**PHYSIOLOGICAL ECOLOGY - ORIGINAL RESEARCH** 



# Immune function and blood parasite infections impact stopover ecology in passerine birds

Arne Hegemann<sup>1</sup> · Pablo Alcalde Abril<sup>1</sup> · Rachel Muheim<sup>1</sup> · Sissel Sjöberg<sup>1,2</sup> · Thomas Alerstam<sup>1</sup> · Jan-Åke Nilsson<sup>1</sup> · Dennis Hasselquist<sup>1</sup>

Received: 14 March 2018 / Accepted: 22 October 2018 / Published online: 1 November 2018 © The Author(s) 2018

## Abstract

Stopovers play a crucial role for the success of migrating animals and are key to optimal migration theory. Variation in refuelling rates, stopover duration and departure decisions among individuals has been related to several external factors. The physiological mechanisms shaping stopover ecology are, however, less well understood. Here, we explore how immune function and blood parasite infections relate to several aspects of stopover behaviour in autumn migrating short- and longdistance migrating songbirds. We blood sampled individuals of six species and used an automated radio-telemetry system in the stopover area to subsequently quantify stopover duration, 'bush-level' activity patterns ( $\sim 0.1-30$  m), landscape movements ( $\sim 30-6000$  m), departure direction and departure time. We show that complement activity, the acute phase protein haptoglobin and blood parasite infections were related to prolonged stopover duration. Complement activity (i.e., lysis) and total immunoglobulins were negatively correlated with bush-level activity patterns. The differences partly depended on whether birds were long-distance or short-distance migrants. Birds infected with avian malaria-like parasites showed longer landscape movements during the stopover than uninfected individuals, and birds with double blood parasite infections departed more than 2.5 h later after sunset/sunrise suggesting shorter flight bouts. We conclude that variation in baseline immune function and blood parasite infection status affects stopover ecology and helps explain individual variation in stopover behaviour. These differences affect overall migration speed, and thus can have significant impact on migration success and induce carry-over effects on other annual-cycle stages. Immune function and blood parasites should, therefore, be considered as important factors when applying optimal migration theory.

Keywords Avian migration · Eco-immunology · Eco-physiology · Optimal migration

Communicated by Kevin D Matson.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4291-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Arne Hegemann arne.hegemann@biol.lu.se

## Introduction

Seasonal avian migration is usually characterised by sequences of movements intermixed with stopovers to refuel and rest. Stopovers are particularly important as theoretical models suggest that 90% of the entire migration time and 67% of all energy consumption is spent during stopovers (Hedenström and Alerstam 1997). Empirical data have supported these assumptions and shown that much more energy is spent during stopovers than during actual flight (Wikelski et al. 2003). The length of stopovers depends on fuelling rates which, together with flight efficiency, determine the overall migration speed (Alerstam and Lindström 1990), and thus the degree of delayed or advanced arrival to the destination (Nilsson et al. 2013), with all its consequences for subsequent annual-cycle stages (Norris and Taylor 2006; Harrison et al. 2011). Hence, stopovers are vitally important

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Lund University, Ecology Building, 223 62 Lund, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

for the success of a migratory journey and for individual fitness (Alerstam and Lindström 1990).

Stopovers are primarily needed for refuelling (Lindström 2003) but also to recover from fatigue (Klaassen 1996; Schwilch et al. 2002) or when weather conditions prevent continued migration (Richardson 1978). The length of stopovers and hence the departure decisions are influenced by many factors, including refuelling rate, weather conditions and predation risk (Jenni and Schaub 2003; Schaub et al. 2004; Schmaljohann and Dierschke 2005; Bulyuk and Tsvey 2006). Optimal migration theory predicts that birds maximizing speed of migration should reduce the time spent on stopover sites when fuel deposition rates are high (Lindström and Alerstam 1992; Alerstam and Hedenström 1998) and continue migration as soon as they reach the optimal fuel load (Alerstam and Lindström 1990). Indeed, birds with high body condition usually depart faster from stopover sites compared to lean birds (Biebach et al. 1986; Fusani et al. 2009; Goymann et al. 2010; Lupi et al. 2017). Yet, often much individual variation in stopover duration remains unexplained (Jenni and Schaub 2003; Schmaljohann and Eikenaar 2017).

Proximate mechanisms for departure decisions are linked to hormones, in particular ghrelin and corticosterone, which regulate food intake and body mass, thereby influencing stopover behaviour (Goymann et al. 2017; Eikenaar et al. 2017; Eikenaar 2017). Physiological flexibility of body composition that enables high refuelling rates and efficient flights has also received much attention (reviewed by Piersma and van Gils 2011). Other physiological mechanisms that impact stopover ecology have, however, received little consideration. Recent studies suggest that infected birds exhibit different stopover behaviours (e.g. local movements) and that infections can prolong stopover duration (van Gils et al. 2007; Latorre-Margalef et al. 2009; van Dijk et al. 2015; Risely et al. 2018), suggesting that activating an immune response might play an important role in determining stopover behaviour. The immune system protects the body from diseases and is important for survival (e.g. Roitt et al. 1998; Hegemann et al. 2013b). At the same time, the immune system incurs costs in terms of production, maintenance and activation (Klasing 2004; Hasselquist and Nilsson 2012; Hegemann et al. 2012b). It has, therefore, been hypothesized that immune function is traded-off with other behavioural and physiological processes, in particular behaviours entailing heavy physical workload (Sheldon and Verhulst 1996; Råberg et al. 1998) such as migration (Buehler and Piersma 2008). Indeed, birds modulate and redistribute immune function during migration (Owen and Moore 2008; Buehler et al. 2010; Eikenaar and Hegemann 2016), which can either lead to increased infection risk or increased investment into immune function (Buehler and Piersma 2008). Taken together, trade-offs between immune function and refuelling rate, and hence stopover behaviour, can be expected (Klaassen et al. 2012). Yet, studies investigating if immune function is related to stopover ecology in migrating songbirds, which constitute the majority of avian migrants, are missing.

Departure decisions from a stopover site include not only the decision to leave or stay, but also departure direction and time. Even though birds are expected to migrate in the direction of the destination, reverse migration, i.e. flights in the opposite direction, are commonly observed (Alerstam 1990). Such flights have been linked to body condition, with lean birds having a higher propensity than fat birds to make reverse flights, probably in search for appropriate refuelling sites (Lindström and Alerstam 1986; Deutschlander and Muheim 2009; Nilsson and Sjöberg 2016). Such flights have also been called "landscape movements" and have been classified as part of stopover behaviour (Taylor et al. 2011). Departure time, relative to sunset in nocturnal migrants and sunrise in diurnal migrants, is presumed to be linked to fuel rates and the distance birds can/want to fly. The longer the next flight, the sooner after sunset/sunrise the birds are expected to depart (Schmaljohann and Eikenaar 2017). If trade-offs between immune function and refuelling exist, one might hypothesise that also landscape movements and departure time are linked to immune function, but this has not been examined so far.

Reduction in investment in immune function increases the risk of infections (Roitt et al. 1998). Infections are known to hamper migration performance in a variety of taxa, including mammals (Mysterud et al. 2016), insects (Bradley and Altizer 2005), fish (Sjöberg et al. 2009) and birds (Risely et al. 2018) and we recently demonstrated that an energetically costly mimicked bacterial infection increases stopover duration in songbirds (Hegemann et al. 2018). However, there is no study investigating to what extent mild and/or chronic parasite infections impact stopover ecology in songbirds, which constitute the vast majority of avian migrants. Typical mild infections in migrating songbirds are blood parasite infections (Bensch et al. 2009). Even though a recent study suggests that infections with blood parasites do not impact the aerobic performance of birds (Hahn et al. 2018), no study has yet investigated if infection with blood parasites impacts key aspects of stopover ecology.

Here, we use the increasing possibilities to link physiology to fine-scale movement patterns and stopover behaviour, and present evidence on how immune function and blood parasite infections (i.e., a measure of chronic mild infection) are related to stopover ecology in songbirds. In particular, we tested the effect of baseline immune function and blood parasite infections on stopover duration, bush-level activity patterns, landscape movements, departure direction and departure time. Using automated radio-telemetry, we quantified stopover behaviours and related those behaviours to three measures of baseline innate immune function, one measure of baseline acquired immune function, and to infection with avian malaria parasites. We predicted that parameters of immune function that have been linked to infections [i.e., increased acute phase proteins and complement activity (Buehler et al. 2009; Hegemann et al. 2013a)] as well as blood parasite infections will increase stopover duration while decreasing activity patterns and local movements. Immune parameters that reflect more long-term investment in immune function [i.e., natural antibodies and immunoglobulins (Hasselquist et al. 2001; Versteegh et al. 2014)] are predicted not to be so closely related to parameters of stopover ecology. We also predicted that birds with symptoms of (mild) diseases (e.g. increased acute phase proteins, see above) and/or active parasitemia will depart later in relation to sunset in nocturnal migrants (and sunrise in diurnal migrants) restricting them to migrate in shorter bouts. We included three species of long-distance migrants and three species of short-distance migrants in our study, because these two groups of migrants may face different trade-offs. In particular long-distance migrants have been hypothesised to have reduced immune function due to trade-offs related to resource-demanding barrier crossings (Klaassen et al. 2012).

## **Materials and methods**

We studied six passerine species during autumn migration 2014 at Falsterbo Peninsula, a stopover site in southwestern Sweden (55.383°N, 12.816°E). Birds were caught as part of the standardised ringing scheme at Falsterbo Bird Observatory (Karlsson and Bentz 2004). We studied ten individuals of each of three long-distance migrants (wintering in sub-Saharan Africa (Cramp 1988)), Tree Pipit (Ambus trivialis captured during 29/8-10/9), Willow Warbler (Phylloscopus trochilus, n = 11, 1/9-21/9) and Common Redstart (Phoenicurus phoenicurus, 2/9-12/9) as well as three short-distance migrants [wintering in Europe (Cramp 1988)], Dunnock (Prunella modularis, 12/9-29/9), European Robin (Erithacus rubecula, 26/9-11/10) and Song Thrush (Turdus philomelos, 4/10-14/10). All birds were captured around the peak migration of each species (i.e., close to the median capture date of all individuals during the standardised ringing scheme), thereby avoiding very early or late migrating individuals (see Supplementary material for capture dates of all birds ringed during the standardised ringing scheme), and in a limited time period to minimise long-term variation in environmental conditions (e.g. food supply, pathogen pressure). All individuals were caught between sunrise (29 August: 5:09; 14 October 6:37) and 10:00 in the morning, and were hatch-year birds. To reduce variation, we made every attempt to avoid very lean or very fat birds and 82% of the 61 birds in total had a fat score of 2–3 according to the scale by (Pettersson and Hasselquist 1985). Our data set included no bird without fat and only one bird (a Song Thrush) with fat score 1. Seven birds had a fat score of 4 (three Robins, three Willow Warblers, one Redstart) and four birds a fat score of 5 (one each of Song Thrush, Redstart, Tree Pipit and Willow Warblers). We had no birds with fat scores higher than 5 in our dataset.

## **Blood sampling**

Birds were first measured and ringed by staff from the bird observatory. Afterwards, we collected blood samples (~100  $\mu$ l) by puncturing the brachial vein with a sterile needle. Blood samples were kept on ice in an Eppendorf tube until centrifuging for 10 min at 7000 rpm later the same day. Samples were stored at  $-50^{\circ}$  until subsequent laboratory analysis.

#### **Radio-telemetry**

We used an automated radio-telemetry system at Falsterbo peninsula to determine stopover duration and stopover behaviour, and coded radio-tags were attached to all birds by gluing them to the back of the birds after cutting some feathers on the bird's back (Sjöberg et al. 2017). The five smaller species were tagged with NTQBW-2 Coded Tags (LOTEK, weight 0.3 g). Song Thrushes were equipped with MST-720-T transmitters (BIOTRACK, weight 1.4 g). The weight of the transmitters never exceeded 4.2% of the birds' body mass. The automated radio-telemetry system at Falsterbo peninsula (ca.  $6 \times 6$  km) consisting of three receiver stations (SRX600; Lotek Wireless, Newmarket, ON, Canada; one at the capture site and in 2.85 and 5.55 km distance) with 4-5 antennas each (in total 13 antennas) allowed us to estimate stopover duration, departure time and vanishing bearing (hereafter departure direction). We used the stable individual burst rates of the transmitters (2.9-3.1 s) to filter the data by burst rate (Sjöberg et al. 2015). Constant signals over a long period were assumed to be dead birds or transmitters fallen off, and these birds were excluded from the analyses (n = 8: 3 Dunnocks, 2 Common Redstarts, 1European Robin and 2 Willow Warblers). Stopover duration was calculated as number of days between release time and last recorded signal. Using all signals during the last 10 min from the receiver station that was last in contact with a departing bird, we calculated departure direction (vanishing bearing) as a circular mean (Batschelet 1981), weighing each signal by signal strength (Sjöberg and Nilsson 2015). The departure directions were grouped in "forward" (i.e. 135°–315°, SE–NW) or "reverse" (i.e., 315°–135°, NW–SE) migration. We calculated departure time relative to the start of the night (for nocturnal migrants) or start of the day (for diurnal migrants) as a linear variable. As start of the night and start of the day, we subtracted 60 min from sunrise and sunset, respectively, rather than using sunrise and sunset directly, because migrants can depart before sunset or sunrise (Alerstam and Ulfstrand 1975; Åkesson et al. 1996). We used the difference between the last signal of a bird and the start of the night (for nocturnal migrants) or start of the day (for diurnal migrants), as departure time. For details on the telemetry system set up, transmitters and monitoring regime, see (Sjöberg and Nilsson 2015; Sjöberg et al. 2015).

The telemetry system further allowed us to calculate one activity measure and one measure of local movements, which relates to "landscape movements" (Taylor et al. 2011). To quantify small-scale activity (i.e., activity on the "bushlevel"), we calculated the variance of the signal strength for each of the 13 antennas. If a bird sits still (e.g., when sleeping) the variance is small, if it moves a lot on the small scale (probably mainly in the range 0.1-30 m and hence indicative of foraging movements) the variance is high. To quantify landscape movements, we calculated the number of antennas that picked up the signal of a bird over a specific sampling period. This measure gives an indication of the local movements of a bird (in the range 30-6000 m). In this measure, the further a bird moves away from the site of capture and the more it moves around the peninsula, the more antennas will pick up the signal. We calculated the activity pattern and the landscape movements for the first 6 h after release, i.e. during daylight hours for all birds but included only those birds that stayed at least six hours to exclude activity related to departure flights. We did not calculate those values for the night as we did not expect birds to move at night, except for departure flights.

#### **Immune** assays

To quantify each bird's baseline immune function, we measured three parameters of innate immune function and one related to the constitutive part of acquired immune function. Innate immune function is an important first line of defence (Janeway et al. 2005), is related to antigen exposure (Horrocks et al. 2012, 2015) and responds to environmental conditions and immune challenges (Hegemann et al. 2012a, 2013a). Acquired immune function reflects the investment into immune function over longer time scales and hence is related to phenotypic quality (Hasselquist et al. 2001). Specifically, we used a hemolysis-hemagglutination assay to quantify titers of 1) lytic enzymes of the complement system and 2) non-specific natural antibodies (mainly IgM) from preserved plasma samples (Matson et al. 2005). Although high baseline values of lysis titers are thought to be beneficial in terms of general immune defense, lysis titers increase following an immune challenge (Hegemann et al. 2013a). Agglutination titers vary between annual-cycle stages (Hegemann et al. 2012a), but they are more genetically controlled than other immune parameters (Versteegh et al. 2014) and are usually unaffected by acute sickness responses (Matson et al. 2005; Hegemann et al. 2013a). Scans of individual samples were randomized among all plates and scored blindly with respect to individual ID and migratory strategy (by AH). We used a commercially available colorimetric assay kit (TP801; Tri-Delta Diagnostics, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland) to quantify 3) haptoglobin concentration in plasma samples (Hegemann et al. 2012a; Matson et al. 2012). Haptoglobin is an acute phase protein that is normally present at low concentrations in plasma but is released from the liver during a pathogenic challenge (Thomas 2000; Cray et al. 2009; Matson et al. 2012). Finally, we used an enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to quantify 4) the total level of antibodies (immunoglobulins IgY in the circulation) (Sköld-Chiriac et al. 2014; Hegemann et al. 2017).

#### Molecular analyses of blood parasites

DNA from preserved blood was extracted using standard phenol/chloroform methods (Sambrook et al. 2002) and subsequently diluted to 25 ng  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>. To determine infection status with the genera Haemoproteus/Plasmodium and Leucocytozoon a nested PCR amplifying a partial segment of the cytochrome b gene and using both Haem-F/Haem-R2 and Haem-FL/Haem-R2L primer pairs was applied (Hellgren et al. 2004). We first grouped the data into birds having no infection and birds being infected (independently of if having single or double infection). As double infections can have much stronger negative consequences on individuals than single infections (Marzal et al. 2008), we subsequently also grouped birds into three categories: uninfected birds, birds having one infection (Haemoproteus/Plasmodium or Leucocytozoon), and birds having double infections (Haemoproteus/Plasmodium and Leucocytozoon) with blood parasites.

## Statistics

We used linear mixed models analysed with the program R version 3.2.3 (R Development Core Team 2015). Even though measurements of baseline immune function are often unaltered by short-term handling stress (Buehler et al. 2008; but see Gao et al. 2017), we first checked if handling time and immune parameters were correlated in our dataset, but this was not the case for any parameter (always F < 1.17, p > 0.29). We then tested if fat had an influence on stopover duration. As expected, fat was not correlated with stopover duration in our dataset (interaction fat\*migration type  $F_{1,45} = 0.73$ , p = 0.40; fat  $F_{1,46} = 0.43$ , p = 0.52) probably due to the limited variation in fat score in our dataset (see above). Hence, we did not incorporate fat as a covariate in further analyses.

There were no correlations among immune parameters in our dataset (always F < 2.13, p > 0.17). To test the hypotheses that immune function relates to parameters of stopover ecology, we included the four immune parameters each with the two-way-interaction with migration strategy (long- vs. short-distance migration) in one model. To test the hypothesis that blood parasite infections influenced stopover ecology, we built separate models including the infection status and its interaction with migration strategy. We used a generalised linear mixed model with poisson distribution when having the number of antennas as dependent variable, and with binomial distribution when having the departure direction as dependent variable. For all models, we included species as random effect. We always started with the full model and simplified it using backwards elimination based on log likelihood ratio test with p < 0.05 as selection criterion until reaching the minimal adequate model. We used the packages "nlme" for mixed models (Pinheiro 2016), "lme4" for generalised mixed models as well as "gplots" (Warnes 2009) and "ggplot2" (Wickham 2009) for the figures. Marginal R squared values from mixed models were extracted using the package "MuMIn" (Barton 2018). Estimates in the text are mean  $\pm$  SE.

## Results

#### **Stopover duration**

Stopover duration ranged from 1.7 h to 13.5 days. Long-distance migrants stopped on average for  $1.2 \pm 0.22$  days (i.e., departed 28.8 h after capture) and short-distance migrants for  $2.3 \pm 0.60$  days (i.e., departed 55.2 h after capture) which is a non-significant difference ( $F_{1,4}=0.86, p=0.40$ ; for data on species, see Electronic Supplementary Material, Table ESM 1).

Stopover duration was related to two immune parameters, lysis (complement activity) and haptoglobin concentration. We found a positive relationship between lysis and stopover duration in short-distance migrants ( $F_{1,45}$  = 13.4, p < 0.001), but not in long-distance migrants (interaction lysis titer\*migration type  $F_{1,44}$  = 5.50, p = 0.023; Fig. 1a, Table 1; see ESM for additional Fig). Haptoglobin concentration was positively related to stopover duration in both migration types ( $F_{1,44}$  = 43.25, p < 0.001; Fig. 1b). This relationship depended on one extreme point and was nonsignificant when this point was discarded ( $F_{1,45}$  = 0.68, p = 0.41). However, we argue that this extreme point is a valid data point and that this relationship is real (see the discussion for the explanation). We found no correlation



**Fig. 1** Stopover duration of three species of short-distance and three species of long-distance migratory passerines at Falsterbo peninsula (Sweden) in relation to **a** Lysis (titers) and **b** haptoglobin concentration. The interaction between lysis and migratory strategy was significant. Haptoglobin concentration predicted stopover duration inde-

pendent of migration strategy, hence we plotted only the line for the pooled data of strategy (See results section for details on statistics). Shading around regression lines represent 95% confidence intervals. mR2=marginal R squared values from the linear mixed models. See Supplementary material for additional Figures

| Stopover duration         Ime         Migration Strategy<br>Lysis (titer)         IA3         1.53         0.222           Maptoglobin conc         1.44         5.14         0.92         43.25         <0.001           Immunoglobulin levels         1.38         0.43         0.510         Strategy:rgsis         1.44         1.04         0.44         5.00         0.222           Stopover duration         Ime         Strategy:rgsis         1.37         0.28         0.099           Strategy:rgsis         1.35         0.10         0.754         Strategy:rimmunoglobulin         1.36         0.02         0.145           Bush-level activity (variance of signal strength)         Ime         Migration Strategy         1.45         6.95         0.011           Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02         0.885         Immunoglobulin levels         0.01         0.36           Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02         0.898         Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02         0.898           Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02         0.898         Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02         0.898           Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02         0.898         Strategy:ragg         1.31         0.02 </th <th>Y-variable</th> <th>Model type</th> <th>X-variable</th> <th>DF</th> <th>β</th> <th>SE</th> <th>F</th> <th>Р</th>   | Y-variable   | Model type                          | X-variable                | DF   | β      | SE   | F     | Р       |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|------|-------|---------|
| Instant Insta  | Stopover duration                                  | lme                                 | Migration Strategy        |      |        |      |       |         |
| Agg (tinc)1,4 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Lysis (titer)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>   |  |                                     | Lysis (titer)             |      |        |      |       |         |
| Image  |  |                                     | Agg (titer)               | 1.43 |        |      | 1.53  | 0.222   |
| Immunojoloulinevs1.4.4.4.4.0.5.00.2.1Strategy-lays1.3.5.10.2.10.2.30.2.1Strategy-lays1.3.5.10.2.30.2.30.2.3Strategy-laysStrategy-lays1.3.5.10.2.30.2.3Strategy-laysInceStrategy-lays1.4.5.1.5.10.2.3Strategy-laysInceMigration Strategy1.4.5.1.5.1.5.1Strategy-laysLigod parsites/Strateg1.4.5.2.5.10.3.2.5.1Strategy-laysLigod parsites/Strateg1.3.5.1  |  |                                     | Haptoglobin conc          | 1.44 | 5.14   | 0.92 | 43.25 | < 0.001 |
| Strategy-hand<br>Strategy-hand<br>Strategy-hand<br>Strategy-hand<br>   |  |                                     | Immunoglobulin levels     | 1.38 |        |      | 0.43  | 0.516   |
| Strategy:1.30.280.099Strategy:1.30.100.75Strategy:Strategy:Strategy:0.100.15Strategy:Strategy:Strategy:0.100.130.100.130.100.130.14 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Strategy:lysis</td><td>1.44</td><td>1.04</td><td>0.44</td><td>5.50</td><td>0.024</td></td<>   |  |                                     | Strategy:lysis            | 1.44 | 1.04   | 0.44 | 5.50  | 0.024   |
| StrategyInfIndepIndepIndepIndepIndepStrategyKrategyIndepIndepIndepIndepBlood parasites: StrategyBlood parasites: StrategyIndepIndepIndepBush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)IndeIndepIndepIndepIndepBush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)Indep<   |  |                                     | Strategy:agg              | 1.37 |        |      | 0.28  | 0.099   |
| Stopour durationIncStrategy:immunoglobalio1.6 :  |  |                                     | Strategy:hp               | 1.35 |        |      | 0.10  | 0.754   |
| Shopwer durationIneStategy </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Strategy:immunoglobulins</td> <td>1.36</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.22</td> <td>0.145</td>   |  |                                     | Strategy:immunoglobulins  | 1.36 |        |      | 0.22  | 0.145   |
| Biodeparsitence         Hordeparations         Hordeparations         Hordeparations           standpring         Hermithence         Hermithence         Hermithence           standpring         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)           Agricitic non-         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)           Agricitic non-         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)           Agricitic non-         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)           Standpring         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)           Standpring         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)         Lass (file)           Standpring         Lass (file)         Lass (file) <t< td=""><td>Stopover duration</td><td rowspan="3">lme</td><td>Strategy</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>   | Stopover duration                                  | lme                                 | Strategy                  |      |        |      |       |         |
| BiolognamiesBiolognamiesInfInfInfInfInfInfInfInfstrength15-118-114-104   |  |                                     | Blood parasites           |      |        |      |       |         |
| Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)ImeMigration StrategyImage<   |  |                                     | Blood parasites:Strategy  | 1.45 |        |      | 6.95  | 0.011   |
| strength)Lysis (tier)1,3-1829.624,760.031Agg (tier)1,310.030.03Hatoglobin conc0.030.03Hatoglobin level0.030.03Strateg:rangolobil level0.030.03Strateg:rangolobil1.030.030.03Strateg:rannonglobili1.030.030.03Strateg:rimnunglobili1.030.030.03Strateg:rimnunglobili1.030.030.03Strateg:rimnunglobili1.030.030.03Strateg:rimnunglobili1.030.030.03Strateg:rimnunglobili1.030.030.03Strateg:rimnunglobili1.030.030.03Agg (tier)0.040.030.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04Agg (tier)0.040.040.04  | Bush-level activity (variance of signal            | lme                                 | Migration Strategy        |      |        |      |       |         |
| Agg (titer)1.34  | strength)  |                                     | Lysis (titer)             | 1.35 | - 182  | 96.2 | 4.76  | 0.036   |
| Haroglobin conc<br>Immunoglobulin levelsHaroglobin conc<br>Immunoglobulin levels0.020.035Strategy:lysis1.3-0.100.10Strategy:lysis1.3-0.020.895Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)IneStrategy:lysis1.3-1.30.020.895Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)IneStrategy:lysis1.4-1.30.020.030.01Audo parasites:Strategy1.4-1.4-1.30.020.01 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Agg (titer)</td><td>1.34</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.46</td><td>0.502</td></td<>   |  |                                     | Agg (titer)               | 1.34 |        |      | 0.46  | 0.502   |
| Innunoglobulin levelsinnunoglobulin levelsinnunoglobulin levelsinnunoglobulin levelsStrategy:lysis1.3-0.110.71Strategy:lysis1.35-75.832.25.510.025Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)ImeStrategy:lysis1.4-1.350.250.025Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)ImeStrategy:lysis1.4-1.350.250.025Buod parasites1.401.300.2610.2610.2610.2610.261Strength)ImeStrategy:lysis1.400.230.2150.2510.251Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structMigration Strategy*0.230.216Agg (titer)1.400.2511.400.2510.2510.2510.251Imunoglobulin levels1.400.2511.400.2510.2   |  |                                     | Haptoglobin conc          | 1.33 |        |      | 0.02  | 0.885   |
| Strategy:hysis1.32   |  |                                     | Immunoglobulin levels     |      |        |      |       |         |
| Strategy:agg1.300.010.936Strategy:immunoglobulins1.35- 75.832.25.510.025Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)ImeStrategy1.43.750.132Blood parasites1.401.300.2600.751Landscape movements (no. of antennas)GImer with poisson error structureMigration Strategy1.3-0.100.751Landscape movements (no. of antennas)GImer with poisson error structureMigration Strategy*-0.020.010.640Agg (titer)0.020.015.670.017Agg (titer)0.020.015.670.017Strategy:lysis0.020.015.670.017Strategy:lysisStrategy:lysis0.020.015.670.017Landscape movements (no. of antennas)GImer with poisson error structureStrategy:lysis0.020.015.670.017Strategy:lysis0.020.015.670.0170.59Landscape movements (no. of antennas)GImer with poisson error structureStrategy:lysis-1.020.0100.59Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)GImer with binomial error structureMigration Strategy*-0.020.010.57Agg (titer)0.010.5901.551.550.020.010.57Migration Strategy*0.01 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Strategy:lysis</td> <td>1.32</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.11</td> <td>0.741</td>  |  |                                     | Strategy:lysis            | 1.32 |        |      | 0.11  | 0.741   |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  |  |                                     | Strategy:agg              | 1.30 |        |      | 0.01  | 0.936   |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |  |                                     | Strategy:hp               | 1.31 |        |      | 0.02  | 0.898   |
| Bush-level activity (variance of signal<br>strength)ImeStrategy<br>Blood parasites1.403.750.132Blood parasites1.401.300.260Blood parasites: Strategy1.390.100.751Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureMigration Strategy*0.420.694Lysis (titer)0.230.7194gg (titer)0.050.751Haptoglobin conc1.060.649Immunoglobulin levels0.020.015.670.017Strategy:lysis0.020.015.670.017Strategy:lysis0.020.015.690.649Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy:lysis0.020.015.67Strategy:lysis0.020.015.670.0170.649Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy:lysis0.020.010.899Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)Glmer with binomial error structureMigration Strategy1.020.001Blood parasites:Strategy0.010.5700.447Lysis (titer)0.010.5700.447Migration Strategy*0.510.447Migration Strategy*0.510.447Migration Strategy*0.510.447Migration Strategy*0.510.447Migration Strategy*0.510.541Migration Strate  |  |                                     | Strategy:immunoglobulins  | 1.35 | - 75.8 | 32.2 | 5.51  | 0.025   |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | Bush-level activity (variance of signal            | lme                                 | Strategy                  | 1.4  |        |      | 3.75  | 0.132   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureBlood parasites:Strategy1.390.130.751Migration Strategy*0.230.719Lysis (titer)  | strength)  |                                     | Blood parasites           | 1.40 |        |      | 1.30  | 0.260   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas) Glmer with poisson error structure $Migration Strategy * 0.42 0.694$<br>Lysis (titer) * 0.23 0.719<br>Agg (titer) * 0.06 0.935<br>Haptoglobin conc 1.06 0.649<br>Immunoglobulin levels 0.02 0.01 5.67 0.017<br>Strategy:lysis 0.02 0.01 5.67 0.017<br>Strategy:lysis 0.01 0.12 0.696<br>Strategy:hp 3.32 0.068<br>Strategy:hp 3.32 0.068<br>Strategy:munoglobulins 0.01 0.899<br>Blood parasites 0.02 0.01 0.899<br>Blood parasites 0.02 0.01 0.899<br>Blood parasites 0.02 0.01 0.899<br>Landscape movements (no. of antennas) Glmer with poisson error structure $Migration Strategy * 1.08 0.299Blood parasites 0.010 0.010 0.969Blood parasites 0.010 0.010 0.969Blood parasites 0.010 0.010 0.969Blood parasites 0.010 0.010 0.969Migration Strategy * 0.57 0.447Lysis (titer) 0.01 0.570Agg (titer) 0.01 0.570Agg (titer) 0.01 0.570Agg (titer) 0.01 0.570Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567$  |  |                                     | Blood parasites:Strategy  | 1.39 |        |      | 0.10  | 0.751   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Kartegy: lysis       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Strategy: lysis       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Strategy: lysis       0.02       0.01       0.899         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Strategy       *       0.01       0.899         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Migration Strategy       *       0.01       0.899         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Migration Strategy       *       0.01       0.899         Logic titre/       Strategy: input       *       0.01       0.899         Departure direction (forward/reverse migration)       Glmer with binomial error structure       Migration Strategy       *       0.01       0.570         Agg (titer)       Agg (titer)       0.01       0.570       Agg (titer)       0.01       0.570         Migration Strategy       *       0.01       0.501       0.501       0.501       0.501   | Landscape movements (no. of antennas)              | Glmer with poisson error structure  | Migration Strategy        | *    |        |      | 0.42  | 0.694   |
| Agg (titer)       0.06       0.935         Haptoglobin conc       1.06       0.649         Immunoglobulin levels       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Strategy:lysis       0.43       0.540         Blood parasites       1.08       0.299         Blood parasites:Strategy       1.02       0.001         Nigration Strategy       *       0.57       0.447         Lysis (titer)       1.06       0.570       0.447         Migration Strategy       *       0.50       0.447         Migration Strategy       *       0.50       0.447         Migration Strategy       *       0.61       0.570         Agg (titer)       1.06       0.160 </td <td></td> <td>Lysis (titer)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.23</td> <td>0.719</td>  |  |                                     | Lysis (titer)             |      |        |      | 0.23  | 0.719   |
| Haptoglobin conc       1.06       0.649         Haptoglobin conc       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Immunoglobulin levels       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Strategy:lysis       0.12       0.690         Strategy:agg       0.12       0.690         Strategy:hp       3.32       0.068         Strategy:hp       *       0.10       0.899         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Strategy:hp       *       0.01       0.899         Poparture direction (forward/reverse       Glmer with binomial error structure       Blood parasites:Strategy       *       0.01       0.969         Poparture direction (forward/reverse       Glmer with binomial error structure       Migration Strategy       *       0.01       0.969         Agg (titer)       *       0.01       0.969       0.961       0.961       0.961         Migration Strategy       *       0.01       0.969       0.961       0.961       0.969         Agg (titer)       Kagg (titer)       Kagg (titer)       Kagg (titer)       Kagg (titer)       1.06       0.101         Haptoglobin conc       Immunoglobulin levels       No.43       0.541  |  |                                     | Agg (titer)               |      |        |      | 0.06  | 0.935   |
| Immunoglobulin levels       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Immunoglobulin levels       0.02       0.01       5.67       0.017         Strategy:lysis       0.43       0.540         Strategy:lysis       0.12       0.696         Strategy:hp       3.32       0.068         Strategy:immunoglobulins       0.01       0.899         Landscape movements (no. of antennas)       Glmer with poisson error structure       Strategy:immunoglobulins       0.01       0.899         Blood parasites       1.08       0.299       Blood parasites       1.08       0.299         Departure direction (forward/reverse migration)       Glmer with binomial error structure       Migration Strategy       0.01       0.969         Agg (titer)       2.61       0.100       4.571       4.511       0.570       4.511         Haptoglobin conc       1.06       0.160       1.060       0.160       0.160       0.571         Immunoglobulin levels       0.23       0.567       3.522       0.567       3.521         Strategy:lysis       5.23       0.567       3.522       3.567         Strategy:lysis       5.74       5.57       5.57       5.57         Strategy:lysis       0.   |  |                                     | Haptoglobin conc          |      |        |      | 1.06  | 0.649   |
| Strategy:lysis0.430.540Strategy:agg0.120.696Strategy:hp3.320.068Strategy:hp3.320.069Strategy:immunoglobulins0.010.899Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy*1.080.299Blood parasites10.22 <b>0.001</b> 0.969Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)Glmer with binomial error structureMigration Strategy*0.570.447Lysis (titer)0.010.570Agg (titer)0.010.570Agg (titer)2.610.1001.060.160Immunoglobulin levels0.040.5445trategy:lysis0.230.567Strategy:lysis0.230.5675trategy:lysis0.230.547Strategy:lysis0.230.5405trategy:lysis0.490.452Strategy:lysiph0.790.5175trategy:lysiph0.790.517   |  |                                     | Immunoglobulin levels     |      | 0.02   | 0.01 | 5.67  | 0.017   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy:agg0.120.696Strategy:hp3.320.068Strategy:immunoglobulins0.010.899Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy*1.080.299Blood parasites:Blood parasites:Blood parasites:0.010.969Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)Glmer with binomial error structureMigration Strategy*0.010.969Agg (titer)0.010.570Agg (titer)0.010.570Agg (titer)2.610.1001.060.160Immunoglobulin levels0.040.544Strategy:lysis0.230.567Strategy:agg0.890.452Strategy:hp0.790.517  |  |                                     | Strategy: lysis           |      |        |      | 0.43  | 0.540   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy:hp3.320.068Landscape movements (no. of antennas)Glmer with poisson error structureStrategy*1.080.299Blood parasites10.220.001Blood parasites10.220.0010.969Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)Glmer with binomial error structureMigration Strategy*0.570.447Lysis (titer)0.010.570Agg (titer)0.010.570Haptoglobin conc1.060.160Immunoglobulin levels0.040.544Strategy:lysis0.230.567Strategy:lysis0.320.567Strategy:lpsi0.890.452Strategy:lpsi0.790.517  |  |                                     | Strategy:agg              |      |        |      | 0.12  | 0.696   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas) Glmer with poisson error structure<br>Departure direction (forward/reverse migration) Glmer with binomial error structure<br>Migration Strategy * 0.01 0.969<br>Departure direction (forward/reverse Glmer with binomial error structure<br>Migration Strategy * 0.57 0.447<br>Lysis (titer) 0.01 0.570<br>Agg (titer) 2.61 0.100<br>Haptoglobin conc 1.06 0.160<br>Immunoglobulin levels 0.04 0.544<br>Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567<br>Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567<br>Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567<br>Strategy:lysis 0.29<br>Migration Strategy * 0.57 0.447<br>Departure direction (forward/reverse direction (forwar   |  |                                     | Strategy:hp               |      |        |      | 3.32  | 0.068   |
| Landscape movements (no. of antennas) Glmer with poisson error structure<br>Landscape movements (no. of antennas) Glmer with poisson error structure<br>blood parasites<br>Blood parasites<br>Blood parasites:Strategy<br>Migration Strategy<br>Migration Strategy |  |                                     | Strategy: immunoglobulins |      |        |      | 0.01  | 0.899   |
| Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)Glmer with binomial error structure<br>(Glmer with binomial error structure)Blood parasites<br>(Binod parasites:Strategy)10.220.001Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration)Glmer with binomial error structureMigration Strategy *<br>(Lysis (titer))0.570.447Migration Strategy0.010.570Agg (titer)2.610.100Haptoglobin conc1.060.1601.0600.544Strategy:lysis0.230.567Strategy:agg0.890.452Strategy:hp0.790.5170.5170.517   | Landscape movements (no. of antennas)              | Glmer with poisson error structure  | Strategy                  | *    |        |      | 1.08  | 0.299   |
| Departure direction (forward/reverse Glmer with binomial error structure migration) Glmer with binomial error structure Migration Strategy * 0.57 0.447 Lysis (titer) 0.01 0.570 Agg (titer) 2.61 0.100 Haptoglobin conc 1.06 0.160 Immunoglobulin levels 0.04 0.544 Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567 Strategy:agg 0.89 0.452 Strategy:hp 0.79 0.517  |  |                                     | Blood parasites           |      |        |      | 10.22 | 0.001   |
| Departure direction (forward/reverse Glmer with binomial error structure Migration) Migration Strategy * 0.57 0.447<br>migration) Glmer with binomial error structure Migration Strategy * 0.57 0.447<br>Lysis (titer) 0.01 0.570<br>Agg (titer) 2.61 0.100<br>Haptoglobin conc 1.06 0.160<br>Immunoglobulin levels 0.04 0.544<br>Strategy:lysis 0.23 0.567<br>Strategy:agg 0.89 0.452<br>Strategy:hp 0.79 0.517   |  |                                     | Blood parasites: Strategy |      |        |      | 0.01  | 0.969   |
| migration)       Lysis (titer)       0.01       0.570         Agg (titer)       2.61       0.100         Haptoglobin conc       1.06       0.160         Immunoglobulin levels       0.04       0.544         Strategy:lysis       0.23       0.567         Strategy:agg       0.89       0.452         Strategy:hp       0.79       0.517   | Departure direction (forward/reverse<br>migration) | Glmer with binomial error structure | Migration Strategy        | *    |        |      | 0.57  | 0.447   |
| Agg (titer)       2.61       0.100         Haptoglobin conc       1.06       0.160         Immunoglobulin levels       0.04       0.544         Strategy:lysis       0.23       0.567         Strategy:agg       0.89       0.452         Strategy:hp       0.79       0.517   |  |                                     | Lysis (titer)             |      |        |      | 0.01  | 0.570   |
| Haptoglobin conc       1.06       0.160         Immunoglobulin levels       0.04       0.544         Strategy:lysis       0.23       0.567         Strategy:agg       0.89       0.452         Strategy:hp       0.79       0.517  |  |                                     | Agg (titer)               |      |        |      | 2.61  | 0.100   |
| Improgram ConcIncoStrateImmunoglobulin levels0.040.544Strategy:lysis0.230.567Strategy:agg0.890.452Strategy:hp0.790.517   |  |                                     | Haptoglobin conc          |      |        |      | 1.06  | 0.160   |
| Strategy:lysis       0.23       0.567         Strategy:agg       0.89       0.452         Strategy:hp       0.79       0.517   |  |                                     | Immunoglobulin levels     |      |        |      | 0.04  | 0.544   |
| Strategy:agg         0.89         0.452           Strategy:hp         0.79         0.517   |  |                                     | Strategy: lysis           |      |        |      | 0.23  | 0.567   |
| Strategy:hp 0.79 0.517   |  |                                     | Strategy:agg              |      |        |      | 0.89  | 0.452   |
| 5  |  |                                     | Strategy:hp               |      |        |      | 0.79  | 0.517   |
| Strategy immunoglobulins 1 14 0 273  |  |                                     | Strategy:immunoglobulins  |      |        |      | 1.14  | 0.273   |
| Departure direction (forward/reverse Glmer with binomial error structure Strategy * 0.16 0.684   | Departure direction (forward/reverse migration)    | Glmer with binomial error structure | Strategy                  | *    |        |      | 0.16  | 0.684   |
| migration) Blood parasites 0.13 0.712  |  |                                     | Blood parasites           |      |        |      | 0.13  | 0.712   |
| Blood parasites: Strategy 3 22 0 073   |  |                                     | Blood parasites:Strategy  |      |        |      | 3.22  | 0.073   |

 Table 1
 Statistics and coefficients of the linear mixed models of characteristics of stopover behaviour of six species of passerines during autumn migration 2014 in Falsterbo (Sweden)

Table 1 (continued)

| Y-variable     | Model type | X-variable               | DF   | β | SE | F    | Р     |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------|------|---|----|------|-------|
| Departure time | lme        | Migration Strategy       | 1.4  |   |    | 0.79 | 0.423 |
|                |            | Lysis (titer)            | 1.39 |   |    | 0.03 | 0.863 |
|                |            | Agg (titer)              | 1.40 |   |    | 0.13 | 0.717 |
|                |            | Haptoglobin conc         | 1.42 |   |    | 0.96 | 0.333 |
|                |            | Immunoglobulin levels    | 1.41 |   |    | 1.21 | 0.277 |
|                |            | Strategy:lysis           | 1.35 |   |    | 0.01 | 0.964 |
|                |            | Strategy:agg             | 1.36 |   |    | 0.39 | 0.535 |
|                |            | Strategy:hp              | 1.38 |   |    | 1.84 | 0.183 |
|                |            | Strategy:immunoglobulins | 1.37 |   |    | 0.72 | 0.400 |
| Departure time | lme        | Strategy                 | 1.4  |   |    | 2.78 | 0.171 |
|                |            | Blood parasites          | 2.45 |   |    | 1.94 | 0.171 |
|                |            | Blood parasites:Strategy | 2.43 |   |    | 0.14 | 0.714 |

Species was included as random effect to avoid pseudo replication. Estimates ( $\beta$ ) along with their SE (standard error) are only shown for significant terms. When interactions are significant, statistics of main effects cannot be meaningfully interpreted (Looney and Stanley 1989), and, therefore, we do not show these. Final models contain only significant explanatory variables. *p* values < 0.05 are bold

\*For generalised linear mixed models with poisson or binomial structure no meaningful degrees of freedom can be produced

between stopover duration and agglutination (natural antibodies;  $F_{1,43} = 1.53$ , p = 0.22) or total immunoglobulin levels (IgY,  $F_{1,38} = 0.43$ , p = 0.52). Infections with blood parasites explained variation in stopover duration differently in long- and short-distance migrants (interaction infection\*migration type,  $F_{1,45} = 6.95$ , p = 0.011; Fig. 2). In short-distance migrants, infected birds stayed three times as long as uninfected birds, while in long-distance

migrants, there was no difference in stopover duration between infected and uninfected birds. Grouping birds into uninfected, infected with single or infected with double blood parasite infections (i.e., having an explanatory variable with three levels rather than two levels) did not change the pattern, and the interaction remained significant (interaction infection\*migration type,  $F_{2,43} = 3.88$ , p = 0.028).

**Fig. 2** Stopover duration of three species of short-distance and three species of longdistance migratory passerines at Falsterbo peninsula (Sweden) in relation to blood parasite infections. The interaction between infection status (infected/uninfected) and migratory strategy was significant. Numbers in bars represent sample sizes



#### Activity patterns and landscape movement

Both bush-level activity patterns and landscape movements were related to immune function. Birds with high lysis showed less bush-level activity than birds with low lysis, independent of the migration strategy ( $F_{1,35}$ =4.76, p=0.036, Fig. 3a, Table 1). In short-distance migrants, bushlevel activity was negatively correlated with total immunoglobulin, which was not the case in long-distance migrants as evident from a significant interaction ( $F_{1,35}$ =5.50, p=0.028, Fig. 3b). We found no relationship between activity and haptoglobin concentrations ( $F_{1,33}$ =0.02, p=0.88), agglutination ( $F_{1,34}$ =0.46, p=0.50) or infections with blood parasites ( $F_{2,40}$ =1.30, p=0.26). Grouping birds into uninfected, infected with single or infected with double blood parasite infections, did not change the pattern ( $F_{2,39}$ =1.58, p=0.22).

With respect to landscape movements, birds with high total immunoglobulin levels made more and longer movements on the peninsula than birds with low total immunoglobulin levels (F=5.68, p=0.017, Table 1). Agglutination (F=0.06, p=0.94), lysis (F=0.23, p=0.72) and haptoglobin concentrations (F=1.06, p=0.64) were not related to the extent of local movements on the peninsula. Birds infected with blood parasites showed more landscape movements than uninfected birds (F=10.22, p=0.001, Fig. 4).

When grouping birds into uninfected, infected with a single infection and infected with double blood parasite infections (i.e., having an explanatory variable with three levels rather than two levels), there was a trend of increasing landscape movements with increasing infections, i.e. birds with single infections tended to move more than uninfected and birds with double infections tended to move more than birds with single infections (interaction infection\*migration type,  $F_{2,39}=4.59$ , p=0.10).

## Departure direction and departure time

Departure direction, classified as forward migration (i.e.  $135^{\circ}-315^{\circ}$ ) or reversed migration  $(315^{\circ}-135^{\circ})$  was not related to any immune parameter (always F < 2.6, p > 0.10, Table 1) or infection status, either when comparing infected and uninfected (F=0.13, p=0.71) or when splitting infected into single and double infected (F=0.14, p=0.71). Departure time was not related to any immune parameter (always F < 1.2, p > 0.28) or infection status when comparing infected with uninfected birds ( $F_{1,46}=1.94$ , p=0.17). However, birds with double blood parasite infections departed on average more than 2.5 h later after sunset/sunrise than birds without infections or with single infections ( $F_{2,45}=5.26$ , p=0.009, Fig. 5).



**Fig.3** "Bush-level" activity patterns ( $\sim 0.1-30$  m) of three species of short-distance and three species of long-distance migratory passerines at Falsterbo peninsula (Sweden) in relation to **a** Lysis (titers) and **b** total immunoglobulins. Bush-level activity patterns were negatively correlated with lysis independently of the migration strategy (long- vs. short-distance migrants), hence we plotted only the line for

the pooled data of strategy. Total immunglobulin levels were negatively correlated with bush-level activity patterns in short-distance migrants and unrelated in long-distance migrants (See results section for details on statistics). Shading around regression lines represent 95% confidence intervals. mR2=marginal R squared values from the linear mixed models



**Fig. 4** Landscape movements (~30–6000 m) during the first 6 h of their stopover (i.e. after capture) of three species of short-distance and three species of long-distance migratory passerines at Falsterbo peninsula (Sweden) in relation to blood parasite infections. Numbers in bars represent sample sizes. The asterisks (\*) indicates that groups differ statistically (see results for details)

## Discussion

Our study suggests that several parameters of stopover ecology in autumn migrating songbirds are related to baseline immune function and to blood parasite infections, and that these relationships partly depend on the migration strategy. Thereby, we add a new level of understanding to avian stopover ecology by extending the analysis of physiological and environmental factors to also include several immune-related intrinsic factors previously not measured in relation to stopover ecology and not incorporated into models of migration ecology. On the basis of these data, we present physiological proximate mechanisms (other than hormonal regulations) that explain variation in key parameters of stopover ecology, which are crucial for optimal migration theory (Alerstam and Lindström 1990; Alerstam 2011). That immune function is a potential important determinant of stopover ecology had not been identified in recent conceptual models (Müller et al. 2016; Schmaljohann and Eikenaar 2017) and our findings, therefore, may have important implications for migration research.



**Fig. 5** Departure time after sunset/sunrise of three species of shortdistance and three species of long-distance migratory passerines at Falsterbo peninsula (Sweden). Birds were either not infected with avian malaria, infected with one (single infection) or with two (double infection) avian malaria lineages. Single infections contain birds only infected with *Haemoproteus/Plasmodium* (n=7) and birds only infected with *Leucocytozoon* (n=7). Letters above bars represent results of Tukey PostHoc test (not infected vs. single infection, p=0.98; not infected vs. double infection, p=0.013, single infection vs. double infection, p=0.016)

Stopover duration, a key parameter of stopover behaviour that reflects refuelling efficiency which is regulated by different environmental and physiological factors (see introduction), was significantly positively related to two parameters of innate immune function and to blood parasite infections. This suggests that immune function is linked to stopover duration in accordance with our predictions. Our findings also refine the general assumption that "body condition" is linked to prolonged stopover duration (Müller et al. 2016; Schmaljohann and Eikenaar 2017). In our study, stopover duration was related to complement activity (lysis), but this relationship was only evident in shortdistance migrants. Long-distance migrants had on average much lower lysis than short-distance migrants and lysis was not related to stopover duration in this group of migrants. One possible explanation for this strategy-dependent difference is that long-distance migrants, which are supposedly more time minimisers and under stronger selection pressure to migrate fast than short-distance migrants (Alerstam and Lindström 1990), suppress investment into immune function and instead use resources to keep up migration speed when compared to short-distance migrants. Short-distance migrants had relatively higher lysis, which may suggest that they invest more in immune function during migration which may reduce the probability of disease-related mortality (Hegemann et al. 2015). Moreover, lysis was related to

stopover duration in short-distance migrants which may have two, not mutually exclusive explanations. First, maintaining high lysis may be resource-consuming and individuals investing heavily in this parameter of immune function may trade off resource investment in immune function against high fuel deposition rates (Klaassen et al. 2012). Second, very high lysis can also indicate (mild) infections (Hegemann et al. 2013a), and hence birds with the highest lysis might stop over for longer periods due to ongoing mild or chronic infections. The fact that birds with high lysis were less active, as shown by lower bush-level activity patterns, than birds with low lysis supports this latter hypothesis. Haptoglobin concentration, a marker of inflammation, was positively related to stopover duration. Even though this relationship depended on one extreme point, we argue this reflects a true pattern, which may, however, be a more categorical relationship than a continuous relationship. First, in passerine birds, haptoglobin concentrations in the range of 1.0–2.0 mg/ml or even higher regularly occur (Hegemann et al. 2012a; Vermeulen et al. 2016; Ndithia et al. 2017; Fowler and Williams 2017). Second, haptoglobin concentrations increase during inflammation (Thomas 2000; Buehler et al. 2009; Matson et al. 2012; Hegemann et al. 2013a; but see Schultz et al. 2017) and third, a recent study has shown that birds prolong their stopover duration during an experimentally induced inflammatory response (Hegemann et al. 2018). That we had only one bird in our dataset with very high haptoglobin values is not surprising, because this is a marker of an on-going acute inflammation. When catching birds on a stopover site, one would not expect to catch (many) birds that are having an ongoing inflammation, i.e. birds that are actually sick. That this bird was infected with Haemoproteus/Plasmodium could indicate that it was in the acute phase of a malaria parasite infection, but we lack data on infection intensity. Likewise, this bird might have been infected with other pathogens we did not measure (e.g. bacterial or virus infections). Taken together, the relationship between haptoglobin concentration and stopover duration might be categorical or bimodal with no relationship among birds with low concentrations and only a strong effect in birds with high concentrations. Further studies will need to investigate these possibilities.

Natural antibodies (agglutination) were not related to any parameter of stopover ecology. This was expected because natural antibodies are known to be more genetically determined than other immune parameters (Versteegh et al. 2014) and do not change during immune challenges (Hegemann et al. 2013a). Likewise as predicted, total immunoglobulin levels in circulation, a marker of the constitutive part of acquired immune function that reflects more long-term investment in immune function, was unrelated to stopover duration. Surprisingly though, total immunoglobulin levels were negatively related to bush-level activity patterns, which are indicative of foraging behaviour. As with lysis and stopover duration, this relationship was only evident in short-distance migrants and absent in long-distance migrants. We currently lack a good explanation for this negative relationship between immunoglobulins and bushlevel activity patterns. A possibility may include that highquality individuals [as indicated by high immunoglobulin levels, which may reflect a long-term investment in immune function rather than current infections (Garvin et al. 2008; Dunn et al. 2010)] are more efficient foragers and hence need less foraging activity. Alternatively, individuals with low immunoglobulin levels may try to find specific nutrients for immunoglobulin production, resulting in higher bushlevel activity. Detailed studies and field experiments will be required to test these hypotheses or to reveal alternative explanations. The lack of a relationship in long-distance migrants is in line with the hypothesis that long-distance migrants suppress investment in immune function during migration (Klaassen et al. 2012).

In our dataset, birds with double blood parasite infections (i.e., infected with two avian malaria-like linages) left the stopover site significantly later in relation to sunset/sunrise than uninfected birds or birds just having one infection (one avian malaria lineage), suggesting that double-lineage infected songbirds fly shorter bouts. This is surprising as a recent experimental study suggests that blood parasites do not impair the aerobic capacities of migrating birds (Hahn et al. 2018). Reasons for this discrepancy in results could be that Hahn et al. measured birds in captivity, i.e., under benign conditions, and only with single infections. In our study, later departure, and hence expected shorter flight distance, was only evident in birds with double infections. This was indeed driven by the effect of having a double infection per se, because being infected with only either Haemoproteus/Plasmodium or Leucocytozoon did not delay departure time. Only birds being infected simultaneously with Haemoproteus/Plasmodium and Leucocytozoon departed later. This is in line with data showing that double infections have generally a much stronger impact on life-history traits in birds than single infections (Marzal et al. 2008) and that migratory performance decreased with increasing infection intensity (Risely et al. 2018). Since we measured only avian malaria parasite infections but no other type of infections (e.g. viruses, bacteria), we can, however, not exclude that additional co-infections may contribute to the observed effects. In any case, free-flying birds undergoing infections might not only prolong stopover duration (Hegemann et al. 2018, this study), but pathological sickness symptoms may also reduce their flight range. Interestingly, birds infected with blood parasites showed significantly longer landscape movements (i.e., movements away from the catching site) than uninfected birds and there was a trend that this effect increased from single to double infections. This could be a strategy for these birds to avoid the high competition from a large number of birds at the small isolated woodlot at the catching site and move away to find better feeding sites with fewer resting birds further up the Falsterbo peninsula (cf. Lindström and Alerstam 1986). The double infected birds may need more food resources in order to cope with the costs of the parasites or immune activation costs (Hasselquist and Nilsson 2012; Asghar et al. 2015) and may, therefore, reallocate energy from fat deposition to the immune system and hence refuel at lower rates. This may also explain why they depart later and potentially fly only shorter migration bouts. That short-distance migrants in our study had almost a threefold longer stopover duration when being infected with blood parasites could support this hypothesis. These combined effects can potentially explain costs of malarialike infections in migrants even though the aerobic capacity is unaffected by malaria parasites (Hahn et al. 2018). If birds with double malaria-like infections delay initiation of migratory flights several hours into the night and hence can fly only shorter migration bouts per night, and in addition have similar or even longer stopovers (the latter evident for short-distance migrants in our dataset), this will inevitably have the consequence of decreased migration speed. Hence, this finding will have important implications for optimal migration theory, because birds with disease will fly much shorter distance per night but still have a similar (or even longer) stopover duration, thus decreasing migration speed. The possible implications of this finding becomes particularly evident when considering that double infections occur in many European migrants (Bensch et al. 2009) and once infected, usually remain in a chronic stage for life, i.e. for multiple migrations (Marzal et al. 2008; Asghar et al. 2011; Marzal et al. 2013).

In conclusion, our data suggest that differences in immune function and parasite infections help to explain individual variation in stopover ecology (i.e., stopover behaviour, duration, departure timing). This may either be due to migrants with mild infections having to pursue a strategy of longer stopovers, or reflect strategic decisions of different levels of investment in immune function. In our study, short-distance migrants seem to invest more in baseline immune function during migration than long-distance migrants, resulting in somewhat longer stopovers in the former group. As stopover ecology is crucial for the speed and timing, and hence the success of migration (Alerstam and Lindström 1990; Nilsson et al. 2013), any parameter that affects stopover ecology can ultimately lead to carry-over effects on other annual-cycle stages and individual fitness (Harrison et al. 2011). Although such effects are best documented for spring migration, optimal timing of autumn migration is also important. Food supply usually deteriorates along the migratory route when season advances, especially for (Eurasian) long-distance migrants (Alerstam and Hedenström 1998, Newton 2008). Furthermore, early migrants have competitive advantage in occupying the best territories at stopovers and during winter. This can lead to carry-over effects to the subsequent breeding season (Studds and Marra 2005). Hence, also the timing of autumn migration can have important consequences for other annual-cycle stages and for individual fitness. Our study suggests that immune function might be a physiological mechanism underlying such carryover effects between autumn migration and subsequent annualcycle stages. Effects may be even stronger during spring migration. Understanding these mechanisms will be beneficial if we aim to model carry-over effects and their impact on population trends. It can also help us to understand the spread of diseases by migratory birds, because migration success as well as changes in number and length of stopovers of infected birds will be very important parameters when predicting how efficiently diseases are spreading by the huge number of migrating birds that are moving long distances (even between continents) twice a year.

Acknowledgements We thank the staff and volunteers of the Falsterbo Bird Observatory, especially S. Ehnbom, C. Sjöström and L. Karlsson, for generous access to the birds, support and assistance. This is report No. 320 from Falsterbo Bird Observatory. Cyndi Birberg performed the molecular analyses of blood parasite infections. Åke Lindström and three anonymous reviewers gave valuable feedback on the manuscript. The telemetry infrastructure received support from the Centre for Animal Movement Research (CAnMove) financed by a Linnaeus Grant (349-2007-8690) from the Swedish Research Council and Lund University. AH was supported by a Rubicon PostDoc-Fellowship (825.13.022) from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. The Swedish Research Council provided grants to DH (621-2013-4357; 2016-04391) and to JÅN (621-2013-4386). Lab work and data analyses were supported by grants from the Royal Physiographic Society of Lund (to AH).

Author contribution statement Study design: AH, JÅN, TA, DH; field work: AH, PAA; labwork: AH, PAA; analyses of radio-telemetry data: PAA, SS, RM, AH; statistical analyses: AH; discussion and interpretation of data: all authors; first draft of the paper: AH; all authors approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. This study was performed under permit M122-14 from the Malmö/Lund ethical committee.

**Data accessibility** Data will be uploaded to a data repository after acceptance.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate

credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

# References

- Åkesson S, Alerstam T, Hedenström A (1996) Flight initiation of nocturnal passerine migrants in relation to celestial orientation conditions at twilight. J Avian Biol 27:95–102. https://doi. org/10.2307/3677138
- Alerstam T (1990) Bird migration. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Alerstam T (2011) Optimal bird migration revisited. J Ornithol 152:5– 23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-011-0694-1
- Alerstam T, Hedenström A (1998) The development of bird migration theory. J Avian Biol 29:343–369
- Alerstam T, Lindström Å (1990) Optimal bird migration: the relative importance of time, energy, and safety. In: Gwinner E (ed) Bird migration: the physiology and ecophysiology. Springer, Berlin, pp 331–351
- Alerstam T, Ulfstrand S (1975) Diurnal migration of passerine birds over South Sweden in relation to wind direction and topography. Ornis Scand 6:135–149
- Asghar M, Hasselquist D, Bensch S (2011) Are chronic avian haemosporidian infections costly in wild birds? J Avian Biol 42:530– 537. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-048X.2011.05281.x
- Asghar M, Hasselquist D, Hansson B, Zehtindjiev P, Westerdahl H, Bensch S (2015) Hidden costs of infection: chronic malaria accelerates telomere degradation and senescence in wild birds. Science 347:436–438. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261121
- Barton K (2018) MuMIn: multi-model inference. R package. version 1.40.4. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn
- Batschelet E (1981) Circular statistics in biology. Academic Press, London
- Bensch S, Hellgren O, Perez-Tris J (2009) MalAvi: a public database of malaria parasites and related haemosporidians in avian hosts based on mitochondrial cytochrome b lineages. Mol Ecol Res 9:1353–1358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02692.x
- Biebach H, Friedrich W, Heine G (1986) Interaction of bodymass, fat, foraging and stopover period in trans-sahara migrating passerine birds. Oecologia 69:370–379
- Bradley C, Altizer S (2005) Parasites hinder monarch butterfly flight: implications for disease spread in migratory hosts. Ecol Lett 8:290–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00722.x
- Buehler DM, Piersma T (2008) Travelling on a budget: predictions and ecological evidence for bottlenecks in the annual cycle of longdistance migrants. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 363:247–266. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2138
- Buehler DM, Bhola N, Barjaktarov D, Goymann W, Schwabl I, Tieleman BI, Piersma T (2008) Constitutive immune function responds more slowly to handling stress than corticosterone in a shorebird. Physiol Biochem Zool 81:673–681. https://doi. org/10.1086/588591
- Buehler DM, Encinas-Viso F, Petit M, Vezina F, Tieleman BI, Piersma T (2009) Limited access to food and physiological trade-offs in a long-distance migrant shorebird. II. Constitutive immune function and the acute-phase response. Physiol Biochem Zool 82:561–571. https://doi.org/10.1086/603635
- Buehler DM, Tieleman BI, Piersma T (2010) How do migratory species stay healthy over the annual cycle? A conceptual model for immune function and for resistance to disease. Integr Comp Biol 50:346–357
- Bulyuk VN, Tsvey A (2006) Timing of nocturnal autumn migratory departures in juvenile European robins (*Erithacus rubecula*) and

endogenous and external factors. J Ornithol 147:298–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-005-0046-0

- Cramp S (1988) The birds of the western palearctic, vol 5. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Cray C, Zaias J, Altman NH (2009) Acute phase response in animals: a review. Comp Med 59:517–526
- Deutschlander ME, Muheim R (2009) Fuel reserves affect migratory orientation of thrushes and sparrows both before and after crossing an ecological barrier near their breeding grounds. J Avian Biol 40:85–89. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-048X.2008.04343.x
- Dunn PO, Garvin JC, Whittingham LA, Freeman-Gallant CR, Hasselquist D (2010) Carotenoid and melanin-based ornaments signal similar aspects of male quality in two populations of the common yellowthroat. Funct Ecol 24:149–158. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01606.x
- Eikenaar C (2017) Endocrine regulation of fueling by hyperphagia in migratory birds. J Comp Physiol A 203:439–445. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00359-017-1152-1
- Eikenaar C, Hegemann A (2016) Migratory common blackbirds have lower innate immune function during autumn migration than resident conspecifics. Biol Lett 12:20160078
- Eikenaar C, Mueller F, Leutgeb C, Hessler S, Lebus K, Taylor PD, Schmaljohann H (2017) Corticosterone and timing of migratory departure in a songbird. Proc R Soc B 284:20162300. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2300
- Fowler MA, Williams TD (2017) A physiological signature of the cost of reproduction associated with parental care. Am Nat 190:762– 773. https://doi.org/10.1086/694123
- Fusani L, Cardinale M, Carere C, Goymann W (2009) Stopover decision during migration: physiological conditions predict nocturnal restlessness in wild passerines. Biol Lett 5:302–305. https://doi. org/10.1098/rsb1.2008.0755
- Gao S, Sanchez C, Deviche PJ (2017) Corticosterone rapidly suppresses innate immune activity in the house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*). J Exp Biol 220:322–327. https://doi.org/10.1242/ jeb.144378
- Garvin JC, Dunn PO, Whittingham LA, Steeber DA, Hasselquist D (2008) Do male ornaments signal immunity in the common yellowthroat? Behav Ecol 19:54–60. https://doi.org/10.1093/behec o/arm099
- Goymann W, Spina F, Ferri A, Fusani L (2010) Body fat influences departure from stopover sites in migratory birds: evidence from whole-island telemetry. Biol Lett 6:478–481. https://doi. org/10.1098/rsb1.2009.1028
- Goymann W, Lupi S, Kaiya H, Cardinale M, Fusani L (2017) Ghrelin affects stopover decisions and food intake in a long-distance migrant. PNAS 114:1946–1951. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1619565114
- Hahn S, Bauer S, Dimitrov D, Emmenegger T, Ivanova K, Zehtindjiev P, Buttemer WA (2018) Low intensity blood parasite infections do not reduce the aerobic performance of migratory birds. Proc R Soc B 285:20172307. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.2307
- Harrison XA, Blount JD, Inger R, Norris DR, Bearhop S (2011) Carryover effects as drivers of fitness differences in animals. J Anim Ecol 80:4–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01740.x
- Hasselquist D, Nilsson JA (2012) Physiological mechanisms mediating costs of immune responses: what can we learn from studies of birds? Anim Behav 83:1303–1312
- Hasselquist D, Wasson MF, Winkler DW (2001) Humoral immunocompetence correlates with date of egg-laying and reflects work load in female tree swallows. Behav Ecol 12:93–97
- Hedenström A, Alerstam T (1997) Optimum fuel loads in migratory birds: distinguishing between time and energy minimization. J Theo Biol 189:227–234

- Hegemann A, Matson KD, Both C, Tieleman BI (2012a) Immune function in a free-living bird varies over the annual cycle, but seasonal patterns differ between years. Oecologia 170:605–618. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2339-3
- Hegemann A, Matson KD, Versteegh MA, Tieleman BI (2012b) Wild skylarks seasonally modulate energy budgets but maintain energetically costly inflammatory immune responses throughout the annual cycle. PLoS One 7:e36358. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0036358
- Hegemann A, Matson KD, Versteegh MA, Villegas A, Tieleman BI (2013a) Immune response to an endotoxin challenge involves multiple immune parameters and is consistent among the annualcycle stages of a free-living temperate zone bird. J Exp Biol 216:2573–2580
- Hegemann A, Matson KD, Flinks H, Tieleman BI (2013b) Offspring pay sooner, parents pay later: experimental manipulation of body mass reveals trade-offs between immune function, reproduction and survival. Front Zool 10:77
- Hegemann A, Marra PP, Tieleman BI (2015) Causes and consequences of partial migration in a passerine bird. Am Nat 186:531–546
- Hegemann A, Pardal S, Matson KD (2017) Indices of immune function used by ecologists are mostly unaffected by repeated freeze-thaw cycles and methodological deviations. Front Zool 14:43. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12983-017-0226-9
- Hegemann A, Alcalde Abril P, Sjöberg S, Muheim R, Alerstam T, Nilsson J-Å, Hasselquist D (2018) A mimicked bacterial infection prolongs stopover duration in songbirds—but more pronounced in short- than long-distance migrants. J Animal Ecol 87:1698–1708
- Hellgren O, Waldenstrom J, Bensch S (2004) A new PCR assay for simultaneous studies of leucocytozoon, plasmodium, and haemoproteus from avian blood. J Parasitol 90:797–802. https://doi. org/10.1645/GE-184R1
- Horrocks NPC, Hegemann A, Matson KD, Hine K, Jaquier S, Shobrak M, Williams SB, Tinbergen JM, Tieleman BI (2012) Immune indexes of larks from desert and temperate regions show weak associations with life history but stronger links to environmental variation in microbial abundance. Physiol Biochem Zool 85:504–515
- Horrocks NPC, Hegemann A, Ostrowski S, Ndithia H, Shobrak M, Williams JB, Matson KD, Tieleman BI (2015) Environmental proxies of antigen exposure explain variation in immune investment better than indices of pace of life. Oecologia 177:281–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3136-y
- Janeway CA, Travers P, Walport M, Shlomchik MJ (2005) Immunobiology: the immune system in health and disease, 6th edn. Garland Science; Churchill Livingstone, New York
- Jenni L, Schaub M (2003) Behavioural and physiological reactions to environmental variation in bird migration: a review. In: Berthold P, Gwinner E, Sonnenschein E (eds) Avian Migration. Springer, Berlin, pp 155–171
- Karlsson L, Bentz P (2004) Wings over falsterbo. Falsterbo Bird Observatory, Falsterbo
- Klaassen M (1996) Metabolic constraints on long-distance migration in birds. J Exp Biol 199:57–64
- Klaassen M, Hoye BJ, Nolet BA, Buttemer WA (2012) Ecophysiology of avian migration in the face of current global hazards. Philos Trans R Soc B 367:1719–1732. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.2012.0008
- Klasing KC (2004) The costs of immunity. Acta Zool Sinica 50:961–969
- Latorre-Margalef N, Gunnarsson G, Munster VJ, Fouchier RAM, Osterhaus ADME, Elmberg J, Olsen B, Wallensten A, Haemig PD, Fransson T, Brudin L, Waldenstrom J (2009) Effects of influenza A virus infection on migrating mallard ducks. Proc R Soc B 276:1029–1036. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1501

- Lindström Å (2003) Fuel deposition rates in migrating birds: Causes, constraints and consequences. In: Berthold P, Gwinner E, Sonnenschein E (eds) Avian Migration. Springer, Berlin, pp 307–320
- Lindström Å, Alerstam T (1986) The adaptive significance of reoriented migration of Chaffinches *Fringilla coelebs* and Bramblings *Fringilla montifringilla* during Autumn in Southern Sweden. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 19:417–424. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00300544
- Lindström Å, Alerstam T (1992) optimal fat loads in migrating birds a test of the time-minimization hypothesis. Am Nat 140:477–491
- Looney S, Stanley W (1989) Exploratory repeated measures analysis for 2 or more groups - review and update. Am Stat 43:220–225. https://doi.org/10.2307/2685365
- Lupi S, Maggini I, Goymann W, Cardinale M, Mora AR, Fusani L (2017) Effects of body condition and food intake on stop-over decisions in Garden Warblers and European Robins during spring migration. J Ornithol 158:989–999. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10336-017-1478-z
- Marzal A, Bensch S, Reviriego M, Balbontin J, de Lope F (2008) Effects of malaria double infection in birds: one plus one is not two. J Evol Biol 21:979–987. https://doi.org/10.111 1/j.1420-9101.2008.01545.x
- Marzal A, Asghar M, Rodriguez L, Reviriego M, Hermosell IG, Balbontin J, Garcia-Longoria L, de Lope F, Bensch S (2013) Coinfections by malaria parasites decrease feather growth but not feather quality in house martin. J Avian Biol 44:437–444. https ://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-048X.2013.00178.x
- Matson KD, Ricklefs RE, Klasing KC (2005) A hemolysis-hemagglutination assay for characterizing constitutive innate humoral immunity in wild and domestic birds. Dev Comp Immunol 29:275–286
- Matson KD, Horrocks NPC, Versteegh MA, Tieleman BI (2012) Baseline haptoglobin concentrations are repeatable and predictive of certain aspects of a subsequent experimentally-induced inflammatory response. Comp Biochem Phys A 162:7–15
- Müller F, Taylor PD, Sjöberg S, Muheim R, Tsvey A, Mackenzie SA, Schmaljohann H (2016) Towards a conceptual framework for explaining variation in nocturnal departure time of songbird migrants. Mov Ecol 4:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s4046 2-016-0089-2
- Mysterud A, Qviller L, Meisingset EL, Viljugrein H (2016) Parasite load and seasonal migration in red deer. Oecologia 180:401–407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3465-5
- Ndithia HK, Bakari SN, Matson KD, Muchai M, Tieleman BI (2017) Geographical and temporal variation in environmental conditions affects nestling growth but not immune function in a yearround breeding equatorial lark. Front Zool 14:28. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12983-017-0213-1
- Newton I (2008) The migration ecology of birds. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam
- Nilsson C, Sjöberg S (2016) Causes and characteristics of reverse bird migration: an analysis based on radar, radio tracking and ringing at Falsterbo, Sweden. J Avian Biol 47:354–362. https://doi. org/10.1111/jav.00707
- Nilsson C, Klaassen RHG, Alerstam T (2013) Differences in speed and duration of bird migration between spring and autumn. Am Nat 181:837–845. https://doi.org/10.1086/670335
- Norris DR, Taylor CM (2006) Predicting the consequences of carryover effects for migratory populations. Biol Lett 2:148–151. https ://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2005.0397
- Owen JC, Moore FR (2008) Relationship between energetic condition and indicators of immune function in thrushes during spring migration. Can J Zool 86:638–647. https://doi. org/10.1139/7-08-038ER

- Pettersson J, Hasselquist D (1985) Fat deposition and migration capacity of robins *Eerithacus rebecula* and goldcrests *Regulus regulus* at Ottenby, Sweden. Ring Migrat 6:66–76
- Piersma T, van Gils JA (2011) The flexible phenotype: a body-centred integration of ecology, physiology, and behaviour. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Pinheiro J (2016) Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-128
- R Development Core Team (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org
- Råberg L, Grahn M, Hasselquist D, Svensson E (1998) On the adaptive significance of stress-induced immunosuppression. Proc R Soc B 265:1637–1641
- Richardson WJ (1978) Timing and amount of bird migration in relation to weather—review. Oikos 30:224–272
- Risely A, Klaassen M, Hoye BJ (2018) Migratory animals feel the cost of getting sick: a meta-analysis across species. J Anim Ecol 87:301–314
- Roitt IM, Brostoff J, Male DK (1998) Immunology, 5th edn. Mosby, London
- Sambrook J, Fritch FJ, Maniatis T (2002) Molecular cloning, a labaratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor
- Schaub M, Liechti F, Jenni L (2004) Departure of migrating European robins, *Erithacus rubecula*, from a stopover site in relation to wind and rain. Anim Behav 67:229–237. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.03.011
- Schmaljohann H, Dierschke V (2005) Optimal bird migration and predation risk: a field experiment with northern wheatears *Oenanthe oenanthe*. J Anim Ecol 74:131–138. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2004.00905.x
- Schmaljohann H, Eikenaar C (2017) How do energy stores and changes in these affect departure decisions by migratory birds? A critical view on stopover ecology studies and some future perspectives. J Comp Physiol A 203:411–429. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0035 9-017-1166-8
- Schultz EM, Hahn TP, Klasing KC (2017) Photoperiod but not food restriction modulates innate immunity in an opportunistic breeder, Loxia curvirostra. J Exp Biol 220:722–730. https://doi. org/10.1242/jeb.149898
- Schwilch R, Piersma T, Holmgren NMA, Jenni L (2002) Do migratory birds need a nap after a long non-stop flight? Ardea 90:149–154
- Sheldon BC, Verhulst S (1996) Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. Trends Ecol Evol 11:317–321
- Sjöberg S, Nilsson C (2015) Nocturnal migratory songbirds adjust their travelling direction aloft: evidence from a radiotelemetry and radar study. Biol Lett 11:20150337. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2015.0337
- Sjöberg NB, Petersson E, Wickstrom H, Hansson S (2009) Effects of the swimbladder parasite *Anguillicola crassus* on the

migration of European silver eels *Anguilla anguilla* in the Baltic Sea. J Fish Biol 74:2158–2170. https://doi.org/10.111 1/j.1095-8649.2009.02296.x

- Sjöberg S, Alerstam T, Akesson S, Schulz A, Weidauer A, Coppack T, Muheim R (2015) Weather and fuel reserves determine departure and flight decisions in passerines migrating across the Baltic Sea. Anim Behav 104:59–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbeh av.2015.02.015
- Sjöberg S, Alerstam T, Akesson S, Muheim R (2017) Ecological factors influence timing of departures in nocturnally migrating songbirds at Falsterbo, Sweden. Anim Behav 127:253–269. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.03.007
- Sköld-Chiriac S, Nord A, Nilsson J, Hasselquist D (2014) Physiological and behavioral responses to an acute-phase response in zebra finches: immediate and short-term effects. Physiol Biochem Zool 87:288–298. https://doi.org/10.1086/674789
- Studds CE, Marra PP (2005) Nonbreeding habitat occupancy and population processes: an upgrade experiment with a migratory bird. Ecology 86:2380–2385
- Taylor PD, Mackenzie SA, Thurber BG, Calvert AM, Mills AM, McGuire LP, Guglielmo CG (2011) Landscape movements of migratory birds and bats reveal an expanded scale of stopover. PLoS One 6:e27054. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.00270 54
- Thomas JS (2000) Overview of plasma proteins. In: BF Feldman, JG Zinkl, NC Jain and OW Schalm (eds) Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia; London, pp 891–1344
- van Dijk JGB, Kleyheeg E, Soons MB, Nolet BA, Fouchier RAM, Klaassen M (2015) Weak negative associations between avian influenza virus infection and movement behaviour in a key host species, the mallard Anas platyrhynchos. Oikos 124:1293–1303. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01836
- van Gils JA, Munster VJ, Radersma R, Liefhebber D, Fouchier RAM, Klaassen M (2007) Hampered foraging and migratory performance in swans infected with low-pathogenic avian influenza A virus. PLOS One 2:e184-1–e184-6
- Vermeulen A, Muller W, Eens M (2016) Vitally important—does early innate immunity predict recruitment and adult innate immunity? Ecol Evol 6:1799–1808. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1939
- Versteegh MA, Helm B, Kleynhans EJ, Gwinner E, Tieleman BI (2014) Genetic and phenotypically flexible components of seasonal variation in immune function. J Exp Biol 217:1510–1518
- Warnes GR (2009) Various R programming tools for plotting data. R package version 2.7.4
- Wickham H (2009) Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer, New York
- Wikelski M, Tarlow EM, Raim A, Diehl RH, Larkin RP, Visser GH (2003) Costs of migration in free-flying songbirds. Nature 423:704–704