



# How alkaline compounds control atmospheric aerosol acidity

Vlassis A. Karydis<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Alexandra P. Tsimpidi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Andrea Pozzer<sup>1,4</sup>, and Jos Lelieveld<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry Dept., Mainz, 55128, Germany.

5 <sup>2</sup> Now at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Inst. for Energy and Climate Research, IEK-8, Jülich, 52425, Germany.

<sup>3</sup> National Observatory of Athens, Inst. for Environmental Research and Sustainable Development, Athens, 15236, Greece.

<sup>4</sup> International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, 34151, Italy

<sup>5</sup> The Cyprus Institute, Climate and Atmosphere Research Center Nicosia, 1645, Cyprus.

10 *Correspondence to:* Vlassis A. Karydis ([v.karydis@fz-juelich.de](mailto:v.karydis@fz-juelich.de))

**Abstract.** The acidity of atmospheric aerosols regulates the particulate mass, composition and toxicity, and has important consequences for public health, ecosystems and climate. Despite these broad impacts, the global distribution and evolution of aerosol acidity are unknown. We used the particular, comprehensive atmospheric multiphase chemistry – climate model EMAC to investigate the main factors that control aerosol acidity, and uncovered remarkable variability and unexpected trends during the past 50 years in different parts of the world. We find that alkaline compounds, notably ammonium, and to a lesser extent crustal cations, buffer the aerosol pH on a global scale. Given the importance of aerosols for the atmospheric energy budget, cloud formation, pollutant deposition and public health, alkaline species hold the key to control strategies for air quality and climate change.

## 1. Introduction

20 Aerosol acidity is a central property of atmospheric particulates that influence clouds, climate and air quality, including impacts on human health (Raizenne et al., 1996;Lelieveld et al., 2015). It affects the partitioning of semi-volatile acids between the gas and aerosol phases (Guo et al., 2016;Guo et al., 2017;Guo et al., 2018;Nenes et al., 2020), secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation (Xu et al., 2015;Marais et al., 2016), the solubility of trace metals in aerosols (Oakes et al., 2012), associated with their toxicity (Fang et al., 2017) and nutrient capacity (Jickells et al., 2005), the activation of halogens that  
25 act as oxidants (Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012), the conversion of sulfur dioxide (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006;Cheng et al., 2016), the particle hygroscopic growth and lifetime (Metzger et al., 2006;Abdelkader et al., 2015;Karydis et al., 2016), and atmospheric corrosivity (Leygraf et al., 2016). Direct measurement of aerosol acidity is difficult and associated with much uncertainty, being dependent on filter sampling and the H<sup>+</sup> molality in the aqueous extract, which is sensitive to artifacts (Pathak et al., 2004). Therefore, particle pH, a commonly used acidity metric of aqueous aerosols, is typically



30 inferred by proxy techniques (Hennigan et al., 2015;Pye et al., 2020). Two of the most common are the ion balance and the  
molar ratio methods. In the past, these methods did not consider the effects of aerosol water and multiphase interactions with  
gas phase species (Hennigan et al., 2015). The simultaneous measurement of gas phase species can improve aerosol pH  
estimates by accounting for the phase partitioning of semi-volatile species (e.g.,  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$ ). However, the accuracy of this  
approach relies on the availability of information on these species in both the gas and aerosol phase, being scant in most  
35 cases.

The best estimates of pH are obtained with thermodynamic equilibrium models, although the accuracy can be limited by not  
accounting for all ionic species. For example, most atmospheric chemistry models do not consider crustal elements (e.g.,  
 $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ). These species affect the ion balance by influencing the phase partitioning of nitrate and ammonium,  
especially in areas where aeolian dust is abundant (Karydis et al., 2016). Here we present 50-year global acidity trends of  
40 fine aerosols (i.e. with a diameter  $< 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ ) by employing the EMAC chemistry – climate model (Jöckel et al., 2010). The  
pH calculations are performed online with the ISORROPIA II thermodynamic equilibrium model (Fountoukis and Nenes,  
2007).

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1 Global variability of aerosol acidity

45 Figure 1 shows the modeled near-surface distribution of fine aerosol acidity for the 2010-2015 period. We find  
predominantly acidic particles over the anthropogenically influenced regions in the northern hemisphere and the tropical  
biomass burning zones, and mostly alkaline particles over deserts and oceans, especially over the southern oceans. The pH  
typically ranges from 4.0 to 6.7 (5.3 on average) over the western USA since it is affected by crustal cations from the  
surrounding deserts. Therefore, the pH calculations in this region are sensitive to the aerosol state assumption. Over  
50 Pasadena, the base case model using the stable state mode estimates a mean pH of 5.9 units, while the sensitivity simulation  
with only liquid aerosols results in 2.7 pH units (equal to Guo et al. (2017) estimations by using the metastable assumption;  
Table S1). Over Europe, the pH ranges from 2.6 to 6.7 (3.9 on average). Observational estimates of aerosol pH from the Po  
Valley (Squizzato et al., 2013;Masiol et al., 2020) and Cabauw (Guo et al., 2018) support the relatively low acidity of fine  
aerosols over Europe (Table S1). Model calculations compare well with observational estimates from Cabauw, however,  
55 result in higher pH (~1 unit) compared to values from Po Valley (estimated by using the E-AIM model). Over East Asia the  
average pH is 4.7, ranging from 2.6 to 7.4. Relatively high pH's are found over regions where anthropogenic aerosols are  
mixed with aeolian dust, e.g., from the Gobi Desert, which buffer the acidity (e.g., ~6 pH units over Hohhot, which agrees  
well with the estimations of Wang et al. (2019a)). The relatively low pH in large parts of Asia is explained by strong  $\text{SO}_2$   
emissions and associated sulfate, which have increased strongly in the past decades (e.g., over Guangzhou, supported by  
60 estimations of Jia et al. (2018)). Estimates of unrealistically high aerosol acidity can result from omitting the gas phase



concentrations of semi-volatile ions from the pH calculations (e.g., estimates over Hong Kong (Yao et al., 2007;Xue et al., 2011), Singapore (Behera et al., 2013) and Shanghai (Pathak et al., 2009); Table S1). At the same time, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions have decreased over Europe and USA, and recently in China. However, aerosols over the eastern USA have remained acidic, with an average pH of 3.0 until recently, corroborating the findings of Weber et al. (2016) and Lawal et al. (2018) that aerosol  
65 acidity over this region is less sensitive to SO<sub>2</sub> than to NH<sub>3</sub> emissions.

The aerosol pH over the anthropogenically-influenced northern hemispheric mid-latitudes exhibits a clear seasonal pattern with lower values during boreal summer and higher ones during winter, driven by the availability of ammonium and by the aerosol water content (Fig. 2). This is evident from both our model calculations and from observational estimates mostly in heavily populated areas such as the Po Valley (Squizzato et al., 2013), Beijing (Tan et al., 2018), and Tianjin (Shi et al.,  
70 2017), and to a lesser extent over areas strongly affected by aeolian dust (e.g., Hohhot; Wang et al., 2019b) (Table S1). Over tropical regions, fine particulates have a pH between 3.2 and 7.4, being strongly influenced by pyrogenic potassium, i.e., from widespread biomass burning (Metzger et al., 2006), and a high aerosol water content. Observational estimates from Sao Paulo support these high pH values (Vieira-Filho et al., 2016), albeit with 1 unit bias mainly related to the use of the E-AIM model. Over deserts, aerosols are relatively alkaline, with a pH up to 7.4. Aerosols in the marine environment tend to be  
75 alkaline also, with a pH up to 7.4 over the southern oceans. Observational estimates report highly acidic aerosols over the southern oceans due to the lack of gas phase input for the pH calculations (Dall'Osto et al., 2019). Over the Arctic and the northern Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, aerosol acidity is significantly enhanced by strong sulfur emissions from international shipping and pollution transport from industrialized areas. The pH over the northern extratropical oceans and the Arctic ranges from 2.0 to 7.0 with an average of about 5.2. The annual cycle of aerosol acidity over these regions is strongly  
80 influenced by anthropogenic pollution, being relatively high during boreal summer. Over the Antarctic, aerosol pH ranges from 4.5 to 7.0 and follows a clear seasonal pattern (Fig. 2).

## 2.2 Temporal evolution of aerosol acidity

Figure 1 and Table 1 present the aerosol pH over the period 1970–2020. We investigated the impacts of alkaline species by omitting the emissions of ammonia and mineral cations in two sensitivity simulations.

### 85 2.2.1 Europe

Over Europe, the pH has increased strongly from about 2.8 during the 1970s to 3.9 recently. Especially during the 1990s NH<sub>3</sub> emissions over Europe increased significantly by 14%, while at the same time NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions decreased by 13% and 49%, respectively. While this trend has continued in the past decade, pH changes slowed because the sulfate and nitrate decreases have been compensated through volatilization of ammonia from the particles. In addition, the recently  
90 increasing cation/anion ratio is accompanied by a reduction of aerosol water, preventing a significant decrease of the aerosol acidity (Fig. S1). Overall, the increase of aerosol pH by more than 1 unit during the last 50 years had a significant impact on the gas-particle partitioning of semi-volatile acids, e.g., nitric acid, since their dissociation into ions enhances their solubility



(Nah et al., 2018). Here, the fraction of nitrate in the particle phase relative to total nitrate (gas plus particle) has increased from ~70% to 85% (Fig. 3). The increase in aerosol pH has been accompanied by an increase in aerosol hygroscopicity (Fig. 4). After the substantial reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, sulfate salts (e.g., ammonium sulphate) are replaced by more hygroscopic nitrate salts (e.g., ammonium nitrate) in the aerosol composition. In addition, the decrease of organic compound emissions during the last 50 years contributed to the increase of the aerosol hygroscopicity. Our sensitivity simulations reveal that aerosol acidity over Europe is highly sensitive to NH<sub>3</sub> emissions. Despite the decline of both SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> during the past decades, the aerosol would have remained highly acidic (pH ~1) in the absence of NH<sub>3</sub>.

### 100 2.2.2 North America

Over North America, aerosol acidity also decreased with SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Nevertheless, these emissions are still relatively strong in the eastern USA (5 times higher than in the western USA) resulting in very acidic aerosols, with a pH ranging from 2.2 in 1971 to 3.3 recently (Figs. 1 and S1). Such acidic conditions promote the dissolution of metals (e.g., Fe, Mn, Cu) in ambient particles (Fang et al., 2017). Soluble transition metals in atmospheric aerosols have been linked to adverse health impacts since they generate reactive oxygen species, leading to oxidative stress and increased toxicity of fine particulate matter (Fang et al., 2017; Park et al., 2018). Since the solubility of transition metals increases exponentially below a pH of 3, the decrease of aerosol acidity over the eastern USA reported here suggests that the particles have become substantially less toxic in the past few decades. Similar to Europe, the increasing pH has resulted in a growing aerosol nitrate fraction from ~50% during the 1970s to 65% recently (Fig. 3), and to a strong increase of aerosol hygroscopicity by ~0.15 units at the cloud base (Fig. 4). The role of NH<sub>3</sub> is critically important; without it the aerosol pH over the eastern USA would be close to zero. Over the western USA, the aerosol pH is higher (~5), being affected by aeolian dust from the Great Basin Desert, although NH<sub>3</sub> is still the most important alkaline buffer.

### 115 2.2.3 East and South Asia

In Asia, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions have increased drastically since 1970. However, the simultaneous increase of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions along with the presence of mineral dust from the surrounding deserts (i.e., Gobi, Taklimakan, Thar) decelerated the increase of aerosol acidity. Over East Asia, the aerosol pH decreased from about 5.3 during the 1970s to 4.5 in 2010. This change in aerosol acidity has affected the predominant pathway of sulfate formation through aqueous phase chemistry. Under acidic conditions, SO<sub>2</sub> is mainly oxidized by dissolved H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, while at pH > 5 the oxidation by O<sub>3</sub> predominates (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Therefore, the decrease of pH during the last 50 years, even though being relatively modest, was sufficient to turn-off sulfate production from O<sub>3</sub> oxidation (Fig. 5). At the same time, the increased aerosol acidity hinders the partitioning of nitric acid to the aerosol phase, reducing the aerosol nitrate fraction from 90% to 80% (Fig. 3). Remarkably, the aerosol hygroscopicity has increased from ~0.3 in the 1970s to 0.45 recently (Fig. 4), revealing a reverse development compared to Europe and the USA. Here, the fraction of mineral dust in the aerosol is higher; therefore, the particles gained hygroscopicity by the acquired pollution solutes. Recently, the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions have dropped and the NO<sub>x</sub>



125 emission increase has slowed in East Asia, while SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are soaring in South Asia. SO<sub>2</sub> emission trends since 2007  
have been so drastic that inventories and scenarios tend to underestimate them. Satellite observations indicate that India has  
recently overtaken China as the world largest emitter of SO<sub>2</sub> (Li et al., 2017). Following the satellite observations, we  
implemented the large SO<sub>2</sub> trends into our model (Fig. S2). Surprisingly, the effect only becomes noticeable over East Asia  
after 2016, when the aerosol pH started increasing by about 0.3 units, while we do not find any change over South Asia. This  
130 corroborates the strong buffering that we found over other regions such as Europe. Fig. 1 shows that NH<sub>3</sub> has been the major  
buffer, supporting the recent findings of Zheng et al. (2020) that the acid-base pair of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/NH<sub>3</sub> provides the largest  
buffering capacity over East and South Asia. However, we also found that in East Asia and to a lesser extent in South Asia  
crustal elements, not considered in the study of Zheng et al. (2020), have contributed significantly on maintaining a mean pH  
of 4.5 – 5 in the past decade (Fig. 1).

#### 135 2.2.4 Tropical forests, Middle East

Over tropical forests, aerosols are typically not very acidic with pH values >4. Note that organic acids were not included in  
the aerosol pH calculations, however, their contribution to the total ionic load is small (Andreae et al., 1988;Falkovich et al.,  
2005), and aerosol acidity can be attributed to inorganic acids. Over the Amazon and Congo basins, the aerosol pH remained  
around 5 since 1970. The Southeast Asian forest atmosphere is affected by pollution from mainland Asia, and the aerosol pH  
140 decreased to around 4 recently. This pH drop has enhanced SOA formation from isoprene, since under low-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions  
(typical over rainforests) the presence of acidifying sulfate increases the reactive uptake of epoxydiols (Xu et al.,  
2015;Surratt et al., 2010). Nevertheless, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions provide a remarkably strong buffer over all three tropical regions  
while mineral dust cations are also important over the Amazon and Congo forests. Further, the Middle East is affected by  
strong anthropogenic (fossil fuel related) and natural (aeolian dust) aerosol sources. Due to the high abundance of mineral  
145 dust, the pH has remained close to 7. Without crustal cations, the pH would drop to about 4. Despite the omnipresence of  
alkaline species from the surrounding deserts, NH<sub>3</sub> still plays a central role in controlling the acidification of mineral dust  
aerosols, which can affect their hygroscopic growth and hence their climate forcing (Klingmuller et al., 2019;Klingmüller et  
al., 2020).

#### 2.2.5 Oceans

150 Over the Arctic and northern extra-tropical oceans, aerosol acidity is strongly affected by pollution transport from the urban-  
industrial mid-latitudes. The Arctic aerosol pH is highly variable, remaining relatively low up to 1990 (~4.2), after which it  
increased to about 5.2. Crustal cations are found to play a significant buffering role. Over the northern extra-tropical oceans,  
aerosol pH has remained relatively constant (~4.8). NH<sub>3</sub> provides an important alkaline buffer, and without it the aerosol pH  
would have been below 3. NH<sub>3</sub> also proved to be important over the tropical and southern extra-tropical oceans, where a  
155 noticeable increase in aerosol acidity occurred after June 1991, when the eruption of Mount Pinatubo in the Philippines  
released ~20 million tons of SO<sub>2</sub> into the stratosphere (McCormick et al., 1995). The impact of Pinatubo sulfate, after



returning to the troposphere, on aerosol acidity is mostly evident over Antarctica, where the pH dropped by 2 units, as the stratospheric circulation is strongest in the winter hemisphere. Over Antarctica concentrations of dust and especially of  $\text{NH}_3$  are very low, and Fig. 1 illustrates that only in this pristine environment the large Pinatubo anomaly could overwhelm the buffering by alkaline species. Except after Pinatubo, the pH has remained nearly constant at 5.8 over Antarctica and about 5.5 in the tropics and 6.8 in the southern extra-tropics.

### 3. Conclusions

We find that aerosol pH is generally well-buffered by alkaline compounds, notably  $\text{NH}_3$  and in some areas crustal elements.  $\text{NH}_3$  is found to supply remarkable buffering capacity on a global scale, from the polluted continents to the remote oceans. In the absence of  $\text{NH}_3$ , aerosols would be highly (to extremely) acidic in most of the world. Therefore, potential future changes in  $\text{NH}_3$  are critically important in this respect. Agriculture is the main  $\text{NH}_3$  source and a controlling factor in fine particle concentrations and health impacts in some areas (e.g., Europe) (Pozzer et al., 2017). The control of agricultural ammonia emissions must therefore be accompanied by very strong reductions of  $\text{SO}_2$  and  $\text{NO}_x$  to avoid that aerosols become highly acidic with implications for human health (aerosol toxicity), ecosystems (acid deposition and nutrient availability), clouds and climate (aerosol hygroscopicity).

## 4. Appendix A: Materials and Methods

### 4.1 Aerosol-chemistry-climate model

We used the ECHAM5/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model, which is a numerical chemistry and climate simulation system that describes lower and middle atmosphere processes (Jöckel et al., 2006). EMAC uses the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2) (Jöckel et al., 2010) to link the different sub-models with an atmospheric dynamical core, being an updated version of the 5th generation European Centre - Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM5) (Roeckner et al., 2006). EMAC has been extensively described and evaluated against in situ observations and satellite retrievals to compute particulate matter concentrations and composition, aerosol optical depth, acid deposition, gas phase mixing ratios, cloud properties, and meteorological parameters (Karydis et al., 2016; Pozzer et al., 2012; Tsimpidi et al., 2016; Karydis et al., 2017; Bacer et al., 2018). The spectral resolution of EMAC used in this study is T63L31, corresponding to a horizontal grid resolution of approximately  $1.9^\circ \times 1.9^\circ$  and 31 vertical layers extending up to 10 hPa (i.e., 25 km) from the surface. The presented model simulations encompass the 50-year period 1970-2020.

EMAC calculates fields of gas phase species online through the Module Efficiently Calculating the Chemistry of the Atmosphere (MECCA) Submodel (Sander et al., 2019). MECCA calculates the concentration of a range of gases, including aerosol precursor species (e.g.  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}_x$ , DMS,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and DMSO) and the major oxidant species (e.g. OH,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ,



NO<sub>3</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>). Aerosol microphysics are calculated by the Global Modal-aerosol eXtension (GMXe) module (Pringle et al., 2010). The organic aerosol formation and atmospheric evolution are calculated by the ORACLE Submodel (Tsimpidi et al., 2014, 2018). The aerosol size distribution is described by seven lognormal modes: four hydrophilic modes that cover the aerosol size spectrum of nucleation, Aitken, accumulation and coarse modes, and three hydrophobic modes that cover the same size range except nucleation. The aerosol composition within each size mode is uniform (internally mixed), however, it varies between modes (externally mixed). Each mode is defined in terms of total number concentration, number mean radius, and geometric standard deviation (Pringle et al., 2010). The removal of gas and aerosol species through wet and dry deposition is calculated within the SCAV (Tost et al., 2006) and DRYDEP (Kerkweg et al., 2006) submodels, respectively. The sedimentation of aerosols is calculated within the SEDI submodel (Kerkweg et al., 2006). The cloud cover, microphysics and precipitation of large scale clouds is calculated by the CLOUD Submodel (Roeckner et al., 2006) which uses a two-moment stratiform microphysical scheme (Lohmann and Ferrachat, 2010), and describes liquid droplet (Karydis et al., 2017) and ice crystal (Bacer et al., 2018) formation by accounting for the aerosol physicochemical properties. The effective hygroscopicity parameter  $\kappa$  is used to describe the influence of chemical composition on the cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of atmospheric aerosols.  $\kappa$  is calculated using the mixing rule of Petters and Kreidenweis (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007) and the individual  $\kappa$  parameter values for each inorganic salt (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007; Sullivan et al., 2009). Organic aerosol species are assumed to have a constant hygroscopicity parameter  $\kappa$  of 0.14 while bulk mineral dust and black carbon are assumed to have zero hygroscopicity.

#### 4.2 Thermodynamic model

The inorganic aerosol composition, which is of prime importance for the accurate pH calculation, is computed with the ISORROPIA-II thermodynamic equilibrium model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). ISORROPIA-II calculates the gas/liquid/solid equilibrium partitioning of the K<sup>+</sup>-Ca<sup>2+</sup>-Mg<sup>2+</sup>-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-Na<sup>+</sup>-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Cl-H<sub>2</sub>O aerosol system and considers the presence of 15 aqueous phase components and 19 salts in the solid phase. ISORROPIA-II solves for the equilibrium state by considering the chemical potential of the species and minimizes the number of equations and iterations required by considering specific compositional “regimes”. Furthermore, to account for kinetic limitations by mass transfer and transport between the gas and particle phases, the process of gas/aerosol partitioning is calculated in two stages (Pringle et al., 2010). First, the gaseous species that kinetically condense onto the aerosol phase within the model timestep are calculated assuming diffusion limited condensation (Vignati et al., 2004). Then, ISORROPIA-II re-distributes the mass between the gas and the aerosol phase assuming instant equilibrium between the two phases.

ISORROPIA-II is used in the forward mode, in which the total (i.e., gas and aerosol) concentrations are given as input. Reverse mode calculations (i.e. when only the aerosol phase composition is known) should be avoided since they are sensitive to errors and infer bimodal behavior with highly acidic or highly alkaline particles, depending on whether anions or cations are in excess (Song et al., 2018). While it is often assumed that aerosols are in a metastable state (i.e., composed only





of a supersaturated aqueous phase), here we use ISORROPIA-II in the thermodynamically stable state mode where salts are allowed to precipitate once the aqueous phase becomes saturated. For this purpose, we have used the revised ISORROPIA-II model which includes modifications proposed by Song et al. (2018), who resolved coding errors related to pH calculations when the stable state assumption is used. A sensitivity simulation with only liquid aerosols (i.e., metastable) revealed that the assumed particle phase state does not significantly impact the pH calculations over oceans and polluted regions (e.g., Europe), however, the metastable assumption produces more acidic particles (up to 2 units of pH) in regions affected by high concentrations of crustal cations (Fig. S3). Overall, the stable state assumption used here produces about 0.5 units higher global average pH than the metastable assumption. By comparing with the benchmark thermodynamic model E-AIM, Song et al. (2018) found that ISORROPIA-II produces somewhat higher pH (by 0.1-0.7 units, negatively correlated with RH). However, E-AIM model versions either lack crustal cations from the ambient mixture of components (e.g. version II) (Clegg et al., 1998), or only include  $\text{Na}^+$  with the restriction that it should be used when  $\text{RH} > 60\%$  (e.g. version IV) (Friese and Ebel, 2010).

### 4.3 pH calculations

The pH is defined as the negative decimal logarithm of the hydrogen ion activity ( $a_{\text{H}^+} = \gamma x_{\text{H}^+}$ ) in a solution:

$$\text{pH} = -\log_{10}(\gamma x_{\text{H}^+}) \quad (A1)$$

where  $x_{\text{H}^+}$  is the molality of hydrogen ions in the solution and  $\gamma$  is the ion activity coefficient of hydrogen. Assuming that  $\gamma$  is unity, the aerosol pH can be calculated by using the hydrogen ion concentration in the aqueous aerosol phase calculated by ISORROPIA-II (in  $\text{mole m}^{-3}$ ) and the aerosol water content calculated by GMXe (in  $\text{mole Kg}^{-1}$ ). GMXe assumes that particle modes are internally mixed, and takes into account the contribution of both inorganic and organic (based on the organic hygroscopicity parameter,  $\kappa_{\text{org}} = 0.14$ ) species to aerosol water.

The aerosol pH is calculated online, and output stored every five hours based on instantaneous concentrations of fine aerosol water and hydrogen ions. The average pH values shown in the manuscript are the calculated instantaneous mean pH values. According to the Jensen's inequality (Jensen, 1906), the average of the instantaneous pH values is less than or equal to the pH calculated based on the average of the water and hydrogen ion instantaneous values. We estimate that the average pH calculated based on 5-hourly instantaneous values is approximately 1-3 (~2 globally averaged) units higher than the pH calculated based on the average water and hydrogen ion concentrations. By including online gas-particle partitioning calculations of the  $\text{NH}_3/\text{HNO}_3$  system in polluted air, as applied here, we find that the aerosol pH is higher by approximately one unit (Guo et al., 2015). Hence by neglecting these aspects the aerosol pH would be low-biased by about 3 points.

The pH calculated here is compared against pH estimations from field derived  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  compositional data around the world compiled by Pye et al. (2020) (Table S1). pH data derived from other aerosol sizes (e.g.,  $\text{PM}_1$ ) has been omitted since aerosol acidity can vary significantly with size (Zakoura et al., 2020). It should be emphasized that the comparison presented





in Table S1 aims to corroborate the spatial variability of pH found in this study and not to strictly evaluate the model  
250 calculations. Observationally estimated aerosol pH is derived from a variety of methods that can affect the result  
significantly as discussed above (i.e., the use of E-AIM or ISORROPIA, stable/metastable assumption, forward/reverse  
mode, and the availability of gas phase  $\text{NH}_3/\text{HNO}_3$ , crustal species, and organic aerosol water observations).

#### 4.4 Emissions

The vertically distributed (Pozzer et al., 2009) CMIP5 RCP8.5 emission inventory (van Vuuren et al., 2011) is used for the  
255 anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions during the years 1970-2020. Direct emissions of aerosol components from  
biofuel and open biomass burning are considered by using scaling factors applied on the emitted black carbon based on the  
findings of Akagi, et al. (Akagi et al., 2011) (Table S2). Dust emission fluxes and emissions of crustal species ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  
 $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ) are calculated online as described by Klingmuller, et al. (Klingmuller et al., 2018) and based on the chemical  
composition of the emitted soil particles in every grid cell (Karydis et al., 2016); Table S3.  $\text{NO}_x$  produced by lightning is  
260 calculated online and distributed vertically based on the parameterization of Grewe, et al. (Grewe et al., 2001). The  
emissions of NO from soils are calculated online based on the algorithm of Yienger and Levy (Yienger and Levy, 1995). The  
oceanic DMS emissions are calculated online by the AIRSEA Submodel (Pozzer et al., 2006). The natural emissions of  $\text{NH}_3$   
are based on the GEIA database (Bouwman et al., 1997). Emissions of sea spray aerosols (assuming a composition suggested  
by Seinfeld and Pandis (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006); Table S2) and volcanic degassing emissions of  $\text{SO}_2$  are based on the  
265 offline emission data set of AEROCOM (Dentener et al., 2006).

#### 4.5 Partitioning of nitric acid between the gas and aerosol phases

The impact of pH on the fraction of nitrate in the particle phase relative to total nitrate (gas plus particle), i.e.,  $\varepsilon(\text{NO}_3^-)$ ,  
during the 50 years of simulation in specific regions is calculated as follows (Nah et al., 2018):

$$\varepsilon(\text{NO}_3^-) = \frac{H_{\text{HNO}_3}^* WRT(0.987 \times 10^{-14})}{\gamma_{\text{NO}_3^-} \gamma_{\text{H}^+} 10^{-\text{pH}} + H_{\text{HNO}_3}^* WRT(0.987 \times 10^{-14})} \quad (\text{A2})$$

Where  $H_{\text{HNO}_3}^*$  is the combined molality-based equilibrium constant of  $\text{HNO}_3$  dissolution and deprotonation,  $\gamma$ 's represent the  
270 activity coefficients, W is the aerosol water, R is the gas constant, and T is the ambient temperature.

#### 4.6 Sulfate formation in aqueous aerosols

The sulfate production rate on aqueous aerosols from the heterogeneous oxidation of S(IV) with the dissolved  $\text{O}_3$  is given by

$$R_0 = k [\text{O}_3] \quad (\text{A3})$$

. The first-order uptake rate,  $k$ , from monodisperse aerosols with radius  $r_a$  and total aerosol surface  $A$ , is calculated following  
275 Jacob (Jacob, 2000):



$$k = \left( \frac{r_\alpha}{D_g} + \frac{4}{v\gamma} \right)^{-1} A \quad (A4)$$

where  $v$  is the mean molecular speed of  $O_3$  and  $D_g$  is its gas-phase molecular diffusion coefficient calculated as follows:

$$D_g = \frac{9.45 \times 10^{17} \times \sqrt{T \left( 3.47 \times 10^{-2} + \frac{1}{M} \right)}}{\rho_{air}} \quad (A5)$$

where  $T$  is the ambient air temperature,  $\rho_{air}$  is the air density, and  $M$  the molar mass of  $O_3$ .  $\gamma$  is the reaction probability calculated following Jacob (Jacob, 2000) and Shao et al. (Shao et al., 2019).

$$\gamma = \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{v}{4HRT\sqrt{D_a K} f_r} \right) \quad (A6)$$

280 where  $\alpha$  is the mass accommodation coefficient,  $D_a$  is the aqueous-phase molecular diffusion coefficient of  $O_3$ ,  $H$  is the effective Henry's law constant of  $O_3$  (Sander, 2015),  $R$  is the ideal gas constant,  $f_r$  is the reacto-diffusive correction term (Shao et al., 2019), and  $K$  is the pseudo-first order reaction rate constant between S(IV) and  $O_3$  in the aqueous phase (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006).

## 285 5. References

- Abdelkader, M., Metzger, S., Mamouri, R. E., Astitha, M., Barrie, L., Levin, Z., and Lelieveld, J.: Dust-air pollution dynamics over the eastern Mediterranean, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 9173-9189, 10.5194/acp-15-9173-2015, 2015.
- 290 Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crouse, J. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11, 4039-4072, 10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011, 2011.
- Andreae, M. O., Talbot, R. W., Andreae, T. W., and Harriss, R. C.: Formic and acetic acid over the central Amazon region, Brazil. 1. dry season, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 93, 1616-1624, 10.1029/JD093iD02p01616, 1988.
- 295 Bacer, S., Sullivan, S. C., Karydis, V. A., Barahona, D., Kramer, M., Nenes, A., Tost, H., Tsimpidi, A. P., Lelieveld, J., and Pozzer, A.: Implementation of a comprehensive ice crystal formation parameterization for cirrus and mixed-phase clouds in the EMAC model (based on MESSy 2.53), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11, 4021-4041, 10.5194/gmd-11-4021-2018, 2018.
- Behera, S. N., Betha, R., Liu, P., and Balasubramanian, R.: A study of diurnal variations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> acidity and related chemical species using a new thermodynamic equilibrium model, *Science of The Total Environment*, 452-453, 286-295, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.062>, 2013.
- 300 Bouwman, A. F., Lee, D. S., Asman, W. A. H., Dentener, F. J., VanderHoek, K. W., and Olivier, J. G. J.: A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 11, 561-587, 10.1029/97gb02266, 1997.
- Cheng, Y. F., Zheng, G. J., Wei, C., Mu, Q., Zheng, B., Wang, Z. B., Gao, M., Zhang, Q., He, K. B., Carmichael, G., Poschl, U., and Su, H.: Reactive nitrogen chemistry in aerosol water as a source of sulfate during haze events in China, *Science Advances*, 2, 10.1126/sciadv.1601530, 2016.
- 305 Clegg, S. L., Brimblecombe, P., and Wexler, A. S.: Thermodynamic model of the system H<sup>+</sup>-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-Na<sup>+</sup>-SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>-NB<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Cl<sup>-</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O at 298.15 K, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 102, 2155-2171, 10.1021/jp973043j, 1998.



- 310 Dall'Osto, M., Airs, R. L., Beale, R., Cree, C., Fitzsimons, M. F., Beddows, D., Harrison, R. M., Ceburnis, D., O'Dowd, C.,  
Rinaldi, M., Paglione, M., Nenes, A., Decesari, S., and Simó, R.: Simultaneous Detection of Alkylamines in the Surface  
Ocean and Atmosphere of the Antarctic Sympagic Environment, *ACS Earth and Space Chemistry*, 3, 854-862,  
10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00028, 2019.
- 315 Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A.,  
Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J. P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary  
aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 4321-  
4344, 2006.
- Falkovich, A. H., Graber, E. R., Schkolnik, G., Rudich, Y., Maenhaut, W., and Artaxo, P.: Low molecular weight organic  
acids in aerosol particles from Rondonia, Brazil, during the biomass-burning, transition and wet periods, *Atmospheric  
Chemistry and Physics*, 5, 781-797, 10.5194/acp-5-781-2005, 2005.
- 320 Fang, T., Guo, H. Y., Zeng, L. H., Verma, V., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Highly Acidic Ambient Particles, Soluble  
Metals, and Oxidative Potential: A Link between Sulfate and Aerosol Toxicity, *Environmental Science & Technology*,  
51, 2611-2620, 10.1021/acs.est.6b06151, 2017.
- Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for  $K^+$ - $Ca^{2+}$ -  
 $Mg^{2+}$ - $NH_4^+$ - $Na^+$ - $SO_4^{2-}$ - $NO_3^-$ - $Cl^-$ - $H_2O$  aerosols, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 4639-4659, 2007.
- 325 Friese, E., and Ebel, A.: Temperature Dependent Thermodynamic Model of the System  
 $H^+$ - $NH_4^+$ - $Na^+$ - $SO_4^{2-}$ - $NO_3^-$ - $Cl^-$ - $H_2O$ , *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 114, 11595-11631,  
10.1021/jp101041j, 2010.
- Grewe, V., Brunner, D., Dameris, M., Grenfell, J. L., Hein, R., Shindell, D., and Staehelin, J.: Origin and variability of upper  
tropospheric nitrogen oxides and ozone at northern mid-latitudes, *Atmospheric Environment*, 35, 3421-3433,  
10.1016/s1352-2310(01)00134-0, 2001.
- 330 Guo, H., Xu, L., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K. M., Capps, S. L., Hite, J. R., Carlton, A. G., Lee, S. H., Bergin, M. H., Ng, N.  
L., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Fine-particle water and pH in the southeastern United States, *Atmospheric Chemistry  
and Physics*, 15, 5211-5228, 10.5194/acp-15-5211-2015, 2015.
- 335 Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Campuzano-Jost, P., Schroder, J. C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Dibb, J. E., Jimenez, J. L., Thornton, J.  
A., Brown, S. S., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Fine particle pH and the partitioning of nitric acid during winter in the  
northeastern United States, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 121, 10355-10376, 10.1002/2016jd025311,  
2016.
- Guo, H., Otjes, R., Schlag, P., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Effectiveness of ammonia reduction on  
control of fine particle nitrate, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18, 12241-12256, 10.5194/acp-18-12241-2018,  
2018.
- 340 Guo, H. Y., Liu, J. M., Froyd, K. D., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P. R., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.:  
Fine particle pH and gas-particle phase partitioning of inorganic species in Pasadena, California, during the 2010 CalNex  
campaign, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 5703-5719, 10.5194/acp-17-5703-2017, 2017.
- Hennigan, C. J., Izumi, J., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., and Nenes, A.: A critical evaluation of proxy methods used to  
estimate the acidity of atmospheric particles, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 2775-2790, 10.5194/acp-15-2775-  
345 2015, 2015.
- Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, *Atmospheric Environment*, 34, 2131-2159, 10.1016/s1352-  
2310(99)00462-8, 2000.
- Jensen, J.: On the convex functions and inequalities between mean values, *Acta Mathematica*, 30, 175-193,  
10.1007/bf02418571, 1906.
- 350 Jia, S., Wang, X., Zhang, Q., Sarkar, S., Wu, L., Huang, M., Zhang, J., and Yang, L.: Technical note: Comparison and  
interconversion of pH based on different standard states for aerosol acidity characterization, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18,  
11125-11133, 10.5194/acp-18-11125-2018, 2018.
- Jickells, T. D., An, Z. S., Andersen, K. K., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao, J. J., Boyd, P. W., Duce, R. A.,  
Hunter, K. A., Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N., laRoche, J., Liss, P. S., Mahowald, N., Prospero, J. M., Ridgwell, A. J., Tegen,  
355 I., and Torres, R.: Global iron connections between desert dust, ocean biogeochemistry, and climate, *Science*, 308, 67-71,  
10.1126/science.1105959, 2005.



- Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Bruehl, C., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Hoor, P., Kerkweg, A., Lawrence, M. G., Sander, R., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Tanarhte, M., Taraborrelli, D., Van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: The atmospheric chemistry general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy1: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 5067-5104, 2006.
- Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., Riede, H., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., and Kern, B.: Development cycle 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3, 717-752, 2010.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pozzer, A., Astitha, M., and Lelieveld, J.: Effects of mineral dust on global atmospheric nitrate concentrations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 1491-1509, 10.5194/acp-16-1491-2016, 2016.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Bacer, S., Pozzer, A., Nenes, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Global impact of mineral dust on cloud droplet number concentration, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 5601-5621, 10.5194/acp-17-5601-2017, 2017.
- Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical Note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDimentation in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 4617-4632, 2006.
- Klingmüller, K., Metzger, S., Abdelkader, M., Karydis, V. A., Stenchikov, G. L., Pozzer, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Revised mineral dust emissions in the atmospheric chemistry-climate model EMAC (MESSy 2.52 DU\_Astitha1 KKDU2017 patch), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11, 989-1008, 10.5194/gmd-11-989-2018, 2018.
- Klingmüller, K., Lelieveld, J., Karydis, V. A., and Stenchikov, G. L.: Direct radiative effect of dust-pollution interactions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 7397-7408, 10.5194/acp-19-7397-2019, 2019.
- Klingmüller, K., Karydis, V. A., Bacer, S., Stenchikov, G. L., and Lelieveld, J.: Weaker cooling by aerosols due to dust-pollution interactions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2020, 1-19, 10.5194/acp-2020-531, 2020.
- Lawal, A. S., Guan, X. B., Liu, C., Henneman, L. R. F., Vasilakos, P., Bhogineni, V., Weber, R. J., Nenes, A., and Russell, A. G.: Linked Response of Aerosol Acidity and Ammonia to SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions Reductions in the United States, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 52, 9861-9873, 10.1021/acs.est.8b00711, 2018.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, *Nature*, 525, 367-371, 10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
- Leygraf, C., Wallinder, I. O., Tidblad, J., and Graedel, T.: *Atmospheric Corrosion*, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- Li, C., McLinden, C., Fioletov, V., Krotkov, N., Carn, S., Joiner, J., Streets, D., He, H., Ren, X., Li, Z., and Dickerson, R. R.: India Is Overtaking China as the World's Largest Emitter of Anthropogenic Sulfur Dioxide, *Scientific Reports*, 7, 14304, 10.1038/s41598-017-14639-8, 2017.
- Lohmann, U., and Ferrachat, S.: Impact of parametric uncertainties on the present-day climate and on the anthropogenic aerosol effect, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11373-11383, 10.5194/acp-10-11373-2010, 2010.
- Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu, W., Krechmer, J., Zhu, L., Kim, P. S., Miller, C. C., Fisher, J. A., Travis, K., Yu, K., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., Arkinson, H. L., Pye, H. O. T., Froyd, K. D., Liao, J., and McNeill, V. F.: Aqueous-phase mechanism for secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene: application to the southeast United States and co-benefit of SO<sub>2</sub> emission controls, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16, 1603-1618, 10.5194/acp-16-1603-2016, 2016.
- Masiol, M., Squizzato, S., Formenton, G., Khan, M. B., Hopke, P. K., Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Tositti, L., Benetello, F., Visin, F., and Pavoni, B.: Hybrid multiple-site mass closure and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and aerosol acidity at major cities in the Po Valley, *Science of The Total Environment*, 704, 135287, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135287>, 2020.
- McCormick, M. P., Thomason, L. W., and Trepte, C. R.: ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS OF THE MT-PINATUBO ERUPTION, *Nature*, 373, 399-404, 10.1038/373399a0, 1995.
- Metzger, S., Mihalopoulos, N., and Lelieveld, J.: Importance of mineral cations and organics in gas-aerosol partitioning of reactive nitrogen compounds: case study based on MINOS results, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6, 2549-2567, 10.5194/acp-6-2549-2006, 2006.
- Nah, T., Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Chen, Y., Tanner, D. J., Nenes, A., Russell, A., Ng, N. L., Huey, L. G., and Weber, R. J.: Characterization of aerosol composition, aerosol acidity, and organic acid partitioning at an agriculturally intensive rural southeastern US site, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 11471-11491, 10.5194/acp-18-11471-2018, 2018.



- Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Weber, R. J., and Russell, A.: Aerosol pH and liquid water content determine when particulate matter is sensitive to ammonia and nitrate availability, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20, 3249-3258, 10.5194/acp-20-3249-2020, 2020.
- 410 Oakes, M., Ingall, E. D., Lai, B., Shafer, M. M., Hays, M. D., Liu, Z. G., Russell, A. G., and Weber, R. J.: Iron Solubility Related to Particle Sulfur Content in Source Emission and Ambient Fine Particles, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46, 6637-6644, 10.1021/es300701c, 2012.
- Park, M., Joo, H. S., Lee, K., Jang, M., Kim, S. D., Kim, I., Borlaza, L. J. S., Lim, H., Shin, H., Chung, K. H., Choi, Y.-H., Park, S. G., Bae, M.-S., Lee, J., Song, H., and Park, K.: Differential toxicities of fine particulate matters from various sources, *Scientific Reports*, 8, 17007, 10.1038/s41598-018-35398-0, 2018.
- 415 Pathak, R. K., Yao, X. H., and Chan, C. K.: Sampling artifacts of acidity and ionic species in PM<sub>2.5</sub>, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 38, 254-259, 10.1021/es0342244, 2004.
- Pathak, R. K., Wu, W. S., and Wang, T.: Summertime PM<sub>2.5</sub> ionic species in four major cities of China: nitrate formation in an ammonia-deficient atmosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 1711-1722, 10.5194/acp-9-1711-2009, 2009.
- 420 Petters, M. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 1961-1971, 2007.
- Pozzer, A., Joeckel, P. J., Sander, R., Williams, J., Ganzeveld, L., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: the MESSy-submodel AIRSEA calculating the air-sea exchange of chemical species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 5435-5444, 2006.
- Pozzer, A., Joeckel, P., and Van Aardenne, J.: The influence of the vertical distribution of emissions on tropospheric chemistry, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 9417-9432, 2009.
- 425 Pozzer, A., de Meij, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Doering, U. M., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Distributions and regional budgets of aerosols and their precursors simulated with the EMAC chemistry-climate model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 961-987, 2012.
- Pozzer, A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., de Meij, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of agricultural emission reductions on fine-particulate matter and public health, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 12813-12826, 10.5194/acp-17-12813-2017, 2017.
- 430 Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Message, S., Steil, B., Giannadaki, D., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Stier, P., Vignati, E., and Lelieveld, J.: Description and evaluation of GMXe: a new aerosol submodel for global simulations (v1), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3, 391-412, 2010.
- 435 Pye, H. O. T., Nenes, A., Alexander, B., Ault, A. P., Barth, M. C., Clegg, S. L., Collett, J. L., Fahey, K. M., Hennigan, C. J., Herrmann, H., Kanakidou, M., Kelly, J. T., Ku, I. T., McNeill, V. F., Riemer, N., Schaefer, T., Shi, G. L., Tilgner, A., Walker, J. T., Wang, T., Weber, R., Xing, J., Zaveri, R. A., and Zuend, A.: The acidity of atmospheric particles and clouds, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20, 4809-4888, 10.5194/acp-20-4809-2020, 2020.
- Raizenne, M., Neas, L. M., Damokosh, A. I., Dockery, D. W., Spengler, J. D., Koutrakis, P., Ware, J. H., and Speizer, F. E.: Health effects of acid aerosols on North American children: Pulmonary function, *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 104, 506-514, 10.2307/3432991, 1996.
- 440 Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornbluh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, *Journal of Climate*, 19, 3771-3791, 10.1175/jcli3824.1, 2006.
- 445 Saiz-Lopez, A., and von Glasow, R.: Reactive halogen chemistry in the troposphere, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41, 6448-6472, 10.1039/c2cs35208g, 2012.
- Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 4399-4981, 10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.
- Sander, R., Baumgaertner, A., Cabrera-Perez, D., Frank, F., Gromov, S., Grooss, J. U., Harder, H., Huijnen, V., Jockel, P., Karydis, V. A., Niemeyer, K. E., Pozzer, A., Hella, R. B., Schultz, M. G., Taraborrelli, D., and Tauer, S.: The community atmospheric chemistry box model CAABA/MECCA-4.0, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12, 1365-1385, 10.5194/gmd-12-1365-2019, 2019.
- 450 Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*, Second ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2006.
- 455 Shao, J., Chen, Q., Wang, Y., Lu, X., He, P., Sun, Y., Shah, V., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Song, S., Zhao, Y., Xie, Z., Zhang, L., and Alexander, B.: Heterogeneous sulfate aerosol formation mechanisms during wintertime Chinese haze events: air





- quality model assessment using observations of sulfate oxygen isotopes in Beijing, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 6107-6123, 10.5194/acp-19-6107-2019, 2019.
- 460 Shi, G., Xu, J., Peng, X., Xiao, Z., Chen, K., Tian, Y., Guan, X., Feng, Y., Yu, H., Nenes, A., and Russell, A. G.: pH of Aerosols in a Polluted Atmosphere: Source Contributions to Highly Acidic Aerosol, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51, 4289-4296, 10.1021/acs.est.6b05736, 2017.
- Song, S., Gao, M., Xu, W., Shao, J., Shi, G., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Sun, Y., and McElroy, M. B.: Fine-particle pH for Beijing winter haze as inferred from different thermodynamic equilibrium models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 7423-7438, 10.5194/acp-18-7423-2018, 2018.
- 465 Squizzato, S., Masiol, M., Brunelli, A., Pistollato, S., Tarabotti, E., Rampazzo, G., and Pavoni, B.: Factors determining the formation of secondary inorganic aerosol: a case study in the Po Valley (Italy), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1927-1939, 10.5194/acp-13-1927-2013, 2013.
- Sullivan, R. C., Moore, M. J. K., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Roberts, G. C., and Prather, K. A.: Effect of chemical mixing state on the hygroscopicity and cloud nucleation properties of calcium mineral dust particles, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 3303-3316, 2009.
- 470 Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Eddingsaas, N. C., Chan, M. N., Loza, C. L., Kwan, A. J., Hersey, S. P., Flagan, R. C., Wennberg, P. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Reactive intermediates revealed in secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 6640-6645, 10.1073/pnas.0911114107, 2010.
- 475 Tan, T., Hu, M., Li, M., Guo, Q., Wu, Y., Fang, X., Gu, F., Wang, Y., and Wu, Z.: New insight into PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution patterns in Beijing based on one-year measurement of chemical compositions, *Science of The Total Environment*, 621, 734-743, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.208>, 2018.
- Tost, H., Jockel, P. J., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 565-574, 2006.
- 480 Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pozzer, A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: ORACLE (v1.0): module to simulate the organic aerosol composition and evolution in the atmosphere, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7, 3153-3172, 10.5194/gmd-7-3153-2014, 2014.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: Global combustion sources of organic aerosols: model comparison with 84 AMS factor-analysis data sets, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 8939-8962, 10.5194/acp-16-8939-2016, 2016.
- 485 Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pozzer, A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: ORACLE 2-D (v2.0): an efficient module to compute the volatility and oxygen content of organic aerosol with a global chemistry-climate model, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11, 3369-3389, 10.5194/gmd-11-3369-2018, 2018.
- van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J. F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S. J., and Rose, S. K.: The representative concentration pathways: an overview, *Climatic Change*, 109, 5-31, 10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z, 2011.
- 490 Vieira-Filho, M., Pedrotti, J. J., and Fornaro, A.: Water-soluble ions species of size-resolved aerosols: Implications for the atmospheric acidity in São Paulo megacity, Brazil, *Atmospheric Research*, 181, 281-287, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.07.006>, 2016.
- Vignati, E., Wilson, J., and Stier, P.: M7: An efficient size-resolved aerosol microphysics module for large-scale aerosol transport models, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, doi: 10.1029/2003jd004485, 2004.
- 495 Wang, H., Ding, J., Xu, J., Wen, J., Han, J., Wang, K., Shi, G., Feng, Y., Ivey, C. E., Wang, Y., Nenes, A., Zhao, Q., and Russell, A. G.: Aerosols in an arid environment: The role of aerosol water content, particulate acidity, precursors, and relative humidity on secondary inorganic aerosols, *Science of The Total Environment*, 646, 564-572, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.321>, 2019a.
- 500 Wang, Y., Li, W., Gao, W., Liu, Z., Tian, S., Shen, R., Ji, D., Wang, S., Wang, L., Tang, G., Song, T., Cheng, M., Wang, G., Gong, Z., Hao, J., and Zhang, Y.: Trends in particulate matter and its chemical compositions in China from 2013–2017, *Science China Earth Sciences*, 62, 1857-1871, 10.1007/s11430-018-9373-1, 2019b.
- Weber, R. J., Guo, H. Y., Russell, A. G., and Nenes, A.: High aerosol acidity despite declining atmospheric sulfate concentrations over the past 15 years, *Nature Geoscience*, 9, 282-285, 10.1038/ngeo2665, 2016.




- 505 Xu, L., Guo, H. Y., Boyd, C. M., Klein, M., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K. M., Hite, J. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Kreisberg, N. M., Knote, C., Olson, K., Koss, A., Goldstein, A. H., Hering, S. V., de Gouw, J., Baumann, K., Lee, S. H., Nenes, A., Weber, R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions on aerosol formation from isoprene and monoterpenes in the southeastern United States, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112, 37-42, 10.1073/pnas.1417609112, 2015.
- 510 Xue, J., Lau, A. K. H., and Yu, J. Z.: A study of acidity on PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Hong Kong using online ionic chemical composition measurements, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 7081-7088, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.09.040>, 2011.
- Yao, X., Ling, T. Y., Fang, M., and Chan, C. K.: Size dependence of in situ pH in submicron atmospheric particles in Hong Kong, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 382-393, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.07.037>, 2007.
- 515 Yienger, J. J., and Levy, H.: Empirical-model of global soil-biogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 100, 11447-11464, 10.1029/95jd00370, 1995.
- Zakoura, M., Kakavas, S., Nenes, A., and Pandis, S. N.: Size-resolved aerosol pH over Europe during summer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2020, 1-24, 10.5194/acp-2019-1146, 2020.
- Zheng, G., Su, H., Wang, S., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Cheng, Y.: Multiphase buffer theory explains contrasts in atmospheric aerosol acidity, *Science*, 369, 1374-1377, 10.1126/science.aba3719, 2020.

520









**Author contributions:** V.A.K. and J.L. planned the research, V.A.K., A.P.T. and A.P. performed the model calculations, V.A.K., A.P., and J.L. analyzed the results, V.A.K. and J.L. wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the manuscript.;


**Competing interests:** Authors declare no competing interests. **Code/Data availability:** Data and related material can be  
525 obtained from V.A.K. ([v.karydis@fz-juelich.de](mailto:v.karydis@fz-juelich.de)) upon request.






**Figure 1:** Mean, near-surface fine aerosol pH during the period 2010-2015 (central panel). Surrounding panels show the temporal pH evolution at locations defined in Table 1. Black lines represent the reference simulation. Red and blue lines show the sensitivity simulations in which crustal particle and NH<sub>3</sub> emissions are removed, respectively. Ranges represent the 1 $\sigma$  standard deviation. The anomaly in 1991/2 is related to the Mt Pinatubo eruption.







**Figure 2:** Average seasonal cycle of modelled pH during the period 2010-2015 at locations defined in Table 1. Ranges represent the  $1\sigma$  standard deviation.



**Figure 3: Time evolution of particle phase fraction of total nitrate as a function of pH over Europe (left), the Eastern USA (right) and East Asia (bottom) during the period 1970-2020.**



**Figure 4:** Time evolution of annual average aerosol hygroscopicity ( $\kappa$ ) as a function of pH over Europe (left), the Eastern USA (right) and East Asia (bottom) during the period 1970-2020 at the lowest cloud-forming level (940 hPa).



**Figure 5: Time evolution of the sulfate production rate on aqueous aerosols from the  $\text{SO}_2+\text{O}_3$  multiphase chemistry reaction as a function of aerosol pH over East Asia (left) and South Asia (right) during the period 1970-2020.**



**Table 1: Decadal averages of aerosol pH.**

Region	Longitude	Latitude	1971-1980	1981-1990	1991-2000	2001-2010	2011-2020
Western USA <sup>1</sup>	90°-70°W	30°-46°N	4.6	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.1
Eastern USA <sup>1</sup>	124°-114°W	30°-52°N	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.9	3.3
Central America <sup>1</sup>	106°-52°W	4°-28°N	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.9
Europe <sup>1</sup>	12°W-36°E	34°-62°N	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.9
East Asia <sup>1</sup>	100°-114°E	20°-44°N	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.7	4.5
South Asia <sup>1</sup>	68°-94°E	8°-32°N	5.6	5.5	5.3	5.0	4.9
South America <sup>1</sup>	75°-35°W	30°-0°S	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1
Central Africa <sup>1</sup>	10°-40°E	10°S-10°N	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9
Southeast Asia <sup>1</sup>	94°-130°E	12°S-20°N	4.5	4.3	4.1	3.9	3.8
Middle East <sup>1</sup>	36°-60°E	12°-34°N	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8
Arctic	0°-360°	60°-90°N	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.8	5.2
North extratropics <sup>2</sup>	0°-360°	20°-60°N	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.9
Tropical oceans <sup>2</sup>	0°-360°	20°S-20°N	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5
South extratropics <sup>2</sup>	0°-360°	60°-20°S	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8
Antarctic	0°-360°	90°-60°S	5.9	5.9	5.6	5.8	5.8

<sup>1</sup>Only values over land are considered for the calculation of pH

<sup>2</sup>Only values over oceans are considered for the calculation of pH