

# Hydroxyapatite in Oral Biofilm Management

Frederic Meyer<sup>1</sup> Joachim Enax<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Department, Dr. Kurt Wolff GmbH and Co. KG, Bielefeld, Germany

Address for correspondence Frederic Meyer, Research Department, Dr. Kurt Wolff GmbH and Co. KG, Bielefeld, Germany (e-mail: frederic.meyer@drwolffgroup.com).

Eur | Dent 2019;13:287-290

#### **Abstract**

Particulate hydroxyapatite, Ca<sub>5</sub> (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (OH), shows a good biocompatibility and is used as a biomimetic ingredient in dental care formulations due to its similarity to human enamel. Numerous studies show its efficiency, for example, in reducing dentin hypersensitivity, and in the remineralization of enamel and dentin. In addition, oral care products with hydroxyapatite improve periodontal health under in vivo conditions. This review article summarizes data on the effects of hydroxyapatite particles in oral biofilm management. Two databases (PubMed and SciFinder) were searched for studies using specific search terms. In contrast to frequently used antibacterial agents for biofilm control, such as chlorhexidine, stannous salts, and quaternary ammonium salts, hydroxyapatite particles in oral care products lead to a reduction in bacterial attachment to enamel surfaces in situ without having pronounced antibacterial effects or showing unwanted side effects such as tooth discoloration. Furthermore, antibacterial agents might lead to dysbiosis of the oral ecology, which was recently discussed regarding pros and cons. Remarkably, the antiadherent properties of hydroxyapatite particles are comparable to those of the gold standard in the field of oral care biofilm management, chlorhexidine in situ. Although biomimetic strategies have been less well analyzed compared with commonly used antibacterial agents in oral biofilm control, hydroxyapatite particles are a promising biomimetic alternative or supplement for oral biofilm management.

## **Keywords**

- **►** biofilm
- ► caries
- ► hydroxyapatite
- ► periodontitis

#### Introduction

Bacterial biofilms are complex structures and mostly consist of several species that are embedded in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances.<sup>1-5</sup> The presence, growth, and metabolism of oral biofilms are the main causes for dental caries and periodontitis.<sup>4-6</sup> These two diseases affect more than 2.44 billion people (active caries with permanent dentition)<sup>7</sup> and 743 million people (severe periodontitis) worldwide.<sup>8</sup>

Therefore, a main preventive measure in oral care is to control oral bacterial biofilms.<sup>4</sup> This can be mainly achieved by mechanical plaque removal, for example, tooth brushing (manual or electric toothbrush), flossing, and others, as well as by the toothpaste formulation (i.e., abrasives).<sup>9-13</sup> The mechanical biofilm removal can be supported by antibacterial agents in toothpastes or mouthwashes.<sup>14</sup> Frequently used antibacterial agents are, for example, chlorhexidine, metal salts, quaternary ammonium salts, and others.<sup>4,15-18</sup> However, daily use of products with some of these antimicrobials

might lead to unwanted side effects; for example, chlorhexidine and stannous salts lead to extrinsic stain of teeth. 15,19,20 Consequently, dental research is focused on new approaches in oral biofilm management.<sup>21-25</sup> Hence, biomimetic approaches are promising, because they mimic structures or processes that have been evolutionarily optimized by nature over a long period of time.<sup>26-28</sup> In the field of enamel-inspired materials, hydroxyapatite,  $Ca_{5}(PO_{4})_{3}(OH)$ , as a biomimetic oral care ingredient, has gained increasing attention in the last decades. 24,26,27,29-35 Hydroxyapatite shows a good biocompatibility and has been widely used for biomedical applications such as bone cements and implant coatings for many years.<sup>36-38</sup> Regarding preventive dentistry, products with hydroxyapatite offer a broad range of applications, that is, prevention of dental caries, prevention of gingivitis/periodontitis, and dentin hypersensitivity.<sup>29,33,34,39-45</sup> Interestingly, in situ studies show remarkable effects of hydroxyapatite particles, reducing initial bacterial colonization to enamel and oral surfaces.24,35,46

License terms

This review summarizes published studies on hydroxyapatite with respect to biofilm management and presents possibilities for further research avenues.

# **Study Selection**

In vivo, in situ, and in vitro studies on hydroxyapatite in oral care, recently reviewed by Enax and Epple<sup>26</sup> and Meyer et al,<sup>27</sup> were included into this review. In addition, two databases (PubMed and SciFinder) were used for literature search with following search terms: "Hydroxyapatite" AND ("in vitro study" OR "in situ study" OR "in vivo study" OR "clinical study" AND "remineralization" OR "caries" OR "bacteria" OR "plaque" OR "biofilm" OR "periodontitis") OR "oral care." The references were screened for relevance and included in this review, respectively.

# Concepts in Modern Biofilm Management

## **Classical Antibacterial Agents**

Antibacterial agents, frequently used in oral care products such as toothpastes and mouthwashes, are summarized in **-Table 1**. Regarding biofilm control/plaque reduction, the well-known gold standard is chlorhexidine. Chlorhexidine shows a wide effect of spectrum against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and is known to show a high substantivity. However, long-term use of chlorhexidine increases the risk of side effects such as taste alteration (dysgeusia)<sup>47</sup> or staining of the tooth surface.<sup>48</sup> The antibacterial potential of other substances, for example, metal salts, quaternary ammonium salts, and natural extracts, is lower compared with chlorhexidine,<sup>21</sup> but can be used on a daily basis and is

**Table 1** Examples of biofilm controlling agents used in oral care products such as toothpastes and mouthwashes (in alphabetic order)

Substance classes	Examples
Amine fluorides	Olaflur, dectaflur (antibacterial effect is based on the cationic amine)
Bisbiguanides	Chlorhexidine
Calcium phosphates	Hydroxyapatite (biomimetic approach; reduction of bacterial colonization without antibacterial effects)
Phenols	Triclosan
Quaternary ammoni- um salts	Cetylpyridinium chloride (anti- bacterial effect is based on the cationic amine)
Stannous salts	Stannous chloride, stannous fluoride (antibacterial effect based on Sn <sup>2+</sup> -ions)
Surfactants	Sodium lauryl sulfate, sodium cocoamphoacetate
Zinc salts	Zinc chloride, zinc citrate, zinc PCA (antibacterial effect is based on Zn <sup>2+</sup> -ions)

Abbreviation: PCA, pyrrolidone carboxylic acid.

commonly introduced in oral care products. It is important to know that the antibacterial effect of metal salts (e.g., zinc chloride and stannous fluoride) is mainly attributed to the metal ion (i.e., Zn²+ and Sn²+) and not to its counterion.¹5 This is also true for amine fluorides where the cationic surfactant (ammonium salt) shows the antibacterial effect, and not the fluoride ion itself.¹0.¹11.⁴9 Furthermore, zinc ions are not only known for their antibacterial effect but also to prevent oral malodor by inhibiting volatile sulfur compounds.⁵0-52 Regarding antimicrobial effects, zinc ions show a high substantivity in the oral cavity.⁵3 Zinc ions can inhibit bacterial metabolism (i.e., glycolysis and trypsin-like protease), leading to a reduced biofilm formation.¹5 Compared with other antibacterial metal salts (i.e., Sn²+), zinc does not stain the enamel surface.¹5

Nevertheless, all the above-mentioned agents have common property that they can kill both harmful and beneficial bacteria. However, the overall goal in biofilm management is to keep the oral microbiome in a homeostatic state.<sup>5,54</sup> This means antimicrobials might lead to a selection of potentially pathogenic bacteria and consequently to a dysbiosis of the microbiome.<sup>5,54</sup>

Therefore, research also focuses on alternative concepts, that is, on biomimetic approaches keeping the microbiome in balance. 4.10,11,23,24

#### Particulate Hydroxyapatite in Biofilm Management

Different types of hydroxyapatite are used in oral care formulations worldwide (e.g., hydroxyapatite and zinc hydroxyapatite). Numerous studies on hydroxyapatite in dental care have been published in the last years. For example, a (fluoride-free) zinc hydroxyapatite-containing toothpaste showed a comparable clinical performance in periodontitis patients compared with an antibacterial fluoridated toothpaste with amine fluoride (Olaflur) and stannous fluoride, for example, in reduction of bleeding on probing. In addition, a zinc hydroxyapatite mouthwash showed a reduction in plaque accumulation and gingivitis in children in vivo.

Details on biofilm management using hydroxyapatite have been thoroughly analyzed in several in situ<sup>24,35</sup> and *in vivo* studies.<sup>46</sup>

These studies analyzed the influence of a hydroxyapatite mouthwash as well as hydroxyapatite particles and the liquid phase of the mouthwash. Antibacterial effects could be mainly assigned to the liquid phase, whereas hydroxyapatite particles show antiadherent properties, that is, reduction in initial bacterial colonization to the enamel surface.35 Interestingly, pure hydroxyapatite particles dispersed in water (i.e., without any apatite substituents such as zinc or other additives commonly used in oral care products) reduced the bacterial attachment to enamel surfaces comparable to the gold standard chlorhexidine in situ, without any antibacterial effect.<sup>24</sup> Studying the raw material is very important to analyze its efficiency and efficacy, because other ingredients such as ethanol (usually used in combination with essential oils),55 surfactants, preservatives, and others as well as an acidic pH value (e.g., formulations with amine fluoride) in oral care formulations may have an influence on the results of biofilm management.<sup>4</sup> Besides in situ studies, in vitro studies

using subminimal inhibitory concentration show inhibitory effects of zinc hydroxyapatite products against cariogenic biofilms.<sup>56,57</sup> This means biofilm formation is inhibited, but bacteria are not killed. In addition, it has been reported that the use of a mouthwash, containing zinc hydroxyapatite and zinc L-pyrrolidone carboxylate, leads to a reduced bacterial attachment to suture threads.<sup>46</sup> In summary, these findings make hydroxyapatite a potent biomimetic alternative to classical antibacterial agents for oral care use. In addition to the existing studies, future research needs to focus on the incorporation of hydroxyapatite particles into oral biofilms and thus a clarification of the mode of action.<sup>58</sup> Synergistic effects in biomimetic oral biofilm management may be achieved by combining hydroxyapatite particles with saliva proteins, such as lactoferrin or other enzymes.<sup>23,59</sup>

## **Conclusions**

Due to its high biocompatibility as well as its structural and chemical similarity to human enamel, hydroxyapatite is a promising oral care ingredient. Studies show that it reduces the bacterial attachment to enamel surfaces similar to chlorhexidine in situ, but without killing the bacteria. In addition, hydroxyapatite particles offer other beneficial effects in the oral cavity, for instance, remineralization of enamel and dentin as well as prevention of dentin hypersensitivity. Although more research is needed to understand hydroxyapatite's mode of action in reducing the bacterial attachment to the enamel surface and to compare its efficiency toward other antibacterial substances in vivo, hydroxyapatite may be a promising biomimetic alternative or supplement for oral biofilm management.

### **Funding**

None.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Dr. med. dent. Barbara Simader for helpful discussions.

#### References

- 1 Flemming HC, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, Steinberg P, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S. Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14(9):563–575
- 2 Haussler S, Fuqua C. Biofilms 2012: new discoveries and significant wrinkles in a dynamic field. J Bacteriol 2013;195(13):2947–2958
- 3 Marsh PD, Head DA, Devine DA. Ecological approaches to oral biofilms: control without killing. Caries Res 2015;49(Suppl 1):46–54
- 4 Meyer F, Enax J. Biomimetic approaches for the dental plaque control. Biol Unserer Zeit 2018;48:62–68
- 5 Marsh PD, Zaura E. Dental biofilm: ecological interactions in healthanddisease. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44(Suppl 18):S12–S22
- 6 Sanz M, Beighton D, Curtis MA, et al. Role of microbial biofilms in the maintenance of oral health and in the development of dental caries and periodontal diseases. Consensus report of

- group 1 of the Joint EFP/ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal disease. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44(Suppl 18):S5–S11
- 7 Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, et al; GBD 2016 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet 2017;390(10100):1211–1259
- 8 Tonetti MS, Jepsen S, Jin L, Otomo-Corgel J. Impact of the global burden of periodontal diseases on health, nutrition and wellbeing of mankind: a call for global action. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44(5):456–462
- 9 Enax J, Epple M. Characterization of abrasives in toothpastes. Dtsch Zahnarztl Z 2018;73:116–124
- 10 Epple M, Enax J. Moderne zahnpflege aus chemischer Sicht. Chem Unserer Zeit 2018;4:218–228
- 11 Epple M, Enax J. The Chemistry of Dental Care. Chem Views 2018;DOI:10.1002/chemv.201800053
- 12 Fejerskov O, Nyvad B, Kidd E, eds. Dental Caries: The Disease and Its Clinical Management. 3rd ed. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell; 2015
- 13 Yaacob M, Worthington HV, Deacon SA, et al. Powered versus manual toothbrushing for oral health. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; (6):CD002281
- 14 Marsh PD. Contemporary perspective on plaque control. Br Dent J 2012;212(12):601–606
- 15 Brading MG, Marsh PD. The oral environment: the challenge for antimicrobials in oral care products. Int Dent J 2003;53(6, Suppl 1):353–362
- 16 ten Cate JM. The need for antibacterial approaches to improve caries control. Adv Dent Res 2009;21(1):8–12
- 17 Latimer J, Munday JL, Buzza KM, Forbes S, Sreenivasan PK, McBain AJ. Antibacterial and anti-biofilm activity of mouthrinses containing cetylpyridinium chloride and sodium fluoride. BMC Microbiol 2015;15:169
- 18 Marsh PD. Controlling the oral biofilm with antimicrobials. J Dent 2010;38(Suppl 1):S11–S15
- 19 Ellingsen JE, Eriksen HM, Rölla G. Extrinsic dental stain caused by stannous fluoride. Scand J Dent Res 1982;90(1):9–13
- 20 Addy M, Moran J. Mechanisms of stain formation on teeth, in particular associated with metal ions and antiseptics. Adv Dent Res 1995;9:450–456
- 21 Cieplik F, Kara E, Muehler D, et al. Antimicrobial efficacy of alternative compounds for use in oral care toward biofilms from caries-associated bacteria in vitro. Microbiologyopen 2019;8(4):e00695
- 22 Cieplik F, Tabenski L, Buchalla W, Maisch T. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy for inactivation of biofilms formed by oral key pathogens. Front Microbiol 2014;5:405
- 23 Adams SE, Arnold D, Murphy B, et al. A randomised clinical study to determine the effect of a toothpaste containing enzymes and proteins on plaque oral microbiome ecology. Sci Rep 2017;7:43344
- 24 Kensche A, Holder C, Basche S, Tahan N, Hannig C, Hannig M. Efficacy of a mouthrinse based on hydroxyapatite to reduce initial bacterial colonisation in situ. Arch Oral Biol 2017;80:18–26
- 25 Freires IA, Rosalen PL. How natural product research has contributed to oral care product development? A critical view. Pharm Res 2016;33(6):1311–1317
- 26 Enax J, Epple M. Synthetic hydroxyapatite as a biomimetic oral care agent. Oral Health Prev Dent 2018;16(1):7–19
- 27 Meyer F, Amaechi BT, Fabritius HO, Enax J. Overview of calcium phosphates used in biomimetic oral care. Open Dent J 2018;12:406–423
- 28 Fratzl P. Biomimetic materials research: what can we really learn from nature's structural materials? J R Soc Interface 2007;4(15):637–642

- 29 Meyer F, Enax J. Early childhood caries: epidemiology, aetiology, and prevention. Int J Dent 2018;2018:1415873
- 30 Hannig M, Hannig C. Nanomaterials in preventive dentistry. Nat Nanotechnol 2010;5(8):565–569
- 31 Loveren CV. Toothpastes. Monogr Oral Sci 2013;23: ISBN: 978-3-318-02206-3
- 32 Brown PW, Constantz B. Hydroxyapatite and Related Materials. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press;1994
- 33 Harks I, Jockel-Schneider Y, Schlagenhauf U, et al. Impact of the daily use of a microcrystal hydroxyapatite dentifrice on de novo plaque formation and clinical/microbiological parameters of periodontal health. A Randomized trial. PLoS One 2016;11(7):e0160142
- 34 Najibfard K, Ramalingam K, Chedjieu I, Amaechi BT. Remineralization of early caries by a nano-hydroxyapatite dentifrice. J Clin Dent 2011;22(5):139–143
- 35 Hannig C, Basche S, Burghardt T, Al-Ahmad A, Hannig M. Influence of a mouthwash containing hydroxyapatite microclusters on bacterial adherence in situ. Clin Oral Investig 2013;17(3):805–814
- 36 Dorozhkin SV, Epple M. Biological and medical significance of calcium phosphates. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2002;41(17):3130–3146
- 37 Epple M. Review of potential health risks associated with nanoscopic calcium phosphate. Acta Biomater 2018;77:1–14
- 38 Ramis J, Coelho C, Córdoba A, Quadros P, Monjo M. Safety assessment of nano-hydroxyapatite as an oral care ingredient according to the EU cosmetics regulation. Cosmetics 2018;5:53
- 39 Kani K, Kani M, Isozaki A, Shintani H, Ohashi T, Tokumoto T. Effect of apatite-containing dentifrices on dental caries in school children. J Dent Health 1989;19:104–109
- 40 Schlagenhauf U, Kunzelmann KH, Hannig C, et al. Impact of a non-fluoridated microcrystalline hydroxyapatite dentifrice on enamel caries progression in highly caries-susceptible orthodontic patients: A randomized, controlled 6-month trial. J Investig Clin Dent 2019; 10(2):e12399
- 41 Tschoppe P, Zandim DL, Martus P, Kielbassa AM. Enamel and dentine remineralization by nano-hydroxyapatite tooth-pastes. J Dent 2011;39(6):430–437
- 42 Hegazy SA, Salama IR. Antiplaque and remineralizing effects of biorepair mouthwash: a comparative clinical trial. Pediatr Dent | 2016;26:89–94
- 43 Orsini G, Procaccini M, Manzoli L, Giuliodori F, Lorenzini A, Putignano A. A double-blind randomized-controlled trial comparing the desensitizing efficacy of a new dentifrice containing carbonate/hydroxyapatite nanocrystals and a sodium fluoride/potassium nitrate dentifrice. J Clin Periodontol 2010;37(6):510–517
- 44 Orsini G, Procaccini M, Manzoli L, et al. A 3-day randomized clinical trial to investigate the desensitizing properties of three dentifrices. J Periodontol 2013;84(11):e65–e73

- 45 Hiller KA, Buchalla W, Grillmeier I, Neubauer C, Schmalz G. In vitro effects of hydroxyapatite containing toothpastes on dentin permeability after multiple applications and ageing. Sci Rep 2018;8(1):4888
- 46 Cosola S, Marconcini S, Giammarinaro E, et al. Antimicrobial efficacy of mouthwashes containing zinc-substituted nanohydroxyapatite and zinc L-pyrrolidone carboxylate on suture threads after surgical procedures. J Oral Sci Rehabil 2017;3:24–30
- 47 Marinone MG, Savoldi E. Chlorhexidine and taste. Influence of mouthwashes concentration and of rinsing time. Minerva Stomatol 2000;49(5):221–226
- 48 Jones CG. Chlorhexidine: is it still the gold standard? Periodontol 2000 1997;15:55–62
- 49 Shani S, Friedman M, Steinberg D. Relation between surface activity and antibacterial activity of amine-fluorides. Int J Pharm 1996;131:33–39
- 50 Young A, Jonski G, Rölla G. Inhibition of orally produced volatile sulfur compounds by zinc, chlorhexidine or cetylpyridinium chloride—effect of concentration. Eur J Oral Sci 2003;111(5):400–404
- 51 Lynch RJ. Zinc in the mouth, its interactions with dental enamel and possible effects on caries; a review of the literature. Int Dent J 2011;61(Suppl 3):46–54
- 52 Fatima T, Haji Abdul Rahim ZB, Lin CW, Qamar Z. Zinc: a precious trace element for oral health care? J Pak Med Assoc 2016;66(8):1019–1023
- 53 Gilbert RJ, Ingram GS. The oral disposition of zinc following the use of an anticalculus toothpaste containing 0.5% zinc citrate. J Pharm Pharmacol 1988;40(6):399–402
- 54 Mira A, Simon-Soro A, Curtis MA. Role of microbial communities in the pathogenesis of periodontal diseases and caries. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44(Suppl 18):S23–S38
- 55 Chatterjee I, Somerville GA, Heilmann C, Sahl HG, Maurer HH, Herrmann M. Very low ethanol concentrations affect the viability and growth recovery in post-stationary-phase Staphylococcus aureus populations. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72(4):2627–2636
- 56 Palmieri C, Magi G, Orsini G, Putignano A, Facinelli B. Antibiofilm activity of zinc-carbonate hydroxyapatite nanocrystals against Streptococcus mutans and mitis group streptococci. Curr Microbiol 2013;67(6):679–681
- 57 Arakawa T, Fujimaru T, Ishizak T, et al. Unique functions of hydroxyapatite with mutans streptococci adherence. Quintessence Int 2010;41(1):e11–e19
- 58 Zhang M, He LB, Exterkate RA, et al. Biofilm layers affect the treatment outcomes of NaF and Nano-hydroxyapatite. J Dent Res 2015;94(4):602–607
- 59 Nocerino N, Fulgione A, Iannaccone M, et al. Biological activity of lactoferrin-functionalized biomimetic hydroxyapatite nanocrystals. Int J Nanomedicine 2014;9:1175–1184