RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

In situ gastrointestinal protection against anthrax edema toxin by single-chain antibody fragment producing lactobacilli

Kasper Krogh Andersen¹, Harold Marcotte¹, Beatriz Álvarez¹, Prosper N Boyaka² and Lennart Hammarström^{1*}

Background: Anthrax is caused by the bacterium *Bacillus anthracis* and is regarded as one of the most prominent bioterrorism threats. Anthrax toxicity is induced by the tripartite toxin complex, composed of the receptor-binding anthrax protective antigen and the two enzymatic subunits, lethal factor and edema factor. Recombinant lactobacilli have previously been used to deliver antibody fragments directed against surface epitopes of a variety of pathogens, including *Streptococcus mutans, Porphyromonas gingivalis,* and rotavirus. Here, we addressed whether or not anthrax toxins could be targeted and neutralised in the gastrointestinal tract by lactobacilli producing recombinant antibody fragments as a model system for toxin neutralisation in the gastrointestinal lumen.

Results: The neutralising anti-PA scFv, 1H, was expressed in *L. paracasei* as a secreted protein, a cell wall-anchored protein or both secreted and wall-anchored protein. Cell wall display on lactobacilli and PA binding of the anchored constructs was confirmed by flow cytometry analysis. Binding of secreted or attached scFv produced by lactobacilli to PA were verified by ELISA. Both construct were able to protect macrophages in an *in vitro* cytotoxicity assay. Finally, lactobacilli producing the cell wall attached scFv were able to neutralise the activity of anthrax edema toxin in the GI tract of mice, *in vivo*.

Conclusion: We have developed lactobacilli expressing a neutralising scFv fragment against the PA antigen of the anthrax toxin, which can provide protection against anthrax toxins both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Utilising engineered lactobacilli therapeutically for neutralising toxins in the gastrointestinal tract can potential be expanded to provide protection against a range of additional gastrointestinal pathogens. The ability of lactobacilli to colonise the gastrointestinal tract may allow the system to be used both prophylactically and therapeutically.

Background

Spores of *Bacillus anthracis* have for long been regarded as one of the most powerful bioterrorism threats due to their stability and high lethality [1]. The spores can be easily produced and stockpiled in large quantities, using simple microbial techniques by people having access to a virulent strain and incentive to be exposed to the risk connected with its propagation and handling. Previous deliberate spread of anthrax spores as agent of biowarfare has been as aerosol. However, they could also be

* Correspondence: Lennart.Hammarstrom@ki.se

disseminated through the food or water supply for targeting of the gastrointestinal tract.

Anthrax infections fall into three different categories, reflecting the route of entry; inhalational, gastrointestinal or cutaneous in order of severity of the infection. With regard to bioterrorism, the most realistic mode of mass exposure includes inhalational or gastrointestinal infections. Conceptually, the idea of targeting the food supply is not new [2] and a few records of planned use of anthrax spores for deliberately targeting the oral route exist [3,4]. However, relatively little is known about the pathophysiology of gastrointestinal anthrax, despite its prevalence in ruminant livestock. Initial infection is established in the Peyer's Patches throughout the small intestine, eventually leading to systemic infection by spreading to the draining jejunal lymph nodes, the spleen



© 2011 Andersen et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹Division of Clinical Immunology and Transfusion Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet at Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, SE-141 86 Stockholm, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

and, finally, the lungs. Gastrointestinal infection by *B. anthracis* preferentially occurs after abrasions in the mucosa but can also occur in the absence of damage in which case infection propagation is slower [5]. Natural occurrence of human gastrointestinal anthrax in the western world is rare due to the high standard of the food supply chain but is more common than inhalational anthrax in the developing world [6].

The pathogenesis of *B. anthracis* is due to the product of three plasmid encoded (pXO1) toxicity genes; pagA (PA), lef (LF) and cya (EF) expressing a tripartite protein complex, causing the lethal symptoms associated with anthrax. The protective antigen (PA) combines with the lethal factor (LF) and edema factor (EF) to form the lethal toxin (LT) and edema toxin (ET) respectively [7]. PA is the component affording binding to either of two receptors, the tumor endothelial marker 8 (TEM8) and the capillary morphogenesis 2 (CMG2) [8]. The receptor bound PA is proteolytically activated facilitating oligomerization of PA into a heptameric prepore structure, forming the binding sites for LF and EF. The complete toxin complex is endocytosed and, upon acidification of the early endosome, the prepore undergoes conformational change whereby LF and EF are translocated into the cytosol (for review see [9]). LF is a metalloprotease cleaving MAPK (mitogenactivated protein kinase) kinases [10], inactivating MAPK signaling pathways and inducing an atypical vascular collapse in mice [11]. EF is a calmodulin-dependent adenylate cyclase which increases cyclic AMP levels in cells and induces extensive intestinal fluid accumulation and hemorrhaging lesions [12,13]. Both active and passive vaccination strategies against anthrax have previously been attempted and directed primarily towards inactivation of the toxin components, where PA is the dominant immunogen, and several neutralising antibodies binding to epitopes blocking the binding to its receptors have previously been developed [14,15].

Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed (AVA) is at present the only vaccine licensed for use in the United States for prophylactic treatment against anthrax. However, the vaccine requires multiple injections over 12-18 months in order to be effective [16] and due to its cost and side effects, therapeutic treatment is currently considered more cost effective [17,18]. Therapeutic treatment for anthrax infection is based on antibiotic use, post exposure vaccination and anti-toxin antibodies, with a combinatorial approach of rapid post exposure vaccination combined with antibiotics treatment being the most promising [19]. Faced with the possibility of anthrax strains being engineered for resistance to current antibiotics, the need for alternative treatments grow.

Lactobacilli are Gram-positive bacteria constituting part of the normal oro-gastrointestinal flora [20] and generally regarded as safe (GRAS) for human consumption. Their ability to colonise and thrive in the gastrointestinal tract has directed attention to their potential use for therapeutic and prophylactic delivery of biomolecules [21]. Engineered lactobacilli have previously been used to deliver antibody fragments targeting both viral and bacterial infections [22,23]. ScFvs, while retaining the specificity of the monoclonal antibody from which they are derived, has a simpler structure, allowing production in bacterial expression systems. Several anthrax toxin neutralising scFvs have been derived from neutralising monoclonal antibodies or by panning of scFv libraries. The anti-anthrax PA scFv 1H is derived from the 14B7 monoclonal antibody through molecular evolution, yielding a highly stable scFv with increased binding affinity [24].

We have developed several recombinant lactobacilli expressing a single-chain antibody fragment (1H scFv) against the PA toxin, and tested their ability to provide passive immunity against anthrax toxin in the gastrointestinal tract.

Results and discussion

Construction of anti-PA expressing recombinant *Lactobacillus*

A series of anti-PA neutralising scFvs have previously been generated through random mutagenesis of the monoclonal antibody 14B7 [24]. The 1H scFv, had a K_d of 0.25 nM, nine fold lower than the parent monoclonal antibody and provided protection both in vitro and in vivo [24]. To evaluate the therapeutic potential of *Lactobacillus* expressing a scFv against anthrax toxin, a series of expression cassettes was constructed with the 1H scFv encoding gene placed under the control of the *apf* promoter and fused to the *apf* signal peptide at the N-terminal and with a C-terminal Etag for detection (Figure 1). Variations in the C-terminal parts of the plasmids gives rise to three different methods of production of the scFv. In pAF100-1HscFv, a stop codon just terminal of the E-tag leaves the scFv secreted into the media (referred to as a secreted construct), pAF900-1HscFv has the C-terminal E-tag fused to the prtP anchoring domain leading the scFv to be covalently bound and displayed on the cell wall upon secretion from the cell (referred to as an anchored construct), and lastly, pAF400-1HscFv, where the E-tag is fused to the anchoring domain of the apf gene which attaches the scFv non-covalently to the cell wall upon secretion, (referred to as an attached construct).

Expression and correct localisation of the three constructs upon transformation into *Lactobacillus paracasei* was verified by Western blot analysis of the supernatant and cell fractions of cultures grown in MRS (Figure 2A). The scFv expressed by both the secreted (KKA308) and anchored constructs (KKA307) were found primarily in the expected fraction, the supernatant for KKA308 and the cell fraction for KKA307. Some scFv were found in



the supernatant fraction of the lactobacilli expressing the anchored construct, which is likely to be due either to saturation of anchoring sites or inefficient anchoring of the scFv. For lactobacilli expressing the attached construct, KKA317, the scFv was found to be bound to the cell wall but also secreted into the media in significant amounts. This is probably due to the weaker nature of the non-covalent binding to the cell wall of the APF binding domain. The total scFv production for the lactobacilli expressing the attached construct was 2-3 fold higher, relative to the two other constructs, despite being expressed from the same promoter. We have previously observed this effect for scFv fusions to the APF anchoring domain [25] suggesting that the fusion could be beneficial for the secretion or stability of the scFv fragments in the supernatant.

The three expression constructs provide a choice for the mechanism of neutralisation 1; anchored and attached scFv constructs immobilising PA on the cell wall of the lactobacilli and clearing of bound PA from the intestinal tract by gastric emptying 2; secreted scFv expression as seen both using the secreted construct



and the attached construct where a significant proportion of the scFv are non-cell wall attached, leading to diffusion of the neutralising scFvs in the gastrointestinal lumen with subsequent binding and inactivation of PA.

Binding activity of 1H scFv

Binding activity of the scFv from the culture supernatants from lactobacilli transformed with the secreted and attached constructs, was analysed by ELISA. ScFvs from both strains bound to PA coated microtiter plates (Figure 2B). Six and a half ng/ml (0.224 nM) and nine ng/ml (0.216 nM) was produced by the lactobacilli expressing the secreted and attached construct respectively, when quantified using a purified His tagged 1H scFv produced in *E. coli* as a positive control.

Presence of the 1H scFv on the cell wall in the lactobacilli transformed with the anchored (KKA307) or attached (KKA317) construct was tested by flow cytometry (using staining with a mouse anti-E-tag antibody together with a FITC conjugated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin antibody) (Figure 3A). For the anchored construct (KKA307), a strong positive signal confirmed the surface location of the scFv. Bacteria transformed with the attached construct (KKA317) did not stain, indicating that either the scFv is not displayed on the surface or that the E-tag is embedded in the membrane due to its close proximity to the anchoring domain and thus not accessible to the anti-E-tag antibody.

Using PA conjugated florescent beads, a strong binding to the KKA307 strain (displaying 1H scFv cell wall anchored), but not strain KKA317 displaying 1H scFv attached was observed (Figure 3B). The lack of binding observed with the lactobacilli expressing the attached construct might arise due to that the scFv are not protruding far enough from the cell wall to afford effective binding. We have recently shown that close proximity of the scFv attached with the APF anchoring domain may inhibit the binding activity of a cell wall anchored scFv [25]. Insertion of an spacer increasing the length of the anchoring domain could potentially resolve this as it has previously been shown by us to improve binding of cell wall display of antibody fragments [23].

In vitro protection

The ability of the *Lactobacillus* produced anti-PA scFvs to protect against the toxin *in vitro* was assessed using the J774 M Φ cell line by exposing it to a lethal dose LT. Two fold serial dilutions of the 1H scFv, purified from the supernatant from the KKA308 (secreting) and KKA317 (attached) strains, were pre-mixed with the toxin complex (PA and LF) before challenge. A dose of 1.25 µg/ml of secreted scFv afforded complete protection, corresponding to a molar ratio of 3.5:1 of 1H scFv (29.2 KDa) to PA (83.3 KDa) (Figure 4B). The scFv from the

lactobacilli transformed with the attached construct (42.2 KDa), afforded nearly full protection at a dose of 2.5 μ g/ml and full protection was conferred at 5 μ g/ml, translating to a molar ratio of 5:1 and 10:1 respectively. 1H scFv produced and purified from *E. coli* were also tested and showed protection at corresponding doses (data not shown) indicating that the binding affinity of the 1H scFv fragment is maintained when utilising the *Lactobacillus* based expression system.

In vivo protection

To test the prophylactic effect of recombinant lactobacilli expressing the 1H scFv we developed a mouse model of oral challenge with Bacillus anthracis edema toxin (ET). ET was previously shown to cause massive fluid retention and swelling (edema) and intravenous injection shown to induce intestinal intralumenal fluid accumulation [13]. In our model of oral ET challenge a dose of 50 to 100 µg ET causes a significant fluid accumulation in the small and large intestine 16 hours post oral exposure leading to a 10-15% increase in total intestinal weight (Table 1). To mimic a stable colonisation achievable with good colonising bacterial strains the recombinant lactobacilli were given both 4 hours before and simultaneous with the toxin challenge. Mice receiving *Lactobacillus* expressing the attached construct (KKA317) and no ET were used as negative controls and had a median relative intestinal weight of 9.48% of the total body weight (Table 2). The groups receiving either toxin only or the non-protective L. paracasei pAF400 expressing attached a scFv against an irrelevant antigen (SAI/II from S. mutans) together with ET, had median relative intestinal weights of 10.67% and 10.99%, giving an increase in the median relative intestinal weight of 12.6% and 15.9% respectively (P< 0.05 for both). For the group treated with Lactobacillus expressing the 1H scFv in an attached form (KKA317), the median relative intestinal weight was 9.53% upon challenge with ET, i.e. in the same range as the negative control and significantly lower compared to mice receiving ET only (P< 0.05), indicating a blocking of the uptake of ET in the intestine. Mice treated with Lactobacillus expressing 1H scFv either in a secreted or anchored form together with ET, did not show any significant difference when compared to the ET only group (data not shown).

The reason why, in contrast to the attached construct, the secreted or anchored constructs failed to provide protection, remain to be elucidated. One explanation might be the dual function of the attached construct where the scFv is both cell wall displayed and secreted into the supernatant. The secreted part of the 1H scFv produced by the attached construct would have an unbound cell wall attaching domain, allowing it to re-attach to the cell wall of lactobacilli after binding to PA. This could theoretically provide a therapeutic advantage as the lactobacilli



Figure 3 Flow cytometry analysis of lactobacilli surface displayed scFvs. (A) Visualisation of the production and display of the 1H scFv on the surface of recombinant *L. paracasei* presenting the scFv anchored (KKA307) or attached (KKA317) (wt *L. paracasei* was as a negative control). ScFvs were visualised by detection of the E-tag fused to the scFv using a mouse anti-E-tag antibody in conjunction with a FITC conjugated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin antibody. (B) Binding of recombinant *L. paracasei* through surface displayed 1H scFv to PA coated fluorescent beads. The display of scFv on surface visualised through binding of mouse anti-E-tag antibody and FITC conjugated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin antibody. 1,1 quarter: unlabeled *L. paracasei*, 2,1 quarter: scFv displaying *L. paracasei*, 1,2 quarter: PA conjugated fluorescent beads and 2,2 quarter: PA conjugated beads bound by *L. paracasei*.

in the gastrointestinal flora could function as a binding reservoir for the attached 1H scFv, mopping up PA and immobilising it on the bacteria.

To examine if the attached 1H scFv could re-attach to the cell wall of lactobacilli we therefore grew a nonexpressing strain of *L. paracasei* (KKA101) in media with and without the attached 1H scFv. Western blot analysis of the cell pellet of the cultures showed a clear re-attachment of the 1H scFv on the cell wall of the non-expressing strain (KKA101) when grown in media containing the scFv (Figure 5). Homologous binding domains are also found in other Gram-positive bacteria [26,27] but further studies would be needed to determine if reattachment also occur on other bacteria and if this is a parameter for neutralisation with the attached construct.

However since only one of three constructs was successful in providing neutralisation *in vivo* certain issues still needs to be addressed for therapeutic engineering of lactobacilli for antibody expression. The length of the anchoring domain and polarity of the scFv might influence the extension of the scFv from the bacterial cell wall for and thereby affect binding. Stability of secreted scFvs



might likewise be a determining factor for successful neutralisation and engineering of the scFvs for improved stability in the gastrointestinal lumen would likely improve the therapeutic effect [28,29].

The strategy of using lactobacilli for delivery of protective antibody fragments relies on their ability to thrive and

colonise in the gastrointestinal tract. In the described study a engineered laboratory strain of *L. paracasei* were used as a proof of concept for mediation of *in situ* neutralisation in the gastrointestinal tract. For efficient continuous delivery of antibody fragments a *Lactobacillus* strain characterised for long-term colonisation in the host should be selected.

Oral dosage	n	Median	Minimum/maximum	Relative median weight ^a (%)	P value
No toxin	12	9.49	7.06/10.43		
10 µg ET	5	9.51	7.12/10.49	0.21	NS
25 μg ET	6	10.24	8.27/10.94	7.90	NS
50 µg ET	6	10.71	10.39/11.44	12.86	< 0.05 ^b
100 µg ET	5	10.69	10.46/11.65	11.87	< 0.05 ^b

Table 1 Dose study of oral challenge with edema toxin

Median (minimum and maximum) relative intestinal weight in percent total body weight upon challenge with increasing doses of edema toxin. ^a The difference in median of relative intestinal weight between experimental group and mice receiving no toxin in percent. ^b Represents a statistically significant difference of P < 0.05 compared with mice receiving no toxin using the Mann-Whitney U-test.

Recombinant lactobacilli have previously also been successfully used as a delivery system for oral vaccination with recombinant PA fused to a dendritic cell targeting peptide, giving a protective response four weeks after first oral dose [30]. Though effective, induction of a protective immune response might be too slow to provide protection in the case of an imminent risk of exposure. For effective protection a dual strategy of both passive immunity and oral vaccination could therefore be advantageous providing both rapid protection and protective immunity.

The use of toxin neutralising antibody fragments in the gastrointestinal tract can potentially be used as treatment against other pathogens like *Clostridium difficile, Vibrio cholera* and *E.coli O157:H.* Recently a neutralising single domain antibody fragment (VHH) against *Clostridium difficile* toxin A was developed [31] illustrating this approach. We have previously shown that VHH can be produced at high levels using the described expression system and in addition have advantages over scFvs for expression in lactobacilli [25], so as more VHH are being developed their use expressed from engineered lactobacilli for therapies in the gastrointestinal tract will likely increase.

Conclusion

Our results demonstrate a possibility of employing a recombinant approach for neutralisation of bacterial toxins in the gastrointestinal tract as illustrated here, targeting anthrax toxins, using genetically engineered *Lactobacillus*. In the present study we have shown that a high affinity anti-PA scFv can be expressed both cell wall anchored and secreted by lactobacilli and retain its binding affinity. *In vivo* neutralisation was achieved in a mouse model of oral toxin challenge with engineered lactobacilli expressing the neutralising scFv with an APF anchoring domain. Using recombinant *Lactobacillus* for induction of passive immunity in the gastrointestinal tract as described in this study provides a possibility for a continuous delivery of the antibody *in situ* that can be used both therapeutically and prophylactically. The approach can also be extended to targeting of a range of toxins produced by a variety of gastrointestinal pathogens.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

E. coli DH5 α (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was grown in LB media at 37°C with 220 rpm orbital shaking or on LB-agar plates at 37°C. Lactobacilli were grown in lactobacilli MRS broth (Difco, Sparks, MD) at 37°C without agitation or anaerobically on MRS-agar plates (BD - GazPak EZ, Sparks, MD). Antibiotics were added at the following concentrations when indicated: ampicilin (100 µg/ml) and erythromycin (300 µg/ml *E. coli* and 5 µg/ml lactobacilli).

Construction of recombinant *Lactobacillus* and *E. coli* strains

The 1H scFv was amplified from the pMoPac16 vector containing the 1H scFv [24] using the primers; anthrx1H-Fw: 5"-CCGG<u>CCATGG</u>ATGATATTCAGATGACACA-GACTAC-3" and anthrx1H-Rv: 5"-GCACCT<u>GCGGCC</u><u>GC</u>CGAGGAGACGGTGACTGAG-3". The PCR fragment was cloned into pGEM[®]-T easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and DNA sequence verified by sequencing.

Table 2 Mouse model of oral challenge by edema toxin

Oral dosage	n	Median	Minimum/ maximum	Relative median weight ^a (%)	P value compared to KKA317 only	P value compared to ET only		
ET only	4	10.67	10.48/11.53	12.55	< 0.05 ^b			
KKA317 only (negative control)	5	9.48	7.13/10.51			< 0.05 ^c		
KKA317 + ET	8	9.53	7.25/10.89	0.53	NS	< 0.05 ^c		
<i>L. paracasei</i> pAF400 + ET	3	10.99	9.99/11.05	15.93	< 0.05 ^b	NS		

Median (minimum and maximum) relative intestinal weight in percent total body weight upon challenge with either ET, recombinant *Lactobacillus* or both. ^a The difference in median of relative intestinal weight between group and negative control KKA317 in percent. ^b Represents a statistically significant difference of P< 0.05 compared with mice only receiving KKA317 using the Mann-Whitney U-test. ^c Represents a statistically significance of P< 0.05 compared with mice receiving only ET toxin using the Mann-Whitney U-test.



The 1H scFv gene was excised using *NcoI* and *NotI* restriction enzymes (Promega) and ligated into the *NcoI/NotI* digested *Lactobacillus* expression vectors, pAF100, pAF400 and pAF900 [25] giving plasmids pAF100-1HscFv, pAF400-1HscFv and pAF900-1HscFv for secreted, attached and anchored expression respectively. The expression plasmids were transformed into *L. paracasei* (previously known as *L. casei* or *L. zeae* ATCC 393 pLZ15⁻ [32]) by electroporation as previously described [23,33], generating the *Lactobacillus* strains KKA307, KKA308 and KKA317 expressing the 1H scFv anchored, secreted and attached respectively. A *Lactobacillus* strain, KKA101, harboring a non-expressing version of the plasmid was constructed by transforming *L. paracasei* with the empty pIAV7 plasmid [34]. The *Lactobacillus* strain

L. paracasei pAF400, expressing an attached scFv against an irrelevant antigen (SAI/II from *S. mutans*) has been described previously [25].

 strain KKA300 and the DNA sequence verified by sequencing.

Western Blot

The transformants were grown in MRS with 5 µg/ml erythromycin until an OD600 of 1.0. The cultures were centrifuged at $3,200 \times g$ to separate the pellet from the supernatant. The supernatant was filter sterilised, pH adjusted to 7.0, dialysed against 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and concentrated using Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal filter units (10 kDa cut off, Millipore, Carrigtwohill, Co. Cork, Ireland). The concentrated supernatant was mixed with 2 \times Laemmli buffer and boiled for 5 minutes (min). The cell culture pellet was washed twice with PBS, resuspended in 100 µl Laemmli buffer and boiled for 5 min. The cell extract was centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ to remove cell debris and the supernatant containing soluble proteins was kept. The supernatant and cell extract were run on a 10% SDSpolyacrylamide gel at 170 volts and the proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-ECL, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). The membrane was blocked with PBS-T (PBS with 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 + 5% (w/v) milk powder) and successively incubated with mouse anti-E-tag antibodies (1 µg/ml, GE-Healthcare) and HRP (horse radish peroxidase) labelled goat anti-mouse antibodies (DAKO A/S, Glostrup Denmark). The signal was detected by chemiluminescence using the ECL Plus[™] Western Blotting detection system (GE Healthcare).

For re-attachment of 1H scFv on lactobacilli, strains KKA317 and KKA101 were grown in 50 ml MRS with 5 μ g/ml erythromycin until OD600 of 1.0. Cultures were harvested by centrifugation and supernatant filter sterilised and adjusted to pH 7.2. The conditioned media were reinoculated with KKA317 and KKA101 at an OD600 of 0.2 and grown to OD600 of 1.0. Cell pellets were treated as previously described and run on an 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and Western blotted.

Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA)

96 well microtiter plates (EIR/RIA plate, Costar, Lowell, MA) were coated with 100 μ l rPA (List labs, Campbell, CA) at 1 μ g/ml in PBS overnight (o/n) at 4°C. Plates were subsequently blocked with 200 μ l 1% BSA (in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20, PBS-T) for two hours at 4°C. After washing with PBS-T, dilutions of scFv producing *Lactobacillus* culture supernatants were added and the plates incubated at 4°C o/n. ScFvs purified from *E. coli* were used as a positive control for quantification. Plates were subsequently washed three times and 100 μ l mouse anti-E-tag antibody (GE-healthcare) was added (1 μ g/ml) in blocking solution, followed by incubation at room temperature for 2 h. Plates were then washed three times in PBS-T and incubated with 100 μ l AP conjugated rabbit

anti-mouse antibody at 1/1000 (Dako A/S, Glostrup Denmark) in blocking solution. Following an additional 1 hour incubation at room temperature, the plates were washed twice in PBS-T and once in PBS, resuspended in 100 μ l of diethanolamine buffer (1M, pH 10.0) containing 1 mg/ml pNPP (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and absorbance was read after 10-30 min at 405 nm in a Varioskan Flash (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Flow cytometry

50 µl of Lactobacillus cultures grown to an OD600 of 1.0 in MRS were harvested by centrifugation (8000 rpm, 1 min) and washed three times in PBS. Bacteria were resuspended in 50 µl PBS with 1% BSA (PBS-BSA) and incubated for 30 min on ice sequentially with 30 µl PA coated beads (Invitrogen), 50 μ l anti-E-tag antibody (10 μ g/ml) and 50 µl FITC conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulins (diluted 1/100) (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Growe, PA), all diluted in PBS-BSA. Bacteria were washed with 500 µl PBS between all three incubations. Samples were resuspended and fixed in 300 µl 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS and analysed using a FACS Calibur machine (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). 1 µm red FluoSpheres®microspheres (Invitrogen) were incubated with rPA (List labs) according to manufactors instructions to generate PA coated fluorescent beads for use in flow cytometry.

Macrophage toxicity assay to assess neutralisation by scFvs

Protection by *Lactobacillus* and *E. coli* produced scFvs were analysed by their capacity to protect the J774 M Φ cell line from killing by LT [36,37]. Briefly, J774 M Φ were added to 96-well, flat-bottom wells (5 × 10⁴ M Φ /well) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in air. After 12 hours of incubation, LT (i.e., 1 µg/ml rPA and 1 µg/ml LF, (List labs)) pre-mixed with scFvs were added to the cultures and incubated for an additional 12 hours. Viable M Φ were evaluated by colorimetric assay by reading absorption at 562nm after addition of Methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazo-lium bromide (MTT) (Sigma-Aldrich) [38]. MTT was used at a concentration of 5 mg/ml, and a volume of 20 µl (100 µg/well) was added to individual wells.

Purification of scFvs

ScFv was purified from the supernatant of strains KKA308 and KKA317, grown in defined minimal media [39]. The scFv was isolated on a HiTrap[™] anti-E-Tag Column (GEhealthcare) according to the manufactures instructions. Eluate was concentrated on Amicon Ultra-4 10K MWCO spin column (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The concentration of purified scFv was determined using the Micro BCA[™] Protein Assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL) with BSA as a standard.

The 1H scFv was isolated from the periplasma of the recombinant E. coli strain, KKA300, as previously described [35] with the following modifications. The culture was grown in 500 ml YT-broth supplemented with 100 mM glucose and 100 µg/ml ampicilin. The periplasmic extract dialyzed against PBS was adjusted to 30 mM imidazole (Sigma Aldrich) and 0.5 M NaCl (pH 7.5). The adjusted periplasmic extract was immobilised on a 5 ml HisTrap[™] HP Column (GE-healthcare) and washed with 20 bed volumes wash buffer (PBS, 30 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.5) and subsequently eluted with 5 bed volumes elution buffer (PBS, 0.5 M imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.5). Eluate was concentrated and buffer exchanged with PBS on a Amicon Ultra-4 10K MWCO spin column (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and purified scFv concentration determined as described above.

In vivo neutralisation

Female C57BL/6 mice, six-seven weeks of age, were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME). Mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and provided food and water *ad libitum*. All studies were performed in accordance with both National Institutes of Health and Institutional guidelines and approved by the Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol number 2009A0210).

A dose study of the oral effect of ET was carried out on groups of mice challenged with 10, 25, 50 and 100 μ g of ET (equal amount of rPA plus EF (List Labs)) given in 100 μ l PBS by gavage. After 16 hours, the toxic effect was measured as ET induced fluid accumulation in the small and large intestine. Mice were euthanized with CO₂ and death confirmed by cervical dislocation prior to removal of small and large intestine. Fluid accumulation was measured as percent of the weight of the small and large intestine compared to total body weight.

The KKA307, KKA308, KKA317 and *L. paracasei* pAF400 [25] strains were grown in MRS to an OD600 of 1.0, harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in culture supernatant with pH adjusted to 7.0 to give 5×10^9 cfu/ml. Nine-twelve weeks old C57BL/6 mice (body weight 15-20 g) were given 2.5×10^9 cfu recombinant *Lactobacillus* by gavage. Four hours later they were challenged with a non-lethal dose of 50 µg ET (50 µg rPA plus 50 µg EF (List Labs)) together with an additional 2.5×10^9 cfu recombinant *Lactobacillus* by gavage. After 16 hours, the toxic effect of the ET was measured as fluid accumulation in the small and large intestine.

Statistical analysis

The relative intestinal weight, in percent of total body weight of the treated groups, were compared to mice group receiving ET only, and analysed with the Mann-Whitney U-test using the GraphPad Prism software.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the EU funded project BIODEFENCE (508912). The authors wants to thank George Georgiou (Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, and Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712) for kindly providing the 1H scFv encoding DNA and Stefan Dübel (Department of Biotechnology, Technical University of Braunschweig, Germany) for the generous gift of the pOPE101-215(yol) plasmid.

Author details

¹Division of Clinical Immunology and Transfusion Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet at Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, SE-141 86 Stockholm, Sweden. ²Department of Veterinary Biosciences, VMAB Room 345, 1900 Coffey Road, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

Authors' contributions

KKA constructed recombinant *Lactobacillus* and *E. coli*, purified scFvs, carried out expression analysis and *in vitro* protection assays, designed experiments and drafted the paper. HM and LH developed the experimental strategy. BA assisted protein purification. PB carried out the *in vitro* protection assays and *in vivo* model.

All authors read, revised and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 1 June 2011 Accepted: 20 December 2011 Published: 20 December 2011

References

- Inglesby TV, O'Toole T, Henderson DA, Bartlett JG, Ascher MS, Eitzen E, Friedlander AM, Gerberding J, Hauer J, Hughes J, et al: Anthrax as a biological weapon, 2002: updated recommendations for management. Jama 2002, 287(17):2236-2252.
- Torok TJ, Tauxe RV, Wise RP, Livengood JR, Sokolow R, Mauvais S, Birkness KA, Skeels MR, Horan JM, Foster LR: A large community outbreak of salmonellosis caused by intentional contamination of restaurant salad bars. Jama 1997, 278(5):389-395.
- 3. Harris S: Japanese biological warfare research on humans: a case study of microbiology and ethics. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1992, 666:21-52.
- 4. Daley S: In support of appartheid: poison whisky and sterilisation. *New York Times* 1998, June 11, Section A.
- 5. Glomski IJ, Piris-Gimenez A, Huerre M, Mock M, Goossens PL: **Primary involvement of pharynx and peyer's patch in inhalational and intestinal anthrax.** *PLoS Pathog* 2007, **3(6)**:e76.
- Beatty ME, Ashford DA, Griffin PM, Tauxe RV, Sobel J: Gastrointestinal anthrax: review of the literature. Arch Intern Med 2003, 163(20):2527-2531.
- 7. Abrami L, Reig N, van der Goot FG: Anthrax toxin: the long and winding road that leads to the kill. *Trends Microbiol* 2005, **13(2)**:72-78.
- Scobie HM, Young JA: Interactions between anthrax toxin receptors and protective antigen. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2005, 8(1):106-112.
- van der Goot G, Young JA: Receptors of anthrax toxin and cell entry. Mol Aspects Med 2009, 30(6):406-412.
- Duesbery NS, Webb CP, Leppla SH, Gordon VM, Klimpel KR, Copeland TD, Ahn NG, Oskarsson MK, Fukasawa K, Paull KD, et al: Proteolytic inactivation of MAP-kinase-kinase by anthrax lethal factor. Science 1998, 280(5364):734-737.
- Moayeri M, Haines D, Young HA, Leppla SH: Bacillus anthracis lethal toxin induces TNF-alpha-independent hypoxia-mediated toxicity in mice. J Clin Invest 2003, 112(5):670-682.
- Leppla SH: Anthrax toxin edema factor: a bacterial adenylate cyclase that increases cyclic AMP concentrations of eukaryotic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1982, 79(10):3162-3166.
- 13. Firoved AM, Miller GF, Moayeri M, Kakkar R, Shen Y, Wiggins JF, McNally EM, Tang WJ, Leppla SH: Bacillus anthracis edema toxin causes extensive

tissue lesions and rapid lethality in mice. *Am J Pathol* 2005, 167(5):1309-1320.

- Leysath CE, Monzingo AF, Maynard JA, Barnett J, Georgiou G, Iverson BL, Robertus JD: Crystal structure of the engineered neutralizing antibody M18 complexed to domain 4 of the anthrax protective antigen. J Mol Biol 2009, 387(3):680-693.
- Little SF, Leppla SH, Cora E: Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies to the protective antigen component of Bacillus anthracis toxin. *Infect Immun* 1988, 56(7):1807-1813.
- Pittman PR, Gibbs PH, Cannon TL, Friedlander AM: Anthrax vaccine: shortterm safety experience in humans. Vaccine 2001, 20(5-6):972-978.
- Fowler RA, Sanders GD, Bravata DM, Nouri B, Gastwirth JM, Peterson D, Broker AG, Garber AM, Owens DK: Cost-effectiveness of defending against bioterrorism: a comparison of vaccination and antibiotic prophylaxis against anthrax. Ann Intern Med 2005, 142(8):601-610.
- Webb GF: Being prepared: modeling the response to an anthrax attack. Ann Intern Med 2005, 142(8):667-668.
- 19. Klinman DM, Tross D: A single-dose combination therapy that both prevents and treats anthrax infection. *Vaccine* 2009, **27(12)**:1811.
- 20. Manson JM, Rauch M, Gilmore MS: The commensal microbiology of the gastrointestinal tract. Adv Exp Med Biol 2008, 635:15-28.
- Wells JM, Mercenier A: Mucosal delivery of therapeutic and prophylactic molecules using lactic acid bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008, 6(5):349-362.
- Pant N, Hultberg A, Zhao Y, Svensson L, Pan-Hammarstrom Q, Johansen K, Pouwels PH, Ruggeri FM, Hermans P, Frenken L, *et al*: Lactobacilli expressing variable domain of Ilama heavy-chain antibody fragments (lactobodies) confer protection against rotavirus-induced diarrhea. *J Infect Dis* 2006, **194(11)**:1580-1588.
- Kruger C, Hu Y, Pan Q, Marcotte H, Hultberg A, Delwar D, van Dalen PJ, Pouwels PH, Leer RJ, Kelly CG, et al: In situ delivery of passive immunity by lactobacilli producing single-chain antibodies. *Nat Biotechnol* 2002, 20(7):702-706.
- Maynard JA, Maassen CB, Leppla SH, Brasky K, Patterson JL, Iverson BL, Georgiou G: Protection against anthrax toxin by recombinant antibody fragments correlates with antigen affinity. *Nat Biotechnol* 2002, 20(6):597-601.
- Martin MC, Pant N, Ladero V, Gunaydin G, Andersen KK, Alvarez B, Martinez N, Alvarez MA, Hammarstrom L, Marcotte H: Integrative expression system for delivery of antibody fragments by lactobacilli. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011, 77(6):2174-2179.
- Turner MS, Hafner LM, Walsh T, Giffard PM: Identification and characterization of the novel LysM domain-containing surface protein Sep from Lactobacillus fermentum BR11 and its use as a peptide fusion partner in Lactobacillus and Lactococcus. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2004, 70(6):3673-3680.
- Marcotte H, Ferrari S, Cesena C, Hammarstrom L, Morelli L, Pozzi G, Oggioni MR: The aggregation-promoting factor of Lactobacillus crispatus M247 and its genetic locus. J Appl Microbiol 2004, 97(4):749-756.
- Miller BR, Demarest SJ, Lugovskoy A, Huang F, Wu X, Snyder WB, Croner LJ, Wang N, Amatucci A, Michaelson JS, et al: Stability engineering of scFvs for the development of bispecific and multivalent antibodies. Protein Eng Des Sel 2010, 23(7):549-557.
- Worn A, Pluckthun A: Stability engineering of antibody single-chain Fv fragments. J Mol Biol 2001, 305(5):989-1010.
- Mohamadzadeh M, Duong T, Sandwick SJ, Hoover T, Klaenhammer TR: Dendritic cell targeting of Bacillus anthracis protective antigen expressed by Lactobacillus acidophilus protects mice from lethal challenge. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106(11):4331-4336.
- Hussack G, Arbabi-Ghahroudi M, van Faassen H, Songer JG, Ng KK, MacKenzie R, Tanha J: Neutralization of Clostridium difficile toxin A with single-domain antibodies targeting the cell receptor binding domain. J Biol Chem 2011, 286(11):8961-8976.
- Acedo-Felix E, Perez-Martinez G: Significant differences between Lactobacillus casei subsp. casei ATCC 393T and a commonly used plasmid-cured derivative revealed by a polyphasic study. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2003, 53(Pt 1):67-75.
- Marcotte H, Koll-Klais P, Hultberg A, Zhao Y, Gmur R, Mandar R, Mikelsaar M, Hammarstrom L: Expression of single-chain antibody against RgpA protease of Porphyromonas gingivalis in Lactobacillus. J Appl Microbiol 2006, 100(2):256-263.

- Perez-Arellano I, Zuniga M, Perez-Martinez G: Construction of compatible wide-host-range shuttle vectors for lactic acid bacteria and Escherichia coli. *Plasmid* 2001, 46(2):106-116.
- Schmiedl A, Breitling F, Winter CH, Queitsch I, Dubel S: Effects of unpaired cysteines on yield, solubility and activity of different recombinant antibody constructs expressed in E. coli. *J Immunol Methods* 2000, 242(1-2):101-114.
- Singh Y, Leppla SH, Bhatnagar R, Friedlander AM: Internalization and processing of Bacillus anthracis lethal toxin by toxin-sensitive and -resistant cells. J Biol Chem 1989, 264(19):11099-11102.
- Hanna PC, Kochi S, Collier RJ: Biochemical and physiological changes induced by anthrax lethal toxin in J774 macrophage-like cells. *Mol Biol Cell* 1992, 3(11):1269-1277.
- Mosmann T: Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods 1983, 65(1-2):55-63.
- Møretrø T, Hagen BF, Axelsson L: A new, completely defined medium for meat lactobacilli. Journal of Applied Microbiology 1998, 85:715-722.

doi:10.1186/1472-6750-11-126

Cite this article as: Andersen *et al.*: *In situ* gastrointestinal protection against anthrax edema toxin by single-chain antibody fragment producing lactobacilli. *BMC Biotechnology* 2011 **11**:126.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

() BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit