

## Short Communication

### Identifying priority ecoregions for rodent conservation at the genus level

Giovanni Amori and Spartaco Gippoliti

**Abstract** Rodents account for 40 per cent of living mammal species. Nevertheless, despite an increased interest in biodiversity conservation and their high species richness, Rodentia are often neglected by conservationists. We attempt for the first time a world-wide evaluation of rodent conservation priorities at the genus level. Given the low popularity of the order, we considered it desirable to discuss identified priorities within the framework of established biodiversity priority areas of the world. Two families and 62 genera are recognized as threatened. Our analyses highlight the Philippines, New Guinea, Sulawesi, the Caribbean, China temperate forests and the Atlantic Forest of south-eastern Brazil as the most important (for their

high number of genera) 'threat-spots' for rodent conservation. A few regions, mainly drylands, are singled out as important areas for rodent conservation but are not generally recognized in global biodiversity assessments. These are the remaining forests of Togo, extreme 'western Sahel', the Turanian and Mongolian-Manchurian steppes and the desert of the Horn of Africa. Resources for conservation must be allocated first to recognized threat spots and to those restricted-range genera which may depend on species-specific strategies for their survival.

**Keywords** Biodiversity, conservation priorities, rodents, threatened genera, world ecoregions.

#### Introduction

With 26–32 recognized extant families and more than 2050 recognized species (Hartenberger, 1985; Wilson & Reeder, 1993; Nowak, 1999), Rodentia is the richest order among mammals. Rodents occur naturally on every continent (except Antarctica and some major islands such as New Caledonia and New Zealand, which have no native species) and in every habitat, and show a considerable diversity in morphology, behaviour, habitat utilization and life history strategy. Because of this widespread presence, rodents are the most commonly used mammals in ecological studies, and can serve as exceptionally good indicator species to detect changes in habitat quality resulting from natural or human-induced changes (Yensen & Hafner, 1998). Although 330 species of rodents are considered threatened (IUCN, 1996) and many species are known to play a unique role in sustaining ecosystems and current biodiversity (Maser & Maser, 1988; Yensen *et al.*, 1992; Miller *et al.*, 1994; Forget, 1997), conservation efforts for threatened rodents seem a low priority at the moment (Amori & Gippoliti, 2000).

Conservation efforts for rodents must be included in the general framework of mammalian diversity conservation, focusing on a biodiversity/area approach. It is extremely urgent to identify endangered taxonomic groups, endemism and species-rich areas to maintain current rodent diversity. Areas of concern for rodents may overlap with previously identified 'hotspots' or restricted-range species areas (Myers, 1988, 1990; Stattersfield *et al.*, 1998), megadiversity countries (e.g. Mittermeier, 1988), major tropical wilderness areas (Mittermeier *et al.*, 1998) or, instead, represent specific priorities for the IUCN/SSC Rodent Specialist Group.

It has been proposed that higher taxon richness be used as a surrogate of species richness in rapid biodiversity surveys (Williams & Gaston, 1994). Given the great number (probably still underestimated) of existing rodent species, and the lack of enthusiasm and resource allocation for rodent conservation, strategies must be primarily directed to prevent the complete extinction of whole phylogenetic lineages at the genus, subfamily and family level, an event already recorded, for example, in the case of the Heptaxodontidae in the West Indies (Nowak, 1999). In this work, we propose determining conservation priorities for the order Rodentia at the genus level (see Reinthal, 1993). Efforts toward the identification of priorities at this taxonomic level appear more realistic, and provide a more stable basis (albeit not definitive, see Carleton & Goodman,

G. Amori (corresponding author) and S. Gippoliti Evolutionary Genetics Centre, CNR, Via dei Sardi 50-00185, Rome, Italy. E-mail: giovanni.amori@uniroma1.it

Revised manuscript accepted for publication 25 October 2000

**Table 1** Threatened rodent genera and their geographical range. Monotypic families are in bold.

Genera	Zoogeographic region	Range	Number of species	Family
<i>Biswamoyopterus</i>	Oriental	India (Tirap district Assam)	1	Sciuridae
<i>Eupetaurus</i>	Oriental	India, Pakistan (West Himalaya)	1	Sciuridae
<i>Myosciurus</i>	Afrotropical	Cameroon, Bioko Island, Gabon, Nigeria	1	Sciuridae
<i>Hyosciurus</i>	Oriental	Sulawesi	2	Sciuridae
<i>Trogopterus</i>	Oriental	Central-eastern China	1	Sciuridae
<i>Zygoeomys</i>	Nearctic	North-central Michoacan, Mexico	1	Geomyidae
<i>Cardiocranius</i>	Palaearctic	Mongolia, East Kazakhstan, China	1	Dipodidae
<i>Eozapus</i>	Palaearctic/Oriental	Yunnan, West Sichuan, Qinghai & South Gansu (China)	1	Dipodidae
<i>Euchoreutes</i>	Palaearctic	China, Mongolia	1	Dipodidae
<i>Abrawayaomys</i>	Neotropical	Brazil	1	Muridae
<i>Abditomys</i>	Oriental	Luzon (Philippines)	1 <sup>a</sup>	Muridae
<i>Ammodillus</i>	Afrotropical	South-west Ethiopia, Somalia	1	Muridae
<i>Anonymomys</i>	Oriental	Mindoro (Philippines)	1	Muridae
<i>Anotomys</i>	Neotropical	Ecuador	1	Muridae
<i>Archboldomys</i>	Oriental	Luzon (Philippines)	2	Muridae
<i>Crateromys</i>	Oriental	Luzon, Mindoro, Dinagat, Panay (Philippines)	4	Muridae
<i>Eropeplus</i>	Oriental	Central Sulawesi	1	Muridae
<i>Gymnuromys</i>	Afrotropical	East Madagascar	1	Muridae
<i>Hypogeomys</i>	Afrotropical	West Madagascar	1	Muridae
<i>Komodomys</i>	Oriental	Lesser Sunda Islands	1	Muridae
<i>Kunsia</i>	Neotropical	North-east Argentina, West-eastern Central Brazil, North-east Bolivia	2	Muridae
<i>Lamottemys</i>	Afrotropical	Mt. Oko, West Cameroon	1	Muridae
<i>Leimacomys</i>	Afrotropical	Central Togo	1	Muridae
<i>Leporillus</i>	Australian	South Australia, Franklin Island	1	Muridae
<i>Limnomys</i>	Oriental	Mindanao (Philippines)	1 <sup>a</sup>	Muridae
<i>Macruromys</i>	Australian	New Guinea	1	Muridae
<i>Mayermys</i>	Australian	North-east New Guinea	1	Muridae
<i>Megadendromus</i>	Afrotropical	East Ethiopia	1	Muridae
<i>Melasmothrix</i>	Oriental	Sulawesi	1	Muridae
<i>Microhydromys</i>	Australian	New Guinea	2 <sup>a</sup>	Muridae
<i>Muriculus</i>	Afrotropical	Ethiopia	1	Muridae
<i>Mystromys</i>	Afrotropical	South Africa, Lesotho	1	Muridae
<i>Nesoryzomys</i>	Neotropical	Galapagos (Ecuador)	2 <sup>a</sup>	Muridae
<i>Neohydromys</i>	Australian	Central-eastern New Guinea	1	Muridae
<i>Nilopegamys</i>	Afrotropical	Ethiopia	1 <sup>a</sup>	Muridae
<i>Palawanomys</i>	Oriental	Palawan (Philippines)	1	Muridae
<i>Papagomys</i>	Oriental	Flores Island (Indonesia)	3	Muridae
<i>Paulamys</i>	Oriental	Flores Island (Indonesia)	1 <sup>a</sup>	Muridae
<i>Phaenomys</i>	Neotropical	Rio de Janeiro, East Brazil	1	Muridae
<i>Podomys</i>	Nearctic	Florida (USA)	1	Muridae
<i>Pseudohydromys</i>	Australian	New Guinea	2	Muridae
<i>Rhagomys</i>	Neotropical	Rio de Janeiro, East Brazil	1	Muridae
<i>Solomys</i>	Australian	Bouganinville Island, Santa Ysabel Island (Solomons)	4	Muridae
<i>Tateomys</i>	Oriental	Sulawesi	2	Muridae
<i>Tokudaia</i>	Oriental	Okinawa, Amami, Tokuno-shima Islands (Japan)	3	Muridae
<i>Tryphomys</i>	Oriental	North Luzon (Philippines)	1	Muridae
<i>Vernaya</i>	Oriental	Central-south China, Myanmar	1	Muridae
<i>Xeromys</i>	Australian	South-eastern Queensland, coastal Northern Territory, Melville Islands	1	Muridae
<i>Pedetes</i>	Afrotropical	East and South Africa	1	<b>Pedetidae</b>
<i>Felovia</i>	Afrotropical	Senegal, Mali, Mauritania	1	Ctenodactylidae
<i>Chaetocauda<sup>b</sup></i>	Oriental	China	1	Gliridae
<i>Glirulus</i>	Palaearctic	Honshu, Shikoku E Kyushu Islands (Japan)	1	Gliridae
<i>Myomimus</i>	Palaearctic	Bulgaria, West Turkey, Iran, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan	3	Gliridae

Table 1 (Continued).

Genera	Zoogeographic region	Range	Number of species	Family
<i>Selevinia</i>	Palaearctic	South-eastern East Kazakhstan	1	Gliridae
<i>Chinchilla</i>	Neotropical	North-west Argentina, Peru, Bolivia, North Chile	2	Chinchillidae
<i>Dinomys</i>	Neotropical	Colombia, West Bolivia, Brazil	1	<b>Dinomyidae</b>
<i>Tympanoctomys</i>	Neotropical	Mendoza Province (Argentina)	1	Octodontidae
<i>Chaetomys</i>	Neotropical	South-east Brazil	1	Echimyidae
<i>Geocapromys</i>	Neotropical	Jamaica, Bahamas	2	Capromyidae
<i>Isolobodon</i>	Neotropical	Hispaniola	1	Capromyidae
<i>Mesocapromys</i>	Neotropical	Cuba	4	Capromyidae
<i>Plagiodontia</i>	Neotropical	Hispaniola	1	Capromyidae

<sup>a</sup>Missing genera from the IUCN Red List (1996) but here considered threatened.

<sup>b</sup>Tentatively, we accept *Chaetocauda* (following Corbet & Hill, 1992; Storch, 1995) as a full genus for the recently described Sichuan dormouse.

1996, 1998) for conservation planning than presently allowed by continually updated species lists (e.g. Groves & Flannery, 1994; Rickart *et al.*, 1998; da Silva, 1998). At this level, it is also possible to identify, and thus emphasize the conservation importance, of ancient, species-poor lineages that contribute heavily to the diversity of the order at the expense of more recent, speciose clades (Vane-Wright *et al.*, 1991; Krajewski, 1994). In fact, the extinction of a member of the genus *Rattus* cannot be considered of equal importance to the loss of the only species of the family Hydrochaeridae. This simple phylogenetic criterion is not entirely satisfactory because it undervalues the role of rodents in natural ecosystems (Power *et al.*, 1996), but paucity of ecological studies make objective assessment on a global scale impossible at the present time. It is auspicious, however, that the preservation of major terrestrial biomes by existing protected area systems serves to guarantee protection for most rodent species.

## Methods

The systematics followed are those reviewed in Wilson & Reeder (1993). We considered as threatened species those classified by IUCN (1996) as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU); threatened genera as those having all extant species listed by IUCN (1996) as threatened (see also Rylands *et al.*, 1997) or, possibly, extinct; potentially threatened genera as those having all extant species listed in the threatened (CR, EN and VU), Lower Risk (Conservation Dependent and Near Threatened) and Data Deficient categories. The latter subdivision has been included because we feel that the endangered status of many little-known rodent taxa is presently undervalued.

We included in the threatened genera category a few taxa omitted in the 1996 IUCN Red List, because of

the very few specimens known and/or very restricted ranges.

We mainly followed Olson & Dinerstein (1997) for the identification and nomenclature of major world ecoregions. We list major environmental threats (as deduced by Olson & Dinerstein, 1997 and Stattersfield *et al.*, 1998) for each ecoregion with particular attention to those considered of importance to rodents (G. Amori and S. Gippoliti, in preparation).

## Results and discussion

The present assessment provides a first global framework to direct scarce resources towards the conservation of phylogenetically distinctive and apparently threatened members of the order Rodentia.

In the present analysis 62 genera are recognized as threatened and 45 as potentially threatened (Tables 1 and 2). Also, two monotypic families, Pedetidae and Dinomyidae, appear to be threatened. The Oriental region has the highest number of threatened and potentially threatened genera (21 and 13, respectively, see Table 3). Some areas are clearly singled out as threat spots for rodent conservation (see Table 4). The Philippines (excluding Palawan) have six threatened endemic genera and two potentially threatened genera, five of which occur in the Luzon faunal division. The highland and lowland forests of New Guinea have five threatened endemic genera and one potentially threatened genus. Sulawesi has four threatened endemic genera. China's temperate forests have four threatened genera (one non-endemic) and two potentially threatened genera. In the Neotropics, the most important threat spot is represented by the Atlantic Forest of south-eastern Brazil, where four threatened genera and one potentially threatened genus (all endemic) are found. Four threatened genera occur in the Caribbean, two of which are restricted to Hispaniola. Among Afrotropical

**Table 2** Potentially threatened rodent genera.

Genera	Zoogeographical region	Range	Number of species	Family
<i>Aeretes</i>	Oriental	Hebei and Sichuan (China)	1	Sciuridae
<i>Epixerus</i>	Afrotropical	West-central Africa	2	Sciuridae
<i>Euglacomys</i>	Oriental	Pakistan, India	1	Sciuridae
<i>Syntheosciurus</i>	Neotropical	Costa Rica, North Panama	1	Sciuridae
<i>Belomys</i>	Oriental	India, China, Indochina, Taiwan	1	Sciuridae
<i>Pteromyscus</i>	Oriental	South Thailand, Sumatra, Borneo	1	Sciuridae
<i>Chionomys</i>	Palaearctic	South-eastern Central Europe, Middle East	3	Muridae
<i>Dinaromys</i>	Palaearctic	Balkans	1	Muridae
<i>Myopus</i>	Palaearctic	North Europe, North Asia	1	Muridae
<i>Proedromys</i>	Oriental	Gansu, Sichuan (China)	1	Muridae
<i>Beamys</i>	Afrotropical	East Africa	2	Muridae
<i>Dendroprionomys</i>	Afrotropical	Congo	1	Muridae
<i>Platacanthomys</i>	Oriental	India	1	Muridae
<i>Prionomys</i>	Afrotropical	Cameroon, South Central African Republic	1	Muridae
<i>Microdillus</i>	Afrotropical	Somalia	1	Muridae
<i>Carpomys</i>	Oriental	North Luzon (Philippines)	2	Muridae
<i>Celaenomys</i>	Oriental	North Luzon (Philippines)	1	Muridae
<i>Diomys</i>	Oriental	North-east India, West Nepal	1	Muridae
<i>Diplothrix</i>	Oriental	Okinawa, Amami, Tokuno-oshima Islands (Japan)	1	Muridae
<i>Hapalomys</i>	Oriental	Hainan Island, North Laos, South Vietnam, South-east Myanmar, Thailand, Malay Peninsula	2	Muridae
<i>Kadarsanomys</i>	Oriental	West Giava	1	Muridae
<i>Leggadina</i>	Australian	Australia	2	Muridae
<i>Mesembriomys</i>	Australian	Australia	2	Muridae
<i>Rhabdomys</i>	Afrotropical	Eastern South Africa	1	Muridae
<i>Stenocephalemys</i>	Afrotropical	Ethiopia	2	Muridae
<i>Srilankamys</i>	Oriental	Sri Lanka	1	Muridae
<i>Xenuromys</i>	Australian	New Guinea	1	Muridae
<i>Brachyuromys</i>	Afrotropical	Central Madagascar	2	Muridae
<i>Chibchanomys</i>	Neotropical	West Venezuela, Colombia, Peru	1	Muridae
<i>Hodomys</i>	Nearctic	Mexico	1	Muridae
<i>Juscelinomys</i>	Neotropical	Central East Brazil	2	Muridae
<i>Lenoxus</i>	Neotropical	South-east Peru, West Bolivia	1	Muridae
<i>Podoxymys</i>	Neotropical	Guyana	1	Muridae
<i>Xenomys</i>	Nearctic	Mexico	1	Muridae
<i>Zenkerella</i>	Afrotropical	Central Africa	1	Anomaluridae
<i>Eliomys</i>	Palaearctic	Europe, Middle East, North Africa	2	Gliridae
<i>Muscardinus</i>	Palaearctic	Europe, Turkey	1	Gliridae
<i>Glis</i>	Palaearctic	Europe, Middle East	1	Gliridae
<i>Heliophobius</i>	Afrotropical	Eastern South Africa	1	Batherygidae
<i>Dolichotis</i>	Neotropical	Argentina, South Bolivia, Paraguay	2	Cavidae
<i>Agouti</i>	Neotropical	Mexico to South Brazil	2	Agoutidae
<i>Olallamys</i>	Neotropical	Colombia, West Venezuela	2	Echimyidae
<i>Isothrix</i>	Neotropical	Bolivia to Central Brazil, French Guiana	3 <sup>a</sup>	Echimyidae
<i>Carterodon</i>	Neotropical	Minas Gerais (East Brazil)	1	Echimyidae
<i>Mysateles</i>	Neotropical	Cuba	5	Capromyidae

<sup>a</sup>One species described in 1996.

**Table 3** A summary of the number of species, threatened species, extinct species, and threatened and potentially threatened genera of rodents by each zoogeographical region.

	Afrotropical	Oriental	Palaearctic	Nearctic	Neotropical	Australasian
Number of species	375	369	367	350	568	139
Extinct species	–	4	2	2	28	9
Threatened species	53 (14.0%)	91 (24.6%)	49 (13.3%)	47 (13.3%)	56 (9.8%)	35 (25.1%)
Threatened genera	12	21	5	2	14	8
Potentially threatened genera	10	13	6	2	11	3

**Table 4** Priority ecoregions identified in this work, major conservation threats and respective endemic threatened and potentially threatened rodent genera.

Ecoregion (by zoogeographical region)	Threatened genera	Potentially threatened genera	Major threats
<b>Palaearctic region</b>			
Southern Europe and Middle East montane forests	<i>Myomimus</i>	<i>Chionomys</i> <i>Dinaromys</i> <i>Eliomys</i> <i>Glis</i> <i>Muscardinus</i>	Deforestation and habitat fragmentation
Central Asian deserts	<i>Cardiocranius</i> <i>Euchoreutes</i> <i>Selevinia</i> <i>Glirulus</i>		Steppes are under pressure from sheep farming, agriculture and increasing human population
Japan evergreen forest			Deforestation and tree plantations
<b>Oriental region</b>			
Western Himalayan temperate forests	<i>Eupetaurus</i>	<i>Euglacomys</i>	Remaining forests in the region are threatened by increasing logging, agriculture expansion and fuelwood collection
Palawan moist forests	<i>Palawanomys</i>		Deforestation rate is increasing
Philippines moist forests	<i>Abditomys</i> <i>Anonymomys</i> <i>Archboldomys</i> <i>Crateromys</i> <i>Limnomys</i> <i>Tryphomys</i>	<i>Carpomys</i> <i>Celaenomys</i>	Deforestation on much of the Philippine Islands (i.e. western Visayan) is severe
Sulawesi moist forests	<i>Hyosciurus</i> <i>Eropeplus</i> <i>Melasmothrix</i> <i>Tateomys</i> <i>Biswamoyopterus</i>		In the last 20 years Sulawesi has lost 67% of lowland and montane forest
North-east India and Myanmar hill forests			Deforestation and habitat degradation
Nansei Shoto archipelago forests	<i>Tokudaia</i>	<i>Diplothrix</i>	Deforestation and introduction of exotic or domestic predators
Lesser Sunda dry and monsoon forests	<i>Papagomys</i> <i>Komodomys</i> <i>Paulamys</i>		Forest clearance
South-west China temperate forests	<i>Trogopterus</i> <i>Chaetocauda</i> <i>Eozapus</i> <i>Vernaya</i>	<i>Aeretes</i> <i>Proedromys</i>	Agriculture expansion and timber harvesting
<b>Afrotropical region</b>			
Western Sahel	<i>Felovia</i>		Habitat degradation as a result of overgrazing
Southern African region grassland	<i>Pedetes</i> <i>Mystromys</i>		Overgrazing of the highveld by domestic livestock
Eastern subdesert and dry bushland	<i>Pedetes</i>	<i>Heliophobius</i>	Increasing agriculture
Central Togo	<i>Leimacomys</i>		Severe reduction and fragmentation of forests
Congolian coastal forests	<i>Myosciurus</i> <i>Lamottemys</i>	<i>Zenkerella</i>	Deforestation
Ethiopian Highlands	<i>Megadendromys</i> <i>Muriculus</i> <i>Nilopegamys</i>	<i>Stenocephalemys</i>	Deforestation and overgrazing
Horn of Africa desert	<i>Ammodillus</i>	<i>Microdillus</i>	Habitat degradation as a result of overgrazing
Madagascar dry forest	<i>Hypogeomys</i>		Cutting and burning of forests
Madagascar moist forests	<i>Gymnuromys</i>	<i>Brachyuromys</i>	Deforestation and introduction of exotic rodent species
<b>Nearctic region</b>			
Mexican pine-oak forests	<i>Zygogeomys</i>		Deforestation and expansion of the elevational range of the larger and more aggressive <i>Pappogeomys gymnurus</i>

Table 4 (Continued).

Ecoregion (by zoogeographical region)	Threatened genera	Potentially threatened genera	Major threats
Florida conifer and broadleaf forests	<i>Podomys</i>		Drier upland habitats area is declining for urban and agricultural development
Neotropical region			
Brazil Atlantic forests	<i>Abrawayaomys</i> <i>Phaenomys</i> <i>Rhagomys</i> <i>Chaetomys</i>	<i>Blarinomys</i>	Urbanization, agricultural and logging expansion
Northern Andean forests and Yungas	<i>Anotomys</i> <i>Dinomys</i>	<i>Chibchanomys</i> <i>Lenoxus</i> <i>Ollallamys</i>	Agricultural conversion, land clearing and logging
Galápagos Islands	<i>Nesoryzomys</i>		Competition with other introduced rodents
Greater Antillean moist forests	<i>Isolobodon</i> <i>Plagiodontia</i> <i>Mesocapromys</i> <i>Geocapromys</i>	<i>Mysateles</i>	Deforestation and introduction of exotic species (such as domestic cat)
Pantanal flooded savannah and cerrado	<i>Kunsia</i>	<i>Carterodon</i> <i>Juscelinomys</i>	Conversion to pasture and cash crops
Monte Province and Patagonian steppe and grassland	<i>Tympanoctomys</i>	<i>Dolichotis</i>	Grazing, petroleum and mining activities. Loss of grassland caused by the introduction of non-native species (such as <i>Lepus europaeus</i> and <i>Mustela vison</i> )
Central Andean region	<i>Chinchilla</i>		Overgrazing and uncontrolled hunting
Australian region			
New Guinea forests	<i>Macruromys</i> <i>Mayermys</i> <i>Microhydromys</i> <i>Neohydromys</i> <i>Pseudohydromys</i>	<i>Xenuromys</i>	Introduction of non-native mammals and hunting for food
Solomon moist forests	<i>Solomys</i>		Introduction of non-native mammals and hunting for food
Northern Australia and trans-fly savanna	<i>Xeromys</i>		Coastal vegetation is under pressure caused by the introduction of ungulates
Sandy Australian deserts	<i>Leporillus</i>		Increasing abundance of predators because of the presence of exotic prey species such as rabbits and mice

ecoregions, the Ethiopian highlands hold the greatest number of threatened genera.

As would be expected, most of the recognized crucial areas for rodent diversity conservation are also acknowledged to be of global relevance for biodiversity conservation. The present study, however, identifies some regions which are unrecognized in recent global biodiversity studies (Olson & Dinerstein, 1997; Stattersfield *et al.*, 1998). This is the case of the semidesert regions of central Asia, of the 'western sahel', and of 'central Togo' remnant forests, whereas the Horn of Africa desert is recognized by Olson & Dinerstein (1997) only. These results partly agree with Mares (1992) conclusion for South America that mammal diversity, and thus their conservation importance, has been badly neglected in the drylands. Future research should clarify if these anomalies underline real peculiarities of the Rodentia or, alternatively, real deficiencies

in our knowledge of biodiversity patterns in groups other than mammals and birds. Two conservation strategies are required to maintain rodent diversity at the genus level at least. In most of the ecoregions considered, charismatic vertebrates may act as 'umbrella' species for funding active conservation of large tracts of natural habitat (Caro & O'Doherty, 1999) so offering a concrete chance of maintaining entire assemblages of native rodents including threatened endemics (Lynam & Billick, 1999). However, especially in the case of restricted-range or island taxa (i.e. *Nesoryzomys*, *Zygoeomys*, etc.) no alternatives exists to the implementation of species-specific strategies including research, creation of protected areas, control of exotic species, translocation and so on (Dowler *et al.*, 2000). Funding of specific conservation projects for these genera is the first step to maintaining the exceptional diversity of rodents on our planet.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Anthony J. Mitchell-Jones and three anonymous referees for comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

## References

- Amori, G. & Gippoliti, S. (2000) What do mammalogists want to save? Ten years of mammalian conservation biology. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **9**, 785–793.
- Carleton, M.D. & Goodman, S.M. (1996) Systematic studies of Madagascar's endemic rodents (Muroidea: Nesomyinae): a new genus and species from the Central Highlands. In *A Floral and Faunal Inventory of the Eastern Slopes of the Réserve Naturelle Intégrale d'Andringitra, Madagascar with Reference to Elevational Variation* (ed. S. M. Goodman), pp. 231–256. *Fieldiana Zoology*, n.s. **85**, 1–319. Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.
- Carleton, M.D. & Goodman, S.M. (1998) New taxa of Nesomyine rodents (Muroidea: Muridae) from Madagascar's Northern Highlands, with taxonomic comments on previously described forms. In *A Floral and Faunal Inventory of the Réserve Spéciale d'Anjanaharibe-Sud, Madagascar: With Reference to Elevational Variation* (ed. S. M. Goodman), pp. 163–200. *Fieldiana Zoology*, n.s. **90**, 1–246. Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.
- Caro, T.M. & O'Doherty, G. (1999) On the use of surrogate species in conservation biology. *Conservation Biology*, **13**, 805–814.
- Corbet, G.B. & Hill, J.E. (1992) *The Mammals of the Indomalayan Region*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Dowler, R.C., Carroll, D.S. & Edwards, C.W. (2000) Rediscovery of rodents (Genus *Nesoryzomys*) considered extinct in the Galápagos Islands. *Oryx*, **34**, 109–117.
- Forget, P.-M. (1997) Effect of microhabitat on seed fate and seedling performance in two rodent-dispersed tree species in rain forest in French Guiana. *Journal of Ecology*, **85**, 693–703.
- Groves, C.P. & Flannery, T. (1994) A revision of the genus *Uromys* Peters, 1867 (Muridae Mammalia) with description of two new species. *Records Australian Museum*, **46**, 145–170.
- Hartenberger, J.-L. (1985) The order Rodentia: major questions on their evolutionary origin, relationships, and suprafamiliar systematics. In *Evolutionary Relationships Among Rodents: A Multidisciplinary Analysis* (eds W. P. Luckett & J.-L. Hartenberger), pp. 1–33. Plenum Press, New York.
- IUCN (1996) *The 1996 IUCN Red List*. IUCN, Gland.
- Krajewski, C. (1994) Phylogenetic measures of biodiversity: a comparison and critique. *Biological Conservation*, **69**, 33–39.
- Lynam, A.J. & Billick, I. (1999) Differential responses of small mammals to fragmentation in a Thailand tropical forest. *Biological Conservation*, **91**, 191–200.
- Mares, M.A. (1992) Neotropical mammals and the myth of Amazonian diversity. *Science*, **255**, 976–979.
- Maser, C. & Maser, Z. (1988) Interactions among squirrels, mycorrhizal fungi and coniferous forests in Oregon. *Great Basin Naturalist*, **48**, 358–369.
- Miller, B., Ceballos, G. & Reading, R. (1994) The prairie dog and biotic diversity. *Conservation Biology*, **8**, 677–681.
- Mittermeier, R.A. (1988) Primate diversity and the tropical forest: case studies from Brazil and Madagascar and the importance of megadiversity countries. In *Biodiversity* (ed. E. O. Wilson), pp. 145–154. National Academy Press, Washington DC.
- Mittermeier, R.A., Myers, N., Thomsen, J.B., da Fonseca, G. & Olivieri, S. (1998) Biodiversity hotspots and major tropical wilderness areas: approaches to setting conservation priorities. *Conservation Biology*, **12**, 516–520.
- Myers, N. (1988) Threatened biotas: hotspots in tropical forests. *Environmentalist*, **8**, 178–208.
- Myers, N. (1990) The biodiversity challenge: expanded hot-spot analysis. *Environmentalist*, **10**, 243–256.
- Nowak, R.M. (1999) *Walker's Mammals of the World*, 6th edn. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Olson, D.M. & Dinerstein, E. (1997) *The Global 200: A Representation Approach to Conserving the Earth's Distinctive Ecoregions*. Draft report. World Wildlife Fund, Washington DC.
- Power, M.E., Tilman, D., Estes, J.A., Menge, A., Bond, W.J., Scott Mills, L. et al. (1996) Challenges in the quest for keystones. *Bioscience*, **46**, 609–620.
- Reinthal, P. (1993) Evaluating biodiversity and conserving Lake Malawi's cichlid fauna. *Conservation Biology*, **7**, 712–718.
- Rickart, E.A., Tabaranza, B.R., Heaney, L.R. & Balete, D.S. (1998) A review of the genera *Crunomys* and *Archboldomys* (Rodentia: Muridae: Murinae), with descriptions of two new species from the Philippines. *Fieldiana Zoology n.s.*, **89**, 1–24.
- Rylands, A.B., Mittermeier, R.A. & Rodriguez-Luna, E. (1997) Conservation of Neotropical primates: threatened species and an analysis of primate diversity by country and region. *Folia Primatologica*, **68**, 134–160.
- da Silva, M.N.F. (1998) Four new species of spiny rats of the genus *Proechimys* (Rodentia: Echimyidae) from the western Amazon of Brazil. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, **111**, 436–471.
- Stattersfield, A.J., Crosby, M.J., Long, A.J. & Wege, D.C. (1998) *Endemic Bird Areas of the World. Priorities for Biodiversity Conservation*. BirdLife Conservation Series no. 7, BirdLife International, Cambridge.
- Storch, G. (1995) Affinities among living dormouse genera. *Hystrix (N.S.)*, **6**, 51–62.
- Vane-Wright, R.L., Humphries, C.J. & Williams, P.H. (1991) What to protect? – systematics and the agony of choice. *Biological Conservation*, **55**, 235–254.
- Williams, P.H. & Gaston, K.J. (1994) Measuring more of biodiversity: can higher-taxon richness predict wholesale species richness? *Biological Conservation*, **67**, 211–217.
- Wilson, D.E. & Reeder, D.M. (eds) (1993) *Mammal Species of the World: a Taxonomic and Geographic Reference*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.
- Yensen, E. & Hafner, D.J. (1998) North American rodents. In *North American Rodents. Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan* (eds D. J. Hafner, E. Yensen & G. Kirkland, Jr), pp. 1–4. IUCN/SSC Rodent Specialist Group, Gland.
- Yensen, E., Quinney, D.L., Johnson, K., Timmerman, K. & Steenhof, K. (1992) Fire, vegetation changes, and population fluctuations of Townsend's ground squirrels. *American Midland Naturalist*, **128**, 299–312.

### Biographical sketches

Dr Giovanni Amori is a researcher at the National Research Council. His research interests include evolutionary biology, biogeography, ecology and conservation biology of European insectivores and rodents. He is author of more than 60 scientific papers, coauthor of the *Atlas of European Mammals* and has contributed a book chapter to *Ecotoxicology of Mammals*. Since 1994 he has been Chairman of the IUCN/SSC Rodent Specialist Group.

Spartaco Gippoliti's main interests are primate conservation, tropical ecology, captive breeding and zoos' role in environmental education. He has carried out primate field surveys in Ethiopia and Guinea-Bissau, and is a member of the IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group. He has published in the journals *African Primates*, *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *Oryx*, *Primate Conservation* and *Zoo Biology*. Currently he is collaborating with the IUCN/SSC Rodent Specialist Group and is compiling a database for threatened Palearctic rodents for the Evolutionary Genetics Centre of the National Research Council.