

Hypoxia Signaling in Cancer: From Basics to Clinical Practice

Anna Sebestyén¹, László Kopper¹, Titanilla Dankó¹ and József Tímár²*

frontiers

¹1st Department of Pathology and Experimental Cancer Research, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary, ²2nd Department of Pathology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Cancer hypoxia, recognized as one of the most important hallmarks of cancer, affects gene expression, metabolism and ultimately tumor biology-related processes. Major causes of cancer hypoxia are deficient or inappropriate vascularization and systemic hypoxia of the patient (frequently induced by anemia), leading to a unique form of genetic reprogramming by hypoxia induced transcription factors (HIF). However, constitutive activation of oncogene-driven signaling pathways may also activate hypoxia signaling independently of oxygen supply. The consequences of HIF activation in tumors are the angiogenic phenotype, a novel metabolic profile and the immunosuppressive microenvironment. Cancer hypoxia and the induced adaptation mechanisms are two of the major causes of therapy resistance. Accordingly, it seems inevitable to combine various therapeutic modalities of cancer patients by existing anti-hypoxic agents such as anti-angiogenics, anti-anemia therapies or specific signaling pathway inhibitors. It is evident that there is an unmet need in cancer patients to develop targeted therapies of hypoxia to improve efficacies of various anti-cancer therapeutic modalities. The case has been opened recently due to the approval of the first-in-class HIF2 α inhibitor.

Keywords: cancer, hypoxia, angiogenesis, metabolism, therapy

INTRODUCTION

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Andrea Ladányi, National Institute of Oncology, Hungary

*Correspondence:

József Tímár jtimar@gmail.com

Received: 09 March 2021 Accepted: 14 April 2021 Published: 03 May 2021

Citation:

Sebestyén A, Kopper L, Dankó T and Tímár J (2021) Hypoxia Signaling in Cancer: From Basics to Clinical Practice. Pathol. Oncol. Res. 27:1609802. doi: 10.3389/pore.2021.1609802 One of the most typical macroscopic pathologic characteristics of malignant tumors is the presence of bleeding and necrosis (**Figure 1**). This is due to the fact that growth of normal tissues manifests in harmony with vascularization while in case of cancer, tumor growth is driven by activated oncogenes irrespective of permissive vascular supply. Necrotic tumor tissue does not present much harm to the host organism, but the hypoxic part of the tumor tissue and the hypoxic tumor cells are usually the major drivers of tumor progression [1–3].

There is a difference in the oxygenation/physoxia of various normal tissues $(4-10\% O_2)$ due to the differential blood supply and tolerance to hypoxia. The most oxygenized tissues are renal cortex, liver, breast and pancreatic tissues, while the least oxygenized ones are brain, lung and intestinal mucosa (**Table 1**). As compared to normal tissues, oxygenation levels are much lower in cancers, even in the most vascularized tumors the O₂ rate is only 2% (lung cancer), but in most cases it is much lower, especially, for pancreatic cancers, where this rate is the lowest (0.3%) [1].

Abbreviations: EPO, erythropoietin; ESA, erythropoiesis stimulating agent; FDG-PET, fluoro-deoxy-glucose positron emission tomography; FMISO, fluoromisonidasole; HBO, hyperbaric O2; Hgb, hemoglobin; HIF, hypoxia inducible factor; HRE, HIF-responsive element; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation, ROS, reactive oxygen species; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VHL, von Hippel Lindau.



 $\label{eq:FIGURE 1} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Necrosis in cancer.} (A). \mbox{Macroscopic picture of hemorrhagic} \\ \mbox{necrosis in liver cancer;} (B). \mbox{Microscopic picture of necrosis in renal cell cancer} \\ \mbox{(HE staining). } C = \mbox{capillary, } H = \mbox{hypoxic area, } N = \mbox{necrotic area.} \end{array}$

| TABLE 1 Comparison of oxygenation levels in cancer and host tissues. [1]. | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Cancer | % O ₂ | Host tissue | % 0 ₂ | | |
| Lung cancer | 2.2 | Renal cortex | 9.5 | | |
| Rectal adenocarcinoma | 1.8 | Breast tissue | 8.5 | | |
| Glial tumors | 1.7 | Pancreatic tissue | 7.5 | | |
| Breast adenocarcinoma | 1.5 | Liver | 7.3 | | |
| Renal cell cancer | 1.3 | Lung | 5.6 | | |
| Cervical squamous cell cancer | 1.2 | Uterine cervix | 5.5 | | |
| Hepatocellular cancer | 0.8 | Brain | 4.6 | | |
| Pancreatic adenocarcinoma | 0.3 | Rectal tissue | 3.9 | | |

For individual references see [1].

Hypoxia has various forms: acute, chronic, toxic and systemic ones. In cancers, toxic form of hypoxia is not significant. Acute hypoxia is perfusion hypoxia while chronic hypoxia is characterized as diffusion hypoxia, indicating various pathomechanisms behind. Systemic hypoxia in cancer patients is also a frequent event. Collectively, cancer hypoxia usually is a combination of acute, chronic and systemic forms of hypoxia,



FIGURE 2 | Schematic presentation of cancer growth beyond 1 mm³: oxygen and nutrient diffusion distances.

which not only drives tumor progression, but also a leading cause of resistance to various therapeutic modalities [3–6].

Below we will provide an overview about the causes of cancer hypoxia and the induced cellular responses; and additionally, we will also summarize the metabolic and immunological consequences. At the end, current therapeutic approaches to overcome cancer hypoxia will be summarized.

PATHOMECHANISM OF CANCER HYPOXIA

According to Folkman's theory, a tissue (including cancer) which growth beyond 2-3 mm³ requires new blood vessels [2]. We now know that oxygen and nutrient supplies are considered to be optimal in a 250-µm radius of capillaries in various tissues, accordingly a >1 mm³ tumor tissue can survive without new vessels (Figure 2). Since cancer growth exceeds that size, cancer progression/development is driven not by the presence of blood vessels but the immanent oncogenic mechanisms. In cancers, it is almost inevitable that hypoxia would develop which can be due to: 1) compressed intratumoral vessels [3], 2) abnormal newly developed intratumoral capillaries [4], or 3) the systemic hypoxia in the host. Acute hypoxia without resolution leads to the development of necrosis in case of extremely low O2 levels which is not normalized rapidly at that area. However, chronic hypoxia is the most typical form of hypoxia in tumor tissues [5]. Meanwhile, acute and chronic hypoxia are combined in frequently in cancer tissues leading to central tumor necrosis and surrounded by hypoxic areas (Figure 1B). Chronic hypoxia may induce physiological responses in tumor tissue, but in case of genetic changes of the signaling pathway components, this response could be profoundly different [6].



OXYGEN-DEPENDENT HIF ACTIVATION

In (cancer) cells there are two O_2 sensors: the prolyl-hydroxylases (PHD1-3) and the asparaginyl-hydroxylase (FIH), characterized by different O_2 affinities (low: PHD, high: FIH). Accordingly, PHD activity is decreasing linearly with lowered O_2 levels, while FIH activity would decrease only at very low O_2 levels. A unique role of the oxygen sensors is to hydroxylate HIFa transcription factors. At high O_2 levels, hydroxylases label HIFa proteins for VHL, which recognizes these forms and send them for proteasomal degradation by recruiting ubiquitin ligases (**Figure 3**). In this way HIFa proteins are characterized by the shortest half-life among cellular proteins. HIF proteins are α/β heterodimer transcription factors where the expression of the HIF β partner is constitutional, but it is inactive as a monomer. This powerful transcription factor system is under strict regulatory control: at normoxia, prolyl-hydroxylation ensures

| TABLE 2 | Classical HIF1A | regulated ge | enes based | on kev | publications | [6. 9]. |
|---------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | rogulatou ge | 01100 00000 | Onincoy | publicationic | $[\circ, \circ]$. |

| ADM | CDKN1A | FLT1 | LDHA | PKM | TPI1 |
|-------|--------|------------|------------|----------|-------|
| AK3 | CITED2 | GAPDH | MDR1/ABCB1 | SERPINE1 | VEGFA |
| ALDOA | CP | HK1/2 | NOS2 | SLCA1/3 | |
| ALDOC | EDN1 | HMOX1 | P4HA2 | TF | |
| BNIP3 | ENO1 | IGF2 | PFKL | TFRC | |
| CAIX | EPO | IGFBP1/2/3 | PGK1 | TGFB3 | |

protein degradation, while aryl-hydroxylation results in functional inactivation due to the inhibition of coactivator bindings (p300/CBP). Low O_2 levels stabilize HIF α proteins which accumulate and translocate to the nucleus. In parallel, it also activates the expression of certain genes which are involved in adaptation to hypoxic conditions [5, 7] (**Figure 3**).

There are 216 genes in the human genome which contain HIFresponsive elements (HRE) in their promoters [6, 8, 9] However, the list of classical HIF regulated genes is much shorter (~40) [9]. (**Table 2**). These target genes are responsible for the cellular responses to hypoxia or the accommodation to chronic hypoxia. Meanwhile, the best-known HIF-target genes are angiogenic factors (e.g. VEGF, FGF, PDGF, ANGP1/2 and SDF1), angiogenic factor receptors (VEGFR2/KDR, VEGFR1, KIT) or the O₂ transport capacity regulator, EPO [6]. Those genes which are involved in hypoxia-induced metabolic adaptation are equally important (see later). HIF1 α and HIF2 α have different target gene profiles, but their regulation and accordingly their roles can be different in cancer progression.

It is a unique consequence of cellular hypoxia that the induced metabolic changes result in production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which can induce DNA damages, similar to other mutagens. Since under hypoxia the function of DNA repair enzymes can be downregulated, there is a risk of further accumulation of DNA mutations in oxygen-deprived conditions [10].

The vital role of HIF transcription factors is reflected by the fact that their mutations are very rare in cancers: at low frequency, HIF1 α mutation can be detected in renal cell cancer [11], while HIF2 α mutation results in the development of a rare tumor, paraganglioma [12]. Mutations of HIF regulators–such as VHL, even in the form of germline ones (von-Hippel Lindau syndrome)–are much more frequent in cancers [13]. The consequences of the constitutive HIF activation during development can be observed in VHL syndrome where hemangioblastomas, neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas and adrenal gland may develop beside the characteristic renal cell cancer. Accordingly, the genetic prototype of HIF-deregulated cancer is the sporadic renal cell carcinoma, where the incidence of the loss of function mutation of VHL is 50%, resulting in an angiogenesis-dependent tumor [14].

Detection of hypoxia in human tumors is a challenge due to the fact that tissue fixation can alter HIF- and hypoxic target protein detections, moreover, native specimens with preserved O_2 supply are not readily available. Meanwhile HIF α detection in combination with CAIX, GLUT1 or VEGF can help to overcome this problem [15]. Especially, the combination of mRNA and protein detection of HIF1/2 α and their targets could be useful. Using such a combined approach in metastatic renal cell cancer, it was possible to demonstrate that high HIF1 α and low HIF2 α expressions or a "HIF-index" are poor prognostic factors, when CAIX, GLUT1 and GAPDH overexpressions follow this prognostic trend [16].

OXYGEN-INDEPENDENT HIF ACTIVATION: "PSEUDOHYPOXIA"

Mutations of growth factor receptors or members of their respective signaling pathways are characteristics for many different cancer types: EGFR mutations in lung adenocarcinoma, HER2 amplifications in breast and gastric cancers, RAS mutations in lung and colorectal cancers, BRAF mutations in melanoma, thyroid or GI-tract cancers or mutations



FIGURE 4 | Demonstration of intratumoral microvasculature in breast cancer. (**A**). Detection of VEGF in tumor cells by immunohistochemistry (pink color); (**B**). Neo-angiogenesis in breast cancer tissue: demonstration of intratumoral blood vessels by immunohistochemical labeling of CD31 positive endothelial cells (pink color) BAR = 100 μm.

of the lipid kinase signaling pathway members (PI3KCA, AKT) in various cancer types [17]. One of the common functional consequence of these activating mutations is the extreme activity of mTORC1, resulting in constitutive protein synthesis and/or stabilization/functional activation of HIFa. On the other hand, HIFa stabilization can also be the consequence of the increased activity of the RAS-MEK-ERK signaling pathway [18]. Connection among tumor hypoxia, increased HIFa activity and tumor progression is a dogma today [6]. In hypoxic tumor cells, HIF activates several HRE genes that are essential for migration, invasion and metastasis: for example, autocrine motility factor and its receptor (AMF and AMFR); MET oncoprotein, receptor for scatter factor (paracrine regulators); CXCR4 chemokine receptor, matrix metalloproteinases such as MMP2/9 and collagen network remodeling lysil- and prolyl-hydroxylases (LOX and P4HA). The increased migratory activity of hypoxic tumor cells is also due to the activation of the RhoA/ROCK1 signaling pathway leading to cytoskeletal remodeling [6, 18, 19].

VASCULARIZATION OF HYPOXIC CANCER TISSUE

During chronic inflammation or tissue necrosis in the regenerating normal tissues, development of novel capillary network takes place in the form of neo-angiogenesis. This process is fundamentally different from the embryonic development of blood vessels, called vasculogenesis, referring to the fact that in the developing tumor tissues there was no vasculature previously. This later process is based on the mobilization of angiogenic precursors which migrate from bone marrow to developing tissues. In the new location, these cells differentiate into endothelial cells which than form new vasculature in cooperation with mesenchymal cells [20]. In cancer tissues, hypoxia or oncogenic activation of the HIF pathway induces the expression of genes involved in angiogenesis (VEGF, PDGF, SDF) to increase the blood supply and O₂ level. The production of large amount of angiogenic factors and/or cytokines by tumor tissues can also support the migration of these precursors from the bone marrow into the tumor tissue. However, the contribution of vasculogenesis to the vascularization of tumor tissue is minimal in humans [21].

It is much more characteristic in tumor tissues that the production of angiogenic factors/cytokines induces "regeneratory"-type of neo-angiogenesis. In this case, the new capillaries are derived from pre-existing peritumoral capillary network in the form of sprouting (Figure 4). Tumor-induced neo-angiogenesis is initiated by local production of VEGF, PDGF, FGF, TGF^β, TNF^α, and ANG². This type of neo-angiogenesis occurs at the venous site of the capillary network [20]. It is still widely accepted that these new capillaries are growing into the developing tumor tissue [2, 20]. However, it is much more common that the tumor-induced novel peritumoral capillary network is incorporated into the tumor tissue by vessel cooption [20, 22, 23]. Furthermore, this reparative neoangiogenesis takes place in a host tissue specific manner in various tumors [24, 25].

It is more and more evident that reparative neo-angiogenesis is not the most common mechanism to provide blood supply for tumor tissues. Experimental and clinicopathological data demonstrated that the vessel-cooption (incorporation of preexisting vessels) is the most conventional form of vascularization of primary and metastatic tumor tissues. The capillary density of certain tissues (e.g. lung, liver) is sufficiently high, fulfilling the requirement of 1-mm³ size for tumor growth [2, 20, 23]. Drivers of this type of vascularization are tumorderived cytokines involved in endothelial cell survival such as angiopoetins and VEGF [23-25]. There is another nonneoangiogenic form of blood supply of tumor tissues (especially in brain tumors or brain metastases), the glomeruloid vasculogenesis/angiogenesis [20, 26], where the preexisting capillaries are remodeled into novel chaotic, tortuous capillary loops. Major drivers of this remodeling are the extremely high local concentrations of VEGF, FGF and PDGF complemented by CSF1, SDF1 and SCF1.

There is a fundamental alteration of gene expression regulation in cancer cells which can provide stem cell

properties. This aberrant regulation can result in the loss of linage-specific gene expressions and acquiring new ones. The best-known example of this alteration is the epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT) [27]. But there are other forms of such transitions (mimicries) such as 1) neurogenic mimicry, expression of neurogenic genes in non-neural cell types, mostly epithelial cells; 2) megakaryocytic mimicry, expression of megakaryocyte-specific genes in non-bone marrow cell types [28]; or 3) vasculogenic mimicry, [29-31] expression of endothelial genes in non-angioblastic cells, also driven by chronic hypoxia and/or constitutive HIFa expression. If tumor cells express endothelial genes, it can result in the development of novel phenotypic features, communication ability between endothelial cells of the preexisting capillaries and tumor cells which form capillary lumina connected to the blood capillaries [30, 31].

It is of note, that vascularization of tumor tissue is cancer-type specific and greatly depends on the host tissue. Accordingly, it can be different in metastases as compared to primary tumors [24, 25].

Microvessel density of tumor tissues are usually high and it is expected that the blood supply of tumors is also optimal. In contrast to this presumption, tumor tissue is hypoxic. One reason of the poor blood supply is that the interstitial pressure is increased in tumor tissues resulting in the collapse of tumoral capillaries [3]. Another feature of the tumoral blood vessels (newly developed or incorporated) is that their structure is abnormal, the endothelial lumen is leaky and/or the supportive pericytes are missing [4]. In this way, a vicious cycle develops: the hypoxic tumor becomes angiogenic and tries to develop or coopt more capillaries, but this do not lead to higher O₂ levels; on the contrary, tumor tissue hypoxia is stabilized. According to Table 1, the best oxygenized tumor is lung cancer closely followed by breast and rectal cancers. However, kidney cancer is characterized by the highest microvessel density followed by lung or breast cancers [32], suggesting that there is no direct connection between vascularization and oxygenation of cancer tissues.

Even if tumor tissue would be optimally vascularized, systemic hypoxia could also lead to tumor tissue hypoxia in cancer patients. There are several causes for systemic hypoxia such as bleeding, hemolysis (hemolytic anemia), bone marrow infiltration by tumor cells, bone marrow depletion by chemoor radiotherapy, nephrotoxicity of chemotherapy, chronic obstructive lung disease or cardiac failure. Accordingly, systemic causes of tumor tissue hypoxia are outstanding features of malignant tumors [33].

METABOLIC CONSEQUENCES OF TUMOR HYPOXIA

Biological oxidative processes (oxidative phosphorylation) can be termed as the bioenergetically optimal energy productions in cellular metabolism. In hypoxic or pseudo-hypoxic conditions, cancer cells with rapid proliferation capacity require high energy and nutrient supply, and the related bioenergetic pathways have



to be re-wired [5]. In hypoxic microenvironment, HIF activation results in the accumulation of lactic acid (as a consequence of anaerobic glycolysis) which is a characteristic metabolic feature of the majority of tumors. Furthermore, in cancer cells independently of the oxygen concentration changes, the erobic glycolytic phenotype (Warburg effect–aerobic glycolysis) can also be found [34].

It has been well-known since the early 2000s, that the HIF1 α stabilization and the elevated HIF1 α protein levels are characteristic for ~50% of tumor cells under normoxia [35]. As a consequence, the productions of several glycolytic enzymes or transporters increase in malignant cells, e.g. the gene expressions of glucose transporter (GLUT1-3) or pyruvate-dehydrogenase-kinase 1 (PDK1), pyruvate kinase isoform 2 (PKM2) are elevated. These contribute to the conversion of pyruvate to lactate. In addition, entering acetyl-CoA into the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) can be inhibited,

leading to decreased mitochondrial respiration and oxygen consumption. In parallel, glutamine or other intermediates of various metabolic processes can fuel TCA with anaplerosis [3], e.g. the increase in glutaminase expression, glutaminolysis or influencing protein and lipid metabolism (**Figure 5**).

HIF1 α -mediated metabolic rearrangement can also contribute to other microenvironmental alterations since lactate production and acidification are important oncogenic features. Based on these, the most important oncogenic impact of HIF1 activation is necessarily the angiogenic effect. HIF1 α has a comparable importance in maintaining the proliferation demands for rapid metabolic rearrangements [34]. In tumor microenvironment, the energy and nutrient demand of tumor cells can dominate but the tissue oxygenation could also affect the metabolism of stromal cells. In non-transformed cells of well-oxygenated tissues and even in tumor cells which are located near to blood vessels, an oxidative phenotype, the reverse utilization of lactic acid (reverse Warburg effect) can be observed [36]. The evolving metabolic symbiosis can guarantee the optimal utilization of energy resources at tissue level [37].

The most important differences in metabolic machinery of tumors and their normal equivalents are mutations of key genes, and the related signaling pathways which drive continuously high metabolic activity for proliferation. This can be emerged concomitantly with proper net lactic acid overproduction and metabolic flexibility [38, 39]. It is also known that certain tumors can have differences in basic metabolic processes e.g. alterations in anaplerotic mechanisms of TCA (e.g. lipid metabolic alterations or autophagy). Even certain oncogenic mutations result in oncometabolite production [40]. Tumor growth induces activation of several additional early or late stress responses i.e. elevation of ROS supporting hypoxia, extracellular acidification to maintain optimal energy level in nutrient or oxygen deprivation. Simultaneously, other metabolic adaptation mechanisms can induce the activation of antioxidant processes in cancer cells. Glutathione system is one of the important antioxidant cellular programs. Glutathione reductase neutralizes H₂O₂ with glutathione derived from cysteine, glutamate and glycine. In a further step, NADPH, as a cofactor of glutathione oxidase, converts glutathione. Thioredoxin system, as an additional alternative, can reduce the H₂O₂ level by the use of NADPH. In summary, NADPH has a remarkable role not only in biosynthetic processes but also in buffering ROS levels [41] (Figure 5). The balance of ROSregulating capacities is also an important element of metabolic changes, which foster hypoxic processes. Recently, alterations in several tumor-specific factors have been characterized in the regulation of ROS generation such as NRF2 or SLC7A.

mTOR kinase is an important regulatory element of signaling network and metabolism has special and context-dependent role in hypoxia-related cellular events. The two different mTOR complexes have critical functions in cellular homeostasis by sensing and synthesizing intra- and extracellular conditions [42]. Moreover, mTORC1 influences the protein expression level of several onco-proteins such as HIF1a at posttranscriptional, translational level. However, the production of HIF1a requires mTORC1 activity, additionally, posttranslational degradation of the protein regulated directly and quickly by O2 level [43]. Therefore, mTOR hyperactivity provides stabilization of HIF1a protein and other regulatory failures contributing to the HIF1a stabilization in pseudohypoxic tumor tissues [44]. Moreover, other cellular stresses (nutrient deprivation, DNA damage responses, low energy level and "real" cellular hypoxia) could reduce mTORC1 activity and slow down the tumor proliferation/growth. This situation rewires cellular metabolic processes e.g. reduces oxygen consumption and/or induces autophagy [45] leading slower metabolic activity and forces cellular survival mechanisms with balanced bioenergetics [46]. They could give an opportunity to restore cellular homeostasis and mTORC1 activity maintaining pseudohypoxia in tumor cells.

Considering the fundamental regulatory changes of certain tumors (mutations of oncogenes/tumor suppressors in signaling) and their metabolic consequences or the expected effects of currently available treatments, novel therapeutic options could be introduced to target and inhibit metabolic adaptation mechanisms. The importance of the latter is that hypoxiainduced metabolic processes (e.g. in case of anti-angiogenic treatments) have to be taken into account since using inhibitors of metabolic adaptation regulators (such as mTOR) or other enzymes, metabolic catastrophe, synthetic lethality could be induced in cancer cells [47, 48].

IMMUNOLOGIC CONSEQUENCES OF TUMOR HYPOXIA

Antitumoral immune responses are affected by (tumor) tissue hypoxia [40]. Antitumoral innate immune responses are mediated by NK cells and macrophages. It is important that macrophages are sensitive to hypoxia and instead of the M1/ antitumoral polarization in normoxia, under such circumstances they obtain M2/immunosuppressive phenotype [49]. Furthermore, HIF activation in hypoxia enhances immune suppressive effects of myeloid-derived suppressor cells [50]. On the other hand, NK cells remain active against tumor cells in hypoxia [51].

Hypoxia fundamentally affects the acquired immune responses to tumors as well. One of the main immunosuppressive cytokine in the hypoxic microenvironment is VEGF [52]. In hypoxia, VEGF promotes CD4⁺ T-cell differentiation into T-regulatory cells, suppressing the emerging immunoreactions. Hypoxia modulates immune checkpoint inhibitory molecules on infiltrating cells and tumor cells by inducing PDL1 expression. In CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cells, hypoxia also induces CTLA-4 receptor, another checkpoint regulator [53]. In lung cancer, it was demonstrated that cancer cells overexpress PDL1 at the border of necrotic tumor while the infiltrating cells express PD1 and activate immunosuppressive mechanisms [54]. For an effective antitumoral response, the density of immune effector cells is an important parameter. It was shown in skin melanoma that increased tumoral vascular density is associated with increased macrophage and T-cell density [55].

CLINICAL IMAGING OF TUMOR HYPOXIA

It is a longstanding goal in experimental cancer research and clinical oncology to develop reliable markers to measure pO_2 levels in cancer tissues or to detect hypoxia. It is an invasive approach to use polarographic oxygen electrodes to measure pO_2 levels in cancer tissues. On the other hand, there are immunohistochemical techniques to asses tissue hypoxia in biopsies. This is also an invasive technique since it requires to inject exogenous hypoxia marker into the tumor tissue before resection such as pimonidazol or a derivative, EF5. It is a less reliable approach to use endogenous hypoxia markers such as HIF1a or GLUT1 immunohistochemistry. The problem here is that not only hypoxia can induce the expression of those marker genes but also various genetic changes of the tumor which cause overexpression of the markers independent of the hypoxia.

Accordingly, non-invasive techniques have been developed and tested clinically. One approach is to use magnetic resonance imaging such as blood-oxygen level dependent imaging (BOLD) able to monitor tissue perfusion. On the other hand, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy can be used to measure increased lactate or decreased ATP levels in cancer tissues: unfortunately, the sensitivity and resolution of these techniques are very low. The gold standard technology to measure tumor tissue pO₂ levels is PET using ¹⁵O₂. However, the short half-life of this marker prevents the widespread use of this technology. Meanwhile PET is the technology which can be used to asses tumor tissue hypoxia. The first marker was 2nitroimidazole and (^{18F})FMISO later it was developed further into ¹²³I-tracers. It is an alternative to use reduced chelated metals such as ⁶⁰Cu-compound ATSM although their sensitivity is lower as compared to FMISO. Last but not least, FDG-PET can also be used to assess the glycolysis and increased glucose transport in cancer tissues. Although the specificity of FDG-PET is lower compared to FMISO. The parallel use of the two technologies give the best assessment of tumor tissue hypoxia in clinical situations [56, 57].

MODULATION OF EFFICACY OF CHEMO-AND RADIOTHERAPY BY TUMOR HYPOXIA

One major modality of cancer treatments is the cytotoxic chemotherapy. However, in a significant proportion of cases, tumors are resistant or acquire resistance during therapy. Chemotherapy resistance depends on genetic and epigenetic factors among which tissue hypoxia is a significant factor. In hypoxia, tumor cells intend to leave cell cycle, and the apoptotic processes are inhibited-these result in decreased sensitivity to cell proliferation blocking cytotoxic agents. Furthermore, in cancer cells, hypoxia induces drug transporter proteins promoting their chemoresistance. At first, in hypoxia HIF1a induces MDR1/ efflux transporter resulting in resistance ABCB1 to chemotherapeutics which are its substrates (like doxorubicin)-this mechanism is quite universal among various cancer types [58]. On the other hand, in hypoxia oxygenic stress response is activated by NRF2, which activates HIF1a, but more importantly an array of multidrug resistance genes such as MDR1/ABCB1, MRP1/ABCC1 and BCRP/ABCG2 resulting in resistance to a variety of other chemotherapeutics [59, 60].

Furthermore, tumor tissue is characterized by perfusion hypoxia due to the abnormal structure of the intratumoral blood vessels which are also incompetent delivering cytotoxic drugs. Even some chemotherapeutics require O_2 for optimal effects [1, 61]. It is of note, chemotherapy resistance can be predicted by the expression of HIF1a in some types of squamous cancers [1].

Other major therapeutic modality of cancers is radiotherapy, however, it requires optimal normoxic conditions [62]. Oxygen enhancement ratio refers to the enhancement of the therapeutic effect of irradiation due to the presence of oxygen. Ionizing radiation induces DNA damages by free radicals which are stabilized by ROS. In hypoxia, ROS production is decreased and intracellular SH-containing molecules (glutathione and cysteine) "repair" DNA damages by back-reducing free radicals in DNA. Hypoxia-induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis resistance (by BCL2 overexpression) decrease the sensitivity of tumor tissue to irradiation. HIF1a overexpression in oral cancer is a negative predictive factor for radiotherapy [63]. For the maximal efficacy of radiotherapy, it is important to induce endothelial cell apoptosis as well. However, the elevated VEGF level promotes endothelial cell survival in hypoxic microenvironment. Accordingly, the alteration of tumoral microvessel density upon irradiation is a sensitive prognostic factor for radiotherapy efficacy [64]. Meanwhile these effects of hypoxia are unique to X-ray irradiation and much less pronounced in other radiotherapy modalities. On the other hand, fractionated irradiation improved the antitumoral effects due to the better timing of irradiation for the reoxygenization period in the tumor tissue.

ANTI-ANGIOGENIC THERAPY OF CANCER

It has been considered that the inhibition of tumor-induced angiogenesis could have potential antitumoral effects [1]. Considering widespread effects of hypoxia, it would be irrational to deepen hypoxia further in tumor tissues. Overall, anti-angiogenic drugs have been developed and this therapy became the fourth modality following chemo-, radiotherapy and surgery. There are two major groups of anti-angiogenic drugs, the anti-VEGF agents (mostly antibodies) and small molecular inhibitors of VEGFR [65, 66] (**Table 3**). Although in preclinical models these drugs were able to decrease tumoral microvessel densities – this has never been demonstrated at clinical circumstances. Later on, it was turned out that all these agents are able to normalize the malfunctioning tumoral blood vessels [67] by improving tumor tissue perfusion and decreasing hypoxia.

On the other hand, these agents are not effective in monotherapy except for renal cell cancer. This cancer is multidrug resistant, it genetically depends on HIF activation and characterized by extreme VEGF production. This genetic hypoxia dependence is due to the frequent loss of function mutations of VHL [13]. In any other cancer types, anti-angiogenic dugs are effective only in combination with chemo- and/or radiotherapy i.e. increasing the efficacy of cytotoxic therapies by decreasing tissue hypoxia. It is another fact that anti-angiogenic drugs are not effective in combinations with other targeted therapies, with the exception of *EGFR*-mutant lung adenocarcinoma where EGFR inhibitors can be effectively combined with anti-VEGF antibody [68].

It is an equally important question whether anti-angiogenic agents can be effective in cancers where the driver oncogene induces constitutive HIF activation. In case of colorectal cancers, chemotherapy in combination with anti-VEGF antibody is similarly effective in *KRAS*-mutant and wild-type tumors [69]. On the contrary, in case of lung adenocarcinoma, chemotherapy

TABLE 3 | Clinical use of antiangiogenic drugs [33, 34].

| | Drug type | Molecular target | Clinical use |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ligand inhibitors | | | |
| Bevacizumab | Monoclonal antibody | VEGF-A | RCC, GBL, OEC, CRC, LUAD, CeC, BC |
| Ziv-Aflibercept | Recombinant peptide | VEGF-A/B, PIGF, VEGF-C/D | CRC |
| Receptor inhibitor (ECD) | | | |
| Ramucirumab | Monoclonal antibody | VEGFR2 | CRC, LUAD, GaC |
| Kinase inhibitors | | | |
| Sunitinib | Small molecular inhibitor | VEGFR1/2/3 PDGFRβ, KIT, RET | RCC |
| Sorafenib | " | VEGFR1/2/3 PDGFR, KIT, RET, RAF | RCC, HCC |
| Pazopanib | " | VEGFR1/2, FGFR, KIT | RCC, STS |
| Axitinib | " | VEGFR1/2/3 | RCC |
| Regorafenib | " | VEGFR, PDGFR, FGFR, TIE2, RAF, KIT | CRC, HCC |
| Cabozantinib | " | VEGFR, TIE2, MET, RET | RCC, HCC |

BC, breast cancer; CeC, cervical cancer; CRC, colorectal cancer; ECD, extracellular domain; GaC, gastric cancer; GBL, glioblastoma; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; OEC, ovarian epithelial cancer; RCC, renal cell cancer; STS, soft tissue sarcoma.

combination with anti-VEGF antibody is effective in *KRAS* wild-type tumors exclusively [70].

It is a further issue if the efficacy of anti-angiogenic agents depends on the type of tumor vascularization or not. Looking into the indications of these anti-angiogenic agents [65, 66] (**Table 3**), there are tumors where 1) neo-angiogenesis is predominant (renal, breast and colorectal cancers), 2) vessel cooption is characteristic (glioblastoma, lung adenocarcinoma) and 3) unique vascularization form can be observed (liver or esophageal cancers).

However, similar to almost all cancer treatment forms, antiangiogenic therapy also leads to emergence of resistance. One possible cause of anti-angiogenic therapy resistance is the switch of the angiogenic phenotype: VEGF to PDGF in renal cell cancer, VEGF to FGF in squamous cancers, VEGF to Bv8 peptide in glioblastoma, VEGF to TGF β in hepatocellular cancer [71], and VEGF to apelin in lung or breast cancers [72]. Similar to other therapeutic modalities, efficacy of anti-angiogenic agents is also dependent on the optimal perfusion of the tumor tissue [73].

EFFECT OF HYPOXIA ON THE EFFICACY OF IMMUNOTHERAPY

If hypoxia affects antitumoral immune responses, it is justified to propose that hypoxia may affect immunotherapy as well [74]. Unfortunately, there are scanty experimental data in this respect, however, clinical developments may help to answer this important question. It can be reasonable to propose that in case of a tumor type where anti-angiogenic therapy is effective, it can be further improved by immunotherapy. It has become evident, that only certain patients and tumors respond well to immunotherapy. This can also suggests to combine immunotherapy with anti-angiogenic agents to decrease hypoxia and VEGF levels in such cases. It is also an important consideration that a fraction of anti-angiogenic agents are "dirty" (not highly specific) VEGFR inhibitors which affect other receptors, crucially important in the normal function of anti-tumoral T-cells. Accordingly, consideration of "pure" (more specific) VEGFR blockers may

have a higher chance for clinical efficacy. In case of renal cell cancer, anti-angiogenic monotherapy is the basis of tumor management. The development of combination strategies with immunotherapy was clinically very effective [75] leading to FDA approval of several combinations with multikinase inhibitors (**Table 4**). Immunotherapy and antiangiogenic treatment combination also approved recently in lung adenocarcinoma [76] and hepatocellular carcinoma [77] (**Table 4**). Furthermore, in various cancer types such as colorectal, ovarian or breast cancers, anti-angiogenic treatment (anti-VEGF antibody) and anti-PD1 antibody therapies are approved individually, accordingly it is expected that such combinations will also be a part of clinical management of patients soon.

THERAPY OF SYSTEMIC HYPOXIA

The primary cause of systemic hypoxia in cancer patients is anemia (low Hgb levels), accordingly it has to be managed to improve efficacies of other therapeutic modalities. However, targeted therapy has to be applied even in case of systemic hypoxia. There are three therapeutic options for anemia: iron supply, transfusion and erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESA). Unfortunately, transfusion and ESA administration have a severe adverse effect which is thromboembolism. Iron deficient anemia is a frequent cause for cancer patient's anemia; therefore, beside determination of the Hgb levels, it is necessary to determine Se-ferritin levels and saturation. In case of absolute iron deficiency, iron administration is necessary, in case of relative iron deficiency, iron supply have to be completed with ESA [78, 79]. It is important to mention that beside severe possible side effects of ESA, this treatment may have other important biological effects: normalization of tumoral blood vessels improving drug perfusion [80] or promoting efficacy of radiotherapy [81], asx observed in preclinical models. However, it is assumed that correction of systemic hypoxia may not be equally effective in tumors where the O₂-independent HIF activation takes place.

TABLE 4 | Approved combinatorial therapies of anti-angiogenic agents and immune checkpoint inhibitors.

| Tumor | Anti-PD1 Ab | Anti-PDL1 Ab | Anti-VEGF Ab | Anti-angiogenic TKi | Combination approval |
|-------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| LUAD | _ | Atezolizumab | Bevacizumab | _ | + |
| HCC | _ | Atezolizumab | Bevacizumab | _ | + |
| RCC F | Pembrolizumab | - | _ | Axitinib | + |
| | Nivolumab | _ | _ | Axitinib | + |
| | _ | Avelumab | - | Cabozantinib | + |
| | | | | | |

Ab, antibody; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; TKi, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

| TABLE 5 Targeted therapies of HIF in cancer. | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Mechanism | Target | Agent | Preclinical | Clinical | Tumor Type |
| HIFα RNA expression | HIF1a | Antisense | + | _ | Various |
| | HIF2a | sh-RNA | + | - | Various |
| | HIF1a | ZnSO ₄ | + | - | Melanoma |
| $HIF\alpha$ protein synthesis | HIF1a | Digoxin | + | - | Various |
| | HIF2a | 2-ME | + | - | Various |
| | | Topotecan | + | - | Various |
| HIFa stabilization | HIFα | HSP-90 inhibitor | + | + | BRC |
| | mTOR | Everolimus | + | + | RCC |
| | | Temsirolimus | + | + | BRC |
| Direct $HIF\alpha$ inhibitors | HIF1a | Acryflavine | + | - | Various |
| | | YC-1 | + | - | Various |
| | HIF2a | PT2385 | + | + | Various |
| | HIF2a | MK6482 | + | + | VHL syndrome related tumors |
| DNA binding | HRE | Echinomycin | + | - | Various |

BRC, breast cancer; HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; HRE, HIF-responsive element; RCC, renal cell cancer; sh-RNA, short hairpin RNA; VHL, von-hippel lindau. Grey shade: FDA approvals.

MOLECULAR THERAPY OF HYPOXIA

It was shown above that hypoxia signaling in cancer is a key regulatory pathway affecting several aspects of cancer biology offering an obvious target for intervention. It would be an indirect approach to disconnect hypoxia signaling since the effector of the O_2 -independent oncogenic driver-driven pathway is mTOR (**Table 5; Figure 3**). In this respect, it has to be mentioned that the first mTOR inhibitor therapies were introduced into the clinic long time ago in case of *VHL*-mutation dependent renal cell cancer (everolimus and tensirolimus), and more recently in case of breast cancer recently [82].

In experimental models, it was possible to downregulate HIF1/2 α by antisense oligos or sh-RNA [83]. In human melanoma preclinical models, ZnSO₄ administration was able to downregulate HIF1 α expression selectively which had antitumoral and antimetastatic effects [84]. The other approach is to target HIF protein synthesis using digoxin [83], 2-methoxy-estradiol [85] or topotecan [83]. According to preclinical models, these agents could be effective, however, there are no available clinical data. Stabilization of HIF α can be suspended by HSP-90 inhibitor *in vivo* [86].

Of note, the HIF complex-induced binding to HRE regions in promoters of various genes can be achieved by echinomycin [87]. However, the ultimate goal have to be the development of small molecule HIF inhibitors. *In vitro*,

acriflavin [83] or YC-1 [88] can directly bind to HIF1 α ; although they have shown some preclinical activity, the clinical development was discontinued. However, small molecule HIF2 α inhibitors, PT2977/MK6482 and PT2385 have been developed recently and tested in clinical trials [89]. MK6482 was used clinically in VHL syndrome related renal cell cancer with promising activity [90]. Based on these results, MK6482 became the first FDA-approved HIF2 α inhibitor.

Anti-hypoxia therapies have already been introduced in case of radiotherapy using hyperbaric O₂ (HBO), which could have some activity in squamous cancers of the head and neck [91]. It is another clinical approach to combine accelerated radiotherapy with nicotinamide and carbogen (ARCON) of which research reached phase-III [92] but did not resulted in clinical acceptance. Another clinically active therapeutic option is "chemical anti-hypoxia" by the use of doranidazole or nimorazol in lung cancer radiotherapy [93] which are used more widely nowadays due to the success of the DAHANCA 5-85 trial. Generation of intratumoral O₂ is also feasible to improve the efficacy of radiotherapy by using bioactive albumin-MnO₂ nanoparticles [94]. The imminent question concerning all these approaches is whether any of these could be exploited in case of other anticancer therapeutic modalities such as chemotherapy, targeted therapy, anti-angiogenic therapy or immunotherapy.



METABOLIC THERAPY

During tumor progression, angiogenic and metabolic effects of HIF activation are in a complex relation, and crosstalk among the related signaling machineries can be important. Metabolic adaptation can be observed in case tumor cells survive the consequences of anti-angiogenetic or anti-HIF1a treatments. This phenomenon can be illustrated with the example of metabolic symbiosis and heterogeneity [95]. Tumor cells in the tissue context, optimize and balance the utilization of the available energy resources to maintain their continuous proliferation even in stress conditions. In oxygenated environment, tumorous or even normal cells can consume the excreted metabolites of other cells located in the stroma. As an example, lactate produced during "lactic glycolysis" of hypoxic or pseudo-hypoxic cells can be utilized in this manner [37] (Figure 6). Metabolic plasticity and tissue co-operation are important factors of the tumor resistance to various therapies. Metabolic adaptation also has a remarkable role in maintaining/ supporting the survival of the so-called cancer stem- or dormant cells [96, 97].

All of these provide an opportunity for therapeutic exploitation of targeting metabolic symbiosis, which can also lead to the introduction of novel therapeutic options in antiangiogenic combination treatments. It was observed that the activity pattern of mTOR shows intratumoral heterogeneity as a consequence of anti-angiogenic therapies. This finding calls the attention to the master regulatory role of mTOR kinase in developing therapy resistance [98]. Several clinical trials of renal carcinoma, glioma, neuroendocrine and gastrointestinal cancers are ongoing, involving mTOR inhibitors in combination with anti-angiogenic treatments, however, the results may vary between tumor types [99–102]. Beside mTOR inhibitors, additional opportunities can be found for the inhibition of metabolic adaptation and symbiosis. The uptake and the release of extracellular metabolites and their transporters can also be inhibited in these metabolic alterations. Moreover, various metabolite transporter proteins–involving monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), which contribute to lactate transport–can also be tested as a part of anti-angiogenic treatment combination [38, 39, 103, 104].

Autophagy can be induced by certain treatments or microevironmental effects in relation to metabolic adaptation and resistance [45]. It can either result in apoptosis of cancer cells or provide appropriate bioenergetic background for cellular survival. Autophagy activation can be observed in case of antiangiogenic therapies. It is not surprising that autophagy inhibiting/inducing factors could be associated with anti-tumor effects in certain combinational treatments. Autophagy-targeted therapies and the combinatorial effect of anti-angiogenic treatments were confirmed among experimental conditions e.g. in lung carcinomas [105].

As a further metabolic mechanism, mitochondrial oxidation cannot be neglected as a potential therapeutic target (i.e. metabolic phenotype of cancer stem cells or tumor cells in an oxygenized environment). The anti-diabetic drug, complex I inhibitor (OXPHOS) metformin and phenformin (AMPK activator) can inhibit mitochondrial electron transport chain. Based on certain studies, their combination with chemotherapy could be effective, but it could significantly enhance the effect of anti-angiogenic therapy (bevacizumab) without increasing the severity of side effects (e.g. in metastatic NSCLC) [105–107]. Other studies also call the attention to metabolism-targeting agents in combinations, which highlights that these could potentially enhance the impact of sensitizing strategies and accordingly, mTOR inhibitors could inhibit tumor progression as having a complex modifying role on metabolism [108–113].

Treatments (including anti-angiogenic ones) targeting metabolic adaptation mechanisms and influencing metabolic symbiosis administered in combination could cause metabolic catastrophe in cancer tissue adaptation machinery. These could also help the development of traditional targeted or antiangiogenic therapy combinations. However, tumor heterogeneity, similarly to immunoediting mechanisms, always has to be considered in experimental model systems [114, 115]. Significant differences could be obtained by studying in vitro and in vivo models or clinical tumor specimens. Therefore, the administration of metabolic inhibitors has to be verified a priori of clinical trials, and appropriate biomarkers have to be discovered to use them in a "targeted" or precision manner.

CONCLUSION

Our knowledge in the past decades enormously increased abut the mechanism and detriemental consequences of tumor hypoxia. Fortunately, these information led to development

REFERENCES

- Muz B, de la Puente P, Azab F, and Azab AK. The Role of Hypoxia in Cancer Progression, Angiogenesis, Metastasis, and Resistance to Therapy. *Hp* (2015) 3:83–92. doi:10.2147/HP.S93413
- Folkman J. Tumor Angiogenesis: Therapeutic Implications. N Engl J Med (1971) 285:1182–6. doi:10.1056/NEJM19711118285210810.1056/ nejm197108122850711
- Heldin C-H, Rubin K, Pietras K, and Östman A. High Interstitial Fluid Pressure - an Obstacle in Cancer Therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2004) 4:806–13. doi:10.1038/nrc1456
- Siemann DW. The Unique Characteristics of Tumor Vasculature and Preclinical Evidence for its Selective Disruption by Tumor-Vascular Disrupting Agents. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2011) 37:63–74. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv. 2010.05.001
- Lee P, Chandel NS, and Simon MC. Cellular Adaptation to Hypoxia through Hypoxia Inducible Factors and beyond. *Nat Rev Mol Cel Biol* (2020) 21: 268–83. doi:10.1038/s41580-020-0227-y
- Semenza GL. HIF-1 and Tumor Progression: Pathophysiology and Therapeutics. *Trends Mol Med* (2002) 8:s62–s67. doi:10.1016/s1471-4914(02)02317-1
- Pugh CW, and Ratcliffe PJ. New Horizons in Hypoxia Signaling Pathways. Exp Cel Res (2017) 356:116–21. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2017.03.008
- Ortiz-Barahona A, Villar D, Pescador N, Amigo J, and del Peso L. Genomewide Identification of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor Binding Sites and Target Genes by a Probabilistic Model Integrating Transcription-Profiling Data and In Silico Binding Site Prediction. *Nucl Acid Res* (2010) 38:2332–45. doi:10. 1093/nar/gkp1205
- SEMENZA. (2021), Available at: www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/cards/ SEMENZA_HIF1A_TARGETS (Accessed 09. 03, 2021).
- Kaplan AR, and Glazer PM. Impact of Hypoxia on DNA Repair and Genome Integrity. *Mutagenesis* (2020) 35:61–8. doi:10.1093/mutage/gez019
- Morris MR, Hughes DJ, Tian YM, Ricketts CJ, Gentle D, Serrano-Fernandez P, et al. Mutation Analysis of Hypoxia-Inducible Factors HIF1α and HIF2α in Renal Carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* (2009) 29:4337–43.

several new therapeutic modalities and started to transform the use of existing therapies. However, the tumor hypoxia issue must be developed into a core aspect of cancer management from diagnosis through treatment to effective cure.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AS, writing, table composition, figure composition: LK, concept: TD, writing, editing, figure composition: JT, concept, writing, correcting.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary, 507 (K-135540-TJ, FK-128404-SA).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

- Pang Y, Gupta G, Jha A, Yue X, Wang H, Huynh TT, et al. Nonmosaic Somatic HIF2A Mutations Associated with Late Onset Polycythemiaparaganglioma Syndrome: Newly Recognized Subclass of Polycythemiaparaganglioma Syndrome. *Cancer* (2019) 125:1258–66. doi:10.1002/cncr. 31839
- Gläsker S, Vergauwen E, Koch CA, Kutikov A, and Vortmeyer AO. Von Hippel-Lindau Disease: Current Challenges and Future Prospects. *Ott* (2020) Vol. 13:5669–90. doi:10.2147/OTT.S190753
- Dizman N, Philip EJ, and Pal SK. Genomic Profiling in Renal Cell Carcinoma. Nat Rev Nephrol (2020) 16:435–51. doi:10.1038/s41581-020-0301-x
- Macklin PS, Yamamoto A, Browning L, Hofer M, Adam J, and Pugh CW. Recent Advances in the Biology of Tumour Hypoxia with Relevance to Diagnostic Practice and Tissue-based Research. *J Pathol* (2020) 250:593–611. doi:10.1002/path.5402
- Szendrői A, Szász AM, Kardos M, Tőkés AM, Idan R, Szűcs M, et al. Opposite Prognostic Roles of HIF1α and HIF2α Expressions in Bone Metastatic Clear Cell Renal Cell Cancer. Oncotarget (2016) 7:42086–98. doi:10.18632/ oncotarget.9669
- Aoki M, and Fujishita T. Oncogenic Roles of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* (2017) 407:153–89. doi:10.1007/ 82_2017_6
- Semenza GL. The Hypoxic Tumor Microenvironment: A Driving Force for Breast Cancer Progression. *Biochim Biophys Acta (Bba) - Mol Cel Res* (2016) 1863:382–91. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2015.05.036
- Tátrai E, Bartal A, Gacs A, Paku S, Kenessey I, Garay T, et al. Cell Typedependent HIF1 α-mediated Effects of Hypoxia on Proliferation, Migration and Metastatic Potential of Human Tumor Cells. Oncotarget (2017) 8: 44498–510. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17806
- Döme B, Hendrix MJC, Paku S, Tóvári J, and Tímár J. Alternative Vascularization Mechanisms in Cancer. *Am J Pathol* (2007) 170:1–15. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2007.060302
- Döme B, Tímár J, Dobos J, Mészáros L, Rásó E, Paku S, et al. Identification and Clinical Significance of Circulating Endothelial Progenitor Cells in Human Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66:7341–7. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4654

- Döme B, Paku S, Somlai B, and Tímár J. Vascularization of Cutaneous Melanoma Involves Vessel Co-option and Has Clinical Significance. J Pathol (2002) 197:355–62. doi:10.1002/path.1124
- Bridgeman VL, Vermeulen PB, Foo S, Bilecz A, Daley F, Kostaras E, et al. Vessel Co-option Is Common in Human Lung Metastases and Mediates Resistance to Anti-angiogenic Therapy in Preclinical Lung Metastasis Models. J Pathol (2017) 241:362–74. doi:10.1002/path.4845
- Dezső K, Bugyik E, Papp V, László V, Döme B, Tóvári J, et al. Development of Arterial Blood Supply in Experimental Liver Metastases. *Am J Pathol* (2009) 175:835–43. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2009.090095
- Szabó V, Bugyik E, Dezso K, Ecker N, Nagy P, Tímár J, et al. Mechanism of Tumour Vascularization in Experimental Lung Metastases. J Pathol (2015) 235:384–96. doi:10.1002/path.4464
- Döme B, Timár J, and Paku S. A Novel Concept of Glomeruloid Body Formation in Experimental Cerebral Metastases. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol (2003) 62:655–61. doi:10.1093/jnen/62.6.655
- Inan S, and Hayran M. Cell Signaling Pathways Related to Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition in Cancer Metastasis. *Crit Rev Oncog* (2019) 24: 47–54. doi:10.1615/CritRevOncog.2018029509
- Tímár J, Tóvári J, Rásó E, Mészáros L, Bereczky B, and Lapis K. Plateletmimicry of Cancer Cells: Epiphenomenon with Clinical Significance. Oncology (2005) 69:185–201. doi:10.1159/000088069
- Manoitis AJ, Folberg R, Hess A, Seftor EA, Gardner LMG, Pe'er J, et al. Vascular Channel Formation by Human Melanoma Cells: Role in Vasculogenic Mimicry. *Am J Pathol* (1999) 155:739–52. doi:10.1016/ S0002-9440(10)65173-5
- Tímár J, and Tóth J. Tumor Sinuses Vascular Channels. Pathol Oncol Res (2000) 6:83–6. doi:10.1007/BF03032354
- Hendrix MJC, Seftor EA, Hess AR, and Seftor REB. Vasculogenic Mimicry and Tumour-Cell Plasticity: Lessons from Melanoma. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2003) 3:411–21. doi:10.1038/nrc1092
- Lőrincz T, Tímár J, and Szendrői M. Alterations of Microvascular Density in Bone Metastases of Adenocarcinomas. *Pathol Oncol Res* (2004) 10:149–53. doi:10.1007/BF03033743
- Gilreath JA, Stenehjem DD, and Rodgers GM. Diagnosis and Treatment of Cancer-Related Anemia. Am J Hematol (2014) 89:203–12. doi:10.1002/ajh. 23628
- Parks SK, Cormerais Y, and Pouysségur J. Hypoxia and Cellular Metabolism in Tumour Pathophysiology. J Physiol (2017) 595:2439–50. doi:10.1113/ JP273309
- Robey IF, Lien AD, Welsh SJ, Baggett BK, and Gillies RJ. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1α and the Glycolytic Phenotype in Tumors. *Neoplasia* (2005) 7: 324–30. doi:10.1593/neo.04430
- Faubert B, Solmonson A, and DeBerardinis RJ. Metabolic Reprogramming and Cancer Progression. *Science* (2020) 368:eaaw5473. doi:10.1126/science. aaw5473
- Yoshida GJ. Metabolic Reprogramming: the Emerging Concept and Associated Therapeutic Strategies. J Exp Clin Cancer Res (2015) 34:11. doi:10.1186/s13046-015-0221-y
- Danhier P, Bański P, Payen VL, Grasso D, Ippolito L, Sonveaux P, et al. Cancer Metabolism in Space and Time: Beyond the Warburg Effect. *Biochim Biophys Acta (Bba) - Bioenerg* (2017) 1858:556–72. doi:10.1016/j.bbabio. 2017.02.001
- 39. Moldogazieva NT, Mokhosoev IM, and Terentiev AA. Metabolic Heterogeneity of Cancer Cells: An Interplay between HIF-1, GLUTs, and AMPK. *Cancers* (2020) 12:862. doi:10.3390/cancers12040862
- Sarkadi B, Mészáros K, Krencz I, Canu L, Krokker L, Zakariás S, et al. Glutaminases as a Novel Target for SDHB-Associated Pheochromocytomas/ Paragangliomas. *Cancers* (2020) 12:599. doi:10.3390/cancers12030599
- Payen VL, Zampieri LX, Porporato PE, and Sonveaux P. Pro- and Antitumor Effects of Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* (2019) 38:189–203. doi:10.1007/s10555-019-09789-2
- Liu GY, and Sabatini DM. mTOR at the Nexus of Nutrition, Growth, Ageing and Disease. Nat Rev Mol Cel Biol (2020) 21(4):183–203. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0199-y
- He L, Gomes AP, Wang X, Yoon SO, Lee G, Nagiec MJ, et al. mTORC1 Promotes Metabolic Reprogramming by the Suppression of GSK3-dependent

Foxk1 Phosphorylation. Mol Cel (2018) 70(5):949-60. doi:10.1016/j.molcel. 2018.04.024

- 44. Li W, Petrimpol M, Molle KD, Hall MN, Battegay EJ, and Humar R. Hypoxiainduced Endothelial Proliferation Requires Both mTORC1 and mTORC2. *Circ Res* (2007) 100(1):79–87. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000253094.03023.3f
- Daskalaki I, Gkikas I, and Tavernarakis N. Hypoxia and Selective Autophagy in Cancer Development and Therapy. *Front Cel Dev. Biol.* (2018) 6:104. doi:10.3389/fcell.2018.00104
- 46. Mutvei AP, Nagiec MJ, Hamann JC, Kim SG, Vincent CT, and Blenis J. Rap1-GTPases Control mTORC1 Activity by Coordinating Lysosome Organization with Amino Acid Availability. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11(1): 1416. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15156-5
- Parks SK, and Pouysségur J. Targeting pH Regulating Proteins for Cancer Therapy-Progress and Limitations. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2017) 43:66–73. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.01.007
- Li S, Topatana W, Juengpanich S, Cao J, Hu J, Zhang B, et al. Development of Synthetic Lethality in Cancer: Molecular and Cellular Classification. Sig Transduct Target Ther (2020) 5(1):241. doi:10.1038/s41392-020-00358-6
- Díaz-Bulnes P, Saiz ML, López-Larrea C, and Rodríguez RM. Crosstalk between Hypoxia and ER Stress Response: a Key Regulator of Macrophage Polarization. *Front Immunol* (2020) 10:2951. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2019.02951
- Vetsika E-K, Koukos A, and Kotsakis A. Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells: Major Figures that Shape the Immunosuppressive and Angiogenic Network in Cancer. Cells (2019) 8:1647. doi:10.3390/cells8121647
- Taylor CT, and Colgan SP. Regulation of Immunity and Inflammation by Hypoxia in Immunological Niches. Nat Rev Immunol (2017) 17:774–85. doi:10.1038/nri.2017.103
- Kaur S, Chang T, Singh SP, Lim L, Mannan P, Garfield SH, et al. CD47 Signaling Regulates the Immunosuppressive Activity of VEGF in T Cells. *J.I.* (2014) 193:3914–24. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1303116
- Petrova V, Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli M, Melino G, and Amelio I. The Hypoxic Tumour Microenvironment. Oncogenesis (2018) 7:10. doi:10. 1038/s41389-017-0011-9
- Reiniger L, Téglási V, Pipek O, Rojkó L, Glasz T, Vágvölgyi A, et al. Tumor Necrosis Correlates with PD-L1 and PD-1 Expression in Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Acta Oncologica* (2019) 58:1087–94. doi:10.1080/ 0284186X.2019.1598575
- Kiss J, Tímár J, Somlai B, Gilde K, Fejős Z, Gaudi I, et al. Association of Microvessel Density with Infiltrating Cells in Human Cutaneous Malignant Melanoma. *Pathol Oncol Res* (2007) 13:21–31. doi:10.1007/BF02893437
- Serganova I, Humm J, Ling C, and Blasberg R. Tumor Hypoxia Imaging: Fig. 1. Clin Cancer Res (2006) 12:5260–4. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0517
- Krohn KA, Link JM, and Mason RP. Molecular Imaging of Hypoxia. J Nucl Med (2008) 49:1295–148S. doi:10.2967/jnumed.107.045914
- Scotto KW. Transcriptional Regulation of ABC Drug Transporters. Oncogene (2003) 22:7496–511. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1206950
- 59. Jin X, Gong L, Peng Y, Li L, and Liu G. Enhancer-bound Nrf2 Licenses HIFlα Transcription under Hypoxia to Promote Cisplatin Resistance in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells. Aging (2020) 13:364–75. doi:10.18632/ aging.202137
- Belisario DC, Kopecka J, Pasino M, Akman M, De Smaele E, Donadelli M, et al. Hypoxia Dictates Metabolic Rewiring of Tumors: Implications for Chemoresistance. *Cells* (2020) 9:2598. doi:10.3390/cells9122598
- Cosse J-P, and Michiels C. Tumour Hypoxia Affects the Responsiveness of Cancer Cells to Chemotherapy and Promotes Cancer Progression. Acamc (2008) 8:790–7. doi:10.2174/187152008785914798
- Koshinani HM, Afshar S, and Najafi R. Hypoxia: a Double-Edged Sword in Cancer Therapy. *Cancer Invest* (2016) 34:536–45. doi:10.1080/07357907. 2016.1245317
- Aebersold DM, Burri P, Beer KT, Laissue J, Djonov V, Greiner RH, et al. Expression of Hypoxia Inducible Factor-Alpha: a Novel Predictive, Prognostic Parameter in the Radiotherapy of Oropharyngeal Cancer. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61:2911–6.
- Lövey J, Lukits J, Remenár E, Koronczay K, Kásler M, Németh G, et al. Antiangiogenic Effects of Radiotherapy but Not Initial Microvessel Density

Predict Survival in Inoperable Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Strahlenther Onkol (2006) 182:149–56. doi:10.1007/s00066-006-1447-6

- Jászai J, and Schmidt M. Trends and Challenges in Tumor Anti-angiogenic Therapies. Cells (2019) 8:1102. doi:10.3390/cells8091102
- 66. Garcia J, Hurwitz HI, Sandler AB, Miles D, Coleman RL, Deurloo R, et al. Bevacizumab (Avastin) in Cancer Treatment: A Review of 15 Years of Clinical Experience and Future Outlook. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2020). 86. p. 102017. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102017
- Martin JD, Seano G, and Jain RK. Normalizing Function of Tumor Vessels: Progress, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Annu Rev Physiol* (2019) 81:505–34. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-020518-114700
- Chen Z, Wei J, Ma X, and Yu J. Efficacy of EGFR-TKIs with or without Angiogenesis Inhibitors in Advanced Non-small-cell Lung Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Cancer (2020) 11:686–95. doi:10. 7150/jca.34957
- 69. Sun D-c., Shi Y, Wang Y-r., Lv Y, Yan H, Mao H, et al. KRAS Mutation and Primary Tumor Location Do Not Affect Efficacy of Bevacizumab-Containing Chemotherapy in Stagae IV Colorectal Cancer Patients. Sci Rep (2017) 7:14368. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-14669-2
- 70. Ghimessy AK, Gellért A, Schlegl E, Hegedüs B, Rásó E, Barbai T, et al. KRAS Mutations Predict Response and Outcome in Advanced Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients Receiving First-Line Bevacizumab and Platinum-Based Chemotherapy. *Cancers* (2019) 11:1514. doi:10.3390/ cancers11101514
- Haibe Y, Kreidieh M, El Hajj H, Khalifeh I, Mukherji D, Temraz S, et al. Resistance Mechanisms to Anti-angiogenic Therapies in Cancer. Front Oncol (2020) 10:221. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.00221
- Uribesalgo I, Hoffmann D, Zhang Y, Kavirayani A, Lazovic J, Berta J, et al. Apelin Inhibition Prevents Resistance and Metastasis Associated with Antiangiogenic Therapy. *EMBO Mol Med* (2019) 11:e9266. doi:10.15252/emmm. 201809266
- Török S, Rezeli M, Kelemen O, Végvári A, Watanabe K, Sugihara Y, et al. Limited Tumor Tissue Drug Penetration Contributes to Primary Resistance against Angiogenesis Inhibitors. *Theranostics* (2017) 7:400–12. doi:10.7150/ thno.16767
- Fukumura D, Kloepper J, Amoozgar Z, Duda DG, and Jain RK. Enhancing Cancer Immunotherapy Using Antiangiogenics: Opportunities and Challenges. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2018) 15:325–40. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc. 2018.29
- 75. Rassy E, Flippot R, and Albiges L. Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors and Immunotherapy Combinations in Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* (2020) 12:175883592090750. doi:10.1177/1758835920907504
- Gubens MA, and Davies M. NCCN Guidelines Updates: New Immunotherapy Strategies for Improving Outcomes in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2019) 17:574–8. doi:10.6004/ jnccn.2019.5005
- 77. Hilmi M, Neuzillet C, Calderaro J, Lafdil F, Pawlotsky J-M, and Rousseau B. Angiogenesis and Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors as Therapies for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Current Knowledge and Future Research Directions. J Immunotherapy Cancer (2019) 7:333. doi:10.1186/s40425-019-0824-5
- Gaspar BL, Sharma P, and Das R. Anemia in Malignancies: Pathogenetic and Diagnostic Considerations. *Hematology* (2015) 20:18–25. doi:10.1179/ 1607845414Y.0000000161
- Bohlius J, Bohlke K, Castelli R, Djulbegovic B, Lustberg MB, Martino M, et al. Management of Cancer-Associated Anemia with Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents: ASCO/ASH Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *Jco* (2019) 37: 1336–51. doi:10.1200/JCO.18.02142
- Tóvári J, Gilly R, Rásó E, Paku S, Bereczky B, Varga N, et al. Recombinant Human Erythropoietin α Targets Intratumoral Blood Vessels, Improving Chemotherapy in Human Xenograft Models. *Cancer Res* (2005) 65:7186–93. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2498
- Lövey J, Bereczky B, Gilly R, Kenessey I, Rásó E, Simon E, et al. Recombinant Human Erythropoietin Alpha Improves the Efficacy of Radiotherapy of a Human Tumor Xenograft, Affecting Tumor Cells and Microvessels. Strahlenther Onkol (2008) 184:1–7. doi:10.1007/s00066-008-1745-2

- Zou Z, Tao T, Li H, and Zhu X. mTOR Signaling Pathway and mTOR Inhibitors in Cancer: Progress and Challenges. *Cell Biosci* (2020) 10:31. doi:10.1186/s13578-020-00396-1
- Karakhasev S, and Reginato MJ. Progress toward Overcoming Hypoxia-Induced Resistance to Solid Tumor Therapy. *Cancer Management Res* (2015) 7:253–64. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S58285
- 84. Burián Z, Ladányi A, Barbai T, Piurkó V, Garay T, Rásó E, et al. Selective Inhibition of HIF1a Expression by ZnSO4 Has Antitumoral Effects in Human Melanoma. *Pathol Oncol Res* (2020) 26:673–9. doi:10.1007/ s12253-018-00573-1
- Mabjeesh NJ, Escuin D, LaVallee TM, Pribluda VS, Swartz GM, Johnson MS, et al. 2ME2 Inhibits Tumor Growth and Angiogenesis by Disrupting Microtubules and Dysregulating HIF. *Cancer Cell* (2003) 3:363–75. doi:10. 1016/s1535-6108(03)00077-1
- Modi S, Stopeck A, Linden H, Solit D, Chandarlapaty S, Rosen N, et al. HSP90 Inhibition Is Effective in Breast Cancer: A Phase II Trial of Tanespimycin (17-AAG) Plus Trastuzumab in Patients with HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer Progressing on Trastuzumab. *Clin Cancer Res* (2011) 17:5132–9. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0072
- Kong D, Park EJ, Stephen AG, Calvani M, Cardellina JH, Monks A, et al. Echinomycin, a Small-Molecule Inhibitor of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 DNA-Binding Activity. *Cancer Res* (2005) 65:9047–55. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1235
- Yeo E-J, Chun Y-S, Cho Y-S, Kim J, Lee J-C, Kim M-S, et al. YC-1: A Potential Anticancer Drug Targeting Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst* (2003) 95:516–25. doi:10.1093/jnci/95.7.516
- 89. Courtney KD, Infante JR, Lam ET, Figlin RA, Rini BI, Brugarolas J, et al. Phase I Dose-Escalation Trial of PT2385, a First-In-Class Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-2α Antagonist in Patients with Previously Treated Advanced Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma Antagonist in Patients with Previously Treated Advanced Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Jco* (2018) 36:867–74. doi:10. 1200/JCO.2017.74.2627
- Jonasch E, Donskov F, Iliopoulos O, Rathmell WK, Narayan V, Maughan BL, et al. Phase II Study of the Oral HIF-2a Inhibitor MK-6482 for Von Hippel-Lindau Disease-Associated Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Jco* (2020) 38:5003. doi:10. 1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.5003
- Overgaard J. Hypoxic Modification of Radiotherapy in Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Radiother Oncol* (2011) 100:22–32. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2011. 03.004
- Janssen GO, Rademakers SE, Terhaard CH, Doornaert PA, Bijl HP, van der Ende P, et al. Accelerated Radiotherapy with Carbogen and Nicotinamide for Laryngeal Cancer: Results of a Phase III Randomized Trial. J Clin Oncol (2012) 30:1777–83. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.35.9315
- 93. Thompson D, Yang H, Baines H, Miles E, Bolton S, West C, et al. NIMRAD a Phase III Trial to Investigate the Use of Nimorazole Hypoxia Modification with Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy in Head and Neck Cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol* (2014) 26:344–7. doi:10.1016/j.clon.2014.03.003
- 94. Barker HE, Paget JTE, Khan AA, and Harrington KJ. The Tumour Microenvironment after Radiotherapy: Mechanisms of Resistance and Recurrence. Nat Rev Cancer (2015) 15:409–25. doi:10.1038/nrc3958
- Jiménez-Valerio G, and Casanovas O. Angiogenesis and Metabolism: Entwined for Therapy Resistance. *Trends Cancer* (2017) 3:10–8. doi:10. 1016/j.trecan.2016.11.007
- Peiris-Pagès M, Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Pestell RG, Sotgia F, and Lisanti MP. Cancer Stem Cell Metabolism. *Breast Cancer Res* (2016) 18:55. doi:10. 1186/s13058-016-0712-6
- 97. Liang L, Hui K, Hu C, Wen Y, Yang S, Zhu P, et al. Autophagy Inhibition Potentiates the Anti-angiogenic Property of Multikinase Inhibitor Anlotinib through JAK2/STAT3/VEGFA Signaling in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res (2019) 38:71. doi:10.1186/s13046-019-1093-3
- AllenMiéville EP, Miéville P, Warren CM, Saghafinia S, Li L, Peng M-W, et al. Metabolic Symbiosis Enables Adaptive Resistance to Anti-angiogenic Therapy that Is Dependent on mTOR Signaling. *Cel Rep* (2016) 15: 1144–60. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.04.029
- 99. Vallet S, Pahernik S, Höfner T, Tosev G, Hadaschik B, Duensing S, et al. Efficacy of Targeted Treatment beyond Third-Line Therapy in Metastatic Kidney Cancer: Retrospective Analysis from a Large-Volume Cancer Center.

Clin Genitourinary Cancer (2015) 13(3):e145-e152. doi:10.1016/j.clgc.2014. 12.012

- 100. Wick W, Platten M, Wick A, Hertenstein A, Radbruch A, Bendszus M, et al. Current Status and Future Directions of Anti-angiogenic Therapy for Gliomas. *Neuro Oncol* (2016) 18(3):315–28. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nov180
- 101. Galdy S, Cella CA, Spada F, Murgioni S, Frezza AM, Ravenda SP, et al. Systemic Therapy beyond First-Line in Advanced Gastric Cancer: An Overview of the Main Randomized Clinical Trials. *Crit Rev Oncology/ Hematology* (2016) 99:1–12. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2015.09.004
- 102. Grozinsky-Glasberg S, Lines KE, Avniel-Polak S, Bountra C, and Thakker RV. Preclinical Drug Studies in MEN1-Related Neuroendocrine Neoplasms (MEN1-NENs). *Endocr Relat Cancer* (2020) 27(9):R345–R355. doi:10. 1530/ERC-20-0127
- 103. Yoshida GJ. The Harmonious Interplay of Amino Acid and Monocarboxylate Transporters Induces the Robustness of Cancer Cells. *Metabolites* (2021) 11(1):27. doi:10.3390/metabo11010027
- 104. Benej M, Svastova E, Banova R, Kopacek J, Gibadulinova A, Kery M, et al. CA IX Stabilizes Intracellular pH to Maintain Metabolic Reprogramming and Proliferation in Hypoxia. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:1462. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020. 01462
- 105. Marrone KA, Zhou X, Forde PM, Purtell M, Brahmer JR, Hann CL, et al. A Randomized Phase II Study of Metformin Plus Paclitaxel/Carboplatin/ Bevacizumab in Patients with Chemotherapy-Naïve Advanced or Metastatic Nonsquamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Oncol (2018) 23: 859–65. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0465
- 106. Markowska A, Sajdak S, Markowska J, and Huczyński A. Angiogenesis and Cancer Stem Cells: New Perspectives on Therapy of Ovarian Cancer. *Eur J Med Chem* (2017) 142:87–94. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.06.030
- 107. Petővári G, Dankó T, Krencz I, Hujber Z, Rajnai H, Vetlényi E, et al. Inhibition of Metabolic Shift Can Decrease Therapy Resistance in Human High-Grade Glioma Cells. *Pathol Oncol Res* (2020) 26:23–33. doi:10.1007/ s12253-019-00677-2
- 108. Felkai L, Krencz I, Kiss DJ, Nagy N, Petővári G, Dankó T, et al. Characterization of mTOR Activity and Metabolic Profile in Pediatric Rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancers* (2020) 12:1947. doi:10.3390/cancers12071947

- 109. Petővári G, Dankó T, Tőkés AM, Vetlényi E, Krencz I, Raffay R, et al. *In situ* metabolic Characterisation of Breast Cancer and its Potential Impact on Therapy. *Cancers* (2020) 9:2492. doi:10.3390/cancers12092492
- 110. Rotundo MS, Galeano T, Tassone P, and Tagliaferri P. mTOR Inhibitors, a New Era for Metastatic Luminal HER2-Negative Breast Cancer? A Systematic Review and a Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. *Oncotarget* (2016) 7(19): 27055–66. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.7446
- 111. Wu K, Sun XQ, Wang CQ, Gao TX, Sun P, Wang Y, et al. Metronomic Combination Chemotherapy Using Everolimus and Etoposide for the Treatment of non-Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Cancer Med* (2019) 8(10): 4688–98. doi:10.1002/cam4.2364
- 112. Hue-Fontaine L, Lemelin A, Forestier J, Raverot G, Milot L, Robinson P, et al. Metformin and Everolimus in Neuroendocrine Tumours: A Synergic Effect?. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* (2020) 44(6):954–60. doi:10.1016/j.clinre. 2020.02.011
- 113. Ariaans G, Jalving M, Vries EGEd., and Jong Sd. Anti-tumor Effects of Everolimus and Metformin Are Complementary and Glucose-dependent in Breast Cancer Cells. BMC Cancer (2017) 17(1):232. doi:10.1186/s12885-017-3230-8
- 114. Moore EC, Cash HA, Caruso AM, Uppaluri R, Hodge JW, Van Waes C, et al. Enhanced Tumor Control with Combination mTOR and PD-L1 Inhibition in Syngeneic Oral Cavity Cancers. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2016) 4(7):611–20. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0252
- 115. Ramesh A, Natarajan SK, Nandi D, and Kulkarni A. Dual Inhibitors-Loaded Nanotherapeutics that Target Kinase Signaling Pathways Synergize with Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor. *Cel Mol Bioeng* (2019) 12(5):357–73. doi:10.1007/s12195-019-00576-1

Copyright © 2021 Sebestyén, Kopper, Dankó and Tímár. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.