

## HPRL – International cooperation to identify and monitor priority nuclear data needs for nuclear applications

*E. Dupont<sup>1</sup>, M. Bossant<sup>2</sup>, R. Capote<sup>3</sup>, A.D. Carlson<sup>4</sup>, Y. Danon<sup>5</sup>, M. Fleming<sup>2</sup>, Z. Ge<sup>6</sup>, H. Harada<sup>7</sup>, O. Iwamoto<sup>7</sup>, N. Iwamoto<sup>7</sup>, A. Kimura<sup>7</sup>, A.J. Koning<sup>3</sup>, C. Massimi<sup>8</sup>, A. Negret<sup>9</sup>, G. Noguere<sup>10</sup>, A. Plompen<sup>11</sup>, V. Pronyaev<sup>12</sup>, G. Rimpault<sup>10</sup>, S. Simakov<sup>13</sup>, A. Stankovskiy<sup>14</sup>, W. Sun<sup>15</sup>, A. Trkov<sup>3</sup>, H. Wu<sup>6</sup>, K. Yokoyama<sup>7</sup>, and the NEA WPEC Expert Group on the High Priority Request List for Nuclear Data<sup>16,\*</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>CEA, Irfu, Universite Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>2</sup>OECD, Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA), Boulogne-Billancourt, France

<sup>3</sup>IAEA, NAPC Nuclear Data Section (NDS), Vienna, Austria

<sup>4</sup>National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Gaithersburg, MD, USA

<sup>5</sup>Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI), Troy, NY, USA

<sup>6</sup>CIAE, China Nuclear Data Center (CNDC), Beijing, China

<sup>7</sup>Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Tokai-mura, Japan

<sup>8</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) & University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

<sup>9</sup>“Horia Hulubei” National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest - Magurele, Romania

<sup>10</sup>CEA, Nuclear Energy Division (DEN), Cadarache, France

<sup>11</sup>European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Geel, Belgium

<sup>12</sup>Contractor, NAPC Nuclear Data Section (NDS), IAEA, Vienna, Austria

<sup>13</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>14</sup>SCK-CEN, Mol, Belgium

<sup>15</sup>Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics (IAPCM), Beijing, China

<sup>16</sup>[www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpec/hprl](http://www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpec/hprl)

**Abstract.** The OECD-NEA High Priority Request List (HPRL) is a point of reference to guide and stimulate the improvement of nuclear data for nuclear energy and other applications, and a tool to bridge the gap between data users and producers. The HPRL is application-driven and the requests are submitted by nuclear data users or representatives of the user's communities. A panel of international experts reviews and monitors the requests in the framework of an Expert Group mandated by the NEA Nuclear Science Committee Working Party on International Nuclear Data Evaluation Cooperation (WPEC). After approval, individual requests are classified to three categories: high priority requests, general requests, and special purpose requests (e.g., dosimetry, standards). The HPRL is hosted by the NEA in the form of a relational database publicly available on the web. This paper provides an overview of HPRL entries, status and outlook. Examples of requests successfully completed are given and new requests are described with emphasis on updated nuclear data needs in the fields of nuclear energy, neutron standards and dosimetry.

### 1 Introduction

The High Priority Request List (HPRL) for nuclear data has been established under the auspices of the Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) in the 80s. The list is a compilation of the highest priority nuclear data requirements from nuclear data users. The purpose is to stimulate and guide measurement, nuclear theory and evaluation programmes. The rationale for the current list was established in the 2000s [1, 2] on the basis of more stringent criteria for adopting new requests. The list provides an international point of reference for both nuclear data users and producers, and its effectiveness in stimulating new measurements, evaluations and verification actions to meet the needs is well established.

\*e-mail: [wpec@oecd-nea.org](mailto:wpec@oecd-nea.org)

### 2 HPRL Organisation

The HPRL is managed by an Expert Group mandated by the NEA Working Party on International Nuclear Data Evaluation Cooperation (WPEC) working in close collaboration with NEA for administrative items and for the maintenance of the HPRL database, tools and web pages.

#### 2.1 Governance

A standing Expert Group is essential to maintain the HPRL as a point of reference in nuclear data research and development. The Expert Group consists of representatives from data evaluation project with expertise in the fields of nuclear data validation, evaluation and measurements. The Expert Group is responsible for managing the

activities related to the HPRL, in particular for guaranteeing that the entries are up-to-date and well-motivated by current interests in the field of nuclear energy and other nuclear applications. The Expert Group is also responsible for stimulating follow-up to the entries and collecting the feedback provided by any of the related activities that may follow in response of a request.

## 2.2 The Request Lists

The HPRL is driven by nuclear applications on the basis of requests for specific nuclear data improvement made by users. All requests should be well justified in terms of impact on the application and of accuracy requirement with respect to the state-of-the-art experimental and evaluated data.

The HPRL consists of a list with high priority requests, a list with general requests and a list with special purpose requests divided in categories. Stringent criteria are applied for entries on the lists. These are evaluated by the Expert Group that makes the final decision for adopting a request in one of the lists.

- A “high priority” request is justified by sensitivity studies (or equivalent) and sufficiently documented.
- A “general” request is well motivated for a specific quantity on a specific nucleus and is documented, but lacks a detailed backing by a sensitivity analysis or an impact study.
- A “special purpose quantity” (SPQ) request in a well-defined category is of interest to a recognised important field of applied nuclear science for which it is essential to stimulate new activity.

The HPRL is subject to periodic review to monitor progress and assess the status of each entry. This is reflected by one of the following status:

- “Work in progress” for entries with ongoing experimental and theoretical activities.
- “Pending new evaluation or validation” for entries that have already stimulated a lot of activities, but are not completed yet because of the lack of new evaluation or validation.
- “Completed” (or “Archived”) for entries that have been satisfied (or that are no longer relevant as priority need).

For the latter status the decision to terminate a request requires a consensus among the experts and care is taken not to affect too strongly the related activities.

## 2.3 Database and Website

The HPRL database, tools and web pages [3] are hosted and maintained by the NEA. The technical implementation of the HPRL tools relies on Perl scripts connected to an Oracle relational database on the back and to HTML web pages on the front. They provide both a direct access to the request lists and to a search interface for querying the database, as well as to an online form for submitting a new request. The latter should contain various information

on the requested improvement, the most important being the impact on the application, the accuracy requested on the nuclear data, and the justification with respect to the current state-of-the-art.

## 3 HPRL Status

The content of the database is summarized in table 1 with respect to categories and status. Although the “Work in progress” status dominates over the “Completed” entries, a sustained and continuous effort is currently dedicated for each of these entries. More information on the related activities is available in the HPRL web pages [3]. The following subsections and tables give an overview of the nuclear data improvements requested.

### 3.1 High priority and General requests

The requests for fission and capture cross sections relevant to nuclear reactors are listed in table 2.

Requests for improvement of fission cross sections concern minor actinides (Np, Pu, Am, and Cm isotopes). With the exception of the request to improve the Np-237 fission, which is now completed, other requests are still work in progress after they have been put forward by WPEC Subgroup 26 (SG-26) [4].

The requests for capture cross sections concern major actinides, such as the Big-3 (U-235,238 and Pu-239) and two other important fissile actinides (U-233, Pu-241), as well as two minor actinides (Pu-242, Am-241). These requests had also been put forward by SG-26. Additional recent capture requests concern structural material (minor isotopes of Cr [5]) and neutron absorbers (Gd-155,157 [6] and Hf-nat). A significant achievement is the fulfilment of the requests for U-235 and U-238 capture cross sections, which were the subject of intensive collaborative works in the framework of the CIELO project [7, 8].

The requests for other partial cross sections are compiled in table 3. Part of these requests had been submitted by SG-26, they concern inelastic scattering reaction on U-238, structural materials (Fe-56 and Si-28, which is now completed), and coolant of fast reactors (Na-23, Pb-206,207). There is also a recent request to improve the knowledge of the Bi-209 capture branching ratio (br) leading to the production of Po-210 in Pb-Bi eutectic under irradiation [9]. The remaining active requests are for K-39 activation and gas production cross sections in the NaK coolant of IFMIF-DONES [10] and for needs related to neutron transport and criticality-safety (O-16, Pu-239 [11]).

The HPRL is not limited to cross sections and table 4 shows requests for other quantities such as angular distributions, spectra and nubar. Improvement is requested for the H-2(n,el) energy-angle scattering probability distribution. There are also requests to improve the accuracy of important fission quantities, such as the Prompt Fission Gamma Spectra (PFGS) of the U-235 and Pu-239 major actinides, as well as the Prompt Fission Neutron Spectra (PFNS) of Am-243 and Cm-244 minor actinides, and also the nubar of U-233 and Pu-239,240.

**Table 1.** Number of requests vs. categories and status. The values between parentheses are for individual requests in the SPQ category.

Status & categories	High priority	General	Special Purpose Quantity	Total
Work in progress	26	6	5 (64)	37 (96)
Pending new evaluation or validation	4	0	0	4
Completed	4	4	0	8
Total	34	10	5 (64)	49 (108)

**Table 2.** Fission and capture cross section requests relevant to nuclear reactors

Nuclide	Reaction	Half-life	Energy range	Nuclide	Reaction	Half-life	Energy range
Np-237*	(n,f)	2.1 My	200 keV-20 MeV	U-233	(n, $\gamma$ )	159 ky	Thermal-1 MeV
Pu-238	(n,f)	88 y	9 keV-6 MeV	U-235*	(n, $\gamma$ )	704 My	100 eV-1 MeV
Pu-240	(n,f)	6.6 ky	0.5 keV-5 MeV	U-238*	(n, $\gamma$ )	~stable	20 eV-25 keV
Pu-241	(n,f)	14 y	0.5 eV-1.35 MeV	Pu-239	(n, $\gamma$ )	24 ky	1 meV-1.35 MeV
Pu-242	(n,f)	375 ky	200 keV-20 MeV	Pu-241	(n, $\gamma$ )	14 y	0.1 eV-1.35 MeV
Am-241	(n,f)	432 y	180 keV-20 MeV	Pu-242	(n, $\gamma$ ),(n,tot)	375 ky	0.5 eV-2 keV
Am-242m	(n,f)	141 y	0.5 keV-6 MeV	Am-241	(n, $\gamma$ ),(n,tot)	432 y	Thermal-Fast
Cm-244	(n,f)	18 y	65 keV-6 MeV	Cr-50,53 <sup>†</sup>	(n, $\gamma$ )	stable	1 keV-100 keV
Cm-245	(n,f)	8.5 ky	0.5 keV-6 MeV	Gd-155,157 <sup>†</sup>	(n, $\gamma$ ),(n,tot)	stable	Thermal-100 eV
				Hf-nat*	(n, $\gamma$ )	stable	0.5 eV-5 keV

\*Request completed <sup>†</sup>Recent request ( $\geq 2017$ )

**Table 3.** Other partial cross section requests in various fields

Nuclide	Reaction	Energy range
U-238	(n,inl)	65 keV-20 MeV
Fe-56	(n,inl)	0.5 MeV-20 MeV
Si-28*	(n,inl)	1.4 MeV-6 MeV
Na-23	(n,inl)	0.5 MeV-1.3 MeV
Pb-206,207	(n,inl)	0.5 MeV-6 MeV
Bi-209 <sup>†</sup>	(n, $\gamma$ ) br	500 eV-300 keV
Si-28*	(n,np)	Threshold-20 MeV
K-39 <sup>†</sup>	(n,p),(n,np)	10 MeV-20 MeV
Cr-52*	(n,xd),(n,xt)	Threshold-65 MeV
O-16	(n,a)	2 MeV-20 MeV
Pu-239 <sup>†</sup>	(n,tot)	1st resonance
Au-197*	(n,tot)	5 keV-200 keV

\*Request completed <sup>†</sup>Recent request ( $\geq 2017$ )

**Table 4.** Requests for other various quantities

Nuclide	Reaction	Quantity	Energy range
H-2	(n,el)	$d/d\theta$	0.1 MeV-1 MeV
Fe-56*	(n,xn)	DDX	7 MeV-20 MeV
U-235	(n,f)	$\gamma$ spectrum	Thermal-Fast
Pu-239	(n,f)	$\gamma$ spectrum	Thermal-Fast
Am-243	(n,f)	$n$ spectrum	Thermal-10 MeV
Cm-244	(n,f)	$n$ spectrum	Thermal-10 MeV
U-233	(n,f)	nubar	Thermal-10 keV
Pu-239 <sup>†</sup>	(n,f)	nubar	Thermal-5 eV
Pu-240	(n,f)	nubar	200 keV-2 MeV

\*Request completed <sup>†</sup>Recent request ( $\geq 2017$ )

### 3.2 Requests for Special Purpose Quantities

The category of Special Purpose Quantity was introduced in 2014 for requests individually lacking justifications to be accepted in the High priority or General categories, but with obvious generic value in a well-defined field. As of today, requests have been received in the fields of stan-

dards and dosimetry. A new subcategory dedicated to medical applications is foreseen.

#### 3.2.1 Standards

In the SPQ subcategory dedicated to standards, requests have been received to further improve the n-p primary standard and to extend the fission standard at higher energy [12] (see table 5).

**Table 5.** Requests for improvement of standards

Nuclide	Reaction	Quantity	Energy range
H-1	(n,el)	xs, $d/d\theta$	10-20 MeV
U-235,238 <sup>†</sup>	(n,f),(p,f)	xs	100-500 MeV

<sup>†</sup>Recent request ( $\geq 2017$ )

#### 3.2.2 Dosimetry

In the SPQ subcategory dedicated to dosimetry, recent requests have been adopted to address three different needs.

The first one is related to IRDFF validation [13, 14], which requires measurements of a number of spectrum-averaged cross sections (SACS) in well characterized Cf-252(sf) and/or U-235( $n_{th}$ ,f) neutron fields (see table 6).

The second one is for improvement of the high-energy tail of the Pu-239( $n_{th}$ ,f) PFNS that requires measurements of spectrum-averaged cross sections in a well characterised Pu-239 PFNS field [15]. The SACS should be measured for well-known high-threshold dosimetry (n,2n) reactions on F-19, Mn-55, Co-59, Ni-58, As-75, Y-89, Zr-90, Nb-93, I-127 and Tm-169.

The third and last one concerns the dosimetry of neutron sources that requires the measurement of both low-threshold, Sn-117(n,inl), and high-threshold cross sections with plateaus located between  $\approx 15$  MeV and  $\approx 150$  MeV [13, 14] (see table 7).

**Table 6.** Recent requests for spectrum-averaged cross sections relevant for the improvement and validation of IRDFF

Nuclide	Reaction	Spectra <sup>‡</sup>	Nuclide	Reaction	Spectra <sup>‡</sup>	Nuclide	Reaction	Spectra <sup>‡</sup>
Co-59	(n, $\gamma$ )	Cf	Rh-103	(n,inel)Rh-103m	U5	Al-27	(n,2n)	Cf & U5
Th-232	(n,f)	Cf	Tm-169	(n,2n)	U5	Ti-46	(n,2n)	Cf & U5
Zn-67	(n,p)	Cf	Cu-65	(n,2n)	U5	Sn-117	(n,inel)Sn-117m	Cf & U5
Mo-92	(n,p)Nb-92m	Cf	Mn-55	(n,2n)	U5	Ti-47	(n,np)	Cf & U5
Ni-60	(n,p)	Cf	Ni-58	(n,2n)	U5	Ti-48	(n,np)	Cf & U5
Fe-54	(n,a)	Cf	Am-241	(n,f)	Cf & U5	Ti-49	(n,np)	Cf & U5
As-75	(n,2n)	Cf	P-31	(n,p)	Cf & U5	Fe-54	(n,2n)	Cf & U5
Y-89	(n,2n)	Cf	U-238	(n,2n)	Cf & U5	Tm-169	(n,3n)	Cf & U5
Mn-55	(n, $\gamma$ )	U5	In-115	(n,2n)In-114m	Cf & U5	Bi-209	(n,3n)	Cf & U5
U-238	(n, $\gamma$ )	U5	Pr-141	(n,2n)	Cf & U5	Co-59	(n,3n)	Cf & U5
Cu-63	(n, $\gamma$ )	U5	Cr-52	(n,2n)	Cf & U5			
La-139	(n, $\gamma$ )	U5	Na-23	(n,2n)	Cf & U5			

<sup>‡</sup>Cf and U5 stand for PFNS of Cf-252(sf) and U-235(n<sub>th</sub>,f), respectively

**Table 7.** Recent requests for improvement of cross sections relevant to the dosimetry of neutron sources

Nuclide	Reaction	Energy range (MeV)	Nuclide	Reaction	Energy range (MeV)
Sn-117	(n,inel)Sn-117m	5 - 10	Cu-63	(n,2n)	20 - 100
Fe-nat	(n,x)Mn-54	15 - 100	Fe-54	(n,2n)	15 - 100
Y-89	(n,p)	15 - 100	Au-197	(n,xn) x=3-5	20/Threshold - 100
Y-89	(n,xn) x=2-4	15/Threshold - 100	Tm-169	(n,xn) x=2-3	15 - 100
Ti-nat	(n,x)Sc-46	15 - 100	Bi-209	(n,xn) x=3-10	20/Threshold - 150
Ti-nat	(n,x)Sc-47	15 - 100	Co-59	(n,xn) x=3-5	20/Threshold - 150
Ti-nat	(n,x)Sc-48	15 - 100	Rh-103	(n,xn) x=4-8	Threshold - 150
Lu-175	(n,xn) x=2-4	15/Threshold - 100	La-139	(n,xn) x=4-10	Threshold - 150
Nb-93	(n,xn) x=2-4	15/Threshold - 100			

## 4 Conclusion

In order to efficiently stimulate and guide nuclear data improvement the HPRL follows a twofold strategy. First of all, the HPRL aims to be a reference tool in support to experimental, theoretical and evaluation projects aiming at improving nuclear data. In parallel, the HPRL aims to bridge the gap between nuclear data users and nuclear data producers (evaluators and experimentalists).

The role of the NEA WPEC Expert Group in close collaboration with the nuclear data community is to ensure that the HPRL is up-to-date and properly reflecting the priority needs in the field of nuclear energy and other nuclear applications, as well as advances aiming at answering those needs.

Nuclear data users are invited to contribute with new requests either by email or using the online form [3]. Feedback on HPRL entries from both users and producers of nuclear data is obviously welcome.

## References

- [1] D. Smith, *et al.*, ND2004, Santa Fe, NM, USA, 26 Sep. - 1 Oct. 2004, AIP Conf. Proc. **769**, 545 (2005); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1945067>
- [2] A. Plompen, *et al.*, ND2007, Nice, France, April 22-27, 2007, EDP Sciences Proceedings, page 765 (2007); <https://doi.org/10.1051/ndata:07419>
- [3] HPRL home page; [www.oecd-nea.org/dbdata/hprl](http://www.oecd-nea.org/dbdata/hprl)
- [4] M. Salvatores, *et al.*, Report NEA/WPEC-26, OECD, Paris (2008); [www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpec](http://www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpec)
- [5] V. Koscheev, *et al.*, EPJ Conf. **146**, 06025 (2017); <https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201714606025>
- [6] F. Rocchi, *et al.*, Annals of Nuclear Energy **132**, 537 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2019.06.025>
- [7] M. Chadwick, *et al.*, Nuclear Data Sheets **148**, 189 (2018); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2018.02.003>
- [8] R. Capote, *et al.*, Nuclear Data Sheets **148**, 254 (2018); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2018.02.005>
- [9] L. Fiorito, *et al.*, EPJ Nucl. Sci. Technol. **4**, 48 (2018); <https://doi.org/10.1051/epjn/2018044>
- [10] S. Simakov, *et al.*, EFFDOC-1318, JEFF Meeting, OECD, Paris, 2017; [www.oecd-nea.org/dbdata/jeff](http://www.oecd-nea.org/dbdata/jeff)
- [11] C. De Saint Jean, *et al.*, Report NEA/WPEC-34, OECD, Paris (2014); [www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpec](http://www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpec)
- [12] A.D. Carlson, *et al.*, Nuclear Data Sheets **148**, 143 (2018); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2018.02.002>
- [13] A. Trkov, *et al.*, INDC(NDS)-0639 (2013); [www.nds.iaea.org/publications/indc/indc-nds-0639](http://www.nds.iaea.org/publications/indc/indc-nds-0639)
- [14] S. Simakov, *et al.*, Proposals for new measurements (2017); <https://www.nds.iaea.org/IRDFFtest>
- [15] R. Capote, *et al.*, Nuclear Data Sheets **131**, 1 (2016); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nds.2015.12.002>