

# How to Hash into Elliptic Curves

Thomas Icart

`thomas.icart@m4x.org`



18/08/2009

# Introduction

- Hashing into elliptic curves is needed:
  - 1 In the IBE scheme of Boneh-Franklin (2001).
  - 2 In some Password Based protocols over elliptic curves.

# Introduction

- Hashing into elliptic curves is needed:
  - 1 In the IBE scheme of Boneh-Franklin (2001).
  - 2 In some Password Based protocols over elliptic curves.
- Boneh-Franklin uses a particular super-singular curve on which hashing is easy

# Introduction

- Hashing into elliptic curves is needed:
  - 1 In the IBE scheme of Boneh-Franklin (2001).
  - 2 In some Password Based protocols over elliptic curves.
- Boneh-Franklin uses a particular super-singular curve on which hashing is easy
- Efficient password based protocols such as the Simple Password Exponential Key Exchange (SPEKE) [Jab 1996] need hash function into ordinary curves.

# Introduction

## Definition (Notations)

An elliptic curve  $E_{a,b}$  is the set of points verifying the equation:

$$X^3 + aX + b = Y^2$$

over a field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The number of points in  $E_{a,b}$  is  $N$ .

## 1 Related Works

- Try and Increment
- Supersingular
- SW
- Wanted

## 2 Proposal

- Definition
- Idea
- Properties

## 3 Hashing

- Preimage
- Collision

# Hashing into Finite Fields

- Hashing into finite field in deterministic polynomial time is easy.

# Hashing into Finite Fields

- Hashing into finite field in deterministic polynomial time is easy.

## Lemma

- Let  $p$  be a safe prime ( $p = 2q + 1$ ).
- Let  $H$  be a  $|p|$ -bit **one-way** hash function



# Hashing into Finite Fields

- Hashing into finite field in deterministic polynomial time is easy.

## Lemma

- Let  $p$  be a safe prime ( $p = 2q + 1$ ).
- Let  $H$  be a  $|p|$ -bit **one-way** hash function
- Then  $H(m)^2 \bmod p$  is a **one-way** hash function into the prime order subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

# Hashing into Elliptic Curves

- Hashing into elliptic curves in deterministic polynomial time is much harder.

# Hashing into Elliptic Curves

- Hashing into elliptic curves in deterministic polynomial time is much harder.
- It requires a deterministic function from the base field to  $E_{a,b}$
- The classical point generation algorithm is not deterministic.

## 1 Related Works

- Try and Increment
- Supersingular
- SW
- Wanted

## 2 Proposal

- Definition
- Idea
- Properties

## 3 Hashing

- Preimage
- Collision

# Try and Increment Algorithm

Input:  $u$  an integer.

Output:  $Q$ , a point of  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

- ① For  $i = 0$  to  $k - 1$ 
  - ① Set  $x = u + i$
  - ② If  $x^3 + ax + b$  is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then return  $Q = (x, (x^3 + ax + b)^{1/2})$
- ② end For
- ③ Return  $\perp$

# Try and Increment Algorithm

Input:  $u$  an integer.

Output:  $Q$ , a point of  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

- ① For  $i = 0$  to  $k - 1$ 
  - ① Set  $x = u + i$
  - ② If  $x^3 + ax + b$  is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then return  $Q = (x, (x^3 + ax + b)^{1/2})$
- ② end For
- ③ Return  $\perp$

The running time depends on  $u$ . This leads to partition attacks [BMN 2001].

# Partition Attacks

- When  $u$  is related to the password  $\pi$ , different passwords lead to different running times  $T$ .

# Partition Attacks

- When  $u$  is related to the password  $\pi$ , different passwords lead to different running times  $T$ .
- Example:  $u = H(\pi, PK_C, PK_R)$  in SPEKE.



# Partition Attacks

- When  $u$  is related to the password  $\pi$ , different passwords lead to different running times  $T$ .
- Example:  $u = H(\pi, PK_C, PK_R)$  in SPEKE.
- A partition of the password dictionary is possible following the different  $T$ .

# Possible solutions

Making the Try and Increment algorithm constant time:

# Possible solutions

Making the Try and Increment algorithm constant time:

Input:  $u$  an integer.

Output:  $Q$ , a point of  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

- ① For  $i = 0$  to  $k - 1$ 
  - ① Set  $x = u + i$
  - ② If  $x^3 + ax + b$  is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then **store**  
 $Q = (x, (x^3 + ax + b)^{1/2})$
- ② end For
- ③ **Return**  $Q$

# Possible solutions

Making the Try and Increment algorithm constant time:

Input:  $u$  an integer.

Output:  $Q$ , a point of  $E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

- ① For  $i = 0$  to  $k - 1$ 
  - ① Set  $x = u + i$
  - ② If  $x^3 + ax + b$  is a quadratic residue in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then **store**  
 $Q = (x, (x^3 + ax + b)^{1/2})$
- ② end For
- ③ **Return**  $Q$

The running time is  $\mathcal{O}(\log^3 p)$  in general. When using exponentiation for testing quadratic residuosity, running time in  $\mathcal{O}(\log^4 p)$ .

# Supersingular Elliptic Curve

## Definition

A curve  $E_{0,b}$ :

$$X^3 + b = Y^2 \pmod{p}$$

with  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  has  $p + 1$  points and is supersingular.

# Supersingular Elliptic Curve

## Definition

A curve  $E_{0,b}$ :

$$X^3 + b = Y^2 \pmod{p}$$

with  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  has  $p + 1$  points and is supersingular.

- The function  $u \mapsto ((u^2 - b)^{1/3} \pmod{p-1}, u)$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to  $E_{0,b}$ .

# Supersingular Elliptic Curve

## Definition

A curve  $E_{0,b}$ :

$$X^3 + b = Y^2 \pmod{p}$$

with  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  has  $p + 1$  points and is supersingular.

- The function  $u \mapsto ((u^2 - b)^{1/3} \pmod{p-1}, u)$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{F}_p$  to  $E_{0,b}$ .
- Because of the MOV attacks, larger  $p$  should be used (512 bits instead of 160 bits).

# Possible solutions

Previous work:

- Shallue-Woestijne's deterministic algorithm for generating EC points.
- Our algorithm is different, simpler and is an explicit function.

Andrew Shallue and Christiaan van de Woestijne: *Construction of Rational Points on Elliptic Curves over Finite Fields*. ANTS 2006



# What do we want?

A function  $f$  with the following properties:

- It only requires the elliptic curves parameters,

# What do we want?

A function  $f$  with the following properties:

- It only requires the elliptic curves parameters,
- $f$  requires a **constant** number of finite field operations (exponentiations, multiplications, additions)

# What do we want?

A function  $f$  with the following properties:

- It only requires the elliptic curves parameters,
- $f$  requires a **constant** number of finite field operations (exponentiations, multiplications, additions)
- $f^{-1}$  can be computed in polynomial time. This ensures that computing the **discrete logarithm of  $f(x)$  is hard for any  $x$** .

# What do we want?

A function  $f$  with the following properties:

- It only requires the elliptic curves parameters,
- $f$  requires a **constant** number of finite field operations (exponentiations, multiplications, additions)
- $f^{-1}$  can be computed in polynomial time. This ensures that computing the **discrete logarithm of  $f(x)$  is hard for any  $x$** .

- 1 Related Works
  - Try and Increment
  - Supersingular
  - SW
  - Wanted

- 2 **Proposal**
  - Definition
  - Idea
  - Properties

- 3 Hashing
  - Preimage
  - Collision

# The New Function

## Fact

- *Over fields such that  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , the map  $x \mapsto x^3$  is a bijection.*
- *In particular:  $x^{1/3} = x^{(2p-1)/3}$ .*
- *This operation can be computed in a constant number of operations for a constant  $p$ .*

# The New Function

## Definition

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b} : \mathbb{F}_p &\mapsto (\mathbb{F}_p)^2 \cup \{\mathcal{O}\} \\ u &\mapsto (x, y = ux + v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \left( v^2 - b - \frac{u^6}{27} \right)^{1/3} + \frac{u^2}{3} \\ y &= ux + v \\ v &= \frac{3a - u^4}{6u} \end{aligned}$$

# The idea

## Fact

*When  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , degree 3 polynomials  $(x - \alpha)^3 - \beta$  have a unique root:  $\beta^{1/3} + \alpha$*



# The idea

## Fact

*When  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , degree 3 polynomials  $(x - \alpha)^3 - \beta$  have a unique root:  $\beta^{1/3} + \alpha$*

- Idea: Assume that  $y = ux + v$ , find  $v(u)$  such that:

$$x^3 + ax + b - (ux + v(u))^2 = (x - \alpha(u))^3 - \beta(u)$$

# The idea

From the elliptic curve equation and  $y = ux + v$ :

$$x^3 + ax + b = u^2x^2 + 2uvx + v^2 = (ux + v)^2$$

# The idea

From the elliptic curve equation and  $y = ux + v$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x^3 + ax + b &= u^2x^2 + 2uvx + v^2 &= (ux + v)^2 \\x^3 - u^2x^2 + (a - 2uv)x + b - v^2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

# The idea

From the elliptic curve equation and  $y = ux + v$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x^3 + ax + b &= u^2x^2 + 2uvx + v^2 = (ux + v)^2 \\x^3 - u^2x^2 + (a - 2uv)x + b - v^2 &= 0 \\ \left(x - \frac{u^2}{3}\right)^3 + x \left(a - 2uv - \frac{u^4}{3}\right) &= v^2 - b - \frac{u^6}{27}\end{aligned}$$

# The idea

$$\left(x - \frac{u^2}{3}\right)^3 + x \left(a - 2uv - \frac{u^4}{3}\right) = v^2 - b - \frac{u^6}{27}$$

Let

$$v = \frac{3a - u^4}{6u}$$

# The idea

$$\left(x - \frac{u^2}{3}\right)^3 + x \left(a - 2uv - \frac{u^4}{3}\right) = v^2 - b - \frac{u^6}{27}$$

Let

$$v = \frac{3a - u^4}{6u}$$

This implies:

$$\left(x - \frac{u^2}{3}\right)^3 = v^2 - b - \frac{u^6}{27}$$

Therefore, we can recover  $x$  and  $y = ux + v$

# Properties

Let  $P = (x, y)$  be a point on the curve  $E_{a,b}$ .

## Lemma

*The solutions  $u_s$  of  $f_{a,b}(u_s) = P$  are the solutions of the equation:*

$$u^4 - 6u^2x + 6uy - 3a = 0.$$

# Properties

Let  $P = (x, y)$  be a point on the curve  $E_{a,b}$ .

## Lemma

*The solutions  $u_s$  of  $f_{a,b}(u_s) = P$  are the solutions of the equation:*

$$u^4 - 6u^2x + 6uy - 3a = 0.$$

This implies that:

- ①  $f_{a,b}^{-1}(P)$  is computable in polynomial time,
- ②  $|f_{a,b}^{-1}(P)| \leq 4$ , for all  $P \in E_{a,b}$
- ③  $|\text{Im}(f_{a,b})| > p/4$



# Properties

- $|\text{Im}(f_{a,b})| > p/4$

## Conjecture

*There exists a constant  $\lambda$  such that for any  $p, a, b$*

$$\left| |\text{Im}(f_{a,b})| - \frac{5}{8} |E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)| \right| \leq \lambda \sqrt{p}$$

# Properties

- $|\operatorname{Im}(f_{a,b})| > p/4$

## Conjecture

*There exists a constant  $\lambda$  such that for any  $p, a, b$*

$$\left| |\operatorname{Im}(f_{a,b})| - \frac{5}{8} |E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)| \right| \leq \lambda \sqrt{p}$$

This enables to prove that  $(u_1, u_2) \mapsto f_{a,b}(u_1) + f_{a,b}(u_2)$  is a surjective function.

- 1 Related Works
  - Try and Increment
  - Supersingular
  - SW
  - Wanted
- 2 Proposal
  - Definition
  - Idea
  - Properties
- 3 Hashing
  - Preimage
  - Collision

# Hashing into Elliptic Curves

We here focus on standard properties for hash functions:

- Resistance against Preimage Attacks
- Resistance against Collision Attacks

# Preimage Resistance

## Lemma

*If  $h$  is a one-way hash function then  $H(m) = f_{a,b}(h(m))$  is a one-way hash function into elliptic curves.*

# Preimage Resistance

## Lemma

*If  $h$  is a one-way hash function then  $H(m) = f_{a,b}(h(m))$  is a one-way hash function into elliptic curves.*

Idea:

- 1  $f_{a,b}$  is invertible
- 2 Its preimage size is at most 4

# Collision Resistance

## Fact

*A collision to  $H(m) = f_{a,b}(h(m))$  is either:*

- ① *A collision to  $h$ :  $m$  and  $m'$  such that  $h(m) = h(m')$*
- ② *A collision to  $f_{a,b}$ :  $m$  and  $m'$  such that  $h(m) \neq h(m')$  and  $f_{a,b}(h(m)) = f_{a,b}(h(m'))$*

# Collision Resistance

## Fact

*A collision to  $H(m) = f_{a,b}(h(m))$  is either:*

- ① *A collision to  $h$ :  $m$  and  $m'$  such that  $h(m) = h(m')$*
- ② *A collision to  $f_{a,b}$ :  $m$  and  $m'$  such that  $h(m) \neq h(m')$  and  $f_{a,b}(h(m)) = f_{a,b}(h(m'))$*

- We did not find a way to prove the collision resistance of  $f_{a,b}(h)$  from the collision resistance of  $h$



# Collision Resistance

## Fact

*A collision to  $H(m) = f_{a,b}(h(m))$  is either:*

- ① *A collision to  $h$ :  $m$  and  $m'$  such that  $h(m) = h(m')$*
- ② *A collision to  $f_{a,b}$ :  $m$  and  $m'$  such that  $h(m) \neq h(m')$  and  $f_{a,b}(h(m)) = f_{a,b}(h(m'))$*

- We did not find a way to prove the collision resistance of  $f_{a,b}(h)$  from the collision resistance of  $h$
- We thus propose a 2<sup>nd</sup> construction.

# Collision Resistance

- **Heuristically**, for sufficiently small value of  $u$ ,  $f_{a,b}(u)$  is collision free.

# Collision Resistance

- **Heuristically**, for sufficiently small value of  $u$ ,  $f_{a,b}(u)$  is collision free.
- We use pair-wise independent functions to get a **probabilistic** result (i.e. a non-heuristic one). [CW 1981]

# Collision Resistance

- **Heuristically**, for sufficiently small value of  $u$ ,  $f_{a,b}(u)$  is collision free.
- We use pair-wise independent functions to get a **probabilistic** result (i.e. a non-heuristic one). [CW 1981]

## Definition (Pair-wise Independent Function)

A family of functions  $g : \mathbb{F}_p \mapsto \mathbb{F}_p$  is pair-wise independent if given any couple  $(x_1, x_2)$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and any couple  $(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $\Pr_g [g(x_1) = u_1 \wedge g(x_2) = u_2]$  is negligible.

- The affine functions  $x \mapsto c.x + d$  for  $(c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p)$  are pair-wise independent functions

- The affine functions  $x \mapsto c.x + d$  for  $(c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p)$  are pair-wise independent functions
- For **sufficiently small value** of  $x$ ,  $f_{a,b}(c.x + d)$  is collision free with a very high probability.

- The affine functions  $x \mapsto c.x + d$  for  $(c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p)$  are pair-wise independent functions
- For **sufficiently small value** of  $x$ ,  $f_{a,b}(c.x + d)$  is collision free with a very high probability.

### Lemma

*For a random choice of  $c, d$ , the function  $m \mapsto f_{a,b}(c.h(m) + d)$  is collision resistant with a high probability for a good choice of size parameter assuming that  $h$  is collision resistant.*

- The affine functions  $x \mapsto c.x + d$  for  $(c, d) \in (\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p)$  are pair-wise independent functions
- For **sufficiently small value** of  $x$ ,  $f_{a,b}(c.x + d)$  is collision free with a very high probability.

### Lemma

*For a random choice of  $c, d$ , the function  $m \mapsto f_{a,b}(c.h(m) + d)$  is collision resistant with a high probability for a good choice of size parameter assuming that  $h$  is collision resistant.*

- If  $h(m)$  is a 160-bit hash function,  $f_{a,b}(c.h(m) + d)$  is collision resistant if  $p$  is a 400-bit integer.



## 1 Related Works

- Try and Increment
- Supersingular
- SW
- Wanted

## 2 Proposal

- Definition
- Idea
- Properties

## 3 Hashing

- Preimage
- Collision

# Conclusion

- $f_{a,b}$  enables to deterministically generate points into elliptic curves.

# Conclusion

- $f_{a,b}$  enables to deterministically generate points into elliptic curves.
- $f_{a,b}$  exists in characteristic 2.

# Conclusion

- $f_{a,b}$  enables to deterministically generate points into elliptic curves.
- $f_{a,b}$  exists in characteristic 2.
- When the cofactor  $r \neq 1$ ,  $r.f_{a,b}$  can be used to hash into the subgroup of the curves.

# Conclusion

- $f_{a,b}$  enables to deterministically generate points into elliptic curves.
- $f_{a,b}$  exists in characteristic 2.
- When the cofactor  $r \neq 1$ ,  $r.f_{a,b}$  can be used to hash into the subgroup of the curves.
- $f_{a,b}$  is based on cube root extraction: over RSA rings, generating a point into elliptic curves only requires a cube root oracle.

# Conclusion

- $f_{a,b}$  enables to deterministically generate points into elliptic curves.
- $f_{a,b}$  exists in characteristic 2.
- When the cofactor  $r \neq 1$ ,  $r.f_{a,b}$  can be used to hash into the subgroup of the curves.
- $f_{a,b}$  is based on cube root extraction: over RSA rings, generating a point into elliptic curves only requires a cube root oracle.
- $f_{a,b}$  can be used on any curve model (Edwards Curve, etc) whenever the model is birationally equivalent to the Weierstrass model.

# Thank You

# Thank You

Questions?