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#### Abstract

The hypergraph augmentation problem is to augment a hypergraph by hyperedges to meet prescribed local connectivity requirements. We provide here a minimax theorem for this problem. The result is derived from the degree constrained version of the problem by a standard method. We shall construct the required hypergraph for the latter problem by a greedy type algorithm. A similar minimax result will be given for the problem of augmenting a hypergraph by weighted edges (hyperedges of size two with weights) to meet prescribed local connectivity requirements. Moreover, a special case of an earlier result of Schrijver on supermodular colourings shall be derived from our theorem.


## 1. Introduction

Let us be given a hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ on the finite set $V$ and for any pair $s, t$ of vertices a connectivity requirement $\lambda(s, t)$ between $s$ and $t$, where $\lambda(s, t)$ is a non-negative integer. We are looking for a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ so that adding the hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ the new hypergraph satisfies the connectivity requirements.

For a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ the degree of a set $X$ denoted by $d_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ is the number of hyperedges $H$ of $\mathcal{H}$ for which none of $H \cap X$ and $H \cap(V-X)$ is empty.

Using this notation our problem is the following. Find a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ so that for all $X \subseteq V$

$$
d_{\mathcal{G}+\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq \lambda(s, t) \text { for all } s \in X, t \notin X .
$$

Introducing the set function $R(X):=\max \{\lambda(s, t): s \in X, t \notin X\}$, the inequalities above can be transformed into

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq R(X)-d_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \text { for all } X \subseteq V
$$

Finally, let us define $p(X):=R(X)-d_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$. So the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ must satisfy

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq p(X) \text { for all } X \subseteq V
$$

[^0]The set function $p(X)$ has the following properties: it is integer valued, symmetric and skew-supermodular (for definitions see Section 2). We remark here that $p(X)$ is not necessarily non-negative.

The question is what is the minimum value of a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ which satisfies a symmetric, skew-supermodular set function $p$, that is

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq p(X) \text { for all } X \subseteq V
$$

Here the value of the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is not the number of the hyperedges in $\mathcal{H}$ because in that case the problem is trivial. (Let $\mathcal{H}$ contain the set $V k$ times where $k=\max \{p(X)$ : $X \subseteq V\}$.) Thus it is natural to define $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{H})$ to be $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}}|H|$.

The following theorem solves the problem.
Theorem 1. Let $p$ be a symmetric, skew-supermodular, integer valued function on the ground set $V$. Then

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{H}): d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq p(X) \text { for all } X \subseteq V\right\}=\max \left\{\sum p\left(V_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

where the maximum is taken over all subpartitions $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{l}\right\}$ of $V$.
The edge-connectivity augmentation problem for graphs was solved by A.Frank [1]. He derived the minimax theorem from the degree constrained version of the problem. We shall follow this line.

Theorem 2. Let $p$ be a skew-supermodular integer valued function on the ground set $V$. Furthermore, let $m(v)$ be a non-negative integer valued function on $V$. Then there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)=m(v) \text { for all } v \in V  \tag{1}\\
d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq p(X) \text { for all } X \subseteq V \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

if and only if for all $X \subseteq V$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(X) \leq \min \{m(X), m(V-X)\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{H}$ can be chosen so that $|\mathcal{H}|=k:=\max \{p(X): X \subseteq V\}$.
We shall prove that the hyperedges of the required hypergraph can be constructed step by step by a greedy type algorithm.

In Section 5 we shall derive from Theorem 2 a result due to Schrijver [4] on supermodular colourings.

In the remaining part of the Introduction we show a simple application of Theorem 1. Let us consider the following problem. We want to augment a hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ by a set $F$ of edges (hyperedges of size two) with suitable rational weights on the edges so that the resulting hypergraph satisfies prescribed local edge-connectivity requirements and the total weight of the new edges is minimum. As above, we can formulate this problem as follows.

Minimize $1 c_{F}$ so that $d_{c_{F}}(X) \geq p(X)$ for all $X \subset V$, where $c_{F}$ is the weighting of $F$, $d_{c_{F}}(X)$ denotes the sum of the weights of the edges of $F$ leaving $X$ and $p$ is the above defined set function.

Claim $\min \left\{1 c_{F}: d_{c_{F}}(X) \geq p(X)\right.$ for all $\left.X \subseteq V\right\}=\max \left\{1 / 2 \sum p\left(V_{i}\right)\right\}$, where the maximum is taken over all subpartitions $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{l}\right\}$ of $V$.

Proof. $\min \geq \max : 1 c_{F} \geq 1 / 2 \sum d_{c_{F}}\left(V_{i}\right) \geq 1 / 2 \sum p\left(V_{i}\right)$.
$\min \leq$ max: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a hypergraph and $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{l}\right\}$ be a subpartition of $V$ satisfying the minimax formula in Theorem 1. Using $\mathcal{H}$ we define the required edge set and weighting. Let us replace each hyperedge $H$ of $\mathcal{H}$ by a circuit $C_{H}$ on the vertex set of $H$ with weight $1 / 2$ on each edge of $C_{H}$. Then $d_{c_{F}}(X) \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq p(X)$ and $\sum p\left(V_{i}\right)=\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}}|H|=2\left(1 c_{F}\right)$, and we are done.

## Remarks

1. Clearly, this claim is true for any integer valued, symmetric, skew-supermodular set function $p$.
2. Note that the above constructed weighting is always half integral.
3. In the special case, when the starting hypergraph is empty, the same result was obtained by Gomory and $\mathrm{Hu}[3]$, and when the starting hypergraph is a graph, this was proved later by A. Frank in [1].

## 2. Definitions, Preliminaries

In this paper all (set) functions are integer valued. A set function $p$ is called skewsupermodular if at least one of the following two inequalities holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(X)+p(Y) \leq p(X \cap Y)+p(X \cup Y)  \tag{p1}\\
& p(X)+p(Y) \leq p(X-Y)+p(Y-X) \tag{p2}
\end{align*}
$$

whenever $X$ and $Y$ are two subsets of $V$. We call $p$ symmetric if $p(X)=p(V-X)$ for all $X \subseteq V$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a hypergraph. Then the value of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined to be $\operatorname{val}(\mathcal{H})=\sum\{|H|:$ $H \in \mathcal{H}\} . d_{\mathcal{H}}(X)$ denotes the number of hyperedges $H$ of $\mathcal{H}$ for which none of $H \cap X$ and $H \cap(V-X)$ is empty.
A.Frank showed in [2] that $R(X)$ defined in the Introduction is skew-supermodular. It is well-known that the degree function $d_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ satisfies both $(p 1)$ and $(p 2)$ so $p(X)$ defined in the Introduction is skew-supermodular, indeed. In this section we present some simple propositions we shall need later. It is easy to see that the following holds.

Proposition 3. Let $p$ be a skew-supermodular function on $V$. Then

$$
p^{\prime}(X):=\max \{p(X), p(V-X)\}
$$

is a symmetric, skew-supermodular function.

Proposition 4. Let $p$ be a skew-supermodular function on $V$. Let $Z$ be a subset of $V$. Then $p^{\prime}(X):=\max \left\{p\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime} \subseteq Z\right\}$ is skew-supermodular on $V-Z$.
Proof. Let $X, Y \subseteq V-Z$. Let $X^{\prime}$ (respectively, $Y^{\prime}$ ) be the subset of $Z$ which defines $p^{\prime}(X)$ (resp. $p^{\prime}(Y)$ ). $p$ is skew-supermodular, thus either ( $p 1$ ) (Case I.) or ( $p 2$ ) (Case II.) holds for $X \cup X^{\prime}$ and $Y \cup Y^{\prime}$.

## Case I.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}(X)+p^{\prime}(Y) & =p\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right)+p\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq p\left(\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)\right)+p\left(\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =p\left((X \cap Y) \cup\left(X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}\right)\right)+p\left((X \cup Y) \cup\left(X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq p^{\prime}(X \cap Y)+p^{\prime}(X \cup Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case II.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}(X)+p^{\prime}(Y) & =p\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right)+p\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq p\left(\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right)-\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)\right)+p\left(\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)-\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =p\left((X-Y) \cup\left(X^{\prime}-Y^{\prime}\right)\right)+p\left((Y-X) \cup\left(Y^{\prime}-X^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq p^{\prime}(X-Y)+p^{\prime}(Y-X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 5. Let $p$ be a skew-supermodular function on $V$. Let $V_{1}$ be a subset of $V$. Let

$$
p^{\prime}(X):= \begin{cases}p(X)-1 & \text { if } X \cap V_{1} \neq \emptyset \\ p(X) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $p^{\prime}$ is skew-supermodular on $V$.
Proof. It is straightforward.

Let $m: V \longrightarrow Z_{+}$be a non-negative integer valued function on $V$. Let $p$ be a skewsupermodular set function on $V$. Assume that $p(X) \leq m(X)$ for all $X \subseteq V$. We call a set $X \subseteq V$ tight if $m(X)=p(X)$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two tight sets. Then either $X \cap Y$ and $X \cup Y$ or $X-Y$, $Y-X$ are tight sets. Furthermore, in the latter case $m(X \cap Y)=0$.

Proof. Since $p$ is skew-supermodular, either ( $p 1$ ) or ( $p 2$ ) holds for $X$ and $Y$.
Case I. Assume first that ( $p 1$ ) holds. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(X)+m(Y) & =p(X)+p(Y) \\
& \leq p(X \cap Y)+p(X \cup Y) \\
& \leq m(X \cap Y)+m(X \cup Y) \\
& =m(X)+m(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus equality holds everywhere, implying that $X \cap Y$ and $X \cup Y$ are tight.
Case II. Assume that ( $p 2$ ) holds. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(X)+m(Y) & =p(X)+p(Y) \\
& \leq p(X-Y)+p(Y-X) \\
& \leq m(X-Y)+m(Y-X) \\
& =m(X)+m(Y)-2 m(X \cap Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $X-Y$ and $Y-X$ are tight. Furthermore, $m(X \cap Y)=0$.

## 3. The proof of Theorem 2

In this section we prove Theorem 2. Moreover, we shall characterize the hyperedges which can be contained in a hypergraph satisfying the requirements of Theorem 2.

Proof. The only if part is trivial, so we prove the other direction. Let us consider a minimal counter-example, minimal with respect to $|V|+k$.

By Proposition 3, we may assume that $p$ is symmetric.
Lemma 7. Let $H \subseteq V$. Then there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with
i.) $H \in \mathcal{H}$,
ii.) $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies (1) and (2),
iii.) $\mathcal{H}$ contains exactly $k:=\max \{p(X): X \subseteq V\}$ hyperedges
if and only if
a.) $p$ and $m$ satisfy (3),
b.) $X \cap H \neq \emptyset$ for all set $X \subseteq V$ with $p(X)=k$,
c.) $|X \cap H| \leq m(X)-p(X)+1$ for all $X \subseteq V$,
$|H| \leq m(X)-p(X)$ for all $V \supseteq X \supseteq H$,
d.) $m(v) \geq 1$ for all $v \in H$.

Remark. Note that in fact b.) and c.) imply a.).
Proof. First we show the necessity of the conditions. Assume the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the requirement of the lemma. It is easy to see that a.), b.) and d.) hold. To see c.) assume that $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{H}-H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}(X) & := \begin{cases}p(X)-1 & \text { if } X \cap H \neq \emptyset, \\
p(X) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
m^{\prime}(v) & := \begin{cases}m(v)-1 & \text { if } v \in H, \\
m(v) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $p^{\prime}$ is skew-supermodular by Proposition $5, m^{\prime}$ is non-negative by d.) and clearly $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ satisfies (1) and (2) for $p^{\prime}, m^{\prime}$. Thus (3) holds for $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$.

Let $X \subseteq V$. Then $p(X)-1 \leq p^{\prime}(X) \leq m^{\prime}(X)=m(X)-|X \cap H|$.
Finally, let $H \subseteq X \subseteq V$. Then $p(X)=p(V-X)=p^{\prime}(V-X) \leq m^{\prime}(X)=m(X)-|H|$.
Secondly, we show the sufficiency of the conditions. Let $H$ be a subset of $V$ satisfying a.), b.), c.) and d.).

Let $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ be defined as above.
Claim 8. $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.
Proof. By Proposition 5, $p^{\prime}$ is skew-supermodular and by d.) $m^{\prime}$ is non-negative.
To prove (3), let $X$ be a subset of $V$.
If $X \cap H=\emptyset$, then $p^{\prime}(X)=p(X) \leq m(X)=m^{\prime}(X)$ by a.).
If $X \cap H \neq \emptyset$, then by c. $), p^{\prime}(X)=p(X)-1 \leq m(X)-|X \cap H|=m^{\prime}(X)$.
Thus $p^{\prime}(X) \leq m^{\prime}(X)$ for all $X \subseteq V$.
If $X \cap H=\emptyset$, then by c.), $p^{\prime}(X)=p(X)=p(V-X) \leq m(V-X)-|H|=m^{\prime}(V-X)$.
If $X \cap H \neq \emptyset$, then using the previous inequality $(*)$ and the symmetry of $p, p^{\prime}(X)=$ $p(X)-1=p(V-X)-1 \leq p^{\prime}(V-X) \leq m^{\prime}(V-X)$.

Thus $p^{\prime}(X) \leq m^{\prime}(V-X)$ for all $X \subseteq V$.
By b.) $\max \left\{p^{\prime}(X): X \subseteq V\right\}=k-1$. By the minimality of the counter-example, there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ satisfying (1) and (2) for $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ and containing $k-1$ hyperedges.
Claim 9. $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}^{\prime} \cup\{H\}$ satisfies (1) and (2) for $p$ and $m$.
Proof. First we prove that (1) holds.
If $v \notin H$ then $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)=d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(v)=m^{\prime}(v)=m(v)$.
If $v \in H$ then $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)=d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(v)+1=m^{\prime}(v)+1=m(v)$.
Secondly we prove that (2) holds. Let $X \subseteq V$.
If $X \cap H=\emptyset$, then $d_{\mathcal{H}}(X)=d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(X) \geq p^{\prime}(X)=p(X)$.
If $X \supseteq H$, then $d_{\mathcal{H}}(X)=d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(X)=d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(V-X) \geq p^{\prime}(V-X)=p(V-X)=p(X)$.
If $X \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $H-X \neq \emptyset$, then $d_{\mathcal{H}}(X)=d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(X)+1 \geq p^{\prime}(X)+1=p(X)$.
By Claim 9 the proof of Lemma 7 is complete.
To prove Theorem 2 we have to show that there exists a subset $H$ of $V$ satisfying b.), c.) and d.) in Lemma 7 . We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 10. $m(v) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$.
Proof. Let $Z:=\{v \in V: m(v)=0\}$ and suppose $Z$ is not empty. Let us define a set function $p^{\prime}$ on $V-Z$ as follows.

$$
p^{\prime}(X):=\max \left\{p\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime} \subseteq Z\right\} .
$$

Then by Proposition 4, $p^{\prime}$ is skew-supermodular and it is symmetric since $p^{\prime}(X)=\max \left\{p\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime} \subseteq Z\right\}=\max \left\{p\left(V-X-X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime} \subseteq Z\right\}=p^{\prime}((V-Z)-X)$.

Let $m^{\prime}(v):=m(v)$ for $v \in V-Z$. Since $m\left(X^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $X^{\prime} \subseteq Z$, we have for all $X \subseteq V-Z$

$$
p^{\prime}(X)=\max \left\{p\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime} \subseteq Z\right\} \leq \max \left\{m\left(X \cup X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime} \subseteq Z\right\}=m(X)=m^{\prime}(X) .
$$

Thus $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2. Now the ground set is smaller, thus there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ which satisfies (1) and (2) for $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$. Since $p^{\prime}$ is defined by as a maximum, this hypergraph is good for $p$ and $m$. This contradiction proves the assertion.

Let $V_{1}$ be a minimal (for inclusion) set intersecting each set $Y$ for which $p(Y)=k$. We show that $V_{1}$ satisfies c.) and thus by Lemma 7 there exists a hypergraph satisfying (1) and (2) and containing this hyperedge.

Lemma 11. $p(X) \leq m(X)+1-\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|$ for all $X \subseteq V$. Furthermore, if $X \supseteq V_{1}$ then $p(X) \leq m(X)-\left|V_{1}\right|$.
Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $|X|$. For $X=\emptyset$ it is true by (3). Let $X$ be an arbitrary subset of $V$ and assume that the lemma holds for each smaller set. We may assume that there exists a vertex $y \in V_{1} \cap X$, for otherwise the lemma holds by (3). By the minimality of $V_{1}$, there exists a set $Y \subseteq\left(V-V_{1}\right) \cup\{y\}$ for which $p(Y)=k$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{1} \cap(X-Y)\right|=\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by Lemma 10 and (4) we have the following inequalities.

$$
\begin{gather*}
m(X-Y) \geq m\left((X-Y) \cap V_{1}\right) \geq\left|(X-Y) \cap V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|-1 .  \tag{5}\\
m(X \cap Y) \geq 1 . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $y \in X \cap Y,|X-Y|<|X|$ and the lemma is true for $X-Y$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(X-Y) \leq m(X-Y)+1-\left|V_{1} \cap(X-Y)\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the skew-supermodularity of $p,(p 1)$ (Case I) or ( $p 2$ ) (Case II.) holds for $X$ and $Y$.

## Case I.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(X)+p(Y) & \leq p(X \cap Y)+p(X \cup Y) & & \text { by }(\mathrm{p} 1) \\
& \leq m(X \cap Y)+p(X \cup Y) & & \text { by }(3) \\
& =m(X)-m(X-Y)+p(X \cup Y) & & \\
& \leq m(X)+1-\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|+p(X \cup Y) . & & \text { by }(5)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the desired inequalities, since $p(Y)=k, p(X \cup Y) \leq k$ and if $X \supseteq V_{1}$ then $V-(X \cup Y)$ is disjoint from $V_{1}$ thus $p(X \cup Y)=p(V-(X \cup Y)) \leq k-1$.

## Case II.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(X)+p(Y) & \leq p(X-Y)+p(Y-X) & & \text { by }(\mathrm{p} 2) \\
& \leq m(X-Y)+1-\left|V_{1} \cap(X-Y)\right|+p(Y-X) & & \text { by }(7) \\
& \leq m(X)-m(X \cap Y)+1-\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|+1+p(Y-X) & & \text { by }(4) \\
& \leq m(X)-\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|+1+p(Y-X) & & \text { by }(6) \\
& \leq m(X)-\left|V_{1} \cap X\right|+k, & & \text { since }(Y-X) \cap V_{1}=\emptyset .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $V_{1}$ can be constructed easily since the minimal sets $Y$ with $p(Y)=$ $\max \{p(X): X \subset V\}$ are pairwise disjoint by the skew-supermodularity of $p$.

## 4. Hypergraph connectivity augmentation

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.
Proof. The $\min \geq$ max being trivial we prove only the other direction. We shall prove it with a standard method using Theorem 2. Let $m: V \longrightarrow Z_{+}$be a degree constraint on $V$ so that there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying (1) and (2) in Theorem 2 for $p$ and $m$ and $m(V)$ is minimal. (Such an $m$ exists since $m(v)=\max \{p(X): X \subseteq V\}$ for all $v \in V$ satisfies (3) in Theorem 2.)

Recall that a set $X$ is tight if $p(X)=m(X)$. Let $Z:=\{v \in V: m(v)=0\}$ and $V^{\prime} ;=V-Z$. By the minimality of $m(V)$ and the symmetry of $p$ each $v \in V^{\prime}$ is contained in a tight set. Thus there exists a set system $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots X_{l}\right\}$ satisfying the following.
i.) $X_{i}$ is tight for all $i=1, \ldots, l$,
ii.) $\bigcup_{1}^{l} X_{i} \supseteq V^{\prime}$,
iii.) $\sum\left|X_{i}\right|$ is minimal.

Claim. $X_{i} \cap X_{j}=\emptyset$.
Proof. Assume that $X_{i} \cap X_{j} \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 6, either $X_{i} \cup X_{j}$ is tight or $X_{i}-X_{j}$, $X_{j}-X_{i}$ are tight sets and in the latter case $m(X \cap Y)=0$. Observe that this implies that $X \cap Y \subseteq Z .\left\{X_{i}, X_{j}\right\}$ will be replaced by $X_{i} \cup X_{j}$ in the former case, and by $X_{i}-X_{j}$, $X_{j}-X_{i}$ in the latter case. In both cases we have a contradiction with iii.)

This subpartition shows the other direction.
$\min \leq \operatorname{val}(\mathcal{H})=\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}}|H|=\sum_{v \in V} d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)=\sum_{v \in V} m(v)=m(V)=\sum_{1}^{l} m\left(X_{i}\right)=$ $\sum_{1}^{l} p\left(X_{i}\right) \leq \max$.

## 5. Supermodular colourings

In this section we show that Theorem 2 implies a special case of an earlier result of Schrijver [4] on supermodular colourings. Let $p$ be a supermodular function. (A set function $p$ is supermodular if ( $p 1$ ) holds for all sets $X$ and $Y$.) A colouring of $V$ with $k$ colours is called good $k$-colouring with respect to $p$ if for all subsets $X$ of $V$ the number of different colour classes intersecting $X$ is at least $p(X)$. Schrijver proved in [4] that the obvious necessary condition for the existence of a good $k$-colouring is also sufficient, that is the following holds.

Theorem 12. Let $p$ be an integer valued supermodular function and let $k:=\max \{p(X)$ : $X \subseteq V\}$ be non-negative. Then there exists a good $k$-colouring if and only if $p(X) \leq|X|$ for all $X \subseteq V$.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime} & :=V \cup v, \\
p^{\prime}(X) & := \begin{cases}p(X) & \text { if } v \notin X \\
p(V-X) & \text { if } v \in X .\end{cases} \\
m^{\prime}(u) & := \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } u \in V, \\
\max \{p(X): X \subseteq V\} & \text { if } u=v .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $p^{\prime}$ is skew-supermodular.
Lemma 13. $p^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ satisfy (3) in Theorem 2.
Proof. First of all observe that $p^{\prime}$ is symmetric. Let $X \subseteq V^{\prime}$. If $v \notin X$, then $p^{\prime}(X)=p(X) \leq|X|=m^{\prime}(X)$.
If $v \in X$, then $p^{\prime}(X)=p(V-X) \leq m^{\prime}(v)+|X \cap V|=m^{\prime}(X)$.
Thus $p^{\prime}(X) \leq m^{\prime}(X)$ for all $X \subseteq V^{\prime}$. This was to be proved since $p^{\prime}$ is symmetric.
By Theorem 2, there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{\mathcal{H}}(u)=m^{\prime}(u) \text { for all } u \in V^{\prime},  \tag{8}\\
d_{\mathcal{H}}(X) \geq p^{\prime}(X) \text { for all } X \subseteq V^{\prime}, \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ defines a partition of $V$ by (8) and this partition is a good $k-$ colouring by ( 9 ) and by the definition of $m^{\prime}(v)$.
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