

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

How to pick a winning team: approaches towards the selection of computationally derived protein structures for ensemble-based virtual screening

Barbara Sander^{1*}, Oliver Korb², Jason Cole², Jonathan W Essex¹

From 8th German Conference on Chemoinformatics: 26 CIC-Workshop
Goslar, Germany. 11-13 November 2012

The necessity of treating receptor flexibility in protein-ligand docking has been widely acknowledged and is the subject of extensive research in the field of drug discovery [1]. The use of multiple discrete protein conformations, so-called ensemble docking, has been proven to be a valid concept to mimic target plasticity in docking experiments [2,3]. Using molecular dynamics (MD) the number of different conformations that can be generated is practically unlimited. Not all of these conformations can be included in the pose prediction or virtual screening process for reasons of computational cost. Moreover, some of them will be more suitable for docking purposes than others. The question arises if and how adequate protein conformations can be selected systematically a priori based on quantifiable structural features.

For neuraminidase and cyclin-dependent kinase II, snapshots of molecular dynamics simulation trajectories have been clustered and structurally assessed by applying a variety of methods. Extensive cross docking and virtual screening experiments show that relatively large differences in docking performance are caused by only very subtle conformational changes within the protein which cannot be captured by the currently applied characterisation methods. As an alternative, cross docking capability can be used as a reliable indicator towards the selection of suitable conformations for ensemble-based virtual screening. In combination with short minimisations of docked poses in the binding site, virtual screening performance can be further improved and ensembles of MD

snapshots can be built which perform as well as the generally superior holo crystal structures.

Author details

¹School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK. ²Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK.

Published: 22 March 2013

References

1. Carlson HA: Protein flexibility and drug design: how to hit a moving target. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2002, **6**:447-452.
2. Barril X, Morley DS: Unveiling the full potential of flexible receptor docking using multiple crystallographic structures. *J Med Chem* 2005, **48**:4432-4443.
3. Lin J-H, Perryman AL, Schames JR, McCammon JA: Computational drug design accommodating receptor flexibility: the relaxed complex scheme. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002, **124**:5632-5633.

doi:10.1186/1758-2946-5-S1-O7

Cite this article as: Sander et al.: How to pick a winning team: approaches towards the selection of computationally derived protein structures for ensemble-based virtual screening. *Journal of Cheminformatics* 2013 **5**(Suppl 1):O7.

Publish with **ChemistryCentral** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"Open access provides opportunities to our colleagues in other parts of the globe, by allowing anyone to view the content free of charge."

W. Jeffery Hurst, The Hershey Company.

- available free of charge to the entire scientific community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
<http://www.chemistrycentral.com/manuscript/>



ChemistryCentral

* Correspondence: bs3e09@soton.ac.uk

¹School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article