+1};$$

$$(qG)[x, y] = [(qG)(x), \Delta_M f(y)] + (-1)^{|x|} [\Delta_M f(x), (qG)(y)]$$
(18b)

$$= \varepsilon_0(x, y) \operatorname{ad}(f(y))(qG(x)) + (-1)^{|x|} \operatorname{ad}(f(x))(qG(y))$$
for $x, y \in \mathscr{L}(V_{\leq n}), |x| + |y| \leq n + 1.$

Here $\varepsilon_0(x, y) = -(-1)^{(|x|+1)|y|}$ as in §5.

Apply μ to both of these equations and write $F = \mu q G$. We obtain

(19a)
$$eF + Fd = \mu \overline{\Delta}_M f$$
 on $V_{\leq n+1}$;

(19b)

$$F[x, y] = \varepsilon_0(x, y) \operatorname{ad}(f(y))(F(x)) + (-1)^{|x|} \operatorname{ad}(f(x))(F(y))$$

$$= [F(x), \Delta_M f(y)] + (-1)^{|x|} [\Delta_M f(x), F(y)]$$
for $x, y \in \mathscr{L}(V_{\leq n}), |x| + |y| \leq n + 1.$

Put $g'' = f - \mu \overline{\Delta}_M f: V_{\leq n+1} \to B$ and extend to an algebra homomorphism $g': T(V_{\leq n+1}) \to B$. Then g' coincides on $T(V_{\leq n})$ with f, and $\overline{\Delta}_M f(dx) \in \overline{\Delta}_M(M) = 0$ for $x \in V_{\leq n+1}$. Thus

$$g'd(x) = (f - \mu\overline{\Delta}_M f)d(x) = e(f - \mu\overline{\Delta}_M f)(x) = eg'(x)$$

for $x \in V_{\leq n+1}$, so g' is a DGA homomorphism. Applying Lemma 3.4 to f and g', deduce from (19a,b) that F extends to a derivation homotopy, also denoted F, from f to g' on $T(V_{\leq n+1})$.

Using the homotopy extension property, extend F to a derivation homotopy from f to some DGA homomorphism g that extends g'. Recall that $\operatorname{im}(\mu) \subseteq (B_+)^2$. Consequently, choosing $F(V_{>n+1}) = 0$, we have $\operatorname{im}(F) \subseteq (B_+)^2$. It follows that f(x) - g(x) is decomposable for each $x \in V$. Thus f is an isomorphism if and only if g is an isomorphism.

Notice that $\overline{\Delta}_M g(x) = (\overline{\Delta}_M - \overline{\Delta}_M \mu \overline{\Delta}_M) f(x) = 0$ for $x \in V_{\leq n+1}$, i.e., $g(V_{\leq n+1}) \subseteq M$. Use Definition 6.1(ii) to see that

$$F(V_{< n}) = \mu q G(V_{< n}) \subseteq \operatorname{im}(\mu(1 - \overline{\Delta}_M \mu)) = 0.$$

Finally, by Lemma 5.1, we may add the derivation homotopies indicated by the diagram

$$\Delta_{M}g \stackrel{\Delta_{M}F}{\leftarrow} \Delta_{M}f \stackrel{G}{\rightarrow} (f \otimes f)\Delta_{L} \stackrel{(F \otimes f)\Delta_{L}}{\rightarrow} (g \otimes f)\Delta_{L} \stackrel{(g \otimes F)\Delta_{L}}{\rightarrow} (g \otimes g)\Delta_{L}.$$

We obtain a derivation homotopy J from $\Delta_M g$ to $(g \otimes g) \Delta_L$ satisfying

$$J = -\Delta_M F + G + (F \otimes f + g \otimes F)\Delta_L$$

= $G - \Delta_M \mu q G + (\text{something in } \ker(q)) \text{ on } V_{< n}$

Hence $qJ = qG - \overline{\Delta}_M \mu qG = (1 - \overline{\Delta}_M \mu) qG$ on $V_{\leq n}$.

Theorem 6.3. Let $f: U(L,d) \to U(M,e)$ be a homomorphism in HAH(R), where L is r-mild and M is r-reduced and R-free. There exists a homomorphism α in DGL(R) such that $f \simeq U\alpha$. If f is an isomorphism then α is an isomorphism.

Proof. Observe that $g = U\alpha$ for some α if and only if $g(L) \subseteq M$, and that $U\alpha$ is an isomorphism if and only if α is an isomorphism. By Proposition 6.2 we have a sequence $f = f^1 \simeq f^2 \simeq \cdots$, where f^n is *n*-perfect. When f is an isomorphism, so is each f^n . If $\rho(R) = p < \infty$, then $f^{rp-1}(L) \subseteq M$, and

we are done. If $\rho(R) = \infty$, Lemma 5.2 shows that f is homotopic to some g having $g(L) \subseteq M$, with g being an isomorphism if f is.

Theorem 6.4. Let $f: (A, d, \psi) \to (B, e, \chi)$ be any homomorphism in $\operatorname{HAH}_r(R)$. There exists a homomorphism $\alpha: (L, d) \to (M, e)$ in $\operatorname{DGL}_r(R)$ such that U(L, d) may be identified with (A, d); U(M, e) may be identified with (B, e); and there exist DGA homotopies $f \simeq U\alpha$, $\psi \simeq \Delta_L$, $\chi \simeq \Delta_M$. Furthermore, α is an isomorphism if and only if f is an isomorphism.

Proof. Use Theorem 5.6 to choose (L, d) and (M, e). Then use Theorem 6.3 to choose α .

Corollary 6.5 (cf. 4.8). The functor $U: DGL_r(R) \to HAH_r(R)$ induces a bijection on isomorphism classes.

Theorem 6.6. Let (L,d) and (M,e) be r-mild dgL's. Suppose there is an HAH homotopy equivalence $f: (UL, d, \Delta_L) \rightarrow (UM, e, \Delta_M)$. Then (L,d) and (M,e) have the same DGL homotopy type.

Proof. Let g be a homotopy inverse in $\operatorname{HAH}_r(R)$ for f (cf. Lemma 4.4). By Theorem 6.3 choose $\alpha: L \to M$ and $\beta: M \to L$ such that $U\alpha \simeq f$ and $U\beta \simeq g$. Then $U(\beta\alpha) \simeq U(1_L)$, so the Lemaire-Aubry theorem says that $\beta\alpha \simeq 1_L$ in $\operatorname{DGL}_r(R)$. Likewise, $\alpha\beta \simeq 1_M$ in $\operatorname{DGL}_r(R)$.

Corollary 6.7. The functor $U: \text{DGL}_r(R) \to \text{HAH}_r(R)$ induces a bijection on homotopy types.

This corollary, together with Theorem 6.3 and the Lemaire-Aubry theorem, yield at once

Theorem 6.8 (cf. 4.8). The functor U induces an equivalence

 $\mathscr{H}_{o}(U)$: \mathscr{H}_{o} DGL_r(R) $\rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{o}$ HAH_r(R).

7. The singular chains on a topological monoid

We apply Theorem 4.8 to the cubical singular chain complex of a topological monoid. Over a ring containing the rationals, we find a strictly cocommutative coassociative diagonal approximation. Using it, we construct a functor E from topological monoids to DGL whose composite with the enveloping algebra functor equals the Eilenberg subcomplex of the (cubical) singular chain complex. We use E to construct rational "commutative cochains."

We begin by introducing some formalism which will facilitate our study of the cubical singular chains on a topological monoid. Let R be an arbitrary commutative ring with unity. Let $CU_*(X)$ denote the cubical singular chain complex on an arbitrary topological space X with coefficients in R. To construct $CU_*(X)$, take the R-module generated freely by all the singular *n*-cubes (i.e., continuous $\sigma: I^n \to X$, where I^n is the unit cube), and divide out by the R-submodule generated by degenerate cubes (σ is *degenerate* if it factors

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

through any of the *n* standard projections onto I^{n-1}). As is well known $CU_*()$ is naturally chain equivalent with the functor $C_*()$ of simplicial singular chains.

Define a nonconnected graded algebra S by $S = R\langle a, b, x \rangle$, where |a| = |b| = 0 and |x| = 1. Impose a second grading on S by word length, l(a) = l(b) = l(x) = 1. We interpret x, a, b as symbolizing the interval I = [0, 1] and its endpoints 0 and 1, respectively. Words of length n in S correspond bijectively to faces of the *n*-cube I^n according to the following scheme. A word u on $\{a, b, x\}$ corresponds to a face of dimension |u| (= the number of x's). The *i*th coordinate of the face inclusion map, $1 \le i \le n$, is constant at 0 (resp. 1) if the *i*th letter of u is "a" (resp. "b"). In this way, we view the words u in S of length n as elements of $CU_*(I^n)$.

Let $D: S \to S$ be the (1, 1)-derivation on S of degree -1 satisfying D(a) = D(b) = 0 and D(x) = b - a. Given a word $u \in S$ of length n and a singular *n*-cube $\sigma: I^n \to X$, let $\sigma \circ u$ denote the restriction of σ to the face u. Then the boundary of σ is given by the formula

(20)
$$\partial(\sigma) = \sigma \circ D(x^n).$$

Using this formalism we may also give explicit Eilenberg-Zilber homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{CU}_*(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{CU}_*(X) \otimes \mathrm{CU}_*(Y) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathrm{CU}_*(X \times Y)$$

such that $\eta \kappa$ is the identity and $\kappa \eta$ is naturally chain homotopic to the identity. When $\alpha: I^i \to X$ and $\beta: I^j \to Y$, we define $\kappa(\alpha \otimes \beta)$ to be the singular cube $\alpha \times \beta: I^{i+j} = I^i \times I^j \to X \times Y$.

To give the formula for η we introduce $\Psi: S \to S \otimes S$, which is the unique algebra homomorphism satisfying

$$\Psi(a) = a \otimes a$$
, $\Psi(b) = b \otimes b$, $\Psi(x) = a \otimes x + x \otimes b$.

Notice that $D\Psi = \Psi D$, where D denotes either the differential we defined above or the differential it induces on $S \otimes S$. For $(\sigma, \sigma'): I^n \to X \times Y$, put

$$\eta(\sigma,\sigma')=(\sigma\otimes\sigma')\circ\Psi(x^n).$$

We leave it to the reader to verify that $\eta \kappa = 1$ and $\kappa \eta \simeq 1$. Putting $\psi = \eta \circ CU_{\star}(\Delta)$, where $\Delta: X \to X \times X$ is the diagonal map, we obtain the formula

(21)
$$\psi(\sigma) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma) \circ \Psi(x^n), \qquad \sigma \colon I^n \to X,$$

for the diagonal approximation (in the Alexander-Whitney sense) ψ .

Let MON_r denote the category of (r-1)-connected topological monoids, $r \ge 0$ (MON_0 contains all topological monoids). For $X \in \text{MON}_r$, we use κ to give $\text{CU}_*(X)$ the obvious associative multiplication and unit. Clearly, ∂ is a derivation. For $X, Y \in \text{MON}_0$, it is easily checked that

(22)
$$\eta((\sigma_1,\sigma_1')\cdot(\sigma_2,\sigma_2')) = \eta(\sigma_1,\sigma_1')\cdot\eta(\sigma_2,\sigma_2').$$

Hence, ψ is also multiplication-preserving.

Because Ψ is strictly coassociative, so is ψ . We can define an explicit derivation homotopy E' from Ψ to $\tau \Psi$ by setting

$$E'(a) = 0, \qquad E'(b) = 0, \qquad E'(x) = -x \otimes x.$$

When $X \in MON_0$, the formula

(23)
$$E(\sigma) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)E'(x^n)$$

gives an explicit natural derivation homotopy between ψ and $\tau\psi$.

When X is an (r-1)-connected pointed space, $r \ge 1$, let $CU'_*(X)$ denote its (r-1)st Eilenberg subcomplex, i.e., the subcomplex of $CU_*(X)$ generated by all singular cubes which send the (r-1)-skeleton of I^n to the base point. When $X \in MON_r$, $r \ge 1$, then $CU'_*(X)$ is an r-reduced dga which is quasiisomorphic to $CU_*(X)$. We have actually proved

Proposition 7.1. Let $r \ge 1$. Then $CU_*^r()$, together with the coproduct ψ of (21), is a functor from MON_r to HAH(R). The coproduct satisfies strict coassociativity and naturality, and $\pi_1 \psi = \pi_2 \psi = 1$. The homotopy E from ψ to $\tau \psi$ is given by (23).

We want to apply Theorem 4.8 to $(CU_*^r(X), \psi)$, but we cannot do so directly because $CU_*^r(X)$ might not be a tensor algebra. We proceed instead to define a formal object which acts as a universal example for a singular cube on a topological monoid. This formal object contains all faces of σ and all products of faces of σ . It is a Hah, and we apply Theorem 4.8 to it. In our discussion we incorporate the connectivity parameter r. Over **Q** it suffices to put r = 1.

Fix $r \ge 1$. Let $S^r = S_{\ge r} = \text{Span}\{\text{words } u \in S = R\langle a, b, x \rangle \text{ which utilize } r \text{ or more } x \text{ 's}\}$. Define a graded *R*-module homomorphism $\gamma: S \to TS^r$ by letting $\gamma|_{S^r}$ be the inclusion of $S^r = T^1S^r$ into TS^r and putting $\gamma(u) = 0$ if $1 \le |u| < r$ and $\gamma(u) = 1$ if u is a word of dimension zero. Thus $\ker(\gamma)$ is spanned by all words u having 0 < |u| < r together with all differences u - v, where u and v are words of dimension zero. Note that

(24)
$$D(\ker(\gamma)) \subseteq \ker(\gamma)$$
, $\Psi(\ker(\gamma)) \subseteq \ker(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$, $E'(\ker(\gamma)) \subseteq \ker(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$.

Also, $im(\gamma)$ generates TS' as an algebra.

Because of (24), we may define a (1, 1)-derivation $D': TS' \to TS'$ of degree -1, an algebra homomorphism $\Psi': TS' \to TS' \otimes TS'$, and a $(\Psi', \tau \Psi')$ -derivation of degree +1 by the formulas

(25)
$$D'\gamma = \gamma D$$
, $\Psi'\gamma = (\gamma \otimes \gamma)\Psi$, $E'\gamma = (\gamma \otimes \gamma)E'$.

Note that $(D^r)^2 = 0$ and (by Lemma 2.3) that E^r is a derivation homotopy from Ψ^r to $\tau \Psi^r$. Also, $\pi_1 \Psi^r = \pi_2 \Psi^r = 1$, and Ψ^r is coassociative because Ψ

is. We have proved

Lemma 7.2. (TS', D', Ψ') is an r-reduced Hah.

We want to apply Theorem 5.6 to the Hah (TS', D', Ψ') . However, we will not simply plug this Hah into the theorem, because we care that certain compatibilities should hold for the resulting coproduct. Observe first that (TS', D', Ψ') actually decomposes as

$$TS^{r} = \mathrm{II}_{n=r}^{\infty} TS^{r,n},$$

where $S^{r,n} = \{u \in S_{\geq r} | l(u) = n\}$. The formulas for D^r and Ψ^r are compatible with this decomposition. If u is any word on $\{a, b, x\}$ of length n and dimension m, write $u = y_0 x y_1 x \cdots x y_m$, where each y_j is a word on the set $\{a, b\}$. Then u determines an HAH homomorphism $h_u: (TS^{r,m}, D^r, \Psi^r) \to (TS^{r,n}, D^r, \Psi^r)$ by the formula

$$h_{u}(\gamma(z_{1}\cdots z_{m}))=\gamma(y_{0}z_{1}y_{1}z_{2}\cdots z_{m}y_{m}),$$

 $z_i \in \{a, b, x\}$. In particular, $h_u(\gamma(x^m)) = \gamma(u)$.

Now we carefully construct the derivation homotopy F' from Ψ' to the new coproduct Φ^r , so that F' and Φ^r commute with every h_u (this means: $F'h_u = (h_u \otimes h_u)F'$, $\Phi^r h_u = (h_u \otimes h_u)\Phi^r$). Suppose for some *n* that Φ^r and F^r have been defined on $TS^{r,k}$ for $k \leq n$ and that they commute with h_u whenever l(u) < n. Suppose further that $(TS^{r,k}, D^r, \Phi^r)$ is k-perfect in such a way that the G_1 and G_2 of Definition 5.3(iii) may be chosen so as to be compatible with all such h_u 's. When u is a word of length n and dimension m < n, put

$$\Phi^{r}\gamma(u) = (h_{u} \otimes h_{u})\Phi^{r}\gamma(x^{m}), \qquad F^{r}\gamma(u) = (h_{u} \otimes h_{u})F^{r}\gamma(x^{m}).$$

This suffices to define Φ^r and F^r on the sub-dga $(TS^{r,n})_{(n-1)}$.

Thus F' is a derivation homotopy from the $(TS^{r,n})_{(n-1)}$ restriction of Ψ' to Φ' . It remains only to extend Φ' and F' over the single *n*-dimensional generator $\gamma(x^n)$ of $TS^{r,n}$. Proposition 5.5 will do this provided that $n < r\rho(R)$ and provided that $((TS^{r,n})_{(n-1)}, D', \Phi')$ is (n-1)-perfect. The latter requirement is met via our inductive hypothesis that G_1 and G_2 commute with each h_u . We have outlined a proof for

Lemma 7.3. If $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, there is a derivation homotopy F^r from Ψ^r to a cocommutative coassociative coproduct Φ^r on (TS^r, D^r) such that F^r and Φ^r commute with any h_u . If $p = \rho(\mathbf{R}) < \infty$, then F^r and Φ^r exist commuting with h_u 's on $TS^{r,n}$ for n < rp.

Now let $X \in MON_r$ and let $w \in TS^{r,n}$, where n < rp. Let $\sigma: I^n \to X$ send the (r-1)-skeleton of I^n to the base point. If $w = \gamma(u_1)\gamma(u_2)\cdots\gamma(u_m)$, $u_j \in S$, $l(u_j) = n$, then $\sigma \boxdot w$ denotes the product

$$\sigma \boxdot w = (\sigma \circ u_1) \cdot (\sigma \circ u_2) \cdots (\sigma \circ u_m)$$

in the dga $\operatorname{CU}_{*}^{r}(X)$. Notice that $\sigma \boxdot \gamma(u) = \sigma \circ u$ for $u \in S$, so $(\sigma \otimes \sigma) \boxdot \Psi^{r} \gamma(x^{n}) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \Psi(x^{n})$

$$= (\sigma \otimes \sigma) \circ \Psi(x^n) = \psi(\sigma).$$

Similarly, $\partial(\sigma \odot w) = \sigma \odot(D^r w)$.

Given $X, Y \in MON_r$, define $F', \eta' : CU'_*(X \times Y) \to CU'_*(X) \otimes CU'_*(Y)$ by

(26a)
$$\eta'(\sigma, \sigma') = (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot \Phi' \gamma(x^n),$$

(26b)
$$F'(\sigma, \sigma') = (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot F' \gamma(x^n),$$

where $|\sigma| = |\sigma'| = n < rp$. Then η' is a chain map because (the sum ranges over the set of faces u of an *n*-cube):

$$\begin{split} \eta'\partial(\sigma,\sigma') &= \sum \pm \eta'(\sigma \circ u, \sigma' \circ u) \\ &= \sum \pm ((\sigma \circ u) \otimes (\sigma' \circ u)) \boxdot \Phi' \gamma(x^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum \pm (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot (h_u \otimes h_u) \Phi' \gamma(x^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum \pm (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot \Phi' h_u \gamma(x^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum \pm (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot \Phi' \gamma(u) \\ &= (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot \Phi' D' \gamma(x^n) \\ &= (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot D' \Phi' \gamma(x^n) \\ &= \partial ((\sigma \otimes \sigma') \boxdot \Phi' \gamma(x^n)) = \partial \eta'(\sigma, \sigma') \,. \end{split}$$

Similarly one verifies that F' is a derivation homotopy from η to η' .

Put $F, \phi: \operatorname{CU}'_*(X) \to \operatorname{CU}'_*(X) \otimes \operatorname{CU}'_*(X)$ by $F = F' \circ \operatorname{CU}'_*(\Delta), \ \phi = \eta' \circ \operatorname{CU}'_*(\Delta)$. Thus,

(27)
$$\phi(\sigma) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma) \boxdot \Phi^r \gamma(x^n).$$

Notice that

(28)
$$\pi_1 \eta' = CU_*'(p_\chi), \qquad \pi_2 \eta' = CU_*'(p_\gamma),$$

 p_X and p_Y denoting the projections of $X \times Y$ onto its factors, so that $\pi_1 \phi = \pi_2 \phi = 1$. It is now straightforward to verify

Proposition 7.4. Suppose $R \supseteq \mathbf{Q}$, $r \ge 1$. Then ϕ is a functorial cocommutative coassociative dga homomorphism, and F is a functorial derivation homotopy from ψ to ϕ . In particular, ϕ is a cocommutative coassociative diagonal approximation on $\mathrm{CU}_*^r(\)$ for topological monoids. Furthermore, the functorial chain equivalence η' is cocommutative and coassociative in the following sense. If $t: X \times Y \to Y \times X$ switches coordinates, then $\eta' \circ \mathrm{CU}_*^r(t) = \tau \eta'$, and $(\eta'_{(X \times Y)} \otimes 1) \eta'_{(X \times Y) \times Z} = (1 \otimes \eta'_{(Y \times Z)}) \eta'_{X \times (Y \times Z)}$: $\mathrm{CU}_*^r(X \times Y \times Z) \to \mathrm{CU}_*^r(X) \otimes$ $\mathrm{CU}_*^r(Y) \otimes \mathrm{CU}_*^r(Z)$.

Theorem 7.5. Suppose $R \supseteq \mathbf{Q}$, $r \ge 1$. There is a functor $\mathscr{E}: \text{MON}_r \to \text{DGL}_r(R)$

444

such that $U\mathscr{E} = CU'_*()$. Furthermore, the coproduct $\Delta_{\mathscr{E}(X)}$ on $U\mathscr{E}(X)$, with respect to which each $w \in \mathscr{E}(X)$ is primitive, is a diagonal approximation.

Proof. It suffices to check this when $R = \mathbf{Q}$, since we may then tensor over \mathbf{Q} with R. Put $\mathscr{E}(X) = \ker(\overline{\phi})$. Then \mathscr{E} is a functor, and by [10] we have $U\mathscr{E} = \mathrm{CU}_*^r()$ and $\phi = \Delta_{\mathscr{E}(X)}$.

Theorem 7.6. Suppose $p = \rho(R) < \infty$. Then Proposition 7.4 and Theorem 7.5 hold, up to dimension rp - 1. For $X, Y \in MON_r$, there is a functorial cocommutative coassociative

$$\eta' \colon \mathrm{CU}_{< rp}^r(X \times Y) \to (\mathrm{CU}_*^r(X) \otimes \mathrm{CU}_*^r(Y))_{< rp}$$

and a functorial cocommutative coassociative "diagonal"

$$\phi: \operatorname{CU}_{< rp}^{r}(X) \to (\operatorname{CU}_{*}^{r}(X) \otimes \operatorname{CU}_{*}^{r}(X))_{< rp}.$$

There are natural chain homotopies between η and η' , and between ψ and ϕ , so ϕ serves as a diagonal approximation below dimension rp. There is a functor $\mathscr{E}: \text{MON}_r \to \text{DGL}(R)$ such that $(U\mathscr{E})_{< rp}() = \text{CU}_{< rp}^r()$, for which $\Delta_{\mathscr{E}(X)} = \phi$.

We discuss next the relationship between the functor \mathscr{E} of Theorem 7.5 and various functors that occur in rational homotopy theory. Two functors from a category \mathscr{X} to a category consisting of chain complexes are *quasi-isomorphic* if there is a natural transformation between them (going either way) which is a quasi-isomorphism for each object of \mathscr{X} . Two such functors \mathscr{F} and \mathscr{F}' are *weakly equivalent*, denoted $\mathscr{F} \cong \mathscr{F}'$, if there is a sequence $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}_0$, $\mathscr{F}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{F}_m = \mathscr{F}'$ of functors with \mathscr{F}_i quasi-isomorphic to \mathscr{F}_{i-1} .

Recall the bar and cobar functors

(29)
$$DGA \stackrel{B}{\underset{B}{\leftrightarrow}} DGcoA$$
,

where DGcoA, or DGcoA(R), denotes the category of differential graded coassociative 1-connected coalgebras over R. In [13] Quillen discussed the functor

$$C: DGL \rightarrow CDGcoA$$
,

where CDGcoA is the full subcategory of DGcoA consisting of cocommutative coalgebras. We have the relationships

$$(30) BB \cong id, BB \cong id, BU \cong C, BC \cong U.$$

Let TOP, denote the category of r-connected topological spaces. The Moore loop space functor, denoted Ω , carries TOP, to MON, Adams [1] showed that $BC_*^2 \cong CU_*^1\Omega$ as functors from TOP₁ to DGA, where C_*^2 denotes the 1-connected Eilenberg subcomplex of C_* . Implicit in his paper is the slight generalization that

(31)
$$BC_*^2 \cong CU_*^r \Omega: TOP_r \to DGA$$

for any $r \ge 1$.

Proposition 7.7. For $r \ge 1$, $R \supseteq Q$, let \mathscr{C} be as in Proposition 7.4. There is a weak equivalence of functors

$$C^2_* \cong C \mathscr{E} \Omega$$
: $\operatorname{TOP}_r \to \operatorname{DGcoA}(R)$,

and $C\mathscr{E}\Omega(X)$ lies in CDGcoA(R).

Proof. By (30) and (31) and Theorem 7.5, we have

$$C_*^2 \cong BBC_*^2 \cong B(CU_*^r)\Omega = BU\mathscr{E}\Omega \cong C\mathscr{E}\Omega.$$

As we suggested in the introduction, the functor $\mathscr{E}\Omega$ coincides up to homotopy with the rational homotopy theory functor

$$Q: \operatorname{TOP}_1 \to \operatorname{DGL}(\mathbf{Q})$$

introduced by Quillen [12]. This is proved in the forthcoming paper [6], where a short list of axioms is given which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for any such functor to be weakly equivalent to Quillen's Q. In particular, since Q is a complete rational homotopy invariant, so is $\mathcal{E}\Omega$.

8. The Adams-Hilton model as a Hah

We review the properties of the Adams-Hilton model A(X) for a simplyconnected CW complex X. We show that A(X) is an r-mild Hah when X is r-connected and dim $(X) \le r\rho(R)$. Applying Theorem 4.8, we obtain an r-mild dgL L(X) associated to X. We then explore the properties of L as a model.

As always, $p = \rho(R)$ for some commutative ring with unity R. Let CW_r (resp. CW_r^k) be the full subcategory of TOP_r consisting of CW complexes with trivial *r*-skeleton (resp. and of dimension $\leq k$). Adams and Hilton [2] constructed, for each $X \in CW_1$, a dga over R $A(X) = (A(X), d_X)$. The dga A(X) comes with a quism $\theta_X : A(X) \to CU_*^1(\Omega X)$. Since arbitrary choices are made in the construction of d_X and θ_X , and A(X) depends upon our choice of CW decomposition for X, we do not think of A(X) as being unique.

Likewise, for any (not necessarily cellular) map $f: X \to Y$ in CW_1 and for any choice of models $(\mathbf{A}(X), \theta_X)$ and $(\mathbf{A}(Y), \theta_Y)$, Adams and Hilton constructed a DGA homomorphism $\mathbf{A}(f): \mathbf{A}(X) \to \mathbf{A}(Y)$. The homomorphism $\mathbf{A}(f)$ comes with a derivation homotopy ψ_f from $(CU_*^1\Omega f) \circ \theta_X$ to $\theta_Y \circ \mathbf{A}(f)$. Again, both $\mathbf{A}(f)$ and ψ_f require us to make some arbitrary choices, so we do not view them as unique. We call $(\mathbf{A}(f), \psi_f)$ a model for f.

We list now fourteen major properties of the model A. Theorem 8.1 is nearly a complete summary of results for the Adams-Hilton paper [2].

Theorem 8.1 (Adams-Hilton). The model $A: CW_1 \rightarrow DGA(R)$ has the following properties.

(a) If $X = (pt) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} e_{\alpha})$ is a cell decomposition for X, $|e_{\alpha}| \ge 2$, then the underlying algebra A(X) is isomorphic to $R\langle b_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S \rangle$, where $|b_{\alpha}| = |e_{\alpha}| - 1$. Moreover, the linearized chain complex $\mathbf{A}(X)_{+}/(\mathbf{A}(X)_{+})^{2}$ may be identified with the desuspension of the reduced cellular chain complex for X.

(b) In particular, if $X \in CW_r$, then A(X) is r-reduced; if $X \in CW_r^{rp}$, then $A(X) \in DGA_r(R)$.

(c) If $f \simeq g$, then $\mathbf{A}(f) \simeq \mathbf{A}(g)$ in DGA. In particular, two models for the same map must be homotopic in DGA. Conversely, if $\zeta \simeq \mathbf{A}(f)$ in DGA, then there exists a derivation homotopy ψ' such that (ζ, ψ') is also a model for f. (d) One model for the identity map 1_X is $(1_{\mathbf{A}(X)}, 0)$.

(e) If $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, then $(\mathbf{A}(gf), \psi_{gf})$ may be taken to be $(\mathbf{A}(g) \circ \mathbf{A}(f), \psi_{gf})$

 $\operatorname{CU}^{1}_{*}(\Omega g) \circ \psi_{f} + \psi_{g} \circ \mathbf{A}(f)).$

(f) If $f: X \to Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, so is $\mathbf{A}(f)$.

(g) Let X_0 be a subcomplex of X, say $X = (pt) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} e_\alpha)$, $X_0 = (pt) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in S_0} e_\alpha)$, $S_0 \subseteq S$. Given any model $(A(X_0), d_{X_0}, \theta_{X_0})$, there is a model $(A(X), d_X, \theta_X)$ for which d_X and θ_X are extensions over A(X) of d_{X_0} and θ_{X_0} .

(h) Under the hypotheses of (g), let $f: X \to Y$ be a map and put $f_0 = f|_{X_0}$. Given any models $(\mathbf{A}(Y), \theta_Y)$ and $(\mathbf{A}(f_0), \psi_{f_0})$, there is a model $(\mathbf{A}(f), \psi_f)$ for which $\mathbf{A}(f)$ and ψ_f are extensions over A(X) of $\mathbf{A}(f_0)$ and ψ_{f_0} .

(i) Let $\{X_{\beta}\} \subseteq CW_1$ be a family of subcomplexes of a CW complex X, and suppose $X = \bigcup_{\beta} X_{\beta}$. Suppose we have models $(\mathbf{A}(X_{\beta}), \theta_{X_{\beta}})$ satisfying the coherency conditions

$$d_{X_{\beta}}|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})} = d_{X_{\gamma}}|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})},$$

$$\theta_{X_{\beta}}|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})} = \theta_{X_{\gamma}}|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})}$$

for each pair of indices (β, γ) . Then $\operatorname{colim}\{\mathbf{A}(X_{\beta}), \theta_{X_{\beta}}\}\$ is an Adams-Hilton model for X.

(j) Under the hypotheses of (i), let $f: X \to Y$ be a map and put $f_{\beta} = f|_{X_{\beta}}$. Fixing a model $(\mathbf{A}(Y), \theta_Y)$, suppose we have models $(\mathbf{A}(f_{\beta}), \psi_{f_{\beta}})$ satisfying the coherency conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(f_{\beta})|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})} &= \mathbf{A}(f_{\gamma})|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})}, \\ \psi_{f_{\beta}}|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})} &= \psi_{f_{\gamma}}|_{A(X_{\beta}\cap X_{\gamma})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\operatorname{colim}\{\mathbf{A}(f_{\beta}), \psi_{f_{\beta}}\}\$ is an Adams-Hilton model for f.

(k) Let $f_0: S^n \to X_0$, $n \ge 2$, and extend f_0 to $f: D^{n+1} \to X = X_0 \bigcup_{f_0} e^{n+1}$. Choosing the standard two-cell decomposition of D^{n+1} we have $A(D^{n+1}) = R\langle z, z_0 \rangle$ with $|z_0| = n - 1$, |z| = n, $d(z) = -z_0$. Let $(\mathbf{A}(X_0), \theta_{X_0})$ and $(\mathbf{A}(f_0), \psi_{f_0})$ be models for X_0 and f_0 . Then one for X is given by $A(X) = A(X_0) \amalg R\langle b_f \rangle$, $|b_f| = n$; $d_X(x) = d_{X_0}(x)$ for $x \in A(X_0)$, $d_X(b_f) = -\mathbf{A}(f_0)(z_0)$; $\theta_X(x) = \theta_{X_0}(x)$ for $x \in A(X_0)$, $\theta_X(b_f) = \mathrm{CU}^1_*(\Omega f)(\theta_{D^{n+1}}(z)) + \psi_{f_0}(z_0)$.

(1) Under the hypotheses of (k), let $g_0: X_0 \to Y_0$ have model $(\mathbf{A}(g_0), \psi_{g_0})$. Extend g_0 to $g: X \to Y = Y_0 \cup_{g_0 \neq 0} e^{n+1}$ in the obvious way, and choose models for X and for Y as in (k). Then an extension $(\mathbf{A}(g), \psi_g)$ of $(\mathbf{A}(g_0), \psi_{g_0})$ may be chosen for which $\mathbf{A}(g)(b_f) = (b_{gf})$, $\psi_g(b_f) = 0$.

Two further properties concern the model for a product space $X \times Y$. If $X = (pt) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} e_{\alpha})$ and $Y = (pt) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in S'} e_{\alpha})$, then $A(X \times Y)$ is freely generated as an *R*-algebra by $\{b_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S''\}$, where

$$S'' = S \cup S' \cup (S \times S').$$

(Adams and Hilton point out that $X \times Y$ need not be a CW complex for their construction to exist.) We define

$$\nu = \nu_{XY} \colon A(X \times Y) \to A(X) \otimes A(Y)$$

by $\nu(b_{\alpha}) = b_{\alpha} \otimes 1$ for $\alpha \in S$, $\nu(b_{\alpha}) = 1 \otimes b_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in S'$, $\nu(b_{\alpha}) = 0$ for $\alpha \in (S \times S')$.

(m) Given $(\mathbf{A}(X), \theta_X)$ and $(\mathbf{A}(Y), \theta_Y)$, it is possible to choose $d_{X \times Y}$ and $\theta_{X \times Y}$ for $A(X \times Y)$ such that ν_{XY} is a DGA homomorphism and the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

(32)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{XY}} & \mathbf{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{A}(Y) \\ & & & \downarrow^{\theta_{X \times Y}} \downarrow & & \downarrow^{\theta_X \otimes \theta_Y} \\ & & \mathbf{CU}^1_* \Omega(X \times Y) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{CU}^1_* (\Omega X \times \Omega Y) \xrightarrow{\eta} & (\mathbf{CU}^1_* \Omega X) \otimes (\mathbf{CU}^1_* \Omega Y). \end{array}$$

Furthermore, letting $X \stackrel{p_X}{\leftarrow} X \times Y \stackrel{p_Y}{\leftarrow} Y$ denote the projections, we may take $\mathbf{A}(p_X) = \pi_1 \nu_{XY}$ and $\mathbf{A}(p_Y) = \pi_2 \nu_{XY}$.

(n) Given maps $f: X_0 \to X$ and $g: Y_0 \to Y$ and models for f and g, any model $(\mathbf{A}(f \times g), \psi_{f \times g})$ for $f \times g$ makes the following diagram commute up to homotopy:

(33)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(X_0 \times Y_0) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{X_0} Y_0} & \mathbf{A}(X_0) \otimes \mathbf{A}(Y_0) \\ \mathbf{A}(f \times g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}(f) \otimes \mathbf{A}(g) \\ \mathbf{A}(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\nu_{XY}} & \mathbf{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{A}(Y) . \end{array}$$

Remark. If we restrict ourselves to spaces and maps in CW_r , then we may presume that $\theta_X: A(X) \to CU_*^r(\Omega X)$, and likewise for ψ_r .

Notation. Let $\Delta: X \to X \times X$ be the diagonal map, and let λ_X be the composite.

$$\lambda_X = \nu_{XX} \circ \mathbf{A}(\Delta) \colon \mathbf{A}(X) \to \mathbf{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{A}(X) \,.$$

Lemma 8.2. With ψ as in (21), the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

(34)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_X} & \mathbf{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{A}(X) \\ \theta_X \downarrow & \downarrow & \varphi_X \otimes \theta_X \\ \mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}_*^{\mathsf{1}} \, \mathbf{\Omega}X & \xrightarrow{W} & (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}_*^{\mathsf{1}} \, \mathbf{\Omega}X) \otimes (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}_*^{\mathsf{1}} \, \mathbf{\Omega}X) \,. \end{array}$$

Proof. Choose $d_{X \times X}$ and $\theta_{X \times X}$ according to Theorem 8.1(m).

Proposition 8.3. The model A(), together with $\lambda_{()}$, takes CW₁ (resp. CW^{rp}_r) to HAH(R) (resp. HAH_r(R)).

Proof. The four homotopies for λ_X follow from Proposition 7.1, Lemma 8.2, and the lifting lemma. When $f: X \to Y$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{A}(X) & \stackrel{\mathbf{A}(\Delta)}{\to} & \mathbf{A}(X \times X) & \stackrel{\nu_{XX}}{\to} & \mathbf{A}(X) \otimes \mathbf{A}(X) \\ \mathbf{A}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}(f \times f) & & \downarrow \mathbf{A}(f) \otimes \mathbf{A}(f) \\ \mathbf{A}(Y) & \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} & \mathbf{A}(Y \times Y) & \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\to} & \mathbf{A}(Y) \otimes \mathbf{A}(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy by Theorem 8.1(c,n).

Construction 8.4. For $X \in CW_r^{rp}$, $(A(X), \lambda_X)$ is an *r*-mild Hah. Applying Theorem 5.6 yields an *r*-mild dgL $L(X) = (L(X), \delta_X)$ for which $UL(X) \approx A(X)$ and $\Delta_{L(X)} \simeq \lambda_X$. For any map $f: X \to Y$ in CW_r^{rp} , we have by Theorem 6.4 a DGL homomorphism $L(f): L(X) \to L(Y)$ such that $UL(f) \simeq A(f)$. We view L as a model from CW_r^{rp} to $DGL_r(R)$. We view L(X) as coming with a quism $\theta_X: UL(X) \to CU_*^r(\Omega X)$, and L(f) as coming with a derivation homotopy ψ_f from $CU_*^r\Omega f \circ \theta_X$ to $\theta_Y \circ UL(f)$.

For completeness we list the properties of L, since they are likely to be useful in applications.

Theorem 8.5. The model L: $CW_r^{rp} \rightarrow DGL_r(R)$ has the following properties.

(a) If $X = (pt) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in S} e_{\alpha})$ is a cell decomposition for X, $rp \ge |e_{\alpha}| > r$, then the underlying Lie algebra L(X) is isomorphic to $L_R \langle b_{\alpha} | \alpha \in S \rangle$, where $|b_{\alpha}| = |e_{\alpha}| - 1$. The linearization L(X)/[L(X), L(X)] may be identified with the desuspension of the reduced cellular chain complex for X.

(b) $(UL(X), \theta_X)$ (resp. $(UL(f), \psi_f)$) is an Adams-Hilton model for X (resp. f). In particular, θ_X induces an isomorphism of R-algebras

(35)
$$(\theta_{\chi})_* : H_*(U\mathbf{L}(X)) \xrightarrow{\approx} H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{R}).$$

If R is a field, (35) is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras.

(c) If $f \simeq g$, then $L(f) \simeq L(g)$. In particular, two models for the same map must be homotopic. Conversely, if $\zeta \simeq L(f)$ in $DGL_r(R)$, then there exists a derivation homotopy ψ' such that (ζ, ψ') is also a model for f.

(d) One model for the identity map 1_X is $(1_{L(X)}, 0)$.

(e) If $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, then $(\mathbf{L}(gf), \psi_{gf})$ may be taken to be $(\mathbf{L}(g) \circ \mathbf{L}(f), \mathbf{CU}'_*(\Omega g) \circ \psi_f + \psi_g \circ U\mathbf{L}(f))$.

(f) If $f: X \to Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, so is L(f).

(g)–(1) Analogs of Theorem 8.1(g)–(1) are true, with CW_r^{rp} , L, L, δ_X everywhere replacing CW_1 , A, A, d_X . For (k) and (l), require that rp > n > r.

Proof. (a)-(f) are straightforward, as are (g)-(l) once we make a certain observation. The observation is that the constructions in Theorems 5.6 and 6.3 are natural with respect to certain sub-Hah's. Suppose in Theorem 5.6 that the Hah (A, d, ψ) satisfies $A = T(V \oplus W)$ and $d(V) \subseteq TV$ and $\psi(V) \subseteq TV \otimes TV$, so

 $(TV, d|_{TV}, \psi|_{TV})$ is also a Hah. Applying the construction of Theorem 5.6 directly to this sub-Hah yields a sequence $\{\tilde{\psi}^{(n)}\}$ of homotopic coproducts whose limit or (rp-1)st term $\tilde{\psi}$ is cocommutative and coassociative on TV. Some arbitrary choices may be involved where the homotopy extension property is used. Fixing any such set of choices, it is possible to perform the construction of Theorem 5.6 on the whole (A, d, ψ) in such a way that each term of the resulting sequence $\{\psi^{(n)}\}$ satisfies $\psi^{(n)}|_{TV} = \tilde{\psi}^{(n)}$. Thus the limit or (rp-1)st term of $\{\psi^{(n)}\}$ restricts to $\tilde{\psi}$. Because of this, L easily inherits the various coherency properties from those of A.

Corresponding to Theorem 8.1(m) and (n), we have

Theorem 8.6. Let $X, Y \in CW_r^{rp}$. Suppose $dim(X) + dim(Y) \le rp$. There is a quism

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{Y}} \colon \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{X} \times \boldsymbol{Y}) \to \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{X}) \oplus \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{Y})$$

such that the diagram

$$(36) \begin{array}{c} UL(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{U\xi_{XY}} & UL(X) \otimes UL(Y) \\ \theta_{X \times Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ CU'_* \Omega(X \times Y) & \rightarrow & CU'_*(\Omega X \times \Omega Y) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & (CU_* \Omega X) \otimes (CU_* \Omega Y) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. The first and second components of ξ_{XY} are homotopic to $\mathbf{L}(p_X)$ and $\mathbf{L}(p_Y)$, respectively. Furthermore, ξ is natural in the following sense. Given any maps $f: X_0 \to X$ and $g: Y_0 \to Y$ in CW_r^{rp} where $\dim(X_0) + \dim(Y_0) \leq rp$, the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

(37)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}(X_0 \times Y_0) & \xrightarrow{\xi_{X_0 Y_0}} & \mathbf{L}(X_0) \oplus \mathbf{L}(Y_0) \\ \mathbf{L}(f \times g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{L}(f) \oplus \mathbf{L}(g) \\ \mathbf{L}(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\xi_{XY}} & \mathbf{L}(X) \oplus \mathbf{L}(Y) \end{array}$$

In particular, when $2 \cdot \dim(X) \leq rp$, the diagonal map $\Delta: X \to X \times X$ has a model $\mathbf{L}(\Delta)$ such that $\xi_{XX} \circ \mathbf{L}(\Delta) = \tilde{\Delta}: \mathbf{L}(X) \to \mathbf{L}(X) \oplus \mathbf{L}(X)$, where $\tilde{\Delta}(x) = (x, x)$.

Proof. By Theorem 8.1(m) we have the quism

$$\nu_{YY}: UL(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} UL(X) \otimes UL(Y) = U(L(X) \oplus L(Y)).$$

By Theorem 6.3, we know that $\nu_{XY} \simeq U\xi_{XY}$ for some quism $\xi_{XY} \colon L(X \times Y) \to L(X) \oplus L(Y)$. The Lemaire-Aubry theorem yields $\xi_{XY} \simeq (L(p_X), L(p_Y))$. By Theorem 8.1(m), the commutativity up to homotopy of (36) is immediate. Theorem 8.1(n) together with the Lemaire-Aubry theorem shows that (37) commutes up to homotopy. As to $\xi_{XX} \circ L(\Delta)$, note that $U(\xi_{XX} \circ L(\Delta)) = \nu_{XX} \circ UL(\Delta) = \lambda_X \simeq \Delta_{L(X)} = U(\tilde{\Delta})$, so Lemaire-Aubry shows that $\tilde{\Delta} \simeq \xi_{XX} \circ L(\Delta)$. Now use the lifting lemma (ξ_{XX} is a surjective quism) to replace $L(\Delta)$ by a homotopic homomorphism ζ for which $\xi_{XX} \circ \zeta = \tilde{\Delta}$. **Proposition 8.7.** (a) There is a weak equivalence

$$C_*^2 \cong CL: CW_r^{rp} \to DGcoA(R),$$

and CL(X) lies in CDGcoA(R).

(b) On TOP_r we may construct "commutative cochains" over R up to dimension rp.

Proof. (a) Imitate the proof of Proposition 7.7, with L replacing $\mathscr{E}\Omega$.

(b) Given any space $X \in \text{TOP}_r$, choose an *r*-connected CW approximation and take its *rp*-skeleton, i.e., $f: Y \to X$ with $Y \in \text{CW}_r^{rp}$. Then $C^2_*(X) \stackrel{\simeq}{\underset{f_*}{\leftarrow}} C^2_*(Y) \simeq C\mathbf{L}(Y)$ in dimensions $\leq rp$.

Theorem 8.8. Suppose $R \supseteq \mathbf{Q}$, and let ϕ be as in Proposition 7.4. It is possible to choose θ_X such that $\theta_X(\mathbf{L}(X)) \subseteq \ker(\overline{\phi})$. The restriction of θ_X to $\mathbf{L}(X)$ determines a DGL quism

$$\omega_{X}: \mathbf{L}(X) \to \mathscr{E}\mathbf{\Omega}(X),$$

which is natural in the sense that there is a DGL homotopy $\omega_Y \circ \mathbf{L}(f) \simeq \mathscr{E}\Omega(f) \circ \omega_X \colon \mathbf{L}(X) \to \mathscr{E}\Omega(Y)$ for any map $f \colon X \to Y$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 6.3 to the HAH homomorphism $\theta_X : UL(X) \to (CU'_* \Omega X, \phi)$, we obtain a HAH homomorphism θ'_X homotopic to θ_X for which $\theta'_X(L(X)) \subseteq \ker(\overline{\phi})$. Since any DGA homomorphism homotopic to θ_X could also serve as a valid choice for θ_X , we may replace θ_X by θ'_X . We have proved the first claim and the existence of ω_X . The naturality follows easily from the Lemaire-Aubry theorem.

Remark. If we weaken the concept of weak equivalence of functors to allow for models as well as functors and to allow for quisms which are natural transformations only up to homotopy, Theorem 8.8 tells us when $R \supseteq Q$ that $L \cong \mathscr{E}\Omega \cong Q$. Also, the "L" constructed for the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/(p-1)!]$, call it \mathbb{L}^R , and the "L" constructed for Q, call it \mathbb{L}^Q , are related via $\mathbb{L}^Q() = \mathbb{L}^R() \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $\mathbb{L}^R() \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong Q$, as needed in diagram (1).

9. The mod p cohomology of loop spaces

In this final section we will prove Wilkerson's conjecture [9]. According to this conjecture, if X is a finite simply-connected CW complex, then for $p \gg 0$ pth powers vanish in $\tilde{H}^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Theorem 9.1. Let p be a prime and let X be an r-connected CW complex of dimension n, where $rp \ge n$. Then (a) pth powers vanish in $\widetilde{H}^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and (b) $H_*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is primitively generated as a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra.

Proof. The equivalence of Theorem 9.1(a) and (b) is given in [10, Proposition 4.20]. The theorem follows at once from Theorem 8.5(b) and the following lemma.

Lemma 9.2. Let (L, δ) be a dgL over \mathbb{Z}_p . Then the Hopf algebra $H_*U(L, \delta)$ is primitively generated as a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra.

Proof. Because UL is primitively generated, *p*th powers vanish in the dual Hopf algebra $(UL)^*$. Deduce that *p*th powers vanish in the cohomology algebra $H^*((UL)^*, \delta^*)$, which is the Hopf algebra dual of $H_*U(L, \delta)$. Thus $H_*U(L, \delta)$ is primitively generated.

References

- 1. J. F. Adams, On the cobar construction, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 42 (1956), 409-412.
- 2. J. F. Adams and P. J. Hilton, On the chain algebra of a loop space, Comment. Math. Helv. 30 (1955), 305-330.
- 3. D. J. Anick, A model of Adams-Hilton type for fiber squares, Illinois J. Math. 29 (1985), 463-502.
- 4. M. Aubry and J.-M. Lemaire, *Homotopies d'algèbres de Lie et de leurs algèbres enveloppantes*, Algebraic Topology-Rational Homotopy, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1318, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1988, 26-30.
- 5. H. J. Baues, *Algebraic homotopy*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., no. 15, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- 6. H. J. Baues, S. Halperin, and J.-M. Lemaire, *The uniqueness of rational homotopy* (in preparation).
- 7. H. J. Baues and J. M. Lemaire, Minimal models in homotopy theory, Math. Ann. 225 (1977), 219-242.
- 8. F. R. Cohen, J. C. Moore, and J. A. Neisendorfer, *Torsion in homotopy groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), 121-168.
- 9. C. A. McGibbon and C. Wilkerson, Loop spaces of finite complexes at large primes, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 698-702.
- 10. J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 (1965), 211-264.
- 11. H. Munkholm, DGA algebras as a Quillen model category, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 13 (1978), 221-232.
- 12. D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967.
- 13. ____, Rational homotopy theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969), 205-295.
- 14. D. Sullivan, Infinitesimal computations in topology, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 47 (1978), 269-331.
- D. Tanré, Homotopie rationnelle: Modèles de Chen, Quillen, Sullivan, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1025, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1983.

ABSTRACT. Let (A, d) denote a free *r*-reduced differential graded *R*-algebra, where *R* is a commutative ring containing n^{-1} for $1 \le n < p$. Suppose a "diagonal" $\psi: A \to A \otimes A$ exists which satisfies the Hopf algebra axioms, including cocommutativity and coassociativity, up to homotopy. We show that (A, d) must equal $U(L, \delta)$ for some free differential graded Lie algebra (L, δ) if A is generated as an R-algebra in dimensions below rp. As a consequence, the rational singular chain complex on a topological monoid is seen to be the enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra. We also deduce, for an r-connected CW complex X of dimension $\leq rp$, that the Adams-Hilton model over R is an enveloping algebra and that pth powers vanish in $\widetilde{H}^*(\Omega X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139