

Virtual reality training to improve complex skills – concerns and remarks

Citation for published version (APA):

Verweij, P. E., van den Bekerom, M., Tuijthof, G., Blankevoort, L., & Emanuel, K. (2020). Virtual reality training to improve complex skills - concerns and remarks: A Letter to the Editor. The Bone & Joint Journal, 102(6), [e26].

Document status and date: Published: 18/03/2020

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license: Taverne

Please check the document version of this publication:

 A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

 The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at: repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Lohre, Ryan et al. Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by Immersive Virtual Reality Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.19.00982 1 of 3

April 21, 2020

Virtual reality training to improve complex skills – concerns and remarks: A Letter to the Editor.

Lukas P.E. Verweij

PhD Candidate

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences (AMS), Academic Center for Evidence-Based Sports Medicine (ACES), Amsterdam Collaboration for Health and Safety in Sports (ACHSS), Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Other Contributors:

Michel P.J. van den Bekerom Orthopedic Surgeon Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Gabriëlle J.M. Tuijthof

Program Leader & Senior Researcher

Department IDEE, Faculty of Health, Medical and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Leendert Blankevoort

Associate Professor

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences (AMS), Academic Center for Evidence-Based Sports Medicine (ACES), Amsterdam Collaboration for Health and Safety in Sports (ACHSS), Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Kaj S. Emanuel

Postdoctoral Researcher

 Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences (AMS), Academic Center for Evidence-Based Sports Medicine (ACES), Amsterdam Collaboration for Health and Safety in Sports (ACHSS), Amsterdam, the Netherlands
 Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Dear editor:

We have read with great interest the study by Lohre et al "Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by

Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Lohre, Ryan et al. Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by Immersive Virtual Reality Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.19.00982 2 of 3

Immersive Virtual Reality Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial" reporting the evaluation of a training module developed by PrecisionOS Technologies (1). Virtual reality (VR) training is in potential a great addition to the residency training of surgeons (2). We highly encourage this research field with a special focus on validation (at all levels) to ensure that VR training allows correct training of skills (3, 4). In short, the authors claim to have conducted an adequately powered randomized controlled trial between traditional learning methods and immersive VR training. They conclude that with 570% reduced learning time, significantly better improvements of technical and nontechnical skill acquisition were achieved (1). Considering the importance of this subject, we would like to argue that this paper would benefit from a thorough discussion of the severe limitations in relation to the strong claims drawn.

First, the authors highlight that the study is adequately powered. Any trial should be statistically powered on the primary outcome variable to determine the sample size. The primary outcome is the variable that tests the hypothesis, which is formulated in the current study as "immersive VR is superior in teaching a multistep orthopedic surgical procedure to senior orthopedic residents compared with traditional learning methods" (1). However, the study is powered on an estimated difference in knowledge score between resident and expert groups. We did not expect a power calculation on the participants of different skills levels, but on the expected difference between learning groups, which is contained in the hypothesis of this study. Furthermore, a knowledge score is not the correct measure to evaluate a skills acquisition nor a means to validate the VR module. For this reason, the study may not be adequately powered to draw the strong conclusions on differences between VR and traditional learning methods. Also, it is unclear to us how the participants were selected to create a random sample.

Furthermore, the authors state that VR-training efficacy and validity is compared against traditional learning methods (5). Although we are aware that there is an ongoing debate whether this is the optimal learning method, surgical skills are traditionally learned by the master-apprentice model of "see one, do one, teach one" (6, 7, 8). Therefore, we severely question that studying a journal paper (9) is an adequate example of this traditional learning model to train skills. The authors failed to discuss the validity of the selected traditional learning method.

Finally, in the discussion it was stated that "With the tasks examined, we have demonstrated significant improvements of technical and nontechnical skill acquisition at a mean reduction of 570% in learning time" (1). While we find it difficult to imagine a reduction that exceeds 100%, we are equally puzzled how this was calculated. The learning task time was reduced from 20 ± 4 minutes in the control group to 11 ± 3 minutes in the VR group, which seems to represent a reduction of 45%. Throughout the abstract, relevance, results, and discussion, this is however presented as a 570% reduction, which needs explanation. Furthermore, the technical skills were assessed by the validated OSATS score, which showed

Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Lohre, Ryan et al. Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by Immersive Virtual Reality Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.19.00982 3 of 3

no statistically significant difference between the groups with p = 0.70. It is an interesting side-finding that the OSATS subscore "instrument handling" is significantly different between the immersive VR group and the control group. However, this does not allow such a strong conclusion on overall skill improvement, particularly if the statistical tests are not corrected for multiple comparisons.

In conclusion, this study would benefit from thorough discussion of the questionable mode of traditional learning. Furthermore, it is important to appreciate that the study is powered on an arbitrary secondary variable. Finally, we feel that the firm positive conclusion of the study is based on a strange interpretation of the reduction of the learning time, and on a secondary finding of skills improvement.

References

1. Lohre R, Bois AJ, Athwal GS, Goel DP, Canadian S, Elbow S. Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by Immersive Virtual Reality Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2020;102(6):e26.

2. Kordasiewicz B, Kicinski M, Malachowski K, Boszczyk A, Chaberek S, Pomianowski S. Arthroscopic Latarjet Stabilization: Analysis of the Learning Curve in the First 90 Primary Cases: Early Clinical Results and Computed Tomography Evaluation. Arthroscopy. 2019;35(12):3221-37.

3. Stunt J, Wulms P, Kerkhoffs G, Dankelman J, van Dijk C, Tuijthof G. How valid are commercially available medical simulators? Adv Med Educ Pract. 2014;5:385-95.

4. Issenberg SB, McGaghie WC, Petrusa ER, Lee Gordon D, Scalese RJ. Features and uses of high-fidelity medical simulations that lead to effective learning: a BEME systematic review. Med Teach. 2005;27(1):10-28.

5. Khunger N, Kathuria S. Mastering Surgical Skills Through Simulation-Based Learning: Practice Makes One Perfect. J Cutan Aesthet Surg. 2016;9(1):27-31.

6. Kulasegaram K, Axelrod D, Ringsted C, Brydges R. Do One Then See One: Sequencing Discovery Learning and Direct Instruction for Simulation-Based Technical Skills Training. Acad Med. 2018;93(11S Association of American Medical Colleges Learn Serve Lead: Proceedings of the 57th Annual Research in Medical Education Sessions):S37-S44.

7. Hart R, Karthigasu K. The benefits of virtual reality simulator training for laparoscopic surgery. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2007;19(4):297-302.

8. Gomoll AH, Pappas G, Forsythe B, Warner JJ. Individual skill progression on a virtual reality simulator for shoulder arthroscopy: a 3-year follow-up study. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36(6):1139-42.

9. Williams GR. Top ten tips for glenoid exposure in shoulder arthroplasty. Seminars in Arthroplasty2017. p. 124-7.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared