



Immune Evasion Strategies of *Trypanosoma brucei* within the Mammalian Host: Progression to Pathogenicity

Benoît Stijlemans^{1,2*}, Guy Caljon^{3,4}, Jan Van Den Abbeele⁴, Jo A. Van Ginderachter^{1,2}, Stefan Magez^{2,5} and Carl De Trez^{2,5}

¹Laboratory of Myeloid Cell Immunology, VIB Inflammation Research Center, Ghent, Belgium, ²Laboratory of Cellular and Molecular Immunology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels, Belgium, ³Laboratory for Microbiology, Parasitology and Hygiene (LMPH), University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium, ⁴Unit of Veterinary Protozoology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp (ITM), Antwerp, Belgium, ⁵Department of Structural Biology, VIB, Brussels, Belgium

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alexandre Morrot,
Federal University of
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Muriel Moser,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
Ana Rosa Pérez,
Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones
Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina

*Correspondence:

Benoît Stijlemans
bstijlem@vub.ac.be

Specialty section:

This article was submitted
to Microbial Immunology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 24 February 2016

Accepted: 30 May 2016

Published: 24 June 2016

Citation:

Stijlemans B, Caljon G,
Van Den Abbeele J,
Van Ginderachter JA, Magez S and
De Trez C (2016) Immune Evasion
Strategies of *Trypanosoma brucei*
within the Mammalian Host:
Progression to Pathogenicity.
Front. Immunol. 7:233.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00233

The diseases caused by African trypanosomes (AT) are of both medical and veterinary importance and have adversely influenced the economic development of sub-Saharan Africa. Moreover, so far not a single field applicable vaccine exists, and chemotherapy is the only strategy available to treat the disease. These strictly extracellular protozoan parasites are confronted with different arms of the host's immune response (cellular as well as humoral) and via an elaborate and efficient (vector)–parasite–host interplay they have evolved efficient immune escape mechanisms to evade/manipulate the entire host immune response. This is of importance, since these parasites need to survive sufficiently long in their mammalian/vector host in order to complete their life cycle/transmission. Here, we will give an overview of the different mechanisms AT (i.e. *T. brucei* as a model organism) employ, comprising both tsetse fly saliva and parasite-derived components to modulate host innate immune responses thereby sculpturing an environment that allows survival and development within the mammalian host.

Keywords: African trypanosomiasis, *T. brucei*, tsetse fly, innate immune response, pathogenicity

INTRODUCTION

Trypanosomatids, which include African trypanosomes (AT), American trypanosomes (i.e. *Trypanosoma cruzi* causing Chagas' disease) and different *Leishmania* species, comprise a large group of flagellated unicellular protozoa with a parasitic and complex digenetic life cycle. These diseases, exhibiting high morbidity and mortality rates, affect millions of impoverished populations in the developing world, display a limited response to chemotherapy, and are classified as neglected tropical diseases by the World Health Organization (WHO) (1, 2). In contrast to the other two trypanosomatids, the diseases caused by AT are of both medical and veterinary importance and

Abbreviations: BBB, blood–brain barrier; DMG, dimyristoyl glycerol; GPI, glycosylphosphatidylinositol; Hb, hemoglobin; Hp, haptoglobin; HpHbR, haptoglobin–hemoglobin receptor; LS, long slender; M1 cells, classically activated myeloid cells; NHS, normal human serum; SR-A, scavenger receptor type A; SRA, serum resistance antigen; SS, short stumpy; TbAdC, *T. brucei* adenylate cyclase; TbKHC, *T. brucei* kinesin heavy chain; TgsGP, *T. gambiense*-specific glycoprotein; TLF, Trypanosome lytic factor; TLTF, T-lymphocyte-triggering factor; TSIF, trypanosome suppression immunomodulating factor.

adversely influence the economic development of sub-Saharan Africa. Indeed, upon transmission through the bite of their blood-feeding vector (i.e., the tsetse fly, *Glossina spp.*), these parasites can cause fatal diseases in mammals, commonly called sleeping sickness in humans [Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT)] or Nagana (AAT, Animal African Trypanosomiasis) in domestic livestock. According to the WHO, from the 60 million people living in the risk areas (i.e., the “tsetse” belt), approximately 300,000 people are currently infected with trypanosomes leading to 10,000–40,000 deaths annually (3, 4). The human pathogens *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* (accounting for over 95% of cases) and *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense* (accounting for the remainder of cases) do not only differ in geographical distribution but also differ biologically, clinically, therapeutically, and epidemiologically and cause separate diseases (3, 5, 6). By contrast, the animal pathogens causing either Nagana (*Trypanosoma brucei brucei*, *Trypanosoma congolense*, *Trypanosoma vivax*) or Surra (*Trypanosoma evansi*) or Dourine (*Trypanosoma equiperdum*), do not cause disease in humans. Of note, some atypical human infections with animal trypanosomes, such as *T. evansi*, have been reported, which relate to deficiencies in the innate resistance to these otherwise non-human pathogens (7). Yet, AAT mainly caused by *T. congolense* and to a lesser extent by *T. b. brucei* and *T. vivax* forms a major constraint on cattle production. Hence, Nagana has a great impact on the nutrition of millions of people living in the most endemic areas, and on the agriculture economics of their countries, resulting in an estimated annual economic cost of about US\$ 4 billion (8). Furthermore, the lack of prospect for vaccine development against AT is reinforced by (i) the fact that pharmaceutical companies are less prone to engage/invest in drug discovery/development against diseases that affect the poorest people, (ii) the political instability of the affected regions, (iii) the fact that wild animals function as reservoir of the parasite and, therefore, hamper the control of the disease, and (iv) the inappropriate use of the available drugs resulting in the emergence of drug resistance (8–11). Nevertheless, so far chemotherapy using compounds that target unique organelles of trypanosomes (i.e., glycosomes and kinetoplast) that are absent in the mammalian host and/or trypanosome metabolic pathways that differ from the host counterparts (carbohydrate metabolism, protein and lipid modifications, programed cell death) remain the only therapeutic choice for these diseases (12–16).

In contrast to the other two trypanosomatids, AT are strictly extracellular. Hence, they have developed efficient immune escape mechanisms to evade/manipulate the entire host immune response (cellular and humoral), involving an elaborate and efficient vector–parasite–host interplay, to survive sufficiently long in their mammalian host in order to complete their life cycle/transmission. Most of the research on AT uses murine models, which are more easily amenable compared to cattle or other domestic animals. Furthermore, given that the HAT causing *T. b. rhodesiense* and *T. b. gambiense* parasites highly resemble *T. b. brucei* (a non-human pathogenic subspecies causing Nagana), and chronic murine HAT models are scarce, the majority of research uses *T. b. brucei* as a model (17, 18). In this review, we will give an overview of the immunological events occurring during the early stages of infection within the mammalian host,

using *T. b. brucei* as a model organism. We will also describe the different strategies that trypanosomes developed to sequentially activate and modulate innate immune responses to successfully escape immune elimination and maintain a chronic infection. Finally, we will discuss briefly how the host innate/adaptive immune response can culminate in immunopathogenicity development in trypanosusceptible animals.

EVASION MECHANISMS OF AFRICAN TRYPANOSOMES IN THE MAMMALIAN HOST

Trypanosoma brucei parasites cycle between the alimentary tract/salivary glands of the tsetse fly vector and the blood/tissues of the mammalian host. In each host, parasites undergo many life cycle changes (i.e., in the tsetse fly as procyclic/epimastigote/metacyclic forms and in the mammalian host as bloodstream forms) with discrete/important morphological and metabolic changes, which are programed precisely to adapt to different growth conditions/nutrient availability imposed by the different hosts and microenvironments they inhabit (19–22). These include, fine-tuning of energy metabolism, organelle reorganization, and biochemical and structural remodeling, which is supported by major changes in gene expression and proliferation status to adapt/survive in the different hosts (23). Furthermore, within the mammalian host, the complex life cycle of *T. brucei* consists of a succession of proliferative [long slender (LS)] and quiescent [short stumpy (SS)] developmental forms, which vary in cell architecture and function (23). Hereby, in response to a quorum sensing mechanism involving a stumpy-inducing factor (SIF) (24, 25), the LS forms differentiate into SS forms that are pre-adapted for the next developmental transition to procyclic forms, which occurs after ingestion by a tsetse fly (26).

Due to millions of years of co-evolution, these parasites have been able to thwart host innate responses and escape early recognition, allowing the initiation of infection in their respective hosts. In this section, we will give an overview of how trypanosomes can benefit from tsetse fly saliva components to initiate infection and subsequently how trypanosomal components can dampen/sulpture distinct innate immune responses in the mammalian host, which are pivotal in allowing early parasite infection and subsequent chronic infection.

Tsetse Fly Saliva Components Sculpture an Immune-Tolerant Microenvironment to Allow Establishment of Trypanosome Infections

A typical infection in the mammalian host begins when the infective stage, i.e., the metacyclic form, is co-injected with saliva intradermally by the tsetse fly. Hereby, the skin of the vertebrate host is a crucial anatomical barrier that pathogens have to overcome in order to establish infection. Within this microenvironment, pharmacological as well as immunological processes occur aimed at preventing pathogen development, whereby cells (lymphocytes, myeloid phagocytes, keratinocytes,...) sense the presence of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) as well as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) via different

pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), leading to the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, type-I IFN, chemokines, reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, and antimicrobial peptides (27–29). Yet, during evolution, the skin has become a key interface for arthropod-borne diseases, whereby the pathogen in concert with saliva components transforms the skin barrier into an immune-tolerant organ supporting parasite development (30–32). This was strengthened by the observations by Caljon et al. (33) that the presence of tsetse fly saliva allowed a faster onset of the disease, which was associated with a reduced induction of inflammatory mediators at the site of infection and the interference of tsetse fly saliva with host hemostatic reactions (34). Indeed, tsetse fly saliva was shown to exert a dual pharmacological role (see **Figure 1**, red panel), (i) interfere with vertebrate host responses to enable successful blood feeding via the suppression of vasoconstriction, platelet aggregation, and coagulation [involving the anti-coagulant thrombin inhibitor (TTI), a 5′Nucleotidase-related apyrase and Adenosine Deaminase-related proteins (ADA)] (35–41) and (ii) modulate the host immune environment at the bite site that impacts pathogen transmission (42–44). Saliva was also reported to be highly immunogenic/allergenic in nature, thereby promoting infection onset in saliva immunized animals (45). For instance, Tsetse Antigen5 (TAg5) was shown to sensitize mice and trigger acute hypersensitivity reactions, which in turn could allow more efficient parasite extravasation into the blood circulation (46). A recently identified immunoregulatory peptide Gloss2 in tsetse fly saliva was shown to inhibit the secretion of trypanolytic molecules, such as TNF, and other pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IFN- γ and IL-6, which could allow parasites to avoid initial elimination (44). Yet, through the use of transcriptome analyses and the availability of a partially annotated tsetse genome, it might be expected that many more proteins will be identified in the near future (34, 47).


Within the local immune-tolerant skin microenvironment, the metacyclic parasites respond to the increased temperature and rapidly transform into blood-stage trypomastigotes (LS forms), which divide by binary fission in the interstitial spaces at the bite site. Subsequently, they disseminate via the draining lymph nodes (48, 49). The first visible sign of a trypanosome infection coincides in many but not all instances with the occurrence of a “chancre,” several days after infection (48, 50, 51). This development (onset, size, and duration) of the chancre correlates with the number of metacyclic parasites inoculated into the skin and is due to a local immune response directed against the variable antigen type (VAT) of the proliferating metacyclic forms (48). This consists of buildup of metabolic waste and cell debris from apoptotic cells, mainly neutrophils, releasing their intracellular cargo [i.e., neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), antimicrobial peptides] aiming at capturing and subsequently killing the pathogens (52). Of note, although there are so far no reports documenting the contribution of neutrophils at the early stages of AT infection, the contribution of these phagocytes are documented in many other protozoan infections, such as Leishmaniasis and Malaria (52–54). Subsequently, the apoptotic cells in concert with parasite- and vector-derived components will be internalized by myeloid phagocytic cells (MPC) and degraded/processed to initiate innate immune responses (52–54). Also CD4⁺ T lymphocytes

were shown to play a key role in chancre formation, since *in vivo* depletion of CD4⁺ T cells before inoculation of trypanosomes via a tsetse-fly bite resulted in a significant reduction of chancre formation (50).

Trypanosome-Derived Components Allow Parasite Survival and Sculpture Host Responses

SRA and TgsGP Allow Resistance to Normal Human Serum-Mediated Lysis

An important first step in the initiation and establishment of a trypanosome infection in the mammalian host is associated with cell cycle re-entry and metabolic/morphological/structural changes (see **Figure 1**, blue panel). This is required for acquisition of nutrients (i.e., glucose/iron/heme) in order to proliferate and subsequently activate immune evasion mechanisms to establish infection (20, 48, 55). Since trypanosomes are deficient in heme biosynthesis and heme cannot diffuse through the parasites’ membrane (56–58), they require uptake of exogenous heme by the haptoglobin (Hp)–hemoglobin (Hb) receptor (HpHbR) located in the parasites’ flagellar pocket (59). Following release of Hb from destroyed erythrocytes, it will be complexed with Hp, forming a Hp–Hb complex, which can be recognized by the myeloid phagocyte system (MPS) via CD163 and by the trypanosomal HpHbR. This will allow parasites to acquire and incorporate heme into intracellular hemoproteins required for optimal parasite growth and resistance to the oxidative burst by host cells. However, the HpHbR is also involved in primate innate immunity against certain trypanosome species (60, 61). Indeed, the serum of catarrhine primates and humans contains two trypanolytic particles: (i) a 500 kDa high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-bound trypanosome lytic factor (TLF)-1 and (ii) a 2 mDa large lipid-poor (<2%) IgM/apolipoprotein A-1 complex called TLF-2, that harbor the trypanolytic primate-specific apolipoprotein L1 (ApoL1), ApoA1, and Hp-related protein (Hpr) (62–65). Importantly, Hpr is a gene duplication product exhibiting high homology with Hp, which interacts with Hb to form an Hpr–Hb complex on the TLF-1 particles (66, 67). Following binding of the TLF-1 particle to the HpHbR (60, 68, 69), the entire TLF-1 particle is endocytosed and targeted to the lysosome. Subsequently, ApoL1 forms a pore in the endolysosomal membrane and triggers lysosomal swelling leading to the lethal release of lysosomal content into the parasites’ cytosol (70–76). In addition, it was shown that the C-terminal kinesin *TbKIFC1* is involved in ApoL1-mediated lysis, whereby it transports ApoL1 from the endolysosomal membrane to the mitochondrion, leading to mitochondrial membrane depolarization and fenestration and subsequently lysis (77). Two different models are proposed to explain TLF-2 mediated killing; (i) since both Hpr and ApoL1 are present in this particle and TLF-2 killing of *T. b. brucei* is partly dependent on the *TbHpHbR* receptor for uptake, TLF-2 may function in a manner similar to TLF-1 (60, 69). Yet, given that TLF-2 killing was not inhibited by the addition of Hp, a potent competitive inhibitor of TLF-1 uptake, it is more likely that TLF-2 has a different mode of internalization than TLF-1 (62, 73). (ii) TLF-2 uptake may also be linked to ApoL1 interaction with the *T. b. brucei* variable surface



glycoprotein (VSG) coat or TLF-2-associated IgM may bind *T. b. brucei*, as it is the only protein component that distinguishes both classes of TLF (78). Yet, so far no results supporting either mechanism of TLF-2 binding to *T. b. brucei* have been reported.

In contrast to the widespread *T. b. brucei* subspecies, which is highly infectious in many non-primate species that do not express Hpr and ApoL1 (79), the human pathogenic subspecies *T. b. rhodesiense* and *T. b. gambiense* express resistance proteins. Indeed, *T. b. rhodesiense* expresses a serum resistance antigen (SRA) and *T. b. gambiense* expresses a specific glycoprotein (TgsGP) counteracting ApoL1 activity (80–82), thereby enabling these parasites to evade the lethal action of TLF particles (see **Figure 1**, blue panel). Furthermore, *T. b. gambiense* exhibits low-level HpHbR expression and harbors an amino acid substitution (L210S) in HpHbR, leading to reduced TLF-1 uptake (76, 80–85). Recently, it was shown that SRA can be transferred from *T. b. rhodesiense*

to *T. b. brucei* by membranous nanotubes that originate from the flagellar membrane and disassociate into free extracellular vesicles (EV) (86). Hence, this could result in the exchange of virulence factors that confer resistance to innate elimination.

T. brucei-Derived Kinesin Heavy Chain (TbKHC1) and Adenylate Cyclase Dampen Inflammation and Promote Parasite Growth

Besides parasite-derived factors playing a role in resistance to normal human serum (NHS), some parasite-derived molecules (see **Figure 1**, blue panel) are also able to dampen pro-inflammatory responses (TNF, NO) by classically activated macrophages (M1), needed for initial parasite control. One such important *T. brucei* protein is the Kinesin Heavy Chain 1 (TbKHC1) (87), which is released by the parasites in the environment via an unknown mechanism and sustains the development of the first (most

prominent) peak of parasitemia in the blood and its control by the host. Following binding of TbKHC1 to the SIGN-R1 molecule (i.e., a surface C-type lectin expressed mainly by marginal zone macrophages within the spleen), the arginine/NO metabolism is modulated in favor of arginase activity via an IL-10-dependent induction of arginase-1 and down-regulation of iNOS activities. In turn, this stimulates the production by the host of L-ornithine and hereby the synthesis of polyamines, which are essential nutrients for growth of trypanosomes in the host (88). Consequently, IL-10/arginase-1-producing immune cells are impaired in their capacity to destroy the parasite, thereby favoring parasite settlement. Another factor that trypanosomes use to establish infection comprises in the large family of transmembrane receptor-like adenylate cyclases (AdCs), called *T. brucei* Adenylate Cyclase (TbAdC) (89), which converts ATP into cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). During steady-state conditions, the TbAdC levels are low as is the cAMP production, yet upon stress (such as phagocytosis by M1 cells) the TbAdC levels can be elevated ~250-fold above the basal cellular content (89, 90). Subsequently, the cytoplasmic cAMP levels within the phagocytes increase, activating protein kinase A and leading to the inhibition of the synthesis of the trypanolytic cytokine TNF (91, 92). Hence, it seems that trypanosomes have developed a system whereby altruistic parasites are phagocytosed, thereby disabling the M1-mediated innate immune response required for parasite control (see **Figure 1**), and paving the way for initiation and establishment of the first wave of parasitemia.

Surface Coat Remodeling Prevents Elimination by the Humoral Immune Response

Given that AT are strictly extracellular parasites, they are confronted with the hosts' humoral immune response. Yet, one of the most fundamental changes occurring when parasites are inoculated into the mammalian host is the remodeling of the parasite cell surface (93). Indeed, within the mammalian host the metacyclic forms rapidly transform into the typical LS bloodstream forms expressing a different uniform VSG coat (94). This VSG coat consists of 5×10^6 homodimers of 50–60 kDa subunits held on the extracellular face of the plasma membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor (95), which consists of a ethanolaminephosphate-6-mannose- α 1,2-mannose- α 1,6-mannose- α 1,4-glucosamine- α 1,6-myoinositol-1-phospholipid motif and a short galactose chain (96–99). Despite great variations in primary sequence, the secondary and tertiary structural features are highly conserved within the ordered coat structure (100). Although VSG molecules are free to diffuse in the plane of the membrane (101, 102), this ~15-nm-thick VSG coat has a dual role: shield off buried invariant proteins from recognition by the hosts' innate/acquired immune system and protect bloodstream parasites against complement-mediated lysis. Indeed, activation of the alternative pathway, which occurs in the absence of specific antibodies (Abs), may potentially play a crucial role in parasite clearance during the early stage of infection. Yet, it was shown by Devine et al. (103) that *T. b. gambiense* parasites, which are covered by C3, specifically inhibit the activation of the alternative pathway through their VSGs by masking sites on the plasma membrane, which are capable of promoting alternative

pathway activation (104). Hence, the activation of the alternative pathway did not proceed further than the establishment of the C3 convertase, thereby impairing the generation of the terminal complex (C5–C9) which normally induces trypanolysis (103). In addition, soluble complement molecules, such as C3a and C5a, secreted during early stages of trypanosome infection, can further contribute to the initiation of the early inflammatory immune response within the chancre and may also act as (i) chemotactic agents attracting phagocytes to the site of infection and (ii) release histamine from mast cells thereby increasing microvascular permeability (105), which would allow/enable parasite extravasation into the blood circulation. Of note, the classical pathway, activated by immune complexes of trypanosome antigens and Abs, seems to contribute to trypanosome clearance through antibody-mediated trypanolysis and/or phagocytosis, which is of importance during peak parasitemia clearance (see later). Yet, also in this scenario, parasites are able to eliminate/remove surface-bound IgG (immune complexes) as well as complement through their rapid VSG recycling system and thereby prevent elimination (106). Furthermore, since complement is essential in antibody-mediated destruction of trypanosomes, by releasing vast amounts of soluble VSG (sVSG), mainly observed at the peak of parasitemia, this will scavenge complement factors and, hence, induce a state of hypocomplementemia (107, 108). This might favor the survival and escape of a minority of the parasites.

Additionally, binding of anti-VSG IgG or IgM to the trypanosome's coat results in parasite aggregation. Yet, trypanosomes are able to disaggregate in an energy-dependent manner involving protein kinase-C as part of the defense against the host humoral immune system (109). Hence, this could function as a survival strategy in the presence of antibody prior to the occurrence of VSG switching (109). The parasite's surface consisting of repetitive monotypic VSG molecules can cross-link B cell receptors (BCRs) and subsequently lead to T-cell-independent B-cell activation (110). However, during the process of antigenic variation (from metacyclic form toward trypomastigote form) mediated via changing VSG expression sites (i.e., *in situ* switching or transcriptional control) or by gene replacement resulting in a switch of the terminal telomeric VSG gene, heterologous VSG molecules are presented on the surface, thereby forming a mosaic VSG coat, which prevents direct B cell activation until a VSG uniformity is obtained (111, 112). This in turn might allow parasites to transiently escape T cell-independent B cell-mediated elimination and gives time to transform into trypomastigote forms adapted to survive in the mammalian host. Hence, this process gives the parasites an immunological advantage during the process of antigenic variation and is an efficient mechanism to escape antibody-mediated elimination during the early as well as chronic stage of infection (111).

VSG and VSG-Derived Fragments Trigger Different Cellular Innate Immune Responses

The VSG coat plays a key role in the interaction with the host, whereby it is involved in a population survival strategy through antigenic variation as well as in an individual cell survival strategy through rapid endocytosis, removal of bound antibody, and recycling back to the cell surface (106). The parasites not only use the

VSG as an efficient escape mechanism jeopardizing the induction of an effective antibody response (113–115), but also use it as means to modulate the hosts' cellular responses. Indeed, *T. brucei* parasites contain an endogenous phospholipase C (PLC) known as the GPI-PLC, which is activated upon hypotonic lysis, stress, or during antigenic variation (90, 116, 117), and shown not to be essential but rather to act as a virulence factor given that a PLC^{-/-} mutant was attenuated in mice (118). Activation of the GPI-PLC hydrolyzes the GPI-anchor on the VSG (119, 120). This hydrolysis will convert the hydrophobic membrane-form VSG (mfVSG) into a water sVSG (117), thereby leaving the dimyristoyl glycerol (DMG) compound of the GPI-anchor in the membrane and releasing the glycosylinositolphosphate (GIP)-VSG part (121). Both components (DMG and GIP-VSG) exhibit distinct functions as far as activating potential of host immune cells is concerned (121, 122). Indeed, the GIP-VSG moiety is recognized by a Type A scavenger receptor expressed on myeloid cells, thereby initiating the activation of NF- κ B and MAPK pathways and the expression of pro-inflammatory genes, such as TNF- α , IL-6, IL-12p40, and GM-CSF (123). This is further amplified when myeloid cells are primed with T-cell derived IFN- γ (124). Hereby, the galactose side chain of VSG is responsible for TNF- α production following activation of the protein tyrosine kinase (PTK) pathway (121, 125). However, reversing the order of exposure (i.e., exposing myeloid cells to GIP-VSG before IFN- γ stimulation) resulted in a down-regulation of IFN- γ -inducible responses, including transcription of inducible NO synthase and secretion of NO, which was associated with reduction in the level of STAT1 phosphorylation (126). This event might be of importance during the initial stage of infection, i.e., when sVSG is released from metacyclic forms during the early transition into bloodstream forms (see **Figure 2**). The GPI moiety, and in particular its DMG anchor that is released mainly during the descending phase of acute infection and during chronic infection, activates the protein kinase-C (PKC) pathway, and mediates macrophage priming/hyperactivation and LPS hyper-responsiveness in a MyD88-dependent manner (121, 122, 127, 128). Importantly, also in experimental bovine models, the DMG compound was shown to be crucial for M1 over-activation (129). In addition, the DMG compound of the mfVSG anchor seems to be crucial, via its IL-1 α -inducing and -priming activity, in further fueling TNF induction (130).


CpG DNA is Used as Immunomodulatory Molecule to Trigger Macrophage Activation and Early Polyclonal B Cell Activation

Non-mammalian genomic DNA (i.e., CpG DNA) can also induce a host immune response (131). In this context, low amounts of tsetse-inoculated metacyclic parasites or SS blood-stream form parasites, continuously generated during the parasite cycle in the mammalian host, are eliminated/lysed giving rise to release of CpG DNA into circulation (see **Figure 2**). In turn, these CpG oligonucleotides trigger TLR9 signaling leading to the induction of M1 activation and polyclonal B-cell activation and subsequent isotype switching (132, 133). Importantly, CpG-mediated signaling can independently or synergistically with parasite-derived lipid or protein molecules (see further) activate the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and NO needed for optimal peak

parasitemia control. Indeed, as shown by Drennan et al. (127), during *T. brucei* infections, there is partial requirement for TLR9 signaling in the production of IFN- γ and VSG-specific IgG2a antibodies and for mammalian TLR family and MyD88 signaling in the innate immune recognition of *T. brucei*. Polyclonal B-cell activation, on the other hand, which is induced independently of BCR specificity, may play an important role in the defense against infections by enhancing natural antibody production and inducing memory B cells. Hence, polyclonal B cell activation increases the levels of natural antibodies to keep up with multiplication of the microorganisms, thus containing pathogen dissemination. Although triggering of polyclonal B cell activation is a natural innate immune response induced by many pathogens, the induction of polyclonal B cell activation (B cell expansion) might also be used as an immune evasion mechanism, whereby unselectively differentiating B cells can differentiate into short-lived plasmablasts (producing unspecific IgM), which ultimately results in apoptosis/elimination of the targeted B cell population (134, 135). In addition, regulatory B cells might also be induced and exert an immunosuppressive function by the secretion of IL-10, IL-17, IL-35, and transforming growth factor- β (TGF β), and thereby dampen the initial pro-inflammatory immune response aimed at controlling infection (110, 136). However, so far no evidence of the occurrence of regulatory B cells is provided in this model.

TLTF Triggers IFN- γ Production by CD8⁺ T Cells

Another trypanosome-derived factor documented to play a key role in early parasite–host interactions is the trypanosome-derived lymphocyte-triggering factor (TLTF), a secreted 185 kDa invariant glycoprotein able to trigger IFN- γ production by CD8⁺ T cells (137–140). It was shown by Hamadien et al. (138) that early during *T. brucei* infection (day 3 p.i.) high levels of TLTF could be measured in the serum prior to IFN- γ production. Yet, later on during infection, these levels declined and coincided with increased levels of anti-TLTF antibodies. Of note, it was suggested that detection of TLTF and anti-TLTF antibodies in cerebrospinal fluid of HAT patients could be used as a tool for detection and staging of the disease (141). In addition, work from the same group and Nishimura et al. (142), revealed that IFN- γ was also able to trigger TLTF secretion in *in vitro* cultures of *T. brucei* parasites in a dose and tyrosine protein kinase-dependent manner and to stimulate parasite growth (143, 144). This suggests that TLTF and IFN- γ exert bidirectional activating signals between parasites and CD8⁺ cells. Hence, these molecules might play a crucial regulatory function in the parasite–host interactions and influence the disease course during experimental African trypanosomiasis (see **Figure 2**), whereby (i) TLTF released by *T. brucei* parasites triggers early IFN- γ production by CD8⁺ T cells leading to the activation of M1 cells, (ii) IFN- γ triggers further secretion of TLTF by the proliferating parasites and was also suggested to be a growth factor for trypanosomes (142, 145). However, an alternative explanation for the apparent IFN- γ -mediated parasite growth effects, which cannot be excluded in *in vivo* settings, is that the early expansion of proliferating parasites (cf. ascending phase of first peak parasitemia) releases more TbKHC which in turn stimulates the synthesis of the essential nutrients, i.e., polyamines (see above) (88).



TbTSIF Induces M1 Cells and Triggers T-Cell Suppression

Several trypanosome components have been shown to exert a macrophage-activating potential, leading to NO-dependent suppression of T-cell proliferation (146, 147). Another parasite-derived molecule exerting the potential to modulate the host immune network is the *T. brucei*-derived Trypanosome Suppression Immunomodulating Factor (TSIF) (148). Since this molecule plays a role in triggering suppressive M1, it is most likely released during the descending phase of infection (see Figure 2), at the moment that M1 cells exert their most prominent effects (i.e., production of trypanolytic molecules TNF/NO). Furthermore, as shown by Gomez-Rodriguez et al.

(148), this molecule is able to (i) block T-cell proliferation in a cell-cell contact and IFN- γ /NO-dependent manner and (ii) limit secretion of immune-protective IL-10 by alternatively activated macrophages (M2) required to dampen M1-mediated pathogenic effects. Hence, TbTSIF could play a dual role, i.e., contribute to initial parasite control (via TNF/NO) and fuel suppressive M1 and T-cell suppression leading to pathogenicity. However, T-cell suppression could also be a means of the parasite to negatively affect/inhibit B-cell development and thereby impair effective humoral responses (see later) and allow/guarantee parasite survival. In addition, it seems that TbTSIF is also essential for *T. brucei* development/biology since TbTSIF knock-out parasites were not viable and died within 2 days.

Host Innate/Adaptive Responses Determine Trypanosome-Associated Pathogenicity

Since AT can establish chronic infections in their mammalian host, which is associated with different forms of pathogenicity (anemia, liver injury, weight loss, neuropathology,...), it is clear that the innate response is insufficient for complete elimination of the parasites and, hence, will require the help of the adaptive immune response to combat infection. Yet, the modulation of the innate immune response might also affect the rejoinder of the adaptive immune response. In this section, we will elaborate on what is happening during the later stage of infection once the trypanosome infection is established in the mammalian host.

Trypanosome-Infections Impair B-Cell Functionality

As mentioned before, although trypanosomes use antigenic variation of their VSG coat as an efficient way to escape host humoral responses, trypanosomes also directly/indirectly affect B cell development as an additional means to escape elimination. Important to mention is that experiments in μ MT (B cell deficient) and $IgM^{-/-}$ mice revealed that the initial development of peak parasitemia is independent of infection-induced anti-VSG antibodies. In addition, *in vivo* parasite VSG switching is an intrinsically programmed genetic process that is independent of B cells or antibody pressure, with the function of antibodies mainly limited to the elimination of the remaining non-switched parasites (149). Studies in experimental rodent infection models have implicated T-cell-independent anti-VSG IgM responses to be the first line of host defense against proliferating parasites (150) (see before). Although B cells aid in periodically clearing circulating parasite levels by VSG-specific antibodies, they are limited by their VSG-specificity, yet they are required for long-term survival, while IgM antibodies play only a limited role in this process (149, 151–153). Importantly, similar observations were obtained in a Cape Buffalo model for natural trypanosomosis resistance (154). An additional aspect that plays a role in antibody-mediated recognition of trypanosomes is that though polyclonal antibodies are raised against different parts of the VSG molecule (155), only surface exposed regions (N-terminal more variable region) of the VSG could play a role in parasite elimination given that the buried epitopes (C-terminals more conserved region) are inaccessible for conventional antibodies (102, 156). Indeed, the VSG coat functions as a protective coat shielding of conserved buried epitopes/proteins, thereby preventing elimination of successive waves of trypanosomes expressing a different VSG coat.

The data so far indicate that *T. brucei* parasites affect B cells already early during infection (within 1 week p.i.) at different levels, resulting in the loss of humoral immune competence in trypanosusceptible hosts. This early undermining of humoral responses is important given that the production of high-affinity, antigen-specific, class-switched, antibodies takes up to 10 days after immunization (157). First, as mentioned before, there is induction of non-specific, polyclonal B-cell activation leading to clonal exhaustion (158–160). Second, there is destruction of the splenic B cell compartment that is manifested by the occurrence of

marginal zone and follicular B cell (FoB) depletion. Hereby, $IFN-\gamma$ was shown to play a key role in destruction of FoBs (161), which was associated with enhanced expression of the death receptor Fas, leading to loss of protective B cell memory responses against unrelated antigens. Third, it was shown that during *T. brucei* infection there is an impaired B-cell lymphopoiesis in the bone marrow and spleen already at the level of transitional B cells (159, 162). Hereby, there was massive cell death observed in transitional B cells *in vitro* through a contact-dependent mechanism, which is not dependent on TNF or prostaglandin-dependent death pathways (159). Of note, the mechanism(s) of *T. brucei*-induced transitional B-cell depletion *in vivo* remains to be fully elucidated.

Collectively, trypanosomes deliberately undermine the host's capacity to sustain antibody responses against recurring parasitemia waves by depleting transitional B cells, which in turn impairs the replenishment of the mature marginal zone and FoB populations. Since parasite-specific antibodies are essential for parasite control, inhibition of B-cell maturation at the transitional stage is an efficient evasive mechanism to prevent the buildup of protective "humoral" immunity against successive parasitemia waves. In this context, it was recently shown by De Trez et al. (163) that *T. brucei* infection is impairing the maintenance of the antigen-specific plasma B-cell pool.

Trypanosome Infections Induce Early $IFN-\gamma$ -Mediated M1 Polarization that Subsequently Contributes to Pathogenicity Development

The parasite-derived components sVSG and CpG DNA that are released trigger via specific receptors (SR-A, TLR9) myeloid cell activation (121–123, 127, 164). In turn, this triggers T cell activation and the release of $IFN-\gamma$ (165), which primes macrophages to become fully activated/M1 polarized thereby releasing pro-inflammatory molecules (TNF/NO) needed for parasite control (166, 167). This type 1 cytokine storm can also culminate in pathology development if maintained during later stages of infection (166–172). Yet, only animals able to produce tissue-protective IL-10 can exhibit an alleviated pathogenicity (167). Importantly, the balance of these different activation/deactivation signals may determine the outcome of infection (173, 174). Recently, it was shown that different lymphocyte populations play a role in $IFN-\gamma$ production, whereby NK and NKT cells are the earliest $IFN-\gamma$ producers, followed by $CD8^+$ and $CD4^+$ T cells (124). A possible explanation for this transition in different $IFN-\gamma$ -producing T cells during the early stages of infection could be that: (i) initially type-I IFN released by for instance TLR9-activated myeloid cells can trigger NK/NKT-cell activation (175, 176); (ii) subsequently, parasite-derived TLTF will trigger $IFN-\gamma$ production by $CD8^+$ T cells in a non-antigen-specific manner (140, 145, 177); and (iii) finally, the increased release and subsequent processing of sVSG will lead to MHC-II presentation and activation of $CD4^+$ T cells, thereby further fueling $IFN-\gamma$ production and M1 polarization (178).

Whatever the source of $IFN-\gamma$ may be, research so far indicates that early $IFN-\gamma$ production triggers an acute inflammatory reaction resulting in acute anemia development, as witnessed by a 50% reduction in circulating red blood cells (RBC) within 2 days following peak parasitemia. After a short recovery phase,

a subsequent gradually increasing loss of RBCs occurs during the chronic infection stage (166, 167, 179). Of note, anemia development was found to be independent of antibodies and the height of the parasitemia peak, whereby the acute nature of this phenomenon implies a consumptive etiology (149, 168). IFN- γ plays also a crucial role in the recruitment and activation of erythrophagocytic myeloid cells. In addition, the work of Cnops et al. (124) indicates that the absence of NK, NKT, and CD8⁺ T cells, but not CD4⁺ T cells, during the early stage of infection results in a reduced anemic phenotype similar to IFN γ R^{-/-} mice. In addition, it was recently shown that trypanosomes can release extracellular vesicles (EV) that can fuse to mammalian erythrocytes thereby changing their physical properties and making them more susceptible to erythrophagocytosis (86). This in turn leads to acute anemia and could be a means of the parasites to acquire essential nutrients [hemoglobin and/or iron (see before)]. Hence, both host-induced and parasite-induced factors could account for acute anemia development. Subsequently, the hosts' ability to respond to the acute anemia will determine whether anemia persists or not during the chronic phase of infection (55, 166, 180–182).

Another pathological feature associated with *T. brucei* infections is neuropathology, whereby parasites pass the BBB and cause severe neurological complications. Interestingly, the work of Amin et al. (183) showed that *T. b. brucei* parasites penetrate the BBB very early during infection (within 2–3 days post infection), whereby they proposed that TLR9 and MyD88-mediated activation of DCs triggers via type-I IFN (IFN- α/β) T-cell activation. Subsequently, these activated T cells invade the central nervous system (CNS) in a IFN- α/β , IFN- γ and TNF-dependent manner, whereby TNF can induce the expression of adhesion molecules (ICAM-1 and VCAM-1) in brain endothelial cells in a TNFR1-dependent manner and contributes to the leakiness of inter-endothelial cell tight junctions or stimulation of matrix metalloproteases activities that open the parenchymal basement membranes (184, 185). Furthermore, the same group showed that IFN- γ , as well as the IFN-inducible chemokine CXCL10, promotes the penetration of T cells and parasites in the brain (186, 187), suggesting that parasites can also follow T cells during their brain invasion across the BBB. However, the work of Frevert et al. (188) showed, using a murine model and intravital brain imaging, that bloodstream forms of *T. b. brucei* and *T. b. rhodesiense* enter the brain parenchyma within hours post injection, before a significant level of microvascular inflammation is detectable. Yet, there are differences in the trypanosome strain used and the infection dose as well as the route of infection that

could account for the different results. Collectively, it seems that whatever mechanism (host-mediated or not) parasites use to pass the BBB and infiltrate the brain, extravasations of parasites from the blood into the brain might be an alternative evasion mechanism to escape humoral responses that predominate in the blood circulation and thereby allow future transmission when parasites migrate back into the blood.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Overall, it seems that trypanosomes have evolved efficient immune escape mechanisms to sculpture the hosts' innate/adaptive immune response in order to establish an environment suitable for parasite survival and transmission. This manipulation of the host response has its cost since this undermines the hosts' capacity to respond/recover following establishment of the parasites. Hereby, persistence of inflammation during the chronic stage of infection culminates into pathogenicity and subsequent death if left untreated. Hence, identification of host-derived factors playing a role in persistence of inflammation could be an alternative means to alleviate trypanosomosis-associated pathogenicity. In this context, it was recently shown that the pleiotropic host molecule macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) plays a key role persistence of inflammation and infection-associated pathogenicity (180). Hence, future intervention strategies against African trypanosomosis might require a dual approach, i.e., development of efficient anti-trypanosomal agents combined with neutralization of anti-pathogenicity inducing "host" factors, which combined might allow reducing the economical losses of the affected continents.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BS, GC, JA, JG, SM, and CT wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work, performed in frame of an Interuniversity Attraction Pole Program (PAI-IAP N. P7/41, http://www.belspo.be/belspo/iap/index_en.stm), was supported by grants from the FWO (KaN 1515813N and G031312N), an EU/FP7 ERC starting grant (No.282312), an UA research fund (TT-ZAPBOF 33049) and the Strategic Research Program (VUB). BS was supported by the Strategic Research Program (SRP3, VUB). The authors declare that the funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Barrett MP, Burchmore RJ, Stich A, Lazzari JO, Frasch AC, Cazzulo JJ, et al. The trypanosomiasis. *Lancet* (2003) 362(9394):1469–80. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14694-6
2. World Health Organization. Research priorities for Chagas disease, human African trypanosomiasis and leishmaniasis. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* (2012) (975):v–xii,1–100.
3. Franco JR, Simarro PP, Diarra A, Jannin JG. Epidemiology of human African trypanosomiasis. *Clin Epidemiol* (2014) 6:257–75. doi:10.2147/CEPS39728
4. World Health Organization. Control and surveillance of human African trypanosomiasis. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* (2013) (984):1–237.
5. Malvy D, Chappuis F. Sleeping sickness. *Clin Microbiol Infect* (2011) 17(7):986–95. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03536.x
6. Matthews KR, McCulloch R, Morrison LJ. The within-host dynamics of African trypanosome infections. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* (2015) 370(1675). doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0288
7. Truc P, Buscher P, Cuny G, Gonzatti MI, Jannin J, Joshi P, et al. Atypical human infections by animal trypanosomes. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2013) 7(9):e2256. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002256

8. Ilemobade AA. Tsetse and trypanosomiasis in Africa: the challenges, the opportunities. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res* (2009) 76(1):35–40. doi:10.4102/ojvr.v76i1.59
9. Baker N, de Koning HP, Maser P, Horn D. Drug resistance in African trypanosomiasis: the melarsoprol and pentamidine story. *Trends Parasitol* (2013) 29(3):110–8. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2012.12.005
10. Jacobs RT, Nare B, Phillips MA. State of the art in African trypanosome drug discovery. *Curr Top Med Chem* (2011) 11(10):1255–74. doi:10.2174/156802611795429167
11. Barrett MP, Vincent IM, Burchmore RJ, Kazibwe AJ, Matovu E. Drug resistance in human African trypanosomiasis. *Future Microbiol* (2011) 6(9):1037–47. doi:10.2217/fmb.11.88
12. Babokhov P, Sanyaolu AO, Oyibo WA, Fagbenro-Beyioku AF, Iriemenam NC. A current analysis of chemotherapy strategies for the treatment of human African trypanosomiasis. *Pathog Glob Health* (2013) 107(5):242–52. doi:10.1179/204773213Y.0000000105
13. Stein J, Mogk S, Mudogo CN, Sommer BP, Scholze M, Meiwes A, et al. Drug development against sleeping sickness: old wine in new bottles? *Curr Med Chem* (2014) 21(15):1713–27. doi:10.2174/0929867320666131119121636
14. Kroubi M, Karembe H, Betbeder D. Drug delivery systems in the treatment of African trypanosomiasis infections. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* (2011) 8(6):735–47. doi:10.1517/17425247.2011.574122
15. Naula C, Burchmore R. A plethora of targets, a paucity of drugs: progress towards the development of novel chemotherapies for human African trypanosomiasis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* (2003) 1(1):157–65. doi:10.1586/14787210.1.1.157
16. Vincent IM, Barrett MP. Metabolomic-based strategies for anti-parasite drug discovery. *J Biomol Screen* (2015) 20(1):44–55. doi:10.1177/1087057114551519
17. Keita M, Bouteille B, Enanga B, Vallat JM, Dumas M. *Trypanosoma brucei* brucei: a long-term model of human African trypanosomiasis in mice, meningo-encephalitis, astrocytosis, and neurological disorders. *Exp Parasitol* (1997) 85(2):183–92. doi:10.1006/expr.1996.4136
18. Magez S, Caljon G. Mouse models for pathogenic African trypanosomes: unravelling the immunology of host-parasite-vector interactions. *Parasite Immunol* (2011) 33(8):423–9. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2011.01293.x
19. Li Z. Regulation of the cell division cycle in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Eukaryot Cell* (2012) 11(10):1180–90. doi:10.1128/EC.00145-12
20. Fenn K, Matthews KR. The cell biology of *Trypanosoma brucei* differentiation. *Curr Opin Microbiol* (2007) 10(6):539–46. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2007.09.014
21. Bringaud F, Riviere L, Coustou V. Energy metabolism of trypanosomatids: adaptation to available carbon sources. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* (2006) 149(1):1–9. doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2006.03.017
22. Rodrigues JC, Godinho JL, de Souza W. Biology of human pathogenic trypanosomatids: epidemiology, lifecycle and ultrastructure. *Subcell Biochem* (2014) 74:1–42. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-7305-9_1
23. MacGregor P, Szoor B, Savill NJ, Matthews KR. Trypanosomal immune evasion, chronicity and transmission: an elegant balancing act. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2012) 10(6):431–8. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2779
24. Mony BM, Matthews KR. Assembling the components of the quorum sensing pathway in African trypanosomes. *Mol Microbiol* (2015) 96(2):220–32. doi:10.1111/mmi.12949
25. Mony BM, MacGregor P, Ivens A, Rojas F, Cowton A, Young J, et al. Genome-wide dissection of the quorum sensing signalling pathway in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nature* (2014) 505(7485):681–5. doi:10.1038/nature12864
26. Rico E, Rojas F, Mony BM, Szoor B, Macgregor P, Matthews KR. Bloodstream form pre-adaptation to the tsetse fly in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2013) 3:78. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2013.00078
27. Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. *Cell* (2006) 124(4):783–801. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.015
28. Kennedy-Crispin M, Billick E, Mitsui H, Gulati N, Fujita H, Gilleaudeau P, et al. Human keratinocytes' response to injury upregulates CCL20 and other genes linking innate and adaptive immunity. *J Invest Dermatol* (2012) 132(1):105–13. doi:10.1038/jid.2011.262
29. Harder J, Schroder JM, Glaser R. The skin surface as antimicrobial barrier: present concepts and future outlooks. *Exp Dermatol* (2013) 22(1):1–5. doi:10.1111/exd.12046
30. Frischknecht F. The skin as interface in the transmission of arthropod-borne pathogens. *Cell Microbiol* (2007) 9(7):1630–40. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.00955.x
31. Bernard Q, Jaulhac B, Boulanger N. Smuggling across the border: how arthropod-borne pathogens evade and exploit the host defense system of the skin. *J Invest Dermatol* (2014) 134(5):1211–9. doi:10.1038/jid.2014.36
32. Bernard Q, Jaulhac B, Boulanger N. Skin and arthropods: an effective interaction used by pathogens in vector-borne diseases. *Eur J Dermatol* (2015) 25(Suppl 1):18–22. doi:10.1684/ejd.2015.2550
33. Caljon G, Van Den Abbeele J, Stijlemans B, Coosemans M, De Baetselier P, Magez S. Tsetse fly saliva accelerates the onset of *Trypanosoma brucei* infection in a mouse model associated with a reduced host inflammatory response. *Infect Immun* (2006) 74(11):6324–30. doi:10.1128/IAI.01046-06
34. Telleria EL, Benoit JB, Zhao X, Savage AF, Regmi S, Alves e Silva TL, et al. Insights into the trypanosome-host interactions revealed through transcriptomic analysis of parasitized tsetse fly salivary glands. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2014) 8(4):e2649. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002649
35. Cappello M, Li S, Chen X, Li CB, Harrison L, Narashimhan S, et al. Tsetse thrombin inhibitor: bloodmeal-induced expression of an anticoagulant in salivary glands and gut tissue of *Glossina morsitans morsitans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1998) 95(24):14290–5. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.24.14290
36. Cappello M, Bergum PW, Vlasuk GP, Furnidge BA, Pritchard DI, Aksoy S. Isolation and characterization of the tsetse thrombin inhibitor: a potent antithrombotic peptide from the saliva of *Glossina morsitans morsitans*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* (1996) 54(5):475–80.
37. Mant MJ, Parker KR. Two platelet aggregation inhibitors in tsetse (*Glossina*) saliva with studies of roles of thrombin and citrate in in vitro platelet aggregation. *Br J Haematol* (1981) 48(4):601–8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.1981.00601.x
38. Alves-Silva J, Ribeiro JM, Van Den Abbeele J, Attardo G, Hao Z, Haines LR, et al. An insight into the sialome of *Glossina morsitans morsitans*. *BMC Genomics* (2010) 11:213. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-213
39. Caljon G, De Ridder K, De Baetselier P, Coosemans M, Van Den Abbeele J. Identification of a tsetse fly salivary protein with dual inhibitory action on human platelet aggregation. *PLoS One* (2010) 5(3):e9671. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009671
40. Li S, Aksoy S. A family of genes with growth factor and adenosine deaminase similarity are preferentially expressed in the salivary glands of *Glossina m. morsitans*. *Gene* (2000) 252(1–2):83–93. doi:10.1016/S0378-1119(00)00226-2
41. Zhao X, Alves e Silva TL, Cronin L, Savage AF, O'Neill M, Nerima B, et al. Immunogenetic and serological cross-reactivity of saliva proteins among different tsetse species. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2015) 9(8):e0004038. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004038
42. Ribeiro JM, Francischetti IM. Role of arthropod saliva in blood feeding: sialome and post-sialome perspectives. *Annu Rev Entomol* (2003) 48:73–88. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.48.060402.102812
43. Champagne DE. Antihemostatic strategies of blood-feeding arthropods. *Curr Drug Targets Cardiovasc Haematol Disord* (2004) 4(4):375–96. doi:10.2174/1568006043335862
44. Bai X, Yao H, Du C, Chen Y, Lai R, Rong M. An immunoregulatory peptide from tsetse fly salivary glands of *Glossina morsitans morsitans*. *Biochimie* (2015) 118:123–8. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2015.09.001
45. Caljon G, Van Den Abbeele J, Sternberg JM, Coosemans M, De Baetselier P, Magez S. Tsetse fly saliva biases the immune response to Th2 and induces anti-vector antibodies that are a useful tool for exposure assessment. *Int J Parasitol* (2006) 36(9):1025–35. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.05.002
46. Caljon G, Broos K, De Goeyse I, De Ridder K, Sternberg JM, Coosemans M, et al. Identification of a functional Antigen5-related allergen in the saliva of a blood feeding insect, the tsetse fly. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* (2009) 39(5–6):332–41. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.01.010
47. Initiative IGG. Genome sequence of the tsetse fly (*Glossina morsitans*): vector of African trypanosomiasis. *Science* (2014) 344(6182):380–6. doi:10.1126/science.1249656
48. Barry JD, Emery DL. Parasite development and host responses during the establishment of *Trypanosoma brucei* infection transmitted by tsetse fly. *Parasitology* (1984) 88(Pt 1):67–84. doi:10.1017/S0031182000054354
49. Luckins AG, Sutherland D, Mwangi D, Hopkins J. Early stages of infection with *Trypanosoma congolense*: parasite kinetics and expression of

- metacyclic variable antigen types. *Acta Trop* (1994) 58(3–4):199–206. doi:10.1016/0001-706X(94)90014-0
50. Naessens J, Mwangi DM, Buza J, Moloo SK. Local skin reaction (chancres) induced following inoculation of metacyclic trypanosomes in cattle by tsetse flies is dependent on CD4 T lymphocytes. *Parasite Immunol* (2003) 25(8–9):413–9. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2003.00649.x
 51. Thuita JK, Kagira JM, Mwangangi D, Matovu E, Turner CM, Masiga D. *Trypanosoma brucei* rhodesiense transmitted by a single tsetse fly bite in vervet monkeys as a model of human African trypanosomiasis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2008) 2(5):e238. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000238
 52. Abi Abdallah DS, Denkers EY. Neutrophils cast extracellular traps in response to protozoan parasites. *Front Immunol* (2012) 3:382. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00382
 53. Ribeiro-Gomes FL, Romano A, Lee S, Roffe E, Peters NC, Debrabant A, et al. Apoptotic cell clearance of *Leishmania* major-infected neutrophils by dendritic cells inhibits CD8(+) T-cell priming in vitro by Mer tyrosine kinase-dependent signaling. *Cell Death Dis* (2015) 6:e2018. doi:10.1038/cddis.2015.351
 54. Leoratti FM, Trevelin SC, Cunha FQ, Rocha BC, Costa PA, Gravina HD, et al. Neutrophil paralysis in *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2012) 6(6):e1710. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001710
 55. Stijlemans B, Beschin A, Magez S, Van Ginderachter JA, De Baetselier P. Iron Homeostasis and *Trypanosoma brucei* associated immunopathogenicity development: a battle/quest for iron. *Biomed Res Int* (2015) 2015:819389. doi:10.1155/2015/819389
 56. Tripodi KE, Menendez Bravo SM, Cricco JA. Role of heme and heme-proteins in trypanosomatid essential metabolic pathways. *Enzyme Res* (2011) 2011:873230. doi:10.4061/2011/873230
 57. Koreny L, Obornik M, Lukes J. Make it, take it, or leave it: heme metabolism of parasites. *PLoS Pathog* (2013) 9(1):e1003088. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003088
 58. Berriman M, Ghedin E, Hertz-Fowler C, Blandin G, Renauld H, Bartholomeu DC, et al. The genome of the African trypanosome *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Science* (2005) 309(5733):416–22. doi:10.1126/science.1112642
 59. Higgins MK, Tkachenko O, Brown A, Reed J, Raper J, Carrington M. Structure of the trypanosome haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor and implications for nutrient uptake and innate immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2013) 110(5):1905–10. doi:10.1073/pnas.1214943110
 60. Stephens NA, Kieft R, Macleod A, Hajduk SL. Trypanosome resistance to human innate immunity: targeting Achilles' heel. *Trends Parasitol* (2012) 28(12):539–45. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2012.09.002
 61. Vanhollebeke B, De Muylder G, Nielsen MJ, Pays A, Tebabi P, Dieu M, et al. A haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor conveys innate immunity to *Trypanosoma brucei* in humans. *Science* (2008) 320(5876):677–81. doi:10.1126/science.1156296
 62. Raper J, Fung R, Ghiso J, Nussenzweig V, Tomlinson S. Characterization of a novel trypanosome lytic factor from human serum. *Infect Immun* (1999) 67(4):1910–6.
 63. Lugli EB, Pouliot M, Portela Mdel P, Loomis MR, Raper J. Characterization of primate trypanosome lytic factors. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* (2004) 138(1):9–20. doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2004.07.004
 64. Shiflett AM, Bishop JR, Pahwa A, Hajduk SL. Human high density lipoproteins are platforms for the assembly of multi-component innate immune complexes. *J Biol Chem* (2005) 280(38):32578–85. doi:10.1074/jbc.M503510200
 65. Namangala B. Contribution of innate immune responses towards resistance to African trypanosome infections. *Scand J Immunol* (2012) 75(1):5–15. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3083.2011.02619.x
 66. Maeda N. Nucleotide sequence of the haptoglobin and haptoglobin-related gene pair. The haptoglobin-related gene contains a retrovirus-like element. *J Biol Chem* (1985) 260(11):6698–709.
 67. Widener J, Nielsen MJ, Shiflett A, Moestrup SK, Hajduk S. Hemoglobin is a co-factor of human trypanosome lytic factor. *PLoS Pathog* (2007) 3(9):1250–61. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030129
 68. Drain J, Bishop JR, Hajduk SL. Haptoglobin-related protein mediates trypanosome lytic factor binding to trypanosomes. *J Biol Chem* (2001) 276(32):30254–60. doi:10.1074/jbc.M010198200
 69. Bullard W, Kieft R, Capewell P, Veitch NJ, Macleod A, Hajduk SL. Haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor independent killing of African trypanosomes by human serum and trypanosome lytic factors. *Virulence* (2012) 3(1):72–6. doi:10.4161/viru.3.1.18295
 70. Perez-Morga D, Vanhollebeke B, Paturiaux-Hanocq F, Nolan DP, Lins L, Homble F, et al. Apolipoprotein L-I promotes trypanosome lysis by forming pores in lysosomal membranes. *Science* (2005) 309(5733):469–72. doi:10.1126/science.1114566
 71. Harrington JM, Nishanova T, Pena SR, Hess M, Scelsi CL, Widener J, et al. A retained secretory signal peptide mediates high density lipoprotein (HDL) assembly and function of haptoglobin-related protein. *J Biol Chem* (2014) 289(36):24811–20. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.567578
 72. Nielsen MJ, Moestrup SK. Receptor targeting of hemoglobin mediated by the haptoglobins: roles beyond heme scavenging. *Blood* (2009) 114(4):764–71. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-01-198309
 73. Molina-Portela MP, Samanovic M, Raper J. Distinct roles of apolipoprotein components within the trypanosome lytic factor complex revealed in a novel transgenic mouse model. *J Exp Med* (2008) 205(8):1721–8. doi:10.1084/jem.20071463
 74. Vanhollebeke B, Lecordier L, Perez-Morga D, Amiguet-Vercher A, Pays E. Human serum lyses *Trypanosoma brucei* by triggering uncontrolled swelling of the parasite lysosome. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* (2007) 54(5):448–51. doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.2007.00285.x
 75. Harrington JM, Howell S, Hajduk SL. Membrane permeabilization by trypanosome lytic factor, a cytolytic human high density lipoprotein. *J Biol Chem* (2009) 284(20):13505–12. doi:10.1074/jbc.M900151200
 76. Vanhamme L, Paturiaux-Hanocq F, Poelvoorde P, Nolan DP, Lins L, Van Den Abbeele J, et al. Apolipoprotein L-I is the trypanosome lytic factor of human serum. *Nature* (2003) 422(6927):83–7. doi:10.1038/nature01461
 77. Vanwallegheem G, Fontaine F, Lecordier L, Tebabi P, Klewe K, Nolan DP, et al. Coupling of lysosomal and mitochondrial membrane permeabilization in trypanolysis by APOL1. *Nat Commun* (2015) 6:8078. doi:10.1038/ncomms9078
 78. Vanhollebeke B, Pays E. The trypanolytic factor of human serum: many ways to enter the parasite, a single way to kill. *Mol Microbiol* (2010) 76(4):806–14. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07156.x
 79. Stodkilde K, Torvund-Jensen M, Moestrup SK, Andersen CB. Structural basis for trypanosomal haem acquisition and susceptibility to the host innate immune system. *Nat Commun* (2014) 5:5487. doi:10.1038/ncomms6487
 80. Xong HV, Vanhamme L, Chamekh M, Chimfwembe CE, Van Den Abbeele J, Pays A, et al. A VSG expression site-associated gene confers resistance to human serum in *Trypanosoma rhodesiense*. *Cell* (1998) 95(6):839–46. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81706-7
 81. Uzureau P, Uzureau S, Lecordier L, Fontaine F, Tebabi P, Homble F, et al. Mechanism of *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense resistance to human serum. *Nature* (2013) 501(7467):430–4. doi:10.1038/nature12516
 82. Capewell P, Clucas C, DeJesus E, Kieft R, Hajduk S, Veitch N, et al. The TgsGP gene is essential for resistance to human serum in *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense. *PLoS Pathog* (2013) 9(10):e1003686. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003686
 83. Capewell P, Veitch NJ, Turner CM, Raper J, Berriman M, Hajduk SL, et al. Differences between *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense groups 1 and 2 in their resistance to killing by trypanolytic factor 1. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* (2011) 5(9):e1287. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001287
 84. Kieft R, Capewell P, Turner CM, Veitch NJ, MacLeod A, Hajduk S. Mechanism of *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense (group 1) resistance to human trypanosome lytic factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2010) 107(37):16137–41. doi:10.1073/pnas.1007074107
 85. DeJesus E, Kieft R, Albright B, Stephens NA, Hajduk SL. A single amino acid substitution in the group 1 *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense haptoglobin-hemoglobin receptor abolishes TLF-1 binding. *PLoS Pathog* (2013) 9(4):e1003317. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003317
 86. Szmpruch AJ, Sykes SE, Kieft R, Dennison L, Becker AC, Gartrell A, et al. Extracellular vesicles from *Trypanosoma brucei* mediate virulence factor transfer and cause host anemia. *Cell* (2016) 164(1–2):246–57. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.051
 87. De Muylder G, Daulouede S, Lecordier L, Uzureau P, Morias Y, Van Den Abbeele J, et al. A *Trypanosoma brucei* kinesin heavy chain promotes parasite growth by triggering host arginase activity. *PLoS Pathog* (2013) 9(10):e1003731. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003731

88. Willert E, Phillips MA. Regulation and function of polyamines in African trypanosomes. *Trends Parasitol* (2012) 28(2):66–72. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2011.11.001
89. Salmon D, Vanwalleghem G, Morias Y, Denoed J, Krumbholz C, Lhomme F, et al. Adenylate cyclases of *Trypanosoma brucei* inhibit the innate immune response of the host. *Science* (2012) 337(6093):463–6. doi:10.1126/science.1222753
90. Rolin S, Hanocq-Quertier J, Paturiaux-Hanocq F, Nolan D, Salmon D, Webb H, et al. Simultaneous but independent activation of adenylate cyclase and glycosylphosphatidylinositol-phospholipase C under stress conditions in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Biol Chem* (1996) 271(18):10844–52. doi:10.1074/jbc.271.18.10844
91. Magez S, Geuskens M, Beschin A, del Favero H, Verschuere H, Lucas R, et al. Specific uptake of tumor necrosis factor- α is involved in growth control of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Cell Biol* (1997) 137(3):715–27. doi:10.1083/jcb.137.3.715
92. Lucas R, Magez S, De Leys R, Franssen L, Scheerlinck JP, Rempelberg M, et al. Mapping the lectin-like activity of tumor necrosis factor. *Science* (1994) 263(5148):814–7. doi:10.1126/science.8303299
93. Shimogawa MM, Saada EA, Vashisht AA, Barshop WD, Wohlschlegel JA, Hill KL. Cell surface proteomics provides insight into stage-specific remodeling of the host-parasite interface in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* (2015) 14(7):1977–88. doi:10.1074/mcp.M114.045146
94. Van Den Abbeele J, Claes Y, van Bockstaele D, Le Ray D, Coosemans M. *Trypanosoma brucei* spp. development in the tsetse fly: characterization of the post-mesocyclic stages in the foregut and proboscis. *Parasitology* (1999) 118(Pt 5):469–78. doi:10.1017/S0031182099004217
95. Mehler A, Bond CS, Ferguson MA. The glycoforms of a *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoprotein and molecular modeling of a glycosylated surface coat. *Glycobiology* (2002) 12(10):607–12. doi:10.1093/glycob/cwf079
96. Jones NG, Nietlispach D, Sharma R, Burke DF, Eyres I, Mues M, et al. Structure of a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored domain from a trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein. *J Biol Chem* (2008) 283(6):3584–93. doi:10.1074/jbc.M706207200
97. Chattopadhyay A, Jones NG, Nietlispach D, Nielsen PR, Voorheis HP, Mott HR, et al. Structure of the C-terminal domain from *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoprotein MITat1.2. *J Biol Chem* (2005) 280(8):7228–35. doi:10.1074/jbc.M410787200
98. Ferguson MA. The structure, biosynthesis and functions of glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors, and the contributions of trypanosome research. *J Cell Sci* (1999) 112(Pt 17):2799–809.
99. Mehler A, Richardson JM, Ferguson MA. Structure of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchor glycan of a class-2 variant surface glycoprotein from *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Mol Biol* (1998) 277(2):379–92. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1997.1600
100. Blum ML, Down JA, Gurnett AM, Carrington M, Turner MJ, Wiley DC. A structural motif in the variant surface glycoproteins of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nature* (1993) 362(6421):603–9. doi:10.1038/362603a0
101. Bulow R, Overath P, Davoust J. Rapid lateral diffusion of the variant surface glycoprotein in the coat of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Biochemistry* (1988) 27(7):2384–8. doi:10.1021/bi00407a020
102. Schwede A, Macleod OJ, MacGregor P, Carrington M. How does the VSG coat of bloodstream form African trypanosomes interact with external proteins? *PLoS Pathog* (2015) 11(12):e1005259. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005259
103. Devine DV, Falk RJ, Balber AE. Restriction of the alternative pathway of human complement by intact *Trypanosoma brucei* subsp. gambiense. *Infect Immun* (1986) 52(1):223–9.
104. Ferrante A, Allison AC. Alternative pathway activation of complement by African trypanosomes lacking a glycoprotein coat. *Parasite Immunol* (1983) 5(5):491–8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.1983.tb00763.x
105. Marshall JS, Jawdat DM. Mast cells in innate immunity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* (2004) 114(1):21–7. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2004.04.045
106. Engstler M, Pfohl T, Herminghaus S, Boshart M, Wiegertjes G, Heddergott N, et al. Hydrodynamic flow-mediated protein sorting on the cell surface of trypanosomes. *Cell* (2007) 131(3):505–15. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.08.046
107. Balber AE, Bangs JD, Jones SM, Proia RL. Inactivation or elimination of potentially trypanolytic, complement-activating immune complexes by pathogenic trypanosomes. *Infect Immun* (1979) 24(3):617–27.
108. Musoke AJ, Barbet AF. Activation of complement by variant-specific surface antigen of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nature* (1977) 270(5636):438–40. doi:10.1038/270438a0
109. O'Beirne C, Lowry CM, Voorheis HP. Both IgM and IgG anti-VSG antibodies initiate a cycle of aggregation-disaggregation of bloodstream forms of *Trypanosoma brucei* without damage to the parasite. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* (1998) 91(1):165–93. doi:10.1016/S0166-6851(97)00191-6
110. Nothelfer K, Sansonetti PJ, Phalipon A. Pathogen manipulation of B cells: the best defence is a good offence. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2015) 13(3):173–84. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3415
111. Hall JP, Wang H, Barry JD. Mosaic VSGs and the scale of *Trypanosoma brucei* antigenic variation. *PLoS Pathog* (2013) 9(7):e1003502. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003502
112. Dubois ME, Demick KP, Mansfield JM. Trypanosomes expressing a mosaic variant surface glycoprotein coat escape early detection by the immune system. *Infect Immun* (2005) 73(5):2690–7. doi:10.1128/IAI.73.5.2690-2697.2005
113. Borst P. Antigenic variation and allelic exclusion. *Cell* (2002) 109(1):5–8. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00711-0
114. Kassem A, Pays E, Vanhamme L. Transcription is initiated on silent variant surface glycoprotein expression sites despite monoallelic expression in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2014) 111(24):8943–8. doi:10.1073/pnas.1404873111
115. Pays E, Vanhamme L, Perez-Morga D. Antigenic variation in *Trypanosoma brucei*: facts, challenges and mysteries. *Curr Opin Microbiol* (2004) 7(4):369–74. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2004.05.001
116. Hereld D, Krakow JL, Bangs JD, Hart GW, Englund PT. A phospholipase C from *Trypanosoma brucei* which selectively cleaves the glycolipid on the variant surface glycoprotein. *J Biol Chem* (1986) 261(29):13813–9.
117. Cardoso de Almeida ML, Turner MJ. The membrane form of variant surface glycoproteins of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nature* (1983) 302(5906):349–52. doi:10.1038/302349a0
118. Webb H, Carnall N, Vanhamme L, Rolin S, Van Den Abbeele J, Welburn S, et al. The GPI-phospholipase C of *Trypanosoma brucei* is nonessential but influences parasitemia in mice. *J Cell Biol* (1997) 139(1):103–14. doi:10.1083/jcb.139.1.103
119. Bulow R, Overath P. Purification and characterization of the membrane-form variant surface glycoprotein hydrolase of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Biol Chem* (1986) 261(25):11918–23.
120. Ferguson MA, Homans SW, Dwek RA, Rademacher TW. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol moiety that anchors *Trypanosoma brucei* variant surface glycoprotein to the membrane. *Science* (1988) 239(4841 Pt 1):753–9. doi:10.1126/science.3340856
121. Magez S, Stijlemans B, Baral T, De Baetselier P. VSG-GPI anchors of African trypanosomes: their role in macrophage activation and induction of infection-associated immunopathology. *Microbes Infect* (2002) 4(9):999–1006. doi:10.1016/S1286-4579(02)01617-9
122. Magez S, Stijlemans B, Radwanska M, Pays E, Ferguson MA, De Baetselier P. The glycosyl-inositol-phosphate and dimyristoylglycerol moieties of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor of the trypanosome variant-specific surface glycoprotein are distinct macrophage-activating factors. *J Immunol* (1998) 160(4):1949–56.
123. Leppert BJ, Mansfield JM, Paulnock DM. The soluble variant surface glycoprotein of African trypanosomes is recognized by a macrophage scavenger receptor and induces I kappa B alpha degradation independently of TRAF6-mediated TLR signaling. *J Immunol* (2007) 179(1):548–56. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.179.1.548
124. Cnops J, De Trez C, Stijlemans B, Keirsse J, Kauffmann F, Barkhuizen M, et al. NK-, NKT- and CD8-derived IFN γ drives myeloid cell activation and erythrophagocytosis, resulting in trypanosomiasis-associated acute anemia. *PLoS Pathog* (2015) 11(6):e1004964. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004964
125. Tachado SD, Gerold P, Schwarz R, Novakovic S, McConville M, Schofield L. Signal transduction in macrophages by glycosylphosphatidylinositols of *Plasmodium*, *Trypanosoma*, and *Leishmania*: activation of protein tyrosine kinases and protein kinase C by inositolglycan and diacylglycerol moieties. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (1997) 94(8):4022–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.8.4022
126. Collier SP, Mansfield JM, Paulnock DM. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol soluble variant surface glycoprotein inhibits IFN- γ -induced nitric oxide

- production via reduction in STAT1 phosphorylation in African trypanosomiasis. *J Immunol* (2003) 171(3):1466–72. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.171.3.1466
127. Drennan MB, Stijlemans B, Van den Abbeele J, Quesniaux VJ, Barkhuizen M, Brombacher F, et al. The induction of a type 1 immune response following a *Trypanosoma brucei* infection is MyD88 dependent. *J Immunol* (2005) 175(4):2501–9. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.175.4.2501
 128. Tachado SD, Mazhari-Tabrizi R, Schofield L. Specificity in signal transduction among glycosylphosphatidylinositols of *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Trypanosoma brucei*, *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Leishmania* spp. *Parasite Immunol* (1999) 21(12):609–17. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3024.1999.00268.x
 129. Sileghem M, Saya R, Grab DJ, Naessens J. An accessory role for the diacylglycerol moiety of variable surface glycoprotein of African trypanosomes in the stimulation of bovine monocytes. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* (2001) 78(3–4):325–39. doi:10.1016/S0165-2427(01)00241-0
 130. Philip R, Epstein LB. Tumour necrosis factor as immunomodulator and mediator of monocyte cytotoxicity induced by itself, gamma-interferon and interleukin-1. *Nature* (1986) 323(6083):86–9. doi:10.1038/323086a0
 131. Shoda LK, Kegerreis KA, Suarez CE, Roditi I, Corral RS, Bertot GM, et al. DNA from protozoan parasites *Babesia bovis*, *Trypanosoma cruzi*, and *T. brucei* is mitogenic for B lymphocytes and stimulates macrophage expression of interleukin-12, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and nitric oxide. *Infect Immun* (2001) 69(4):2162–71. doi:10.1128/IAI.69.4.2162-2171.2001
 132. Gazzinelli RT, Camargo MM, Almeida IC, Morita YS, Giraldo M, Acosta-Serrano A, et al. Travassos and A. Sher: identification and characterization of protozoan products that trigger the synthesis of IL-12 by inflammatory macrophages. *Chem Immunol* (1997) 68:136–52. doi:10.1159/000058689
 133. Sparwasser T, Hultner L, Koch ES, Luz A, Lipford GB, Wagner H. Immunostimulatory CpG-oligodeoxynucleotides cause extramedullary murine hemopoiesis. *J Immunol* (1999) 162(4):2368–74.
 134. Goodyear CS, Silverman GJ. Death by a B cell superantigen: in vivo VH-targeted apoptotic supraclonal B cell deletion by a Staphylococcal Toxin. *J Exp Med* (2003) 197(9):1125–39. doi:10.1084/jem.20020552
 135. Silverman GJ, Goodyear CS. Confounding B-cell defences: lessons from a staphylococcal superantigen. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2006) 6(6):465–75. doi:10.1038/nri1853
 136. Parcina M, Miranda-Garcia MA, Durlanik S, Ziegler S, Over B, Georg P, et al. Pathogen-triggered activation of plasmacytoid dendritic cells induces IL-10-producing B cells in response to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Immunol* (2013) 190(4):1591–602. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1201222
 137. Hill KL, Hutchings NR, Grandgenett PM, Donelson JE. T lymphocyte-triggering factor of african trypanosomes is associated with the flagellar fraction of the cytoskeleton and represents a new family of proteins that are present in several divergent eukaryotes. *J Biol Chem* (2000) 275(50):39369–78. doi:10.1074/jbc.M006907200
 138. Hamadien M, Lycke N, Bakhiet M. Induction of the trypanosome lymphocyte-triggering factor (TLTF) and neutralizing antibodies to the TLTF in experimental african trypanosomiasis. *Immunology* (1999) 96(4):606–11. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2567.1999.00730.x
 139. Vaidya T, Bakhiet M, Hill KL, Olsson T, Kristensson K, Donelson JE. The gene for a T lymphocyte triggering factor from African trypanosomes. *J Exp Med* (1997) 186(3):433–8. doi:10.1084/jem.186.3.433
 140. Olsson T, Bakhiet M, Hojeberg B, Ljungdahl A, Edlund C, Andersson G, et al. CD8 is critically involved in lymphocyte activation by a *T. brucei* brucei-released molecule. *Cell* (1993) 72(5):715–27. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(93)90400-K
 141. Abdulla MH, Bakhiet M, Lejon V, Andersson J, McKerrow J, Al-Obeed O, et al. TLTF in cerebrospinal fluid for detection and staging of *T. b. gambiense* infection. *PLoS One* (2013) 8(11):e79281. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079281
 142. Nishimura K, Hamashita K, Okamoto Y, Kawahara F, Ihara H, Kozaki S, et al. Differential effects of interferon-gamma on production of trypanosome-derived lymphocyte-triggering factor by *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense and *Trypanosoma brucei* brucei. *J Parasitol* (2004) 90(4):740–5. doi:10.1645/GE-211R1
 143. Hamadien M, Bakhiet M, Harris RA. Interferon-gamma induces secretion of trypanosome lymphocyte triggering factor via tyrosine protein kinases. *Parasitology* (2000) 120(Pt 3):281–7. doi:10.1017/S0031182099005521
 144. Bakhiet M, Olsson T, Mhlanga J, Buscher P, Lycke N, van der Meide PH, et al. Human and rodent interferon-gamma as a growth factor for *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Eur J Immunol* (1996) 26(6):1359–64. doi:10.1002/eji.1830260627
 145. Olsson T, Bakhiet M, Edlund C, Hojeberg B, Van der Meide PH, Kristensson K. Bidirectional activating signals between *Trypanosoma brucei* and CD8+ T cells: a trypanosome-released factor triggers interferon-gamma production that stimulates parasite growth. *Eur J Immunol* (1991) 21(10):2447–54. doi:10.1002/eji.1830211022
 146. Darji A, Beschin A, Sileghem M, Heremans H, Brys L, De Baetselier P. In vitro simulation of immunosuppression caused by *Trypanosoma brucei*: active involvement of gamma interferon and tumor necrosis factor in the pathway of suppression. *Infect Immun* (1996) 64(6):1937–43.
 147. Sternberg MJ, Mabbott NA. Nitric oxide-mediated suppression of T cell responses during *Trypanosoma brucei* infection: soluble trypanosome products and interferon-gamma are synergistic inducers of nitric oxide synthase. *Eur J Immunol* (1996) 26(3):539–43. doi:10.1002/eji.1830260306
 148. Gomez-Rodriguez J, Stijlemans B, De Muylder G, Korf H, Brys L, Berberof M, et al. Identification of a parasitic immunomodulatory protein triggering the development of suppressive M1 macrophages during African trypanosomiasis. *J Infect Dis* (2009) 200(12):1849–60. doi:10.1086/648374
 149. Magez S, Schwegmann A, Atkinson R, Claes F, Drennan M, De Baetselier P, et al. The role of B-cells and IgM antibodies in parasitemia, anemia, and VSG switching in *Trypanosoma brucei*-infected mice. *PLoS Pathog* (2008) 4(8):e1000122. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000122
 150. Reinitz DM, Mansfield JM. T-cell-independent and T-cell-dependent B-cell responses to exposed variant surface glycoprotein epitopes in trypanosome-infected mice. *Infect Immun* (1990) 58(7):2337–42.
 151. Radwanska M, Magez S, Michel A, Stijlemans B, Geuskens M, Pays E. Comparative analysis of antibody responses against HSP60, invariant surface glycoprotein 70, and variant surface glycoprotein reveals a complex antigen-specific pattern of immunoglobulin isotype switching during infection by *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Infect Immun* (2000) 68(2):848–60. doi:10.1128/IAI.68.2.848-860.2000
 152. de Gee AL, McCann PP, Mansfield JM. Role of antibody in the elimination of trypanosomes after DL-alpha-difluoromethylornithine chemotherapy. *J Parasitol* (1983) 69(5):818–22. doi:10.2307/3281038
 153. Levine RF, Mansfield JM. Genetics of resistance to the African trypanosomes. III. Variant-specific antibody responses of H-2-compatible resistant and susceptible mice. *J Immunol* (1984) 133(3):1564–9.
 154. Guirnalda P, Murphy NB, Nolan D, Black SJ. Anti-*Trypanosoma brucei* activity in Cape buffalo serum during the cryptic phase of parasitemia is mediated by antibodies. *Int J Parasitol* (2007) 37(12):1391–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.04.019
 155. Reinitz DM, Mansfield JM. Independent regulation of B cell responses to surface and subsurface epitopes of African trypanosome variable surface glycoproteins. *J Immunol* (1988) 141(2):620–6.
 156. Stijlemans B, Conrath K, Cortez-Retamozo V, Van Xong H, Wyns L, Senter P, et al. Efficient targeting of conserved cryptic epitopes of infectious agents by single domain antibodies. African trypanosomes as paradigm. *J Biol Chem* (2004) 279(2):1256–61. doi:10.1074/jbc.M307341200
 157. Magez S, Radwanska M. African trypanosomiasis and antibodies: implications for vaccination, therapy and diagnosis. *Future Microbiol* (2009) 4(8):1075–87. doi:10.2217/fmb.09.65
 158. Corsini AC, Clayton C, Askonas BA, Ogilvie BM. Suppressor cells and loss of B-cell potential in mice infected with *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Clin Exp Immunol* (1977) 29(1):122–31.
 159. Bockstal V, Guirnalda P, Caljon G, Goenka R, Telfer JC, Frenkel D, et al. *T. brucei* infection reduces B lymphopoiesis in bone marrow and truncates compensatory splenic lymphopoiesis through transitional B-cell apoptosis. *PLoS Pathog* (2011) 7(6):e1002089. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002089
 160. Diffley P. Trypanosomal surface coat variant antigen causes polyclonal lymphocyte activation. *J Immunol* (1983) 131(4):1983–6.
 161. Cnops J, De Trez C, Bulte D, Radwanska M, Ryffel B, Magez S. IFN-gamma mediates early B-cell loss in experimental African trypanosomiasis. *Parasite Immunol* (2015) 37(9):479–84. doi:10.1111/pim.12208
 162. Radwanska M, Guirnalda P, De Trez C, Ryffel B, Black S, Magez S. Trypanosomiasis-induced B cell apoptosis results in loss of protective anti-parasite antibody responses and abolishment of vaccine-induced memory responses. *PLoS Pathog* (2008) 4(5):e1000078. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000078

163. De Trez C, Katsandegwaza B, Caljon G, Magez S. Experimental African trypanosome infection by needle passage or natural tsetse fly challenge thwarts the development of collagen-induced arthritis in DBA/1 prone mice via an impairment of antigen specific B cell autoantibody titers. *PLoS One* (2015) 10(6):e0130431. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130431
164. Mansfield JM, Paulnock DM. Regulation of innate and acquired immunity in African trypanosomiasis. *Parasite Immunol* (2005) 27(10–11):361–71. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2005.00791.x
165. Mansfield JM. T-cell responses to the trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein: a new paradigm? *Parasitol Today* (1994) 10(7):267–70. doi:10.1016/0169-4758(94)90143-0
166. Stijlemans B, Vankrunkelsven A, Caljon G, Bockstal V, Guillems M, Bosschaerts T, et al. The central role of macrophages in trypanosomiasis-associated anemia: rationale for therapeutical approaches. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets* (2010) 10(1):71–82. doi:10.2174/187153010790827966
167. Stijlemans B, Guillems M, Raes G, Beschin A, Magez S, De Baetselier P. African trypanosomiasis: from immune escape and immunopathology to immune intervention. *Vet Parasitol* (2007) 148(1):3–13. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.05.005
168. Hertz CJ, Filutowicz H, Mansfield JM. Resistance to the African trypanosomes is IFN-gamma dependent. *J Immunol* (1998) 161(12):6775–83.
169. Schopf LR, Filutowicz H, Bi XJ, Mansfield JM. Interleukin-4-dependent immunoglobulin G1 isotype switch in the presence of a polarized antigen-specific Th1-cell response to the trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein. *Infect Immun* (1998) 66(2):451–61.
170. Stijlemans B, Baral TN, Guillems M, Brys L, Korf J, Drennan M, et al. A glycosylphosphatidylinositol-based treatment alleviates trypanosomiasis-associated immunopathology. *J Immunol* (2007) 179(6):4003–14. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.179.6.4003
171. Cnops J, Magez S, De Trez C. Escape mechanisms of African trypanosomes: why trypanosomiasis is keeping us awake. *Parasitology* (2015) 142(3):417–27. doi:10.1017/S0031182014001838
172. Vincendeau P, Bouteille B. Immunology and immunopathology of African trypanosomiasis. *An Acad Bras Cienc* (2006) 78(4):645–65. doi:10.1590/S0001-37652006000400004
173. Paulnock DM, Collier SP. Analysis of macrophage activation in African trypanosomiasis. *J Leukoc Biol* (2001) 69(5):685–90.
174. Namangala B, De Baetselier P, Noel W, Brys L, Beschin A. Alternative versus classical macrophage activation during experimental African trypanosomiasis. *J Leukoc Biol* (2001) 69(3):387–96. doi:10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00170-9
175. Paget C, Mallevaey T, Speak AO, Torres D, Fontaine J, Sheehan KC, et al. Activation of invariant NKT cells by toll-like receptor 9-stimulated dendritic cells requires type I interferon and charged glycosphingolipids. *Immunity* (2007) 27(4):597–609. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2007.08.017
176. Lopez R, Demick KP, Mansfield JM, Paulnock DM. Type I IFNs play a role in early resistance, but subsequent susceptibility, to the African trypanosomes. *J Immunol* (2008) 181(7):4908–17. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.7.4908
177. Bakhiet M, Buscher P, Harris RA, Kristensson K, Wigzell H, Olsson T. Different trypanozoan species possess CD8 dependent lymphocyte triggering factor-like activity. *Immunol Lett* (1996) 50(1–2):71–80. doi:10.1016/0165-2478(96)02521-7
178. Schleifer KW, Filutowicz H, Schopf LR, Mansfield JM. Characterization of T helper cell responses to the trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein. *J Immunol* (1993) 150(7):2910–9.
179. Stijlemans B, Vankrunkelsven A, Brys L, Magez S, De Baetselier P. Role of iron homeostasis in trypanosomiasis-associated anemia. *Immunobiology* (2008) 213(9–10):823–35. doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2008.07.023
180. Stijlemans B, Leng L, Brys L, Sparkes A, Vansintjan L, Caljon G, et al. MIF contributes to *Trypanosoma brucei* associated immunopathogenicity development. *PLoS Pathog* (2014) 10(9):e1004414. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004414
181. Vankrunkelsven A, De Ceulaer K, Hsu D, Liu FT, De Baetselier P, Stijlemans B. Lack of galectin-3 alleviates trypanosomiasis-associated anemia of inflammation. *Immunobiology* (2010) 215(9–10):833–41. doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2010.05.028
182. Stijlemans B, Vankrunkelsven A, Brys L, Raes G, Magez S, De Baetselier P. Scrutinizing the mechanisms underlying the induction of anemia of inflammation through GPI-mediated modulation of macrophage activation in a model of African trypanosomiasis. *Microbes Infect* (2010) 12(5):389–99. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2010.02.006
183. Amin DN, Vodnala SK, Masocha W, Sun B, Kristensson K, Rottenberg ME. Distinct Toll-like receptor signals regulate cerebral parasite load and interferon alpha/beta and tumor necrosis factor alpha-dependent T-cell infiltration in the brains of *Trypanosoma brucei*-infected mice. *J Infect Dis* (2012) 205(2):320–32. doi:10.1093/infdis/jir734
184. Zeni P, Doepker E, Schulze-Toppoff U, Huewel S, Tenenbaum T, Galla HJ. MMPs contribute to TNF-alpha-induced alteration of the blood-cerebrospinal fluid barrier in vitro. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* (2007) 293(3):C855–64. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00470.2006
185. Hanemaaijer R, Koolwijk P, le Clercq L, de Vree WJ, van Hinsbergh VW. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase expression in human vein and microvascular endothelial cells. Effects of tumour necrosis factor alpha, interleukin 1 and phorbol ester. *Biochem J* (1993) 296(Pt 3):803–9. doi:10.1042/bj2960803
186. Masocha W, Robertson B, Rottenberg ME, Mhlanga J, Sorokin L, Kristensson K. Cerebral vessel laminins and IFN-gamma define *Trypanosoma brucei* penetration of the blood-brain barrier. *J Clin Invest* (2004) 114(5):689–94. doi:10.1172/JCI22104
187. Amin DN, Rottenberg ME, Thomsen AR, Mumba D, Fenger C, Kristensson K, et al. Expression and role of CXCL10 during the encephalitic stage of experimental and clinical African trypanosomiasis. *J Infect Dis* (2009) 200(10):1556–65. doi:10.1086/644597
188. Frevert U, Movila A, Nikolskaia OV, Raper J, Mackey ZB, Abdulla M, et al. Early invasion of brain parenchyma by African trypanosomes. *PLoS One* (2012) 7(8):e43913. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043913

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Stijlemans, Caljon, Van Den Abbeele, Van Ginderachter, Magez and De Trez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.