



In situ Generated Ru(0)-HRO@Na-β From Hydrous Ruthenium Oxide (HRO)/Na-β: An Energy-Efficient Catalyst for Selective Hydrogenation of Sugars

Sreedhar Gundekari^{1,2,3}, Heena Desai¹, Krishnan Ravi^{1,2}, Joyee Mitra^{1,2} and Kannan Srinivasan^{1,2*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Georgios Papadogianakis, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Reviewed by:

Qiying Liu, Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion (CAS), China Venugopal Akula, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (CSIR), India Jyri-Pekka Tuomo Mikkola, Åbo Akademi University, Finland Narendra Kumar, Åbo Akademi University, Finland

*Correspondence:

Kannan Srinivasan skannan@csmcri.res.in; kanhem1@yahoo.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Catalysis and Photocatalysis, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 10 January 2020 Accepted: 13 October 2020 Published: 25 November 2020

Citation:

Gundekari S, Desai H, Ravi K, Mitra J and Srinivasan K (2020) In situ Generated Ru(0)-HRO@Na-β From Hydrous Ruthenium Oxide (HRO)/Na-β: An Energy-Efficient Catalyst for Selective Hydrogenation of Sugars. Front. Chem. 8:525277. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2020.525277 ¹ Inorganic Materials and Catalysis Division, CSIR-Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Bhavnagar, India, ² Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)-Central Salt and Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Bhavnagar, India, ³ Thermo-Chemical Conversion Division, Sardar Patel Renewable Energy Research Institute (SPRERI), Anand, India

A green process for the hydrogenation of sugars to sugar alcohols was designed in aqueous medium using hydrous ruthenium oxide (HRO) as a pre-catalyst supported on Na- β zeolite. Under optimized reaction conditions, sugars such as xylose, glucose, and mannose converted completely to the corresponding sugar alcohols xylitol, sorbitol, and mannitol with 100% selectivity. The pre-catalyst (HRO) is converted *in situ* to active Ru(0) species during the reaction under H₂, which is responsible for the hydrogenation. The catalyst was recyclable up to five cycles with no loss in activity. The reduction of HRO to the active Ru(0) species is dependent on the reaction temperature and H₂ pressure. Ru(0) formation increased and consequently an increased hydrogenation of sugars was observed with an increase in reaction temperature and hydrogen pressure. Further, *in situ* generation of Ru(0) from HRO was assessed in different solvents such as water, methanol, and tetrahydrofuran; aqueous medium was found to be the most efficient in reducing HRO. This work further demonstrates the use of supported HRO as an efficient pre-catalyst for biomass-based hydrogenation reactions.

Keywords: sugars, sugar alcohol, hydrogenation, hydrous ruthenium oxide, in situ reduction, recyclable catalyst

INTRODUCTION

Lignocellulosic biomass is an important raw material for the production of fuels, polymer, and chemical intermediates. Biomass is renewable, unlike fossil resources, and its conversion maintains the CO₂ level in the atmosphere. Their usage is also beneficial to the rural economy (Werpy et al., 2004; Climent et al., 2011b; Serrano-Ruiz et al., 2011; Vennestrøm et al., 2011; Melero et al., 2012). The Department of Energy (DOE) and universities of Europe listed important building blocks from such lignocellulosic biomass that includes polyols in addition to carboxylic acids, phenolic, and furan compounds (Lange et al., 2012; Kelkar et al., 2014; Sheldon, 2014; Teong et al., 2014). Sugars derived from cellulose and hemicellulose of lignocellulosic biomass are used for the preparation of sugar alcohols by selective hydrogenation, and several industries worldwide are interested in this conversion. The global consumption of sugar alcohols is estimated to be 1.6 million metric tons in

1

2017 and is projected to reach 1.9 million metric tons by 2022 at a CAGR of 3.4% (Climent et al., 2011a; Luterbacher et al., 2014).

Sugar alcohols such as sorbitol, xylitol, and mannitol are commonly available low-calorie sweeteners and are used in various industries like food, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals. The sugar alcohols are non-toxic, non-carcinogenic, and nonhygroscopic. Thus, these could be safely consumed by diabetic patients (Grembecka, 2015). Moreover, sorbitol is the starting material for the production of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) and also is a precursor for hexane fuel (Corma et al., 2007; Alonso et al., 2012). Aside from their widespread use as sweeteners, the sorbitol-derived isosorbide and anhydro-sugars are industrially relevant as precursors for the preparation of PET like polymers such as polyethylene isosorbide terephthalates. The xylitolderived xylaric, xylonic acid, and the mixture of hydroxyl furans can open up new opportunities in polymer preparation. The specific hydrogenolysis (C-C and C-O) of sorbitol and xylitol results in polyols like propylene glycol, ethylene glycol, and glycerol (Supplementary Figure 1). Controlled hydrogenolysis of sugar alcohols results in lactic acid, which is largely used in polylactate production (Bozell and Petersen, 2010; Gallezot, 2012; Kobayashi and Fukuoka, 2013; Isikgor and Becer, 2015; Zada et al., 2017).

The hydrogenation of sugars to sugar alcohols has been extensively studied with homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts, among which heterogeneous Ni- and Ru-based catalysts are found to be more effective (Corma et al., 2007; Alonso et al., 2012; Chatterjee et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Zada et al., 2017). This hydrogenation is industrially practiced mainly with a Raney[®] Ni catalyst under aqueous basic medium and encounters a problem of Ni leaching. Many elements have been incorporated in Raney[®] Ni to improve the stability and enhance the catalytic activity (Wisniak et al., 1974; Chao and Huibers, 1982). Mo-, P-, Cr-, and Fe-promoted Raney® Ni catalyst showed lesser deactivation for the conversion of glucose to sorbitol and xylose to xylitol (Li et al., 2000; Mikkola et al., 2000; Kusserow et al., 2003). Ni-B/SiO2 amorphous catalyst (prepared by chemical reduction with KBH₄) rendered a good conversion of glucose as compared to conventional Raney[®] Ni (Li et al., 2002). Morales et al. discussed a mixed metal oxide catalyst $La_{1-x}Ce_xAl_{0.18}Ni_{0.82}O_3$ (x = 0.0, 0.1, 0.5, 0.7) for xylose-to-xylitol conversion at 100°C, 25 bar H₂ for 5h and achieved 100% conversion with moderate selectivity (Morales et al., 2016).

To counter the leaching issues with Ni catalysts, Ru-based catalysts have also been employed for this hydrogenation. The supported Ru catalysts show good catalytic activity, product selectivity, and stability as compared with Ni-based catalysts. Guo et al. reported an ultrafine Ru-B amorphous alloy catalyst for the conversion of glucose to sorbitol. This catalyst was shown to be more active than crystallized Ru-B and Ru powder catalysts (Guo et al., 2003). Ru catalysts employed mainly two supports [i.e., carbon (different forms: activated, foam, and nanotubes) and γ -Al₂O₃ under batch and continuous modes at 110–130°C and 20–40 bar H₂ to yield 95–98% of the desired sugar alcohols (Arena, 1992; Hoffer et al., 2003; Eisenbeis et al., 2009; Sifontes Herrera et al., 2011; Aho et al., 2015; Pham et al., 2016)].

A Ru/NiO-TiO₂ catalyst reported by Hwang's group resulted in 96% conversion of glucose with 98% selectivity of sorbitol at 120°C, 55 bar H₂ for 2 h. Complete conversion of mannose with >90% selectivity of mannitol in 4 h and >99% conversion of xylose with >99% selectivity for xylitol in 2 h was also reported (Mishra et al., 2012; Yadav et al., 2012; Mishra and Hwang, 2013). The same research group reported Ru/H-Y zeolite (prepared by NaBH₄ reduction in ethanol under N₂) catalyst for sugar hydrogenation showing 98% conversion of xylose and 98% selectivity for xylitol and >98% selectivity of sorbitol with the quantitative conversion of glucose under 55 bar of H₂ at 120°C for 2-3h (Mishra et al., 2013, 2014). Ru supported on amine functionalized nanoporous polymer (AFPS) was effective in converting glucose to sorbitol with 98% selectivity at 100°C, 55 bar H₂ for 2h (Dabbawala et al., 2016). However, in all these reports, a very high hydrogen pressure was required to enable such high yields.

The Mu group reported Ru/ZSM-5 (obtained from H₂ reduction) as catalyst for hydrogenation of glucose to sorbitol in 2 h with 99% conversion and selectivity at 120°C, under 40 bar H₂ (Guo et al., 2014). Zhang et al. screened several catalysts for glucose hydrogenation to sorbitol, including Ru/MCM-41, Pd/C, Ru/C, and Raney[®] Ni. Among these, the Ru/MCM-41 (obtained from formaldehyde reduction process) catalyst showed highest catalytic activity (complete conversion with >80% selectivity) at 120°C, 30 bar H₂ for 2 h. However, a decrease in the catalytic activity was observed in subsequent reaction cycles (Zhang et al., 2011). The Shiju group employed Ru on TiO₂ (calcined at 800–900°C) for the complete conversion of xylose to xylitol with 98% yield at 120°C and 20 bar H₂ (Hernandez-Mejia et al., 2016).

Generally, the catalysts used for this hydrogenation are reduced metals that require a pre-reduction step before reaction. The step involves additional energy (electricity, H₂, and manpower) and time, and is often more energy-intensive compared to the catalytic reaction. To minimize the energy requirements for the synthesis of active catalysts used in hydrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation reactions, our group has been working on the in situ generated catalysts. Hydrous ruthenium oxide (HRO) is one such efficient pre-catalyst wherein the catalytically active Ru(0) species is generated in situ under mild reaction conditions in an aqueous medium that drives the reaction (Gundekari and Srinivasan, 2019). In the present work, HRO is discussed as the pre-catalyst for the hydrogenation of sugar to sugar alcohols. A comparison of the performance of our in situ generated catalyst with the reported catalytic systems have been summarized in the Supplementary Table 1.

Abbreviations: HRO, Hydrous ruthenium oxide; Ru-HRO, *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO (general denotation); Ru-HRO-1, *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO (after 1st cycle using HRO catalyst precursor); Ru-HRO-2, *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO (after 2nd cycle using Ru-HRO-1); HRO/Na- β , HRO supported Na- β (catalyst precursor); Ru-HRO@Na- β . *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO on Na- β (general denotation); Ru-HRO@Na- β -1, *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO on Na- β (after 1st cycle using HRO/Na- β catalyst precursor); Ru-HRO@Na- β -2, *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO on Na- β (after 1st cycle using HRO/Na- β catalyst precursor); Ru-HRO@Na- β -2, *In situ* generated Ru along with HRO on Na- β (after 2nd cycle using Ru-HRO@Na- β -1); Ru-RuO_2-1, *In situ* generated Ru along with RO on Na- β (after 2nd cycle using Ru-HRO@Na- β -1); Ru-RuO_2-1, *In situ* generated Ru along with RUO₂ (after 1st cycle using RuO₂ catalyst precursor).

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and Methods

Sorbitol (\geq 98%), xylitol (\geq 99%), mannose (99%), and RuCl₃.xH₂O were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Xylose (98%), mannitol (99%), and RuO₂ were procured from Alfa Aesar. The Na- β zeolite was purchased from Zeochem, Switzerland. Glucose, metal salts, and hydrogen (>99.99% purity) were purchased from local vendors in India.

Catalyst Preparation

Hydrous Ruthenium Oxide (HRO)

HRO catalyst was prepared by a simple precipitation method: a solution 0.001 M of RuCl₃ was added to the appropriate amount of CaCO₃ aqueous solution and allowed to stand for 1 h without any stirring and heating. pH 7–8 was maintained during the reaction. The obtained precipitate was washed several times with water for the removal of chloride ions (confirmed with AgNO₃ solution) and dried for 3 h at 100°C.

HRO/Na-β

HRO supported on Na- β zeolite was prepared by simultaneous precipitation of HRO and its impregnation on Na- β zeolite. The 0.001 M of RuCl₃ solution is mixed with the appropriate amount of aqueous CaCO₃ solution and the desired amount of zeolite, with pH maintained at ~7–8. The resulting mixture was stirred up to 12 h at room temperature; the obtained precipitate was washed with water and dried for 3 h at 100°C.

Procedure for Catalytic Hydrogenation of Sugars

The reactions were carried out in a stainless steel (SS-316) highpressure 100-ml reactor (Amar Equipment PVT. LTD. India), equipped with an electrically heated jacket with a mechanical stirrer. The reactor was loaded with the catalyst and the substrate (sugars) dissolved in water, purged with N₂ three times before pressurizing with a fixed amount of H₂, and the reaction was carried out at desired temperatures and time duration. After completion of the reaction, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and the excess H₂ was released. The catalyst was separated by simple centrifugation and used for the next cycle without any pretreatment.

Product Analysis

The quantitative analysis of product mixture was done by using the Shimadzu Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC) system equipped with low-temperature evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD-LTII) using a Supelcogel-610H column. The mobile phase was distilled H_2O with a flow rate of 0.5 ml min⁻¹, and the column oven was set at 40°C.

Catalyst Characterization

PXRD measurement was carried out in a Philips X'Pert MPD system using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å). The operating voltage and current were 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively. A step size of 0.04° with a step time of 2 s was used for data collection. The data were processed using the Philips X'Pert (version 2.2e)

software. Identification of the crystalline phases was made by comparison with the JCPDS files.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out in Mettler-Toledo (TGA/SDTA 851^e) and the data were processed using Star^e software, in air at a flow rate of 60 ml/min and at a heating rate of 10° C/min in the temperature range $50-900^{\circ}$ C.

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained with a JEOL JEM-2100 microscope with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV using carbon-coated 200 mesh copper/gold grids. The samples were ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol for 5 min and deposited onto carbon film using capillary and dried in air for 30 min.

The surface morphology studies were done with a scanning electron microscope (JEOL series JSM-7100F) equipped with Oxford instruments energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX) facility. The samples were coated with gold using sputter coating before analysis to avoid charging effects during recording. Analyses were carried out with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a working distance of 10 mm, with magnification values in-between $500 \times \text{ and } 15,000 \times$.

The acidity of HRO/Na- β was analyzed through pyridine adsorption and monitored using Fourier-transformed infrared (py-FTIR) spectroscopic technique. For py-FTIR analysis, the sample was initially oven-dried at 100°C for 3 h. To the ovendried sample (50 mg), 0.1 ml of pyridine was admixed directly. The physisorbed pyridine present in the sample was dried in the oven at 120°C for 1 h to remove it. Further, the sample is cooled to room temperature, the spectra were recorded with a nominal resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ in the spectral range of 400–4,000 cm⁻¹ using a KBr background, and 15 scans were accumulated for spectrum.

Elemental chemical analyses of the samples were determined using inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICPOES; Perkin Elmer, OES, Optical 2000 DV). The samples were digested in a minimum amount of concentrated HNO₃ and H_2SO_4 further diluted using milli Q water <10 ppm and analyzed.

Specific surface area and pore size analysis of the samples were measured by nitrogen adsorption at -196° C using a sorptometer (ASAP-2020, Micromeritics). The samples were degassed under vacuum at 80°C for 90 min prior to measurements in order to expel the interlayer water molecules. The BET-specific surface area was calculated by using the standard Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller method on the basis of adsorption data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Studies of Catalyst Screening and Reaction Optimization Parameters for Hydrogenation of Xylose to Xylitol

HRO pre-catalyst was synthesized to demonstrate selective hydrogenation of sugars (xylose, glucose, and mannose) to sugar alcohols (xylitol, sorbitol, and mannitol) catalyzed by *in situ* generated Ru(0) active species. An initial blank experiment on xylose hydrogenation was conducted at 120° C, 30 bar H₂ for 1 h, in absence of catalyst; no reaction was noted (**Table 1**, entry 1).

TABLE 1 | Catalyst screening and optimization reaction condition for the hydrogenation of xylose to xylitol^a.



Entry	Catalyst/Pre- catalyst	Catalyst/Pre-catalyst (mg)	Temp (°C)	Pressure in bar (H ₂)	Time (min)	Conv. (%)
1	Blank	-	120	30	60	n.o
2	5% Ru/C	50	120	30	60	99
3	HRO/Na-β	50	120	30	60	100
4	HRO/Na-β	50	100	30	60	100
5	HRO/Na-β	50	80	30	60	100
6	HRO/Na-β	50	60	30	60	80
7	HRO/Na-β	50	80	20	60	100
8	HRO/Na-β	50	80	10	60	60
9	HRO/Na-β	50	80	20	30	100
10	HRO	2.5	80	20	30	85
11	RuO ₂	2.5	80	20	30	30
12 ^b	HRO	2.5	80	20	30	8
13	Ru-HRO-1	2.5	80	20	30	84
14 ^c	HRO/Na-β	250	80	50	100	>99
15 ^d	HRO/Na-β	500	80	50	68	99
16 ^e	HRO/Na-β	1000 (1 g)	80	50	47	99
17 ^e	Ru-HRO@Na-β ^f	950	80	50	26	99

^aReaction conditions: 1 g of xylose in 40 ml of H₂O, 50 mg of HRO/Na-β pre-catalyst (5 wt% of Ru), 60–120°C, 10–30 bar H₂, 30–60 min.

^b1 g of xylose in 40 ml of methanol.

 $^{c}5$ g of xylose in 40 ml of H₂O.

^d 10 g of xylose in 40 ml of H_2O .

^e15 a of xvlose in 40 ml of H₂O.

^fRecovered catalyst from entry 16; n.o, Not observed.

During catalyst screening, 5% Ru/C showed 100% conversion of xylose with 99% yield of xylitol (**Table 1**, entry 2). Then, we checked the catalytic efficiency of our Na- β zeolite supported HRO material (HRO/Na- β) and it showed conversion and yield similar to 5% Ru/C catalyst (**Table 1**, entry 3). The energyefficient preparation of *in situ* generated Ru-HRO@Na- β catalyst (explained in the catalyst characterization) from HRO/Na- β during reaction was interesting to us. Hence, we have explored this material for the hydrogenation of various sugars to sugar alcohols. In all the hydrogenation reactions, we have observed 100% selectivity of the desired sugar alcohols, and thus only the conversions (%) of reactants (sugars) are mentioned in the subsequent sections.

Reaction parameters such as temperature, hydrogen pressure, and reaction time were varied using HRO/Na- β as the catalyst precursor in order to identify a mild reaction condition for the hydrogenation of sugars. Xylose hydrogenation is studied for optimization of reaction conditions. The temperature was decreased from 120 to 60°C by steps of 20°C at 30 bar H₂ for 1 h using 5 wt% of HRO/Na- β (50 mg) (**Table 1**, entries 3–6). Complete conversion of xylose was observed at 120, 100,

and 80°C, and a decreased conversion to 80% was observed on further reducing the temperature to 60°C. Thus, the temperature was fixed at 80°C for subsequent reactions. H₂ pressure was varied from 30 to 10 bar by a factor of 10 at 80°C for 1 h, and it was observed that 20 bar H₂ was sufficient for the complete conversion of xylose. Ten bar H₂ showed a decrease in conversion of xylose to 77%; thus, the H₂ pressure was fixed at 20 bar (**Table 1**, entries 5, 7, and 8). After having optimized the temperature (80°C) and pressure (20 bar H₂), the reaction time was decreased from 60 to 30 min. Complete conversion of xylose to xylitol was observed even after 30 min of reaction (**Table 1**, entry 7 and 9).

The xylose hydrogenation was also conducted with unsupported HRO at optimized reaction conditions. After the reaction, the obtained material, named Ru-HRO-1 [HRO is not completely converted to Ru(0)], showed 85% conversion of xylose (**Table 1**, entry 10). The supported HRO on Na- β -zeolite (HRO/Na- β) showed 100% conversion, implying that the support is playing a positive role to improve the catalytic activity of Ru-HRO-1. Ru(0) sites are well-dispersed in the support and hence easily accessible to the substrate molecules. This was confirmed



from TEM analysis (Figure 8D). In case of un-supported Ru-HRO, Ru particles are agglomerated (Figure 8B), effectively reducing the available active sites and hence conversion observed in this case was less when compared with supported Ru-HRO. In addition, the supported catalyst is easy to remove after the reaction as compared to Ru-HRO-1. Xylose hydrogenation was also conducted with RuO2 and resulted in a decrease in conversion (30%) as compared with the HRO material (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). Under the reaction conditions, the RuO₂ generates a smaller number of Ru(0) active catalytic species compared to HRO, which is demonstrated by PXRD (Figure 1). The PXRD of Ru-HRO-1 (recovered material from Table 1, entry 10) showed a high-intensity peak of Ru(0) at 43°, which was less in Ru-RuO₂-1 (recovered material from Table 1, entry 11). TPR analysis revealed that HRO reduction started at a lower temperature (135°C) compared to RuO₂ (250°C) (Gundekari and Srinivasan, 2019). Using HRO, reaction conducted in the presence of methanol as solvent showed only 8% conversion of xylose with 100% selectivity for xylitol (Table 1, entry 12). Compared to aqueous medium, the organic solvents proved to be less effective for the conversion of HRO to active Ru(0). The detailed explanation of the effect of solvents in the conversion HRO to Ru (0) is mentioned in section Catalyst Characterization. The catalytic activity was successfully demonstrated at a 5-g scale of xylose, and >99% conversion of xylose was achieved without compromising the selectivity of xylitol under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 14). The concentration of xylitol was further increased to 10 g (25 wt%) and 15 g (37.5 wt%) scale, and a decrease in the conversion [i.e., 68 and 47% (Table 1, entry 15 and 16), was observed]. The decrease in the catalytic activity is due to the decrease in the Ru wt% in the catalyst. The product mixture in the case of the 10- and 15g scale turned light green in color from a colorless solution, which is presumably due to the leaching of Ru metal from zeolitic support.

TABLE 2 Optimization of reaction condition for glucose and mannose
hydrogenation to sorbitol and mannitol using HRO/Na-β pre-catalyst ^a .

Temp. (°C)	H ₂ pressure in bar	Time (min)	Conv. (%)
80	20	30	85
100	20	30	92
100	20	45	100
100	20	45	100
	Temp. (°C) 80 100 100 100	Temp. (°C) H ₂ pressure in bar 80 20 100 20 100 20 100 20 100 20	Temp. (°C) H ₂ pressure in bar Time (min) 80 20 30 100 20 30 100 20 45 100 20 45

 a Reaction conditions: 1 g of carbohydrate in 40ml of H_2O, 50mg of HRO/Na- β precatalyst (5 wt%).

^bGlucose.

^cMannose

Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Hydrogenation of C₆-Sugars (Glucose and Mannose) to Sugar Alcohols (Sorbitol and Mannitol) Using HRO/Na-β Pre-catalyst

The optimized reaction condition for the conversion of xylose to xylitol is 80°C, 20 bar H₂ for 30 min. Hydrogenation of glucose was attempted under this reaction condition; 85% conversion was observed for glucose (Table 2, entry 1). The decrease in conversion may be attributed to the difference in the size of the molecule from xylose to glucose. Actually, the Ru particles formed via in situ reduction of HRO are very small (average particle size \sim 1–2 nm; **Figure 8**). Some of the finer Ru particles deposit on the pores (0.67 nm) of β -zeolite support (Hao et al., 2018). The Stokes diameter of xylose is 0.64 nm, which can easily enter β -zeolite pores and interact with the ultrafine Ru particles. Owing to the highly active nature of these Ru particles, the hydrogenation of xylose was accelerated (Sjoman et al., 2007; Roli et al., 2016). The Stokes diameter of glucose is 0.73 nm; it cannot go inside the pores of β -zeolite and does not interact with such ultrafine Ru particles, which may be the reason for the activity difference in xylose and glucose (Sjoman et al., 2007; Roli et al., 2016). To improve the conversion of glucose, the reaction parameters were modified (temperature increased from 80 to 100°C and reaction time enhanced from 30 min to 45 min). Under these reaction conditions (100°C, 20 bar H₂ for 45 min), glucose was completely converted to sorbitol (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). Similar conversion and selectivity were also observed for mannose hydrogenation to mannitol (Table 2, entry 4).

The catalytic activity is dependent on the reaction temperature and pressure (H₂). An increase in these parameters increases the reduction capacity of HRO due to an increased conversion to Ru(0), which is the active species for hydrogenation. Increasing reaction temperature from 100 to 200° C and pressure (H₂) from 20 to 40 bar completed the conversion of glucose to sorbitol within 10 min of time (**Supplementary Table 3**, entries 1–3). Increase in the reduction of HRO on changing the parameters was supported from various physicochemical techniques, and discussed in the catalyst characterization section.

Recyclability of Ru-HRO@Na-β Catalyst for Xylose Conversion to Xylitol

The recyclability of a catalyst is attractive for bulk chemical synthetic industrial applications. After the xylose-to-xylitol

conversion (Supplementary Table 2, entry 1), the catalyst was removed from the product mixture by simple centrifugation, washed with deionized water, and further used for the next cycle under our optimized reaction conditions. The observed catalytic activity was comparable to the fresh catalyst (Supplementary Table 2, entry 2). The same procedure was followed for four more reaction cycles, and similar catalytic activity [i.e., 98-99% conversion of xylose with 100% selectivity (Supplementary Table 2, entries 3-6), was observed]. The ICP analysis showed the leaching of a negligible amount of Ru metal into the aqueous product mixture after these reaction cycles. At a higher concentration of the sugar solution, the recyclability of the catalyst is poor because of significant amount of Ru metal leaching from the support. We observed only 26% of conversion in the second cycle of the 15-g scale where the conversion was 47% in the fresh cycle (Table 1, entries 16 and 17).

Catalyst Characterization

HRO consists of Ru in multiple oxidation states, and the material acts as a pre-catalyst (catalyst precursor). Under hydrogen environment at elevated temperatures, HRO in situ generates Ru(0) nanoparticles, which is the active catalytic species. In situ formation of Ru(0) from HRO is studied by various analytical tools. The PXRD of HRO did not show any diffraction peaks attesting to its amorphous nature. After the reaction (Table 1, entry 10), the obtained material (Ru-HRO-1) showed diffraction peaks at 20 of 38.3, 41.9, 43.7, 58.3, 69.4, and 78.4, corresponding to (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), and (103) planes of the hexagonal close-packed (hcp) Ru metal, respectively (ICDD-JCPDS card No. 06-0663) (Figure 1). The Na-B support shows diffraction peaks at 7.2, 21.4, 22.4, 25.2, 27.0, 28.7, and 29.5, which were characteristic peaks of this zeolite. Similar peaks were observed after impregnation of HRO, which indicate that the HRO impregnation did not affect the crystallinity of the zeolite. Na-ß zeolite support retained its crystallinity even after the reaction. HRO was converted to Ru(0), as was depicted from the new peak at 43°, corresponding to Ru(0) (Figure 2).

A set of reactions were conducted for 10 min in aqueous medium at different temperatures to understand the reduction of HRO (**Figures 3**, **4**). The reduction of HRO to Ru(0) critically depends on the temperature and H₂ pressure. The reduction is dependent on the temperature and H₂ pressure; increasing these parameters increased the reduction efficiency. The PXRD profile of the obtained Ru-HRO-1 material from HRO at different temperatures (50, 100, 150, and 200°C) was monitored in **Figure 3** (the materials denoted as Ru-HRO-1-T50, Ru-HRO-1-T100, Ru-HRO-1-T150, and Ru-HRO-1-T200) which reveals an increase in the intensity of Ru(0) peak with the increase in reaction temperature. When the H₂ pressure was increased from 20 to 40 bar, we observed a similar increase in the intensity of Ru(0) peak (**Figure 4**) and materials are denoted as Ru-HRO-1-P20 and Ru-HRO-1-P40.

TGA of materials are shown in **Figure 5**. The weight loss of HRO (22%) was observed in the temperature range of 50 to















 350° C. The weight loss at the temperature range 50 to $<200^{\circ}$ C is consistent with the loss of physisorbed H₂O molecules on the HRO and >200 to 350° C temperature range is attributed to strongly held H₂O molecules in HRO. After TGA, the obtained material is crystalline RuO₂, which is confirmed by PXRD, due to the presence of intense peaks corresponding to 110, 101, 200, 211, 220, 002, 310, 112, 301, and 201 planes of tetragonal RuO₂ (JCPDS card no. 21-1172). This implies that the presence of strongly held H₂O molecules results in the amorphous nature of HRO (Figure 6a). On the other hand, at 200°C (Figure 3), Ru(0) was generated from HRO during the reaction. The material obtained after the reaction showed a weight gain (23%) in TGA gradually from 50 to 900°C. In the presence of air atmosphere, the Ru(0) particles generated in the course of the reaction forms the RuO₂ under TGA conditions, and it was the reason for the observed weight gain (Figure 6b). The experiment also supported the in situ formation of Ru(0) from HRO during the reaction.

Mishra et al. disclosed that mild acidity of support (zeolites) increases the selectivity of sugar hydrogenated product (Mishra



et al., 2014). The py-FTIR of the catalyst precursor (HRO/Na- β) shows a peak between 1,490 and 1,480 cm⁻¹, corresponding to a mixture of Brönsted and Lewis acidic sites. Another peak observed at 1,450–1,435 cm⁻¹ identifies the Lewis acidic sites (**Figure 7**).

The TEM images of Ru in HRO particles showed agglomeration. After the reaction, the obtained material (here, Ru-HRO-2; recovered material from Table 1, entry 13) showed a decrease in agglomeration, which might be due to a cleavage of Ru-O-Ru linkages while forming Ru(0) from HRO (Figures 8A,B). A similar observation was found in SEM analysis also; HRO consists of bulk clusters on the grid, but in the case of Ru-HRO-2 (recovered from Table 1, entry 13), a decrease in the clusters concomitant to an increase in individual particles were observed (Figures 9A,B). SEM-EDX is shown in Supplementary Figures 2A,B. HRO consists of 50% of oxygen and the remaining is Ru. A decrease in the oxygen content was observed for Ru-HRO-2 (recovered material from Table 1, entry 13) up to 29%. The oxygen and water molecules in HRO were removed at elevated temperatures under reductive environment of our reaction conditions resulting in Ru(0). It was also confirmed that the entire HRO is not reduced in a single reaction cycle and the Ru(0) amount increases with successive reaction cycles. The TEM images of HRO supported on Na-ß showed that the HRO clusters were well-dispersed on the support and the Ru-HRO@Na-β-2 (recovered material from Supplementary Table 2, entry 2) showed some divided particles ascribed to Ru(0) along with some clusters attributed to the un-converted HRO (Figures 8C,D).

The BET-specific surface area of the HRO was measured as 94 m²/g. The nitrogen adsorption isotherms for HRO showed a characteristic type-IV isotherm that was attributed to the capillary condensation of pores with H1-type hysteresis according to IUPAC classification (**Figure 10**) (Luxton et al., 2011). After the reaction (**Table 1**, entry 10), the obtained material/*in situ* catalyst Ru-HRO-1 showed 60 m²/g and retained type-IV isotherm with H1-type hysteresis. We presume that the



surface area comes from the existence of HRO in the material. Under the reaction conditions, some of the HRO converts to Ru(0) and thereby reduces the amount of HRO, causing

a decrease in the surface area. The surface area and type-IV isotherm observed after the reaction was due to the unconverted HRO in the Ru-HRO-1 catalyst (**Figure 10**). According to our

FIGURE 9 | SEM image of materials. (A) SEM image of HRO. (B) SEM image of Ru-HRO-2 (Recovered material from Table 1, entry 13).



previous work, HRO has Ru in +6 and +3 oxidation states. The *in situ* generated Ru-HRO catalyst exhibited (0) and +4 oxidation states, which means during the reaction under H₂, the +6 is transformed to +4 and +4 is transformed to (0) (Gundekari and Srinivasan, 2019).

The reduction behavior of HRO was studied by conducting a set of reactions mentioned in Table 3 and materials obtained after the reaction were characterized using PXRD (Figure 11a belongs to HRO). The reduction of HRO to Ru(0) was observed in the presence of H₂O in the medium and H₂ environment (Table 3, entry 1; Figure 11b). Aqueous medium in the absence of H₂ did not promote HRO reduction, indicating that H₂O was not participating the reduction of HRO. Thus, the H₂ consumed for this conversion (HRO to Ru-HRO) is obtained from the molecular H₂ only (Table 3, entry 2; Figure 11c). The reaction conducted with only H₂ in the absence of H₂O and/or any medium showed less Ru(0) in the obtained material as compared with Table 3, entry 1. This result indicates that water facilitates the reaction by increasing the availability of H₂ to HRO (Table 3, entry 3; Figure 11d). In the presence of H₂, other solvents such as methanol and tetrahydrofuran (THF) showed much less conversion of HRO to Ru(0) (Table 3, entries 4 and 5; Figures 11e,f) as compared with aqueous medium and solvent-free conditions (Table 3, entry 3). From the above set of experiments, the suitability of water as the reaction medium for conducting hydrogenation reactions in the presence of HRO as the pre-catalyst was ascertained.

Reaction Mechanism

The proposed mechanism of reduction of sugar alcohols is depicted in **Supplementary Figure 3** considering the reaction conditions employed. Initially, under a hydrogen environment, a certain amount of HRO is converted to Ru(0), which is the active species for the hydrogenation. The hydrogen molecules are adsorbed on the surface of the *in situ* generated Ru(0) and form metal-hydrogen bonds. The sugar molecules adsorbed on the surface and in close proximity of Ru-H bonds undergo hydrogenation of the

TABLE 3 | Reduction behavior of HRO.

Entry	H ₂ source	Solvent	PXRD
1	H ₂	H ₂ O	Figure 11b
2	-	H ₂ O	Figure 11c
3	H_2	-	Figure 11c
4	H_2	THF	Figure 11e
5	H ₂	Methanol	Figure 11f

Reaction conditions: 25 mg of HRO material, 40 ml of solvent, 100°C, 20 bar H₂, 30 min.



carbonyl group of the sugar molecule (HC=O) to alcohol, thereby forming the corresponding sugar alcohol (CH-OH). The alcohols once formed are desorbed from the surface. Subsequently, Ru(0) metal (freshly formed from HRO or used species for hydrogenation) interacts with the available hydrogen and the reaction continues thereafter as indicated above until the complete conversion of sugar molecules to sugar alcohols.

CONCLUSION

We have successfully demonstrated the selective hydrogenation of sugars (xylose, glucose, and mannose) to corresponding sugar alcohols (xylitol, sorbitol, and mannitol) with 100% yields using HRO/Na- β pre-catalyst under optimized reaction conditions (80–100°C, 20 bar H₂, 30–45 min). *In situ* formation of Ru(0) from HRO during the reaction is characterized by several physico-chemical techniques. Control experiments support the idea that the reduction reaction under aqueous condition is efficient and beneficial for the conversion of HRO to Ru(0) as compared to organic solvents such as methanol and THF. The present catalytic method has the advantage of minimizing the energy and H₂ consumption of the overall process by avoiding external reduction (generally used in the conventional catalytic processes). Moreover, the *in situ* generation of catalyst precludes the need for co-catalysts and additives and has good recyclability.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SG: designed and worked for the manuscript. HD, KR, and JM: helped in optimization and characterization studies and proofediting of the manuscript. KS: overall supervision of the work and in writing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Aho, A., Roggan, S., Eranen, K., Salmi, T., and Murzin, D. Y. (2015). Continuous hydrogenation of glucose with ruthenium on carbon nanotube catalysts. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 5, 953–959. doi: 10.1039/C4CY 01088D
- Alonso, D. M., Wettstein, S. G., and Dumesic, J. A. (2012). Bimetallic catalysts for upgrading of biomass to fuels and chemicals. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41, 8075–8098. doi: 10.1039/c2cs35188a
- Arena, B. J. (1992). Deactivation of ruthenium catalysts in continuous glucose hydrogenation. Appl. Catal. A Gen. 87, 219–229. doi: 10.1016/0926-860X(92)80057-J
- Bozell, J. J., and Petersen, G. R. (2010). Technology development for the production of biobased products from biorefinery carbohydrates-the US Department of Energy's "Top 10" revisited. *Green Chem.* 12, 539–554. doi: 10.1039/b922014c
- Chao, J. C., and Huibers, D. T. A. (1982). Catalytic hydrogenation of glucose to produce sorbitol. US Patent No. 4322569
- Chatterjee, C., Pong, F., and Sen, A. (2015). Chemical conversion pathways for carbohydrates. *Green Chem.* 17, 40–71. doi: 10.1039/C4GC01062K
- Climent, M. J., Corma, A., and Iborra, S. (2011a). Converting carbohydrates to bulk chemicals and fine chemicals over heterogeneous catalysts. *Green Chem.* 13, 520–540. doi: 10.1039/c0gc00639d
- Climent, M. J., Corma, A., and Iborra, S. (2011b). Heterogeneous catalysts for the one-pot synthesis of chemicals and fine chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* 111, 1072–1133. doi: 10.1021/cr1002084
- Corma, A., Iborra, S., and Velty, A. (2007). Chemical routes for the transformation of biomass into chemicals. *Chem. Rev.* 107, 2411–2502. doi: 10.1021/cr050989d
- Dabbawala, A. A., Mishra, D. K., and Hwang, J.-S. (2016). Selective hydrogenation of D-glucose using amine functionalized nanoporous polymer supported Ru nanoparticles based catalyst. *Catal. Today* 265, 163–173. doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2015.09.045
- Eisenbeis, C., Guettel, R., Kunz, U., and Turek, T. (2009). Monolith loop reactor for hydrogenation of glucose. *Catal. Today* 147(Suppl), S342–S346. doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2009.07.019
- Gallezot, P. (2012). Conversion of biomass to selected chemical products. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41, 1538–1558. doi: 10.1039/C1CS15147A
- Grembecka, M. (2015). Sugar alcohols—their role in the modern world of sweeteners: a review. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 241, 1–14. doi: 10.1007/s00217-015-2437-7
- Gundekari, S., and Srinivasan, K. (2019). Hydrous ruthenium oxide: a new generation remarkable catalyst precursor for energy efficient and sustainable production of γ -valerolactone from levulinic acid in aqueous medium. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 569, 117–125. doi: 10.1016/j.apcata.2018.10.018
- Guo, H., Li, H., Zhu, J., Ye, W., Qiao, M., and Dai, W. (2003). Liquid phase glucose hydrogenation to d-glucitol over an ultrafine Ru-B amorphous alloy catalyst. J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 200, 213–221. doi: 10.1016/S1381-1169(03)00008-6

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

CSIR-CSMCRI Communication No. 146/2019. SG thanks CSIR, New Delhi, for a Senior Research Fellowship. The authors thank CSIR, New Delhi for financial support under the projects MLP-0028, CSC-0123, and DST, India under IFA-13/CH 129 (DST-INSPIRE Faculty Award). The authors thank the Analytical Division and Centralized Instrumental Facilities of this institute for analytical support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem. 2020.525277/full#supplementary-material

- Guo, X., Wang, X., Guan, J., Chen, X., Qin, Z., Mu, X., et al. (2014). Selective hydrogenation of D-glucose to D-sorbitol over Ru/ZSM-5 catalysts. *Chinese J. Catal.* 35, 733–740. doi: 10.1016/S1872-2067(14)60077-2
- Hao, W., Zhang, W., Guo, Z., Ma, J., and Li, R. (2018). Mesoporous beta zeolite catalysts for benzylation of naphthalene: effect of pore structure and acidity. *Catalysts* 8:504. doi: 10.3390/catal8110504
- Hernandez-Mejia, C., Gnanakumar, E. S., Olivos-Suarez, A., Gascon, J., Greer, H. F., Zhou, W., et al. (2016). Ru/TiO₂-catalysed hydrogenation of xylose: the role of the crystal structure of the support. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 6, 577–582. doi: 10.1039/C5CY01005E
- Hoffer, B. W., Crezee, E., Mooijman, P. R. M., Van Langeveld, A. D., Kapteijn, F., and Moulijn, J. A. (2003). Carbon supported Ru catalysts as promising alternative for Raney(®-type Ni in the selective hydrogenation of d-glucose. *Catal. Today* 79–80, 35–41. doi: 10.1016/S0920-5861(03)00040-3
- Isikgor, F. H., and Becer, C. R. (2015). Lignocellulosic biomass: a sustainable platform for the production of bio-based chemicals and polymers. *Polym. Chem.* 6, 4497–4559. doi: 10.1039/C5PY00263J
- Kelkar, S., Saffron, C. M., Li, Z., Kim, S.-S., Pinnavaia, T. J., Miller, D. J., et al. (2014). Aromatics from biomass pyrolysis vapour using a bifunctional mesoporous catalyst. *Green Chem.* 16, 803–812. doi: 10.1039/C3GC41350K
- Kobayashi, H., and Fukuoka, A. (2013). Synthesis and utilisation of sugar compounds derived from lignocellulosic biomass. *Green Chem.* 15, 1740–1763. doi: 10.1039/c3gc00060e
- Kusserow, B., Schimpf, S., and Claus, P. (2003). Hydrogenation of glucose to sorbitol over nickel and ruthenium catalysts. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 345, 289–299. doi: 10.1002/adsc.200390024
- Lange, J.-P., Van Der Heide, E., Van Buijtenen, J., and Price, R. (2012). Furfural a promising platform for lignocellulosic biofuels. *ChemSusChem* 5, 150–166. doi: 10.1002/cssc.201100648
- Li, H., Li, H., and Deng, J.-F. (2002). Glucose hydrogenation over Ni-B/SiO₂ amorphous alloy catalyst and the promoting effect of metal dopants. *Catal. Today* 74, 53–63. doi: 10.1016/S0920-5861(01)00530-2
- Li, H., Wang, W., and Fa Deng, J. (2000). Glucose hydrogenation to sorbitol over a skeletal Ni-P amorphous alloy catalyst (Raney[®] Ni-P). J. Catal. 191, 257–260. doi: 10.1006/jcat.1999.2792
- Luterbacher, J. S., Alonso, D. M., and Dumesic, J. A. (2014). Targeted chemical upgrading of lignocellulosic biomass to platform molecules. *Green Chem.* 16, 4816–4838. doi: 10.1039/C4GC01160K
- Luxton, T. P., Eick, M. J., and Scheckel, K. G. (2011). Characterization and dissolution properties of ruthenium oxides. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 359, 30–39. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjs.2011.03.075
- Melero, J. A., Iglesias, J., and Garcia, A. (2012). Biomass as renewable feedstock in standard refinery units. Feasibility, opportunities and challenges. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5, 7393–7420. doi: 10.1039/c2ee21231e
- Mikkola, J.-P., Vainio, H., Salmi, T., Sjöholm, R., Ollonqvist, T., and Väyrynen, J. (2000). Deactivation kinetics of Mo-supported Raney[®] Ni catalyst in

the hydrogenation of xylose to xylitol. Appl. Catal. A Gen. 196, 143-155. doi: 10.1016/S0926-860X(99)00453-6

- Mishra, D. K., Dabbawala, A. A., and Hwang, J.-S. (2013). Ruthenium nanoparticles supported on zeolite Y as an efficient catalyst for selective hydrogenation of xylose to xylitol. J. Mol. Catal. A Chemical. 376, 63–70. doi: 10.1016/j.molcata.2013.04.011
- Mishra, D. K., Dabbawala, A. A., Park, J. J., Jhung, S. H., and Hwang, J.-S. (2014). Selective hydrogenation of d-glucose to d-sorbitol over HY zeolite supported ruthenium nanoparticles catalysts. *Catal. Today* 232, 99–107. doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2013.10.018
- Mishra, D. K., and Hwang, J.-S. (2013). Selective hydrogenation of d-mannose to dmannitol using NiO-modified TiO₂ (NiO-TiO₂) supported ruthenium catalyst. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 453, 13–19. doi: 10.1016/j.apcata.2012.11.042
- Mishra, D. K., Lee, J.-M., Chang, J.-S., and Hwang, J.-S. (2012). Liquid phase hydrogenation of d-glucose to d-sorbitol over the catalyst (Ru/NiO–TiO₂) of ruthenium on a NiO-modified TiO₂ support. *Catal. Today* 185, 104–108. doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2011.11.020
- Morales, R., Campos, C. H., Fierro, J. L. G., Fraga, M. A., and Pecchi, G. (2016). Perovskite as nickel catalyst precursor - impact on catalyst stability on xylose aqueous-phase hydrogenation. *RSC Adv.* 6, 67817–67826. doi: 10.1039/C6RA13395A
- Pham, T. N., Samikannu, A., Rautio, A.-R., Juhasz, K. L., Konya, Z., Wärn,å, J., et al. (2016). Catalytic hydrogenation of d-Xylose Over Ru decorated carbon foam catalyst in a SpinChem[®] Rotating Bed Reactor. *Top. Catal.* 59, 1165–1177. doi: 10.1007/s11244-016-0637-4
- Roli, N. F. M., Yussof, H. W., Seman, M. N. A., Saufi, S. M., and Mohammad, A. W. (2016). Separating xylose from glucose using spiral wound nanofiltration membrane: effect of cross-flow parameters on sugar rejection. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 162:012035. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/162/1/012035
- Serrano-Ruiz, J. C., Luque, R., and Sepulveda-Escribano, A. (2011). Transformations of biomass-derived platform molecules: from high added-value chemicals to fuels via aqueous-phase processing. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 40, 5266–5281. doi: 10.1039/c1cs 15131b
- Sheldon, R. A. (2014). Green and sustainable manufacture of chemicals from biomass: state of the art. Green Chem. 16, 950–963. doi: 10.1039/C3GC41935E
- Sifontes Herrera, V. A., Oladele, O., Kordás, K., Eränen, K., Mikkola, J.-P., Murzin, D. Y., et al. (2011). Sugar hydrogenation over a Ru/C catalyst. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 86, 658–668. doi: 10.1002/jctb.2565
- Sjoman, E., Manttari, M., Nystrom, M., Koivikko, H., and Heikkila, H. (2007). Separation of xylose from glucose by nanofiltration from

concentrated monosaccharide solutions. J. Membrane Sci. 292, 106–115. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2007.01.019

- Teong, S. P., Yi, G., and Zhang, Y. (2014). Hydroxymethylfurfural production from bioresources: past, present and future. *Green Chem.* 16, 2015–2026. doi: 10.1039/c3gc42018c
- Vennestrøm, P. N. R., Osmundsen, C. M., Christensen, C. H., and Taarning, E. (2011). Beyond petrochemicals: the renewable chemicals industry. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50, 10502–10509. doi: 10.1002/anie.201102117
- Werpy, T., Petersen, G., Aden, A., Bozell, J., Holladay, J., White, J., et al. (2004). Top value added chemicals from biomass volume I-results of screening for potential candidates from sugars and synthesis gas. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL), and National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) report, Tennessee, USA. doi: 10.2172/15008859
- Wisniak, J., Hershkowitz, M., Leibowitz, R., and Stein, S. (1974). Hydrogenation of xylose to xylitol. Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Develop. 13, 75–79. doi: 10.1021/i360049a015
- Yadav, M., Mishra, D. K., and Hwang, J.-S. (2012). Catalytic hydrogenation of xylose to xylitol using ruthenium catalyst on NiO modified TiO₂ support. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* 425–426, 110–116. doi: 10.1016/j.apcata.2012.03.007
- Zada, B., Chen, M. Y., Chen, C. B., Yan, L., Xu, Q., Li, W. Z., et al. (2017). Recent advances in catalytic production of sugar alcohols and their applications. *Sci. China Chem.* 60, 853–869. doi: 10.1007/s11426-017-9067-1
- Zhang, J., Lin, L., Zhang, J., and Shi, J. (2011). Efficient conversion of Dglucose into D-sorbitol over MCM-41 supported Ru catalyst prepared by a formaldehyde reduction process. *Carbohydr. Res.* 346, 1327–1332. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2011.04.037
- Zhang, X. G., Wilson, K., and Lee, A. F. (2016). Heterogeneously catalyzed hydrothermal processing of C_5 - C_6 sugars. *Chem. Rev.* 116, 12328–12368. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00311

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Gundekari, Desai, Ravi, Mitra and Srinivasan. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.