

Idebenone treatment in Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy

Valerio Carelli, MD, PhD,¹ Chiara La Morgia, MD,¹ Maria Lucia Valentino, MD,¹
Giovanni Rizzo, MD, PhD,¹ Michele Carbonelli, MD,² Anna Maria De Negri, MD,³
Federico Sadun, MD,⁴ Arturo Carta, MD,⁵ Silvana Guerriero, MD,⁶ Francesca Simonelli,
MD,⁷ Alfredo A Sadun, MD, PhD,⁸ Divya Aggarwal, MD,⁸ Rocco Liguori, MD,¹ Patrizia
Avoni, MD, PhD,¹ Agostino Baruzzi, MD,¹ Massimo Zeviani, MD, PhD,⁹ Pasquale
Montagna, MD,¹ Piero Barboni, MD.^{1,2}

¹Department of Neurological Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

²Studio Oculistico d'Azeglio, Bologna, Italy

³Azienda ospedaliera San Camillo-Forlanini, Roma, Italy

⁴Ospedale San Giovanni, Tivoli, Italy

⁵Sezione di Oftalmologia, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

⁶Clinica Oculistica, University of Bari, Bari, Italy

⁷Department of Ophthalmology, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy

⁸Doheny Eye Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

⁹Unit of Molecular Neurogenetics, Pierfranco and Luisa Mariani Center for the Study of Children's Mitochondrial Disorders, Milan, Italy

Corresponding author:

Valerio Carelli, MD, PhD

Department of Neurological Sciences,

University of Bologna, Via Ugo Foscolo 7, 40123 Bologna, Italy

Tel. +39 051 2092747; Fax +39 051 2092751; email: valerio.carelli@unibo.it

Title: 58 characters **Running head title:** 30 characters **Abstract:** 237 words **Text:** 1712 words

1 Figure; 2 Tables

Abstract

Objective: Idebenone has been proposed as a therapeutic option in Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON). This study evaluated idebenone efficacy in a large cohort of LHON treated patients.

Methods: We retrospectively evaluated 103 LHON patients defined by the presence of a primary mtDNA mutation, 44 treated with idebenone (270-675 mg/day) within one year after disease onset and 59 untreated, which were followed for at least five years. For all patients we analyzed age of disease onset, time lapse between loss of visual acuity in the eyes, time between disease onset and start of therapy, time between disease onset and recovery of visual acuity, average therapy dosage, therapy duration and visual acuity of best and worst eye at last evaluation. Recovery of visual acuity was defined as a gain of at least two lines on Snellen charts or a change from "off chart" to "on chart".

Results: This study shows an increase in the frequency of visual recovery in treated compared to untreated patients, which is significant for patients carrying the 11778/ND4 mutation. Recovery of vision was significantly associated with earlier and longer therapy administration. Moreover, in six patients treated very early, before visual loss in the second eye, idebenone significantly delayed the involvement of the second eye.

Conclusions: Idebenone treatment has beneficial effects in LHON patients with the 11778/ND4 mutation by increasing the frequency of visual recovery. Early treatment may modify the natural history of the disease.

Introduction

Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) is the most frequent mitochondrial disorder associated in 90% of cases with one of three mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) point mutations affecting ND subunits of complex I at positions 11778/ND4, 3460/ND1 and 14484/ND6.¹⁻³

The natural history of the disease includes a subclinical stage characterized by fundus oculi, color vision and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness abnormalities, which may remain so throughout life.⁴⁻⁶ In about 50% of males and 10% of females these subclinical abnormalities convert to an acute phase characterized by rapid loss of central vision, which reaches the nadir in six months and stabilizes by the end of the first year from onset.^{2,3,7,8} After the first year the patients enter a chronic phase, which may show a further very slow progression. A few patients, most frequently carrying the 14484/ND6 mutation or any mutation but with early onset, recover spontaneously some visual acuity,^{2,3} mostly within the first five years after onset.⁹

The biochemical basis of LHON suggests a combination of defective complex I-driven ATP synthesis, increase in reactive oxygen species production and lowered threshold for apoptosis.¹⁰⁻¹² In 1992 Mashima reported remission of LHON after idebenone treatment¹³ and additional anecdotal reports suggested a possible therapeutic use of this benzoquinone,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ by exploiting its antioxidant properties and its potential for bypassing the complex I defect.¹⁷

We have been extensively using idebenone as the only therapeutic option for LHON patients. The present study retrospectively evaluates its effectiveness in promoting recovery of visual acuity.

Materials and methods

At the Department of Neurological Sciences of the University of Bologna we have currently diagnosed 219 LHON patients from 115 pedigrees carrying one of the three common mutations at positions 11778/ND4, 3460/ND1 and 14484/ND6. Idebenone is approved in Italy since early 1990s for treatment of dementia of both neurodegenerative and vascular etiology. Over the past 10 years we treated a large group of our LHON patients with idebenone (Mnesis®, Takeda Italia Farmaceutici, 270-675 mg/day), under the regulation for “off-label” drug administration and after patient’s informed consent. Four more idebenone-treated (450-600 mg/day) patients, who obtained the drug through internet websites on voluntary basis, were monitored (AAS and DA) at the Doheny Eye Institute, University of Southern California (Los Angeles, CA). To evaluate retrospectively the efficacy of idebenone therapy we reviewed all these cases with approval for the study by the internal institutional review board. Based on available ophthalmologic documentation, we included 44 patients (37 males), either treated within one year after the onset of visual acuity loss in the second eye (N=38) or treated before the involvement of the second eye (“in-between-eyes”; N=6), defining the entire group as early treatment (ET). Thirty had the 11778/ND4, eight the 3460/ND1 and six the 14484/ND6 mutation. We compared the frequency of spontaneous recovery of visual acuity in idebenone treated patients with 59 untreated patients (40 males) for whom we had ophthalmological evaluations for at least five or more years after onset; this group was defined as non-treated (NT). Of these 43 had the 11778/ND4, ten the 3460/ND1 and six the 14484/ND6 mutation. Recovery of visual acuity was counted for patients/eyes with a gain of at least two lines on Snellen charts or a change from “off chart” to “on chart”, as previously established.¹⁸

We excluded subjects with disease onset before 10 years of age (childhood cases), because of their well-established benign prognosis.^{2,3,19} For all patients we retrieved: age of disease onset, time lapse between loss of visual acuity in the eyes, time between disease onset and start of therapy, time between disease onset and recovery of visual acuity, average therapy dosage, and therapy duration. Furthermore, we evaluated all available longitudinal assessments of visual acuity and for statistical analysis we considered only visual acuity of best and worst eye at last evaluation. The interval between loss of vision in the eyes was evaluated only for the patients with asynchronous onset of symptoms, which were 56% for 11778/ND4, 50% for 3460/ND1 and 67% for 14484/ND6 mutation, having excluded three outliers (time lapse of 23, 180 and 504 months respectively).

The difference in the frequency of visual recovery between ET and NT patients/eyes was assessed by Fisher exact test ($p < 0.05$). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparisons of continuous variables between more than two groups followed by post-hoc comparison (Bonferroni), whereas comparison between two groups was performed by t-test ($p < 0.05$). A logistic regression was used to investigate the effect of genetic, demographic, clinical and therapeutic parameters (mutation type, gender, age at onset, therapy/no therapy, time between disease onset and start of therapy, average therapy dosage, therapy duration) on visual recovery. A linear multiple regression was used to investigate the effect of the same parameters on interval between disease onset and onset of visual recovery. In both analyses a forward stepwise method was applied to obtain a significant model in which all included variables had a p-value < 0.05 .

Results

The clinical data for all patients combined and separately for the 11778/ND4 patients are summarized in Table 1. Considering that in many cases only one eye recovered visual acuity, we considered both number of patients and number of eyes recovering vision. The proportion of patients or eyes with visual recovery was higher for ET patients compared to NT patients, but the difference was not significant, considering all mutations together. Patients recovering vision had better final visual acuity compared to patients without recovery ($p < 0.001$). Among the ET patients the duration of therapy was significantly longer for patients recovering vision ($p = 0.024$). Furthermore, among the patients recovering vision, the ET group had a trend towards an earlier onset of recovery and the NT group tended to have a younger age at disease onset.

Upon stratification by mutation we found that in the 11778/ND4 patients ($n = 73$) the frequency of visual recovery was significantly higher in ET patients ($p = 0.045$) or ET eyes ($p = 0.017$), which also had trends for earlier onset of recovery and longer duration of therapy. Concerning the 3460/ND1 and 14484/ND6 mutations, the number of patients available was too low for a meaningful statistical analysis (18 and 12 respectively). As expected,^{2,3} the 14484/ND6 patients had a high rate of visual recovery whereas the 3460/ND1 patients did not seem to be influenced by therapy (supplementary Table 1).

In the six patients treated “in-between-eyes”, the time separating the involvement of the two eyes was significantly longer compared to patients treated after the second eye or the untreated group ($p = 0.045$) (Fig 1). However, all the second eyes became eventually affected and three out of six had 0.05 final visual acuity. In these latter eyes, two had this final visual outcome after visual loss progression and one after recovery from the nadir.

Regression analysis performed for all mutations (Table 2) showed that visual recovery was significantly associated with the 14484/ND6 mutation independently from

therapy ($p=0.03$), whereas idebenone therapy was significantly associated with visual recovery in the 11778/ND4 patients ($p=0.039$). In the ET group, considering all mutations, we found a significant association between duration of therapy and visual recovery ($p=0.031$), and between start of therapy and timing of visual recovery ($p=0.024$), the latter being maintained also in the 11778/ND4 patients ($p=0.046$).

Discussion

This retrospective evaluation of our large cohort of LHON patients treated with idebenone shows, compared to untreated patients, an increased frequency of recovery of visual acuity, which is significant for the 11778/ND4 subgroup. The patients who started therapy at the early stage of monocular disease had a significant delay in the involvement of the second eye. The untreated patients that spontaneously recovered visual acuity tended to have younger age at onset, as previously reported.^{2,3} Considering all mutations together, there was a trend for earlier onset of visual recovery in treated patients compared to untreated, which is in agreement with similar findings previously reported by Mashima and colleagues.¹³ Furthermore, treated patients recovering vision had a significantly longer therapy duration than those that failed to recover and earlier start of therapy correlated with earlier onset of visual recovery.

Within the limits intrinsic to a retrospective study, these results suggest a therapeutic efficacy of idebenone in increasing the frequency of recovery after the acute phase, which was significant for the 11778/ND4 patients. None of the six patients treated “in-between-eyes” had the second eye spared by the pathology, but they had a delayed onset. The final visual outcome of these early-treated eyes did not stand out for being more benign, even if we cannot extrapolate from the available data how they possibly diverged from the natural history of the disease. It is also evident that within the treated group, only a proportion of patients responded to treatment. Among the different parameters evaluated, the longer duration of therapy correlated with responsiveness; likewise, earlier treatment promoted earlier recovery. Mutation stratification indicates a different propensity to respond, the 11778/ND4 patients being good responders, the 3460/ND1 poor responders, whereas the recovery of 14484/ND6 patients is independent from treatment.

LHON remission after idebenone therapy has been difficult to interpret in single case reports,¹³⁻¹⁵ given the possibility of a spontaneous recovery of visual acuity.^{2,3,9,18} Our study took into consideration a large cohort of untreated patients with different mutations, thus allowing an estimate of the frequency of spontaneous visual recovery, which was essentially similar to previously published rates.^{2,3,9,18}

The mechanism of visual recovery in LHON remains poorly understood. We have previously described histologically a segmental loss of myelin in spared axons and occasional evidence of remyelination.² A recent murine model of rotenone-induced optic neuropathy also showed that myelin damage is a phenomenon integral to the morphological events characterizing the axonal neurodegeneration, with evidence of demyelinated axons.²⁰ We propose that the subset of segmentally demyelinated dysfunctional axons represents the anatomical substrate for recovery of visual acuity through re-modeling of myelin, which may revert the fate of neurodegeneration.²

We conclude that early and prolonged idebenone treatment of acute LHON patients may improve significantly the frequency of visual recovery and possibly change the natural history of the disease. Despite the retrospective nature of this study, our results indicate that idebenone administration may be indicated in LHON patients, in complete absence of any other therapeutic option at present. Carefully designed prospective double-blind placebo-controlled trials are needed to firmly establish the efficacy of idebenone therapy in LHON, by using the main metrics of visual fields and optic coherence tomography added to that of visual acuity.

Acknowledgments

We would like to dedicate this study to the memory of Prof. Pasquale Montagna who prematurely passed away while this manuscript was written. This study was supported by Telethon-Italy, grant #GPP10005.

References

1. Wallace DC, Singh G, Lott MT, et al. Mitochondrial DNA mutation associated with Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy. *Science* 1988;242:1427-1430.
2. Carelli V, Ross-Cisneros FN, Sadun AA. Mitochondrial dysfunction as a cause of optic neuropathies. *Prog Ret Eye Res* 2004; 23:58–89.
3. Yu-Wai-Man P, Griffiths PG, Chinnery PF. Mitochondrial optic neuropathies - Disease mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. 2010 Nov 26. [Epub ahead of print]
4. Nikoskelainen E, Hoyt WF, Nummelin K. Ophthalmoscopic findings in Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy. I. Fundus findings in asymptomatic family members. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1982;100:1597-1602.
5. Sadun F, De Negri AM, Carelli V, et al. Ophthalmologic findings in a large pedigree of 11778/Haplogroup J Leber hereditary optic neuropathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;137:271-277.
6. Savini G, Barboni P, Valentino ML, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer evaluation by optical coherence tomography in unaffected carriers with Leber hereditary optic neuropathy mutations. *Ophthalmology* 2005;112:127–131.

7. Nikoskelainen E, Hoyt WF, Nummelin K. Ophthalmoscopic findings in Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy. II. The fundus findings in affected family members. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1983;101:1059-1068.
8. Barboni P, Carbonelli M, Savini G, et al. Natural history of Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy: longitudinal analysis of the retinal nerve fiber layer by optical coherence tomography. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117:623-627.
9. Spruijt L, Kolbach DN, de Coo RF, et al. Influence of mutation type on clinical expression of Leber hereditary optic neuropathy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;141:676-682.
10. Baracca A, Solaini G, Sgarbi G, et al. Severe impairment of complex I-driven adenosine triphosphate synthesis in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy cybrids. *Arch Neurol*. 2005;62:730-6.
11. Beretta S, Mattavelli L, Sala G, et al. Leber hereditary optic neuropathy mtDNA mutations disrupt glutamate transport in cybrid cell lines. *Brain*. 2004;127:2183-92.
12. Zanna C, Ghelli A, Porcelli AM, et al. Caspase-independent death of Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy cybrids is driven by energetic failure and mediated by AIF and Endonuclease G. *Apoptosis*. 2005;10:997-1007.
13. Mashima Y, Hiida Y, Oguchi Y. Remission of Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy with idebenone. *Lancet*. 1992;340:368-9.

14. Cortelli P, Montagna P, Pierangeli G, et al. Clinical and brain bioenergetics improvement with idebenone in a patient with Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy: a clinical and 31P-MRS study. *J Neurol Sci.* 1997;148:25–31.
15. Carelli V, Barboni P, Zacchini A, et al. Leber's Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON) with 14484/ND6 mutation in a North African patient. *J Neurol Sci.* 1998;160:183–8.
16. Mashima Y, Kigasawa K, Wakakura M, Oguchi Y. Do idebenone and vitamin therapy shorten the time to achieve visual recovery in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy? *J Neuroophthalmol* 2000; 20:166–170.
17. Geromel V, Darin N, Chrétien D, et al. Coenzyme Q(10) and idebenone in the therapy of respiratory chain diseases: rationale and comparative benefits. *Mol Genet Metab.* 2002;77:21-30.
18. Nikoskelainen EK, Huoponen K, Juvonen V, et al. Ophthalmologic findings in Leber hereditary optic neuropathy, with special reference to mtDNA mutations. *Ophthalmology.* 1996;103:504–14.
19. Barboni P, Savini G, Valentino ML, et al. Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy with childhood onset. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2006; 47:5303–9.
20. Marella M, Seo BB, Thomas BB, et al. Successful amelioration of mitochondrial optic neuropathy using the yeast NDI1 gene in a rat animal model. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e11472.

Figure legend

Figure 1

Comparison of the interval between asynchronous disease onset in the 1st and the 2nd eye in ET (in-between-eyes), ET (after the 2nd eye) and NT patients.

X-axis indicated the three groups and Y-axis the time lapse in months between the involvement of the 1st and the 2nd eye. Asterisk (*) indicates $p=0.045$.

TABLE 1. Clinical data

All mutations	ET		NT		
	Recovery	Not recovery	Recovery	Not recovery	
N. patients	20 (16 males)	24 (21 males)	19 (13 males)	40 (27 males)	
Recovery (patients, %)	45.5		32.2		p=0.219
N. eyes	35/88	53/88	37/118	81/118	
Recovery (eyes, %)	39.8		31.4		p=0.238
Age at onset (years)	26.0 ± 13.9	26.5 ± 11.7	20.4 ± 8.0	27.0 ± 10.3	p=0.178
Interval of disease onset between eyes (n=58/103) (months)	3.7 ± 2.9	3.8 ± 3.1	1.8 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 2.3	p=0.402
Interval onset-therapy (months)	6.1 ± 4.1	5.3 ± 4.0			p=0.509
Interval onset-recovery (months)	17.0 ± 7.7		25.0 ± 18.9		p=0.089
Therapy average dosage (mg/day)	346.8 ± 141.9	400.3 ± 137.7			p=0.228
Therapy duration (months)	50.2 ± 29.9	32.4 ± 20.4			p=0.024
VA-Best Eye	0.515 ± 0.410	0.056 ± 0.202	0.726 ± 0.336	0.041 ± 0.111	p <0.001
VA-Worst Eye	0.348 ± 0.389	0.015 ± 0.015	0.379 ± 0.343	0.027 ± 0.064	
<i>post-hoc</i> pairwise comparisons	*	*	*	*	*p <0.001
		*	*	*	*p <0.001
	*		*	*	*p <0.001
	*		*	*	*p <0.001
11778/ND4	ET		NT		
	Recovery	Not recovery	Recovery	Not recovery	
N. patients	14 (11 males)	16 (14 males)	10 (7 males)	33 (24 males)	
Recovery (patients, %)	47		23		p=0.045
N. eyes 11778	25/60	35/60	19/86	67/86	
Recovery (eyes, %)	41.7		22.1		p=0.017
Age at onset (years)	29.5 ± 15.3	25.8 ± 12.6	24.8 ± 8.8	27.8 ± 9.8	p=0.727
Interval of disease onset between eyes (n=41/73) (months)	4.6 ± 3.2	3.9 ± 2.7	1.8 ± 1.3	2.9 ± 2.3	p=0.241
Interval onset-therapy (months)	7.1 ± 4.4	5.4 ± 3.9			p=0.281
Interval onset-recovery (months)	17.2 ± 7.8		27.7 ± 22.5		p=0.185
Therapy dosage (mg/day)	352.5 ± 149.7	408.1 ± 142.3			p=0.332
Therapy duration (months)	49.2 ± 29.8	33.4 ± 22.8			p=0.110
VA-Best Eye	0.422 ± 0.407	0.015 ± 0.015	0.648 ± 0.381	0.033 ± 0.103	p<0.001
<i>post-hoc</i> pairwise comparisons	*	*	*	*	*p <0.001
		*	*	*	*p <0.001
	*		*	*	*p <0.001
	*		*	*	*p <0.001
VA-Worst Eye	0.232 ± 0.291	0.012 ± 0.012	0.316 ± 0.374	0.017 ± 0.022	p<0.001
<i>post-hoc</i> pairwise comparisons	*	*	*	*	*p =0.011
		*	*	*	*p=0.001
	*		*	*	*p<0.001
	*		*	*	*p=0.003

TABLE 2. Regression analysis

Sample studied	Significantly associated independent variables	Dependent variables	Significance
Whole group ^A	14484/ND6	Visual recovery	p=0.03
11778/ND4 patients ^A	Idebenone therapy	Visual recovery	p=0.039
ET group (all mutations) ^A	Duration of therapy	Visual recovery	p=0.031*
ET group (all mutations) ^B	Start of therapy	Timing of visual recovery	p=0.024 [#]
ET group (11778/ND4 patients) ^B	Start of therapy	Timing of visual recovery	p=0.046 [#]

A=logistic regression model; B=linear multiple regression model; in both analyses a forward stepwise method was applied to obtain a significant model in which all included variables had a p-value <0.05; *=longer duration of therapy was associated with visual recovery; [#]=earlier start of therapy was associated with earlier visual recovery.

