



Review **Immobilized Enzymes in Biosensor Applications**

Hoang Hiep Nguyen ^{1,2,†}, Sun Hyeok Lee ^{1,2,†}, Ui Jin Lee ^{1,3}, Cesar D. Fermin ⁴ and Moonil Kim ^{1,2,4,*}

- ¹ BioNanotechnology Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB), 125 Gwahangno, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 34141, Korea; nguyenhoanghiep244@gmail.com (H.H.N.); tnsgur02@kribb.re.kr (S.H.L.); uijin@kribb.re.kr (U.J.L.)
- ² Department of Nanobiotechnology, KRIBB School of Biotechnology, Korea University of Science and Technology (UST), 217 Gajeongno, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 34113, Korea
- ³ Department of Biochemistry, College of Natural Sciences, Chungnam National University, 99 Daehangno, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 34134, Korea
- ⁴ Department of Biology, College of Arts & Sciences, Tuskegee University, Tuskegee, AL 36830, USA; fermin_c@mytu.tuskegee.edu
- * Correspondence: kimm@kribb.re.kr; Tel.: +82-42-879-8447; Fax: +82-42-879-8594
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 22 November 2018; Accepted: 24 December 2018; Published: 2 January 2019



Abstract: Enzyme-based biosensing devices have been extensively developed over the last few decades, and have proven to be innovative techniques in the qualitative and quantitative analysis of a variety of target substrates over a wide range of applications. Distinct advantages that enzyme-based biosensors provide, such as high sensitivity and specificity, portability, cost-effectiveness, and the possibilities for miniaturization and point-of-care diagnostic testing make them more and more attractive for research focused on clinical analysis, food safety control, or disease monitoring purposes. Therefore, this review article investigates the operating principle of enzymatic biosensors utilizing electrochemical, optical, thermistor, and piezoelectric measurement techniques and their applications in the literature, as well as approaches in improving the use of enzymes for biosensors.

Keywords: enzyme; immobilization; biosensor; immobilized enzyme; enzyme modification

1. Introduction

A biosensor is an analytical device that functions to analyze a sample in the presence of a specific target analyte. Typically, a biosensor is constructed from a biological component, which, in other words, is called a molecular recognition element, and a physicochemical detector component or transducer. The recognition elements in a biosensor are immobilized onto the surface of transducers, and they are able to interact with target molecules without adding reagents into the sample solution. In operation, the specific interactions between the target analyte and the recognition elements would produce physicochemical changes on the transducer surface. The changes are then recognized by the transducer, and converted into measurable signals which then could be used to determine the amount of analyte that is present in the sample. Generally, biosensors are classified based on either the biological component used, such as enzymes, antibodies, nucleic acids, or cells, or by the type of transducer, such as electrochemical, optical, mass-based, or piezoelectric transducer. There is another classification method that relies on the mode of interactions between the analytes and the biological materials in a biosensor. They are of two types: catalytic biosensor, in which the interactions result in the formation of a new biochemical reaction product, and affinity biosensor, in which the interactions result in analyte binding onto the transducer surface.

Figure 1 shows the trends in the annual numbers of scientific articles covered by Scopus from 2010 to 2018 in the field of bioreceptor-based biosensors. Enzyme-based biosensors always rank first in the production of scientific articles, while the number of published articles associated with antibody-based biosensors rank second. In enzyme-based biosensors, the techniques of enzyme immobilization are highly significant, due to relative instability of the mobile enzyme, the difficulty in the active recovery of the enzyme, etc. [1]. On the other hand, the immobilized enzymes can be used repetitively and continuously, and maintain their catalytic activity with more stability than mobile enzymes [2]. In spite of a lower catalytic rate and additional treatment steps, the immobilized enzymes are widely utilized in medical and industrial areas, due to advantages such as rapid control by removing the enzymes, easy separation of the enzymes from the product, and high stability [3]. The approaches of the immobilized enzymes are various; adsorption, covalent bonding, entrapment, and cross-linking. First, adsorption is one of the most straightforward immobilization methods, and it is based on weak bonds such as Van der Waal's forces, electrostatic, and hydrophobic interactions [4]. The advantage of the adsorption is simple and inexpensive, due to the non-necessity of an additional reagent, and it is less destructive to enzyme activity than other methods. However, the enzymes immobilized by this method are easily deposited by changes of experimental conditions, such as temperature, pH, or ionic strength, owing to their weak bonding [5]. Moreover, the non-specific adsorption of other substrates onto a surface may lead to contamination, and interference to the signal. Second, covalent bonding is one of the most widely used methods, and it offers stable complexes between enzymes and supports. The side chains, such as lysine (ε -amino group), cysteine (thiol group), and aspartic and glutamic acids (carboxylic group) in the enzyme are usually used for the formation of covalent bonding [6] and supports form a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) prior to a coupling reaction with enzymes. The covalently immobilized enzymes possess superiorly strong bindings over adsorption, so this method can provide more stably immobilized enzymes. The high uniformity of SAM and the good control of the immobilized enzyme amount are also advantages for covalent immobilization. Despite several strengths, the formation of covalent bonding affects the activity of the immobilized enzymes, and large amounts of bioreagent are required for this method. Third, entrapment is not directly attached, but entrapped in polymers, which retains a space where substrates and products freely disperse. Polymerization is carried out in a mixture of enzymes and monomers for the entrapment of enzymes. The entrapment is not chemical interaction, unlike covalent bonding, and gives the enzymes high stability and the minimization of leaching. However, the gel matrix can interfere with the deep diffusion of substrates to the active site of the enzyme, and this method also has a low loading capacity of the enzymes. Finally, the intermolecular cross-linkages between the enzymes form a three-dimensional enzyme complex through covalent bonding. To form cross-linking between enzymes, free amino groups of lysine residue in enzymes react with a reagent such as glutaraldehyde (GTA). The immobilization approach by cross-linking improves the efficiency and stability, because of highly strong and stable bonding between enzymes. However, the usage of cross-linking reagents such as GTA can lead to a loss of activity from severe modifications of the enzymes due to covalent bonding [3].

Biosensors that use immobilized enzymes belong to a catalytic type of biosensor in which the transducer surface is immobilized with enzymes that act as a bridge between the transducer and the analyte. For the immobilization benefits mentioned in the above paragraph, immobilized enzyme-based biosensors have been used widely in various fields of applications, such as in biomedical applications [7,8], the detection of environmental pollutants [9,10], food safety monitoring [11,12], and industrial bioprocess monitoring [13,14]. This review paper on the use of immobilized enzymes in biosensors, will begin with a section describing the types of enzyme-based biosensors. In the next section, approaches in improving the use of enzymes for biosensors will be discussed with regards to enzyme modification by biological and chemical methods, and the multi-enzyme systems used in biosensors.



Figure 1. Trends in the annual numbers of published articles regarding bioreceptor-based biosensors (2010–2018). The horizontal axis represents the publication year, and the vertical axis shows the annual numbers of articles on scientific research.

2. Enzyme-Based Biosensors

A biosensor is generally made up of three components; a biological recognition element, a transducer, and a signal processing system. In an enzyme-based biosensor, the enzyme is utilized as the recognition element, and is immobilized on/within the support matrix on the transducer surface in order to maintain enzyme activity. The advantages of using enzymes, such as the high specificity of enzyme–substrate interactions and the high turnover rates of biocatalysts (i.e., the product of catalyst activity and lifetime), have made enzyme-based biosensors one of the most extensively studied areas. The sensing principle of the enzyme-based biosensor is to detect the presence of certain analytes by measuring changes such as: proton concentration (H⁺), the release or uptake of gases (i.e., CO₂, NH₃, O₂, etc.), light emission, absorption or reflectance, heat emission, and so forth, which occurs during substrate consumption or product formation of an enzymatic reaction. The transducer then converts those changes into measurable signals (electrical, optical or thermal signals) that are used to identify analytes of interest. In this review paper, enzyme-based biosensors are divided into several categories based on the transducer types: electrochemical, optical, thermal/calorimetric, and piezoelectric biosensors.

2.1. Enzyme-Based Electrochemical Biosensors

Electrochemical biosensors are one of the most extensively used biosensors whose working mechanisms are based upon the electrochemical properties of transducers and analytes. Since the early 1960s, this type of biosensor has been developed after the first concept of the glucose enzyme was proposed [15]. Basically, changes in physicochemical properties of electroactive substances such as: current, voltage, resistance, or superficial charge, produced by redox reactions occurring on the transducer electrode surface, are output signals. The use of electrochemical biosensors provides advantages such as simplicity, rapidity, low cost, and high sensitivity. The most common types of transducers used in electrochemical biosensors are amperometry, potentiometry, conductometry, and impedimetry.

2.1.1. Amperometric Biosensors

In an enzyme-based amperometric biosensor, the measured signal is the current, which is produced by the oxidation or reduction of electroactive species at the working electrode (i.e., gold, carbon, platinum, etc.). The magnitude of the current, which is produced at the surface of the working electrode, is proportional to the concentration of analytes present in the test solution upon addition of the substrate, and is monitored when a fixed potential is applied between the two electrodes. Enzyme-based amperometric biosensors have been widely studied, due to advantages such as ease in miniaturization, robustness, and the capability to operate with small sample volumes of rather complex matrices [16,17]. Amperometric enzyme biosensors have been developed through three generations, according to the electron transfer methods that have been used for the measurement of the biochemical reaction [18]. Nowadays, several kinds of commercial enzyme-based amperometric biosensors are accessible for measuring glucose, lactate, alcohol, etc., by using oxidases (i.e., glucose oxidase, lactate oxidase, alcohol oxidase, etc.) that oxidize their substrates producing hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) which is detected by the electrode.

Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of these different approaches in the development of enzyme-based amperometric biosensors. In a first-generation biosensor, the enzyme is immobilized onto a transducer surface and analyte substrate is monitored through the measurement of the enzymatic product (i.e., H₂O₂, NADH, etc.) or on the monitoring of the consumption of the co-factor, such as oxygen. The main advantages of first-generation amperometric biosensors are their high sensitivity and the low response time (around one second). However, drawbacks such as the requirement of coenzymes and the high potential for operation, fouling transducers surface due to prolonged use, and an error that relies on electron acceptor concentration (such as dissolved molecular oxygen concentration) may limit its usage in biological systems [18–20]. In order to eliminate oxygen dependency, second-generation biosensors use a mediator (electron acceptor), instead of oxygen, to transport the electrons to the electrode. The mediator is small redox active molecules (i.e., ferrocene derivatives, ferrocyanide, conducting organic salts, and quinones) that react with the enzyme-active site, and then with the electrode surface, thus transferring electrons to produce a current signal that is proportional to the detected analyte concentration. In this principle, the mediator is reduced by the electron generated from the enzymatic reaction, and then is finally oxidized at the electrode, resulting in electron transfer to the electrode. Although the second-generation biosensor is oxygen-independent, it suffers from mediator leaching and interference, due to redox mediator selectivity [18,19,21]. Hence, the third-generation biosensor was developed to solve that issue. In third-generation biosensors, the electron transfer between enzyme and electrode occurs in a direct manner without mediators or co-substrates during the catalytic transformation of the substrate to the product. The redox enzyme plays a role as an electrocatalyst that facilitates the electron transfer between the electrode and the substrate molecule. To improve the efficiency of the electron transfer, charge-transfer complexes such as tetrathiafulvalene-tetracyanoquinodimethane are often in use [22]. Third-generation biosensors offer superior selectivity because they are able to operate in a potential range that is closer to the redox potential of the enzyme [23,24]. Recently, an interesting study regarding an amperometric H_2O_2 biosensor based on artificial heme enzyme mimics by Palanisamy et al. was reported [25]. The researchers fabricated a novel H_2O_2 biosensor using a hemin-immobilized reduced graphene oxide-cellulose microfiber (RGO-CMF) composite. The sensor exhibited a linear response to hydrogen peroxide over a concentration range from 0.06 to 540.6 µM, with a lower detection limit of 16 nM. The RGO-CMF composite may be useful for the immobilization of redox active enzymes, and the fabrication of biosensors. It is for that reason that enzyme-catalyzed reactions are less exposed to interfering reactions. In addition, the sensitivity of this kind of biosensor can be also improved, due to higher integration between the biomolecule and the electrode surface than the previous generations.





First generation

Second generation

Third generation

Figure 2. Schematic illustration of first-, second-, and third-generation amperometric enzymatic biosensors. First generation is based on the electroactivity of the receptor substrate or the product. Second generation is based on the use of artificial redox mediators. Third generation is based on the direct electron transfer between the redox-active biomolecule and the electrode. Adapted from [18].

2.1.2. Potentiometric Biosensors

Since many enzyme reactions involve in the release or absorption of hydrogen ions, which result in the changes of ionic concentration, an ion-selective electrode could be used to monitor these processes. In a potentiometric biosensor, the difference in potential (voltage) between the working electrode and the reference electrode is considered as a signal that is measured under equilibrium conditions (in which there is no current flow), in order not to cause interference with the reaction. The measured signals form a function of target analyte concentrations in a logarithmic manner, and are used for quantification [26]. Potentiometric biosensor classification: Ion-selective electrode (ISE), enzyme field-effect transistor (EnFET) and light-addressable potentiometric sensor (LAPs).

Ion Selective Electrodes

An ion-selective electrode is used in a potentiometric sensor, in order to convert the activity of a specific ion in test solution into a voltage (potential), which can be measured by a pH/mV meter. The electrode is usually composed of two components: (1) An ion-specific membrane that provides a preference permeability for specific ions in the analyte solution, which contains a variety of interfering ions, and (2) a separate or integrated reference electrode. After ion penetration, an electrochemical equilibrium is established, and a difference in potentials is formed between the two phases (the reaction solution and the inner/measuring solution). Owing to the membrane specificity, this potential difference is controlled only by the activities of a specific ion in these phases. There are five main types of ion selective electrodes, which are classified by the nature of the membrane material used to construct the electrode; these are: glass membrane electrode, solid-state membrane electrode, polymer membrane electrode, gas-permeable membrane electrode, enzyme electrode. The differences in membrane construction are the features that make an electrode selective for a particular ion. Among the types of electrodes, the most commonly used electrodes in enzyme biosensors are gas-sensing electrodes and enzyme electrodes. Gas-sensing electrodes have gas-permeable membranes separating an enzyme reaction solution from an internal solution. When gas molecules diffuse across the gas-permeable membrane, they hydrolyze in the thin film of internal solution, leading to variations of some ion concentrations (generally H⁺), which results in a pH change that could be detected by a pH electrode. Therefore, the potential changes are directly related to the concentration of gas existing

in the reaction solution. Common gas-sensing electrodes include membranes that are specific for ammonia, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen oxide gas.

Figure 3 shows a brief schematic illustration of an ion-selective electrode [27]. Potentiometric biosensors such as ISE can be useful for screening biological samples, due to the advantages of simple design, construction, operation, high selectivity, fast response time, and possible interfacing with automated systems [28]. Enzyme-based ion-selective electrodes are commonly fabricated by immobilizing an enzyme in a membrane that is coated on the surface of an appropriate electrode, such as a pH electrode or a gas-permeable membrane electrode, to monitor the reaction that occurs. For example, an enzyme electrode for β -D-glucose detection can be fabricated by immobilizing glucose oxidase onto either a pH electrode (which measures the liberated gluconic acid), a Pt electrode (which measures the H₂O₂ release or the O₂ uptake), an iodide membrane electrode (which senses the I-uptake), or onto a gas membrane, or a Clark-type O₂ electrode (which measures the O₂ uptake). Among these options, the commonly chosen approach is to measure either the O₂ uptake or the H₂O₂ release.



Figure 3. Schematic diagram of a conventional ion selective electrode. The electrochemical cell is made of Ag/AgCl|internal filling solution | polyvinyl chloride (PVC) membrane | analyte solution | Hg/Hg₂Cl₂, KCl (saturated). Adapted from [27].

Enzyme Field-Effect Transistors

An EnFET sensor is constructed based on an ion-sensitive field-effect transistor (ISFET), which is built by separating the metal gate of a classical MOSFET (metal oxide semiconductor field-effect transistor) from the device, and reconstructing the gate in the form of a reference electrode inserted in an aqueous solution, which is separated with the gate oxide by an enzymatic membrane [29]. When such ISFETs are coupled with the immobilization of a thin enzyme membrane at the gate surface, they become EnFETs. The types of membranes were relatively similar to ion-selective electrode classification, stated in the previous section. The EnFET sensor originates from a pH-sensitive detector, in which the enzymatic catalytic activity is sensitive to the pH level. Therefore, the resulting concentration of protons (H⁺) is directly proportional to that of the substrate. The enzyme-modified FET is operationally based on an enzymatic reaction where the enzyme specifically catalyzes the conversion of a substrate to its product. The EnFET device can be useful for both quantitative and qualitative measurement of enzyme-substrate reactions. These enzymatic reactions influence the presence of the accumulated charge carriers on the gate surface in proportion to the quantity of analyte present in a sample. The accumulation of charge carriers on the gate electrode occur according to the corresponding catalyzed reactions until the substrate molecules are used up. A measurable change in the electrical signal between the source (S) and the drain (D) can be caused by the enzymatic reactions. The most common EnFET device may be a FET sensor for glucose detection, in which

the gate electrodes are modified with glucose oxidase to produce H_2O_2 according to: glucose + O_2 + glucose oxidase $\rightarrow H_2O_2$ + gluconic acid. The working principle of an EnFET is as follows: During the enzymatic reaction in the enzyme membrane, protons are generated or consumed, leading to a change in pH that could be measured by using a pH-electrode as a reference electrode [30]. The change of pH solution can be correlated to the analyte concentration. A large number of EnFET biosensors have been created after the first report for the determination of penicillin, in which the practical applicability of an ISFET as a pH-based EnFET was evaluated [31].

Yoon et al. reported a liquid ion-gated EnFET sensor constructed on the basis of the chemical functionality of carboxylated polypyrrole nanotubes (CPNTs) for glucose detection [32]. Figure 4 shows a schematic reaction steps for the fabrication of enzyme-functionalized CPNTs. In that study, enzyme-functionalized polypyrrole nanotubes were used as the conductive channel, providing real-time response and high sensitivity. Also, MnO₂ nanoparticle- and SiO₂ nanoparticle-based EnFET sensors were developed for the detection of glucose [33,34]. So far, EnFETs have been developed for monitoring of a wide variety of anaytes such as urea [35,36], pesticides [37,38], phenolic compounds [39], steroidal glycoalkaloids [40], and creatinine [41]. The use of EnFET in sensing applications has certain advantages of miniaturization, excellent activity, multi-analyte detection potential, low cost, and high sensitivity; however, buffer compositions and conditions such as ionic strength, ionic concentration, buffer capacity, and buffer pH may greatly affect the sensor performance, as the sensor operation is heavily dependent on pH changes.



Figure 4. Schematic diagram of reaction steps for the fabrication of polypyrrole nanotube-based EnFET. Step 1: Microelectrode substrate; Step 2: Aminosilane-treated substrate; Step 3: Immobilization of the nanotubes onto a substrate; Step 4: Binding of glucose oxidase (GOx) to the nanotubes. Adapted from [32]. APS: 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane; CPNTs: carboxylated polypyrrole nanotubes; DMT-MM: 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride.

Light-Addressable Potentiometric Sensors (LAPS)

In LAPS, a modulated light from a light-emitting diode (LED) is used for semiconductor activation instead of applying an alternating current (AC) voltage. Under illumination, electron-hole pairs are generated on parts of the semiconductor surface. As a result, a photocurrent is produced and measured under a fixed bias voltage. The LAPS is a semiconductor-based chemical sensor which is built following the electrolyte–insulator–semiconductor (EISC) structure [42]. For example, a pH-sensitive LAPS that uses LEDs in combination with silicon as semiconductor and SiO_2/Al_2O_3 as pH-sensitive insulator was developed to build an EISC-based sensor for the detection of urea, penicillin, and glucose [43]. LAPS was also used for the monitoring of enzyme activity and inhibitors. For example, biotinylated acetylcholinesterase (AChE (EC 3.1.1.7)) from eel was immobilized to a biotinylated cellulose nitrate membrane, and enzyme activity was determined as a function of substrate concentration and the amount of immobilized enzyme [44]. The sensor was able to quantify concentrations of substrate

such as acetylcholine diisopropylfluorophosphate (DFP) and echothiophate in the range of 1–10 ppb. In addition, LAPS was also verified for its capability to detect numerous other insecticides [45].

The LAPS sensor is a photoelectric semiconductor that is sensitive to surface potential changes. Thus, responses that lead to the changes of surface potential, such as cell membrane potential changes [46], ion concentrations [47], and charged molecules [48] can be measured by using LAPS. Recently, Du et al. reported the extracellular recording of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) release via a LAPS chip functionalized with an ATP-sensitive DNA aptamer (Figure 5) [49]. In that study, changes in extracellular membrane potential were also monitored by recording the fluctuation of the LAPS photocurrent. The LAPS biosensor can be useful for investigating biological signal transduction at the single-cell level.



Figure 5. Schematic diagram showing the mechanisms of the LAPS chip for the extracellular recording of cell membrane potential changes of single taste bud cells in response to bitter substances. Adapted from [49]. LAPS: light-addressable potentiometric sensor.

2.1.3. Conductometry

Enzymatic reactions often involve changes in the ionic concentration, which alter the electrical conductivity of the electrolyte solution. This solution conductivity can be measured through a conductometric biosensor by applying a potential difference between two parallel electrodes. As a consequence, ion mobility is increased due to the movement of negatively charged ions toward the anode, and positively charged ions toward the cathode. The conductivity of the electrolyte solution solely depends on the ion concentration and mobility, thus this measurement could be useful in cases where there are none or negligible electrochemical reactions occurring on the electrodes. In a similar manner to other electrode for an amperometric biosensor are suitable for conductometric transducers. For example, the electrode surface was immobilized, with the enzyme included inside an albumin gel film by the means of covalent attachment using glutaraldehyde [50]. Also, the enzyme was immobilized on an electrode surface by using the sol-gel entrapment method [51], covalent binding with a collagen membrane [52], electrochemical polymerization [53], or cross-linking with bovine serum albumin using glutaraldehyde [54]. Table 1 summarizes the types of substrates and immobilized enzymes, and the immobilization method for conductometric enzyme biosensors.

Substrates	Immobilized Enzymes	Immobilization Methods	Ref.	
Urea	Urease	Cross-linking (GTA ⁽⁴⁾)	[55]	
Creatinine	Creatinine deiminase	Adsorption	[56]	
L-asparagine	L-asparaginase	Cross-linking (GTA)	[57]	
Glucose	GOx ⁽¹⁾	Covalent bonding (EDC ⁽⁵⁾ -NHS ⁽⁶⁾)	[58]	
Lactose	GOx, β-galactosidase	Cross-linking (GTA)	[59]	
Hydrogen peroxide	Peroxidase	Cross-linking (GTA)	[60]	
D amina acida	D-amino acid oxidase	Cross-linking (GTA)	[50]	
D-annino acids		Covalent bonding (Hydrazine)	[32]	
Organophosphates	AChE ⁽²⁾ , BChE ⁽³⁾	Cross-linking (GTA)	[61,62]	
Acetylcholine	AChE	Cross-linking (GTA)	[63,64]	
Butyrylcholine	BChE	Cross-linking (GTA)	[63,64]	
Heavy metal ions	Urease	Cross-linking (GTA)	[65]	
Uric acid	Uricase	_	[66]	
Formaldehyde	Alcohol oxidase	Cross-linking (GTA)	[67]	
Triazine herbicides	Tyrosinase	Cross-linking (GTA)	[68]	
Carbamate pesticides	AChE	Cross-linking (GTA)	[69]	

Table 1. Summary of substrates, immobilized enzymes, and immobilization methods of conductometric enzyme biosensors.

⁽¹⁾ GOx, Glucose oxidase; ⁽²⁾ AChE, Acetylcholinesterase; ⁽³⁾ BChE, Butyrylcholinesterase; ⁽⁴⁾ GTA, Glutaraldehyde;

⁽⁵⁾ EDC, 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide; ⁽⁶⁾ NHS, N-Hydroxysuccinimide.

2.1.4. Impedimetric Enzyme Biosensors

In an electrochemical impedimetric-based biosensor, the impedance of the electrode is the measurable response. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is employed for investigating the changes in interfacial properties, owing to bio-recognition events occurring at the modified surfaces. The obtained impedance spectrum could then be used to determine quantitative parameters of electrochemical processes. In enzyme-based biosensors, this impedance measurement technique is less frequently used in comparison with potentiometric and amperometric techniques, due to the time-consumption in the record of a full impedance spectrum within a broad region of frequencies. In addition, in the EIS technique, several requirements such as linearity, stability, and causality are met to obtain a valid impedance spectrum. Hence, EIS techniques are commonly used as characterizable methods for most of the enzyme-based impedimetric biosensors. Shervedani et al. developed an impedimetric biosensor for the determination of glucose based on EIS measurements [70]. In this method, glucose oxidase (GOx (EC 1.1.3.4)) was immobilized onto the SAM of a mercaptopropionic acid (MPA)-modified gold electrode (Au-MPA-GOx SAMs). Parabenzoquinone (PBQ) was used as an electron mediator that is reduced to hydroquinone (H_2Q) , which in turn is oxidized at the Au electrode in the diffusion layer. The EIS measurements showed that the increase in the glucose concentration corresponds to a decrease in the faradaic charge transfer resistance (Rct) as a result of an increase in the diffusion current density of the H₂Q oxidation. Glucose is quantified according to a linear function of sensor responses (1/Rct) and glucose concentration in solution. The nondestructive and straightforward method showed a dynamic range of glucose determination, with a detection limit of 15.6 µM. Recently, Zehani et al. reported a new impedimetric biosensor system devoted to environmental applications, utilizing immobilized lipase from Candida rugosa (a CRL-microbial source) and lipase from porcine pancreas (PPL-animal source) for the detection of diazinon in an aqueous medium [71]. The bioselective enzyme membranes were fabricated by the functionalization of gold microelectrodes with a SAM of thioacid, and the enzyme and bovine serum albumine (BSA) were cross-linked by using glutaraldehyde (GA). Upon increasing the concentrations of diazinon, the CRL biosensor showed total impedance decreases, from 2 to 50 µM. A saturation effect is observed for diazinon concentrations of higher than 50 µM. The two biosensors using two types of lipase could both be used for diazinon detection in a wide range of linearity of up to 50 μ M, with a detection limit of 10 nM for the CRL biosensor, and 0.1 μ M for the PPL biosensor. In addition, the sensors

showed good accuracy and reproducibility, as well as good storage and stability for 25 days under 4 $^\circ C$ storage conditions.

More recently, a novel lactate impedimetric bienzymetic biosensor based on lactate dehydrogenase and pyruvate oxidase was developed by Chan et al. (Figure 6) [72]. The biosensors exhibited a high operational and storage stability, and high selectivity, with the detection limits being 17 and 20 μ M for the lactate dehydrogenase (LDH (EC 1.1.1.27)) layer and pyruvate oxidase (PyrOx (EC 1.2.3.3)) layer, respectively. The determination of L-lactate in complex matrices showed an applicability of the impedimetric enzyme-based biosensor for food quality analysis or clinical diagnosis. Table 2 is a brief summary of the types of analytes, immobilized enzymes, the immobilization method, and the limits of detection (LODs) of impedimetric enzyme biosensors.



Figure 6. Schematic representation of an L-lactate selective impedimetric biosensor based on a LDH/ PyrOx bioselective membrane. Adapted from [72]. PyrOx: pyruvate oxidase; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; BSA: bovine serum albumin; GA: glutaraldehyde; NAD⁺: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide.

Table 2. Summary of analytes, immobilized enzymes, immobilization methods, and LODs of impedimetric enzyme biosensors.

Analytes	Immobilized Enzymes	Immobilization Methods	LOD ⁽⁸⁾	Ref.
H ₂ O ₂	CAT ⁽¹⁾	Adsorption	0.025 nM	[73]
Glucose	GOx ⁽²⁾	Covalent bonding (EDC ⁽⁴⁾ -NHS ⁽⁵⁾)	15.6 µM	[70]
	GOx	Adsorption	1 mM	[74]
Glutamate	GLOD (3)	Cross-linking (GTA (6))	20 µM	[75]
Alcohol	Alcohol oxidase	Electrochemical polymerization (aniline)	-	[76]
Cyanide	Glycerol catalase	Photopolymerization (PVA-SbQ ⁽⁷⁾)	4 μΜ	[77]
Urea	Urease	Covalent bonding (Eudragit S-100, carbodiimide)	0.02 M	[78]
Diazinon	Lipase	Cross linking (GTA)	10 nM	[71]
Trichlorfon	Butyrylcholinesterase	Adsorption	0.1 ppm	[79]

⁽¹⁾ CAT, Catalyst; ⁽²⁾ GOx, Glucose oxidase; ⁽³⁾ GLOD, Glutamate oxidase; ⁽⁴⁾ EDC, 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide; ⁽⁵⁾ NHS, *N*-Hydroxysuccinimide; ⁽⁶⁾ GTA, Glutaraldehyde; ⁽⁷⁾ PVA-SbQ, Poly(vinyl alcohol) bearing photopolymerizable styrylpyridinium groups; ⁽⁸⁾ LOD, Limit of detection.

2.2. Enzyme-Based Optical Biosensors

Enzyme-based biosensors using optical transducers have been evolved over nearly half a century. The optical transducers of enzyme-based biosensors measure changes in optical properties such as fluorescence intensity, light absorption, reflectance, chemiluminescence, evanescent wave, reflective index, and Raman scattering, resulting from the interaction of a biocatalyst with a target analyte. One of the earliest examples of an optical biosensor for clinical applications is a test strip for glucose in urine, commercialized in 1957 [80]. The working principle of the sensor utilized a cellulose pad coimmobilized with GOx and peroxidase in a cascade manner. Firstly, GOx catalyzes the oxidation of glucose to gluconic acid and hydrogen peroxide. The second immobilized peroxidase enzyme then catalyzes the reaction between the formed hydrogen peroxide and orthotolidine, to yield a deep blue-colored product. The change in blue color could be visually determined by the eye, and was used as a semiquantitative measurement of glucose concentration in urine.

2.2.1. Absorbance/Reflectance Transitions

In a light absorbance-based enzyme biosensor, reactions occurring on the transducer surface lead to changes in the chemical environment, which could modify the light absorption properties of the biorecognition element at specific wavelengths. A single fiber or fiber bundle is commonly used to bring light to the analyte-catalyst transducer surface. Throughout the enzymatic reactions, the transmitted or reflected light is returned to the detector via fiber(s), and is measured as a signal to monitor the modifications induced by the recognition element, analyte, or the product of the enzymatic reactions. An absorbance-based biosensor for glucose quantification using two enzymes, GOx, and horseradish peroxidase (HRP (EC 1.11.1.7)) was fabricated by an enzyme entrapped in a polyacrylamide gel [81]. Upon exposure to glucose, the reaction between HRP and H_2O_2 yielded some intermediate species, which showed different absorption spectra compared to HRP (absorbance peak of 424 nm). The reasons for this phenomena are attributed to changes in the oxidation state of the HRP's heme group during an oxidative reaction. This signal change then could be used for H_2O_2 determination, and the substrate involved in the previous reaction can also be quantified. The sensor was able to quantify the synthetic serum glucose concentration in fruit juices, ranging from 1.5 to 300 mM, with a long-term stability of at least six months. The authors also tested the sensor's capability to work in whole blood (after dilution) [82]. The sensor displayed a long-term stability of over 30 months, and more than 200 measurements, with a response time in the range from 10 s to 5 min, and a dynamic range of up to 2 mM, by bubbling oxygen through the solution. An optical biosensor based on a metal-chelate nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) affinity immobilization method was developed by using immobilized methyl parathion hydrolase (EC 3.1.8.1) [83]. The operating principle of the biosensor is based on the absorbance measurement of the yellow enzymatic product (p-nitrophenol), which shows absorption at a wavelength of 405 nm, resulting from the catalysis of colorless methyl parathion by methyl parathion hydrolase [84,85]. In a different work, an optical biosensor for the quantification of nitrite in water was developed by Rosa et al. [86]. It is based on the measurement of the optical reflectance of cytochrome cd1 nitrite reductase immobilized in controlled pore glass (CPG) beads. When nitrite reversibly binds to the reduced form and oxidizes the enzyme, spectroscopic changes were induced and measured as signals. The biosensor shows a sensitive response to increased concentrations of nitrite in solution, especially at 460 nm, with a corresponding detection limit of 0.93 μM.

2.2.2. Fluorescence

Many enzyme-based optical biosensors have relied on the detection of a fluorescent signal from an enzymatic reaction in which fluorescence signal changes may be the result of the consumption of a fluorescent substance, fluorescent product formation, or a secondary fluorescent reporter signal change, due to product formation [87]. These signal changes correspond to the initial reaction rate from which analyte concentration could be determined via Michaelis–Menten equations. The first approach in developing this type of biosensor is based on the intrinsic fluorescent property of enzyme. All enzymes are fluorescent in the UV region of the spectrum, due to the presence of the three fluorescent amino acids—phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan—in their structures [88]. A biosensor for this approach is based on the fluorescence quenching or fluorescence increase of the enzyme or co-enzyme molecules upon the formation of an enzyme-substrate complex. For example, Hussain et al. immobilized yeast hexokinase in a silica sol-gel, and observed up to 25% quenching of fluorescence at 330 nm on addition of glucose [89]. Yeast hexokinase enzyme has intrinsic fluorescence (ex~295 nm, em~330 nm), with each monomer subunit containing two lobes with a cleft in the middle. The fluorescence quenching effect is proposed to occur, due to changes in subunit molecule conformation; upon glucose binding to the enzyme active site, the two lobes in the cleft move closer together, which results in a quenching of fluorescence [90]. An in vivo fluorosensor was developed based on this scheme, by applying a glucose-permeable membrane on the enzyme layer [91], the sensor showed a linear range of glucose detection of up to 20 mM. The main drawback of using the fluorescence method of the intrinsic enzyme is that the excitation and emission wavelengths of the enzymes are limited within the UV region of the spectrum. This problem was then resolved by covalently bonding a fluorophore such as a coumarine derivative [92] or a fluorescein derivative [93] to the enzyme. The fluorescence changes during the enzymatic reaction were used for the batch determination of glucose [94], total cholesterol [95], and bilirubin [96]. As an example, Sanz et al. described an enzymatic fluorometric sensor for glucose determination in drinks [93]. The sensor was fabricated by immobilizing glucose oxidase, which is chemically modified with a fluorescein derivative (GOx-FS), in a polyacrylamide polymer as means of entrapment (photopolymerization). During the enzymatic reaction, changes in the fluorescence intensity of the GOx-FS were measured and correlated to the glucose concentration. Another approach employs the intrinsic fluorescence properties of enzymatic reaction cofactors such as nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (phosphate) hydrogen (NAD(P)H) or flavine adenine dinucleotides (FADs). NADH has a strong absorbance at an absorption peak maximum of 340 nm, and a fluorescence emission peak at 450 nm, whereas NAD⁺ has no absorbance and emission capabilities at these wavelengths. The optical transducer can monitor either the absorption change at 340–360 nm, or the fluorescence emission change at 450 nm, and the signal is then correlated to the concentration of the target analytes. Biosensors built on this principle have been developed for the monitoring of glucose, cholesterol or L-amino acids [97], glucose-6-phosphate [98], sorbitol [99], glutamate [100], pyruvate or L-lactate. In a different approach, a fluorescent inhibitor is used to specifically bind to an enzyme in the presence of a specific cofactor. For example, Thompson and Jones employed this approach for sensing Zn²⁺, based on the specific binding of a dansylamide inhibitor to a Zn^{2+} cofactor [101]. Firstly, Zn^{2+} binds to the active site of carbonic anhydrase (EC 4.2.1.1); following that, dansylamyde binds to zinc. The binding results in an emission of a blue fluorescence only when zinc is present in the active site. Meanwhile, in the absence of zinc, dansylamyde does not bind to the enzyme active site, and thus exhibits weak fluorescence in the buffer. The approach was used to build a fiber-optics-based sensor for Zn^{2+} determination in a concentration range of 50 to 1000 nM.

2.2.3. Luminescence

Luminescence is a phenomenon that occurs when an excited molecule emits light while returning to the ground state. The emitted light has a longer wavelength and a lower energy compared to the absorbed light [102]. In an enzymatic reaction, the presence of molecular oxygen would induce photoluminescence quenching of such excitable molecules by a radiationless deactivation process involving molecular interaction between oxygen and the fluorophore. Typical fluorophores (probes) include luminescent complexes of ruthenium [103], platinum [104], or palladium [105], which are strongly quenched by oxygen. Hence, the most widely used approach in luminescent enzyme-based biosensors is the measurement of oxygen consumption in fluorescence quenching by the means of luminescence intensity or lifetime measurement. One example of this type is

a biosensor developed for glucose monitoring [106]. Sensitive optical coatings are formed from a commercial inorganic–organic hybrid polymer combined with a ruthenium complex and GOx. Bioluminescence, as a form of chemiluminescence, refers to the production and emission of light by living organisms such as fireflies and glowworms. In enzyme-based biosensors, luciferase enzyme is the most commonly employed enzyme for sensing based on bioluminescence. Gautier et al. [107] have investigated the use of luminescent enzyme systems linked to optical transducers for the determination of NADH, sorbitol, ethanol, and oxaloacetate at the nanomolar level. The multi-enzyme system was composed of a bacterial luminescence enzyme (bacterial luciferase (EC 1.14.14.3)) coimmobilized with other NAD(P)H-dependent enzymes such as sorbitol dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.14), alcohol dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.1), and malate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.37) covalently attached on preactivated nylon membranes, which were attached to the end of a fiber-optic bundle and placed in a flow-through cell. The NADH formed by the reaction of the analyte with NAD⁺ in presence of the dehydrogenase enzyme was detected by using the bacterial luminescence fiber-optic sensor. In luminesensors, chemiluminescence is also applied to build enzyme-based biosensors. Chemiluminescence is a phenomenon resulted from the oxidation of certain substances, usually O_2 or H_2O_2 , to produce light without exciting illumination. The typical chemiluminescence reaction of luminol (5-amino-2,3-dihydro-I,4 phthalazinedione) with H₂O₂ formed during catalytic oxidation, in the presence of excess HRP, has been used to monitor various analytes such as glucose [108], phenolic compounds [109], glutamine [110], and hydrogen peroxide [111]. A chemiluminescence (CL) flow-through biosensor for glucose with eggshell membrane as an enzyme immobilization platform was developed by Li et al. [108]. The researchers developed a novel chemiluminescence flow-through biosensor for the detection of glucose by using HRP attached onto the eggshell membrane by chemical cross-linking with glutaraldehyde. The glucose was transformed to D-gluconic acid and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) by GOx, and then CL emission occurred through the oxidation of luminol by H_2O_2 in response to HRP. The linear range and detection limit of the proposed biosensor were from 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-4} M, and 5×10^{-7} M, respectively. The biosensor based on CL displayed maintenance of good stability at 4 °C over a 5-month period, and was also expanded to measure glucose in human serum.

Recently, a porous silicon photoluminescence-based enzyme sensor for glucose detection was reported by Syshchyk et al. [112]. The luminescent biosensors for glucose, urea and heavy metals detection are schematized in Figure 7. Enzymatic reactions (i.e., urea–urease and glucose–glucose oxidase) were utilized for the direct determination of glucose and urea, by changing the optical properties of nanoporous silicon. The change in the photoluminescence of porous silicon occurred in response to urease or glucose oxidase. The photoluminescence intensity upshifts or downshifts by adding substrates to the sensor layer at various pHs. The heavy metal ions as enzyme inhibitors cause the restoration of photoluminescence quantum yield of the porous silicon through the interruption of the enzymatic reaction.



Figure 7. Schematic diagram of luminescent biosensors based on glucose oxidase or urease for the detection of glucose, urea, and heavy metals. Adapted from [112].

2.2.4. SPR-Type Biosensors

Another interesting approach for enzyme-based optical transducers is the use of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) technique, which is based on the detection of changes in refractive index/light incident angles when biomolecules bind to the sensor surface. The sensor surface normally comprises glass substrate and a thin gold film. An incident polarized light is used to induce the SPR phenomenon, which occurs at specific angles of incidence, where a portion of the light energy couples through the gold film and creates a surface plasmon wave that is perpendicular to the incident surface. The binding of biomolecules to the sensor surface, which increases refractive index of solution near sensor surface (~300 nm), is attributed to changes in the momentum of the surface plasmons and their associated evanescent wave. As a consequence, the SPR phenomenon occurs at a new incident angle, which results in a SPR angle shift. This shift is directly proportional to the change in mass at the Au surface, and is used to monitor the association with and dissociation of biomolecules from the surface. A thorough review on SPR-based biosensor applications is found here [113]. SPR-based biosensors using immobilized enzymes have been developed for the studies of substrate-enzyme interactions [114], ligand–receptor interactions [115], and inhibitor screening [116]. Figure 8 shows a schematic representation of the biosensor constructed by immobilizing laccase (EC 1.10.3.2) onto a SPR surface for bromocriptine (BC) detection [115]. In that study, the biosensor showed high sensitivity with a linear range from 0.001 ng/mL to 1000 ng/mL, and a low detection limit of 0.001 ng/mL. It is thought that the binding of BC to the serum albumin enhanced the SPR signal, resulting in acceptable refractive index changes, despite its low molecular weight.



Figure 8. Schematic diagram of a novel enzyme based surface plasmon resonance (SPR)-biosensor for the detection of bromocriptine (BC). Laccase was used to design a SPR-based affinity biosensor for BC detection. Adapted from [115].

Another novel SPR-based biosensor was developed for small molecule detection by Miyazaki et al. [117]. The sensor makes use of the mediator-type enzyme microperoxidase-11 (MP11) and poly(ethylene imine) (PEI) for the construction of layer-by-layer films, as in Au/PEI/MP11/PEI/Gox and Au/PEI/MP11/PEI/Uox for glucose or ureic acid detection with GOx or uricase (EC 1.7.3.3), deposited on the top layer of the films, respectively. The fabricated SPR sensor was able to detect glucose or uric acid with limits of detection of 3.4 and 0.27 μ mol L⁻¹, respectively. The main advantage of this sensor is the use of the mediator-type enzyme (MP11), as it can be applied to any other sensing system where hydrogen peroxide is generated in an enzymatic reaction. Other SPR-based enzyme biosensors have been reported for the detection of cholesterol [118] and hydrogen peroxide [119]. A study of substrate-induced conformational changes using a SPR-based biosensor was performed by Geitmann et al. [120]. In that study, the interaction between human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) protease and a peptide substrate was studied by using SPR. The HCMV

protease was chemically cross-linked to the sensor surface to limit the structural flexibility of the enzyme. As a result, enzyme activity was inactivated. However, the sensor gram analysis and the kinetic constants calculation showed that upon flowing the peptide substrate onto the chip surface, the enzyme–substrate interaction restored enzyme activity. It is therefore supposed that the HCMV protease undergoes a conformational alteration during the hydrolysis of a polypeptide substrate, because this enzyme requires structural flexibility to be active.

2.3. Enzyme-Based Thermistors

Almost all enzymatically catalyzed reactions are exothermic or generate heat, which may be used as a basis for measuring the rate of reaction and the analyte concentration (Table 3). The total generated heat (Q) is proportional to the molar enthalpy change (ΔH), and to the total number of moles of product molecules (nP). A thermistor is a type of resistor resistance that is dependent on temperature, and it measures changes in temperature by ΔH in the form of electrical signals, such as resistance. Thermistor-based calorimeters, popularly known as enzyme thermistors (ET), use thermistors to measure electrical changes due to changes in temperature following a biocatalytic reaction, and this system is especially exploited for quantification purposes. The operating principle of an ET is simple. The enzyme is immobilized in a packed bed column within a constant temperature environment. The enzymatic reaction takes place when substrate enters the bed. As a result, the substrate is converted to a product, together with heat release. The difference in the temperature between the substrate and product solution is measured by two thermistors placed at the entrance and exit of the column. Highly sensitive thermistors are used, so that even a small change in the temperature can be detected by thermal biosensors. The used substrate amount is quantified based on the amount of heat that is liberated, in a directly proportional manner. The enzyme thermistor is a direct method that is insensitive towards electrical or optical interferences, and it does not usually require additional reagents. In addition, it offers high enzyme loading capabilities, excellent operational stability, and long storage, together with the capability to be combined with a flow injection analysis (FIA) system, which allows high reproducibility, high throughput, and the possibility for continuous analysis [121]. The main drawbacks of enzyme thermistor is non-specificity, because thermal signal is dependent only on the underlying reaction.

Enzymes	Reactants	$-\Delta \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$
NADH dehydrogenase	NADH	225
β-Lactamase	Penicillin G	115
Catalase	Hydrogen peroxide	100
Glucose oxidase	Glucose	80-100
Hexokinase	Glucose	75
Lactate dehydrogenase	Sodium pyruvate	62
Urease	Urea	61
Cholesterol oxidase	Cholesterol	53
Uricase	Urate	49
Trypsin	Benzoyl-L-arginine amide	29

Table 3. The enthalpy changes for enzymatic catalysis.

The thermometric enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (TELISA), for the assay of endogenous and exogenous compounds in biological fluids has been developed by Mattiasson et al. [122]. The TELISA is based on an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), but it utilizes heat produced from the enzyme label that is measured by using an enzyme thermistor. There are two types of TELISA (i.e., direct competitive immunoassay [122] and the sandwich immunoassay [123]). The amount of the enzyme that bound to the antibodies is measured with the ET unit by adding the cognate substrate. In a direct competitive format of TELISA, the produced signal is reversibly proportional to the concentration of the analyte. A simple TELISA based on the sandwich format, which is a calorimetric immunoassay

using an ET, was established by Scheper et al. [123]. The authors developed a sandwich assay with protein A immobilized onto a solid support for capturing antibodies. In that study, protein A-fused β -galactosidase obtained from a recombinant *E. coli* was used in labeling and reaction for detection. The signal produced in sandwich TELISA is directly proportional to the concentration of antibodies that are present in a sample. Figure 9 shows the schematic illustration of the TELISA method for both direct competitive (A) and sandwich (B) formats.



Figure 9. Schematic representation of the thermometric enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (TELISA) method. (A) Direct competitive TELISA; (B) sandwich TELISA. Adapted from [124].

2.4. Enzyme-Based Piezoelectric Biosensors

The most common type of piezoelectric biosensor is quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), which is able to determine nanograms of material. The sensor consists of a thin wafer of quartz-sensing crystal plated with metallic electrodes on either sides of the crystal by means of vapor deposition. When an AC voltage is applied across the crystal, the induced piezolectric effect causes it to oscillate at its resonant frequency. Any adsorption of molecules to the surface of the oscillating crystal will cause its frequency to decrease. By measuring this frequency change, the amount of mass per unit area deposited on the surface can be determined with great precision (down to a few billionths of a gram). In an enzyme-based QCM biosensor, the resonance frequency decreases upon the adsorption of the enzymatic product onto the sensor surface. The frequency change (ΔF) is proportional to the mass (Δm) of the adsorbed molecules per unit area. A QCM-based piezoelectric biosensor was developed for urea detection by immobilizing urease onto nanoporous alumina membranes by the means of physical adsorption and cross-linking [125]. The relative enzyme activity was estimated by measuring the frequency response of the sensor in solutions of urea concentration, ranging from 0.2 μ M to 12 mM. The experimental results showed a good linearity for urea concentration, over a range from $0.5 \mu M$ to 3 mM (with the linear regression equation was ΔF (Hz) = -17.85 - 164.8 [urea, mM], R = 0.9996, n = 8). A detection limit of 0.2 μ M (S/N = 2) for urea was obtained, and the sensor showed good long-term storage stability (76% of the enzymatic activity retained over 30 days).

A Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)/C60-QCM sensor using immobilized GOx for the real-time determination of gluconic acid was developed by Seker et al. [126]. As shown in Figure 10, the quartz crystals were coated with a 550–700 nm-thick layer of nanofibers comprised of PLGA and fullerene-C60 by electrospinning. Then, GOx was immobilized on the PLGA nanofibers, which were electrospun on coverslip surfaces. During the enzyme catalytic reaction, gluconic acid—the oxidation product

of β -D-glucose—was induced and precipitated onto the crystal surface, resulting in a resonance frequency decrease. As a result, a LOD in the range of 1.4–14.0 mM for gluconic acid at room temperature was obtained. An enzyme-based piezoelectric biosensor for detecting organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides was described in Abad et al.'s work [127]. The authors directly immobilized AChE onto QCM gold electrodes by covalent bonding between the enzyme and the gold surface. The AChE-modified QCM sensor showed detection limits of 5.0×10^{-8} and 1.0×10^{-7} M for paroxon and carbaryl, respectively. Piezoelectric sensors have been attractive, due to their simplicity, real-time measurement, high sensitivity, and cost-effectiveness. However, the major drawbacks of these devices are the interference from atmospheric humidity, and the difficulty in applying for the determination of the material in solution. The common types of analytes, immobilized enzymes, immobilization methods, and the detection range/LOD of enzyme-based QCM sensors are outlined in Table 4.



Figure 10. Schematic representation of the electrospun nanofibrous PLGA/Fullerene-C60-modified QCM for the real-time monitoring of gluconic acid. Adapted from [126].

Analytes	Immobilized Enzymes	Immobilization Methods	Detection Range/LOD	Ref.
Acetylcholine	HRP ⁽¹⁾ , choline OD, AChE ⁽²⁾	Covalent bonding (DSP ⁽⁷⁾ , GTA)	$1 imes 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$	[128]
Carbaryl	AChE, ChE ⁽³⁾	Adsorption, Covalent bonding (Cystamine, GTA ⁽⁸⁾)	$1.0 imes 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$	[127]
Dichlorvos		Adsorption	1 ppm	[129]
Paroxon	AChE	Adsorption, Covalent bonding (Cystamine, GTA)	$5.0 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$	[127]
Hydrogen peroxide		Covalent bonding (Cystamine, GTA)	$0.13-80 \ \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$	[130]
Glucose	HKP or HKP/GOx $^{(1)}$		$0.08-10 \ \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$	
Cholesterol	Cease ⁽⁵⁾ , Cox ⁽⁶⁾ , HRP	-	$3 imes 10^{-4} \ { m M}$	[131]
Urea	Urease	Adsorption, Cross linking (GTA)	0.2 mM	[125]
Gluconic acid	GOx	Adsorption	1.4–14.0 mM	[126]
Dimethyl methylphosphonate	AChE	Cross linking (GTA)	$0-50 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$	[132]

Table 4. Summary of analytes, immobilized enzymes, immobilization methods and detection range/LOD of QCM enzyme biosensors.

(1) HRP, Horseradish peroxidase;
 (2) AChE, Acetylcholinesterase;
 (3) ChE, cholinesterase;
 (4) GOx, Glucose oxidase;
 (5) CEase, Cholesterol esterase;
 (6) COx, Cholestrol oxidase;
 (7) DSP, Dithiobis(succimidylpropionate);
 (8) GTA, Glutaraldehyde.

3. Approaches in Improving Enzyme Usage in Biosensors

In this section, approaches in improving the use of enzyme for biosensors will be discussed with regards of enzyme modification by genetic and chemical approaches, and multi-enzyme systems used in biosensors.

3.1. Biological Modification

Recent advances in genetic engineering and molecular biology has allowed for the production of high efficient and high specific recombinant enzymes, which are applied for the improvement of biosensor performance. The key technique is to increase the affinity of enzyme–substrate by facilitating substrate accessibility to the enzyme active site. In enzyme engineering, this purpose can be obtained using techniques such as site-directed mutagenesis or protein fusion [133].

3.1.1. Site-Directed Mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) is a method for creating specific and targeted changes in double stranded plasmid DNA [134]. Site-directed mutagenesis is employed to alter the amino acid sequence of a given enzyme molecule by carefully selecting and precisely mutating the cloned gene encoding the corresponding amino acid on the enzyme molecule. This technique is usefully applied to the study of protein function, the identification of enzymatic active sites, and the design of novel proteins. With this technique, it is possible to exchange, remove, or add a single amino acid or other genetic tags into the sequence of an enzyme to achieve different chemical properties.

Enzyme Amino Acid Substitution

Each of the twenty natural amino acids has a unique side chain that has different properties with regard to size, shape, and polarity, and enzyme function is closely related to the amino acid sequence. For that reason, the substitution of any natural amino acid (AA) with another one may lead to major changes in enzyme structure and function. As an example, genetically modified AchEs have been widely exploited in pesticide biosensors, owing to their inhibition effects towards pesticides [135]. Genetically engineered Drosophila melanogaster AchEs were made by replacing glutamic acid 69 (Glu69), located at the enzyme active site gorge with bulky side chains amino acids such as tryptophan (Trp) or tyrosine (Tyr). The engineered enzyme has been demonstrated to greatly increase the inhibition constant (K_i) for dichlorvos by 300 folds [136]. This effect is attributed to alterations on the residues of the active region [137] which favor the interactions of the insecticide towards the buried active site. Furthermore, a more sensitive AchE enzyme (with a 20,000-fold increase in K_i) was obtained by replacing tryptophan 71 (Tyr71) with aspartic acid (Asp) in addition to the Glu69 substitution. In another work, mutation is also made to pyrroloquinoline quinone glucose dehydrogenase (PQQ-GDH (EC 1.1.5.2)) by replacing the His residue at position 775 with Asp or Asn, to produce enzymes with more than 25-fold increases in the Michaelis constant (K_m) value towards glucose [138]. The biosensor based on the coimmobilization of the two mutated PQQ-GDH (1:1 ratio) showed high specificity and a wider dynamic range for glucose detection (3-70 mM) compared to that of the wild enzyme-based biosensor.

Enzyme Amino Acid Removal

Amino acids that are not essential for the enzyme functionality could be eliminated to facilitate the electron transfer, thus making the active site more accessible. For example, microperoxidase-11 (MP11), an undecapeptide obtained by the enzymatic cleavage of cytochrome c from HRP while retaining the heme-c group, still exhibits peroxidase activity. The minimized enzyme has been successfully used as an efficient biorecognition molecule in peroxide sensors [139], and as a bioelectrocatalytic label in enzyme sensors [140].

Non-Natural Amino Acid Incorporation

Site-directed mutagenesis using natural amino acids has proven to be useful in enzyme engineering; however, it has some limitations, due to restrictions in the size and shape of the natural amino acid side chains. Therefore, site-directed mutagenesis using non-natural amino acids has been explored for the expression of recombinant proteins containing amino acids with novel side chains, including fluorophores, post-translational modifications, metal ion chelators, uniquely reactive functional groups, or photocross-linking moieties, etc. The technique, which allows for control over the chemical structures of recombinantly expressed proteins, hence could provide novel target proteins with new functionalities that cannot be obtained by using natural amino acids. Intrinsic enzyme properties such as fluorescence and catalytic activity could also be improved by using the site-directed mutagenesis technique [141]. Non-natural amino acids can be incorporated into enzyme molecules via chemical synthesis, or into vitro cell-free protein translation systems. Also, cell-based protein expression systems could be employed for large-scale manufacturing purposes [142]. For example, the bacterial enzyme phosphotriesterase (EC 3.1.8.1), which catalyzes the hydrolysis of pesticide paraoxon, was modified for turnover rate improvement by the incorporation of unnatural amino acids [143], where the tyrosine at position 309 was substituted for unnatural 7-methyl- and 7-hydroxycoumarinyl amino acids (Mco and Hco). Kinetic analysis revealed that the product release of substrate turnover increased by 8–11 times by the means of a single mutation that is rationally designed by using unnatural amino acids. The method is far more facile than the native activity improvement method, by screening hundreds of thousands of mutants with natural amino acids. In another work, natural aldolases was engineered by the means of noncanonical amino acid incorporation, to produce enzymes with higher substrate specificities. By modifying position 190 of the S. aureus N-acetylneuraminic acid lyase (NAL (EC 4.1.3.3)) to a 2,3-dihydroxypropyl cysteine, the enzyme activity for the reaction of the aldol reaction of erythrose with pyruvate to form DHA is significantly increased by approximately 30-fold, which is unattainable when using any of 20 tested natural amino acids. The reasons behind this boost are that when the functional and noncanonical amino acid is accurately inserted between Asp141 and Glu192, the enzyme active site volume is reduced, and undergoes a remodeling that thus helps better stabilize the transition state of the DHA-producing reaction.

Enzymatic Addition of a Genetic Tag

Another approach in site-directed mutagenesis is genetic tag modification, which could reduce steric hindrance during the affinity-based immobilization of tagged enzymes. The approach allows for the production of tagged enzymes by attaching an affinity tag such as histidine (His), cysteine (Cys), or mannose-binding protein to amino or carboxyl terminals that are distant from the enzyme active site. Enzymes have complex structures and activities. Therefore, in an ideal immobilization approach, enzymes must be immobilized in their native form to maintain their functional conformation, and to maximize their substrate capture potential. In addition, the non-specific adsorption of enzymes onto a solid surface can lead to the possibility of background problems. The affinity interaction between transition metal ions (Au⁺, Ni ²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Fe³⁺), and electron-donating groups like His and Cys residues, are utilized for the controllable immobilization of the enzyme. For example, the native protein or enzyme is added with a metal binding site [144], a His residue [145] or a Cys residue [146], to obtain a uniform orientation for the enzyme immobilization on surface. Also, ferrocene derivatives could be linked to an enzyme (GOx) which is genetically modified with a poly-L-lysine, to facilitate electron transfer [147].

3.1.2. Fusion Protein Technology

Fusion protein technology is a biotechnological tool that is used to engineer protein molecules by joining two or more genes that originally coded for two different proteins. The translation of this fusion gene results in a so-called fusion protein with functional properties that are derived from each of the original proteins. The advantages of using fusion proteins over coimmobilized enzymes are that the resulting protein molecules have fixed molecular ratios of individually immobilized enzymes, thus ensuring the desired activity of the enzyme membrane. In addition to improved stability and sensitivity, a convenient "tag" could be employed as a fusion partner for ease of detection. A bifunctional fusion enzyme system constructed for a maltose biosensor was developed by gene splicing technique [148]. The fusion enzyme system of glucoamylase (GA, (E.C 3.2.1.3)) and GOx, by fusing the complementary DNA (cDNA) fragment of Aspergillus niger glucoamylase to the 3' end of the A. niger GOx gene with the insertion of a flexible linker peptide (-(Ser-Gly)5-) coding sequence was reported by Chen et al. [149]. The obtained fusion enzyme GOx-(Ser-Gly)5-GA (GLG), after methanol induction, had a molecular weight of 430 kD and showed the typical kinetic properties of both GA and GOx, from kinetic analysis data. After being covalently attached onto an aminosilanized glass slide through glutaraldehyde, data showed that GLG presents a much higher sequential catalytic efficiency than that of the GA and GOx mixture (GA/GOx). A linear response range of up to 40 mM for maltose detection was obtained for the GLG electrode. In another work, a fusion of GOx and a poly-lysine chain was constructed by means of gene splicing and used to build a glucose sensor with improved signal levels, response ranges and lifetime. The poly-lysine chain was added to the C-terminal of GOx through a peptide linker, in order to anchor more electron transfer mediator (ferrocenecarboxylic acid) to GOx, thus improving the sensitivity and stability of glucose biosensors. The modified GOx showed similar Km and Kcat to those of the wild type enzyme. Meanwhile, in comparison with commercial GOx and wild type GOx, (A. niger), higher enzyme activity remained after the interaction of the modified GOx with an electron transfer mediator (90.01%, compared to 22.43% and 22.17%). Three types of GOx: the modified, wild type, and commercial type were coated on screen-printed electrodes and tested for glucose sensitivity comparison. The experimental results showed that the modified GOx coated electrode gave the largest signal responses among the three tested electrodes. In addition, a linear detection range was extended to 45 mM for the modified GOx-based biosensor compared to 20 mM for the wild type and the commercial GOx-based biosensors.

3.2. Chemical Modification

Chemical modification is an effective method that is used to change the properties of enzyme key residues or the overall surface [150]. Although it has generally been displaced by genetic modification, the chemical modification of enzymes remains useful for stabilizing proteins, owing to some distinct advantages [151]. For example, there are no limits to the range of chemical groups to be introduced to the enzyme structure, using chemical modification [152]. The method is rapid (compared with genetic modification), and does not require a deep knowledge of the protein structure. In addition, chemical modification is performed on the correctly folded enzyme; meanwhile, in genetic modifications, changes in the amino acid sequence could hinder the correct folding of the protein. However, unlike genetic modification, chemical modification needs to be performed every time the enzyme is prepared, while in genetic manipulation, the mutated gene will always produce the enzyme with desired changes. Moreover, site-directed modification using chemical method is rather difficult, compared with site-direct mutagenesis by using genetic modification.

3.2.1. Site-Specific Chemical Modification

Chemical modification will not be generally site-directed, except when using specific chemistries that are directed to unique groups in the protein structure such as Diels–Alder cycloaddition [153], thiol exchange [154], reaction with terminal amino groups [155], etc. For example, the tetrazine–trans-cyclooctene Diels–Alder cycloaddition is used as a highly efficient fluorescence labeling method for cell-surface proteins and for the labeling of intracellular proteins with sufficiently bioorthogonal chemistry. Cellular proteins of interest were labeled with useful fluorophores, such as tetramethylrhodamine and Alexa 647, with a level of specificity that was comparable to that

obtained with direct fluorophore ligation by the PRIME (probe incorporation mediated by enzymes) method [153]. Among 20 natural amino acids, cysteine is the most reactive amino acid residue for selective modification, due to the relatively high nucleophilicity of the sulfhydryl groups (thiols), and it therefore can be modified by a large number of reagents. In proteins that contain only cysteine residues (natural or by site-directed mutagenesis), selective protein/enzyme conjugation (labeling) could be made possible via thiol exchange [154]. Site-specific chemical modifications methods have been developed for N-terminal amino acids (amino acids that start with a free amine group). Tryptophan residues located in the N-terminal can be modified through the stereoselective Pictet–Spengler reaction with aldehydes [156]. N-terminal cysteines could react with thioesters [157] or readily form thiazolidines in the presence of aldehydes [158]. N-terminal serine and threonine residues can be selectively oxidized by sodium periodate to form glyoxylamides [159], which can then be modified with hydrazide or aminooxy reagents.

3.2.2. Nonspecific Modification of the Enzyme Surface

Nonspecific chemical modification by a massive modification of abundant external residues (i.e., Lys or Asp and Glu) on enzyme may change the overall properties of the enzyme surface [160], which may greatly alter its properties. For example, by chemical amidation using ethylenediamine, carboxylate groups in Asp and Glu residues in several immobilized lipases have been modified to modulate lipase activity. Significant increases in enzyme activity were observed in the case of Candida antarctica B and Thermomyces lanuginose lipases, while in case of immobilized Pseudomonas *fluorescens* lipase, the result was opposite [161]. Chemical modification of lysine residues in a bacterial α -amylases (EC 3.2.1.1) from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (BAA) using citraconic anhydride brought about a dramatic enhancement of the thermal stability of BAA (at 80 $^{\circ}$ C) [162]. A shift in the optimum operating pH of enzyme from 7 to an alkaline pH of 9 was induced by the nonspecific modification of amino groups of papain with dicarboxylic anhydrides of citraconin, maleic, phthalic, and succinic acids [163]. The reason for this difference by pH change is because of the change in the surface charge distribution. In addition, experimental data showed a slight decrease in enzyme activity as the result of the modification. Such optimum pH changes may be useful when performing reactions that favor alkaline media or the enhancement of particular substrate solubility. In general, it is not easy to anticipate the effects of a chemical modification on enzyme properties, because it is usually dependent on certain experimental conditions such as pH and temperature [158]. In certain cases, chemical modifications may produce an improvement in the enzyme properties but in other cases, it may cause a decrease in the enzyme reactivity and selectivity.

3.2.3. Chemical Cross-Linking

The establishment of chemical cross-linking between several different groups of the enzyme surface using reagents that contain two or more reactive functional groups can be used to form intramolecular, intermolecular, or intersubunit cross-links between amino acid residues of proteins (Figure 11) [3,164]. Among a variety of reagents utilized for this purpose, glutaraldehyde is generally used as the cross-linking agent of favor, because it is inexpensive and readily available in large quantities. Glutaraldehyde has been used for decades in protein cross-linking [165].

Intramolecular cross-linking method uses a cross-linker agent to link involved functional groups onto a protein/enzyme surface, so that the relative distance between the groups cannot become larger than the size of the agent. The method is therefore able to restrict any conformational change of the enzyme that is induced by inactivating agents (i.e., heat, solvent, and chaotropic reagents). As a result, the overall rigidity and stability of the enzyme would be increased. However, the cross-linker agent is required to have a length that fits to the distance between involved groups [166]. Intramolecular cross-linking of enzymes has been found to contribute to the enhancement of enzyme conformational stability [167], and the stabilization of the enzyme against thermal inactivation [168]. In the case of intersubunit cross-linking, the covalent bridges created by cross-linker need to be located in the

contact area between enzyme subunits to avoid subunit dissociation, efficiently improving enzyme stability in that way [169]. Intermolecular cross-linking of enzymes of interest is also an efficient method in stabilizing oligomeric enzymes. It is because the formation of covalent bonds between molecules of the enzyme and the reagent would result in a three-dimensional, cross-linked network that could help preventing the dissociation of their sub-units [170]. There are two approaches in intermolecular cross linking, which are the uses of cross-linking enzyme aggregate (CLEA) and cross-linking enzyme crystal (CLEC). Both methods require the use of a cross-linking agent such as glutaraldehyde, to cross-link enzyme molecules via the reactions of the free amino groups of lysine residues on the reactive site of neighboring enzyme molecules. For example, CLECs of cyclodextrin glucanotransferase (CGTase (EC 2.4.1.19)) were tested for thermal stability at an elevated temperature, in organic solvents, and in the presence of the enzyme inactivation surfactant [171]. The test results showed that the soluble CGTase exhibited no activity at 80 °C whereas CGTase-CLECs retain 48% of their original activity at that temperature. In the presence of proteases, or in organic solvents, CGTase-CLECs also maintained their activity, and thus they have a great potential for biosensor applications. CLEAs, which are an improved versions of CLEC, proved to be significantly more stable to heat denaturation, organic solvents, and proteolysis than the corresponding soluble enzyme [172]. As an example, the precipitation of lipases from Thermomyces lanuginosus and Rhizomucor miehei with $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), followed by cross-linking with glutaraldehyde, resulted in CLEAs with three-fold and two-fold increases in the hydrolytic activity compared with native enzymes, respectively [173].



Figure 11. Different types of chemical cross-linking and modification of enzymes. Adapted from [164].

3.2.4. Use of Polymers

The modification of proteins with polymers is one of the most widespread approaches for creating hybrid structures with improved characters, owing to some unique advantages [174]. First, the incorporation of polymers into enzymes could improves enzyme stability, solubility, and biocompatibility [175]. Second, multi-functional polymers that contain many reactive groups can serve as cross-linking agents for multiple intramolecular or intersubunit cross-linkings of enzymes, which result in large complexes with increased sensitivities or activities in detecting target analytes. A polymer probably contributes to improving the stability, solubility, and biocompatibility of an enzyme, according to the following reasons: (1) The confinement of enzyme movements by the presence of a bulky polymer near the enzyme surface; (2) The prevention of enzyme interactions with interfaces by effectively masking the intrinsic character of the surface; (3) The changes of the enzyme environment; (4) Reduced proteolysis or reduced oxidation by different reagents [176]. However, the use of polymer could limit the rigidification of the enzyme, owning to the flexible structure of the polymers, and the existence of loops between polymeric bonds.

Two of the most generally used polymers in the chemical modification of enzyme are dextran and polyethylene glycol (PEG). Subtilisin (EC 3.4.21.62) as a model enzyme was covalently modified with PEG in Nakashima et al.'s study [177]. The researchers evaluated the catalytic behavior and the solubilization of subtilisin in ionic liquids with chemical modification with comb-shaped poly-(ethylene glycol) (PM₁₃) (Figure 12). The PM₁₃-modified subtilisin was solubilized in ionic liquids, and exhibited a remarkably high activity and good stability in the solutions. Chemical modification of HRP with poly(ethylene) glycol (PEG) has been reported to improve the enzyme activity in toluene by up to 16-fold, and result in a significant increase of enzyme solubility and stability in some organic media [178]. This is due to the ability of PEG to trap water on enzyme surface; thus it could preserve the three-dimensional structure of the enzyme in a catalytically active form [179]. Similarly, the covalent conjugation of GOx with 75 kDa dextran (1:5 molar ratio of GOx/dextran) yielded an enzyme with a high thermal resistance and good stability in a wide pH range (pH 4.0–7.0) at high temperatures (80 °C) [180].



Figure 12. Schematic diagram of the modification of subtilisin with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) molecules. Adapted from [177].

3.3. Multi-Enzyme Systems

Multi-enzyme system refers to the use of two or more enzymes coimmobilized onto a sensor transducer surface to enhance biosensor performance [181]. The working principle of this multi-enzyme system is based on enzyme cascade reactions, which are comprised of several consecutive biocatalytic steps. In each enzyme-catalyzed step, an unstable intermediate is formed, and this intermediate then spontaneously undergoes further reactions before forming the ultimately stable product. The whole reaction process is sometime called enzyme-initiated domino reactions. An enzyme cascade reactions system offers advantages such as no requirement for intermittent product isolation, conservation of cost and reagent, high reversibility, and low inhibition. However, a hindrance to the use of the system is the availability of suitable enzymes which have similar pH, temperature profiles, and relative similarity in specific activities and stabilities. The use of enzyme cascade reactions has been thoroughly reviewed in the literature [182] and briefly covered in a few selected examples below. An electrochemical multi-enzymatic biosensor was used for the determination of free cholesterol [183] using a bienzyme system composed of HRP and cholesterol oxidase (EC 1.1.3.6). This was simultaneously immobilized by physical entrapment into a polymeric film, on the surface of a graphite electrode. A novel bi-enzyme modified-amperometric sensor for the detection of methyl salicylate released by pathogen-infected plants was developed by Fang et al. [184]. The measurement of the bi-enzyme biosensor, in which alcohol oxidase (EC 1.1.3.13) and HRP enzymes were immobilized on a carbon nanotube matrix by a molecular tethering method, was performed

by cyclic voltammetry and constant potential amperometry. The sensitivities determined by each method were 112.37 and 282.82 μ A·cm⁻²·mM⁻¹ respectively, and the each detection limit was 22.95 and 0.98 μ M.

A luminescent biosensor based on tri-enzymes such as alcohol dehydrogenase, sorbitol dehydrogenase, and malate dehydrogenase was developed for measuring ethanol, sorbitol, and oxaloacetate [107]. Recently, Mansor et al. suggested an interesting tri-enzyme system composed of choline kinase/choline oxidase/HRP for the rapid and specific detection of secretory phospholipase Group 2-IIA, a biomarker for bacterial sepsis infection [185]. The amperometric sensor surface was modified with choline kinase/choline oxidase/HRP-conjugated acrylic bead/gold nanoparticle composite attached onto a carbon-paste electrode (Figure 13). The linear range of detection and the detection limit of the sensor were 0.01–100 ng/mL and 5 \times 10⁻³ ng/mL, respectively. Another amperometric biosensor based on a tri-enzyme for the lysine determination as an index of the nutritional quality of pharmaceutical formulations and food samples was developed by Bóka et al. [186]. In their study, tri-enzymes including lysinedecarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.18) from Bacterium cadaveris, diamine oxidase (EC 1.4.3.6) from *Pisum sativum*, and HRP, were co-immobilized on the surface of a graphite electrode with an osmium redox polymer. The lysine sensor provided a linear detection range from 0.005 to 0.500 mM, and it showed selective determination of lysine in pharmaceutical products and food stuffs. Four enzymes (lactase (L), glucose oxidase (G), mutarotase (M) and galactose oxidase (Ga)) were appropriately combined to form uni-(Ga), di-(LG, LGa), tri-(LMG, LGGa), and tetra-(LMGGa) enzyme systems [187]. Six different lactose electrodes were formed, based on combinations of the four enzymes, and lactose was determined amperometrically by monitoring the hydrogen peroxide produced. Among the six electrodes, the tri-enzyme electrode, LMG, was dominant in terms of lactose response, with linear range of 3×10^{-6} – 2×10^{-3} M, even though the tri-enzyme electrode, LGGa, and the tetra-enzyme electrode, LMGGa, showed a two-fold increase in sensitivity over LMG. It is noteworthy that the MG electrode-based biosensor exhibited good storage stability for eight months at 4 °C, considering that the enhancement of long-term stability and the activity of immobilized enzyme membranes is important for all practical purposes of enzyme-based biosensors.



Figure 13. Schematic conception of a fabrication procedure for an amperometric biosensor to identify sPLA2-IIA as an indication of sepsis conditions. A tri-enzyme system consisting of choline kinase/choline oxidase/horseradish peroxidase was utilized to determine the target analytes by using cascading enzymatic reactions. These enzymes were immobilized onto acrylic microspheres functionalized with succinimide groups, which formed a composite with gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) to improve the electrode conductivity. The composite was deposited onto screen-printed electrodes (SPEs). Adapted from [185].

4. Conclusions

In recent decades, enzyme-based biosensing has proven to be a valuable technique for the qualitative and quantitative analysis of a variety of target analytes in biomedicine, environmental, food quality control, agricultural, and pharmaceutical industry. In comparison with conventional analytical methodologies, enzyme-based biosensors offer significant benefits, such as miniaturization, real-time diagnosis capability, high sensitivity and specificity, minimum sample preparation, and high-throughput, bedside clinical testing and portability. A number of developed enzyme biosensors have been already commercialized and used in health care management (i.e., home blood glucose monitoring, portable clinical analyzers, etc.). However, the most challenging disadvantages of the enzyme-based biosensor for in vivo analysis is a reduced signal response and selectivity, due to the presence of fouling agents and interference caused by chemicals present in the sample matrix. Among the efforts to address the problems, enzyme engineering and hybrid biomaterial incorporation has been used to promote enzyme stability and to minimize endogenous interference; the third-generation biosensor development are especially focused upon, as they offer interference-free, reagent-less and label-free detection of the analyte. The present developments trends of enzymatic biosensors are directed towards device miniaturization, multiplexed detection, and applicable expansion to bedside patient and home testing devices such as paper-based test kits, lab-on-a-chip and biochip sensing devices, which require minimum sample pretreatment, and low reagent and power requirements.

Funding: This work was equally supported by the BionNano Health-Guard Research Center as Global Frontier Project (H-GUARD 2016941340), and the Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB) Initiative Research Program.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gurung, N.; Ray, S.; Bose, S.; Rai, V. A broader view: Microbial enzymes and their relevance in industries, medicine, and beyond. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2013**, *2013*, 329121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Katchalski-Katzir, E. Immobilized enzymes -learning from past successes and failures. *Trends Biotechnol.* 1993, 11, 471–478. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, H.H.; Kim, M. An overview of techniques in enzyme immobilization. *Appl. Sci. Converg. Technol.* 2017, 26, 157–163.
- Sassolas, A.; Blum, L.J.; Leca-Bouvier, B.D. Immobilization strategies to develop enzymatic biosensors. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2012, 30, 489–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mohamad, N.R.; Marzuki, N.H.C.; Buang, N.A.; Huyop, F.; Wahab, R.A. An overview of technologies for immobilization of enzymes and surface analysis techniques for immobilized enzymes. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.* 2015, 29, 205–220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Novick, S.J.; Rozzell, J.D. Immobilization of enzymes by covalent attachment. In *Microbial Enzymes and Biotransformations*; Barredo, J.L., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2005; pp. 247–271.
- Rocchitta, G.; Spanu, A.; Babudieri, S.; Latte, G.; Madeddu, G.; Galleri, G.; Nuvoli, S.; Bagella, P.; Demartis, M.I.; Fiore, V.; et al. Enzyme biosensors for biomedical applications: Strategies for safeguarding analytical performances in biological fluids. *Sensors* 2016, *16*, 780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Pastor, M.; Esquisabel, A.; Pedraz, J.L. Biomedical Applications of immobilized enzymes: An update. In *Methods in Molecular Biology*; Guisan, J.M., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 285–299.
- 9. Nigam, V.K.; Shukla, P. Enzyme based biosensors for detection of environmental pollutants-A review. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, 25, 1773–1781. [CrossRef]
- Justino, C.I.L.; Armando, C.D.; Rocha-Santos, T.A.P. Recent progress in biosensors for environmental monitoring: A review. *Sensors* 2017, 17, 2918. [CrossRef]
- 11. Amine, A.; Mohammadi, H.; Bourais, I.; Palleschi, G. Enzyme inhibition-based biosensors for food safety and environmental monitoring. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2006**, *21*, 1405–1423. [CrossRef]
- 12. Monosik, R.; Stredansky, M.; Tkac, J.; Sturdik, E. Application of enzyme biosensors in analysis of food and beverages. *Food Anal. Methods* **2012**, *5*, 40–53. [CrossRef]

- 13. Mehrotra, P. Biosensors and their applications—A review. J. Oral Biol. Craniofac. Res. 2016, 6, 153–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Molinero-Abad, B.; Alonso-Lomillo, M.A.; Domínguez-Renedo, O.; Arcos-Martínez, M.J. Malate quinone oxidoreductase biosensors based on tetrathiafulvalene and gold nanoparticles modified screen-printed carbon electrodes for malic acid determination in wine. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2014, 202, 971–975. [CrossRef]
- 15. Clark, L.C.; Lyons, C. Electrode systems for continuous monitoring in cardiovascular surgery. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1962**, *102*, 29–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Bollella, P.; Gorton, L. Enzyme based amperometric biosensors. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* **2018**, *10*, 157–173. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kurbanoglu, S.; Zafar, M.N.; Tasca, F.; Aslam, I.; Spadiut, O.; Leech, D.; Haltrich, D.; Gorton, L. Amperometric flow injection analysis of glucose and galactose based on engineered pyranose 2-oxidases and osmium polymers for biosensor applications. *Electroanalysis* **2018**, *30*, 1496–1504. [CrossRef]
- Fernández, H.; Arévalo, F.J.; Granero, A.M.; Robledo, S.N.; Nieto, C.H.D.; Riberi, W.I.; Zon, M.A. Electrochemical biosensors for the determination of toxic substances related to food safety developed in South America: Mycotoxins and herbicides. *Chemosensors* 2017, *5*, 23. [CrossRef]
- 19. Murugaiyan, S.B.; Ramasamy, R.; Gopal, N.; Kuzhandaivelu, V. Biosensors in clinical chemistry: An overview. *Adv. Biomed. Res.* **2014**, *3*, 67.
- 20. Tseng, T.-F.; Yang, Y.-L.; Chuang, M.-C.; Lou, S.-L.; Galik, M.; Flechsig, G.-U.; Wang, J. Thermally stable improved first-generation glucose biosensors based on nafion/glucose-oxidase modified heated electrodes. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2009**, *11*, 1819–1822. [CrossRef]
- 21. Mayorga Martinez, C.C.; Treo, E.F.; Madrid, R.E.; Felice, C.C. Real-time measurement of glucose using chrono-impedance technique on a second generation biosensor. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2011**, *29*, 200–203. [CrossRef]
- 22. Palmisano, F.; Zambonin, P.G.; Centonze, D.; Quinto, M. A disposable, reagentless, third-generation glucose biosensor based on overoxidized poly(pyrrole)/tetrathiafulvalene-tetracyanoquinodimethane composite. *Anal. Chem.* **2002**, *74*, 5913–5918. [CrossRef]
- 23. Tasca, F.; Ludwig, R.; Gorton, L.; Antiochia, R. Determination of lactose by a novel third generation biosensor based on a cellobiose dehydrogenase and aryl diazonium modified single wall carbon nanotubes electrode. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *177*, 64–69. [CrossRef]
- 24. Das, P.; Das, M.; Chinnadayyala, S.R.; Singha, I.M.; Goswami, P. Recent advances on developing 3rd generation enzyme electrode for biosensor applications. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2016**, *79*, 386–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Palanisamy, S.; Velusamy, V.; Chen, S.-W.; Yang, T.C.K.; Balu, S.; Banks, C.E. Enhanced reversible redox activity of hemin on cellulose microfiber integrated reduced graphene oxide for H₂O₂ biosensor applications. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 2019, 204, 152–160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Grieshaber, D.; Mackenzie, R.; Vörös, J.; Reimhult, E. Electrochemical biosensors-sensor principles and architectures. *Sensors* **2008**, *8*, 1400–1458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Hassan, A.K.; Ameen, S.T.; Saad, B. Tetracaine—selective electrodes with polymer membranes and their application in pharmaceutical formulation control. *Arab. J. Chem.* **2017**, *10*, S1484–S1491. [CrossRef]
- 28. Gupta, V.K.; Pal, M.K.; Singh, A.K. Drug selective poly(vinyl chloride)-based sensor of desipramine hydrochloride. *Electrochim. Acta* 2010, *55*, 1061–1066. [CrossRef]
- 29. Dung, T.T.; Oh, Y.; Choi, S.J.; Kim, I.D.; Oh, M.K.; Kim, M. Applications and advances in bioelectronic noses for odour sensing. *Sensors* **2018**, *18*, 103. [CrossRef]
- 30. Lee, C.; Kim, S.K.; Kim, M. Ion-sensitive field-effect transistor for biological sensing. *Sensors* 2009, 9, 7111–7131. [CrossRef]
- 31. Caras, S.; Janata, J. Field effect transistor sensitive to penicillin. Anal. Chem. 1980, 52, 1935–1937. [CrossRef]
- 32. Yoon, H.; Ko, S.; Jang, J. Field-effect-transistor sensor based on enzyme-functionalized polypyrrole nanotubes for glucose detection. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2008, 112, 9992–9997. [CrossRef]
- 33. Luo, X.L.; Xu, J.J.; Zhao, W.; Chen, H.-Y. A novel glucose ENFET based on the special reactivity of MnO₂ nanoparticles. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2004**, *19*, 1295–1300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Luo, X.L.; Xu, J.J.; Zhao, W.; Chen, H.-Y. Glucose biosensor based on ENFET doped with SiO₂ nanoparticles. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2004**, *97*, 249–255. [CrossRef]

- 35. Soldatkin, A.P.; Montoriol, J.; Sant, W.; Martelet, C.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. A novel urea sensitive biosensor with extended dynamic range based on recombinant urease and ISFETs. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2003**, *19*, 131–135. [CrossRef]
- 36. Rebriiev, A.V.; Starodub, N.F. Enzymatic biosensor based on the ISFET and photopolymeric membrane for the determination of urea. *Electroanalysis* **2004**, *16*, 1891–1895. [CrossRef]
- Simonian, A.L.; Grimsley, J.K.; Flounders, A.W.; Schoeniger, J.S.; Cheng, T.C.; DeFrank, J.J.; Wild, J.R. Enzyme-based biosensor for the direct detection of fluorine-containing organophosphates. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2001, 442, 15–23. [CrossRef]
- 38. Simonian, A.L.; Flounders, A.W.; Wild, J.R. FET-based biosensors for the direct detection of organophosphate neurotoxins. *Electroanalysis* 2004, *16*, 1896–1906. [CrossRef]
- Dzyadevych, S.V.; Mai Anh, T.; Soldatkin, A.P.; Duc Chien, N.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Chovelon, J.-M. Development of enzyme biosensor based on pH-sensitive field-effect transistors for detection of phenolic compounds. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2002, *55*, 79–81. [CrossRef]
- Soldatkin, A.P.; Arkhypova, V.N.; Dzyadevych, S.V.; El'skaya, A.V.; Gravoueille, J.-M.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Martelet, C. Analysis of the potato glycoalkaloids by using of enzyme biosensor based on pH-ISFETs. *Talanta* 2005, 66, 28–33. [CrossRef]
- 41. Sant, W.; Pourciel-Gouzy, M.L.; Launay, J.; Do Conto, T.; Colin, R.; Martinez, A.; Temple-Boyer, P. Development of a creatinine-sensitive sensor for medical analysis. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2004**, *103*, 260–264. [CrossRef]
- 42. Fung, C.D.; Cheung, P.W.; Ko, W.H. A generalized theory of an electrolyte-insulator-semiconductor field-effect transistor. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **1986**, *33*, 8–18. [CrossRef]
- 43. Seki, A.; Ikeda, S.I.; Kubo, I.; Karube, I. Biosensors based on light-addressable potentiometric sensors for urea, penicillin and glucose. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *373*, 9–13. [CrossRef]
- 44. Rogers, K.R.; Foley, M.; Alter, S.; Koga, P.; Eldefrawi, M. Light addressable potentiometric biosensor for the detection of anticholinesterases. *Anal. Lett.* **1991**, *24*, 191–198. [CrossRef]
- 45. Fernando, J.C.; Rogers, K.R.; Anis, N.A.; Valdes, J.J.; Thompson, R.G.; Eldefrawi, A.T.; Eldefrawi, M.E. Rapid detection of anticholinesterase insecticides by a reusable light addressable potentiometric biosensor. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1993**, *41*, 511–516. [CrossRef]
- 46. Wu, S.; Chen, P.; Yu, H.; Liu, Q.; Zong, X.; Cai, H.; Wang, P. A novel biomimetic olfactory-based biosensor for single olfactory sensory neuron monitoring. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2009**, 24, 1498–1502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yu, H.; Cai, H.; Zhang, W.; Xiao, L.; Liu, Q.; Wang, P. A novel design of multifunctional integrated cell-based biosensors for simultaneously detecting cell acidification and extracellular potential. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2009, 24, 1462–1468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, C.; Poghossian, A.; Bronder, T.S.; Schöning, M.J. Sensing of double-stranded DNA molecules by their intrinsic molecular charge using the light-addressable potentiometric sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2016, 229, 506–512. [CrossRef]
- 49. Du, L.; Wang, J.; Chen, W.; Zhao, L.; Wu, C.; Wang, P. Dual functional extracellular recording using a light-addressable potentiometric sensor for bitter signal transduction. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *1022*, 106–112. [CrossRef]
- 50. Soldatkin, A.P.; El'skaya, A.V.; Shul'ga, A.A.; Jdanova, A.S.; Dzyadevich, S.V.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Martelet, C.; Clechet, P. Glucose sensitive conductometric biosensor with additional Nafion membrane: reduction of influence of buffer capacity on the sensor response and extension of its dynamic range. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *288*, 197–203. [CrossRef]
- 51. Lee, W.-Y.; Kim, S.-R.; Kim, T.-H.; Lee, K.S.; Shin, M.-C.; Park, J.-K. Sol–gel-derived thick-film conductometric biosensor for urea determination in serum. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2000**, *404*, 195–203. [CrossRef]
- 52. Mikkelsen, S.R.; Rechnitz, G.A. Conductometric transducers for enzyme-based biosensors. *Anal. Chem.* **1989**, *61*, 1737–1742. [CrossRef]
- 53. Bilitewski, U.; Drewes, W.; Schmid, R.D. Thick film biosensors for urea. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **1992**, *7*, 321–326. [CrossRef]
- Shul'ga, A.A.; Soldatkin, A.P.; El'skaya, A.V.; Dzyadevich, S.V.; Patskovsky, S.V.; Strikha, V.I. Thin-film conductometric biosensors for glucose and urea determination. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 1994, *9*, 217–223. [CrossRef]

- Kirdeciler, S.K.; Soy, E.; Oztürk, S.; Kucherenko, I.; Soldatkin, O.; Dzyadevych, S.; Akata, B. A novel urea conductometric biosensor based on zeolite immobilized urease. *Talanta* 2011, *85*, 1435–1441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Ho, W.O.; Krause, S.; McNell, C.J.; Pritchard, J.A.; Armstrong, R.D.; Athey, D.; Rawson, K. Electrochemical sensor for measurement of urea and creatinine in serum based on ac impedance measurement of enzyme-catalyzed polymer transformation. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71*, 1940–1946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Cullen, D.C.; Sethi, R.S.; Lowe, C.R. Multi-analyte miniature conductance biosensor. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1990**, 231, 33–40. [CrossRef]
- 58. Jin, P.; Yamaguchi, A.; Oi, F.A.; Matsuo, S.; Tan, J.; Misawa, H. Glucose sensing based on interdigitated array microelectrode. *Anal. Sci.* 2001, *17*, 841–846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marrakchi, M.; Dzyadevych, S.V.; Lagarde, F.; Martelet, C.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. Conductometric biosensor based on glucose oxidase and β-galactosidase for specific lactose determination in milk. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2008, 28, 872–875. [CrossRef]
- 60. Sergeyeva, T.A.; Lavrik, N.V.; Rachkov, A.E.; Kazantseva, Z.I.; Piletsky, S.A.; El'skaya, A.V. Hydrogen peroxide–Sensitive enzyme sensor based on phthalocyanine thin film. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *391*, 289–297. [CrossRef]
- 61. Dzyadevych, S.V.; Soldatkin, A.P.; Chovelon, J.-M. Assessment of the toxicity of methyl parathion and its photodegradation products in water samples using conductometric enzyme biosensors. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *459*, 33–41. [CrossRef]
- 62. Dzyadevych, S.V.; Chovelon, J.-M. A comparative photodegradation studies of methyl parathion by using Lumistox test and conductometric biosensor technique. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2002**, *21*, 55–60. [CrossRef]
- Nyamsi Hendji, A.M.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Martelet, C.; Shul'ga, A.A.; Dzydevich, S.V.; Soldatkin, A.P.; El'skaya, A.V. Enzyme biosensor based on a micromachined interdigitated conductometric transducer: application to the detection of urea, glucose, acetyl- and butyrylcholine chlordes. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 1994, 21, 123–129. [CrossRef]
- Dzydevich, S.V.; Shul'ga, A.A.; Soldatkin, A.P.; Hendji, A.M.N.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Martelet, C. Conductometric biosensors based on cholinesterases for sensitive detection of pesticides. *Electroanalysis* 1994, 6, 752–758. [CrossRef]
- 65. Arkhypova, V.N.; Dzyadevych, S.V.; Soldatkin, A.P.; El'skaya, A.V.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Jaffrezic, H.; Martelet, C. Multibiosensor based on enzyme inhibition analysis for determination of different toxic substances. *Talanta* **2001**, *55*, 919–927. [CrossRef]
- 66. Castillo-Ortega, M.M.; Rodriguez, D.E.; Encinas, J.C.; Plascencia, M.; Méndez-Velarde, F.A.; Olayo, R. Conductometric uric acid and urea biosensor prepared from electroconductive polyaniline–poly(n-butyl methacrylate) composites. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2002**, *85*, 19–25. [CrossRef]
- 67. Dzyadevych, S.V.; Arkhypova, V.N.; Korpan, Y.I.; El'skaya, A.V.; Soldatkin, A.P.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Martelet, C. Conductometric formaldehyde sensitive biosensor with specifically adapted analytical characteristics. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *445*, 47–55. [CrossRef]
- 68. Anh, T.M.; Dzyadevych, S.V.; Van, M.C.; Renault, N.J.; Duc, C.N.; Chovelon, J.M. Conductometric tyrosinase biosensor for the detection of diuron, atrazine and its main metabolites. *Talanta* **2004**, *63*, 365–370. [CrossRef]
- 69. Dzyadevych, S.V.; Soldatkin, A.P.; Arkhypova, V.N.; El'skaya, A.V.; Chovelon, J.-M.; Georgiou, C.A.; Martelet, C.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. Early-warning electrochemical biosensor system for environmental monitoring based on enzyme inhibition. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2005**, *105*, 81–87. [CrossRef]
- Shervedani, R.K.; Mehrjardi, A.H.; Zamiri, N. A novel method for glucose determination based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy using glucose oxidase self-assembled biosensor. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2006, 69, 201–208. [CrossRef]
- 71. Zehani, N.; Dzyadevych, S.V.; Kherrat, R.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.J. Sensitive impedimetric biosensor for direct detection of diazinon based on lipases. *Front. Chem.* **2014**, *2*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 72. Chan, D.; Barsan, M.M.; Korpan, Y.; Brett, C.M.A. L-lactate selective impedimetric bienzymatic biosensor based on lactate dehydrogenase and pyruvate oxidase. *Electrochim. Acta* **2017**, *231*, 209–215. [CrossRef]
- Shamsipur, M.; Asgari, M.; Maragheh, M.G.; Moosavi-Movahedi, A.A. A novel impedimetric nanobiosensor for low level determination of hydrogen peroxide based on biocatalysis of catalase. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2012, *83*, 31–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 74. Zane, D.; Appetecchi, G.B.; Bianchini, C.; Passerini, S.; Curulli, A. An impedimetric glucose biosensor based on overoxidized polypyrrole thin film. *Electroanalysis* **2011**, *23*, 1134–1141. [CrossRef]
- 75. Maalouf, R.; Chebib, H.; Saïkali, Y.; Vittori, O.; Sigaud, M.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. Amperometric and impedimetric characterization of a glutamate biosensor based on Nafion[®] and a methyl viologen modified glassy carbon electrode. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2007**, *22*, 2682–2688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Myler, S.; Collyer, S.D.; Davis, F.; Gornall, D.D.; Higson, S.P.J. Sonochemically fabricated microelectrode arrays for biosensors: Part III. AC impedimetric study of aerobic and anaerobic response of alcohol oxidase within polyaniline. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2005**, *21*, 666–671. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Bouyahia, N.; Hamlaoui, M.L.; Hnaien, M.; Lagarde, F.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. Impedance spectroscopy and conductometric biosensing for probing catalase reaction with cyanide as ligand and inhibitor. *Bioelectrochemistry* **2011**, *80*, 155–161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. Cortina, M.; Esplandiu, M.J.; Alegret, S.; del Valle, M. Urea impedimetric biosensor based on polymer degradation onto interdigitated electrodes. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 84–89. [CrossRef]
- Abdelmalek, F.; Shadaram, M.; Boushriha, H. Ellipsometry measurements and impedance spectroscopy on Langmuir-Blodgett membranes on Si/SiO₂ for ion sensitive sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2001, 72, 208–213. [CrossRef]
- 80. Free, A.H.; Adams, E.C.; Kercher, M.L.; Free, H.M.; Cook, M.H. Simple specific test for urine glucose. *Clin. Chem.* **1957**, *3*, 163–168.
- 81. Sanz, V.; de Marcos, S.; Galbán, J. A reagentless optical biosensor based on the intrinsic absorption properties of peroxidase. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2007**, *22*, 956–964. [CrossRef]
- Sanz, V.; de Marcos, S.; Galbán, J. Direct glucose determination in blood using a reagentless optical biosensor. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2007, 22, 2876–2883. [CrossRef]
- Lan, W.; Chen, G.; Cui, F.; Tan, F.; Liu, R.; Yushupujiang, M. Development of a novel optical biosensor for detection of organophoshorus pesticides based on methyl parathion hydrolase immobilized by metal-chelate affinity. *Sensors* 2012, *12*, 8477–8490. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Choi, J.-W.; Kim, Y.-K.; Lee, I.-H.; Min, J.; Lee, W.H. Optical organophosphorus biosensor consisting of acetylcholinesterase/viologen hetero Langmuir-Blodgett film. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2001, 16, 937–943. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.; Krause, R.; Block, K.; Musameh, M.; Mulchandani, A.; Schöning, M.J. Flow injection amperometric detection of OP nerve agents based on an organophosphorus-hydrolase biosensor detector. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2003, 18, 255–260. [CrossRef]
- Rosa, C.C.; Cruz, H.J.; Vidal, M.; Oliva, A.G. Optical biosensor based on nitrite reductase immobilised in controlled pore glass. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2002, 17, 45–52. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, H.H.; Park, J.; Hwang, S.; Kwon, O.S.; Lee, C.-S.; Shin, Y.-B.; Ha, T.H.; Kim, M. On-chip fluorescence switching system for constructing a rewritable random access data storage device. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galbán, J.; Andreu, Y.; Sierra, J.F.; de Marcos, S.; Castillo, J.R. Intrinsic fluorescence of enzymes and fluorescence of chemically modified enzymes for analytical purposes: A review. *Luminescence* 2001, 16, 199–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hussain, F.; Birch, D.J.S.; Pickup, J.C. Glucose sensing based on the intrinsic fluorescence of sol-gel immobilized yeast hexokinase. *Anal. Biochem.* 2005, 339, 137–143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Maity, H.; Maiti, N.C.; Jarori, G.K. Time-resolved fluorescence of tryptophans in yeast hexokinase-PI: effect of subunit dimerization and ligand binding. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* **2000**, *55*, 20–26. [CrossRef]
- 91. Portaccio, M.; Lepore, M.; Della Ventura, B.; Stoilova, O.; Manolova, N.; Rashkov, I.; Mita, D.G. Fiber-optic glucose biosensor based on glucose oxidase immobilised in a silica gel matrix. *J. Solgel Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *50*, 437–448. [CrossRef]
- 92. Sierra, J.F.; Galban, J.; de Marcos, S.; Castillo, J.R. Fluorimetric-enzymatic determination of glucose based on labelled glucose oxidase. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *368*, 97–104. [CrossRef]
- 93. Sanz, V.; Galban, J.; de Marcos, S.; Castillo, J.R. Fluorometric sensors based on chemically modified enzymes glucose determination in drinks. *Talanta* **2003**, *60*, 415–423. [CrossRef]
- 94. Sierra, J.F.; Galban, J.; De Marcos, S.; Castillo, J.R. Direct determination of glucose in serum by fluorimetry using a labeled enzyme. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2000**, *414*, 33–41. [CrossRef]

- Galbán, J.; Sierra, J.F.; López Sebastián, J.M.; de Marcos, S.; Castillo, J.R. Direct fluorometric determination of total cholesterol in serum using derivatized cholesterol oxidase. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 2000, 54, 1157–1162. [CrossRef]
- Andreu, Y.; Ostra, M.; Ubide, C.; Galbán, J.; de Marcos, S.; Castillo, J.R. Study of a fluorometric-enzymatic method for bilirubin based on chemically modified bilirubin-oxidase and multivariate calibration. *Talanta* 2002, 57, 343–353. [CrossRef]
- 97. Hartnett, A.M.; Ingersoll, C.M.; Baker, G.A.; Bright, F.V. Kinetics and thermodynamics of free flavins and the flavin-based redox active site within glucose oxidase dissolved in solution or sequestered within a sol–gel-derived glass. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71*, 1215–1224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhu, A.; Romero, R.; Petty, H.R. An enzymatic colorimetric assay for glucose-6-phosphate. *Anal. Biochem.* 2011, 419, 266–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gessei, T.; Arakawa, T.; Kudo, H.; Mitsubayashi, K. A fiber-optic sorbitol biosensor based on NADH fluorescence detection toward rapid diagnosis of diabetic complications. *Analyst* 2015, 140, 6335–6342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Cordek, J.; Wang, X.; Tan, W. Direct immobilization of glutamate dehydrogenase on optical fiber probes for ultrasensitive glutamate detection. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71*, 1529–1533. [CrossRef]
- 101. Thompson, R.B.; Jones, E.R. Enzyme-based fiber optic zinc biosensor. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65*, 730–734. [CrossRef]
- Blum, L.J.; Coulet, P.R. Luminescent biosensors. In *Biosensors and Their Applications*; Yang, V.C., Ngo, T.T., Eds.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 2000; pp. 213–223.
- 103. Klimant, I.; Belser, P.; Wolfbeis, O.S. Novel metal-organic ruthenium(II) diimin complexes for use as longwave excitable luminescent oxygen probes. *Talanta* **1994**, *41*, 985–991. [CrossRef]
- 104. Papkovsky, D.B.; Ovchinnikov, A.N.; Ogurtsov, V.I.; Ponomarev, G.V.; Korpela, T. Biosensors on the basis of luminescent oxygen sensor: The use of microporous light-scattering support materials. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 1998, 51, 137–145. [CrossRef]
- 105. Andrus, L.P.; Unruh, R.; Wisniewski, N.A.; McShane, M.J. Characterization of lactate sensors based on lactate oxidase and palladium benzoporphyrin immobilized in hydrogels. *Biosensors* 2015, *5*, 398–416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Scully, P.J.; Betancor, L.; Bolyo, J.; Dzyadevych, S.; Guisan, J.M.; Fernández-Lafuente, R.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Kuncová, G.; Matějec, V.; O'Kennedy, B.; et al. Optical fibre biosensors using enzymatic transducers to monitor glucose. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 2007, *18*, 3177–3186. [CrossRef]
- 107. Gautier, S.M.; Blum, L.J.; Coulet, P.R. Fibre-optic biosensor based on luminescence and immobilized enzymes: Microdetermination of sorbitol, ethanol and oxaloacetate. J. Biolumin. Chemilumin. 1990, 5, 57–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 108. Li, B.; Lan, D.; Zhang, Z. Chemiluminescence flow-through biosensor for glucose with eggshell membrane as enzyme immobilization platform. *Anal. Biochem.* **2008**, *374*, 64–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Ramos, M.C.; Torijas, M.C.; Navas Díaz, A. Enhanced chemiluminescence biosensor for the determination of phenolic compounds and hydrogen peroxide. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2001**, *73*, 71–75. [CrossRef]
- 110. Cattaneo, M.V.; Luong, J.H.T. Monitoring glutamine in animal cell cultures using a chemiluminescence fiber optic biosensor. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **1993**, *41*, 659–665. [CrossRef]
- 111. Freeman, T.M.; Seitz, W.R. Chemiluminescence fiber optic probe for hydrogen peroxide based on the luminol reaction. *Anal. Chem.* **1978**, *50*, 1242–1246. [CrossRef]
- Syshchyk, O.; Skryshevsky, V.A.; Soldatkin, O.O.; Soldatkin, A.P. Enzyme biosensor systems based on porous silicon photoluminescence for detection of glucose, urea and heavy metals. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2015, 66, 89–94. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, H.H.; Park, J.; Kang, S.; Kim, M. Surface Plasmon Resonance: A versatile technique for biosensor applications. *Sensors* 2015, 15, 10481–10510. [CrossRef]
- 114. Fong, C.C.; Lai, W.P.; Leung, Y.C.; Lo, S.C.L.; Wong, M.S.; Yang, M. Study of substrate-enzyme interaction between immobilized pyridoxamine and recombinant porcine pyridoxal kinase using surface plasmon resonance biosensor. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Struct. Mol. Enzymol.* 2002, 1596, 95–107. [CrossRef]
- 115. Jabbari, S.; Dabirmanesh, B.; Arab, S.S.; Amanlou, M.; Daneshjou, S.; Gholami, S.; Khajeh, K. A novel enzyme based SPR-biosensor to detect bromocriptine as an ergoline derivative drug. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2017, 240, 519–527. [CrossRef]

- 116. Milkani, E.; Lambert, C.R.; McGimpsey, W.G. Direct detection of acetylcholinesterase inhibitor binding with an enzyme-based surface plasmon resonance sensor. *Anal. Biochem.* **2011**, *408*, 212–219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Miyazaki, C.M.; Shimizu, F.M.; Mejía-Salazar, J.R.; Oliveira, O.N.; Ferreira, M. Surface plasmon resonance biosensor for enzymatic detection of small analytes. *Nanotechnology* 2017, 28, 145501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Arya, S.K.; Solanki, P.R.; Singh, S.P.; Kaneto, K.; Pandey, M.K.; Datta, M.; Malhotra, B.D. Poly-(3-hexylthiophene) self-assembled monolayer based cholesterol biosensor using surface plasmon resonance technique. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2007, 22, 2516–2524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kang, X.; Cheng, G.; Dong, S. A novel electrochemical SPR biosensor. *Electrochem. commun.* 2001, *3*, 489–493.
 [CrossRef]
- 120. Geitmann, M.; Danielson, U.H. Studies of substrate-induced conformational changes in human cytomegalovirus protease using optical biosensor technology. *Anal. Biochem.* 2004, 332, 203–214. [CrossRef]
- 121. Mishra, G.K.; Sharma, A.; Deshpande, K.; Bhand, S. Flow injection analysis biosensor for urea analysis in urine using enzyme thermistor. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *174*, 998–1009. [CrossRef]
- 122. Mattiasson, B.; Borrebaeck, C.; Sanfridson, B.; Mosbach, K. Thermometric enzyme linked immunosorbent assay: TELISA. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1977, 483, 221–227. [CrossRef]
- 123. Scheper, T.; Brandes, W.; Maschke, H.; Plotz, F.; Muller, C. Two FIA-based biosensor systems studied for bioprocess monitoring. *J. Biotechnol.* **1993**, *31*, 345–356. [CrossRef]
- 124. Yakovleva, M.; Bhand, S.; Danielsson, B. The enzyme thermistor—A realistic biosensor concept. A critical review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2013, 766, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Yang, Z.; Si, S.; Dai, H.; Zhang, C. Piezoelectric urea biosensor based on immobilization of urease onto nanoporous alumina membranes. *Bioelectron.* 2007, 22, 3283–3287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Seker, Ş.; Arslan, Y.E.; Elçin, Y.M. Electrospun nanofibrous PLGA/fullerene-C60 coated quartz crystal microbalance for real-time gluconic acid monitoring. *IEEE Sens. J.* **2010**, *10*, 1342–1348. [CrossRef]
- 127. Abad, J.M.; Pariente, F.; Hernández, L.; Abruña, H.D.; Lorenzo, E. Determination of organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides using a piezoelectric biosensor. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70*, 2848–2855. [CrossRef]
- Alfonta, L.; Katz, E.; Willner, I. Sensing of acetylcholine by a tricomponent-enzyme layered electrode using faradaic impedance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, and microgravimetric quartz crystal microbalance transduction methods. *Anal. Chem.* 2000, 72, 927–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Karousos, N.G.; Aouabdi, S.; Way, A.S.; Reddy, S.M. Quartz crystal microbalance determination of organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2002, *469*, 189–196. [CrossRef]
- Juřík, T.; Skládal, P. Detection of hydrogen peroxide and glucose by enzyme product precipitation on sensor surface. *Chem. Pap.* 2015, *69*, 167–175. [CrossRef]
- 131. Martin, S.P.; Lamb, D.J.; Lynch, J.M.; Reddy, S.M. Enzyme-based determination of cholesterol using the quartz crystal acoustic wave sensor. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2003, *487*, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- Tang, S.; Ma, W.; Xie, G.; Su, Y.; Jiang, Y. Acetylcholinesterase-reduced graphene oxide hybrid films for organophosphorus neurotoxin sensing via quartz crystal microbalance. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2016, 660, 199–204. [CrossRef]
- 133. Campàs, M.; Prieto-Simón, B.; Marty, J.L. A review of the use of genetically engineered enzymes in electrochemical biosensors. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2009**, *20*, 3–9. [CrossRef]
- 134. Nguyen, H.H.; Park, J.; Park, S.J.; Lee, C.-S.; Hwang, S.; Shin, Y.-B.; Ha, T.H.; Kim, M. Long-term stability and integrity of plasmid-based DNA data storage. *Polymers* **2018**, *10*, 28. [CrossRef]
- Istamboulie, G.; Andreescu, S.; Marty, J.-L.; Noguer, T. Highly sensitive detection of organophosphorus insecticides using magnetic microbeads and genetically engineered acetylcholinesterase. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2007, 23, 506–512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Sotiropoulou, S.; Fournier, D.; Chaniotakis, N.A. Genetically engineered acetylcholinesterase-based biosensor for attomolar detection of dichlorvos. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2005**, *20*, 2347–2352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Boublik, Y.; Saint-Aguet, P.; Lougarre, A.; Arnaud, M.; Villatte, F.; Estrada-Mondaca, S.; Fournier, D. Acetylcholinesterase engineering for detection of insecticide residues. *Protein Eng.* 2002, 15, 43–50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Yamazaki, T.; Kojima, K.; Sode, K. Extended-range glucose sensor employing engineered glucose dehydrogenases. *Anal. Chem.* 2000, *72*, 4689–4693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Moore, A.N.J.; Katz, E.; Willner, I. Electrocatalytic reduction of organic peroxides in organic solvents by microperoxidase-11 immobilized as a monolayer on a gold electrode. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1996, 417, 189–192. [CrossRef]
- 140. Behera, S.; Raj, C.R. Mercaptoethylpyrazine promoted electrochemistry of redox protein and amperometric biosensing of uric acid. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2007**, *23*, 556–561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Niu, W.; Guo, J. Novel fluorescence-based biosensors incorporating unnatural amino acids. *Methods Enzymol.* 2017, 589, 191–219. [PubMed]
- 142. Wang, A.; Winblade, N.; Marelli, M.; Grabstei, K. Protein engineering with non-natural amino acids. In *Protein Engineering*; Kaumaya, P., Ed.; InTech: London, UK, 2012; pp. 253–290.
- 143. Ugwumba, I.N.; Ozawa, K.; Xu, Z.Q.; Ely, F.; Foo, J.L.; Herlt, A.J.; Coppin, C.; Brown, S.; Taylor, M.C.; Ollis, D.L.; et al. Improving a natural enzyme activity through incorporation of unnatural amino acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 326–333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Madoz-Gúrpide, J.; Abad, J.M.; Fernández-Recio, J.; Vélez, M.; Vázquez, L.; Gómez-Moreno, C.; Fernández, V.M. Modulation of electroenzymatic NADPH oxidation through oriented immobilization of ferredoxin:NADP⁺ reductase onto modified gold electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 9808–9817. [CrossRef]
- 145. Andreescu, S.; Magearu, V.; Lougarre, A.; Fournier, D.; Marty, J.-L. Immobilization of enzymes on screen-printed sensors via an histidine tail. Application to the detection of pesticides using modified cholinesterase. *Anal. Lett.* **2001**, *34*, 529–540. [CrossRef]
- 146. Kapp, A.; Beissenhirtz, M.K.; Geyer, F.; Scheller, F.; Viezzoli, M.S.; Lisdat, F. Electrochemical and sensorial behavior of SOD mutants immobilized on gold electrodes in aqueous/organic solvent mixtures. *Electroanalysis* **2006**, *18*, 1909–1915. [CrossRef]
- 147. Uematsu, K.; Minami, Y.; Taira, S.; Katano, H. Promotion and suppression effects of cationic polymer ε-poly-L-lysine on the glucose oxidase reaction with ferrocene derivatives as oxidants with different charges. *Anal. Sci.* 2014, *30*, 299–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Zhou, Y.F.; Zhang, X.E.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Z.P.; Zhang, C.G.; Cass, A.E. Construction of a fusion enzyme system by gene splicing as a new molecular recognition element for a sequence biosensor. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2001, 12, 924–931. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, L.-Q.; Zhang, X.-E.; Xie, W.-H.; Zhou, Y.-F.; Zhang, Z.-P.; Cass, A.E.G. Genetic modification of glucose oxidase for improving performance of an amperometric glucose biosensor. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2002, 17, 851–857. [CrossRef]
- Rodrigues, R.C.; Berenguer-Murcia, Á.; Fernandez-Lafuente, R. Coupling chemical modification and immobilization to improve the catalytic performance of enzymes. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2011, 353, 2216–2238.
 [CrossRef]
- Baslé, E.; Joubert, N.; Pucheault, M. Protein chemical modification on endogenous amino acids. *Chem. Biol.* 2010, 17, 213–227. [CrossRef]
- 152. Davis, B.G. Chemical modification of biocatalysts. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2003, 14, 379–386. [CrossRef]
- 153. Liu, D.S.; Tangpeerachaikul, A.; Selvaraj, R.; Taylor, M.T.; Fox, J.M.; Ting, A.Y. Diels–Alder Cycloaddition for fluorophore targeting to specific proteins inside living cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 134, 792–795. [CrossRef]
- 154. Chalker, J.M.; Bernardes, G.J.L.; Lin, Y.A.; Davis, B.G. Chemical modification of proteins at cysteine: Opportunities in chemistry and biology. *Chem. Asian J.* **2009**, *4*, 630–640. [CrossRef]
- 155. Groups, G. Chemical modification of amino groups and guanidino groups of trypsin. *Biochem. J.* **1975**, 147, 71–81.
- 156. Li, X.; Zhang, L.; Hall, S.E.; Tam, J.P. A new ligation method for N-terminal tryptophan-containing peptides using the Pictet–Spengler reaction. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 4069–4073. [CrossRef]
- 157. Dawson, P.E.; Muir, T.W.; Clark-Lewis, I.; Kent, S.B. Synthesis of proteins by native chemical ligation. *Science* **1994**, 266, 776–779. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 158. Tam, J.P.; Yu, Q.; Miao, Z. Orthogonal ligation strategies for peptide and protein. *Biopolymers* **1999**, *51*, 311–332. [CrossRef]
- Geoghegan, K.F.; Stroh, J.G. Site-directed conjugation of nonpeptide groups to peptides and proteins via periodate oxidation of a 2-amino alcohol. Application to modification at N-terminal serine. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 1992, 3, 138–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Ó'Fágáin, C. Enzyme stabilization—recent experimental progress. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 2003, 33, 137–149.
 [CrossRef]
- 161. Palomo, J.M.; Fernández-Lorente, G.; Guisán, J.M.; Fernández-Lafuente, R. Modulation of immobilized lipase enantioselectivity via chemical amination. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 1119–1127. [CrossRef]
- 162. Khajeh, K.; Naderi-Manesh, H.; Ranjbar, B.; Moosavi-Movahedi, A.; Nemat-Gorgani, M. Chemical modification of lysine residues in Bacillus a-amylases: effect on activity and stability. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 2001, 28, 543–549. [CrossRef]
- 163. Sangeetha, K.; Abraham, T.E. Chemical modification of papain for use in alkaline medium. *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.* **2006**, *38*, 171–177. [CrossRef]
- Fass, D.; Thorpe, C. Chemistry and enzymology of disulfide cross-linking in proteins. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 1169–1198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Migneault, I.; Dartiguenave, C.; Bertrand, M.J.; Waldron, K.C. Glutaraldehyde: Behavior in aqueous solution, reaction with proteins, and application to enzyme crosslinking. *Biotechniques* 2004, 37, 790–802. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 166. Torchilin, V.P.; Maksimenko, A.V.; Smirnov, V.N.; Berezin, I.V.; Martinek, K. Principles of enzyme stabilization V. The possibility of enzyme selfstabilization under the action of potentially reversible intramolecular cross-linkages of different length. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta–Enzymol.* **1979**, *568*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 167. Reiner, R.; Siebeneick, H.-U.; Christensen, I.; Döring, H. Chemical modification of enzymes II. Conformational stability of cross-linked bovine pancreatic ribonuclease. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1977**, *1*, 3–12. [CrossRef]
- 168. Herzig, D.J.; Rees, A.W.; Day, R.A. Bifunctional reagents and protein structure determination. The reaction of phenolic disulfonyl chlorides with lysozyme. *Biopolymers* **1964**, *2*, 349–360. [CrossRef]
- 169. Cowan, D.A.; Fernandez-Lafuente, R. Enhancing the functional properties of thermophilic enzymes by chemical modification and immobilization. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **2011**, *49*, 326–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 170. Torchilin, V.P.; Trubetskoy, V.S. Stabilization of subunit enzymes by intramolecular crosslinking with bifunctional reagents. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1984**, 434, 27–30. [CrossRef]
- 171. Kim, W.; Chae, I.; Park, C.; Lee, K. Stability and activity of cross-linked enzyme crystals of cyclodextrin glucanotransferase isolated from *Bacillus macerans*. J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 2003, 26, 287–292. [CrossRef]
- 172. Cui, J.D.; Li, L.L.; Bian, H.J. Immobilization of cross-linked phenylalanine ammonia lyase aggregates in microporous silica gel. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8*, e80581. [CrossRef]
- 173. López-Serrano, P.; Cao, L.; van Rantwijk, F.; Sheldon, R.A. Cross-linked enzyme aggregates with enhanced activity: Application to lipases. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **2002**, *24*, 1379–1383. [CrossRef]
- 174. Van Rijn, P. Polymer directed protein assemblies. Polymers 2013, 5, 576–599. [CrossRef]
- 175. Romero, O.; Filice, M.; de las Rivas, B.; Carrasco-Lopez, C.; Klett, J.; Morreale, A.; Hermoso, J.A.; Guisan, J.M.; Abian, O.; Palomo, J.M. Semisynthetic peptide–lipase conjugates for improved biotransformations. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 9053–9055. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Bolivar, J.M.; Rocha-Martin, J.; Mateo, C.; Cava, F.; Berenguer, J.; Fernandez-Lafuente, R.; Guisan, J.M. Coating of soluble and immobilized enzymes with ionic polymers: Full stabilization of the quaternary structure of multimeric enzymes. *Biomacromolecules* **2009**, *10*, 742–747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Nakashima, K.; Maruyama, T.; Kamiya, N.; Goto, M. Homogeneous enzymatic reactions in ionic liquids with poly(ethylene glycol)-modified subtilisin. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4*, 3462–3467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 178. Gupta, M.N.; Roy, I. Enzymes in organic media: Forms, functions and applications. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2004, 271, 2575–2583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Takahashi, K.; Nishimura, H.; Yoshimoto, T.; Okada, M.; Ajima, A.; Matsushima, A.; Tamaura, Y.; Saito, Y.; Inada, Y. Polyethylene glycol-modified enzymes trap water on their surface and exert enzymic activity in organic solvents. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **1984**, *6*, 765–770. [CrossRef]
- 180. Altikatoglu, M.; Basaran, Y.; Arioz, C.; Ogan, A.; Kuzu, H. Glucose oxidase-dextran conjugates with enhanced stabilities against temperature and pH. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *160*, 2187–2197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 181. Wollenberger, U.; Schubert, F.; Pfeiffer, D.; Scheller, F.W. Enhancing biosensor performance using multienzyme systems. *Trends Biotechnol.* **1993**, *11*, 255–262. [CrossRef]
- Muschiol, J.; Peters, C.; Oberleitner, N.; Mihovilovic, M.D.; Bornscheuer, U.T.; Rudroff, F. Cascade catalysis–strategies and challenges en route to preparative synthetic biology. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, 51, 5798–5811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 183. Bongiovanni, C.; Ferri, T.; Poscia, A.; Varalli, M.; Santucci, R.; Desideri, A. An electrochemical multienzymatic biosensor for determination of cholesterol. *Bioelectrochemistry* **2001**, *54*, 17–22. [CrossRef]
- 184. Fang, Y.; Umasankar, Y.; Ramasamy, R.P. A novel bi-enzyme electrochemical biosensor for selective and sensitive determination of methyl salicylate. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2016**, *81*, 39–45. [CrossRef]
- 185. Mansor, N.N.N.; Leong, T.T.; Safitri, E.; Futra, D.; Ahmad, N.S.; Nasuruddin, D.N.; Itnin, A.; Zaini, I.Z.; Arifin, K.T.; Heng, L.Y.; et al. An amperometric biosensor for the determination of bacterial sepsis biomarker, secretory phospholipase group 2-IIA using a tri-enzyme system. *Sensors* 2018, *18*, 686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Bóka, B.; Korózs, M.; Nánási, M.; Adányi, N. Novel Amperometric Tri-enzyme biosensor for lysine determination in pharmaceutical products and food samples. *Electroanalysis* **2015**, *27*, 817–824. [CrossRef]
- 187. Hamid, J.A.; Moody, G.J.; Thomas, J.D.R. Flow-through multi-enzyme electrodes for the determination of lactose. *Analyst* **1989**, *114*, 1587–1592. [CrossRef]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).