

## IDEMPOTENT NOETHER LATTICES

KENNETH P. BOGART

In his paper, *Abstract commutative ideal theory* [2], Dilworth proved that a Noether lattice on which the multiplication is the meet operation is a finite Boolean algebra. This note proves that if the multiplication in a Noether lattice is idempotent ( $A^2 = A$  for all  $A$  in the lattice), then the lattice is a finite Boolean algebra. In a Noether lattice the term maximal element refers to a maximal nonidentity element (i.e. a coatom).

**THEOREM.** *Let  $L$  be a Noether lattice in which the maximal elements are idempotent. Then  $L$  is a finite Boolean algebra.*

**PROOF.** Let  $M$  be a maximal element of  $L$ . Then  $M = M^i$  for all  $i$ . This gives

$$\bigwedge_i (A \vee M^i) = A \vee M \quad \text{for all } A \in L.$$

Thus by Theorem 3.2 of [2],  $A \vee M$  is the meet of all primary components of  $A$  contained in  $M$ . We thus have that each element of  $L$  is a meet of finitely many maximal elements of  $L$ . Since  $L$  is modular and every element of  $L$  is a meet of coatoms,  $L$  is complemented. Then by Theorem 7.31 of [1],  $L$  is a Boolean algebra. (The term "Noether lattice" has a different meaning in [1] from that in [2]. We are using the term as defined in [2].) Since  $L$  has the ascending chain condition,  $L$  is finite, and the theorem is proved.

Note that meet and multiplication coincide when multiplication is idempotent in a Noether lattice. To see this, observe that if

$$\begin{aligned} & (M_1 \wedge M_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge M_j)(N_1 \wedge N_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge N_k) \\ & < M_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge M_j \wedge N_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge N_k, \end{aligned}$$

with  $M_i$  and  $N_i$  all maximal, then because each element of  $L$  is a meet of maximal elements, there exists another maximal element  $M$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (M_1 \wedge M_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge M_j)(N_1 \wedge N_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge N_k) \\ & \leq M \wedge M_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge M_j \wedge N_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge N_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

---

Received by the editors October 10, 1968.

$$M_1M_2 \cdots M_jN_1N_2 \cdots N_k \cong M.$$

Thus since  $M$  is prime,  $M_i$  or  $N_i < M$  for some  $i$ , and this is impossible.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. P. Dilworth and M. Ward, *Residuated lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1939), 335–354.
2. R. P. Dilworth, *Abstract commutative ideal theory*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 481–498.

DARTMOUTH COLLEGE