# IDENTITIES OF THE NATURAL REPRESENTATION OF THE INFINITELY BASED SEMIGROUP

#### LEONID AL'SHANSKII AND ALEXANDER KUSHKULEY

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. An equational theory of a very small semigroup may fail to be finitely presented. A well-known example of such a semigroup was studied in detail by Peter Perkins some twenty years ago. We prove that the *natural representation* of his semigroup has a finite basis of identical relations and discuss this fact in a general context of universal algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field and let  $e_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i$ ,  $j \le 2$ , be the matrix units of the algebra  $M_2(k)$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over k. These four matrix units together with the zero matrix O and the identity matrix E form the semigroup  $\Pi$  that does not possess a finite base of identities (see [1]). Nevertheless, in this paper we prove the following

**Theorem 1.** The natural representation  $id: \Pi \to M_2(k)$  of the semigroup  $\Pi$  is finitely based. A particular basis of identities of this representation consists of the identities (1)-(7).

The semigroup  $\Pi$  acts by (left) multiplications on  $M_2(k)$ . The regular representation of  $\Pi$  in its semigroup algebra splits up into the direct sum of this fourdimensional representation and two one-dimensional representations. Hence we have

**Corollary 1.** Any representation of the semigroup  $\Pi$  is finitely based.

# 2. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Identities of representations of semigroups can be naturally defined as follows (see [2]). Let  $F \equiv F(X)$ ,  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, y_1, y_2, \ldots, z_1, z_2, \ldots\}$ , be the free semigroup with the countable set of free generators X, and let kF be its semigroup algebra. Take a representation of a semigroup S by the linear transformations of a vector space V. A polynomial  $P \equiv p(x_1, \ldots, x_t) \in kF$  is said to be an identity of such  $r: S \to \text{End } V$  (of the pair (End V, S)) if  $p(r(s_1), \ldots, r(s_t)) = 0$  for all  $s_1, \ldots, s_t \in S$ .

Received by the editors June 14, 1989 and, in revised form, October 9, 1991. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03C05, 03C13, 03C60, 20M07, 20M20.

> © 1993 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

**Example.** If an identity f = g holds in a semigroup then the identity f - g holds in any of its representations (for faithful representations the converse is also true). More generally, if the universal disjunctive formula (pseudoidentity)  $w \equiv f_1 = g_1 \vee \cdots \vee f_m = g_m$  holds in a semigroup  $S(f_i, g_i \in F, i = 1, ..., m)$  then, clearly, the identities

$$u(w) = (f_1 - g_1)x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_{m-1}^{\nu_{m-1}}(f_m - g_m), \qquad \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{m-1} \in \{0, 1\},\$$

hold in the regular representation (kS, S).

In what follows the word "identity" will mean an identity of a representation. Let polynomials  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  be identities of (End V, S). Then for any endomorphisms  $\varepsilon_i: F \to F$  and any  $a_i, b_i \in kF$   $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$  the polynomial

(2.1) 
$$a_1\varepsilon_1(p_1)b_1+\cdots+a_n\varepsilon_n(p_n)b_n$$

is also an identity of (End V, S). Let  $I \subset kF$  be the set of all the identities of the pair (End V, S). Clearly, I is an ideal in kF. Moreover, it is a verbal ideal, which means that all the expressions (2.1) with  $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in I$  also belong to I. A set  $B \subset I$  is called a *basis* of the identities of (End V, S) (a basis of I) if any  $q \in I$  can be written in the form (2.1) with  $p_1, \ldots, p_n \in B$ . The problem is to identify the situations in which such a B can be finite. In this latter case, a representation (or the verbal ideal of its identities) is called *finitely based*.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Consider a monomial

(3.1) 
$$M = p_1(a_1^2 - a_1)p_2(a_2^2 - a_2) \cdots p_r(a_r^2 - a_r)p_{r+1} \in kF,$$

where  $p_1, \ldots, p_{r+1} \in F$  are possibly empty products of the squares of free variables,  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in X$   $(r \ge 0)$ . We will use the following notations:  $A(M) := \{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}, Y(M) := \{x \in X, x^2 \text{ occurs in at least one of the monomials } p_1, \ldots, p_{r+1}\}; l(M) := r; o(M) := \{x \in X, x \text{ equals some of the } a_i \text{ with } i \text{ odd}\}; e(M) := \{x \in X, x \text{ equals some of the } a_i \text{ with } i \text{ odd}\}; e(M) := \{x \in X, x \text{ equals some of the } a_i \text{ with } i \text{ even}\}.$ For  $x \in A(M)$  let  $n_x(M) := \{\text{the number of occurrences of } x^2 - x \text{ in } M\}$ . For an arbitrary  $h \in kF$  let  $X(h) := \{x \in X, x \text{ occurs in } h\}$ . Clearly,  $X(M) = A(M) \cup Y(M)$  and  $A(M) = o(M) \cup e(M)$ . The homomorphism  $kF \to M_2(k)$  induced by a map  $\sigma: X \to \Pi$  will be denoted by the same letter. Set  $U := \{E, e_{11}, e_{22}\}, N := \{e_{12}, e_{21}\}, U_0 := U \cup 0$ , and  $N_0 := N \cup 0$ .

**Lemma 1.** A map  $\sigma: X \to \Pi$  such that  $\sigma(M) \neq 0$  exists iff the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $\sigma(A(M)) \subset N$  and  $\sigma(Y(M)) \subset U$ ; in particular,  $A(M) \cap Y(M) = \emptyset$ ;

(ii)  $\sigma(o(M)) \cap \sigma(e(M)) = \emptyset$ , i.e., there are only two possibilities: either  $\sigma(o(M)) = \{e_{12}\}, \ \sigma(e(M)) = \{e_{21}\}, \ and \ \sigma(M) \in ke_{12} \oplus ke_{11} \ or \ \sigma(o(M)) = e_{12}, \ \sigma(e(M)) = e_{12}, \ and \ \sigma(M) \in ke_{21} \oplus ke_{22}; \ in particular, \ o(M) \cap e(M) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 2.** M is an identity of  $(M_2(k), \Pi)$  iff  $A(M) \cap Y(M) \neq \emptyset$  or  $o(M) \cap e(M) \neq \emptyset$ .

The proofs of these lemmas are straightforward and rely on the following trivial observation.

Remark 1. If  $s \in \Pi$  then either  $s^2 - s = 0$  ( $s \in U_0$ ) or  $s^2 = 0$  ( $s \in N_0$ ).

**Lemma 3.** The pair  $(M_2(k), \Pi)$  satisfies the following identities:

$$(1) x^3 - x^2;$$

(2) 
$$x^2y^2(x^2-x), \qquad (x^2-x)y^2x^2$$

(3) 
$$(x^2-x)y^2(x^2-x);$$

(4) 
$$(x_1^2 - x_1)y^{2\nu}(x_2^2 - x_2)(x_3^2 - x_3) - (x_3^2 - x_3)y^{2\nu}(x_2^2 - x_2)(x_1^2 - x_1);$$

(5) 
$$(x_1^2 - x_1)y^{2\nu}(x_2^2 - x_2)z^2 - z^2(x_1^2 - x_1)y^{2\nu}(x_2^2 - x_2);$$

(6) 
$$(x^2 - x)z^{2\nu}(y^2 - y)(x^2 - x)(y^2 - y) = (x^2 - x)z^{2\nu}(y^2 - y);$$

(7) 
$$x^2 y^2 = (xyx)^2 = y^2 x^2$$

(here  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  so that each of the expressions (4)–(6) represents a pair of identities).

All these identities can be easily verified with the use of Lemmas 1, 2.

*Remark* 2. It follows from (7) that the identities (2)–(6) remain valid if one substitutes any products of squares of free variables instead of  $y^2$  and  $z^2$ .

*Remark* 3. The identities  $(x^2 - x)^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , and  $x^i - x^j$ ,  $i, j \ge 2$ , follow from (1).

Denote by V the verbal ideal generated by the identities (1)-(7).

Remark 4. Let an endomorphism  $\varphi: F \to F$  be such that  $\varphi|Y(M) \setminus A(M) =$ id,  $\varphi(o(M)) = o(M)$ ,  $\varphi(e(M)) = e(M)$ . Then the identity (4) shows that  $\varphi(M) \equiv M \pmod{V}$ .

Take an identity  $f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_l) \in kF$  of  $(M_2(k), \Pi)$  and write  $x_i = x_i^2 - (x_i^2 - x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ , in order to get

(3.2) 
$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i M_i,$$

where  $\alpha_i \in k$  and  $M_i$  are monomials of the form (3.1). Assume that (3.2) is minimal, i.e.,  $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i M_i$  is not an identity of  $(M_2(k), \Pi)$  for any proper subset  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . To prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that  $f \in V$ . If n = 1 then this follows from Lemma 2 and Remarks 2, 3, and 4. So we assume that n > 1.

**Lemma 4.** For any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $X(M_i) = X(M_j);$
- (ii)  $A(M_i) \cap Y(M_i) = \emptyset$ ,  $o(M_i) \cap e(M_i) = \emptyset$ ;
- (iii)  $A(M_i) = A(M_j), Y(M_i) = Y(M_j);$
- (iv)  $o(M_i) = o(M_j)$ ,  $e(M_i) = e(M_j)$ ;
- (v)  $l(M_i) = l(M_j) \pmod{2}$ .

*Proof.* Condition (i) is obvious—it means that f is "blended" in the sense of [5, p. 15].

Condition (ii) follows from the minimality assumption and Lemma 2.

To prove (iii) suppose that  $x \in A(M_i) \setminus A(M_j)$  and let

$$f' = \sum_{x \in A(M_t)} \alpha_t M_t$$
 and  $f'' = \sum_{x \notin A(M_t)} \alpha_t M_t$ .

By Lemma 1,  $\sigma(x) \in N$  for any  $\sigma: X \to \Pi$  such that  $\sigma(f') \neq 0$ , and  $\sigma(x) \in U$  for any  $\sigma: X \to \Pi$  such that  $\sigma(f'') \neq 0$ . Hence  $\sigma(f') = 0$  or  $\sigma(f'') = 0$  for every  $\sigma: X \to \Pi$ . This contradicts the minimality assumption (note that f = f' + f''). Further, if  $o(M_i) \neq o(M_j)$  then again write

$$f = f' + f'' = \sum_{o(M_t) = o(M_i)} \alpha_t M_t + \sum_{o(M_t) \neq o(M_i)} \alpha_t M_t$$

and suppose that  $\sigma(f') \neq 0$  for some  $\sigma: X \to \Pi$ . Using (iii) and Lemma 1, one easily verifies that either  $\sigma(o(M_i)) = e_{12}$ ,  $\sigma(f') \in ke_{11} \oplus ke_{12}$ ,  $\sigma(f'') \in ke_{21} \oplus ke_{22}$  or  $\sigma(o(M_i)) = e_{21}$ ,  $\sigma(f') \in ke_{21} \oplus ke_{22}$ ,  $\sigma(f'') \in ke_{11} \oplus ke_{12}$ . Both cases contradict  $\sigma(f) = 0$ . This proves (iv). The proof of (v) is similar.

In view of Lemma 4 we can use the notation X(f), A(f), etc.

**Lemma 5.** There exists a polynomial  $f' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i M'_i$  such that  $f - f' \in V$ , f' satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 4, and, in addition,  $n_x(M'_i) = n_x(M'_j)$  for all  $x \in A(f')$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m_y = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{n_y(M_i)\}, y \in e(F)$ . Fix a variable  $x \in o(f)$ . Set

$$M_i'' = \begin{cases} M_i \prod_{v \in e(f)} [(x^2 - x)(v^2 - v)]^{m_v - n_v(M_i)} & \text{if } l(f) \text{ is even} \\ M_i \prod_{v \in e(f)} [(v^2 - v)(x^2 - x]^{m_v - n_v(M_i)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $y \in e(f)$  then, clearly,  $n_y(M''_i) = m_y$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n. Let  $m''_v = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{n_v(M''_i)\}$ ,  $v \in o(f)$ . Fix a variable  $y \in e(f)$  and again set

$$M'_{i} = \begin{cases} \prod_{v \in o(f)} [(v^{2} - v)(v^{2} - v)]^{m''_{v} - n_{v}}(M''_{i}) & \text{if } l(f) \text{ is odd,} \\ \prod_{v \in o(f)} [(v^{2} - v)(v^{2} - v)]^{m''_{v} - n_{v}(M''_{i})} & \text{if } l(f) \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

If  $x \in o(f)$  then  $n_x(M'_i) = m_x$ , i = 1, ..., n, as above. On the other hand, if  $x \in e(f) \setminus y$  then  $n_x(M'_i) = n_x(M''_i) = m_x$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n. Finally,

$$n_y(M'_i) = m_y + \sum_{v \in o(f)} (m''_v - n_v(M''_i)),$$

but

$$\sum_{v\in o(f)} n_v(M_i'') = \sum_{u\in e(f)} n_u(M_i'') + \nu = \sum_{u\in e(f)} m_u + \nu,$$

where  $\nu = 0$  if l(f) is even and  $\nu = 1$  otherwise. So we have  $n_x(M'_i) = n_x(M'_j)$  for all i, j = 1, 2, ..., n. Moreover, it follows from (6) and Remark 4 that  $M'_i \equiv M_i \pmod{V}$ . Note also that  $X(M'_i) = X(f)$ ,  $A(M'_i) = A(f)$ , etc.

**Lemma 6.** Modulo the ideal V, the identity f equals

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} M_{ij} \equiv \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} p_i (a_1^2 - a_1) q_j (a_2^2 - a_2) \cdots (a_t^2 - a_t),$$

where  $\alpha_{ij} \in k$ ,  $p_i$ ,  $q_j$  are the products of squares of the variables belonging to Y(f),  $a_r \in o(f)$  if r is odd, and  $a_r \in e(f)$  otherwise.

*Proof.* Apply (4), (5), and Remark 4 to an identity f' that satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.

Obviously  $X(p_i) \cup X(q_j) = Y(f)$  for all i, j. We may suppose also that  $\langle X(p_i), X(q_j) \rangle = \langle X(p'_i), X(q'_j) \rangle$  iff i = i', j = j'. To conclude the proof of

the theorem, we will show by induction on  $Card(X(p_i) \cap X(q_j))$  that all  $\alpha_{ij}$  in (4.3) are zeros. Let

$$\sigma_{ij}(a_r) = \begin{cases} e_{12} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ e_{21} & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \end{cases}$$
$$\sigma_{ij}(y) = \begin{cases} E & \text{if } y \in X(p_i) \cap X(q_j), \\ e_{11} & \text{if } y \in X(p_i) \setminus X(q_j), \\ e_{22} & \text{if } y \in X(q_j) \setminus X(p_i). \end{cases}$$

Note that this definition is correct because of Lemmas 4 and 5.

Suppose that  $\alpha_{rs} = 0$  if  $\operatorname{Card}(X(p_r) \cap X(q_s)) < \operatorname{Card}(X(p_i) \cap X(q_j))$ . Clearly  $\sigma_{ij}(M_{ij}) = e_{12}$  if t is odd and  $\sigma_{ij}(M_{ij}) = e_{11}$  otherwise. On the other hand, if  $\sigma_{ij}(M_{rs}) \neq 0$  then  $X(p_r) \subset X(p_i)$ ,  $X(q_s) \subset X(q_j)$ . Therefore  $X(p_r) \cap X(q_s) \subset X(p_i) \cap X(q_j)$  and by induction  $X(p_r) \cap X(q_s) = X(p_i) \cap X(q_j)$ . All this means that  $X(p_r) = X(p_i)$ ,  $X(q_s) = X(q_j)$ , and  $\langle r, s \rangle = \langle i, j \rangle$ . But  $\sigma_{ij}(f) = 0$ , and hence  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

## 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

4.1. Theorem 1 implies in particular that the infinite set of identities of the semigroup  $\Pi$  that was described in [1] can be derived from the identities (1)-(7) (in the sense of §2). It is not very difficult to show this directly.

4.2. Consider the semigroup  $\Pi' = \Pi \setminus E$ . The natural representation of this semigroup satisfies the identity

(8) 
$$y^2(x^2-x)y^2$$
.

Minor changes in the above proof of Theorem 1 (note that  $X(p_i) \cap X(q_j) = \emptyset$  because of (8)) yield

**Corollary 2.** The identities (1)-(8) constitute a basis of identities of the natural representation of  $\Pi'$ .

**Corollary 3.** All representations of  $\Pi'$  are finitely based. A finite basis of identities of the semigroup  $\Pi'$  was written down in [6].

4.3. Theorem 1 provides an illustration for a more general situation that we will briefly discuss. Let A be an algebraic system of signature  $\Omega$  ( $\Omega$ -algebra). A representation of A is a map  $r: A \to B$  into a  $\Sigma$ -algebra B such that for any  $w \in \Sigma$ 

(4.1) 
$$r(w(a_1, \ldots, a_l)) = \sigma_w(r(a_1), \ldots, r(a_l)),$$

where  $\sigma_w \in \Sigma$  and  $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in A$ . Identities of representations can be defined in this general setting (cf. [3]).

**Conjecture.** Any algebraic system possesses a faithful finitely based representation into an algebra of (reasonably) extended signature.

**Example.** Let K be an algebraically closed field. Call a  $\Sigma$ -algebra polynomial if there exists an injective map  $\varphi: A \to K^n$  such that for any  $w \in \Omega$ 

(4.2)  $\lambda_s(\varphi(w(a_1,\ldots,a_t))) = P_s^w(\lambda_1(\varphi a_1),\ldots,\lambda_n(\varphi a_1),\ldots,\lambda_n(\varphi a_t)),$ 

where  $P_s^w$  is a polynomial in *nt* variables,  $\lambda_s: K^n \to K$  is the sth coordinate function, s = 1, 2, ..., n, and  $a_1, ..., a_t \in A$ . Fix a basis  $e_1, ..., e_n \in K^n$  and consider the two-carrier algebra  $(K^n, K)$ , which apart from the usual vector space operations, includes the following:

 $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in K^n$ -nullary operations;

 $P^w: (K^n)^t \to K^n$ ,  $w \in \Omega$ —the operations defined by the right-hand sides of the relation (4.2) (i.e., the sth coordinate of  $P^w(x)$  equals  $P^w_s$  (coordinates of x));

 $\lambda_s: K^n \to K, s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ —the coordinate functions.

The map  $\varphi: A \to K^n$  satisfies the conditions (4.1) with  $\sigma_w = p^w$ . Hence one has the representation (triple)  $(A, K^n, K)$ .

**Theorem 2.** The triple  $(A, K^n, K)$  is finitely based.

We mention also some of the natural open questions that arise in connection with Theorem 2.

(a) What is the 'minimal' extension of a signature that ensures a finite basis of identities?

(b) What remains of Theorem 2 when  $K^n$  is replaced by an infinite-dimensional space?

(c) Does every semigroup (group) possess a finitely based linear representation?

4.4. It is possible that any linear representation of a finite semigroup is finitely based. We will state here without proof one partial result in this vein. The result shows that the example from  $\S2$  is a rather general one.

**Theorem 3.** Let B be a basis of pseudoidentities of the semigroup S. Then some power of any identity of the representation (kS, S) belongs to the verbal ideal generated by the set  $\{u(b), b \in B\}$ .

**Corollary.** Let S be a finite semigroup. Then the verbal ideal I of identities of its regular representation contains a finitely based (verbal) ideal  $I_0$  such that  $I/I_0$  is a nil-algebra.

*Proof.* It is well known (see, e.g., [4]) that the positive universal theory of a finite algebraic system is finitely based.

The question of whether the regular representation of the semigroup  $\Pi$  is finitely based was asked by Plotkin in the late seventies. A connection between positive universal formulas and identities of group representations was studied in a joint paper of Plotkin and Kushkuley (unpublished).

### References

- 1. Peter Perkins, Bases for equational theories of semigroups, J. Algebra 11 (1968), 298-314.
- 2. B. I. Plotkin, Varietes of representations of groups, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 32 (1977), 3-68. (Russian)
- 3. Ju. P. Razmyslov, Varieties of representations of finite dimensional algebras in prime algebras, Vestnik MGU 6 (1983), 31-37. (Russian)
- 4. P. M. Cohn, Universal algebra, Harper and Row, New York, 1965.

- 5. Nathan Jacobson, *PI-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 441, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1975.
- 6. A. N. Trahtman, A base of identities of the five-element semigroup of Brandt, Research in Modern Algebra, Sverdlovsk Univ., Sverdlovsk, 1987, pp. 147-149. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, RIGA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE, LATVIA

MATHSOFT, INC., 201 BROADWAY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139 E-mail address: alex@mathsoft.com