

Article



# Hybrid Coatings of SiO<sub>2</sub>–Recycled PET Unsaturated Polyester Resin by Sol-Gel Process

Adrián Bórquez-Mendivil <sup>1</sup><sup>D</sup>, Abel Hurtado-Macías <sup>2</sup><sup>D</sup>, Jesús Eduardo Leal-Pérez <sup>1</sup><sup>D</sup>, Joaquín Flores-Valenzuela <sup>1,\*</sup>, Ramón Álvaro Vargas-Ortíz <sup>1</sup>, Francisca Guadalupe Cabrera-Covarrubias <sup>1</sup><sup>D</sup> and Jorge Luis Almaral-Sánchez <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Facultad de Ingeniería Mochis, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Los Mochis C.P. 81223, Mexico
- <sup>2</sup> Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S. C., National Nanotechnology Laboratory,
- Department of Metallurgy and Structural Integrity, Chihuahua C.P. 31136, Mexico
- \* Correspondence: jflores@uas.edu.mx (J.F.-V.); jalmaral@uas.edu.mx (J.L.A.-S.)

Abstract: Hybrid coatings of SiO<sub>2</sub> and recycled unsaturated polyester resin (R-UPR) from recycled polyethylene-terephthalate (PET) were prepared by the sol-gel process on glass substrates. First, SiO<sub>2</sub> was synthesized by the sol-gel process using a tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) solution. Next, bis(2-hydroxypropyl-terephthalate) (BHPT) was synthesized from mechanical and chemical recycling (glycolysis) of post-consumer PET bottles in propylene glycol (PG) using ZnA as catalyst, in a Vesseltype reactor (20-200 °C); maleic anhydride (MA) was added and, following the same procedure, the unsaturated polyester (UP) was synthetized, which was cooled to room temperature. Next, styrene (St) and benzoyl-peroxide (PBO)-initiator were added to obtain R-UPR. TEOS (T) and three hybrid solutions were synthesized, with molar ratios of 0:1:0 (T), 1:2:0.25 (H1), 1:1:0.25 (H2), and 1:0:0.25 (H3) for R-UPR:TEOS:3-trimethoxy-(silyl)-propyl-methacrylate (TMSPM), respectively, with which TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3 coatings were elaborated using the immersion technique and polymerized (120 °C for 24 h). The solutions were characterized by FT-IR and TGA, and the coatings by SEM, nanoindentation, AFM, adhesion, and contact angle. The results showed that SiO<sub>2</sub> enhanced mechanical (hardness and Young's modulus) and thermal properties of the R-UPR. The coatings adhered perfectly to the substrate, with thicknesses of micrometer units and a flat surface; in addition, hydrophilicity decreased as SiO<sub>2</sub> decreased.

Keywords: hybrid-coating; recycled PET; unsaturated polyester resin; SiO<sub>2</sub>; sol-gel process

## 1. Introduction

The recycling of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is carried out in two stages: mechanical and chemical. The first concerns PET bottle recollection, sorting, checking, grinding, washing, and impurity removal, to produce PET flakes [1]. The second concerns the degradation of the PET flakes at minimum molecular weight; the glycolysis process is one of most common [2–4], in which the PET reacts with glycols and a catalyst by transesterification to produce low-molecular weight terephthalic oligomers that react with maleic anhydride or other dibasic acids, and with vinyl monomer to synthetize unsaturated polyester resin (UPR) [5,6]. UPRs synthetized with PG have higher hardness and tensile strength than the same resins synthetized with ethylene glycol [5]. Various studies on the synthesis of recycled UPR from PET waste have been published [7–10].

Hybrid materials are molecular scale compounds which generally consist of organic and inorganic phases, combining their properties (flexibility and stiffness/strength, respectively) to improve their performance [11]. Some hybrid systems have been synthesized by sol-gel from tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) with a coupling agent [12–14] as 3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane [15] and 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane [16], which were used as anti-corrosion coatings, and with poly-dimethylsiloxane, used for the protection coating of historic stone sculptures [17]. 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate



**Citation:** Bórquez-Mendivil, A.; Hurtado-Macías, A.; Leal-Pérez, J.E.; Flores-Valenzuela, J.; Vargas-Ortíz, R.Á.; Cabrera-Covarrubias, F.G.; Almaral-Sánchez, J.L. Hybrid Coatings of SiO<sub>2</sub>–Recycled PET Unsaturated Polyester Resin by Sol-Gel Process. *Polymers* **2022**, *14*, 3280. https://doi.org/10.3390/ polym14163280

Academic Editors: Alexander Böker and Dimitrios Bikiaris

Received: 13 July 2022 Accepted: 8 August 2022 Published: 12 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). (TMSPM) is a coupling agent [18–20] which can link to R-UPR through C=C bonds and to hydrolyzed tetraethyl-orthosilicate through OH groups.

The adherence in hybrid coatings using coupling agents was evaluated by the ASTM D3359-17 [21] method and reported as 5B for SiO<sub>2</sub>–MMA and epoxy–SiO<sub>2</sub>–graphene systems [22,23]; the chemical interaction between the organic–inorganic phases has been identified by the FT-IR technique [24,25]. The UPR matrix reinforced with silica improved their thermal stability [26–28] and their mechanical properties [29]. The hybrid systems UPR-nanoclay and UPR-nanosilica were synthesized by the blending method and applied as 250  $\mu$ m and 1 mm thick coatings, respectively, on natural stone [30,31].

Searching the literature, no work was found on the SiO<sub>2</sub>–R-UPR hybrid coating by sol-gel process using TMSPM, and only one work was reported on the application of a commercial UPR on a ceramic (phosphogypsum wastes), to waterproof it, wherein the surface was modified through the aforementioned coupling agent in order to adhere it [32]. In this study, we elaborated hybrid coatings of R-UPR–SiO<sub>2</sub> by means of the synthesis of a solution by sol-gel process, where PET wastes were used for producing R-UPR; TEOS was used as a source of SiO<sub>2</sub>, and TMSPM as coupling agent to link them. The coatings were homogeneous, with thicknesses of micrometer units; roughness lower than a nanometer; good mechanical (hardness and Young's modulus) and thermal properties; good adherence to the glass substrate; and showing changes in hydrophilicity, which decreased as SiO<sub>2</sub> content decreased. The hybrid coatings produced could be used for the protection of historical monuments, for concrete structure protection, and as anti-corrosion protection.

### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Materials

PET waste of soft drink bottles was collected and cut to obtain flakes (1 cm<sup>2</sup>). PG ( $\geq$  99%), zinc acetate (ZnA), maleic anhydride (MA,  $\geq$ 99%), styrene (St), Luperox®A98, benzoyl peroxide (BPO,  $\geq$ 98%), and 2,5-Dimethylaniline (DMA,  $\geq$ 99%) were used for the synthesis and pre-polymerization of R–UPR. TEOS ( $\geq$ 98%), hydrochloric acid, distilled water, and ethanol (EtOH,  $\geq$ 99%) were used for the synthesis of SiO<sub>2</sub>. TMSPM (98%) was used as a silane coupling agent. All reagents were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich, Toluca, México. Corning Glass substrates (0.8–1.1 mm thickness) were supplied by PROLABO, Hermosillo, México.

#### 2.2. Hydrolysis of TEOS and TMSPM

The hydrolysis of TEOS was elaborated from precursor solution, using a 1:4:4 molar ratio of reactants for TEOS:EtOH:H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. They were then mixed at room temperature, with constant stirring for 30 min. After that, HCl at 0.01 M was added as a catalyst and stirred until a homogeneous and transparent appearance was observed.

The hydrolysis of TMSPM, previously disinhibited with NaOH, was elaborated from precursor solution, using a 1:2:4 molar ratio of reactants for TMSPM:EtOH:H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. After that, the experimental conditions and the added catalyst were the same as for the hydrolysis of TEOS.

## 2.3. Pre-Polymerization of R-UPR from PET Waste

The flakes obtained from PET waste were depolymerized by glycolysis in PG (95 wt% of PET) and ZnA (3 wt% of PET) as transesterification catalyst. The reaction was carried out in a Syrris Vessel-type reactor, located in the Facultad de Ingeniería Mochis of the Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa (FIM-UAS), with mechanical stirring and temperature steps of 20 °C until reaching 200 °C for 2 h. After that, the reaction mixture was dissolved in THF (20 wt% of mixture) and methanol (80 wt% of mixture) and then washed three times with distilled water in order to remove excess alcohol. After that, the product was filtered and dried in an oven at 75 °C for 24 h to obtain bis (2-hydroxy propyl terephthalate) (BHPT) as a deglycolyzed product. The unsaturated polyester (UP), which a molecular weight (Mw) of 380 g/mol, was prepared from a 1:1.1 molar ratio of BHPT:MA reaction,

with the same experimental conditions as the BHPT synthesis; the product was cooled to room temperature. Then, St monomer (30% vol./vol.) with Mw = 104 g/mol, previously disinhibited with NaOH at room temperature for 15 min was added to UP as a dissolvent and crosslinker agent, without the application of a catalyst to avoid polymerization. In this way, the R-UPR remained pre-polymerized, with approximately 561 Mw (considering one linked St monomer and a PBO radical of Mw = 77 g/mol).

#### 2.4. Synthesis of Hybrid Solution by Sol-Gel

The previously hydrolyzed TEOS solution was used as a blank, and additionally, three solutions were synthesized at room temperature by the sol-gel process, with molar ratios of 0:1:0 (TEOS), 1:2:0.25 (H1), 1:1:0.25 (H2), and 1:0:0.25 (H3) for R-UPR:TEOS:TMSPM, respectively. H1 and H2 were synthesized from TEOS (at different molar ratios), which were added to the hydrolyzed TMSPM and mixed with the initiator BPO (0.1 wt% R-UPR) and stirred for 15 min; then, the resulting mixture was added to the pre-polymerized R-UPR and stirred for 30 min. H3 was synthesized from hydrolyzed TMSPM, which was mixed with BPO (0.1 wt% R-UPR) as an initiator and stirred for 15 min; then, the resulting mixture was added to the pre-polymerized R-UPR and stirred for 15 min; then the resulting mixture for 15 min; then, the resulting mixture was added to the pre-polymerized R-UPR and stirred for 15 min; then the resulting mixture was added to the pre-polymerized R-UPR was added to the pre-polymerized R-UPR and stirred for 30 min.

## 2.5. Fabrication of Hybrid Coatings by Dip Coating

The previously synthesized solutions, TEOS, H1, H2 and H3, were used to elaborate hybrid coatings, named as TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3, respectively. The glass substrates, previously cleaned with EtOH, were coated via the dip coating method, with 30 cm/min immersion/extraction rate and 5 s immersion time. The fabricated coatings were polymerized in oven with air circulation at 120 °C for 24 h.

## 2.6. Characterization

## 2.6.1. FT-IR

The solutions were characterized by FT-IR to identify their main bonds. This study was carried out with a Nicolet iS50 spectrometer with transmission, located in the Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S.C. (CIMAV, Chihuahua, Mexico); the solutions were semi-condensed into an oven with air circulation at 120 °C for 24 h and then pulverized in an agate mortar and placed on the diamond surface of the attenuated total reflectance accessories in the wavelength range of 400–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## 2.6.2. TGA

The solutions were characterized by TGA to determine their thermal stability, which was performed with a TA Instrument SDT-Q600 Simultaneous Equipment in an oxygen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C/min and a temperature range of 0–800 °C (CIMAV, Chihuahua, Mexico). The samples were 8–15 mg; we evaluated their thermal stability after polymerization.

# 2.6.3. Thickness (SEM)

The hybrid coatings were examined with a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to measure their thickness; this was carried out in JEOL Mod. JSM5800LV SEM (CIMAV, Chihuahua, Mexico). Secondary Electrons were used and the detector type was COMPO, with 15.0 kV operating voltage,  $50,000 \times$  magnification, and 7.8 nm working distance.

#### 2.6.4. Mechanical Properties (Nanoindentation)

Nanomechanical properties, hardness (*H*), and Young's modulus (*E*) were obtained by nanoindentation, which was carried out using a Nanoindenter G200 with a Berkovich diamond tip (CIMAV, Chihuahua, Mexico); the nanoindentation measurements were performed with a  $3 \times 3$  matrix arrangement and with the condition of limiting the indentation depth to less than 10% of the coating thickness to ensure that only the coating properties were measured and avoid the influence of the substrate [33]. The nanoindentation measuring conditions used were the same for all samples: load maximum of 0.4 mN; load time of 10 s; No. load times of 1; and Poisson coefficient of 0.24, 0.40, 0.32 and 0.29 for TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3, respectively. The mechanical properties of the coatings were obtained from theory based on experiments conducted by Oliver and Pharr that involve data obtained in the nanoindentation test and characteristics of both the samples and the nanoindentation tip [34], which are described below.

The contact depth ( $h_c$ ) is calculated from Equation (1), with the data measured at the beginning of the unloading stage in the nanoindentation test (indentation load, *P*, total penetration, *h*, and contact stiffness, *S* (dP/dh)).

$$h_c = h_{max} - \varepsilon \frac{P_{max}}{S} \tag{1}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a constant ( $\varepsilon \approx 0.75$ ). To obtain the contact stiffness, *S*, a power-law type regression function is needed, which is fitted to the unloading curve. The contact area *A* is calculated from Equation (2), in which the calibration function (*f* = 24.5) and *h*<sub>c</sub> intervene.

$$A = f h_c^2 = 24.5 h_c^2 \tag{2}$$

The composite modulus ( $E_r$ ) is calculated from Equation (3), which depends on *S* and *A*, as shown below:

$$E_r = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta} \frac{S}{\sqrt{A}} \tag{3}$$

where  $\beta$  is the correction factor, which depends on the shape of the indenter ( $\beta \approx 1.07$  for Berkovich tip). The elastic modulus of the coating (*E*) is calculated with Equation 4 of  $E_r$ . This equation shows that  $E_r$  depends on the characteristics of both the sample (*E* and *v*, sample Poisson's ratio) and the indenter tip ( $E_i$  and  $v_i$ ).

$$\frac{1}{E_r} = \frac{1 - v^2}{E} + \frac{1 - v_i^2}{E_i} \tag{4}$$

The hardness, *H*, consists of the degree of resistance of the sample to local plastic deformation and can be obtained from Equation (5), with the ratio between  $P_{max}$  and *A*.

$$H = \frac{P_{max}}{A} \tag{5}$$

# 2.6.5. Adhesion Test

The adhesion strength of coatings was studied according with the cross-cut test method B established in ASTM D3359-17 ((FIM-UAS)), which describes the process to evaluate the coating adhesion in substrates in a laboratory. The level of adhesion strength was classified according to the removal area percentage in the coating after the test as follows: 0B (>65%), 1B (35–65%), 2B (15–35%), 3B (5–15%), 4B (<5%), and 5B (0%, no coating removal). Therefore, a coating classified as 0B shows very good adhesion, whilst one classified as 5B presents very poor adhesion.

#### 2.6.6. Roughness (AFM)

The roughness of the coatings was measured by a MFP3D-SA atomic force microscope (CIMAV, Chihuahua, Mexico). Standard tip for piezo response model: ASYELEC-01; material: silicon; coating: Ti/ir (5/20); operated at 70 KHz. The operation mode is Standard type, AC Air Topography, with a scan size 5  $\mu$ m by the mode AC air topography technique (tapping). Set point of 1.32 V and 256 points and lines.

## 2.6.7. Wetting Capacity (Contact Angle)

The wettability capacity (hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity) of the coatings was measured by means of an FTA 200 contact angle analyzer (First Ten Amstrongs, Portsmouth, VA, USA). The coated substrate samples were cut into pieces of 3 cm  $\times$  9 cm in size and placed horizontally on a sample platform. After that, a drop of distilled water (10 µL) was placed on the surface of the coating. The analyses were performed six times and the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation was obtained and is reported.

## 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Proposed Reaction Mechanism of the SiO<sub>2</sub>-R-UPR Hybrid Solution

Scheme 1 shows the proposed reaction mechanism of R-UPR, which is explained below. First, glycolysis of PET takes place, which is depolymerized by transesterification with the addition of PG to form BHPT. Next, BHPT reacts with MA, by polycondensation, to form UP. After that, UP reacts with St, which attacks its unsaturations and crosslinks with UPs or another St, to finally form a three-dimensional network, which is the R-UPR.



Scheme 1. Proposed reaction mechanism of R-UPR.

Scheme 2 shows the proposed reaction mechanism of the  $SiO_2$ –R-UPR hybrid solution by the sol-gel process, wherein it can be observed that hydrolyzed TEOS and hydrolyzed TMSPM (inorganic group) through polycondensation to produce the  $SiO_2$ –TMSPM hybrid solution [35,36]; then, a free radical of R-UPR binds to C=C of the organofunctional group



of TMSPM to form a simple covalent bond (C-C), and produces the copolymerization between  $SiO_2$ -TMSPM and R-UPR to form the  $SiO_2$ -R-UPR hybrid.

Scheme 2. Proposed reaction mechanism of SiO<sub>2</sub>–R-UPR hybrid solution by sol-gel process.

## 3.2. FT-IR

## 3.2.1. FT-IR of R-UPR Solution

Figure 1 shows the FT-IR spectra of PET, BHPT, UP, St, and R-UPR. These spectra were arbitrarily shifted along the transmittance axis. For BHPT, some differences with respect to PET can be observed: a broad band at 3350 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to the presence of OH groups and greater intensity in the bands 2972, 2936, and 2877 cm<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to the CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>, and CH groups, which indicate that the depolymerization of PET was carried out by glycolysis, through transesterification between the leaving group (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>–) of PET and the incoming one (-CH<sub>3</sub>CH(OH )CH<sub>2</sub>O-) of the PG [37–39]. For UP, the incorporation of a band at 1643 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to the C=C bond can be observed, due to polycondensation between BHPT and MA, with the latter contributing the detected unsaturations. For R-UPR, no bands were identified in the range of 1680–1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to the stretching of C=C); therefore, it does not present unsaturations, which indicates that crosslinking occurred between the sites of unsaturations observed in UP (1643 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and St (1601 cm<sup>-1</sup>) to form the R-UPR [10,40]. This demonstrates the chemical interaction between organic and inorganic phases by means of TMSPM, and therefore the formation of the hybrid solution.





# 3.2.2. FT-IR of R-UPR:TEOS:TMSPM Hybrid Solution

Figure 2 shows the infrared spectra, in transmittance (%) versus wavenumber (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of TEOS, TMSPM, H1, H2, H3, and R-UPR. The spectra were arbitrarily shifted along the transmittance axis, previously normalized to their maximum transmittance value. In FT-IR, only the main characteristic groups that interact to form the hybrid solutions were analyzed. In the TEOS spectrum, a broad band at 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a band at 1408 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to stretching and bending OH bonds, respectively, which is present in ethanol and water molecules and in the Si-OH bond formed during the hydrolysis of TEOS [41]. The bands are identified at 1159 y 1042 cm<sup>-1</sup>, attributed to the Si-O-Si and Si-O bonds, respectively, of the condensed TEOS. The band localized at 1042 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to the symmetric stretching of the Si-O bond present in the condensed TEOS molecule [42]. At 944 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 788 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the observed bands are associated with Si-OH groups produced in hydrolyzed TEOS [43–46]. At 1159 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a band like a shoulder is observed and attributed to the asymmetric stretching of the Si-O-Si bond present in the linear chains formed in the condensation of TEOS [47].

In the TMSPM spectrum, there is a broad band at 3396 cm<sup>-1</sup> which can be assigned to the presence of stretching OH, which is present in ethanol and water molecules and in the Si-OH bond formed during the hydrolysis of TMSPM; the band observed at 1192 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to the asymmetric stretching of the Si-O-Si bond of condensed TMSPM. These last three bonds are present in the inorganic part of TMSPM. At 2935 cm<sup>-1</sup>, there is an asymmetric stretching band associated to the presence of methyl groups; in addition, the bands observed at 1721 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to the asymmetric stretching of the C=O bond and the stretching of the bond C=C, respectively. These last three bonds are present in the organic part of TMSPM [48–50].



Figure 2. The FT-IR spectra of TEOS, TMSPM, H1, H2, H3, and R-UPR.

In the R-UPR spectrum, at 3398 cm<sup>-1</sup>, there is a broad band assigned to the free hydroxyl groups present in the olygoesters of deglycolyzed PET [37]; at 2974 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2920 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and 2882 cm<sup>-1</sup>, there are bands attributed to the C-H bonds present in CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>, and CH, respectively [38]. At 1714 cm<sup>-1</sup>, there is an intense band assigned to the stretching of the carbonyl group C=O; this bond is formed during PET glycolysis when PET reacts with propylene glycol [39]. In the range between 1680 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to the C=C stretching), no bands were identified (of UP and styrene), which were depleted in the crosslinking reaction of UP with styrene, indicating that the R-UPR was formed [10,40]. The 1452 cm<sup>-1</sup> band is assigned to the stretching of the C=C aromatic bond, attributed to styrene [51]. The band observed at 1263 cm<sup>-1</sup> is associated to the bond C-O-C; at 990 cm<sup>-1</sup>, there is a band assigned to the out-of-plane bending of CH bonds present in polyester [52]; at 730 cm<sup>-1</sup>, there is a band attributed to the bending of C-H aromatic bonds present in the styrene molecule [53].

The spectra of H1, H2, and H3 are quite similar; therefore, they are described together as follows. The bands at 1160 and 1071 cm<sup>-1</sup> correspond to the Si-O-Si and Si-O bonds, present in TEOS and TMSPM, indicating the interaction between the OH groups of hydrolyzed TMSPM with the OH groups of hydrolyzed TEOS [14]. Moreover, we identified C=C aromatic (1452 and 730 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and C=O (1714 cm<sup>-1</sup>) bonds attributed to the R-UPR, and CH<sub>2</sub> (2889 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and C=O (1714 cm<sup>-1</sup>) bonds attributed to the organofunctional groups of TMSPM; an absence of bands in the 1680–1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> range (C=C) was also observed, which indicates the chemical interaction between the pre-polymerized UPR and the TMSPM by free radical polymerization during the elaboration of the hybrid solution R\_UPR-SiO<sub>2</sub> [37]. This demonstrates the chemical interaction between organic and inorganic phases by means of TMSPM, and therefore the formation of the hybrid solution.

#### 3.3. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Figure 3 shows the thermogravimetric analysis of precursor materials TEOS, TMSPM, and R-UPR and hybrid solutions H1, H2, and H3. For TEOS, at 320 °C, a mass loss of 11% was observed, attributed to the elimination of adsorbed water and volatile products due to the condensation reaction; at 579 °C, a mass loss of 20% was observed, associated with incomplete hydrolysis of TEOS or residual -OH groups on the surface of the silica; at 800 °C, solid wastes of 79% were observed [54]. For TMSPM, at 272 °C, a mass loss of 4.5% was observed, attributed to the evaporation of water and condensation by-products; at 564 °C, a mass loss of 51.5% was observed, associated with the combustion of organic compounds; at 800 °C, solid wastes of 45.5% were observed [55]. For H1, at 192 °C, there was 4% loss of mass attributed to the evaporation of residual small molecules, such as water and ethanol; at 492 °C, a mass loss of 72.5% was observed, associated with the depolymerization of the R-UPR; at 594 °C, a mass loss of 83% occurred, associated with the degradation of residual organic compounds; at 800 °C, it was observed that 15% of the mass of the initial sample was conserved. For H2, at 193 °C, a mass loss of 3% was observed, assigned to the evaporation of water and ethanol; at 484 °C, there was a mass loss of 75%, attributed to the depolymerization of the organic phase; at 538 °C, a mass loss of 81% occurred due to the presence of dehydrated silanol groups in the SiO<sub>2</sub> network; at 582 °C, a mass loss of 86% was observed, attributed to the degradation of residual organic compounds; at 800 °C, the presence of 13.22% solid waste was observed. For H3, at 192 °C, 3.5% mass loss was observed, attributed to the evaporation of water and ethanol; at 474 °C, a mass loss of 80% was observed, attributed to the depolymerization of the organic phase; at 539 °C, a mass loss of 86.5% could be seen, assigned to the dehydration of silanol groups in the SiO<sub>2</sub> network [56]; at 616  $^{\circ}$ C, a decomposition of 93.5% was observed, attributed to the elimination of residual organic compounds; at 800 °C, the presence of 6.6% solid waste was observed. For R-UPR, at 205 °C, a mass loss of 5% was observed, assigned to water dehydration [57]; at 455 °C, a mass loss of 83% was observed, attributed to the depolymerization of the sample; at 553 °C, there was a mass loss of 100%, which indicates the degradation of the sample [26,58,59].



Figure 3. Thermogravimetric analysis of precursor materials TEOS, TMSPM, and R-UPR.

## 3.4. Thickness (SEM)

Figure 4 shows four SEM micrographs of the cross sections of the coatings with several thickness measurements, corresponding to (a) TC, (b) HC1, (c) HC2, and (d) HC3. From these measurements, we obtained the following average thicknesses: 1.50  $\mu$ m, 5.89  $\mu$ m, 8.11  $\mu$ m, and 8.91  $\mu$ m for TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3, respectively. The thicknesses of the coatings increased as the R-UPR content increased, and HC3 presents almost six times the thickness of TC.



**Figure 4.** Four SEM micrographs of the cross sections of the coatings with several thickness. Measurements correspond to TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3.

## 3.5. Mechanical Properties (Nanoindentation)

Figure 5 shows loading–unloading cycles for hybrid coatings with 0:1:0 (TC), 1:2:0.25 (HC1), 1:1:0.25 (HC2), and 1:0:0.25 (HC3) molar ratios of R-UPR:TEOS:TMSPM, respectively. The samples presented permanent deformation at the maximum load of 0.4 mN and showed maximum penetration depth of 149.9 nm, 218.2 nm, 386 nm, and 429.1 nm for TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3, respectively. These values are less than 10% of the thickness obtained (by SEM) for each coating, which indicates that the substrate does not influence the measurement of the mechanical properties [33]. In addition, these values indicate a decrease in the plastic behavior of the coatings due to the increase in the amounts of silica.

Figure 6 shows the mechanical properties, H and E, versus the penetration depth of the HC3, HC2, HC1, and TC coatings. It can be observed that as the silica content increased, the depth of penetration decreased and the mechanical properties (hardness and elastic modulus) increased. This indicates that the silica reinforced the R-UPR. The improvement of the mechanical properties in the hybrid coatings agrees with Taber's research; as the TEOS content increases, the formation of Si-O-Si bonds tends to increase [60].



**Figure 5.** Loading–unloading cycles for hybrid coatings with 0:1:0 (TC), 1:2:0.5 (HC1), 1:1:0.5 (HC2), and 1:0:0.5 (HC3) molar ratios of R-UPR:TEOS:TMSPM.



Figure 6. The mechanical properties of coatings, H and E, versus penetration depth.

#### 3.6. Adhesion (ASTM D3359-17)

Figure 7 shows photographs corresponding to samples tested by cross-cut test method described in ASTM D3359-17, which we can observe a removed area percent of 0%, due the edges of cuts are completely smooth, and no square of the mesh showed detachment. Therefore, in according with adhesion classification described above, samples correspond to 5B, which indicates a perfect adhesion of the coatings with the glass substrate, both in and in the hybrid coatings, in which it was also demonstrated that they had a homogeneous structure: HC1 and HC2 (R-UPR–TMSPM–TEOS) and HC3 (R-UPR–TMSPM).



**Figure 7.** Photographs corresponding to samples tested by cross-cut test method described in ASTM D3359-17.

## 3.7. Roughness (AFM)

Figure 8 shows the tridimensional images of roughness with an area of 5  $\mu$ m  $\times$  5  $\mu$ m in the surface of the TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3 coatings, and a representative transversal section of each one, while Table 1 lists the roughness parameters of the hybrid coatings. The  $R_a$  parameter is the arithmetic average of the absolute deviations of the roughness profile from the mean line, R<sub>ms</sub> is the is the root mean square of the profile deviations from the mean line, and R<sub>pv</sub> represents the difference between the peak (highest value) and valley (lowest value) of the scanned area. The obtained R<sub>a</sub> values range from 0.480 nm to 0.818 nm, lower than one nanometer. This shows that there was homogeneity; excellent co-solubility behavior; and a good dispersion between precursor agents to form TC; a good coupling between TEOS, TMSPM, and R-UPR to form HC1 and HC2; and a good coupling was between R-UPR and TMPSPM to form HC3. The R<sub>ms</sub> of samples varies from 0.656 nm to 1.122 nm, indicating flat surfaces with very little roughness, which confirms the description of Ra. The Rpv values for TC, HC1, and HC2 were similar, indicating a higher degree of smoothness of the coating films on these samples was formed; this was not so for HC3, where its R<sub>pv</sub> value was slightly higher than other ones, indicating a lesser degree of smoothness of the coating films in this sample.

Table 1. The roughness parameters of the hybrid coatings.

Sample	R <sub>a</sub> (nm)	R <sub>ms</sub> (nm)	R <sub>pv</sub> (nm)
TC	0.592	0.778	8.934
HC1	0.480	0.656	10.670
HC2	0.818	1.040	12.657
HC3	0.808	1.122	24.636



**Figure 8.** The tridimensional images of roughness by AFM, with an area of 5  $\mu$ m  $\times$  5  $\mu$ m in the surface of the TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3 coatings.

## 3.8. Wettability Capacity (Contact Angle)

Figure 9 shows contact angles of  $55.3^{\circ}$ ,  $56.4^{\circ}$ ,  $63.6^{\circ}$ ,  $66.3^{\circ}$ , and  $70.2^{\circ}$ , for glass substrate (GS), TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3, respectively. We can observe that GS and TC have similar values, with contact angles smaller (hydrophilicity higher) than the other ones, which is due to their higher SiO<sub>2</sub> content. In addition, it can be seen in the hybrid coatings HC1 and HC2 that as the SiO<sub>2</sub> content decreases and the R-UPR content remains constant, the contact angle increases, indicating a decrease in hydrophilicity and an increase in hydrophobicity, until it becomes more noticeable in HC3, whose coating has a higher R-UPR content and minimum SiO<sub>2</sub> content, only provided by the coupling agent. These results confirm the influence of the opposite properties of both compounds, since SiO<sub>2</sub> is hydrophilic and R-UPR is hydrophobic.



**Figure 9.** Contact angles of 55.3°, 56.4°, 63.6°, 66.3°, and 70.2° for glass substrate (GS), TC, HC1, HC2, and HC3, respectively.

## 4. Conclusions

Hybrid coatings of SiO<sub>2</sub>–recycled PET unsaturated polyester resin were successfully elaborated by the sol-gel process and dip coating technique.

Two proposals for reaction mechanisms were established: (1) The reaction mechanism of R-UPR, which showed the glycolysis process of PET by using PG to form BHPT, the polycondensation of BHPT-MA to form UP, and UP–St crosslinking to form R-UPR. (2) The reaction mechanism of the  $SiO_2$ –R-UPR hybrid solution, which showed the interaction between TEOS and the inorganic group of TMSPM and between R-UPR and the organic group of TMSPM, to form the  $SiO_2$ –R-UPR hybrid.

The synthesis of R-UPR from BHPT (PET glycolysis) was confirmed. First, the depolymerization of PET by glycolysis and transformation to BHPT was verified, by observing bonds corresponding to the OH, CH3, CH2, and CH groups in the FT-IR spectrum of the latter, which PET does not have. Subsequently, the polycondensation of BHPT to form UP was verified by the incorporation of a band at 1643 cm-1 attributed to the C=C bond (unsaturation), and the formation of R-UPR is indicated as the C=C bond is not found in its spectrum. FT-IR indicated that crosslinking occurred between the unsaturation sites (C=C bond) in UP and St.

The chemical interaction between the organic and inorganic phases by means of TMSPM and thus the formation of the hybrid solution was demonstrated. The H1, H2, and H3 spectra presented very similar FT-IR spectra, in which Si-O-Si and Si-O bonds were observed, present in TEOS and TMSPM, indicating the interaction between the OH groups of hydrolyzed TMSPM with the OH groups of hydrolyzed TEOS. On the other hand, the aromatic C=C and C=O bonds attributed to the R-UPR and CH2 and C=O bonds corresponding to the organofunctional groups of TMSPM were identified, in addition to the absence of C=C bond, which indicated the chemical interaction between the prepolymerized UPR and the TMSPM by free radicals.

SiO<sub>2</sub> reinforced two main properties of R-UPR. (1) Thermal stability, since as its proportion increased in the hybrid solution, its thermal stability substantially improved, which was confirmed by observing in the TGA that TEOS, TMSPM, H1, H2, H3, and R-UPR showed successively from higher to lower thermal stability, and (2) mechanical

properties, which were confirmed through being observed in the nanoindentation test; as the silica content increased in the HC3, HC2, HC1, and TC coatings, the depth of penetration decreased and the mechanical properties (hardness and elastic modulus) increased.

The thicknesses of the coatings were obtained for SEM, which varied from 1.50 to 8.91  $\mu$ m; thicknesses increased as the R-UPR content increased, and HC3 presents almost six times the thickness of TC.

The TC and the hybrid coatings (HC1, HC2, and HC3) were classified as 5B according to adhesion test, ASTM D3359-17. This means that the coatings had perfect adhesion with the glass substrate and show a homogeneous structure.

The coatings presented an average roughness of less than one nanometer, which showed their homogeneity, excellent co-solubility behavior, and good dispersion between the precursor agents to form TC, as well as good coupling through the TMSPM to crosslink the organic and inorganic phases (TEOS and R-UPR) of the hybrid coatings.

The hydrophilicity decreased and the hydrophobicity increased in the coatings as the  $SiO_2$  content decreased and the R-UPR content remained constant, which confirmed the influence of the opposite properties of both compounds, since  $SiO_2$  is hydrophilic and R-UPR is hydrophobic.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.B.-M., A.H.-M., J.E.L.-P., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O., F.G.C.-C. and J.L.A.-S.; formal analysis, A.B.-M., A.H.-M., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O., F.G.C.-C. and J.L.A.-S.; funding acquisition, A.B.-M., A.H.-M. and J.L.A.-S.; investigation, A.B.-M., J.F.-V. and J.L.A.-S.; methodology, A.B.-M., J.E.L.-P., J.F.-V. and J.L.A.-S.; project administration, J.L.A.-S.; writing—original draft, A.B.-M., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O. and J.L.A.-S.; writing—review and editing, A.B.-M., A.H.-M., J.E.L.-P., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O., F.G.C.-C. and J.L.A.-S.; writing—review and editing, A.B.-M., A.H.-M., J.E.L.-P., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O., F.G.C.-C. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S.; writing—review and editing, A.B.-M., A.H.-M., J.E.L.-P., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O., F.G.C.-C. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S.; writing—review and editing, A.B.-M., A.H.-M., J.E.L.-P., J.F.-V., R.Á.V.-O., F.G.C.-C. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S. and J.L.A.-S. and adjust andjust and adjust and adjust and adjust and adjust andjust and a

**Funding:** This research was funded by (A) The DGIP at the Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa (UAS) through the PROFAPI2022/PRO\_A8\_027 Project; (B) The Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), who gave support through scholarships No. 924109 and 775836; and (C) The Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S.C. (CIMAV), who supported the infrastructure and equipment for the development of this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable for studies not involving humans or animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable for studies not involving humans.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the DGIP of the Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa (UAS) for their financial support through the PROFAPI2022/PRO\_A8\_027 Project, the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) for their support through scholarships No. 924109 and 775836, and the Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S.C. (CIMAV, Chihuahua, Mexico) for their support in the infrastructure and equipment for the development of this work. Additionally, the authors thank CIMAV technicians (César Cutberto Leyva Porras, Daniel Lardizabal Gutierrez, Oscar Solís Canto, Lic. Luis De la Torre Sáenz, Mónica Elvira Mendoza Duarte, and Ing. Roberto Pablo Talamantes Soto) for their important help in the laboratory.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Sheel, A.; Pant, D. 4-Chemical Depolymerization of PET Bottles via Glycolysis. In *Plastics Design Library, Recycling of Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles*, 1st ed.; Thomas, S., Rane, A., Kanny, K., Abithia, V.K., Thomas, M.G., Eds.; William Andrew Publishing: Oxford, UK, 2019; pp. 61–84. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Nelson, A.; Toland, J.; Holbrey, J.D. Glycolysis of PET Using 1,3-Dimethylimidazolium-2-Carboxylate as an Organocatalyst. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 13362–13368. [CrossRef]
- Lalhmangaihzuala, S.; Laldinpuii, Z.; Lalmuanpuia, C.; Vanlaldinpuia, K. Glycolysis of Poly(Ethylene Terephthalate) Using Biomass-Waste Derived Recyclable Heterogeneous Catalyst. *Polymers* 2021, *13*, 37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kárpáti, L.; Fogarassy, F.; Kovácsik, D.; Vargha, V. One-Pot Depolymerization and Polycondensation of PET Based Random Oligoand Polyesters. J. Polym. Environ. 2019, 27, 2167–2181. [CrossRef]
- Öztürk, Y.; Güçlü, G. Unsaturated Polyester Resins Obtained from Glycolysis Products of Waste PET. *Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng.* 2005, 43, 1539–1552. [CrossRef]

- 6. Fink, J.K. (Ed.) 1-Unsaturated Polyester Resins. In *Plastics Design Library, Reactive Polymers: Fundamentals and Applications: A Concise Guide to Industrial Polymers,* 3rd ed.; William Andrew Publishing: Chadds Ford, PA, USA, 2018; pp. 1–69. [CrossRef]
- Vaidya, U.R.; Nadkarni, V.M. Unsaturated polyester resins from poly(ethylene terephthalate) waste. 1. Synthesis and characterization. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 1987, 26, 194–198. [CrossRef]
- 8. Lozano-Escárcega, R.J.; Sánchez-Anguiano, M.G.; Serrano, T.; Chen, J.Y.; Gómez, I. Synthesis of unsaturated polyester resin from waste cellulose and polyethylene terephthalate. *Polym. Bull.* **2019**, *76*, 4157–4188. [CrossRef]
- Chinchillas-Chinchillas, M.J.; Orozco-Carmona, V.M.; Alvarado-Beltrán, C.G.; Almaral-Sánchez, J.L.; Sepulveda-Guzman, S.; Jasso-Ramos, L.E.; Castro-Beltrán, A. Synthesis of Recycled Poly(ethylene terephthalate)/Polyacrylonitrile/Styrene Composite Nanofibers by Electrospinning and Their Mechanical Properties Evaluation. J. Polym. Environ. 2019, 27, 659–669. [CrossRef]
- Wilson-García, N.A.; Almaral-Sánchez, J.L.; Álvaro-Ortiz, R.A.; Hurtado-Macías, A.; Flores-Ramírez, N.; Aguilar-Palazuelos, E.; Flores-Valenzuela, J.; Castro-Beltrán, A.; Alvarado-Beltrán, C.G. Physical and mechanical properties of unsaturated polyester resin matrix from recycled PET (based PG) with corn straw fiber. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2021, 9, 51305. [CrossRef]
- Kickelbick, G. (Ed.) 1-Introduction to Hybrid Materials. In Hybrid Materials: Synthesis, Characterization, and Applications, 1st ed.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2007; pp. 1–48.
- Hernández-Barrios, C.A.; Cuao, C.A.; Jaimes, J.A.; Coy, A.E.; Viejo, F. Effect of the catalyst concentration, the immersion time and the aging time on the morphology, composition and corrosion performance of TEOS-GPTMS sol-gel coatings deposited on the AZ31 magnesium alloy. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 2017, 325, 257–269. [CrossRef]
- 13. Yan, H.; Yuanhao, W.; Hongxing, Y. TEOS/silane coupling agent composed double layers structure: A novel super-hydrophilic coating with controllable water contact angle value. *Appl. Energy* **2017**, *185*, 2209–2216. [CrossRef]
- 14. Tiringer, U.; Milošev, I.; Durán, A.; Castro, Y. Hybrid sol–gel coatings based on GPTMS/TEOS containing colloidal SiO<sub>2</sub> and cerium nitrate for increasing corrosion protection of aluminium alloy 7075-T6. J. Sol.-Gel. Sci. Technol. **2018**, 85, 546–557. [CrossRef]
- Costenaro, H.; Lanzutti, A.; Paint, Y.; Fedrizzi, L.; Terada, M.; de Melo, H.G.; Olivier, M.G. Corrosion resistance of 2524 Al alloy anodized in tartaric-sulphuric acid at different voltages and protected with a TEOS-GPTMS hybrid sol-gel coating. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 2017, 324, 438–450. [CrossRef]
- 16. Hamidon, T.S.; Hussin, M.H. Susceptibility of hybrid sol-gel (TEOS-APTES) doped with caffeine as potent corrosion protective coatings for mild steel in 3.5 wt.% NaCl. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2020**, *140*, 105478. [CrossRef]
- 17. Liu, Y.; Liu, J. Fabrication of TEOS/PDMS/F127 hybrid coating materials for conservation of historic stone sculptures. *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process.* **2016**, 122, 743. [CrossRef]
- Almaral-Sánchez, J.L.; Rubio, E.; Mendoza-Galván, A.; Ramírez-Bon, R. Red colored transparent PMMA-SiO<sub>2</sub> hybrid films. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2005, 66, 1660–1667. [CrossRef]
- Dao, P.H.; Nguyen, T.C.; Phung, T.L.; Nguyen, T.D.; Nguyen, A.H.; Vu, T.L.; Vu, Q.T.; Vu, D.T.; Tran, T.K.; Thai, H. Assessment of Some Characteristics and Properties of Zirconium Dioxide Nanoparticles Modified with 3-(Trimethoxysilyl) Propyl Methacrylate Silane Coupling Agent. J. Chem. 2021, 2021, 9925355. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, T.C.; Nguyen, T.D.; Vu, D.T.; Dinh, D.P.; Nguyen, A.H.; Ly, T.N.L.; Dao, P.H.; Nguyen, T.L.; Bach, L.G.; Hoang, T. Modification of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles with 3-(Trimethoxysilyl)propyl Methacrylate Silane Coupling Agent. *J. Chem.* 2020, 2020, 1381407. [CrossRef]
- 21. *ASTM D3359-17*; Standard Test Methods for Rating Adhesion by Tape Test. ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017. [CrossRef]
- 22. Pang, B.; Ji, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Ni, H.; Liu, G.; She, W.; Yang, L.; Qian, R. Effect of the combined treatment with inorganic and organic agents on the surface hardening and adhesion properties of cement-based materials. *Mater. Des.* **2019**, *169*, 107673. [CrossRef]
- 23. Rubio, E.; Almaral, J.; Ramírez-Bon, R.; Castaño, V.; Rodríguez, V. Organic-inorganic hybrid coating (poly(methyl methacrylate)/monodisperse silica). *Opt. Mater.* **2005**, *27*, 1266–1269. [CrossRef]
- Li, M.; Zhao, R.; Ma, S.; Yang, T. Scale Deposition Inhibiting Composites by HDPE/Silicified Acrylate Polymer/Nano-Silica for Landfill Leachate Piping. *Materials* 2020, 13, 3497. [CrossRef]
- Rusmirović, J.D.; Trifković, K.T.; Bugarski, B.; Pavlović, V.B.; Dzunuzović, J.; Tomić, M.; Marinković, A.D. High performance unsaturated polyester based nanocomposites: Effect of vinyl modified nanosilica on mechanical properties. *Express Polym. Lett.* 2016, 10, 139–159. [CrossRef]
- 26. Bautista, Y.; Gozalbo, A.; Mestre, S.; Sanz, V. Thermal degradation mechanism of a thermostable polyester stabilized with an open-cage oligomeric silsesquioxane. *Materials* **2017**, *11*, 22. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, J.; Xu, M.; Zhang, X.; Leng, Y.; He, Y.; Li, B. Preparation of highly efficient flame retardant unsaturated polyester resin by exerting the fire resistant effect in gaseous and condensed phase simultaneously. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* 2019, 30, 1684–1695. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, Y.; Khlyustova, A.; Chen, P.; Yang, R. Kinetics of All-Dry Free Radical Polymerization under Nanoconfinement. *Macro-molecules* 2020, 53, 10699–10710. [CrossRef]
- 29. Halim, Z.A.A.; Yajid, M.A.M.; Idris, M.H.; Hamdan, H. Effects of Rice Husk Derived Amorphous Silica on the Thermal-Mechanical Properties of Unsaturated Polyester Composites. *J. Macromol. Sci. Part B Phys.* **2018**, *57*, 479–496. [CrossRef]
- 30. Morote-Martínez, V.; Torregrosa-Coque, R.; Martín-Martínez, J.M. Addition of unmodified nanoclay to improve the performance of unsaturated polyester resin coating on natural stone. *Int. J. Adhes. Adhes.* **2011**, *31*, 154–163. [CrossRef]

- 31. Morote-Martínez, V.; Pascual-Sánchez, V.; Martín-Martínez, J.M. Improvement in mechanical and structural integrity of natural stone by applying unsaturated polyester resin-nanosilica hybrid thin coating. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2008**, *44*, 3146–3155. [CrossRef]
- 32. Zhou, J.; Zhang, Y.; Shu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Yakubu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, X. Enhancing waterproof performance of phosphogypsum non-fired ceramics by coating silane-coupled unsaturated polyester resin. *Mater. Lett.* **2019**, 252, 52–55. [CrossRef]
- Chen, S.H.; Liu, L.; Wang, T.C. Small scale, grain size and substrate effects in nano-indentation experiment of film-substrate systems. Int. J. Solids Struct. 2007, 44, 4492–4504. [CrossRef]
- Oliver, W.C.; Pharr, G.M. An improved technique for determining hardness and elastic modulus using load and displacement sensing indentation experiments. J. Mater. Res. 1992, 7, 1564–1583. [CrossRef]
- 35. Mohammed, A.H.; Ahmad, M.B.; Ibrahim, N.A.; Zainuddin, N. Effect of crosslinking concentration on properties of 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate/N-vinyl pyrrolidone gels. *Chem. Cent. J.* **2018**, *12*, 15. [CrossRef]
- Fan, X.; Gu, S.; Wu, L.; Yang, L. Preparation and characterization of thermoresponsive poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) copolymers with enhanced hydrophilicity. *E-Polymers* 2020, 20, 561–570. [CrossRef]
- Chabros, A.; Gawdzik, B.; Podkościelna, B.; Goliszek, M.; Paczkowski, P. Composites of unsaturated polyester resins with microcrystalline cellulose and its derivatives. *Materials* 2020, 13, 62. [CrossRef]
- Tawfik, M.E. Preparation and characterization of water-extended polyester based on recycled poly(ethylene terephthalate). J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2003, 89, 3693–3699. [CrossRef]
- 39. Rodriguez, E.L. The effect of free radical initiators and fillers on the cure of unsaturated polyester resins. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **1991**, *31*, 1022–1028. [CrossRef]
- Kirshanov, K.; Toms, R.; Melnikov, P.; Gervald, A. Unsaturated Polyester Resin Nanocomposites Based on Post-Consumer Polyethylene Terephthalate. *Polymers* 2022, 14, 1602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Bertoluzza, A.; Fagnano, C.; Morelli, M.A.; Gottardi, V.; Guglielmi, M. Raman and infrared spectra on silica gel evolving toward glass. *J. Non. Cryst. Solids* **1982**, *48*, 117–128. [CrossRef]
- Matos, M.C.; Ilharco, L.M.; Almeida, R.M. The evolution of TEOS to silica gel and glass by vibrational spectroscopy. J. Non. Cryst. Solids 1992, 147–148, 232–237. [CrossRef]
- Cruz-Quesada, G.; Espinal-Viguri, M.; López-Ramón, M.V.; Garrido, J.J. Hybrid xerogels: Study of the sol-gel process and local structure by vibrational spectroscopy. *Polymers* 2021, 13, 2082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Niznansky, D.; Rehspringer, J.L. Infrared study of SiO<sub>2</sub> sol to gel evolution and gel aging. *J. Non. Cryst. Solids* **1995**, *180*, 191–196. [CrossRef]
- 45. Viart, N.; Rehspringer, J.L. Study of the action of formamide on the evolution of a sol by pH measurements and Fourier transformed infra-red spectroscopy. *J. Non. Cryst. Solids* **1996**, *195*, 223–231. [CrossRef]
- Tellez, L.; Rubio, J.; Rubio, F.; Morales, E.; Oteo, J.L. Synthesis of inorganic-organic hybrid materials from TEOS, TBT and PDMS. J. Mater. Sci. 2003, 38, 1773–1780. [CrossRef]
- Clark, D.E. Infrared spectroscopy of silica sols-effects of water concentration, catalyst, and aging. Spectrosc. Lett. 1992, 25, 201–220. [CrossRef]
- Mohammed, A.H.; Ahmad, M.B.; Ibrahim, N.A.; Zainuddin, N. Synthesis and monomer reactivity ratios of [3(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate/N-vinyl pyrrolidone] copolymer. *Int. J. Chem. Sci.* 2016, 14, 2279–2291.
- 49. Salgado-Delgado, R.; Salgado-Delgado, A.M. Theoretical and experimental spectroscopic analysis by FTIR in the effect of the silanes on the chemical modification of the surface of rice husk. *Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl.* **2016**, *6*, 4–7.
- 50. Saputra, R.E.; Astuti, Y.; Darmawan, A. Hydrophobicity of silica thin films: The deconvolution and interpretation by Fouriertransform infrared spectroscopy. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2018**, *199*, 12–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Suyama, K.; Kubota, M.; Shirai, M.; Yoshida, H. Chemical recycling of networked polystyrene derivatives using subcritical water in the presence of an aminoalcohol. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2010**, *95*, 1588–1592. [CrossRef]
- 52. Duque-Ingunza, I.; López-Fonseca, R.; de Rivas, B.; Gutiérrez-Ortiz, J.I. Synthesis of unsaturated polyester resin from glycolysed postconsumer PET wastes. *J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag.* **2013**, *15*, 256–263. [CrossRef]
- Stuart, B.H. Infrared Spectroscopy: Fundamentals and Applications, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2004; pp. 71–93.
- 54. De Oliveira Nassor, E.C.; Ávila, L.R.; Dos Santos Pereira, P.F.; Ciuffi, K.J.; Calefi, P.S.; Nassar, E.J. Influence of the hydrolysis and condensation time on the preparation of hybrid materials. *Mater. Res.* **2011**, *14*, 1. [CrossRef]
- Mat Rozi, N.; Hamid, H.A.; Hossain, M.S.; Khalil, N.A.; Ahmad Yahaya, A.N.; Syimir Fizal, A.N.; Haris, M.Y.; Ahmad, N.; Zulkifli, M. Enhanced Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Modified Oil Palm Fiber-Reinforced Polypropylene Composite via Multi-Objective Optimization of In Situ Silica Sol-Gel Synthesis. *Polymers* 2021, 13, 3338. [CrossRef]
- 56. Criado, M.; Sobrados, I.; Sanz, J. Polymerization of hybrid organic-inorganic materials from several silicon compounds followed by TGA/DTA, FTIR and NMR techniques. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2014**, 77, 880–891. [CrossRef]
- 57. Lin, Y.; Yu, B.; Jin, X.; Song, L.; Hu, Y. Study on thermal degradation and combustion behavior of flame retardant unsaturated polyester resin modified with a reactive phosphorus containing monomer. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 49633–49642. [CrossRef]
- Kandare, E.; Kandola, B.K.; Price, D.; Nazaré, S.; Horrocks, R.A. Study of the thermal decomposition of flame-retarded unsaturated polyester resins by thermogravimetric analysis and Py-GC/MS. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 2008, *93*, 1996–2006. [CrossRef]

- 59. Ren, K.; Tsai, Y. Thermal hazard characteristics of unsaturated polyester resin mixed with hardeners. *Polymers* **2021**, *13*, 522. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Yahyaei, H.; Mohseni, M. Use of nanoindentation and nanoscratch experiments to reveal the mechanical behavior of sol-gel prepared nanocomposite films on polycarbonate. *Tribol. Int.* **2013**, *57*, 147–155. [CrossRef]