

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1080/00016350802195041

## Impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel – an in vitro study — [Source link](#)

Annette Wiegand, Martina Schwerzmann, Beatrice Sener, Ana Carolina Magalhães ...+4 more authors

**Institutions:** University of Zurich, University of São Paulo, University of Göttingen

**Published on:** 01 Aug 2008 - Acta Odontologica Scandinavica (Taylor & Francis)

**Topics:** Enamel paint, Abrasion (dental), Toothbrush and Toothpaste

Related papers:

- [Investigation of erosion and abrasion on enamel and dentine: a model in situ using toothpastes of different abrasivity.](#)
- [Abrasion of eroded dentin caused by toothpaste slurries of different abrasivity and toothbrushes of different filament diameter](#)
- [Erosive Tooth Wear: A Multifactorial Condition of Growing Concern and Increasing Knowledge](#)
- [Interplay between fluoride and abrasivity of dentifrices on dental erosion-abrasion.](#)
- [Impact of brushing force on abrasion of acid-softened and sound enamel.](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/impact-of-toothpaste-slurry-abrasivity-and-toothbrush-29tjd8htxw>



University of Zurich  
Zurich Open Repository and Archive

Winterthurerstr. 190  
CH-8057 Zurich  
<http://www.zora.uzh.ch>

---

*Year: 2008*

---

## Impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel - an in vitro study

Wiegand, A; Schwerzmann, M; Sener, B; Magalhães, A C; Roos, M; Ziebolz, D;  
Imfeld, T; Attin, T

Wiegand, A; Schwerzmann, M; Sener, B; Magalhães, A C; Roos, M; Ziebolz, D; Imfeld, T; Attin, T (2008). Impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel - an in vitro study. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, 66(4):231-235.

Postprint available at:  
<http://www.zora.uzh.ch>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich.  
<http://www.zora.uzh.ch>

Originally published at:  
*Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 2008, 66(4):231-235.

# Impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel - an in vitro study

## Abstract

**OBJECTIVE:** Toothbrush abrasion is significant in the development of tooth wear, particularly when combined with erosion. This in vitro study aimed to evaluate the impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel. **MATERIAL AND METHODS:** Eroded enamel samples (hydrochloric acid, pH: 2.6, 15 s) were brushed with 40 strokes in an automatic brushing machine using manual toothbrushes with different filament stiffness (filament diameter: 0.15, 0.20, or 0.25 mm). A paste-free control slurry (relative enamel abrasion (REA) value 2) and toothpaste slurries with different abrasivity (REA values 6 or 9) were used for brushing. Erosion and abrasion were followed by storing the enamel samples in artificial saliva for 3 h. After each 4th cycle, the samples were stored in artificial saliva for 15 h. After 60 cycles, enamel loss was measured by profilometry and statistically analyzed by two-way and one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni/Dunn post-hoc tests. **RESULTS:** Loss of enamel (mean, microm) was influenced mainly by the abrasivity of the slurry and increased along with REA value (REA 2: 0.0-0.2, REA 6: 2.1-3.3, REA 9: 2.9-3.7). Abrasion of eroded enamel was also affected by filament stiffness of the toothbrush, but only groups brushed with toothpaste slurry of REA 6 showed any significant difference between the different toothbrushes. Thereby, toothbrushes with 0.2 mm filament diameter caused higher enamel loss than 0.15 and 0.25 mm filaments. **CONCLUSIONS:** Toothbrush abrasion of eroded enamel is influenced mainly by the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry, but is also modified by toothbrush filament stiffness.

## **Impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel – an in vitro study**

### **Abstract**

**Objective:** Toothbrushing abrasion plays a significant role in the development of tooth wear particularly when combined with erosion. This in vitro study aimed to evaluate the impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel.

**Material and methods:** Eroded enamel samples (hydrochloric acid, pH: 2.6, 15 s) were brushed with 40 strokes in an automatic brushing machine using manual toothbrushes with different filament stiffness (filament diameter: 0.15, 0.20 or 0.25 mm). The toothbrushes were applied with a paste-free control slurry (relative enamel abrasion (REA) value 2) or toothpaste slurries with different abrasivity (REA-values 6 or 9). Erosion and abrasion was followed by storage of the enamel samples in artificial saliva for 3 h. After each 4 cycles, the samples were stored in artificial saliva for 15 h. After 60 cycles, enamel loss was measured by profilometry and statistically analyzed by two-way and one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni/Dunn post-hoc tests.

**Results:** Loss of enamel (mean,  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was mainly influenced by the abrasivity of the slurry and increased along with REA-value (REA 2: 0.0-0.2, REA 6: 2.1-3.3, REA 9: 2.9-3.7). Abrasion of eroded enamel was also affected by the filament stiffness of the toothbrush, but only groups brushed with toothpaste slurry of REA 6 showed significant differences between the different toothbrushes. Thereby, toothbrushes with 0.2 mm filament diameter caused higher enamel loss than 0.15 and 0.25 mm filaments.

**Conclusions:** Toothbrushing abrasion of eroded enamel is mainly influenced by the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry, but is also modified by the toothbrush filament stiffness.

### **Key words**

Enamel, abrasion, erosion, toothpaste, toothbrush

## **Introduction**

Abrasive wear of sound dental hard tissues is significantly related to the abrasivity of the toothpaste [1]. Additionally, the toothbrush as a delivery vehicle might modulate the abrasivity of the toothpaste [2], depending on characteristics of the toothbrush, such as type of brush, filament stiffness and filament end-rounding.

In previous studies it was shown that powered and manual toothbrushes as well as manual toothbrushes applied with different brushing loads vary in their ability to remove the fragile surface of demineralized enamel [3,4]. On the basis of the observation that toothbrush hardness influences the amount of abrasion of sound dentine [5], it is assumed that hard and soft brushes also differ in their potential to remove the demineralized layer of eroded dental hard tissues [6]. Toothbrush stiffness is affected by the bristle modulus of elasticity, bristle and tuft diameter, number of tufts, the number of bristles per unit area packed into a tuft hole, and the trim length of bristles [7]. The filament stiffness is suggested to be dependent on the diameter and length of the filament and its modulus of elasticity [8]. Assuming that the length of different filaments and their moduli of elasticity are constant, their stiffness will be affected only by the filament diameter.

Acid-softened enamel is highly susceptible to mechanical abrasion, so that even brushing without toothpaste or friction from the tongue are able to remove the etched softened surface layer [9]. Analogously, the application of toothpastes with different abrasivities might also remove different amounts of the demineralized surface layer. Kielbassa et al. [10] found that brushing abrasion of artificial caries-like enamel lesions increased with increasing abrasivity of the toothpaste. Determination of toothbrush abrasivity is based on a radiotracer method, which provides relative enamel and dentine abrasivity (REA and RDA, respectively) values compared to a reference abrasive (ISO) However, RDA-values of toothpastes are not

consistently correlated to their relative enamel abrasion (REA) [11,12]. Indeed, Hooper et al. [13] found no correlation between abrasion of eroded enamel and toothpaste abrasivity. This may lead to the hypothesis that the resistance of the outermost very fragile eroded enamel structure against toothbrushing wear does not depend on the abrasivity of the toothpaste used. However, it should be noticed that in the majority of experiments evaluating the abrasion susceptibility of eroded dental hard tissues a high number of strokes was applied exceeding the number of strokes usually applied during tooth cleaning. Thereby, the outermost fragile enamel layer might be removed with already few brushing strokes, irrespective of differences in terms of toothbrush stiffness or toothpaste abrasivity, leading to the exposure of deeper layers. It might be assumed that these deeper, less demineralized enamel layers might behave differently compared to the highly fragile surface layer.

Despite the above mentioned considerations, patients suffering from erosive tooth wear are often recommended to use toothbrushes with soft filaments and toothpastes with low abrasivity in order to reduce the risk of damaging the eroded enamel surface [6,14]. This is done, although the impact of toothbrushing on the very fragile outermost surface layer is not yet elucidated. Thus, the present in-vitro-study aimed to analyze the impact of toothpaste slurries with different REA-values applied with toothbrushes of different hardness on abrasion of acid-softened enamel using a low number of brushing strokes applied after an erosive challenge.

The null hypothesis tested was that the amount of enamel loss after erosion and brushing abrasion is not dependent upon the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry and the filament diameter of the toothbrush.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Preparation of enamel specimens**

Enamel samples (3 mm in diameter) were obtained from the labial surfaces of 72 freshly extracted, non-damaged bovine incisors, which were stored in 0.9% NaCl solution until used. The samples were embedded in moulds of a ceramic disc (Degussit, Friatec/Degussa, Düsseldorf, Germany) and fixed with composite material (Tetric flow, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). The enamel surfaces were ground flat and polished with water-cooled carborundum discs (1200, 2400 and 4000 grit, Water Proof Silicon carbide Paper, Stuers, Erkrat, Germany) thereby removing approximately 100-150 µm of the outermost enamel layer as verified with a micrometer (Digimatic, Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were randomly assigned to 9 groups (n = 8 each) and applied to resin containers (Eracetal, Angst+Pfister, Zürich, Switzerland) which allowed for exact reposition into the wells of the brushing machine and the profilometer.

Prior to the experiment, baseline surface profiles were obtained from all specimens to serve as reference surfaces for calculating enamel loss after the experiment. A preliminary test showed no signs of abrasion on the ceramic mountings of the enamel samples by brushing. This was also shown in a previous study by Attin et al.[15].

### **Preparation of toothpaste slurries**

Three experimental slurries with low (REA: 2), medium (REA: 6) and high (REA: 9) abrasivity were prepared. The baseline formulation of the slurries consisted of a mixture of saliva substitute (79.2 %) formulated by Göhring et al. [16], 85% glycerine (10%), 1.62%

sodium bicarbonate (10.3%) and carboxymethylcellulose (CMC, 0.5%) and exhibited the REA-value 2. For the preparation of the slurry with REA 6, 100 g of the above baseline formulation were supplemented and 20 g calcium pyrophosphate (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland Lot: 88HO466). The slurry had a mean particle diameter of 9.5  $\mu\text{m}$ . Particle size measurement was performed by Ivoclar Vivadent (Schaan, Liechtenstein) using a laser particle size analyser (CILAS 1064, liquid mode, CILAS, Madison, USA). For preparation of the slurry with REA 9, 7.4 g calcium pyrophosphate (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland Lot: 88HO466) and 12.6 g calcium pyrophosphate (Budenheim KG, Budenheim, Germany, Lot: C 54-80) and were added to 100 g of the baseline formulation. This slurry had a mean particle diameter of 9.1  $\mu\text{m}$ . All slurries were supplemented with 20  $\mu\text{g}$  silicone antifoam (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) to avoid slurry loss due to foaming during the brushing procedure. REA-values were determined by the radiotracer method using American Dental Association specifications (Oral Health Research Institute, Indiana University) as described previously [17]. REA scores of the test slurries were related to a standard abrasive, calcium pyrophosphate, which is by definition given an REA of 10.

### **Toothbrushing abrasion**

Experimental toothbrushes (Paro M 43, Esro AG, Thalwil, Switzerland) with a flat trim and nylon filament diameters of 0.15, 0.20 or 0.25 mm, respectively, were used for abrasion of the enamel samples. Additional information about the toothbrushes is given in Table I. Brushing was performed in an automatic brushing machine as used in previous studies [18,19]. The toothbrushes were fixed in the brushing machine so that the long axes of the toothbrushes were at an angle of 12 ° to the direction of brushing. This was done to avoid the development of bristle tracks on the enamel surface. The heads of the toothbrushes were aligned parallel to the surfaces of the samples.



To each well 1 mL of the respective toothpaste slurry was added. Enamel specimens were brushed with 40 strokes in each cycle at a load of 250 g. Toothpaste slurries were replaced after each cycle, while the same toothbrush head was used for one sample throughout the study.

### **Experimental setup**

The samples were subjected to 60 cycles each consisting of 15 s erosion, toothbrushing abrasion and storage in artificial saliva. Enamel surfaces were eroded by unstirred storage in 1 ml hydrochloric acid (pH: 2.6, 0.0025 mol/L, Merck, Zug, Switzerland) for 15 s and then rinsed for 10 s with distilled water. Thereafter, samples were brushed with 40 strokes in an automatic brushing machine with a load of 250 g using manual toothbrushes with different filament diameter (groups 1, 4 and 7: 0.15 mm, groups 2, 5 and 8: 0.20 mm, groups 3, 6 and 9: 0.25 mm) and toothpastes slurries with different abrasivity (groups 1-3: REA 2, groups 4-6: REA 6 and groups 7-9: REA: 9). After erosion and abrasion treatment the enamel samples were stored in artificial saliva [20] for 3 h. After 4 cycles each, the samples were stored in artificial saliva for 15 h. After 60 cycles of erosion and toothbrushing abrasion, enamel loss was measured by profilometry.

### **Profilometry**

Enamel loss was quantitatively determined using a profilometer (Perthometer S2, Mahr, Göttingen, Germany) with a diamond stylus moving across the brushed surface and the reference area perpendicularly to the direction of the toothbrushing movement. Prior to the experiment, five baseline surface profiles had been obtained from all specimens as references for calculating enamel loss. After the experiment, profilometric analysis was again performed, and the average enamel loss relative to the baseline surface profiles was calculated with the respective software (Mahr Perthometer Concept 7.0, Mahr, Göttingen, Germany). Since the

enamel samples could be exactly repositioned in the wells of the profilometer, matching of the respective baseline and final reading was possible.

### **Statistical analysis**

For each sample, average enamel loss of five matched profiles was calculated and used for statistical analysis. The descriptive statistics (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) of enamel loss in each group were computed. The data were statistically analyzed by two-way ANOVA considering both REA-value (factor with 3 levels) and the filament diameter (factor with 3 levels) as independent variables. In order to allow for the interaction (REA\*filament diameter) between both factors the one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni/Dunn post-hoc tests with respect to the interaction factor with 9 levels were computed. The level of significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

### **Results**

Mean (SD) enamel loss ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) by brushing of the eroded enamel specimens in the different groups is presented in Figure 1.

The two-way ANOVA found both the REA-value of the experimental toothpaste slurries ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and the filament stiffness of the toothbrushes ( $p = 0.0001$ ) to influence enamel loss significantly. Moreover, significant interaction between both factors was found ( $p = 0.0315$ ). Enamel loss was mainly influenced by the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry and increased with higher abrasivity of the toothpaste slurries. Enamel loss in groups 1-3 (REA 2) was about  $2.7 \mu\text{m}$  lower ( $p < 0.0001$ ) compared to groups 4-6 (REA 6) and groups 7-9 (REA 9) (Figure 1). Moreover, groups brushed with toothpaste slurry of REA 6 (groups 4-6) caused less

enamel loss (about 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ) compared to groups brushed with REA 9 (groups 7-9), but only between groups 6 and 9 significant differences were found.

Enamel loss was also affected by the filament diameter, but to a lesser degree compared to the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences between the different toothbrushes only for groups brushed with toothpaste slurry of REA 6. Thereby, toothbrushes with 0.2 mm filament diameter caused about 1  $\mu\text{m}$  higher enamel loss ( $p < 0.0012$ ) than brushes with 0.15 or 0.25 mm filament diameter. Between-group comparisons yielded no significant differences within groups brushed with REA 2 (groups 1, 2 and 3) and REA 9 (groups 7, 8 and 9).

## **Discussion**

The present study simulated a worst-case scenario to analyze and evaluate the impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and of toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel. In each cycle, enamel samples were eroded for 15 s to simulate brief erosion during a bulimic acid attack [21]. Toothbrushing abrasion was performed at 250 g brushing load, which is in the range of brushing pressure found clinically [22,23]. Assuming a maximum contact time of 10 s per single tooth during twice daily toothbrushing [24] and a frequency of 4.5 strokes/s [25], the application of 40 brushing strokes per experimental cycle corresponds to the amount of brushing strokes applied daily under clinical conditions. To focus on the abrasion potential of the slurry only, the toothpastes slurries were prepared without fluoride. For the same reason, the experiments were carried out without storage in saliva in-between erosion and abrasion to avoid rehardening of the acid-softened layer. The toothpastes were applied as slurries and not as undiluted toothpastes, as toothpaste is considerably diluted by saliva in the clinical situation. Only one kind of abrasive (calcium pyrophosphate) was used for preparation of the toothbrushing slurries of REA 6 and 9 to avoid the results to be affected by interactions of different toothpaste abrasives with the eroded enamel surface. However, in

preliminary tests it was shown that the respective REA values could only be adjusted by using one or a combination of both brands, respectively. For preparation of the control slurry (REA 2), which is free from abrasive particles, only glycerine and CMC were added to the saliva substitute, as these are used as wetting agents and thickeners in toothpastes. For standardization, antifoam was also added to the control slurry.

As for sound enamel, abrasion of acid-softened enamel was mainly influenced by the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry and less by the filament stiffness. In the study by Dyer et al. [26], toothbrushes with filament diameters of 0.006 inches (0.15 mm), 0.007 inches (0.18 mm) and 0.008 inches (0.2 mm) were applied on acrylic resin using a slurry containing fluoridated toothpaste and water. Acrylic abrasion was highest for the soft toothbrush (0.006 inches filament diameter) followed by the medium and hard toothbrush. This result was explained by the greater flexion of the soft bristles leading to an increased duration and area of bristle contact to the brushed surface and thus, to an increased quantity of toothpaste moving over the surface. From the results of the present study it is assumed that toothbrush filament stiffness is of secondary importance for abrasion of eroded enamel. Only with the toothpaste slurry “REA 6”, the toothbrush with 0.2 mm filament diameter caused higher enamel loss compared to the other toothbrushes. This observation might be explained by a better capacity of the toothbrush with 0.2 mm filament diameter to retain the abrasives of the slurry “REA 6” on the enamel surface compared to the toothbrushes with 0.15 or 0.25 mm filament diameter.

As indicated by the results of Kielbassa et al. [27], abrasivity (REA-value) of the toothpaste slurry plays a major role in toothbrushing abrasion of eroded enamel. Probably, the different amounts of abrasives of the toothpaste slurries vary in their ability to remove different parts of the eroded enamel layer. Thereby, the less abrasive toothpaste slurry might only abrade the outermost, fragile crystals of the etched layer, while the inner, less eroded region might be removed - at least partially - by the application of toothpaste slurries with higher abrasivity.

The extrapolation from in vitro data to the clinical situation should be done with caution, not at least because eroded bovine enamel offers less resistance against brushing abrasion than human enamel [28]. However, the findings of this study suggest that abrasion of acid-softened enamel is mainly influenced by the REA-value of the toothpaste, while the toothbrush hardness is of less relevance. Thus, patients with erosive enamel lesions should be advised to use toothpastes with low REA-values to minimize enamel loss. In this context it is worth mentioning that the cleaning efficacy of the toothpaste is not dependent on the REA-value, but more related to the kind of abrasive used [12]. Therefore, the application of toothpastes with low REA-value might not inevitably bear the risk of a reduced cleaning efficacy, but cause less abrasion of eroded enamel than toothpastes with high REA-values.

The working hypothesis that enamel loss after erosion and toothbrushing is not affected by the abrasivity of the toothpaste slurry and the filament diameter of the toothbrush was rejected.

## References

- [1] Philpotts CJ, Weader E, Joiner A. The measurement in vitro of enamel and dentine wear by toothpastes of different abrasivity. *Int Dent J* 2005;55:183-7.
- [2] Addy M, Hunter ML. Can tooth brushing damage your health? Effects on oral and dental tissues. *Int Dent J* 2003;53 Suppl 3:177-86.
- [3] Wiegand A, Begic M, Attin T. In vitro evaluation of abrasion of eroded enamel by different manual, power and sonic toothbrushes. *Caries Res* 2006;40:60-5.
- [4] Wiegand A, Köwing L, Attin T. Impact of brushing force on abrasion of acid-softened and sound enamel. *Arch Oral Biol* 2007;52:1043-7.
- [5] Harte DB, Manly RS. Effect of toothbrush variables on wear of dentin produced by four abrasives. *J Dent Res* 1975;54:993-8.
- [6] Lussi A, Hellwig E. Risk assessment and preventive measures. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2006;20:190-9.
- [7] Rawls HR, Mkwai-Tulloch NJ, Krull ME. A mathematical model for predicting toothbrush stiffness. *Dent Mater* 1990;6:111-7.

- [8] Heath JR, Wilson HJ. Classification of toothbrush stiffness by a dynamic method. *Br Dent J* 1971;130:59-66.
- [9] Vieira A, Overweg E, Ruben JL, Huysmans MC. Toothbrush abrasion, simulated tongue friction and attrition of eroded bovine enamel in vitro. *J Dent* 2006;34:336-42.
- [10] Kielbassa AM, Gillmann L, Zantner C, Meyer-Lueckel H, Hellwig E, Schulte-Monting J. Profilometric and microradiographic studies on the effects of toothpaste and acidic gel abrasivity on sound and demineralized bovine dental enamel. *Caries Res* 2005;39:380-6.
- [11] Hooper S, West NX, Pickles MJ, Joiner A, Newcombe RG, Addy M. Investigation of erosion and abrasion on enamel and dentine: a model in situ using toothpastes of different abrasivity. *J Clin Periodontol* 2003;30:802-8.
- [12] Wülknitz P. Cleaning power and abrasivity of European toothpastes. *Adv Dent Res* 1997;11:576-9.
- [13] Hooper S, West NX, Pickles MJ, Joiner A, Newcombe RG, Addy M. Investigation of erosion and abrasion on enamel and dentine: a model in situ using toothpastes of different abrasivity. *J Clin Periodontol* 2003;30:802-8.
- [14] Imfeld T. Prevention of progression of dental erosion by professional and individual prophylactic measures. *Eur J Oral Sci* 1996;104:215-20.
- [15] Attin T, Siegel S, Buchalla W, Lennon AM, Hannig C, Becker K. Brushing abrasion of softened and remineralised dentin: an in situ study. *Caries Res* 2004;38:62-6.

- [16] Göhring TN, Zehnder M, Sener B, Schmidlin PR. In vitro microleakage of adhesive-sealed dentin with lactic acid and saliva exposure: a radio-isotope analysis. *J Dent* 2004;32:235-40.
- [17] Barbakow F, Imfeld T, Lutz F, Stookey G, Schemehorn B. Dentin abrasion (RDA), enamel abrasion (REA) and polishing scores of dentifrices sold in Switzerland. *Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed* 1989;99:408-13.
- [18] Imfeld T. Comparison of the mechanical effects of a toothbrush and standard abrasive on human and bovine dentine in vitro. *J Clin Dent* 2001;12:92-6.
- [19] Imfeld T. In vitro evaluation of the mechanical effects of sensitive toothpastes of the Swiss market. *Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed* 2002;112:104-8.
- [20] Klimek J, Hellwig E, Ahrens G. Fluoride taken up by plaque, by the underlying enamel and by clean enamel from three fluoride compounds in vitro. *Caries Res* 1982;16:156-61.
- [21] Bartlett D. Intrinsic causes of erosion. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2006;20:119-39.
- [22] Boyd RL, McLey L, Zahradnik R. Clinical and laboratory evaluation of powered electric toothbrushes: In vivo determination of average force for use of manual and powered toothbrushes. *J Clin Dent* 1997;8:72-5.
- [23] McCracken GI, Stacey F, Heasman L, Sellers P, Macgregor ID, Kelly PJ, Heasman PA. A comparative study of two powered toothbrushes and one manual toothbrush in young adults. *J Clin Dent* 2001;12:7-10.



- [24] Hooper S, West NX, Pickles MJ, Joiner A, Newcombe RG, Addy M. Investigation of erosion and abrasion on enamel and dentine: a model in situ using toothpastes of different abrasivity. *J Clin Periodontol* 2003;30:802-8.
- [25] Heath JR, Wilson HJ. Forces and rates observed during in vivo toothbrushing. *Biomed Eng* 1974;9:61-4.
- [26] Dyer D, Addy M, Newcombe RG. Studies in vitro of abrasion by different manual toothbrush heads and a standard toothpaste. *J Clin Periodontol* 2000;27:99-103.
- [27] Kielbassa AM, Gillmann L, Zantner C, Meyer-Lueckel H, Hellwig E, Schulte-Monting J. Profilometric and microradiographic studies on the effects of toothpaste and acidic gel abrasivity on sound and demineralized bovine dental enamel. *Caries Res* 2005;39:380-6.
- [28] Attin T, Wegehaupt F, Gries G, Wiegand A. The potential of deciduous and permanent bovine enamel as substitute for deciduous and permanent human enamel: erosion-abrasion experiments. *J Dent* 2007;35:773-7.




Figure 1:

Mean (SD) enamel loss in groups brushed with toothpaste slurries of REA 2 (groups 1-3), REA 6 (groups 4-6) and REA 9 (groups 7-9). The toothpaste slurries were applied by using toothbrushes with 0.15, 0.2 or 0.25 filament diameter. Within the same REA-value, groups marked with same capital letter are not significantly different. Within groups brushed with the same toothbrush, groups marked with the same small letter are not significantly different.

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Paro M43 soft</b>	<b>Paro M43 medium</b>	<b>Paro M43 hard</b>
Filament diameter (mm)	0.15	0.20	0.25
Bristle length (mm)	11	11	11
Tufts (No.)	43	43	43
Bristles/Tuft (No.)	60	36	20
Bristles/Toothbrush (No.)	2580	1548	860

Table I:

Manufacturers' information about the experimental toothbrushes