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# IDEALS GENERATED BY POWERS OF ELEMENTS 

D.D. Anderson, Kent R. Knopp and Rebecca L. Lewin

For an ideal $I$ in a commutative ring $R$ we consider the ideal $I_{n}=\left(\left\{i^{n} \mid i \in I\right\}\right)$. We show that if $n$ ! is a unit in $R$, then $I_{n}=I^{n}$. We give an example of a doubly generated ideal $I$ with $I_{3}$ not finitely generated.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and let $I$ be an ideal of $R$. For a natural number $n, I^{n}$ is of course the ideal of $R$ generated by all the products $i_{1} \cdots i_{n}$ where each $i_{s} \in I$. It is natural to wonder what happens if instead of taking products $i_{1} \cdots i_{n}$, we take $n$-th powers of elements from $I$. Thus we make the following definition, first given in [1].

Definition 1: Let $I$ be an ideal in the commutative ring $R$ and let $n$ be a natural number. Then $I_{n}=\left(\left\{i^{n} \mid i \in I\right\}\right)$ is the ideal generated by $n$th powers of elements of $I$.

So $I^{n} \supseteq I_{n}$ with equality if $n=1$. Suppose that we are given a generating set for $I, I=\left(\left\{a_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$. Then there is a natural generating set for $I^{n}$, namely, $I^{n}=\left(\left\{a_{\alpha_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{k}}^{p_{k}} \mid \alpha_{i} \in \Lambda, p_{1}+\cdots+p_{k}=n\right\}\right)$. Moreover, we have the following containments:
$I^{n} \supseteq\left(\left\{\left.\binom{n}{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}} a_{\alpha_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{k}}^{p_{k}} \right\rvert\, \alpha_{i} \in \Lambda, p_{1}+\cdots+p_{k}=n\right\}\right) \supseteq I_{n} \supseteq\left(\left\{a_{\alpha}^{n} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}\right)$
where $\binom{n}{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}}=n!/ p_{1}!\cdots p_{k}$ ! is the usual multinomial coefficient. For $n=1$ all the containments are equalities. For $n=2$, only the second containment must be an equality. For example, in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$, we have $(X, Y)^{2}=\left(X^{2}, X Y, Y^{2}\right) \supsetneq$ $\left(X^{2}, 2 X Y, Y^{2}\right)=(X, Y)_{2} \supsetneq\left(X^{2}, Y^{2}\right)$. For $n \geqslant 3$, none of the containments need be equalities. For example, in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (X, Y)^{3}=\left(X^{3}, X^{2} Y, X Y^{2}, Y^{3}\right) \supsetneq\left(X^{3}, 3 X^{2} Y, 3 X Y^{2}, Y^{3}\right) \supsetneq \\
& (X, Y)_{3}=\left(X^{3}, 3 X^{2} Y+3 X Y^{2}, 6 X Y^{2}, Y^{3}\right) \supsetneq\left(X^{3}, Y^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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If $I$ is locally principal, then $I^{n}=\left(\left\{a_{\alpha}^{n} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}\right)$; so $I^{n}=I_{n}$. We shall prove (Theorem 5) that for any ideal $I$, if $n!$ is a unit in $R$, then $I_{n}=I^{n}$.

The ideal $I_{n}$, like the ideal $I^{n}$, behaves well with respect to localisations and homomorphic images. If $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$, then it is easily proved that $I_{n S}=\left(I_{S}\right)_{m}$. Thus in many cases we can reduce to the quasi-local case. If $\varphi: R \rightarrow T$ is a ring epimorphism, then $\varphi\left(I_{n}\right)=(\varphi(I))_{n}$.

Since $I_{1}=I^{1}$, the first case of interest is $I_{2}$. Suppose that $I=\left(\left\{a_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}\right)$. Then it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\left(\left\{\left.\binom{2}{p_{1}, p_{2}} a_{\alpha_{1}}^{p_{1}} a_{\alpha_{2}}^{p_{2}} \right\rvert\, \alpha_{i} \in \Lambda, p_{1}+p_{2}=2\right\}\right) \\
& =\left(\left\{a_{\alpha}^{2} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\} \cup\left\{2 a_{\alpha} a_{\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda, \alpha \neq \beta\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $(a, b)_{2}=\left(a^{2}, 2 a b, b^{2}\right)$. Thus $I$ finitely generated implies that $I_{2}$ is finitely generated. As we shall see (Example 4), for $I_{3}$ this no longer need be true. Note that if $I$ is locally principal or 2 is a unit in $R$, then $I^{2}=I_{2}$. We offer the following partial converse.

Theorem 2. Let $(R, M)$ be a quasi-local integrally closed ring. Let $a, b \in R$ be nonzerodivisors. Then $(a, b)_{2}=(a, b)^{2}$ if and only if either (1) (a,b) is principal or (2) 2 is a unit.

Proof: We have already remarked that the implication ( $\Leftarrow$ ) holds. Conversely, suppose that $\left(a^{2}, 2 a b, b^{2}\right)=(a, b)_{2}=(a, b)^{2}$ and that 2 is not a unit. Then $a b=$ $r a^{2}+s(2 a b)+t b^{2}$, so $(1-2 s) a b=r a^{2}+t b^{2}$. Since $2 \in M, 1-2 s$ is a unit, so $a b=u a^{2}+v b^{2}$ for some $u, v \in R$. Dividing both sides by $b^{2}$ yields $u(a / b)^{2}-a / b+v=0$. By the $u, u^{-1}$ Lemma [2, Theorem 67], either $a / b$ or $b / a$ is in $R$. In either case, $(a, b)$ is principal.

For $n=2$, we found a natural basis for $I_{2}$ in terms of a basis for $I$. In particular, if $I$ is finitely generated, so is $I_{n}$ for $n=1,2$. If $n \geqslant 3$ and $I$ is not locally principal, then no such natural basis for $I_{n}$ exists. In fact, for $n \geqslant 3$, I finitely generated need not even imply that $I_{n}$ is finitely generated. We show (Example 4) that the ideal $(X, Y)_{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[X, Y,\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \in N}\right\}$ is not finitely generated. But first a lemma. Note that Lemma 3 shows that $(X, Y)_{3} \subsetneq\left(X^{3}, 3 X^{2} Y, 3 X Y^{2}, Y^{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$.

Lemma 3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be indeterminates over $\mathbb{Z}$. In $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y],(X, Y)_{3}=$ $\left(X^{3}, Y^{3}, 3 X^{2} Y+3 X Y^{2}, 6 X Y^{2}\right)$.

Proof: It is easily checked that $X^{3}, Y^{3}, 3 X^{2} Y+3 X Y^{2}, 6 X Y^{2} \in(X, Y)_{3}$. So the containment $\supseteq$ holds. Now $(f X+g Y)^{3}=f^{3} X^{3}+3 f^{2} g X^{2} Y+3 f g^{2} X Y^{2}+$ $g^{3} Y^{3}$, so to prove the reverse containment, it suffices to show that $3 f^{2} g X^{2} Y+$ $3 f g^{2} X Y^{2} \in\left(X^{3}, Y^{3}, 3 X^{2} Y+3 X Y^{2}, 6 X Y^{2}\right)$. And to show this it suffices to prove that $f g(f X+g Y) \in A=\left(X+Y, 2 Y, X^{2}, Y^{2}\right)$. Note that $X Y=(X+Y) Y-Y^{2} \in A$.

Let $f=a_{0}+a_{1} X+a_{2} Y+\cdots$ and $g=b_{0}+b_{1} X+b_{2} Y+\cdots$. Thus $f X+g Y \equiv$ $a_{0} X+b_{0} Y \equiv\left(b_{0}-a_{0}\right) Y(\bmod A)$. Hence $f g(f X+g Y) \equiv a_{0} b_{0}\left(b_{0}-a_{0}\right) Y \equiv 0(\bmod$ A) because $a_{0} b_{0}\left(b_{0}-a_{0}\right)$ is even.

Example 4. Let $X, Y$, and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \in N}$ be indeterminates over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then for the ideal $(X, Y)$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left[X, Y,\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \in N}\right],(X, Y)_{3}$ is not finitely generated.

Let $I=(X, Y)$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[X, Y,\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \in N}\right]$. Suppose that $I_{3}$ is finitely generated. Now $I$ is generated by elements of the form $(f X+g Y)^{3}=f^{3} X^{3}+3 f^{2} g X^{2} Y+3 f g^{2} X Y^{2}+$ $g^{3} Y^{3}$. So $I_{3}$ finitely generated gives that $I_{3}=\left(X^{3}, Y^{3}, f_{1}^{2} g_{1} X^{2} Y+3 f_{1} g_{1}^{2} X Y^{2}, \cdots\right.$, $3 f_{n}^{2} g_{n} X^{2} Y+3 f_{n} g_{n}^{2} X Y^{2}$ ) where $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}, g_{1}, \cdots, g_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X, Y, T_{1}, \cdots, T_{s-1}\right]$. So we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
3 T_{s}^{2} X^{2} Y+3 T_{s} X Y^{2}=H_{1} X^{3}+H_{2} Y^{3}+F_{1}\left(3 f_{1}^{2} g_{1} X^{2} Y+3 f_{1} g_{1}^{2} X Y^{2}\right)+\cdots \\
+F_{n}\left(3 f_{n}^{2} g_{n} X^{2} Y+3 f_{n} g_{n}^{2} X Y^{2}\right) \tag{*}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H_{i}, F_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X, Y,\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\right]$. Map all the $T_{i} \rightarrow 0$ except for $T_{s}$. Then in $(*), f_{i}, g_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ while $H_{i}, F_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X, Y, T_{s}\right]$. Replacing $T_{s}$ by a new indeterminate $T$ says that $3 T^{2} X^{2} Y+3 T X Y^{2} \in J \mathbb{Z}[X, Y, T]=J \mathbb{Z}[X, Y][T]$ where $J=(X, Y)_{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. Thus $3 X Y^{2} \in J$. By Lemma 3, $3 X Y^{2}=f_{1} X^{3}+f_{2} Y^{3}+f_{3}\left(3 X^{2} Y+3 X Y^{2}\right)+f_{4}\left(6 X Y^{2}\right)$ for some $f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$. By degree consideration, we can assume that each $f_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $f_{1}=f_{2}=0$. Thus $Y=f_{3}(X+Y)+f_{4}(2 Y)$. Now clearly $f_{3}=0$. Thus $1=2 f_{4}$, a contradiction.

In [1] we showed that if $R$ contains a field of characteristic 0 , then $I_{n}=I^{n}$ for all $n$. Examples given in [1] show that it is not enough to assume that $n$ is a unit. We next show that if $n!$ is a unit in $R$, then $I_{n}=I^{n}$. The proof given here, using the inclusion-exclusion principle, is different from the proof of the previously mentioned result.

Theorem 5. Suppose that $R$ is a commutative ring and $I$ is an ideal of $R$. If $n!$ is a unit in $R$, then $I_{n}=I^{n}$.

Proof: Let $f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i(1)<\cdots<i(k)}(-1)^{n-k}\left(X_{i(1)}+\cdots+X_{i(k)}\right)^{n}$. It suffices to observe that $f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=n!X_{1} \cdots X_{n}$. For then if $n!$ is a unit in $R$, for $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n} \in I$, we have $i_{1} \cdots i_{n}=(n!)^{-1} f\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}\right) \in I_{n}$. Hence $I^{n}=I_{n}$.

That $f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ has the desired form may be seen as follows. Note that $f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is a form of degree $n$. Now clearly $f\left(0, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=0$, so $X_{1} \mid f$. By symmetry, each $X_{i} \mid f$, so $f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=a X_{1} \cdots X_{n}$. Here

$$
a=f(1,1, \cdots, 1)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i(1)<\cdots<i(k)}(-1)^{n-k} k^{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k} k^{n}=n!
$$

We have already remarked that if $I=\left(\left\{a_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}\right)$ is locally principal, then $I^{n}=I_{n}=\left(\left\{a_{\alpha}^{n} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}\right)$. We end with a related result.

Theorem 6. Let $a$ and $b$ be nonzerodivisors in the commutative ring $R$. Then $(a, b)_{n}$ locally principal (for example, invertible) implies that $(a, b)_{n}=\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right)$ and hence is invertible.

Proof: It is enough to prove that $(a, b)_{n}=\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right)$ locally. Thus we may suppose that $(R, M)$ is a quasi-local ring, $a$ and $b$ are nonzerodivisors in $R$, and $(a, b)_{n}$ is principal, say $(a, b)_{n}=(r a+s b)^{n} R$. Now $a^{n} \in(a, b)_{n}$, so $a^{n}=\alpha(r a+s b)^{n}$ for some $\alpha \in R$. If $\alpha$ is a unit, then $b^{n} \in(a, b)_{n}=(r a+s b)^{n} R=a^{n} R$, so ( $a^{n}, b^{n}$ ) $=a^{n} R=$ $(a, b)_{n}$. So assume $\alpha \in M$. Then $a^{n}=\alpha(r a+s b)^{n}=\alpha r^{n} a^{n}+n \alpha r^{n-1} a^{n-1} s b+$ $\cdots+n \alpha r a s^{n-1} b^{n-1}+\alpha s^{n} b^{n}$. Hence $\left(1-\alpha r^{n}\right) a^{n}=n \alpha r^{n-1} a^{n-1} s b+\cdots+\alpha s^{n} b^{n}$ where $1-\alpha r^{n}$ is a unit. Dividing by $\left(1-\alpha r^{n}\right) b^{n}$ shows that $a / b \in \bar{R}$, the integral closure of $R$. Thus $(a, b) \bar{R}=b \bar{R}$ is principal; so $(a, b)^{n} \bar{R}=b^{n} \bar{R}=\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right) \bar{R}$. Now ( $a^{n}, b^{n}$ ) $\supseteq$ $(a, b)_{n}$ where $(a, b)_{n}$ is principal; so $\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right)=A(a, b)_{n}$ for some ideal $A$ of $R$. Now $(a, b)^{n} \bar{R}=\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right) \bar{R}=A(a, b)_{n} \bar{R}=(A \bar{R})\left((a, b)_{n} \bar{R}\right) \subseteq(A \bar{R})(a, b)^{n} \bar{R}$. Hence $A \bar{R}=\bar{R}$ since $(a, b)^{n}$ is finitely generated. But since $R \subseteq \bar{R}$ is integral, $A \bar{R}=\bar{R}$ gives that $A=R$. So $\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right)=(a, b)_{n}$.
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