SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 4 April 2018 Accepted: 6 June 2018 Published online: 25 June 2018

Hybrids of amphibian chytrid show high virulence in native hosts

S. E. Greenspan¹, C. Lambertini², T. Carvalho², T. Y. James³, L. F. Toledo², C. F. B. Haddad⁴ & C. G. Becker¹

Hybridization of parasites can generate new genotypes with high virulence. The fungal amphibian parasite *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) hybridizes in Brazil's Atlantic Forest, a biodiversity hotspot where amphibian declines have been linked to *Bd*, but the virulence of hybrid genotypes in native hosts has never been tested. We compared the virulence (measured as host mortality and infection burden) of hybrid *Bd* genotypes to the parental lineages, the putatively hypovirulent lineage *Bd*-Brazil and the hypervirulent Global Pandemic Lineage (*Bd*-GPL), in a panel of native Brazilian hosts. In *Brachycephalus ephippium*, the hybrid exceeded the virulence (host mortality) of both parents, suggesting that novelty arising from hybridization of *Bd* is a conservation concern. In *Ischnocnema parva*, host mortality in the hybrid treatment was intermediate between the parent treatments, suggesting that this species is more vulnerable to the aggressive phenotypes associated with *Bd*-GPL. *Dendropsophus minutus* showed low overall mortality, but infection burdens were higher in frogs treated with hybrid and *Bd*-GPL genotypes than with *Bd*-Brazil genotypes. Our experiment suggests that *Bd* hybrids have the potential to increase disease risk in native hosts. Continued surveillance is needed to track potential spread of hybrid genotypes and detect future genomic shifts in this dynamic disease system.

The host-parasite dynamic is a classic example of an evolutionary arms race; hosts face pressure to evolve defenses against parasites, while parasites face pressure to overcome host defenses^{1,2}. Compared to vertebrate hosts, parasites have the advantages of short generation times and large population sizes, which may promote rapid rates of evolution³. In many cases, vertebrate hosts overcome parasite pressure through sexual recombination, which allows for the forming of new and potentially beneficial gene combinations^{4–6}. However, many parasites also possess dynamic genomes, further increasing their evolutionary potential^{7,8}. Pathogen recombination through hybridization occurs when previously isolated lineages come into contact, a process which has intensified in our increasingly globalized world^{7,9–11}. As a result, hybridization of parasites is an emerging concern for biodiversity conservation^{9,12,13}.

Traits of hybrid parasites may differ in various ways from the parental lineages^{10,14}. One possible outcome of hybridization is 'hybrid vigor' in which phenotypes exceed the ranges of the parent populations through mechanisms such as additive, complementary, or dominance gene interactions^{14–17}. Disease progression can change rapidly to the detriment of hosts if fitness components such as parasite fecundity, infectivity, transmission, virulence, reproductive rate, ability to exploit novel host resources, or ability to evade host immunity are enhanced through hybridization^{13,18}. For example, the mobility of a hybrid cucumber mosaic virus (genus *Cucumovirus*) was enhanced by a protein from the congeneric tomato aspermy virus¹⁹ and the viability and replicability of a hybrid avian influenza virus were enhanced by a protein segment from a human influenza virus²⁰. Similarly, hybrids of the fungal insect pathogen *Beauveria* expressed the unique insecticidal functions of both parents, increasing the biocontrol efficiency against moth and beetle crop pests^{21,22}. In addition, enhanced physiological traits such as tolerance of heat or host toxicity could allow for expansion of the geographic ranges or host specificities of parasites¹⁶. For instance, in the fungal plant pathogen *Phytophthora*, hybrids colonized an expanded array of hosts compared to the parent fungi^{10,23}.

¹Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, 35487, USA. ²Laboratório de História Natural de Anfíbios Brasileiros (LaHNAB), Departamento de Biologia Animal, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, SP, 13083-862, Brazil. ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA. ⁴Department of Zoology and Aquaculture Center (CAUNESP), Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rio Claro, SP, 13506-900, Brazil. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.E.G. (email: sasha.greenspan@gmail.com) or C.G.B. (email: guilherme.becker@ua.edu) Alternatively, hybridization may produce phenotypes that are intermediate between the parental populations, a form of 'hybrid breakdown' that results from incompatibilities between interacting genes¹⁷. For instance, the proportion of deaths of guinea pigs infected with *E. coli-Shigella* hybrids was intermediate between those of guinea pigs infected with the parental bacteria²⁴. Similarly, the average time until death in mice infected with a hybrid pseudorabies virus (genus *Varicellovirus*) was intermediate between those of mice infected with the parental viruses²⁵. First generation crosses often show hybrid vigor, whereas later generation crosses often show hybrid breakdown because of disruption of co-adapted gene complexes²⁶.

The fungal parasite *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) causes the potentially lethal amphibian disease chytridiomycosis²⁷. During its complex evolutionary history, *Bd* diverged into multiple genetic lineages which primarily reproduce clonally²⁸. Epizootic outbreaks of chytridiomycosis are predominantly associated with spread of the most recently derived lineage of *Bd*, termed the Global Panzootic Lineage (*Bd*-GPL). These outbreaks occurred in the Andes²⁹, Brazil³⁰, Central America³¹, the Caribbean³², California³³, Spain³⁴, Cameroon³⁵, New Zealand³⁶, and eastern Australia³⁷, mostly in the 1970s–2000s. In contrast to *Bd*-GPL, *Bd* lineages of Brazilian³⁸, Chinese³⁹, Japanese⁴⁰, Korean⁴¹, Swiss, and South African³² origin diverged early in the evolutionary history of *Bd* and have not been directly linked to host population declines. Early diverging *Bd* lineages show high genetic structure, indicating long-term presence of *Bd* in select geographic areas^{28,39–42}. Moreover, low incidence, prevalence, host burden, and host mortality associated with some ancestral lineages ^{32,39–41,43,44}, despite environmental suitability for *Bd*^{413,45}, has led to the hypothesis that older *Bd* lineages are locally hypovirulent toward native hosts as an outcome of long-term co-evolution^{41–43,46}. However, controlled studies have not been undertaken to determine the relative virulence of global and putatively enzootic *Bd* genotypes across panels of local hosts.

The Atlantic Forest of southeastern Brazil is a major amphibian biodiversity hotspot⁴⁷, despite high levels of deforestation and forest fragmentation^{48,49}, and is the only known region in which divergent lineages of *Bd* coexist and hybridize^{38,42}. The enzootic Brazilian lineage of *Bd* (*Bd*-Brazil) occurs in the southern Atlantic Forest, where it appears to have evolved with a relatively small group of endemic host species against a backdrop of specific microclimatic conditions⁴². In contrast, *Bd*-GPL appears to be effective at dispersing across the microclimatically diverse landscape of this region and infects a wide array of native and introduced amphibian hosts⁴², despite estimates that it was introduced to the Atlantic Forest only within the last few centuries⁵⁰. Hybrid genotypes between *Bd*-Brazil and *Bd*-GPL were recently detected in a mountain range within the geographic range of *Bd*-Brazil^{38,42}. Amphibian declines and extirpations in the Atlantic Forest have been linked to *Bd*³⁰, but it is unknown whether outbreaks resulted from the introduction of *Bd*-GPL, an increase in virulence of *Bd*-Brazil, the emergence of GPL-Brazil hybrids, or some combination of these factors³⁰. Thus, testing the relative virulence of these genotypes is a research priority, especially considering that the section of the Atlantic Forest in which amphibian declines and extirpations were most strongly linked to *Bd* overlaps with the hybrid zone³⁰.

Our aim was to compare the virulence (ability to cause harm to the host) of hybrid *Bd* genotypes to the parental lineages *Bd*-Brazil and *Bd*-GPL, in native Brazilian hosts. In keeping with the hypothesis that *Bd*-Brazil shared a longer co-evolutionary history with Brazilian frog species, we predicted that *Bd*-Brazil would have lower virulence in native Brazilian frog species than *Bd*-GPL and hybrid genotypes. The relative virulence of *Bd*-GPL and hybrid genotypes were more difficult to predict because the influence of genetic recombination on *Bd* virulence factors has never been tested, so we proposed two possible hypotheses. First, hybrid genotypes could have higher virulence than both parental lineages. Under controlled laboratory conditions, we inoculated two relatively *Bd*-intolerant direct-developing frog species (*Brachycephalus ephippium* and *Ischnocnema parva*) and one more tolerant aquatic-breeding frog species (*Dendropsophus minutus*) with *Bd* isolates representing *Bd*-Brazil, *Bd*-GPL, and hybrids. We measured relative virulence by comparing mortality rates and infection burdens among treatments and considered the implications of our results for the evolutionary future of this ecologically important parasite and its diverse amphibian hosts.

Results

Brachycephalus ephippium and I. parva (*host species with direct development*). The ranked mortality by treatment (from highest to lowest) for *B. ephippium* was: hybrid, *Bd*-GPL, and *Bd*-Brazil. ($\chi^2 = 33.938$; d.f. = 3; p < 0.0001; Fig. 1a). Mortality in *B. ephippium* exposed to *Bd*-Brazil was similar to the control (p = 0.100; Fig. 1a). Mortality was first observed in frogs exposed to *Bd*-Brazil on day 35 and 2/9 frogs (22%) died by the end of the experiment on day 40 (Fig. 1a). In contrast, frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL (p = 0.002) and the hybrid (p < 0.0001) had higher mortality rates than in the control, and the mortality rate was higher in the hybrid treatment than in the *Bd*-GPL treatment (p = 0.0008). Mortality was first observed in frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL on day 19 and 9/9 frogs (100%) died by day 34 (Fig. 1a). Mortality was first observed in frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL on day 26 and 6/9 frogs (67%) died by the end of the experiment (Fig. 1a).

The ranked mortality by treatment for *I. parva* was: *Bd*-GPL, hybrid, and *Bd*-Brazil ($\chi^2 = 36.723$; d.f. = 3; p < 0.0001; Fig. 1b). Mortality in *I. parva* exposed to *Bd*-Brazil was similar to the control (p = 0.071; Fig. 1b). Mortality was first observed in frogs treated with *Bd*-Brazil on day 52 and 2/5 frogs (40%) died by the end of the experiment on day 58 (Fig. 1b). In contrast, frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL (p < 0.0001) and the hybrid (p = 0.0003) had higher mortality rates than in the control, and the mortality rate was higher in the *Bd*-GPL treatment than in the hybrid treatment (p < 0.0001). Mortality was first observed in frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL on day 18 and 6/6 frogs (100%) died by the end of the experiment (Fig. 1b). All direct-developing individuals that were not experimentally inoculated survived during both experiments.

Infection loads at the time of mortality were greater in frogs exposed to the hybrid than to *Bd*-GPL ($F_{[22,3]} = 92.349$; $r^2 = 0.926$; p < 0.0001) but the magnitude of this effect was greater for *B. ephippium* than for *I. parva* (t = -2.89; p = 0.0084). For *B. ephippium*, frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL had an average infection load (\pm SD)



Figure 1. Survival curves for *Brachycephalus ephippium* (**a**) and *Ischnocnema parva* (**b**) exposed to *Bd*-GPL, *Bd*-Brazil and hybrid genotypes. Dashed line depicts unexposed control group.

of 3,762 (±2,115) zoospore genome equivalents (g.e.) at the time of mortality (range = 1,116–6,555 g.e.), whereas frogs exposed to the hybrid had an average infection load of 12,441 (±6,091) g.e. at the time of mortality (range = 4,260–22,876 g.e.; Fig. 2). In contrast, infection loads at the time of mortality for *I. parva* were at least one order of magnitude higher than for *B. ephippium* and were more similar between *Bd*-GPL (mean ± SD = 213,96 $1 \pm 85,611$ g.e.; range = 123,681–321,625 g.e.) and the hybrid (221,062 ± 109,100 g.e.; range = 2,303–409,665 g.e.; Fig. 2).

Dendropsophus minutus (*host species with aquatic larval development*). We did not detect differences in mortality of *D. minutus* among infection treatments or between infection and control treatments ($\chi^2 = 8.297$; d.f. = 9, p = 0.504). Mortality was first observed in frogs exposed to *Bd*-Brazil on day 19 and 12/30 frogs (40%) died by the end of the experiment on day 60 (CLFT 041: 4/10 [40%], CLFT 142: 5/10 [50%], CLFT 150: 3/10 [30%]). Mortality was first observed in frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL on day 10 and 14/30 frogs (47%) died by the end of the experiment (CLFT 073: 4/10 [40%], CLFT 131: 5/10 [50%], CLFT 137: 5/10 [50%]). Mortality was first observed in frogs inoculated with hybrid genotypes on day 14 and 13/30 frogs (43%) died by the end of the experiment (CLFT 024.2: 3/10 [30%], CLFT 160: 4/10 [40%], CLFT 039: 6/10 [60%]). Mortality was first observed in control frogs on day 22 and 3/20 frogs (15%) died by the end of the experiment.

We detected differences in infection loads on day 60 within and among treatments (p < 0.001; Fig. 3). Average infection loads on frogs exposed to hybrids and *Bd*-GPL were highly variable within treatments (hybrids: 7,356 g.e.; 8,679 g.e.; 248,663 g.e.; *Bd*-GPL: 9,893 g.e.; 49,316 g.e.; 141,879 g.e.; Fig. 3). Average infection loads within the *Bd*-Brazil treatment were less variable and were generally lower than in frogs treated with hybrids and *Bd*-GPL (*Bd*-Brazil: 3,437 g.e.; 17,614 g.e.; 26,169 g.e.; Fig. 3). Thirteen of 20 control frogs carried natural *Bd* infections. Average infection loads on control frogs were lower than those on treatment frogs, likely reflecting what they were carrying in the field (Fig. 3). Passage rate was not a significant predictor of *Bd* infection loads, independent of *Bd* lineage (F=0.228, p=0.634).

Discussion

Amphibian declines and extirpations throughout the Atlantic Forest have been attributed to *Bd*, and the zone of hybridization between *Bd*-Brazil and *Bd*-GPL is within the region with the clearest signature of disease-related mortality, underscoring the need to test the virulence of hybrid genotypes in native hosts^{30,42}. In our direct-developing host species, mortality rates of frogs exposed to a hybrid genotype were higher (*B. ephippium*)







Figure 3. Average infection burdens 60 days post-inoculation (\pm SE) for *Dendropsophus minutus* inoculated with *Bd*-Brazil (green bars), *Bd*-GPL (red bars), and hybrid genotypes (yellow bars); each bar represents a different *Bd* genotype. Gray bar depicts natural infection burdens of the control group after 60 days incubation.

or intermediate (*I. parva*) compared to *Bd*-Brazil and *Bd*-GPL. This finding indicates that hybrid virulence is context specific; in certain scenarios these genotypes may produce disease outcomes that far exceed parental ranges, while in others these genotypes may elicit intermediate disease outcomes. Since it is well-established that *Bd* has a dynamic genome and is now nearly globally distributed, this finding highlights the importance of global surveillance to detect future genomic shifts in this disease system that could lead to new outbreaks of chytridiomycosis, especially because a non-Brazilian host (*Lithobates sylvaticus*) also exhibited increased mortality in response to a *Bd* hybrid relative to the parental genotypes in a preliminary trial⁵¹. In addition, in other chytrid fungi, sexual reproduction produces a thick-walled resting stage that is capable of tolerating desiccation and high levels of heat and salinity⁵². Resting spores can also be produced by asexual reproduction, as in the chytrid *Rhizophydium brooksianum*⁵³, which is in the same taxonomic order (Rhizophydiales) as *Bd*. No definitive evidence of a resting stage in *Bd* has been observed to date^{54,55}, but this would have important implications for the geographic and temporal scales at which transmission of *Bd* could occur.

The relative virulence of hybrid genotypes and *Bd*-GPL appeared to depend on host factors, highlighting the context dependency of disease outcomes that is a hallmark of this disease system^{56,57}. Compared to *I. parva*,

B. ephippium died with relatively low *Bd* burdens, suggesting a defense tradeoff in which *B. ephippium* invested more resources in resistance defenses (minimizing parasite burden) at the expense of tolerance defenses (minimizing harm caused by parasites, such as mortality effects in this study)⁵⁸. Theoretically, resistance strategies are expected to reduce pathogen fitness, eliciting a strong antagonistic host-pathogen co-evolution^{59,60}. The effective-ness of an immune defense strategy that is heavily influenced by host-pathogen co-evolution should be positively correlated with the length of time that the host has been exposed to the parasite. In accordance with this expectation, *B. ephippium* exhibited the longest incubation periods and highest survival rate (variables indicating the most developed resistance defenses) when exposed to *Bd*-Brazil, the lineage with which this species has probably co-existed in the wild for the longest time period. It follows that incubation periods and survival were intermediate when *B. ephippium* was exposed to *Bd*-Brazil and hybrid genotypes. Lastly, this species exhibited the shortest incubation periods and lowest survival rate, as well as the highest infection burdens at the time of death (variables indicating the least developed resistance defenses), when exposed to hybrid genotypes, the group with which this species has probably co-existed in the wild for a time period and lowest survival rate, as well as the highest infection burdens at the time of death (variables indicating the least developed resistance defenses), when exposed to hybrid genotypes, the group with which this species has probably co-existed in the wild for the longet time defenses).

These findings indicate that *B. ephippium* may be particularly vulnerable to infections by hybrid genotypes in nature, primarily due to the relative novelty of these genotypes. The vulnerability of *B. ephippium* to novel pathogens may be linked to its association with patchy, high-altitude habitats, which may have limited its exposure to pathogens throughout its evolutionary history or lowered its immunogenetic diversity^{61–63}. In the case of *Bd*, anthropogenic habitat alteration may tip the host-pathogen balance further in favor of the pathogen, as deforestation may promote the evolutionary isolation of *B. ephippium* while at least some relatively novel genotypes of *Bd* appear highly competent at dispersing across even the most fragmented landscapes in the Atlantic Forest, such as our *B. ephippium* collection site at Jundiái⁴². Previous studies indicate that F1 hybrids often show hybrid vigor because of outbreeding enhancement, whereas F2 hybrids might express hybrid breakdown as a result of recombination²⁶. Considering that all living *Bd* hybrid isolates are first-generation crosses (TYJ pers. comm.)⁶⁴, we are unable to test whether our results for *B. ephippium* represent an instance of hybrid vigor that could weaken through subsequent crosses¹⁶. However, an F2 backcrossed to *Bd*-Brazil was observed in the field and its survival provides some indication that parasitemia of *Bd* hybrids may not be limited to the F1 generation⁶⁴.

In contrast to B. ephippium, I. parva died with relatively high Bd burdens, suggesting the reverse defense tradeoff in which I. parva invested more resources in tolerance defenses at the expense of resistance defenses. Tolerance strategies are not expected to negatively affect the success of pathogen populations, so we would expect relatively weak co-evolutionary pressures between parasites and hosts that invest primarily in this type of defense strategy^{59,60}. This may help to explain why the responses of *I. parva* to our panel of *Bd* treatments were not correlated with the relative length of time to which this species was probably exposed to each lineage in the wild. Rather, it is possible that this species was more responsive to the expression of hybrid phenotypes that were intermediate between the hypervirulent *Bd*-GPL and the hypovirulent *Bd*-Brazil, resulting in intermediate incubation periods and mortality in frogs exposed to the hybrid compared to Bd-GPL and Bd-Brazil. Our findings suggest that I. parva is particularly vulnerable to pathogens with aggressive phenotypes, such as Bd-GPL, regardless of the extent to which this species has shared an evolutionary history with co-occurring pathogens. Thus, an important avenue for future study is to determine the genetic or physiological factors that make Bd-GPL particularly damaging to hosts and the ecological backdrop against which these genotypes emerged. Immunological comparisons of disease progression among Bd genotypes and host species are necessary to verify the mechanisms we have proposed to explain the host-specific patterns in our data. Nevertheless, our results offer convincing evidence that both *Bd*-GPL and hybrid genotypes are virulent in the Atlantic Forest.

Compared to hybrid genotypes and Bd-GPL, frogs treated with Bd-Brazil had the lowest ranked mortality in both direct-developing host species and the lowest infection burdens in D. minutus, consistent with the hypothesis that Bd-Brazil has shared a long co-evolutionary history with endemic Brazilian frogs and is hypovirulent in endemic hosts^{28,38,42,50}. A recent study also found that the *Bd*-Brazil genotype CLFT 001 (isolated from the Atlantic Forest) exhibited lower in vitro growth performance than the Bd-GPL genotype CJB5-2 and the *Bd*-Brazil genotype UM 142 (unknown geographic origin)⁶⁵, another indication that the relative threat of endemic Atlantic Forest Bd genotypes is low. In contrast, the only other study that tested the relative virulence of Bd-Brazil and Bd-GPL reported that 50% of hosts died when exposed to Bd-Brazil and that the virulence of Bd-Brazil (one genotype) fell within the range of virulence shown by Bd-GPL (three genotypes)⁶⁶. This conflicting study used the Bd-Brazil genotype UM 142, different Bd-GPL genotypes (from the eastern U.S. and Panama), and a North American host species. Our conflicting results may thus reflect host-independent variability in virulence within Bd lineages or suggest that Bd-Brazil has higher virulence toward non-native hosts. Evidence suggests that humans are facilitating the spread of Bd in South America⁶⁷ and globally³⁸. For instance, the Bd-Brazil genotype UM 142 was isolated from a bullfrog (Lithobates catesbeianus) collected from a U.S. amphibian market. We reiterate the concern raised by Becker et al.⁶⁶ that lineages endemic to one region may lead to declines of naïve host populations in other regions.

Terrestrial, direct-developing frog species have typically been considered less vulnerable to chytridiomycosis than species with more aquatic life histories that may experience high levels of exposure to aquatic Bd zoo-spores^{68–70}. However, both of our terrestrial study species acquired heavy Bd infections and experienced mortality from chytridiomycosis under laboratory conditions mimicking the microhabitat of direct-developing frogs in nature, consistent with laboratory data for other Brazilian direct-developing species⁶³. In the wild, Brazilian direct-developers had high Bd infection loads^{71,72}, but low infection prevalence⁶³, the latter of which could be underestimated if frogs die quickly from infections or if sick frogs remain stationary in hidden refugia^{63,73}. Even if low prevalence of Bd is currently facilitating population persistence of direct-developing host species in Brazil, this host-pathogen balance is precarious in an era of global change⁶¹. For example, in Puerto Rico, Bd dynamics in the direct-developing species *Eleutherodactylus coqui* shifted from enzootic to epizootic when extreme drought conditions associated with global climate change forced frogs to congregate in humid refugia, increasing transmission and reinfection rates^{73,74}. Moreover, reduced levels of recruitment stemming from increased *Bd*-related mortality of juvenile *E. coqui* has led to recent, low-level population declines^{75,76}, similar to other examples of negative population effects from *Bd* in the absence of drastic epizootic events^{77–79}. Future research should investigate the potential effects of *Bd* on the population persistence of Brazil's diverse direct-developing amphibian fauna, especially considering that the narrow geographic ranges of many Brazilian direct-developers leave them vulnerable to other natural and anthropogenic stressors and that *Bd* caused population declines of a direct-developing *Arthroleptis* in Cameroon³⁵ and likely the extinction of several direct-developing species in the Atlantic Forest (see Supplementary Table S2).

In contrast to our direct-developing species, mortality rates of *D. minutus* were low across treatments, suggesting that this species is relatively tolerant to *Bd* regardless of variation in the genetic attributes of the fungus. This finding is consistent with previous studies of *D. minutus* in the laboratory^{63,80} and in the wild⁸¹. Patterns of infection loads in *D. minutus* by lineage matched mortality rates in our direct-developing species, with the lowest infection loads in frogs exposed to *Bd*-Brazil and higher infection loads in frogs exposed to *Bd*-Brazil and higher infection loads in frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL and hybrid genotypes, corroborating the evidence from our direct-developing species that *Bd*-Brazil is less virulent in native hosts. Infection loads were also less variable among genotypes within *Bd*-Brazil than within *Bd*-GPL and hybrid genotypes, which could reflect consistency in host immune responses stemming from long-term exposure to *Bd*-Brazil.

Our study shows that hybridization can be associated with high levels of virulence in Bd. Hybrid Bd genotypes emerged relatively recently in Brazil, at some point after the introduction of Bd-GPL to Brazil in the last few centuries⁴¹. The known distribution of hybrid genotypes is small (an isolated mountain range in the Atlantic Forest), which could suggest that it is functioning primarily as a parasite with intermediate rather than extreme fitness relative to parental populations and could be outcompeted by quick-dispersing *Bd*-GPL. However, it is unknown whether the current geographic distribution of hybrid genotypes is a product of their short evolutionary history (i.e., they might currently be spreading), their specific microhabitat requirements, or gaps in field sampling. Nevertheless, their coexistence with Bd-GPL suggests that they could be adept competitors in Brazilian landscapes. Applying newly developed, non-invasive techniques that can discriminate among Bd genotypes on skin swabs would help to paint a more complete picture of the spatial distribution of Bd lineages in Brazil as well as coinfection dynamics⁸². The same techniques could also be used with contemporary and retrospective sampling of preserved specimens to increase our understanding of which genotypes and lineages are associated with amphibian mortality in Brazil, track the spread of *Bd*-GPL through time, and determine whether the geographic distributions of hybrid genotypes and Bd-Brazil have changed over time⁸². Another useful avenue for future study is to determine how coexistence and hybridization of Bd genotypes in Brazil could influence host population recoveries through adaptive responses, which have been documented in other regions in the decades following mass declines^{83,84}.

Our results do not point definitively to a single genetic culprit of *Bd*-related amphibian declines in Brazil. *Bd*-GPL is likely to have played a large role given its high level of virulence in some hosts and widespread geographic distribution⁴², but the hybrid zone overlaps the region with significant evidence of disease-related mortality³⁰, and our results indicate that some host species are especially vulnerable to new genotypes, suggesting a possible role of hybrids in declines. Taking all these factors into account, a plausible scenario for disease-linked amphibian declines in Brazil is that the impacts of the introduction of *Bd*-GPL were exacerbated by the emergence of hybrids, possibly by overloading host immune systems with an even more genetically and spatiotemporally diverse assemblage of pathogen strains. As much as evolutionary novelty can aid species adaptation in an era of rapid environmental change, our findings underscore that this plasticity can also be advantageous for parasites, with serious consequences for the persistence of host populations^{13,16}.

Materials and Methods

Study species. We selected three experimental host species with varying life histories and levels of tolerance (i.e., ability to minimize harm caused by parasites, such as mortality) to *Bd. Dendropsophus minutus* (Hylidae: Dendropsophinae) is a habitat generalist tree frog with indirect development and a close association with water bodies throughout its life history. The geographic range of this species covers most of tropical South America^{85,86}, and it exhibits relatively high survival rates when challenged with *Bd*-GPL under laboratory conditions^{63,80}. *Ischnocnema parva* and *Brachycephalus ephippium* (Brachycephalidae) are direct-developing leaf litter frogs that occur in southeastern Brazil⁸⁵ and exhibit relatively low survival rates when challenged with *Bd*-GPL under laboratory conditions⁶³. All three species are listed as Least Concern by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature⁸⁷. In a vulnerability assessment of amphibians of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, all three species were considered to have large geographic ranges and high local abundances but *D. minutus* and *I. parva* were classified as having wide habitat specificities, whereas *B. ephippium* was classified as having a narrow habitat specificity due to its association with high-altitude habitat⁴⁷.

We collected adults of our study species in the municipality of Jundiaí, near Serra do Japi (*B. ephippium and D. minutus*) and in the municipality of São Luiz do Paraitinga, adjacent to Parque Estadual da Serra do Mar, Núcleo Santa Virgínia (*I. parva*), São Paulo state, Brazil. Both collection sites are located to the north of the *Bd* hybrid zone identified by Jenkinson *et al.*⁴¹. Some *D. minutus* tested positive for *Bd* at the time of collection but we elected not to treat infections to avoid any negative treatment-associated side effects and instead controlled for natural infections in our statistical analyses⁸⁸. We only used *I. parva* and *B. ephippium* that tested negative for *Bd* in the field. For the experiments, frogs were randomly assigned to treatments and housed individually in plastic containers with sterile moist sphagnum (all species) and a sterile leaf for cover (*B. ephippium* and *I. parva*). Frogs were fed pinhead crickets *ad libitum* during the experiments.

Bd isolates. Bd isolates were obtained from infected tadpoles sampled throughout the Atlantic Forest of Brazil from 2011 to 2015⁴². Tadpoles were screened in the field with a 10X hand lens for signs of chytrid infection by assessing the level of oral tissue dekeratinization⁸⁹. Animals with signs of Bd infection were euthanized and oral tissues excised. Infected tissues were prepared for pathogen isolation on 1% tryptone agar with 0.2 mg. mL⁻¹ penicillin-G and 0.4 mg.mL⁻¹ streptomycin sulfate. Isolates of Bd were maintained on 1% tryptone agar at 21–23 °C until sufficient growth had occurred for DNA extraction. Isolates were genotyped to determine Bd lineage/group (Bd-Brazil, Bd-GPL, or hybrid) following the procedures described in Jenkinson *et al.*⁴¹. Cultures were maintained at 4 °C at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, UNICAMP, Brazil, and passaged every 4 mo.

Challenge experiment. We cultured three hybrid, three *Bd*-Brazil, and four *Bd*-GPL genotypes (see Supplementary Table S1) in Petri dishes containing 1% tryptone agar at 19 °C for 7 d. The three hybrid genotypes represent all living hybrid isolates, and analyses of whole genome sequences indicate that all are F1 hybrids (TYJ pers. comm.)⁶⁴. The *Bd*-Brazil and *Bd*-GPL genotypes were selected haphazardly. To inoculate frogs with *Bd* (day zero), we filled each Petri dish with 5 ml of distilled water for 30 minutes and scraped the substrate with a sterile scalpel to facilitate zoospore release. We then transferred the liquid contents of each dish to a sterile beaker, sampled 1 ml of the solution to quantify the zoospore concentration with a hemocytometer, and diluted the solution with distilled water to obtain the desired zoospore concentration for experimental inoculations.

We inoculated *D. minutus* with hybrid genotypes CLFT 024.2, CLFT 039, and CLFT 160; *Bd*-Brazil genotypes CLFT 041, CLFT 142, and CLFT 150; and *Bd*-GPL genotypes CLFT 073, CLFT 131, and CLFT 137. We inoculated 10 frogs with each genotype. Each frog was inoculated individually in a Petri dish containing 1.8×10^6 zoospores in 2.5 ml of distilled water for 45 minutes. We exposed 20 additional individuals to the same volume of distilled water as *Bd*-unexposed controls.

We inoculated *B. ephippium* and *I. parva* with hybrid genotype CLFT 160 (*B. ephippium*: n = 9; *I. parva*: n = 7), *Bd*-Brazil genotype CLFT 150 (*B. ephippium*: n = 9; *I. parva*: n = 5), and *Bd*-GPL genotype CLFT 156 (*B. ephippium*: n = 9; *I. parva*: n = 6). Due to technical difficulties with preparing *Bd*-GPL for this component of the experiment, we were unable to use one of the three *Bd*-GPL genotypes that were tested with *D. minutus*. We inoculated each frog individually in a Petri dish containing 3.375×10^6 zoospores in 1.5 ml of distilled water for 45 minutes. We exposed additional individuals to the same volume of distilled water as controls (*B. ephippium*: n = 8; *I. parva*: n = 6).

Survival was monitored daily, dead animals were noted, and dying animals were euthanized with an overdose of the anesthetic MS-222 if they showed lack of righting response, which is a typical sign of advanced stages of chytridiomycosis⁹⁰. Dead animals were swabbed immediately following the protocol described by Hyatt *et al.*⁹¹. The experiment concluded on day 60 (*D. minutus*), 58 (*I. parva*), or 40 (*B. ephippium*; length of experiment truncated because this species can become stressed after long periods in captivity), at which point all remaining animals were swabbed and euthanized.

We extracted DNA from skin swabs using 50 ml PrepMan Ultra and screened samples for *Bd* presence and load using Taqman qPCR assays⁹². For *D. minutus*, we used *Bd* genotype-specific standard curves (for each genotype used in the experiment) ranging from 0.1 to 1000 zoospore genome equivalents (g.e.). For *B. ephippium* and *I. parva*, we built standard curves (0.1–1000 g.e.) using CLFT 159, a *Bd*-GPL genotype isolated from a *Hylodes* frog collected in the Atlantic Forest. We were unable to standardize infection load data for *B. ephippium* and *I. parva* due to unforeseen culturing difficulties with one of our genotypes, but we feel confident in using non-standardized infection loads in our analyses for these species because standardization did not influence the overall patterns in our data for *D. minutus* (see Supplementary Fig. S1).

All experimental protocols were approved by Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade – Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis/Brazil (Permits 29964–11, 27745–13, and 57098–1) and the local Animal Care and Use Committee (Comissão de Ética no Uso de Animal – CEUA/ UNESP permit #29/2016). Our experiment was carried out in accordance with all ethics guidelines and regulations.

Statistical analyses. We used proportional hazards survival analyses⁹³ to compare mortality rates among frogs exposed to *Bd*-Brazil, *Bd*-GPL, and hybrids, independently for each species. For *B. ephippium* and *I. parva*, we compared mortality rates among one genotype from each of the three treatments. For *D. minutus*, we compared mortality rates among nine *Bd* genotypes within the three treatments, including genotype as a fixed effect.

We used a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) to compare infection loads on day 60 among *D. minutus* exposed to *Bd*-Brazil, *Bd*-GPL, and hybrids. In this model, we included the following explanatory variables: genotype, lineage/group, and the interaction between genotype and lineage/group as fixed effects, and infection load at the time of capture in the wild as a random effect. We also performed a GLMM to test for effects of passage rate on *Bd* loads of *D. minutus* with *Bd* lineage/group as a random effect.

For *B. ephippium* and *I. parva*, we performed independent General Linear Models (standard least squares) to compare infection loads at the time of mortality between frogs exposed to *Bd*-GPL and hybrids. For these models, we included log₁₀-transformed infection loads as the response variable and *Bd* lineage/group (*Bd*-GPL or hybrid), host species (*B. ephippium* or *I. parva*), and the interaction between lineage/group and host species as explanatory variables.

Data availability. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- 1. Van Valen, L. A new evolutionary law. Evolutionary Theory 1, 1-30 (1973).
- 2. Ewald, P. W. The evolution of virulence: a unifying link between parasitology and ecology. J. Parasitol. 81, 659–669 (1995).
- 3. Hamilton, W. D. Sex versus non-sex versus parasite. Oikos 35, 282-290 (1980).

- 4. Hamilton, W. D., Axelrod, R. & Tanese, R. Sexual reproduction as an adaptation to resist parasites (a review). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 3566–3573 (1990).
- 5. Levin, D. A. Pest pressure and recombination systems in plants. Am. Nat. 109, 437-451 (1975).
- 6. Jaenike, J. A hypothesis to account for the maintenance of sex within populations. Evol. Theory 94, 191–194 (1978).
- Stukenbrock, E. H. The role of hybridization in the evolution and emergence of new fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopathology* 106, 104–112 (2016).
- Stukenbrock, E. H., Christiansen, F. B., Hansen, T. T., Dutheil, J. Y. & Schierup, M. H. Fusion of two divergent fungal individuals led to the recent emergence of a unique widespread pathogen species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 1–6 (2012).
- 9. Brasier, C. The rise of the hybrid fungi. Nature 405, 134-135 (2000).
- 10. Olson, Å. & Stenlid, J. Pathogenic fungal species hybrids infecting plants. Microbes Infect. 4, 1353-1359 (2002).
- Brasier, C. M., Cooke, D. E. & Duncan, J. M. Origin of a new *Phytophthora* pathogen through interspecific hybridization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 5878–5883 (1999).
- 12. Ghosh, P. & Fisher, M. C. Dr. Jekyll and Mrs Hyde: Risky hybrid sex by amphibian-parasitizing chytrids in the Brazilian Atlantic Forests. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 2961–2963 (2016).
- King, K. C., Stelkens, R. B., Webster, J. P., Smith, D. F. & Brockhurst, M. A. Hybridization in parasites: consequences for adaptive evolution, pathogenesis, and public health in a changing world. *Plos Pathog.* 11, 1–12 (2015).
- 14. Grigg, M. E. *et al.* Success and virulence in *Toxoplasma* as the result of sexual recombination between two distinct ancestries. *Science* 294, 161–165 (2001).
- 15. Dittrich-Reed, D. R. & Fitzpatrick, B. M. Transgressive hybrids as hopeful monsters. Evol. Biol. 40, 310-315 (2013).
- Pereira, R. J., Barreto, F. S. & Burton, R. S. Ecological novelty by hybridization: experimental evidence for increased thermal tolerance by transgressive segregation in *Tigriopus californicus*. Evolution (N. Y). 68, 204–215 (2014).
- 17. Johansen-Morris, A. D. & Latta, R. G. Fitness consequences of hybridization between ecotypes of Avena barbata: hybrid breakdown, hybrid vigor, and transgressive segregation. Evolution (N. Y). 60, 1585–1595 (2006).
- Schelkle, B., Faria, P. J., Johnson, M. B., van Oosterhout, C. & Cable, J. Mixed infections and hybridisation in monogenean parasites. Plos One 7 (2012).
- Ding, S. W., Shi, B. J., Li, W. X. & Symons, R. H. An interspecies hybrid RNA virus is significantly more virulent than either parental virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 7470–7474 (1996).
- Li, C. et al. Reassortment between avian H5N1 and human H3N2 influenza viruses creates hybrid viruses with substantial virulence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 4687–4692 (2010).
- 21. Couteaudier, Y., Viaud, M. & Riba, G. Genetic nature, stability, and improved virulence of hybrids from protoplast fusion in *Beauveria*. *Microb. Ecol.* **32**, 1–10 (1996).
- 22. Viaud, M. et al. Molecular analysis of hypervirulent somatic hybrids of the entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Beauveria sulfurescens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 88–93 (1998).
- Ersek, T., English, J. T. & Schoelz, J. E. Creation of species hybrids of *Phytophthora* with modified host ranges by zoospore fusion. *Phytopathology* 85, 1343–1347 (1995).
- Falkow, S., Schneider, H., Baron, L. S. & Formal, S. B. Virulence of *Escherichia-Shigella* genetic hybrids for the guinea pig. J. Bacteriol. 86, 1251–1258 (1963).
- Berns, A., van den Ouweland, A., Quint, W., van Oirschot, J. & Gielkens, A. Presence of markers for virulence in the unique short region or repeat region or both of pseudorabies hybrid viruses. J Virol 53, 89–93 (1985).
- Edmands, S. Heterosis and outbreeding depression in interpopulation crosses spanning a wide range of divergence. *Evolution (N. Y)*. 53, 1757–1768 (1999).
- Longcore, J. E., Pessier, A. P. & Nichols, D. K. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis gen. et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. Mycologia 91, 219–227 (1999).
- Rosenblum, E. B. et al. Complex history of the amphibian-killing chytrid fungus revealed with genome resequencing data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 9385–90 (2013).
- Catenazzi, A., Lehr, E., Rodriguez, L. O. & Vredendurg, V. T. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis and the collapse of anuran species richness and abundance in the upper Manu National Park, southeastern Peru. Conserv. Biol. 25, 382–391 (2011).
- 30. Carvalho, T., Becker, C. G. & Toledo, L. F. Historical amphibian declines and extinctions in Brazil linked to chytridiomycosis. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 284, 20162254 (2017).
- Lips, K. R. Mass mortality and population declines of anurans at an upland site in western Panama. Conserv. Biol. 13, 117–125 (1999).
- Farrer, R. A. et al. Multiple emergences of genetically diverse amphibian-infecting chytrids include a globalized hypervirulent recombinant lineage. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 18732–18736 (2011).
- Vredenburg, V. T., Knapp, R. A., Tunstall, T. S. & Briggs, C. J. Dynamics of an emerging disease drive large-scale amphibian population extinctions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 9689–9694 (2010).
- Bosch, J., Mart nez-Solano, I. & Garcia-Paris, M. Evidence of a chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central Spain. *Biol. Conserv.* 97, 331–337 (2001).
- 35. Hirschfeld, M. *et al.* Dramatic declines of montane frogs in a Central African biodiversity hotspot. *Plos One* **11**, e0155129 (2016).
- Bell, B. D., Carver, S., Mitchell, N. J. & Pledger, S. The recent decline of a New Zealand endemic: how and why did populations of Archey's frog *Leiopelma archeyi* crash over 1996–2001? *Biol. Conserv.* 120, 189–199 (2004).
- Berger, L. et al. Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95, 9031–9036 (1998).
- Schloegel, L. M. et al. Novel, panzootic and hybrid genotypes of amphibian chytridiomycosis associated with the bullfrog trade. Mol. Ecol. 21, 5162–5177 (2012).
- Bai, C., Liu, X., Fisher, M. C., Garner, T. W. J. & Li, Y. Global and endemic Asian lineages of the emerging pathogenic fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis widely infect amphibians in China. Divers. Distrib. 18, 307–318 (2012).
- 40. Goka, K. *et al.* Amphibian chytridiomycosis in Japan: distribution, haplotypes and possible route of entry into Japan. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**, 4757–4774 (2009).
- Bataille, A. et al. Genetic evidence for a high diversity and wide distribution of endemic strains of the pathogenic chytrid fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in wild Asian amphibians. Mol. Ecol. 22, 4196–4209 (2013).
- 42. Jenkinson, T. S. *et al.* Amphibian-killing chytrid in Brazil comprises both locally endemic and globally expanding populations. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 2978–2996 (2016).
- 43. Swei, A. et al. Is chytridiomycosis an emerging infectious disease in Asia? Plos One 6, e23179 (2011).
- Kusrini, M. D., Skerratt, L. F., Garland, S., Berger, L. & Endarwin, W. Chytridiomycosis in frogs of Mount Gede Pangrango, Indonesia. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 82, 187–194 (2008).
- Rödder, D., Kielgast, J. & Lötters, S. Future potential distribution of the emerging amphibian chytrid fungus under anthropogenic climate change. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 92, 201–207 (2010).
- 46. James, T. Y. *et al.* Disentangling host, pathogen, and environmental determinants of a recently emerged wildlife disease: lessons from the first 15 years of amphibian chytridiomycosis research. *Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 4079–4097 (2015).
- Toledo, L. F., Becker, C. G., Haddad, C. F. B. & Zamudio, K. R. Rarity as an indicator of endangerment in neotropical frogs. *Biol. Conserv.* 179, 54–62 (2014).

- 48. Becker, C. G., Fonseca, C. R., Haddad, C. F. B., Batista, R. F. & Prado, P. I. Habitat split and the global decline of amphibians. *Science* **318**, 1775–1777 (2007).
- 49. Ribeiro, M. C., Metzger, J. P., Martensen, A. C., Ponzoni, F. J. & Hirota, M. M. The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: how much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. *Biol. Conserv.* **142**, 1141–1153 (2009).
- Rodriguez, D., Becker, C. G., Pupin, N. C., Haddad, C. F. B. & Zamudio, K. R. Long-term endemism of two highly divergent lineages of the amphibian-killing fungus in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 774–787 (2014).
- Betancourt-Román, C. M. Differential virulence among panzootic, novel and hybrid lineages of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis on a single host species, Lithobates sylvaticus. MS Thesis. (University of Michigan, 2014).
- 52. Sparrow, F. K. Aquatic Phycomycetes. at, http://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/4685126 (University of Michigan Press, 1960).
- 53. Longcore, J. E. *Rhizophydium brooksianum* sp. nov., a multipored chytrid from soil. *Mycologia* **96**, 162–171 (2004).
- 54. Di Rosa, I., Simoncelli, F., Fagotti, A. & Pascolini, R. Ecology: the proximate cause of frog declines? *Nature* 447, E4–E5 (2007).
- Hossack, B. R., Muths, E., Anderson, C. W., Kirshtein, J. D. & Corn, P. S. Distribution limits of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*: a case study in the Rocky Mountains, USA. J. Wildl. Dis. 45, 1198–1202 (2009).
- Gervasi, S., Gondhalekar, C., Olson, D. H. & Blaustein, A. R. Host identity matters in the amphibian-Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis system: fine-scale patterns of variation in responses to a multi-host pathogen. *Plos One* 8, e54490 (2013).
- 57. Daskin, J. H. & Alford, R. A. Context-dependent symbioses and their potential roles in wildlife diseases. *Proc. R. Soc. Biol. Sci.* 279, 1457–1465 (2012).
- Best, A., White, A. & Boots, M. Maintenance of host variation in tolerance to pathogens and parasites. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 20786–20791 (2008).
- 59. Miller, M. R., White, A. & Boots, M. The evolution of parasites in response to tolerance in their hosts: the good, the bad, and apparent commensalism. *Evolution (N. Y)*. **60**, 945–956 (2006).
- 60. Rausher, M. D. Co-evolution and plant resistance to natural enemies. Nature 411, 857-864 (2001).
- Altizer, S., Harvell, D. & Friedle, E. Rapid evolutionary dynamics and disease threats to biodiversity. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18, 589–596 (2003).
 Savage, A. E., Becker, C. G. & Zamudio, K. R. Linking genetic and environmental factors in amphibian disease risk. *Evol. Appl.* n/a-n/a, https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12264 (2015).
- 63. Mesquita, A. F. C. *et al.* Low resistance to chytridiomycosis in direct-developing amphibians. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 16605 (2017).
- 64. Jenkinson, T. Population structure and evolutionary ecology of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. PhD
 - Thesis (University of Michigan, 2017).
- Voyles, J. et al. Diversity in growth patterns among strains of the lethal fungal pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis across extended thermal optima. Oecologia 184, 363–373 (2017).
- Becker, C. G. et al. Variation in phenotype and virulence among enzootic and panzootic amphibian chytrid lineages. Fungal Ecol. 26, 45–50 (2017).
- 67. Becker, C. G., Rodriguez, D., Lambertini, C., Toledo, L. F. & Haddad, C. F. B. Historical dynamics of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Amazonia. *Ecography (Cop.)*. **39**, 954–960 (2016).
- Lips, K. R., Reeve, J. D. & Witters, L. R. Ecological traits predicting amphibian population declines in Central America. Conserv. Biol. 17, 1078–1088 (2003).
- Bustamante, M. R., Ron, S. R. & Coloma, L. A. Cambios en la diversidad en siete comunidades de anuros en los Andes de Ecuador. Biotropica 37, 180–189 (2005).
- 70. Olson, D. H. *et al.* Mapping the global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the amphibian chytrid fungus. *Plos One* **8**, e56802 (2013).
- Gründler, M. C. et al. Interaction between breeding habitat and elevation affects prevalence but not infection intensity of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in Brazilian anuran assemblages. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 97, 173–184 (2012).
- Ruggeri, J., Carvalho-e-silva, S. P., De, James, T. Y. & Toledo, L. F. Amphibian chytrid infection is influenced by rainfall seasonality and water availability. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 127, 107–115 (2018).
- Longo, A. V., Burrowes, P. A. & Joglar, R. L. Seasonality of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection in direct-developing frogs suggests a mechanism for persistence. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 92, 253–260 (2010).
- 74. Burrowes, P. A., Joglar, R. L. & Green, D. E. Potential causes for amphibian declines in Puerto Rico. Herpetologica 60, 141–154 (2004).
- 75. Longo, A. V. & Burrowes, P. A. Persistence with chytridiomycosis does not assure survival of direct-developing frogs. *Ecohealth* 7, 185–195 (2010).
- Langhammer, P. F., Burrowes, P. A., Lips, K. R., Bryant, A. B. & Collins, J. P. Susceptibility to the amphibian chytrid fungus varies with ontogeny in the direct-developing frog. *Eleutherodactylus coqui. J. Wildl. Dis.* 50, 438–446 (2014).
- Phillott, A. D. et al. Chytridiomycosis and seasonal mortality of tropical stream-associated frogs 15 years after introduction of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Conserv. Biol. 27, 1058–1068 (2013).
- Pilliod, D. et al. Effects of amphibian chytrid fungus on individual survival probability in wild boreal toads. Conserv. Biol. 24, 1259–1268 (2010).
- 79. Valenzuela-Sánchez, A. *et al.* Cryptic disease-induced mortality may cause host extinction in an apparently stable host–parasite system. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **284**, 20171176 (2017).
- Becker, C. G. et al. Partitioning the net effect of host diversity on an emerging amphibian pathogen. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281, 20141796 (2014).
- Becker, C. G., Longo, A. V., Haddad, C. F. B. & Zamudio, K. R. Land cover and forest connectivity alter the interactions among host, pathogen and skin microbiome. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 284, 20170582 (2017).
- Byrne, A. Q. et al. Unlocking the story in the swab: a new genotyping assay for the amphibian chytrid fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 17, 1283–1292 (2017).
- Knapp, R. A. et al. Large-scale recovery of an endangered amphibian despite ongoing exposure to multiple stressors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113, 11889–11894 (2016).
- Scheele, B. C. et al. After the epidemic: Ongoing declines, stabilizations and recoveries in amphibians afflicted by chytridiomycosis. Biol. Conserv. 206, 37–46 (2017).
- 85. Haddad, C. et al. Guia dos anfíbios da Mata Atlântica: diversidade e biologia. (Anolis Books, 2013).
- Frost, D. R. Amphibian species of the world: an online reference. Version 6.0. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. at, http://research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html (2018).
- 87. IUCN. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017. 3. at, www.iucnredlist.org (2018).
- Pessier, A. P. & Mendelson, J. R. A manual for control of infectious diseases in amphibian survival assurance colonies and reintroduction programs. IUCN/SSC Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, Apple Valley, MN (2010).
- Knapp, R. A. & Morgan, J. A. T. Tadpole mouthpart depigmentation as an accurate indicator of chytridiomycosis, an emerging disease of amphibians. *Copeia* 2006, 188–197 (2006).
- 90. Voyles, J. et al. Pathogenesis of chytridiomycosis, a cause of catastrophic amphibian declines. Science 326, 582-585 (2009).
- Hyatt, A. D. et al. Diagnostic assays and sampling protocols for the detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 73, 175–192 (2007).
- Boyle, D. G., Boyle, D. B., Olsen, V., Morgan, J. & Hyatt, A. Rapid quantitative detection of chytridiomycosis (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) in amphibian samples using real-time Taqman PCR assay. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 60, 141–148 (2004).
- 93. Cox, D. R. Regression models and life-tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B 34, 187-220 (1972).

Acknowledgements

Our collaborative work was funded by grants and fellowships from the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP #2011/51694-7; #2013/50741-7; #2016/25358-3) and National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq #405285/2013-2; #302518/2013-4; #300980/2014-0; #312895/2014-3; #300896/2016-6). Thanks to Lucas Michelotti and Rebecca Clemons for technical assistance.

Author Contributions

C.G.B. conceived the idea and designed the study. C.G.B., C.L., T.C., L.F.T. and C.F.B.H. conducted field work. C.G.B., C.L., T.C. and T.Y.J. conducted laboratory work. C.G.B. and S.E.G. analyzed the data. S.E.G. wrote the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27828-w.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018