UC San Diego
UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title
Human Skin, Oral, and Gut Microbiomes Predict Chronological Age.

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2671g2br

Journal
mSystems, 5(1)

ISSN
2379-5077

Authors
Huang, Shi
Haiminen, Niina
Carrieri, Anna-Paola
et al.

Publication Date
2020-02-01

DOI
10.1128/msystems.00630-19

Peer reviewed

Human Skin, Oral, and Gut Microbiomes Predict Chronological Age

Shi Huang,[a,b] Niina Haiminen,[c] Anna-Paola Carrieri,[d] Rebecca Hu,[a] Lingjing Jiang,[a,e] Laxmi Parida,[c] Baylee Russell,[f] Celeste Allaband,[m] Amir Zarrinpar,[f,g] Yoshiki Vázquez-Baeza,[a,b] Pedro Belda-Ferre,[a,b] Hongwei Zhou,[h] Ho-Cheol Kim,[i] Austin D. Swafford,[a] Rob Knight,[a,b,j,k] Zhenjiang Zech Xu[b,l]

aCenter for Microbiome Innovation, Jacobs School of Engineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

bUCSD Health Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

cIBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York, USA

dIBM Research UK, The Hartree Centre, Warrington, United Kingdom

eDivision of Biostatistics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

fUCSD Division of Gastroenterology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

gVA San Diego Health Care, La Jolla, California, USA

hDivision of Laboratory Medicine, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

iScalable Knowledge Intelligence, IBM Research-Almaden, San Jose, California, USA

jDepartment of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

kDepartment of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

lState Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Nanchang University, Nanchang, China

mBiomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

ABSTRACT Human gut microbiomes are known to change with age, yet the relative value of human microbiomes across the body as predictors of age, and prediction robustness across populations is unknown. In this study, we tested the ability of the oral, gut, and skin (hand and forehead) microbiomes to predict age in adults using random forest regression on data combined from multiple publicly available studies, evaluating the models in each cohort individually. Intriguingly, the skin microbiome provides the best prediction of age (mean ± standard deviation, 3.8 ± 0.45 years, versus 4.5 ± 0.14 years for the oral microbiome and 11.5 ± 0.12 years for the gut microbiome). This also agrees with forensic studies showing that the skin microbiome predicts postmortem interval better than microbiomes from other body sites. Age prediction models constructed from the hand microbiome generalized to the forehead and vice versa, across cohorts, and results from the gut microbiome generalized across multiple cohorts (United States, United Kingdom, and China). Interestingly, taxa enriched in young individuals (18 to 30 years) tend to be more abundant and more prevalent than taxa enriched in elderly individuals (>60 yrs), suggesting a model in which physiological aging occurs concomitantly with the loss of key taxa over a lifetime, enabling potential microbiome-targeted therapeutic strategies to prevent aging.

IMPORTANCE Considerable evidence suggests that the gut microbiome changes with age or even accelerates aging in adults. Whether the age-related changes in the gut microbiome are more or less prominent than those for other body sites and whether predictions can be made about a person's age from a microbiome sample remain unknown. We therefore combined several large studies from different countries to determine which body site's microbiome could most accurately predict age. We found that the skin was the best, on average yielding predictions within 4 years of chronological age. This study sets the stage for future research on the role of the microbiome in accelerating or decelerating the aging process and in the susceptibility for age-related diseases.

Microbiomes across the body are known to change rapidly in the first 3 years of life and then relatively little in adults (1). Recent work suggests that the gut microbiome can be used to classify adults into age groups, with sex-specific differences in patterns of diversity by age (2). Age has been implicated as a dominant factor in the adult microbiome in numerous cohort studies (3–5). The microbiome also continues to change after death and has been used to predict postmortem interval to within a few days in both mice (6) and humans (7): contrary to expectation, the skin microbiome predicted postmortem interval much better than did the gut or the surrounding soil microbiome.

We were inspired by these results to expand our past work on age prediction in the gut microbiome (2) to other body sites, including the mouth and the skin. We used a total of 4,434 fecal samples (United States, $n = 1,887$; United Kingdom, $n = 685$; China, $n = 1,609$; others), 2,550 saliva samples (United States, $n = 1,666$; United Kingdom, $n = 48$; Tanzania, $n = 254$; others as well) (3, 8–11), and 1,975 skin samples (United States, $n = 1,723$; United Kingdom, $n = 27$; others) (3, 8, 9, 12). In total, this represents the most comprehensive investigation of microbiome and age, with 8,959 samples from 10 studies (3, 8–14).

We acquired 100-bp amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) processed with Deblur (15) from the 16S-V4 rRNA gene amplicon data in Qiita (16) using the redbiom search engine (17). This study includes only subjects with self-reported ages from 18 to 90 years (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), body mass indices (BMI) of 18.5 to 30 kg/m², no reported inflammatory bowel disease or diabetes, and no antibiotic consumption 1 month before sampling. We also excluded pregnant, hospitalized, disabled, or critically ill individuals (Table S1). For gut microbiota, the majority of acquired samples were derived from two projects: (i) the American Gut Project (AGP) (3) and (ii) the Guangdong Gut Microbiome Project (GGMP) (13). For oral and skin microbiota, we obtained all samples from Qiita matching the inclusion and exclusion criteria above, representing the most comprehensive meta-analysis for age prediction using human microbiota. We further analyzed the ASV data with the QIIME 2 pipeline (18).

We used random forests (RF) (19) to regress relative abundances of ASVs in the healthy human microbiota from different body sites (gut, oral, and skin microbiota) against the subjects' chronological ages with the R package ranger (20) using fine-tuned hyperparameters. To test if confounders (such as sex) affected the modeling, we first trained the age model within a sub-data set stratified by a confounder and then applied it on all the other sub-data sets. For both model training and testing, we evaluated regression performance using mean absolute error (MAE). We fit a smoothing spline function between microbiota age and chronological age to calculate relative microbiota age. Relative microbiota age per sample was calculated as the difference between the microbiota age of a focal adult and the microbiota age of the interpolated spline fit of healthy adults at the same chronological age. We used the Wilcoxon rank sum test (21) to compare relative microbiota ages between host groups in each data set. To determine the effects of country and body sites on microbiota age, we subdivided the data sets into these groups and repeated the analyses.

The RF regression recaptured the known result that the gut microbiome is associated with chronological age (Fig. 1A) and that this relationship holds across cohorts, but the connections to age were even stronger in the oral (Fig. 1B) and skin (Fig. 1C) microbiomes. Remarkably, the skin microbiome could pinpoint a subject's age to within 4 years, on average. Analysis of the specific microbial features contributing to these models demonstrated that relatively few ASVs (e.g., around 64) are needed for highly accurate models for each body site (Fig. 1D to F).

We next tested whether the models were sex specific. As shown in previous work (2), we found a sex-specific signal in the gut microbiome; however, we did not find a

FIG 1 The distinct capability for age prediction from gut (A), oral (B), and skin (C) microbiomes. Spline fit to the data is also shown (blue curve). Although the skewed age distribution in the skin or oral microbiota data set may decrease the accuracy of age prediction for the older adults, it will not affect the conclusions about the relative abilities of different human microbiomes to predict age. Prediction performances at increasing numbers of microbial species were obtained by retraining the random forest classifier on the top-ranking features (ASVs), shown in terms of mean absolute error (MAE) from gut (D), oral (E), and skin (F) microbiota identified with previous random forest models trained in different cohorts. Data are from Qiita studies 11757, 10317, 550, 1841, 1774, 2010, 2024, 2202, 11052, and 10052.

sex-specific signal in the mouth or the skin microbiome. Consequently, although we observed a small degradation in prediction accuracy for the cross-trained models from men to women or vice versa for the gut, we saw no such degradation for the other body sites, suggesting that populations do not need to be stratified by sex to build such predictive models.

For the skin, we had enough data for the forehead and palm to test whether models trained on skin from one body site apply for the other. This is important because the forehead and hand are markedly different in physiology and microbiology (22). Figure 2A and B demonstrate that models of microbiome age for the forehead can be cross-trained on the palm, and the converse is also true (Fig. 2C). This means that future studies seeking to determine factors leading to microbiome aging can combine these skin sites, which is important given the tremendous microbiome and metabolic diversity observed across the human body (23).

An important consideration is which taxa contribute to the age prediction model. In the gut, the ASVs belonging to the genera *Bifidobacterium* and *Blautia* or the families *Lachnospiraceae*, *Ruminococcaceae*, and *Clostridiaceae* consistently had high feature importance scores, although values differed between populations and within populations (Fig. S2). A larger discrepancy in feature importance rankings was found between aging models built from different countries. For example, the top-ranking feature in

FIG 2 The skin microbiota age prediction model can be applied across forehead and hand microbiota. (A) The microbiota age of hand (orange) or forehead (blue) as calculated by a random forest model trained on the hand (upper scatterplots) or forehead (lower scatterplots) subsets; lines indicate spline fit. (B) The prediction accuracy of age regression models dependent on skin body sites and their cross-applications compared to random permutations. The vertical lines indicate the prediction accuracy (mean absolute error) of age models trained in forehead (orange) or hand (blue) sites and their testing on the other site, while the gray histograms show the MAE distribution in 1,000 permutations of age values in either training or testing data. (C) Cross-prediction matrix reporting prediction performances as MAE values obtained using a random forest model on ASV relative abundances. Matrix values refer to the MAE values obtained by training the regressor on the data set of the corresponding row and applying it to the data set of the corresponding column. The prediction accuracies between sexes are higher than those between body sites.

Chinese cohorts is an ASV belonging to *Bifidobacterium*, but it was not detectable in the U.S. cohort. In the oral microbiota, we identified a set of top-ranking microbial markers decreasing in abundance with host aging in both females and males, such as ASVs belonging to *Lactobacillales*, *Gemellaceae*, *Bacteroides*, and *Fusobacterium* (Fig. S3). In the skin microbiome, we identified age-related markers in four subgroups: female forehead, male forehead, female palm, and male palm. As we age, changes in skin physiology (such as decreased sebum production and increased dryness) and host immune system can alter associated microbiota (24–26). Interestingly, we identified several genera and families that include anaerobic members (i.e., ASVs belonging to *Mycoplasma*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, and *Pasteurellaceae*) negatively correlated with age in all subgroups, reflecting these physiological changes due to aging (Fig. S4).

These results are consistent with city-specific influences on models for predicting clinical states (13). However, the success of the cross-population generalization suggests that the types of tuned RF models we introduce here may result in robust and generalizable predictors. Interestingly, gut and oral bacteria that are enriched in young individuals are both more abundant and more prevalent than bacteria enriched in elderly individuals. We calculated the average relative abundance and ubiquity for each ASV in the shared response. We found that bacteria that are enriched in young individuals in at least two cohorts are both ubiquitous and abundant across people, whereas those enriched in old age are less abundant and not ubiquitous. Thus, the presence of these ASVs enriched in elderly individuals is a good indicator of microbial shifts associated with aging.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that accurate and generalizable indicators of age can be derived from microbiome studies using machine learning techniques and that prediction is most accurate from the skin microbiome. Building on these results, future work will include developing noninvasive microbiome-based tests to determine signs of accelerated or delayed aging in the elderly, or in individuals with chronic diseases, and designing and evaluating microbially based interventions to modify the aging process.

Data availability. The data and code for this study are available at https://github .com/shihuang047/age-prediction.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

FIG S1, PDF file, 0.3 MB.

FIG S2, EPS file, 0.04 MB.

REFERENCES

1. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J, Kuczynski J, Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2012. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486:222–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11053.

2. de la Cuesta-Zuluaga J, Kelley ST, Chen Y, Escobar JS, Mueller NT, Ley RE, McDonald D, Huang S, Swafford AD, Knight R, Thackray VG. 2019. Age- and sex-dependent patterns of gut microbial diversity in human adults. mSystems 4:e00261-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00261-19.

3. McDonald D, Hyde E, Debelius JW, Morton JT, Gonzalez A, Ackermann G, Aksenov AA, Behsaz B, Brennan C, Chen Y, DeRight Goldasich L, Dorrestein PC, Dunn RR, Fahimipour AK, Gaffney J, Gilbert JA, Gogul G, Green JL, Hugenholtz P, Humphrey G, Huttenhower C, Jackson MA, Janssen S, Jeste DV, Jiang L, Kelley ST, Knights D, Kosciolek T, Ladau J, Leach J, Marotz C, Meleshko D, Melnik AV, Metcalf JL, Mohimani H, Montassier E, Navas-Molina J, Nguyen TT, Peddada S, Pevzner P, Pollard KS, Rahnavard G, Robbins-Pianka A, Sangwan N, Shorenstein J, Smarr L, Song SJ, Spector T, Swafford AD, Thackray VG, Thompson LR, Tripathi A, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vrbanac A, Wischmeyer P, Wolfe E, Zhu Q, The American Gut Consortium, Knight R. 2018. American Gut: an open platform for citizen science microbiome research. mSystems 3:e00031-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00031-18.

4. Falony G, Joossens M, Vieira-Silva S, Wang J, Darzi Y, Faust K, Kurilshikov A, Bonder MJ, Valles-Colomer M, Vandeputte D, Tito RY, Chaffron S, Rymenans L, Verspecht C, De Sutter L, Lima-Mendez G, D'hoe K, Jonckheere K, Homola D, Garcia R, Tigchelaar EF, Eeckhaudt L, Fu J, Henckaerts L, Zhernakova A, Wijmenga C, Raes J. 2016. Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. Science 352:560–564. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3503.

5. Zhernakova A, Kurilshikov A, Bonder MJ, Tigchelaar EF, Schirmer M, Vatanen T, Mujagic Z, Vila AV, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Wang J, Imhann F, Brandsma E, Jankipersadsing SA, Joossens M, Cenit MC, Deelen P, Swertz MA, LifeLines cohort study, Weersma RK, Feskens EJM, Netea MG, Gevers D, Jonkers D, Franke L, Aulchenko YS, Huttenhower C, Raes J, Hofker MH, Xavier RJ, Wijmenga C, Fu J. 2016. Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition and diversity. Science 352:565–569. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3369.

6. Metcalf JL, Wegener Parfrey L, Gonzalez A, Lauber CL, Knights D, Ackermann G, Humphrey GC, Gebert MJ, Van Treuren W, Berg-Lyons D, Keepers K, Guo Y, Bullard J, Fierer N, Carter DO, Knight R. 2013. A microbial clock provides an accurate estimate of the postmortem interval in a mouse model system. Elife 2:e01104. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.01104.

7. Metcalf JL, Xu ZZ, Weiss S, Lax S, Van Treuren W, Hyde ER, Song SJ, Amir A, Larsen P, Sangwan N, Haarmann D, Humphrey GC, Ackermann G, Thompson LR, Lauber C, Bibat A, Nicholas C, Gebert MJ, Petrosino JF, Reed SC, Gilbert JA, Lynne AM, Bucheli SR, Carter DO, Knight R. 2016. Microbial community assembly and metabolic function during mammalian corpse decomposition. Science 351:158–162. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad2646.

8. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Costello EK, Berg-Lyons D, Gonzalez A, Stombaugh J, Knights D, Gajer P, Ravel J, Fierer N, Gordon JI, Knight R. 2011. Moving pictures of the human microbiome. Genome Biol 12:R50. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-5-r50.

9. Flores GE, Caporaso JG, Henley JB, Rideout JR, Domogala D, Chase J, Leff JW, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Gonzalez A, Knight R, Dunn RR, Fierer N. 2014. Temporal variability is a personalized feature of the human microbiome. Genome Biol 15:531. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0531-y.

10. Bisanz JE, Enos MK, PrayGod G, Seney S, Macklaim JM, Chilton S, Willner D, Knight R, Fusch C, Fusch G, Gloor GB, Burton JP, Reid G. 2015. Microbiota at multiple body sites during pregnancy in a rural Tanzanian population and effects of Moringa-supplemented probiotic yogurt. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:4965–4975. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00780-15.

11. Clemente JC, Pehrsson EC, Blaser MJ, Sandhu K, Gao Z, Wang B, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Contreras M, Noya-Alarcón Ó, Lander O, McDonald J, Cox M, Walter J, Oh PL, Ruiz JF, Rodriguez S, Shen N, Song SJ, Metcalf J, Knight R, Dantas G, Dominguez-Bello MG. 2015. The microbiome of uncontacted Amerindians. Sci Adv 1:e1500183. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500183.

12. Dominguez-Bello MG, De Jesus-Laboy KM, Shen N, Cox LM, Amir A, Gonzalez A, Bokulich NA, Song SJ, Hoashi M, Rivera-Vinas JI, Mendez K, Knight R, Clemente JC. 2016. Partial restoration of the microbiota of cesarean-born infants via vaginal microbial transfer. Nat Med 22:250–253. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4039.

13. He Y, Wu W, Zheng H-M, Li P, McDonald D, Sheng H-F, Chen M-X, Chen Z-H, Ji G-Y, Zheng Z-D-X, Mujagond P, Chen X-J, Rong Z-H, Chen P, Lyu L-Y, Wang X, Wu C-B, Yu N, Xu Y-J, Yin J, Raes J, Knight R, Ma W-J, Zhou H-W. 2018. Regional variation limits applications of healthy gut microbiome reference ranges and disease models. Nat Med 24:1532–1535. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0164-x.

14. David LA, Materna AC, Friedman J, Campos-Baptista MI, Blackburn MC, Perrotta A, Erdman SE, Alm EJ. 2014. Host lifestyle affects human microbiota on daily timescales. Genome Biol 15:R89. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-7-r89.

15. Amir A, McDonald D, Navas-Molina JA, Kopylova E, Morton JT, Zech Xu Z, Kightley EP, Thompson LR, Hyde ER, Gonzalez A, Knight R. 2017. Deblur rapidly resolves single-nucleotide community sequence patterns. mSystems 2:e00191-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00191-16.

16. Gonzalez A, Navas-Molina JA, Kosciolek T, McDonald D, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Ackermann G, DeReus J, Janssen S, Swafford AD, Orchanian SB, Sanders JG, Shorenstein J, Holste H, Petrus S, Robbins-Pianka A, Brislawn CJ, Wang M, Rideout JR, Bolyen E, Dillon M, Caporaso JG, Dorrestein PC, Knight R. 2018. Qiita: rapid, web-enabled microbiome meta-analysis. Nat Methods 15:796–798. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0141-9.

17. McDonald D, Kaehler B, Gonzalez A, DeReus J, Ackermann G, Marotz C, Huttley G, Knight R. 2019. redbiom: a rapid sample discovery and feature characterization system. mSystems 4:e00215-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00215-19.

18. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H, Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ, Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R, Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koester I, Kosciolek T, Kreps J, Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E, Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV, Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-Molina JA, Nothias LF, Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse E, Rasmussen LB, Rivers A, Robeson MS, II,

Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer A, Sinha R, Song SJ, Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A, Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von Hippel M, Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD, Xu ZZ, Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37:852–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.

19. Breiman L. 2001. Random forests. Mach Learn 45:5–32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324.

20. Wright MN, Ziegler A. 2015. ranger: a fast implementation of random forests for high dimensional data in C++ and R. arXiv 1508.04409 [statML].

21. Hodges JL, Lehmann EL. 1956. The efficiency of some nonparametric competitors of the t-test. Ann Math Statist 27:324–335. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177728261.

22. Grice EA, Kong HH, Conlan S, Deming CB, Davis J, Young AC, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program, Bouffard GG, Blakesley RW, Murray PR, Green ED, Turner ML, Segre JA. 2009. Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome. Science 324:1190–1192. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171700.

23. Bouslimani A, Porto C, Rath CM, Wang M, Guo Y, Gonzalez A, Berg-Lyon D, Ackermann G, Moeller Christensen GJ, Nakatsuji T, Zhang L, Borkowski AW, Meehan MJ, Dorrestein K, Gallo RL, Bandeira N, Knight R, Alexandrov T, Dorrestein PC. 2015. Molecular cartography of the human skin surface in 3D. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:E2120–E2129. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1424409112.

24. Zhang L-J, Chen SX, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Li F, Tong Y, Liang Y, Liggins M, Chen X, Chen H, Li M, Hata T, Zheng Y, Plikus MV, Gallo RL. 2019. Age-related loss of innate immune antimicrobial function of dermal fat is mediated by transforming growth factor beta. Immunity 50:121–136.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.11.003.

25. Naik S, Bouladoux N, Linehan JL, Han S-J, Harrison OJ, Wilhelm C, Conlan S, Himmelfarb S, Byrd AL, Deming C, Quinones M, Brenchley JM, Kong HH, Tussiwand R, Murphy KM, Merad M, Segre JA, Belkaid Y. 2015. Commensal-dendritic-cell interaction specifies a unique protective skin immune signature. Nature 520:104–108. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14052.

26. Lai Y, Di Nardo A, Nakatsuji T, Leichtle A, Yang Y, Cogen AL, Wu Z-R, Hooper LV, Schmidt RR, von Aulock S, Radek KA, Huang C-M, Ryan AF, Gallo RL. 2009. Commensal bacteria regulate Toll-like receptor 3-dependent inflammation after skin injury. Nat Med 15:1377–1382. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2062.