M. Alminger<sup>1</sup>, A.-M., Aura<sup>2</sup>, T. Bohn<sup>3</sup>, C. Dufour<sup>4, 5</sup>, S.N. El<sup>6</sup>, A. Gomes<sup>7</sup>, S.

3

4

5	Karakaya <sup>6</sup> , M.C. Martínez-Cuesta <sup>8</sup> , G.J. McDougall <sup>9</sup> , T. Requena <sup>8</sup> , C.N. Santos <sup>7, 10*</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of
8	Technology, SE 412 96, Gothenburg, Sweden. marie.alminger@chalmers.se
9	<sup>2</sup> VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, P.O.Box 1000, Tietotie 2, Espoo, FI-
10	02044 VTT, Finland. anna-marja.aura@vtt.fi
11	<sup>3</sup> Environment and Agro-biotechnologies Department, Centre de Recherche Public -
12	Gabriel Lippmann, Luxembourg. bohn@lippmann.lu
13	<sup>4</sup> INRA, UMR408 Safety and Quality of Plant Products, F-84000 Avignon, France.
14	claire.dufour@avignon.inra.fr
15	<sup>5</sup> University of Avignon, UMR408 Safety and Quality of Plant Products, F-84000
16	Avignon, France. claire.dufour@avignon.inra.fr
17	<sup>6</sup> Engineering Faculty Department of Food Engineering, Ege University, 35100 Izmir,
18	Turkey. sibel.karakaya@ege.edu.tr, sedef.el@ege.edu.tr
19	<sup>7</sup> Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnológica, Apartado 12, 2781-901 Oeiras,
20	Portugal. andreiagomes@itqb.unl.pt, csantos@itqb.unl.pt
21	<sup>8</sup> Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias de la Alimentación CIAL (CSIC-UAM), Nicolás
22	Cabrera 9, 28049 Madrid, Spain. carmen.martinez@csic.es , t.requena@csic.es

<sup>\*\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be sent:

Claudia csantos@itqb.unl.pt; phone: Santos. e-mail: 351.214469651; fax: 351.214433644

<sup>9</sup>The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, DD2 5DA, Dundee, United Kingdom.
gordon.mcdougall@hutton.ac.uk

25 <sup>10</sup>Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Av. da

26 República, EAN, 2781-901 Oeiras, Portugal. csantos@itqb.unl.pt

27

28 Running Title: In vitro models for bioaccessibility and digestion studies

29

#### 30 Abstract

There is an increased interest on secondary plant metabolites, such as polyphenols and carotenoids, due to their proposed health benefits. This attention includes their bioavailability, a prerequisite for assigning further physiological functions. As human studies are time-consuming, costly, and restricted by ethical concerns, *in vitro* models for investigating changes of these compounds during digestion have been developed and employed for predicting their release from the food matrix (bioaccessibility) and changes in their profiles prior to absorption.

38 Most typically, models simulate digestion in the oral cavity, the stomach, the small 39 intestine, and, occasionally, the large intestine. A plethora of models have been 40 reported, the choice mostly driven by the type of phytochemical studied, whether the 41 purpose is screening or studying under close physiological conditions, and the 42 availability of the model systems. Unfortunately, the diversity of model conditions has 43 hampered the possibility to compare results across different studies. For example, there 44 is substantial variability in the time of digestion, concentrations of salts, enzymes, and 45 bile acids used, pH, the inclusion of various digestion stages; and whether chosen 46 conditions are static; (with fixed concentrations of enzymes, bile salts, digesta, and so 47 on) or dynamic (varying concentrations of these constituents). This review presents an 48 overview of models that have been employed to study the digestion of both lipophilic

49	and hydrophilic phytochemicals (to compare digestive conditions in vitro and in vivo)
50	and, finally, recommends a set of parameters for both static and dynamic models that
51	resemble physiological conditions.
52	
53	Word Count: 20,061 words
54	
55	Keywords: phytochemicals, carotenoids, polyphenols, gastrointestinal digestion, in
56	vitro models, bioacessibility
57	
58	Abbreviations
59	AM2 – Artificial masticatory advanced machine
60	CVD – Cardiovascular diseases
61	DGM – Dynamic gastric model
62	DNS – Dinitrosalicylic acid color assay
63	EPI – Echo-Planar magnetic resonance Imaging
64	FDA – Food and Drug Administration
65	GI – Gastrointestinal
66	GIT – Gastrointestinal tract
67	HGS – Human gastric simulator
68	HPH – High-pressure homogenization
69	HPLC – High performance liquid chromatography
70	HPP – High-pressure processing
71	IFCC – International Federation of Clinical Chemistry
72	LPH – Lactase phlorizin hydrolase

73	SGLT1 – Sodium-glucose linked transporter
74	SHIME - Simulator of Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem
75	T2D – Type 2 diabetes
76	TIM – TNO Gastro-Intestinal Model
77	
78	List of Chapters
79	-
80	Introduction
81	Parameters that drive the choice of model
82	Overview on parameters affecting the release and chemical changes of lipophilic
83	and hydrophilic phytochemicals during digestion
84	Lipophilic phytochemicals
85	Hydrophilic phytochemicals
86	Before modeling: Considerations with respect to pre -treatments, meal size, and
87	choice of test meals
88	Influence of the plant matrix and food bolus
89	Impact of processing
90	Impact of starting meal size
91	Digestion models for studying phytochemical bioaccessibility - static vs. dynamic
92	models
93	Static models
94	Dynamic models
95	Setting up the model
96	Digestion simulation of the oral cavity
97	Particle size reduction
98	Chemical and biochemical processes
99	The gastric phase of digestion
100	pH
101	Enzymes
102	Oxygen, dietary iron and antioxidant activity of phytochemicals and
103	micronutrients
104	Static models

105	Dynamic models
106	General considerations
107	Digestion in the small intestine
108	pH, enzymes and bile salts
109	Static models
110	Dynamic models
111	General considerations
112	Large intestinal bioconversions
113	Determination of bioaccessible fraction and further coupling techniques following
114	digestion and/or colonic fermentation
115	Estimation of bioaccessibility
116	Bioaccessibility following colonic fermentation
117	Coupling digesta to uptake and transport models of the intestinal epithelium
118	Conclusions and Summary
119	Acknowledgements
120	Conflicts of Interest
121	References
122	Tables
123	Figures
124	
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	Introduction
131	
132	Phytochemicals are a large and structurally diverse group of secondary plant
133	metabolites that are non essential for humans, that is, their non consumption does not
134	cause any specific deficiency symptoms. For the plant, these are also non essential

compounds, but they aid, among others, in fending off herbivores (polyphenols), or
stabilizing photosynthetic pigments (carotenoids). From a chemical point of view,
phytochemicals include very diverse compounds, from the rather polar polyphenols, to
the rather non polar carotenoids, phytosterols, and terpenes.

139 There has been increased interest in phytochemicals as their consumption and body 140 tissue levels have been associated with several health benefits, especially in relation to 141 the prevention of chronic diseases such as diabetes, cancer, cardiovascular diseases 142 (CVD) and neurodegenerative diseases (Krzyzanowska and others 2010). This is 143 especially true for their consumption of whole fruits and vegetables, even though there 144 is controversy about the compounds and mechanisms responsible for the observed 145 health benefits. Nevertheless, a number of prospective studies have related the 146 consumption of phytochemicals, such as of polyphenols and carotenoids, to whole fruits 147 or vegetables with the prevention of chronic diseases (He and others 2007; Carter and 148 others 2010). For example, in various meta-analyses, the consumption of carotenoids 149 and several types of polyphenols such as flavonoids were inversely related to the 150 incidence of CVD (Arts and Hollman 2005; Hamer and Chida 2007).

151 The biological response of the human body to phytochemicals is greatly determined 152 by the bioavailability of these bioactive molecules. The most abundant phytochemicals 153 in our diet are not necessarily those able to result in the highest tissue concentrations or 154 those revealing biological effects, owing to considerable differences in bioavailability 155 (Manach and others 2005). Phytochemical bioavailability depends on a large number of 156 factors and may differ according to the types of compounds studied, their differing 157 associations with the plant matrix, variation in polarity, molecular mass, presence in 158 crystalline or amorphous state, digestion by gastrointestinal enzymes, active vs. passive 159 absorption into the enterocytes, and many more. Among the most important factors

160 determining bioavailability, and a prerequisite for intestinal absorption, is release from 161 the food matrix and solubilization during digestion, also termed bioaccessibility (Parada 162 and Aguilera 2007), which is therefore describing the fraction of a compound 163 potentially available for further uptake and absorption. The amount of any 164 phytochemical released and therefore potentially available for further absorption may 165 differ greatly from its total concentration in the native food matrix. For some 166 compounds that are poorly released and solubilized, such as carotenoids (Bohn 2008), 167 or that are degraded prior to reaching their site of absorption, such as anthocyanins, the 168 portion that is bioaccessible may be below 10% (Minekus 1995; Bouayed and others 2011). Thus, a thorough understanding of changes occurring during digestion (such as 169 170 mechanical action, enzymatic activities, and altered pH) is crucial for the understanding 171 of bioaccessibility and estimating bioavailability and bioactivity, as only bioavailable 172 phytochemicals will exert fully their potential beneficial effects. Because animal and 173 human studies are very lenhtly and costly to conduct, and also have limitations due to 174 ethical considerations, in vitro systems have been developed that enable the prediction 175 of phytochemical changes during oral and gastro-intestinal digestion. This has allowed 176 the screening of comparatively large numbers of samples and/or conditions, studying 177 the separate and combined impacts of each stage of digestion on the release and 178 availability of phytochemicals, which would hardly be possible in vivo.

A major obstacle for the interpretation of phytochemical bioaccessibility based on *in vitro* studies is the large number of models published and presented in the scientific literature since the description of the first model developed for studying iron bioaccessibility (Miller and others 1981). The diversity of models has hampered the comparison of results across studies, and increased the chances of finding contradictory results. The employed models mainly differ in the inclusion of various stages of 185 digestion (oral, gastric, small intestinal, large intestinal); digestion times (typically 186 ranging from a few minutes per stage to up to 3 h); pH; the nature of digestive enzymes 187 involved and concentrations of salts and bile acids. Finally, while most of the models 188 are operated in static conditions, that is with pre-fixed concentrations and volumes of 189 digested materials, enzymes, salts etc. (though during digestion phases are mixed and 190 concentrations may change), there are also a limited number of continuous models that 191 mimic the dynamic changes of the physicochemical conditions (and go along with a 192 more constant change of digested material enzymes, salts etc. during various phases of 193 digestion), and which aim to better simulate the passage of the bolus/digesta through the 194 human digestive tract. However, these models are much more labor- and cost-intensive 195 than the batch models.

The aim of this review is to summarize frequently employed models for studying phytochemical bioaccessibility, to compare conditions to the situation *in vivo*, and to suggest a set of variables and values that appear closest to conditions *in vivo*, in order to contribute to the standardization of *in vitro* models. One of the major differences between the reported models, apart from being static or dynamic, is their application to either hydrophilic or lipophilic compounds (Figure 1).

For practical reasons, this review focuses on 2 major groups of phytochemicals: polyphenols as the major water-soluble phytochemicals and carotenoids, as the major lipid-soluble phytochemicals, aiming to elucidate factors affecting the choices of the appropriate model for each application, in order to simulate *in vivo* conditions to the best of present knowledge. Thus, the review is structured, first, into a discussion of general digestion considerations, then to provide more thorough insights into the individual digestion phases themselves.

#### 210 **Parameters that drive the choice of model**

211

212 There are a number of factors that drive the choice of a model system (Figure 1). The 213 most important is the desired outcome of the study. In some studies, the prime objective 214 is to understand the effect of simulated gastrointestinal digestion on a certain class of 215 phytochemicals (hydrophilic or lipophilic). For a limited selection of samples, in-depth 216 simulation of a dynamic system may be more appropriate as it allows simulation of the 217 effects of multiple digestive parameters on a small number of samples. Larger-scale 218 studies may require screening of the effect of in vitro digestion on multiple samples 219 (such as different source materials or the effects of processing/cooking) and a relatively 220 simple static model may be more appropriate (Figure. 1).

In some cases, the function of *in vitro* digestion is to provide samples that are more physiologically-relevant for further studies on potential bioactivities, as with the preparation of "colon-available" samples for effects on colon cancer models (Brown and others 2012) or the preparation of dietary fiber fractions such as  $\beta$ -glucans (Beer and others 1997).

Of course, there is considerable flexibility in the approaches. Initial hypotheses could be tested in the static models and then extended in dynamic model experiments; and insights gained from dynamic models could be fed back into the design of morephysiologically appropriate screening methods (Figure 1).

230

Overview on parameters affecting the release and chemical changes of lipophilic
and hydrophilic phytochemicals during digestion

234 Digestion of phytochemicals is a complex process, and the bioaccessibility of phytochemicals depends on both the characteristics of the food matrix and the 235 236 conditions encountered in the various compartments of physiological the 237 gastrointestinal tract (including enzyme concentration and pH). Additionally, the 238 physicochemical properties of the phytochemicals themselves are important parameters. 239 For example, the hydrophilicity/lipophilicity balance is crucial in driving the solubilization of hydrophilic phenolic compounds into the aqueous phase of the 240 241 intestinal digesta and the restructuring of lipophilic carotenoids into mixed micelles.

242 Since plant foods are often divers in composition or eaten in conjugation with other 243 foods, food bolus constituents are likely to modulate the bioaccessibility and stability of 244 phytochemicals. This may contribute to the rather small fraction of dietary 245 phytochemicals that is typically absorbed and utilized by humans (Schramm and others 246 2003). Therefore, defining the conditions that influence their absorption can provide 247 significant insights into methods for maximizing the utilization of these sometimes 248 health-promoting constituents. The main food components are proteins, carbohydrates, 249 fiber, and fat, and their interactions with phytochemicals are often not considered. When 250 considering in vitro bioaccessibility studies, chemical reactions (such as 251 oxidation/reduction, complexation), biochemical reactions (enzyme/substrate 252 interaction), or physical constraints (diffusion) occuring within food must be taken into 253 account. For polyphenols, in particular, these types of interactions have rarely been 254 taken into account when determining polyphenol digestion (Ortega and others 2009).

255

256

#### Lipophilic phytochemicals

Although carotenoids are lipophilic compounds and considered as relatively labileunder acidic conditions, no significant chemical modification in the human stomach has

259 been described (Tyssandier and others 2003). Some isomerization was observed in the 260 stomach of ferrets (Boileau and others 1999) and relatively high recoveries of dietary 261 carotenoids (65-91%) have been observed after gastrointestinal in vitro digestion 262 (Granado-Lorencio and others 2007; Failla and others 2009). The digestive stability of 263 carotenoids in different food matrices has been investigated in a dynamic in vitro model 264 simulating the stomach and small intestine (TIM 1) (Blanquet-Diot and others 2009; 265 Déat and others 2009). Zeaxanthin and lutein (xanthophylls) were found to be stable 266 during the whole digestion, whereas lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene (carotenes) were stable in 267 the gastric and duodenal compartments but partly degraded in the jejunal and ileal 268 compartments of the small intestine, perhaps due to delayed release from the matrix and 269 later micellarization at this stage of these carotenes (Blanquet-Diot and others 2009). 270 Although an enhanced release from the matrix can contribute to higher bioaccessibility, 271 the released carotenoids may be more susceptible to degradation and isomerization (Failla and others 2008a). In the study by Blanquet-Diot and others (2009), a 272 273 degradation of β-carotene and all-trans lycopene, which could not be directly linked 274 with the formation of *cis* isomers, was observed in the lowest part of the small intestine. 275 As suggested by the authors, the results might to be due to breakdown to non-detected 276 metabolites (such as oxidation products) or enzyme-catalyzed cleavage products during 277 small intestinal digestion, but no precise data could support this hypothesis. The 278 absorption of lipophilic phytochemicals mainly occurs after the disruption of the food 279 matrix, enabling the release and emulsification into lipid droplets in the stomach, 280 followed by incorporation into mixed micelles. Apart from the food matrix, carotenoid 281 bioavailability may be influenced by the presence of other nutrients and non nutrients 282 within the food. For example, a competition between carotenoids and other fat-soluble 283 nutrients such as vitamin E at the absorption stage has been reported (Faulks and others

284 1998). Differences in location and form will also affect carotenoid release and 285 bioavailability. Carotenoids are usually associated with proteins, for example, lutein in 286 green leafy vegetables is located in chloroplasts, whereas carotenes are found in 287 chloroplasts in oil droplets, such as in fruits or semi-crystalline membrane-bound solids 288 like in carrot, tomato, and papaya (Faulks and others 1998, Schweiggert and others 289 2011).

290 The effect of physicochemical properties on carotenoid bioaccessibility and transport 291 to storage tissues was recently studied by Sy and others (2012a). The efficiency by 292 which pure carotenoids were transferred from dietary lipids into synthetic mixed 293 micelles was assessed using a modified method of the in vitro digestion model 294 developed by Garrett and others (1999). Sy and others (2012a) found that lutein was 295 more readily micellarized than the other carotenoids and especially compared with 296 lycopene, which was the least micellarized carotenoid. The apparent poor solubility and 297 bioaccessibility of lycopene may be due to its elongated shape that could cause the 298 molecule to protrude from the micelles into the surrounding aqueous environment and 299 similar effects could be expected for other lipophilic phytochemicals.

300

301

#### Hydrophilic phytochemicals

302 Phenolic phytochemicals can greatly vary in their chemical structure and properties. 303 ranging from simple molecules (such as phenolic acids) to highly polymerized 304 molecules (proanthocyanidins) (Manach and others 2004). This chemodiversity results 305 in different bioaccessibility. Factors in the bioaccessibility of polyphenols include the 306 release from the food matrix, particle size, the hydrophilic/lipophilic balance as related 307 glycosylation, different pH-dependent transformations (degradation, to their 308 epimerization, hydrolysis and oxidation during gastrointestinal digestion), and also

interactions between polyphenols and food components (Stahl and others 2002; Karakaya 2004). Phenolic compounds can have strong affinities with human salivary proline- and histidine-rich proteins and form both non covalent and covalent associations depending on the size of the phenolic compound (de Freitas and Mateus 2001; Wroblewski and others 2001). High-molecular-weight polyphenols (such as tannins) interact strongly with fibers and proteins, but their affinity is related to their size and their solubility in water.

316 More hydrophobic compounds have stronger binding to proteins (Le Bourvellec and 317 Renard 2011). Laurent and others (2007) investigated the behavior of low molecular 318 weight flavonoids from grape seed extract during in vitro digestion (with  $\alpha$ -amylase 319 from human saliva, porcine pepsin, pancreatin and bile extract), combined with a Caco-320 2 cell model to evaluate the impact of brush border proteins. Their results showed that 321 flavan-3-ol monomers ((+)-catechin and (-)-epicatechin) and procyanidin dimers (B2 and B3) were stable during oral and gastric digestion but those interactions with 322 323 proteins occurred during the intestinal step with pancreatic digestion, and in the 324 presence of brush border cell proteins. Simulated digestion of anthocyanins from, for 325 example, red berries, red wine, and red cabbage have shown that these compounds 326 appear to be stable at the acidic conditions of the stomach but less stable at the small 327 intestinal pH (Gil-Izquierdo and others 2002; McDougall and others 2005a, 2007). The 328 total recovery of anthocyanins from red cabbage was low (around 25%), possibly due to 329 degradation into new phenolic components by the combination of the elevated pH and 330 the presence of oxygen during pancreatic digestion (McDougall and others 2007). As 331 recently shown in the investigation by Oidtmann and others (2012), a possible mean to 332 enhance the stability and protect anthocyanins from degradation in the small intestine

might be to use encapsulation techniques, such as microcapsule systems composed ofpolysaccharide pectin amide with or without shellac coating or whey proteins.

335 In summary, the digestive stability of carotenoids depends on the molecular nature 336 and the food matrix in which they are included, with xanthophylls being more stable 337 than carotenes. The absorption of carotenoids depends on an efficient release from the 338 food matrix and subsequent solubilization in mixed micelles. By contrast, no 339 micellarization is required prior to cellular uptake for phenolic compounds, and, thus, 340 there are possibly fewer possibilities for impacting bioaccessibility such as by varying 341 enzyme concentrations; however, some constituents such as anthocyanins may be 342 rapidly degraded due to increasing pH (McDougall and others 2007). The affinity of 343 polyphenols for proteins (Dangles and Dufour 2005, 2008) may lead to a major 344 modulation of both polyphenol absorption and reactivity in the stomach and in the upper 345 intestine.

346

# 347 Before modeling: Considerations with respect to pre treatments, meal size, and 348 choice of test meals

349

Food composition, how it is processed and the interaction of phytochemicals with other food components (be they lipophilic or hydrophilic), may modify the amount of phytochemicals released from the food matrix and, therefore, potentially increase or decrease their bioaccessibility.

354

#### Influence of the plant matrix and food bolus

355 Plant cell walls acts as a barrier to digestion (Ellis and others 2004; Mandalari and 356 others 2010). When a plant cell is broken through mastication or crushing in industrial 357 or domestic processing, phytochemicals may associate with dietary fibers leading to a 358 modulation of their relative bioaccessibilities. In a recent study, comparing the stability 359 and bioaccessibility of carotenoids in pure forms (synthetic  $\beta$ -carotene or retinyl 360 palmitate solution) or from food (carrot juice and raw or cooked spinach), Courraud and 361 others (2013) demonstrated the protective effect of the food matrix on dietary 362 carotenoids. Their results showed that vitamin A and carotenoid standards (synthetic β-363 carotene or retinyl palmitate solution) were unstable, whereas food carotenoids were 364 generally better protected by the food matrix (30-100% recovery versus 7-30% for 365 standards). Although the susceptibility of carotenoids to degradation and isomerization 366 has been found to increase after their release from the food matrix (Failla and others 367 2008b), interactions with other compounds released from the food matrix (including 368 soluble fibers) and viscosity may affect their bioaccessibility (McClements and others 369 2008; Schweiggert and others 2012). For example, the bioaccessibility of  $\beta$ -carotene is 370 known to be influenced by strong binding to pectins (Ornelas-Paz and others 2008).

371 Dietary fibers are the main carriers for phenolic compounds and thus influence their 372 bioaccessibility, as fiber-entrapped polyphenols are both poorly extractable and barely 373 soluble in the GI fluids. High-molecular-weight proanthocyanidins and hydrolyzable 374 tannins which represent more than 75% of all food polyphenols ingested (Arranz and 375 others 2010) may bind tightly to dietary fibers and this restricts their accessibility. 376 Soluble and insoluble polysaccharides can bind phenolic compounds and limit their 377 diffusion, they increase the medium viscosity, and limit substrate-enzyme contacts 378 during GI digestion (Eastwood and Morris 1992). During the in vitro digestion of cocoa 379 powder, protease and glycosidase actions as well as gut microflora activity were shown 380 to take part in the release of flavanols from matrix fibers and proteins (Fogliano and 381 others 2011). Additionally, the extractability of phenolic acids, flavonoids, and 382 proanthocyanidins appeared to be improved in the presence of fat, increasing by a 1.5-3

factor for cocoa liquor (50% fat content) compared to cocoa powder (15% fat content)
(Ortega and others 2009).

385 The affinity of milk and egg proteins as well as gelatins for polyphenols depends on 386 both the protein and phenolic structures (Bohin and others 2012). For example, 387 chlorogenic acid associates with milk caseins rather than with β-lactoglobulin and this 388 complexation was relatively stable in simulated gastric and intestinal steps (Dupas and 389 others 2006). Despite these interactions, chlorogenic acid absorption by Caco-2 cells 390 and rats was not reduced by milk addition to coffee. In tea, more than 60% of green tea 391 flavanols (such as ECG, EGC, and EGCG), which are very prone to oxidation, 392 disappeared in the intestinal phase during in vitro digestion (Haratifar and Corredig 393 2014). A protective effect was caused by the addition of pure ascorbic acid, by citrus 394 juices as well as by bovine, rice, and soy milks. While ascorbic acid contribution 395 reflects its superior antioxidant capacity compared to tea flavanols, the protection by 396 proteins was partially reversed by increasing the content of digestive enzymes, 397 suggesting non covalent interactions between bovine milk proteins and galloylated tea 398 flavanols (Green and others 2007).

399 Soy isoflavones appear to be more bioaccessible from fruit juices and chocolate bars 400 compared to cookies in *in vitro* conditions, perhaps due to their lower diffusion rate 401 from the carbohydrate/protein matrix of the cookies (de Pascual-Teresa and others 402 2006). However, a complementary human intervention study did not point out any 403 significant difference in the bioavailability parameters (AUC,  $t_{max}$  or  $c_{max}$ ) of these 404 isoflavones. Similarly, the *in vitro* biacessibility of catechin recoveries was significantly 405 higher in beverages than in confections (Neilson and others 2009). Higher amounts of 406 isoflavones were also released *in vitro* from custards thickened with starch rather than 407 with carboxymethylcellulose (Sanz and Luyten 2006). This effect was attributed to the

408 hydrolysis of starch by  $\alpha$ -amylase which occurs from the mouth to the intestine. Finally, 409 bile salts improved the *in vitro* bioaccessibility of isoflavone aglycones from soy bread 410 through micellarization of these poorly-soluble molecules concentrations appeared to be 411 a critical factor in the bioaccessibility of isoflavones from soy bread (Walsh and others 412 2003).

413

### 414 Impact of processing

415 Previous studies (Garrett and others 1999) have indicated that food processing and 416 dietary fat can enhance carotenoid bioaccessibility. However, it is notablethat only a little proportion of carotenoids (5-25%) is efficiently liberated from the food matrix. 417 418 Cooking and heat treatment may enhance carotenoid bioaccessibility due to disruption 419 of plant tissue and denaturation of carotenoid-protein complexes which enhance release 420 from the food matrix (Veda and others 2006; Failla and others 2009; Aherne and others 421 2010). However, cooking enhanced the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of all-trans 422 β-carotenes but also caused carotenoid isomerization (Aherne and others 2010).

423 There are many reports describing that thermal processing improves lycopene 424 bioaccessibility due to the breakdown of the tomato matrix (Gartner and others 1997; 425 Porrini and others 1998; Van Het Hof and others 2000). However, depending on the 426 processing methods, differences in lycopene bioaccessibility have been reported. 427 Yilmaz and Karakaya (2007) reported that lycopene bioaccessibility in raw tomato 428 (29%) and canned tomato were similar (22%). On the other hand, bioaccessibility of 429 lycopene from sun-dried tomatoes reached 58% (Yilmaz and Karakaya (2007). High-430 pressure homogenization (HPH) and HPH combined with heat processing (90 °C for 30 431 min) caused a decrease in the in vitro bioaccessibility of lycopene. In addition, an 432 inverse relationship between the homogenization pressure and lycopene in vitro

bioaccessibility was reported (Colle and others 2010). It was suggested that the fiber network formed by HPH entrapped lycopene, making it less accessible for digestive enzymes and bile salts. High-pressure processing (HPP), however, had no effect on  $\alpha$ carotene and  $\beta$ -carotene bioaccessibility in carrots. Lutein bioaccessibility in green beans was increased by pressure treatment at 600 MPa (p<0.05), whereas  $\beta$ -carotene bioaccessibility was reduced by HPP at both 400 or 600 MPa (McInerney and others 2007), which suggests effects due to the matrix and compound structure.

440 In wheat bran, ferulic acid and para-coumaric acid are mostly bound to 441 arabinoxylans and lignin and are thus insoluble, whereas sinapic acid is mainly found in 442 soluble conjugate forms esterified to sugars and other compounds. It was reported that 443 the bioaccessibility of sinapic acid from bran-rich breads was much higher than that of 444 ferulic acid and para-coumaric acid (Hemery and others 2010). Food processing, 445 especially grinding of the bran fractions, increased the bioaccessibility of phenolic 446 acids. (Hemery and others 2010). This increase in bioaccessibility was correlated to the 447 presence of very small particles (diameter  $< 20 \ \mu m$ ) for sinapic acid and ferulic acid and 448 that of larger particles for *para*-coumaric acid (between 20 and 100 µm). Additionally 449 to particle size reduction, exogenous ferulase and xylanase treatments contributed to the 450 pool of free and exposed ferulic acid residues as demonstrated by the increased 451 antioxidant capacity displayed by treated fractions in an in vitro model of digestion 452 (Rosa and others 2013a, b).

453

454

#### Impact of starting meal size

455 Adjustment of the ratio of the amount of the test meal to water present to mimic 456 dietary bolus during digestion phases has an impact on viscosity. Both this ratio and 457 meal particle size are important factors influencing phytochemical release during458 digestion.

During transit in the oral cavity, the stomach, and the small intestinal compartments, the dietary bolus will be diluted as a consequence of addition of saliva and other secretions. The amount and type of food influence the composition and secretion rates. Apart from the volume and composition of the secretions, mechanical forces will also have an impact on the disintegration and dissolution of a meal and on the rate of transfer through the GI tract. In general, dynamic models are able to process complex foods through mechanical and enzymatic digestions at volumes equivalent to "standard" meals.

466

# 467 Digestion models for studying phytochemical bioaccessibility - static vs. dynamic 468 models

469

470 Depending on the type of research question, for example, if constituting a screening 471 application or a confirmative study, the type and amount of sample present, static or 472 dynamic in vitro models can be used to simulate different phases of digestion (Figure 473 1). Practically, static models provide a feasible and inexpensive means to assess 474 multiple experimental conditions, allowing large numbers of substrates to be tested. 475 Dynamic multistage continuous models facilitate long-term studies and probably come 476 closest to in vivo conditions. These complex computer controlled systems, however, are 477 expensive to set up, more labor-intense and time-consuming (maximum one experiment 478 /day) and require higher operating costs in terms of working volumes and continuous 479 addition of substances mimicking the gastrointestinal fluids.

480

481 <u>Static models</u>

The simulation of the digestive process can be divided into 2 major stages: simulating gastric and small intestinal digestions, with conditions generally based on the method described by Miller and others (1981). Adaptations to this model have been made to modify the conditions and the procedures for studies of digestibility and bioaccessibility of phytochemicals, but the "physiological conditions" chosen vary considerably across different static *in vitro* studies.

488 The comparative simplicity of static methods have allowed their adaptation to 489 measuring the bioaccessibility of many phytochemicals from various fruits and 490 vegetables, including phytosterols (Bohn 2008), glucosinolates (Iori and others 2004), 491 carotenoids (Garret and others 1999; Failla and others 2008b) and many types of 492 polyphenols (Gil-Izquierdo and others 2002). This simplicity allows the running of 493 multiple samples in parallel. However, contrary to dynamic models, these static models typically fail to take into account dynamic physiological responses to the introduction of 494 495 a food bolus, such as pH increase and following decrease in the stomach, and enzyme 496 secretions in response to the food bolus introduced (Isenman and others 1999).

However, adaptations of the static model have been carried out for the investigation of various phytochemicals, such as ultracentrifugation and/or filtration, to study the micellar phase of lipophilic constituents. While this is normally not done for polyphenol bioaccessibility, additional steps such as dialysis have occasionally been introduced (Bouayed and others 2012).

502

#### 503 Dynamic models

504 Compared to static models, dynamic models have the advantage that they can 505 simulate the continuous changes of the physicochemical conditions including variation 506 of pH from the mouth to the stomach and the intestine, altering enzyme secretion 507 concentrations, and peristaltic forces in the gastrointestinal tract.

Different dynamic gastric models have been developed and designed for detailed 508 509 measurement of gastric biochemistry and mixing. Due to their closer resemblance to in 510 vivo conditions, but much lower throughput, they are more suitable to further confirm 511 results obtained in static models and to gain more detailed insights into changes 512 occurring during digestion. The dynamic gastric model (DGM), developed at the 513 Institute of Food Research (Norwich, UK), is composed of 2 successive compartments 514 (Vardakou and others 2011). The model reproduces gastric emptying and secretion 515 according to data derived from echo-planar magnetic resonance Imaging (EPI) and the 516 rates of GI digestion obtained from human studies (Golding and Wooster 2010). The 517 system was originally constructed to assess the impact of the first stages of digestion on 518 the bioaccessibility and delivery profiles of nutrients to the duodenum. It simulates the 519 physical mixing, transit, and breakdown forces (including flow, shear, and hydration), 520 pH gradients, and gastric secretions.

521 The human gastric simulator (HGS), a model developed at the University of 522 California-Davis is composed of a latex chamber surrounded by a mechanical driving 523 system to effectively simulate the frequency and intensity of the peristaltic movements 524 in the stomach (Kong and Singh 2010). HGS is designed to mimic the gastric shear 525 forces and stomach grinding. This appears to be important for bioaccessibility studies as 526 the rate of release of phytochemicals, from fibrous particles, into the surrounding 527 intestinal fluid is inversely proportional to particle size, and is directly proportional to 528 phytochemical gradient. It is furthermore affected by the physical state of the 529 phytochemical, the physical structure, and the surface properties of the particle 530 (Palafox-Carlos and others 2011). To allow a closer simulation of *in vivo* physiological

531 processes occurring within the lumen of the stomach and small intestine, some of the 532 main parameters of digestion such as peristaltic mixing and transit, secretions, and pH 533 changes, have been applied in some models. The TNO gastrointestinal model (TIM-1) 534 developed by TNO in Zeist (The Netherlands), has been used for a broad range of 535 studies (Minekus 1995). The system consists of 4 different compartments, representing 536 the stomach, duodenal- jejunal and ileal parts of the gastrointestinal tract. Each 537 compartment is composed of 2 glass jackets lined with flexible walls. The TIM-1 538 system enables simulation of gastric emptying rate, peristaltic movements, and transit 539 time through the small intestine and gradual pH changes in the different compartments 540 (Minekus 1995), and has given useful information on the parameters affecting the 541 release and digestive stability of carotenoids from different food matrices through the 542 gastrointestinal tract (Minekus 1995; Blanquet-Diot and others 2009). This model has 543 also been extensively used to assess both folate and folic acid bioaccessibility from 544 foods (Öhrvik 2008; Öhrvik and others 2010).

545 For polyphenols, there is not enough evidence as to which method is the most 546 appropriate for measuring bioaccessibility, especially as it has become clear that the 547 colon is greatly involved in the metabolism and absorption of these compounds (Bolca 548 and others 2012; Czank C and others 2013; Ludwig IA and others 2013). Thus both 549 static and dynamic models, those that do not take into account the simulation of the 550 colon, will have limitations in predicting the bioavailability of polyphenols. However, 551 with the development of additional models aiming to simulate colonic fermentation, 552 such as the TIM-2 model, the non bioaccessible fraction following gastric and small 553 intestinal digestion may be studied, such as was done for phenolic compounds in wheat 554 bread (Mateo Anson and others 2009).

555 An adapted model of TIM-1, a computer-controlled gastrointestinal model called 556 Tiny-TIM, has more recently been used to assess the bioaccessibility of phenolic acids 557 in breads (Hemery and others 2010). The model is a simplified and downscaled TIM-1 558 for rapid screening. The main characteristics of the system are the same as for TIM-1, 559 but instead of four compartments, the Tiny-TIM model consists of 2 compartments that 560 represent the stomach and the small intestine. The results were found to be consistent 561 both with the data from a previous study evaluating the bioaccessibility of phenolic 562 acids in TIM-1 (Kern and others 2003) and a human study (Mateo Anson and others 563 2009). To our knowledge, except for the comparison between the results obtained in the 564 TIM-1 and Tiny-Tim model, so far no comparisons between the different dynamic 565 models have been made.

566

```
567 Setting up the model
```

568

569

#### Digestion simulation of the oral cavity

570 The oral cavity is the portal of entry of nutrients. Due to its unique constituents it 571 may also be considered a "bioreactor" (Gorelik and others 2008; Mathes and others 572 2010; Ginsburg and others 2012). Whole saliva is a very dilute fluid composed of more 573 than 99% water. It contains a variety of minerals, various proteins (the major being the 574 mucin glycoproteins, albumin, and digestive enzymes), and nitrogenous compounds as 575 urea and ammonia (Ginsburg and others2012). An intensive mixing of simulated saliva 576 and the introduced food bolus is usually desired, usually in a ratio of 1:1, keeping in 577 mind practicality and the basal flow of saliva during ingestion estimated at 1-3 mL/min 578 (Engelen and others 2003). An ingested food or beverage undergoes a number of 579 chemical, biochemical, and mechanical processes in the mouth, although not so

significant for liquids due to short residence time. There may occur changes in pH, ionic strength, and temperature, action of various digestive enzymes (notably lingual lipase, amylase, protease); interactions with biopolymers in the saliva (mucin); interactions with sensory receptors of the tongue and mouth; and particle size reduction of bolus by chewing (mastication). These are all major factors to take into consideration when designing an *in vitro* digestion step that simulates the human mouth (McClements and Li 2010).

587

588

#### Particle size reduction

589 A few studies have paid attention to how mechanical breakdown during the oral 590 phase affects phytochemical bioaccessibility. Mastication consists of grinding food into 591 smaller pieces and impregnating these pieces with saliva to form a bolus that can be 592 swallowed. Decreasing the particle size enlarges the surface area available for hydration 593 and action by digestive enzymes, thus increasing the overall digestion efficiency and 594 gastrointestinal absorption of phytochemicals (Kulp and others 2003). A partial and 595 short mastication might affect the availability of major phytochemicals from vegetables, 596 fruits. However the inter-individual variability in the particle size of food boluses at the 597 end of chewing is considered to be insignificant for overall bioaccessibility (Woda and 598 others 2010), and the use of one individual to chew the meal and expectorate it prior to 599 swallowing was found to be acceptable (Ballance and others 2012). However, more 600 studies are needed to confirm that one subject is sufficient for investigating the effect of 601 mechanical breakdown on phytochemical bioaccessibility during the oral phase.When 602 studying bioaccessibility of carotenoids, techniques such as grinding or homogenizing, 603 with a stomacher laboratory blender for different intervals in the presence of artificial 604 saliva, were compared with physically masticated foods by humans (Lemmens and others 2010). The average particle size distribution after human chewing was
investigated and this information was used to simulate average mastication *in vitro* by a
blending technique.

To produce food boluses with properties similar to those resulting after natural chewing, the Artificial Masticatory Advanced machine (AM2) has been developed and validated against human subjects chewing raw carrots (cylindrical samples heigh 1 cm, diameter 2 cm, 4 g) and peanuts (3.5 g) (Mishellany-Dutour and others 2011). It was concluded that AM2 produces a food bolus with similar granulometric characteristics to human chewing, although no bioaccessibility parameters for phytochemicals were evaluated.

615

616

#### Chemical and biochemical processes

Due to the usually very short interaction of oral enzymes with the food bolus prior to reaching the stomach, their influence is much less clear and rather limited to carbohydrate-rich foods such as cereal-based foods (Hur and others 2011). For example, it is estimated that nearly 5% of the consumed starch is already degraded in the mouth cavity by salivary amylase (Hall 1996). Usually, *in vitro* methods are initiated using αamylase at pH around 7 (Table 1).

Ginsburg and others (2012) suggested that saliva has an important role in the solubilization of polyphenols present in fruits and plant beverages and thus substantially increases their availability. Moreover, saliva can increase the stickiness to oral surfaces of polyphenols and their prolonged retention in the oral cavity and thus it contributes to the enhancement of the redox status of the oral cavity. Salivary albumin, mucins, and proline-rich proteins may be of particular importance affecting the digestibility and absorption of specific polyphenols, for example, tannins may be precipitated and retained by such proteins (Bennick 2002) through hydrogen bonding and hydrophobicinteractions.

632 In summary, an oral digestion phase may be recommended for carbohydrate-rich 633 foods. Alternatively, starting with particles of small size (50-1,000 µm) may be 634 appropriate, as this mimics the particle size following the chewing process for 635 vegetables and fruits (Hoebler and others 2000; Lemmens and others 2010,). If oral 636 digestion is left out, dry samples may be introduced at a ratio of approximately 1:4 637 (food:liquid), considering common meal sizes of approximately 200-300 g and a gastric 638 juice volume of about 1L (Sergent and others 2009). A fluid of physiological salt 639 concentration (saline) should be employed.

640

#### 641

#### The gastric phase of digestion

The knowledge of disintegration of food inside the stomach is crucial for assessing the bioaccessibility of phytochemicals for both static and dynamic methods. Food disintegration in the stomach is a complex process including mechanical actions and activity of gastric fluids.

Gastric juice contains hydrochloric acid (HCl), pepsinogens, lipase, mucus, electrolytes and water. The rate of secretion varies from approximately. 1-4 mL/min under fasting conditions to between 1 and 10 mL/min after food intake (Wisen and Johansson 1992; Brunner and others 1995). The presence of HCl contributes to the denaturation of proteins and it activates pepsin.

651 Peristaltic waves originating from the stomach participate to the size reduction of 652 solid foods down to a diameter of 1 to 2 mm (Kong and Singh 2010). Stomach 653 emptying is a critical step in the digestion process. Several factors may influence the 654 gastric emptying of food and fluids including volume, viscosity, and pH. The speed of

the emptying of liquid meals is directly proportional to the volume present in the stomach. Solid foods are emptied more slowly, in a biphasic pattern with a lag phase during which little emptying occurs, followed by a linear emptying. The duration depends on the physical properties and approximately 3 to 4 h are needed for a complete emptying of the stomach (Schulze 2006).

A nutrient-driven feedback regulation from the small intestine, limiting the gastric emptying to a maximum of about 3 kcal/min has been suggested (Lin and others 2005, Kwiatek and others 2009) when other data point to a nutrient-dependent emptying pattern with emulsion fat emptying faster than glucose and protein (Goetze and others 2007). Furthermore, the presence of dietary fibers is known to slow down gastric emptying of complex meals (Marciani and others 2001).

- 666
- 667

pH

668 The gastric pH in the fasted state in healthy human subjects is in the range of 1.3 to 669 2.5. The intake of a meal generally increases the pH to above 4.5 depending on the 670 buffering capacity of the food. For example, in nasogastrically intubated humans fed a 671 western-type diet enriched in either tomato, or spinach or carrot purees, the stomach pH 672 sharply increased to 5.4–6.2 after meal intake, then continuously decreased to reach 673 1.8–2.9 after 3 h of digestion (Tyssandier and others 2003). Similarly, after ingestion of 674 a cocoa beverage, the gastric pH reached 5.4 within 3 min before returning to the 675 baseline pH of 1.9 (Rios and others 2002). Most static in vitro studies have been 676 conducted at a pH below 2.5, which are conditions related to the human fasting state 677 rather than to real food digestion. Only a few authors have considered as relevant a pH 678 of 4 associated with the mid-step of digestion (Reboul and others 2006; Dhuique-Mayer 679 and others 2007). The decay of gastric pH is however taken into consideration in 680 dynamic models as shown for the digestion of tomato carotenoids in the TIM system 681 (pH 6 to 1.6) (Blanguet-Diot and others 2009).

682

683

# Enzymes

684 Pepsin, which is readily available as porcine pepsin, has been integrated in most in 685 vitro models of gastric digestion, although in varying amounts (Table 2). Pepsin content 686 should be assessed as enzymatic activity per weight of protein for the sake of 687 comparison. Gastric lipase is usually omitted. However, existence of lipolysis in the 688 human stomach by gastric lipase is known (Carriere and others 1993; Armand and 689 others 1994). Most of the dietary lipids are present in the form of emulsified droplets, in 690 the range of 20-40 µm, and it was suggested that gastric lipolysis can help to increase 691 emulsification in the stomach (Armand and others 1994), which would thus enhance 692 lipophilic phytochemical bioaccessibility. It was reported that human gastric lipase 693 secretion ranged from 10 to 25 mg/3 h and that the percentage of intra-gastric lipolysis 694 during gastric digestion was 5-40% (Carriere and others 1993: Armand 2007). Lipolysis 695 catalyzed by gastric lipase has been found to primarily occur within the first hour of 696 digestion (Armand and others 1994).

697 Because human gastric lipase is unavailable, fungal lipases from Aspergillus niger or 698 Aspergillus oryzae have been used, as in the TIM model. However, A. niger lipase has a 699 wide pH optimum of 2.5-5.5 compared to 4.5 to 6 for human gastric lipase (Carriere et 700 al., 1991). The fungal lipase can hydrolyze both the sn-1 and sn-3 positions of the 701 triacylglycerol molecule, with a slight preference for the sn-1 position, whereas gastric 702 lipase is most active at the sn-3 position (Van Aken and others 2011). Alternatively, a 703 mammalian lipase such as rabbit gastric lipase could be used as Capolino and others 704 (2011) demonstrated that its specificity is close to that of human lipase. At the present

time, a combination of rabbit gastric lipase and porcine pancreatic extract is favored to
simulate *in vitro* gastrointestinal lipolysis.

707

708 709 Oxygen, dietary iron and antioxidant activity of phytochemicals and micronutrients

710 The presence of other food components may alter polyphenol and carotenoid stability 711 in the gastric tract. After food intake, dietary iron, dioxygen, and emulsified lipids come 712 into close contact and lipid oxidation may take place. This was demonstrated for heme (metmyoglobin) and nonheme iron (Fe<sup>II</sup>/Fe<sup>III</sup>) forms in emulsion systems modeling the 713 714 physical state of triacylglycerols (Lorrain and others 2012). Dietary polyphenols such as 715 rutin, (+)-catechin, and chlorogenic acid proved to be better inhibitors of the 716 metmyoglobin-initiated lipid oxidation than α-tocopherol and vitamin C (Lorrain and 717 others 2010). The antioxidant activity of polyphenols depended on an emulsifying 718 agent (proteins, phospholipids) and pH. In this process, polyphenols were however 719 consumed, giving rise to oxidation products which themselves retain antioxidant 720 properties (Lorrain and others 2010). In this in vitro model of gastric digestion, 721 lycopene and B-carotene proved to be less efficient inhibitors of lipid oxidation 722 compared to bacterial carotenoids (mainly glycosylated apolycopenoids) (Sy and others 723 2012b). Phenolic compounds and carotenoids had complementary mechanisms of 724 action: the former inhibited the initiation step of lipid peroxidation by reducing the prooxidative Fe<sup>III</sup> species of myoglobin when the latter inhibited the propagation phase 725 726 by direct scavenging of the lipid peroxyl radicals. Oxygen may thus impact 727 phytochemical and micronutrient stability in the gastric tract. The level of dissolved O<sub>2</sub> 728 increases during mastication of food (Gorelik and others 2005), whereas the presence of 729 a marked oxygen partial pressure gradient from the proximal to the distal GI tract was

evidenced in living mice from 58 torr in the mid-stomach, 32 torr in the mid-duodenum, 11 torr in the mid-small intestine and mid-colon to 3 torr in the distal sigmoid colonrectal junction (compared to 160 torr for  $O_2$  in air) (He and others 1999). For this reason, some authors suggested flushing with nitrogen or argon for a few minutes to reduce the levels of dissolved  $O_2$  (Bermudez-Soto and others 2007).

735

## 736 Static models

737 Static modeling of gastric digestion of phytochemicals is basically conducted by a 738 pepsin hydrolysis of homogenized food under fixed pH and temperature for a period of 739 time. The internal body temperature (37 °C) is classically used. Dynamic processes 740 occurring during human digestion such as mechanical forces or continuous changes in 741 pH and secretion flow rates are usually not reproduced (Guerra and others 2012). There 742 are many studies on *in vitro* digestion of phytochemicals using static models, and they 743 only differ slightly (Table 2). The major differences among the methods used for 744 modeling gastric phase digestion are (i) addition or absence of phospholipid vesicles; 745 (ii) addition or absence of lipase; (iii) incubation time between 0.5 h to 2 h; (iv) pH 746 varying from 1.7 to 2.5; and (v) pepsin to substrate ratio.

For highly processed plant matrices, it appears that the large majority of polyphenols is already released in the gastric phase. Indeed, the polyphenol bioaccessibility from fruit juices, wines, green tea, or phenolic extracts, in the presence of simulated gastric juices (pH 1.7-2.5, pepsin, 1-4 h) is nearly 100% (Perez-Vicente and others 2002; McDougall and others 2005a; McDougall and others 2005b; Bermudez-Soto and others 2007; Greenand others 2007; McDougall and others 2007; Gumienna and others 2011) but can be only between 30-100% from solid matrices such as homogenized peaches, apple, grape berries, cherries or carob flour (Fazzari and others 2008; Bouayed and
others 2011; Ortega and others 2011; Tagliazucchi and others 2012).

756 Among phenolic compounds, apple flavanols (epicatechin and procyanidin B2), as 757 well as chokeberry proanthocyanidin oligomers, were more degraded than 758 caffeoylquinic derivatives, flavonols, or anthocyanins. Cocoa proanthocyanidins 759 (trimers to hexamers) and apple procvanidin B2 were shown to undergo 760 depolymerization in a simulated gastric juice (37 °C, pH 1.8-2.0) (Spencer and others 761 2000, Kahle and others 2011), whereas in vivo, this degradation was not validated, 762 mainly because the stomach pH increased to 5.4 after the ingestion of the cocoa 763 beverage and progressively decreased to the basal value as the stomach emptied (Rios 764 and others 2002).

765 Certain epoxycarotenoids, such as violaxanthin and neoxanthin from spinach, were 766 shown to undergo epoxide-furanoid transitions at pH 2 (Biehler and others 2011a). This 767 transformation extent may clearly depend on the gastric acidity and time of exposure.

768

769

#### Dynamic models

770 Dynamic gastric models of digestion incorporate i) mixing of the non homogeneous 771 gastric digesta which is best modeled by peristaltic movements as in the HGS model 772 (Kong and Singh 2010), ii) acidification, iii) addition of gastric enzymes, and iv) 773 delivery to the duodenum (Chen and others 2011). Usually, computer-controlled 774 protocols are designed to deliver secretions and chime (digesta) in the normal 775 physiologic range. Dynamic models are described in more details in the previous 776 section "Digestion models for studying phytochemical bioaccessibillity". Up to now, 777 few applications have been reported for phytochemicals compared to the numerous data 778 in static models. For example, in the TIM-1 system, tomato (E)-beta-carotene and (E)-

1779 lycopene proved to be stable, although the recovery yield was modulated by the tomato 1780 matrix (Blanquet-Diot and others 2009). The Tiny TIM-1 system was used to evaluate 1781 the bioaccessibility of phenolic acids in breads made from processed wheat bran 1782 fractions (Hemery and others 2010). The amount of bioaccessible phenolic acids was 1783 enhanced by using finer particles in bran-rich breads.

- 784
- 785

### General considerations

The rapid release of the phenolic compounds in the stomach maximizes the potential for absorption in the small intestine. For lipophilic compounds, such as for carotenoids, such comparisons would not appear meaningful, as the formation and incorporation of the mixed micelles are mostly achieved during the small intestinal stage.

Several major aspects deserve consideration during the gastric digestion, including the limitation of oxygen, either by flushing with inert gasses or by reducing the headspace volume to a minimum, the inclusion of gastric lipase, especially for lipidsoluble compounds, and a sufficient protein degradation capacity to allow release of phytochemicals. An initial low pH (<3) is not physiological and should be avoided due to non optimal functioning of enzymes, especially of lipase.

796

# 797 <u>Digestion in the small intestine</u>

After food disintegration in the mouth and stomach, the main enzymatic digestion and absorption of nutrients take place in the small intestine. After stomach digestion, the acidic chyme is delivered to the small intestine and neutralized with sodium bicarbonate to give an appropriate pH for enzyme activities. The *in vitro* small intestinal digestion of phytochemicals is generally applied by mimicking pH, temperature, time, and pancreatic juice including electrolytes, bile salts, and enzymes.

- 805
- 806

#### pH, enzymes and bile salts

In the fed state, pH can vary from 5.4-7.5 in the duodenum (Tyssandier and others 2003; Kalantzi and others 2006; Clarysse and others 2009), to 5.3-8.1 in the jejunum (Lindahl and others 1997; Perez de la Cruz Moreno and others 2006), and up to 7.0-7.5 in the ileum (Daugherty and Mrsny 1999) (Table 3).

811 Pancreatic enzymes including proteases, amylases, and lipases, as well as other 812 digestive enzymes (brush border enzymes, like maltase, lactase,  $\alpha$ -dextrinase, 813 peptidases) produced by the brush border, a microvillus membrane at the luminal 814 surface of the small intestine (Holmes and Lobley, 1989), all act together on the 815 breakdown of food constituents.

816 *In vivo* bile salt concentrations were found to be higher in the fed state (3-12 mM 817 range) than in the fasted state and variable between duodenum and jejunum (Table 3).

818 The major differences among the methods are the forms of enzymes (pancreatin or 819 individual enzymes) and biliary acids used (bile salt mixtures, real fresh bile, or 820 individual bile salts) (Table 3). Very few models use individually prepared bile salts and 821 enzymes (including porcine pancreatic lipase, porcine colipase, porcine trypsin, bovine 822 chymotrypsin, and porcine amylase), although this may give better control over 823 enzymatic activity (Mandalari and others 2010). Several studies have reported that the 824 presence of bile salts and pancreatic enzymes is essential for the efficient 825 micellarization of lipophilic compounds (Garrett and others 1999; Hedrén and others, 826 2002, Wright and others 2008; Biehler and others, 2011a). In the study by Biehler and 827 others (2011a), carotenoid micellarization from spinach was strongly reduced in the 828 absence of pancreatin and bile salts, while it was not significantly impacted by the omission of pepsin during gastric digestion (Biehler and others2011a). Minimal bile salt 829 830 concentration of 2.4 mg/mL (about 5 mM), within the in vivo concentration range, was 831 required for optimal transfer of lutein and beta-carotene from lipid droplets into mixed 832 micelles (Garrett and others 1999, Wang and others 2012). It was also shown that the 833 maximum beta-carotene transfer was obtained at pH 6, in relation to the activity of 834 pancreatic lipase, which is most efficient at this pH, and with a pancreatic lipase 835 concentration of 0.4 mg/mL (Wang and others 2012). At higher bile salt concentration, 836 beta-carotene micellarization could depend on the activity of pancreatic colipase-837 dependent lipase (Wright and others 2008). As to polyphenols, the hydrophilic forms 838 such as glycosylated flavonols or quinic acid derivatives of hydroxycinnamic acids may 839 readily solubilize in the aqueous phase when less soluble flavonoid aglycones or 840 procyanidins will bind to dietary fibers and proteins for transport. A bile salt-dependent 841 micellarization has however been suggested for isoflavone aglycones (Walsh, Zhang, 842 Vodovotz, Schwartz and Failla 2003). In the intestinal conditions, the bioaccessibility 843 and stability of polyphenols depends mainly on pH. In near neutral conditions and in the 844 presence of oxygen as it occurs in most *in vitro* models, some phenolic compounds may 845 be degraded through non enzymatic oxidation (Bergmann and others 2009). 846 Examination of the recovery of specific classes revealed that flavan-3-ols were poorly 847 recovered following the digestion of a grape-orange-apricot juice (Cilla and others 848 2009) but not in chokeberry juice (Bermudez-Soto and others 2007). Pure (+)-catechin 849 was recovered at only 42% after incubation with pancreatin (Bermudez-Soto and others 850 2007), while (-)-epicatechin and procyanidin B2 from homogenized apple were not 851 recovered after the intestinal step (Bouayed and others 2012). The high affinity of

monomeric and oligomeric flavanols for proteins and dietary fibers may also lead to 852 853 their loss during the solid removal step by centrifugation (Le Bourvellec and Renard 854 2011). For green tea flavanols, the stability order was epicatechin > epicatechin gallate 855 > epigallocatechin = epigallocatechin gallate, in agreement with the higher oxidizability 856 of the 1,2,3-trihydroxyphenyl moiety compared to the 1,2-dihydroxyphenyl one (Green 857 and others 2007). The recovery of caffeoylquinic acids appears to be more affected by 858 the intestinal step than by the gastric step as observed for apple, a grape-orange-apricot 859 beverage, and red wine (Cilla and others 2009; Gumienna and others 2011; Bouayed 860 and others 2012, ). Chlorogenic acid (5-caffeoylquinic acid) may autooxidize, although 861 regio-isomerization is a major pathway as described for *p*-coumaroyl- and 862 caffeoylquinic acids by Kahle and others 2011. Anthocyanins appear to be the most 863 sensitive class and may largely disappear in the intestinal step (McDougall and others 864 2005a, b, 2007; Bermudez-Soto and others 2007; Tagliazucchi and others 2010, 2012). 865 The quantification of anthocyanins is complicated by a pH-dependent equilibrium of the 866 red flavylium cation to several related structures at pH above 2. The hydration of the 867 flavylium cation produces a colorless hemiketal which is in equilibrium with colorless 868 (E)- and (Z)-chalcone forms. In the near-neutral conditions of intestinal digestion, a first 869 deprotonation of the flavylium cation provides neutral quinonoidal bases (pKa  $\approx$  4) 870 which can further be deprotonated to ionic quinonoidal bases (pKa  $\approx$  6), both bases 871 displaying blue and violet hues (Brouillard and others 1991; Clifford 2000). Thus, the 872 detection of anthocyanins in simulated gastrointestinal conditions can be challenging as 873 it is influenced by pH and copigment molecules. For example, Perez-Vicente and others 874 (2012) evaluated the recovery of pomegranate anthocyanins to be 18% when measured 875 at the pH of the intestinal digesta and 70% following acidification of the digesta at pH 876 2. Analysis of anthocyanins at pH lower than 2 should be favored as it is more

877 convenient to evaluate the flavylium cation form by high-performance liquid878 chromatography (HPLC) or colorimetric tests.

879 When exposed to acids or bases, ester bonds in ellagitannins and caffeoylquinic acids 880 are hydrolyzed and the hexahydroxydiphenic acid is spontaneously rearranged into the 881 water-insoluble ellagic acid (Clifford and Scalbert 2000). Daniel and others (1991) 882 showed that ellagic acid could be released from raspberry ellagitannins at pH 7 and 883 optimally at pH 8. Furthermore, Gil-Izquierdo and others (2002) observed a 5-to 10-fold 884 increase in ellagic acid from strawberry ellagitannins during incubation with pancreatic 885 enzymes in mild alkaline conditions (Gil-Izquierdo and others). This may be the 886 mechanism behind the relative increases in smaller ellagitannin molecules noted during 887 in vitro digestion of raspberry and strawberry extacts (McDougall and others 2007; 888 Brown and others 2012,). In the mildly alkaline conditions of *in vitro* digestion, orange 889 flavanones form less soluble chalcone forms which precipitate (Gil-Izquierdo and others 890 2003). However, more than 90% of orange flavanones and 80% of soy isoflavone 891 glycosides were recovered after the intestinal step outlining their high stability toward 892 autoxidation (Walsh, Zhang, Vodovotz, Schwartz and Failla 2003, Gil-Izquierdo, Gil, 893 Tomas-Barberan and Ferreres 2003). The sensitivity to autoxidation is probably 894 overestimated in *in vitro* digestion models as oxygen is known to largely disappear in 895 the gastric tract. Last, it should be noted that proteolytic enzymes could play a role in 896 polyphenol bioaccessibility by releasing phenolic compounds bound to dietary proteins 897 as observed in the gastric tract for pepsin. However, more data support a role for 898 phenolic compounds as inhibitors of intestinal enzymes such as trypsin and lipase 899 (Goncalves and others, 2007; He and others, 2006).

900
902

#### Static models

903 Conditions used in *in vitro* static models simulate quite well the physiology of 904 intestinal digestion with the use of porcine pancreatin, biliary extract or bile salts, and a 905 pH ranging between 6.0 and 7.5 (Table 3). However, the time allowed for this step is 906 highly variable (0.5-2.5 h). A too short digestion time may lead to trapping of 907 carotenoids in triglycerides, and thus underestimation of carotenoid bioaccessibility (Sy 908 and others 2012a). Different carotenoids show differing micellarization. Xanthophylls 909 (lutein and beta-cryptoxanthin) showed higher micellarization compared to alpha- and 910 beta-carotenes, while lycopene was only slightly micellarized (Garrett and others 2000; 911 Reboul and others 2006; Thakkar and Failla 2008). There have also been differences 912 noted between (E)-carotenoids and their (Z)-isomers (Chitchumroonchokchai and others 913 2004; Bengtsson and others 2010; Biehler and others 2011b), with the latter commonly 914 found in processed foods, also tending to be better micellarized (Bohn 2008). It could 915 also be speculated that a prolonged time of small intestinal digestion will favor the 916 formation of more Z-isomers. However, the in vivo data showed no significant 917 isomerization either in the stomach or in the duodenum for beta-carotene and lycopene 918 (Tyssandier and others 2003).

919 In most *in vitro* studies, the stability of phenolic compounds has been assessed by 920 determining total phenolic content such as the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton L and 921 Rossi 1965), which does not yield information on the reactivity of specific phenolic 922 classes or molecules. The intestinal step, when compared to the gastric step, did not 923 influence the recovery of total phenolic compounds for homogenized prunes (81% of 924 the initial conc. in fruit) (Tagliazucchi and others 2012), grape berries (62%) 925 (Tagliazucchi and others 2010), cherries (127%) (Fazzari and others 2008), 926 pomegranate juice (100%) (Perez-Vicente and others 2002) and red cabbage extract

927 (100%) (McDougall and others 2007). However, a loss in total phenolics during the 928 intestinal step was observed for plums (44%), peaches (37%), tomato (31%) 929 (Tagliazucchi and others 2012), chokeberry juice (73%) (Bermudez-Soto and others 930 2007), raspberry extract (86%) (McDougall and others 2005b), and red wine (47% and 931 58%) (Gumienna and others 2005b), many of which contain labile anthocyanins. In 932 conclusion, the analysis of specific phenolic compounds should be addressed in order to 933 avoid conflicting results. Additionally, findings on the recovery of different classes in 934 one fruit/vegetable cannot be readily extended to other sources as stability in vitro is 935 influenced by interactions with the other phenolic compounds in the mixture and 936 vitamin C (for example sacrificial oxidation).

937

938

## Dynamic models

939 To simulate the *in vivo* conditions of the small intestine, dynamic models can be used 940 to reproduce pH changes and secretion of pancreatic juice and bile. In the TIM model, 941 the intestinal transit time and pH conditions in the human digestive tract are simulated 942 through pre-programmed pH and delivery curves (Minekus 1995). Porcine pancreatin, 943 bile salts, electrolytes, and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> are secreted by computer-controlled pumps. The 944 model does not mimic brush border secretions. pH usually increases between the 945 duodenal, jejunal, and ileal compartments, for example, from 6.4 to 7.2 for the digestion 946 of a tomato-containing Western diet (Blanquet-Diot and others 2009). The 947 gastrointestinal transit time may greatly influence the bioaccessibility of phytochemicals 948 by affecting the release from the food matrix. Additionally, the solubility and stability 949 of different compounds may be affected by the time they are exposed to the conditions 950 in the intestinal tract. Apart from the integration of key parameters of digestion as 951 peristaltic mixing, transit time, and transport, the ability to remove digested material by

passive absorption of water and digested molecules through a dialysis system is also an
important feature of *in vitro* models. In particular, removal of digested molecules should
prevent product inhibition of the pancreatic enzymes (Minekus 1995).

955 The TIM-1 and Tiny-TIM systems have shown their usefulness in studying the 956 digestive stability of carotenoids from tomato, and phenolic acids present in bread, 957 respectively (Blanquet-Diot and others 2009, Hemery and others 2010). The TIM-1 958 system can be equipped with semi-permable hollow fiber membrane filters (with a 959 molecular weight cut-of ranging between 3-5 kDa to 5-8 kDa, depending on filter type) 960 connected to the jejunal and ileal compartments in order to remove degraded 961 compounds and to simulate absorption of water soluble nutrients. For the estimation of 962 the bioaccessibility of lipophilic carotenoids, the incorporation into micelles is crucial 963 and for this purpose the TIM system needs to be equipped with a specific membrane 964 that separates the micellar phase from the fat phase (Minekus 1995). The formation of 965 micelles which are less than 10 nm in size is dependent, among other factors, on the 966 presence of fat and bile salts, and the digestion protocol should be adequately designed 967 to ensure triglyceride hydrolysis and micellarization by bile salts.

968

969

#### General considerations

The contribution of the intestinal step to the bioaccessibility of phenolic compounds is clearly influenced by several parameters. First, the action of intestinal enzymes on the residual matrix could increase the phenolic content. Next, phenolic compounds are chemically reactive in near-neutral conditions and their degradation or isomerization may be catalyzed by the presence of oxygen and/or transition-metal ions. Additionally, specific absorption by the small intestine can occur by passive diffusion or active transport, as demonstrated for aglycones and their glucosylated forms. The latter forms 977 can be actively transported by the sodium-glucose-linked transporter 1 (SGLT1) found 978 in the enterocytes. Extracellular hydrolysis can be promoted by lactase phlorizin 979 hydrolase (LPH) in the brush border and be followed by diffusion of the resulting 980 aglycone into the enterocyte (Day and others 2000). A transcellular transport involving 981 multidrug resistance protein and P-glycoprotein transporters appears to be favored for 982 hydroxycinnamic acid and flavonol aglycones (Poquet and Clifford 2008, Barrington 983 and others 2009). These 2 phenomena cannot be readily modeled in vitro. Therefore, in 984 *vitro* digestion methods may over estimate the levels of these phenolic components. In 985 summary, a further limitation in oxygen, an inclusion of brush border enzymes or 986 analogs with  $\alpha$ -glucosidase activity, a sufficient bile salt concentration, and the presence 987 of lipolytic, amylolytic and proteolytic enzymes for specific nutrient digestion are all of 988 importance for an optimal release of phytochemicals. While remaining triglycerides 989 lipid-soluble phytochemicals, incompletely digested proteins and may trap 990 polysaccharides will bind to water-soluble phytochemicals, making them unavailable in 991 the small intestine.

- 992
- 993

### Large intestinal bioconversions

994 The colon contains a highly complex microbial ecosystem, which is capable of 995 fermenting food components not digested in the upper GI tract. Some undigested food 996 ingredients, including certain polyphenols, can act as substrate for the indigenous 997 bacterial community (Possemiers and others 2011). In addition, microbial bioconversion 998 products can influence the overall intestinal ecosystem and the bioavailability of the 999 parent compounds. Carotenoids are typically not studied in colonic models, as they are 1000 primarily absorbed in the small intestine, and colonic metabolites have not been 1001 reported so far. Colonic bioconversion of polyphenols is most well-described for

1002 flavonoids (Table 4) and phytoestrogens, lignans and isoflavonoids. The complexity of 1003 in vitro colonic models used to study the metabolism of phenolic compounds is diverse, 1004 ranging from batch fecal incubations using a strictly anaerobic and dense fecal 1005 microbiota suitable for metabolic studies (Barry 1995; Gross and others 2010; Aura and 1006 others 2012) to more complex continuous models involving one or multiple connected, 1007 pH-controlled vessels representing different parts of the human colon (Fogliano and 1008 others 2011) or in vitro dynamic gastrointestinal-colonic system models (Gao and others 1009 2006; Van Dorsten and others 2012), which are applicable also to study effects of food 1010 components on the microbial population.

1011 Characterization of phenolic metabolites using in vitro colonic models is 1012 complementary to the metabolic bioconversion by the small intestine or the liver 1013 (methylation, sulfation, and glucuronidation) of the native forms in which they are 1014 present in foods (Scalbert and others 2002) and shows the diversity of structural 1015 transformations occurring in the colon prior to absorption (Aura 2008; Selma and others 1016 2009). Colonic metabolism of phenolic compounds starts with the transient appearance 1017 of aglycones and the subsequent formation of hydroxylated aromatic compounds and 1018 phenolic acids (Rechner and others 2004; Aura 2008). . Flavones, flavanones, flavanols, 1019 proanthocyanidins, and phenolic acids share hydroxyphenylpropionic acid metabolites 1020 (Rechner and others 2004; Aura 2008), whereas flavonols (quercetin, myricetin) and 1021 ferulic acid dimers share hydroxylated phenylacetic acid metabolites (Aura and others 1022 2002, Braune and others 2009). Moreover, flavanols also yield hydroxyphenylvaleric 1023 acids and corresponding valerolactone derivatives (Aura and others 2008; Sanchez-1024 Patan and others 2012). Anthocyanins yield benzoic acids, hydroxylated benzaldehydes, 1025 and acetaldehydes (Aura and others 2005; Fleschhut and others 2006; Czank and others 1026 2013). Complex microbial metabolites, such as lactones formed from plant lignans or ellagitannins (Heinonen and others 2001; Cerda and others 2004), are re-absorbed from
the colon and are subject again to liver metabolism and the conjugate derivatives are
excreted via urine (Adlercreutz and others 1995). Thus plasma and urine excretions
reflect both the hepatic and colonic metabolism of polyphenols (Table 4).

1031 Limitations of *in vitro* colonic models include that they may not fully represent the 1032 microbiota present in the colonic lumen and mucosa and that the combined rates of 1033 catabolism and absorption that occur in vivo are not reproduced. However, the use of 1034 colonic models provides information on the types of microbial metabolites formed 1035 (Table 4) and helps to elucidate the pathways involved. Batch models are of particular 1036 interest for a first assessment of colonic metabolism of phenolic compounds, which is 1037 characterized by a high inter-individual variability (Gross and others 2010), or for 1038 comparison of different sources or doses of compounds (Bolca and others 2009). The 1039 anaerobic batch colonic model developed by Barry and others (1995), which uses 1040 pooled human feces from several healthy donors, has been particularly suitable as 1041 coupled with a metabolomics platform to investigate the effects of structure and dose of 1042 fruit proanthocyanidin fractions on the efficiency of microbial metabolism and structure 1043 of flavanol monomers (Aura and others 2012; Aura and others 2008).

1044 Dynamic, multi-compartment colonic models are useful for long-term experiments 1045 needed to evaluate the spatial and temporal adaptation of the colonic microbiota to 1046 dietary phenolic compounds and the microbial metabolism of these phytochemicals. 1047 These models are designed to and should harbor a reproducible microbial community 1048 that should be stable upon inoculation, colon region-specific, and relevant to in vivo 1049 conditions (Macfarlane and others 1998; Van den Abbeele and others 2010). Dynamic 1050 colonic models have shown that microbial metabolism of black tea and red wine (Van Dorsten and others 2012) and cocoa (Fogliano and others 2011) is dependent on colon 1051

1052 location. In addition, dynamic models may be used to enrich the colonic microbiota 1053 with polyphenol-converting species such as *Eubacterium limosum* to increase the 1054 production of 8-prenylnaringenin from hop extracts (Possemiers and others 2008). 1055 Dynamic colonic simulators have integrated new tools to improve modeling the 1056 physiological colonic conditions, such as the incorporation of a mucosal environment 1057 (Macfarlane and others 2005; Van den Abbeele and others 2012) and a mucus laver 1058 combined with epithelial cells (Marzorati and others 2011). The models can 1059 differentiate between the luminal microbiota with a large metabolic degradation 1060 capacity and the mucosa-associated microbiota able to closely interact with the host.

1061 An important element to be considered for designing colonic model experiments is 1062 the use of one or multiple fecal donors in terms of diversity of the microbiota 1063 population, as high-and low-polyphenol metabolizing phenotypes can skew the extent 1064 of metabolism of certain compounds (Selma and others 2009; Bolca and others 2012). 1065 Meanwhile, comparison of human gut metagenomes has suggested the classification of 1066 individuals into three distinc enterotypes (Arumugan and others 2011). The 1067 maintenance of anaerobic conditions during stool processing and inoculation to the 1068 models is crucial for microbial and enzymatic activities. Another important matter to be 1069 considered is the pH adjustment needed to avoid suppression of particularly minor 1070 conversion activities, for example slow enterolactone formation (Aura 2008). In 1071 summary, in vitro colonic models are the preferred choice to study mechanisms of 1072 polyphenol microbial metabolism as well as the polyphenol-induced modulation of gut 1073 microbiota. However, the ability of colonic models to simulate the in vivo conditions is 1074 limited by the lack of studies involving the formation of microbial biofilms adhering to 1075 the colonic epithelium. The simulation of intestinal absorption to remove end products

1076 of microbial metabolism is also relevant to prevent inhibition of the colonic microbiota1077 during *in vitro* studies.

1078

# 1079 Determination of bioaccessible fraction and further coupling techniques following 1080 digestion and/or colonic fermentation

1081

1082 During the past few years in vitro digestion model systems have been used to analyze 1083 the structural and chemical changes that occur in different foods under simulated 1084 gastrointestinal conditions. These methods either simulate either disintegration, food 1085 matrix and digestion processes only (for bioaccessibility) or both digestion and 1086 absorption processes (for bioavailability estimates). According to the desired endpoints 1087 of the studies, there are considerable differences in the type of experimental parameters 1088 measured after digestion. These include chemical changes (such as hydrolysis of 1089 macronutrients), gastric solubilization of drugs, nutrient availability, release of 1090 encapsulated components, studying competitive processes, and structural changes (such 1091 as break-down of specific structures), aggregation, droplet coalescence, or droplet 1092 disruption (Chen and others 2011). Thus, samples obtained by in vitro digestion, either 1093 following small intestinal digestion or following further colonic fermentation in vitro, 1094 have been used in a variety of ways. In addition, the obtained fractions have been 1095 coupled to further investigation prodcedures, allowing for example the estimation of 1096 uptake into or transport through the intestinal epithelium.

1097

#### 1098 *Estimation of bioaccessibility*

1099 The estimation of the bioaccessibility of non-polar food constituents such as 1100 carotenoids has been made both by measuring the transfer of carotenoids from the food 1101 matrix to the aqueous layer obtained after in vitro digestion and centrifugation (Hedrén 1102 and others 2002; Bengtsson and others 2009) or by filtering the aqueous fraction 1103 through a 0.22 µm membrane to obtain micelles (Reboul and others 2006; Huo and 1104 others 2007), or both. Since the micellarized carotenoids are considered to be the form 1105 in which these compounds will ultimately be absorbed by the intestinal cells, it has been 1106 suggested that assessment of carotenoid bioaccessibility must include the isolation, 1107 extraction and measurement of carotenoids in micelles (Etcheverry and others 2012). 1108 Reboul and others (2006) showed a high correlation (r= 0.90) of the in vitro 1109 bioaccessibility of  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -tocopherol,  $\beta$ -carotene, and lycopene with the *in vivo* values 1110 measured in the micellar phase from human duodenum during digestion of a carotenoid-1111 rich meal. Their findings suggest that estimation of carotenoid micellarization in vitro 1112 can be indicative of the amount available for uptake in the gastrointestinal tract in vivo.

1113 For polyphenols, Bouayed and others (2011, 2012) studied bioaccessibility following 1114 simulated gastric and intestinal in vitro digestion of fresh apple. They used a cellulose 1115 semi-permeable membrane, chosen as a simplified mechanical model for the epithelial 1116 barrier to identify dialyzable polyphenols after intestinal digestion. They suggested that 1117 dialyzable polyphenols in the intestinal phase could potentially be taken up by the 1118 enterocytes and suggested it may be a practical step prior to coupling to cellular 1119 methods due to increased purity of the dialysate, preventing negative impacts on cell 1120 viability. Similar studies were performed by other researchers (Liang and others 2012, 1121 Rodriguez-Roque and others 2013). At the same time, it is difficult to study the *in vivo* 1122 changes and digestive stability of different food constituents during their passage 1123 through the digestive tract, albeit some approaches, such as studying ileostomists, have 1124 allowed some comparisons to *in vitro* small intestinal digestion (Walsh and others 2007; 1125 Erk and others 2012).

1126

## 1127 Bioaccessibility following colonic fermentation

*In vitro* digestion procedures have also been employed to produce berry samples that are characteristic of components that survive digestion, and therefore more physiologically relevant, for studies on bioactivities relevant to colon cancer models (Brown and others 2012).

1132 Due to the limited sampling possibilities (and intra- and inter-individual variations), 1133 the function and the composition of ileal microbiota is hard to study in vivo. The effect 1134 of small intestinal microflora on the enzymatic hydrolysis of phenol glycosides was 1135 studied in an ex vivo ileostomy model (Knaup and others 2007). Ileostomy effluents 1136 from 3 healthy subjects were used for incubation with synthetic quercetin and p-1137 nitrophenol glycosides. The conclusion was that the hydrolysis of phenol glycosides is 1138 influenced both by the structural components of the phenols and the microflora in the 1139 small intestine. Schantz, Erk and Richling (2010) have also reported evidence of 1140 degradation of polyphenols in the small intestine, using an ex vivo ilostomy model to 1141 study the microbial metabolism and chemical stability of green tea cathechins and gallic 1142 acid. According to studies in ileostomy patients, the ileal microbiota is restored 6 months 1143 after surgery (Hove and Mortensen, 1996) which may resemble the reflux situation 1144 occurring in subjects with a healthy colon, or even take the role of colon fermentation to 1145 some extent in ileostomy patients.

Phenolic microbial metabolites are relevant in terms of human health because they appear in plasma and are excreted in urine (Aura 2008). Pharmacokinetic studies show that microbial metabolite concentrations are elevated for up to 24-48 h in the bloodstream after a single dose of their precursors before returning to baseline values (Sawai and others 1987; Gross and others 1996; Kuijsten and others 2005). 1151 Enterolactone, enterodiol, and urolithins are excreted via urine as hepatic conjugates 1152 (Heinonen and others 2001; Cerda and others 2004), whereas microbial phenolic acid 1153 metabiltes appear in urine mainly in a free form in contrast to beverage- derived 1154 phenolic acids which are excreted mainly as sulphates and glucoronides (Sawai and 1155 others 1987; Stalmach and others 2009). In a recent work, Ludwig and others (2013) 1156 show that after ingestion of coffee, the main colon-derived metabolites found in plasma 1157 and/or in urine were dihydrocaffeic acid, dihydroferulic acid, and their sulfated and 1158 glucuronidated metabolites. As the metabolites described above and their hepatic 1159 conjugates are found in plasma and urine, therefore they circulate through the body and 1160 may exhibit both local and systemic effects. Phenolic metabolite levels in plasma range 1161 from low to high nano molar concentrations (Sawai and others Ando 1987; Kilkkinen 1162 and others 2001; Kern and others 2003; Johnsen and others 2004; Kuijsten and others 1163 2006), whereas urinary levels are at the *micro* molar range. In peripheral tissues, the 1164 concentrations can be anticipated to be even lower.

1165 A good example of studies including in vitro digestion models and colon conversion 1166 and pharmacokinetic studies in human volunteers was performed by Mateo Anson and 1167 others (2009, 2011). The group showed that bioprocessing of wheat bran with enzymes 1168 (xylanase, cellulose, β-glucanase, and feruloyl esterase) and yeast enhanced the 1169 bioaccessibility of ferulic acid, para-coumaric acid, and sinapic acid from white wheat 1170 bread matrix in the in vitro gastrointestinal models TIM-1 and TIM-2 by 5-fold. Since 1171 the release of para-coumaric acid and sinapic acid occurred mainly in the TIM-1 model 1172 simulating the upper intestine, the microbial conversion products (3-(3'-hydroxyphenyl) 1173 propionic acid and 3-phenylpropionic acid) from the TIM-2 colon model were shown to 1174 be related to matrix bound ferulic acid content (Mateo Anson and others 2009). In a subsequent pharmacokinetic in vivo study volunteers consumed 300 g white wheat 1175

bread samples fortified with either native or bioprocessed wheat bran, and then phenolic acids and their metabolites were followed for 24 hours. The release and conversion of microbial metabolites were enhanced by bioprocessing of bran by 2- to 3-fold and their time course profiles in plasma were altered by bioprocessing of bran (Mateo Anson and others 2011).

- 1181
- 1182 Coupling digesta to uptake and transport models of the intestinal epithelium

1183 More recently, human enterocyte cell culture models (such as Caco-2 cells) was 1184 coupled with a simulated gastric model. Small intestinal digestive processes or following further colonic fermentation have been widely used as a predictive tool for 1185 1186 the absorption of bioactive components from foods (Chitchumroonchokchai and Failla 1187 2006). Caco-2 is a cell line originating from human colonic carcinoma that exhibits some morphological and functional characteristics similar to those of differentiated 1188 1189 epithelial cells that line the intestinal mucosa (Sambruy and others 2001). The in vitro 1190 digestion/Caco-2 cell culture model developed by Glahn and others (1998) offers a 1191 rapid, low-cost method for screening foods and food combinations for iron uptake 1192 before more definitive human trials (Hur and others 2011). Most Caco-2 cell model 1193 studies were carried out to model iron uptake and many researchers reported that the 1194 estimation of iron bioavailability, but also that of other phytochemicals such as 1195 carotenoids from the in vitro digestion/Caco-2 cell culture model has been well 1196 correlated, qualitatively and quantitatively, with human data (Garrett and others 1999; 1197 Mahler and others 2009). Caco-2 cells have also been applied to a number of uptake and 1198 transport studies for both hydrophilic constituents (such as polyphenols) and lipophilic 1199 compounds (such as carotenoids). Garret and others (1999, 2000) developed a coupled 1200 digestion/Caco-2 human intestinal cell system to examine cellular acquisition of

1201 micellarized carotenoids and other lipophilic components from digested foods, 1202 supplements, and meals. While the majority of studies have focused on simple uptake 1203 employing a biphasic model with the apical membrane and the cell layer, uptake models 1204 including also an additional basolateral compartment are also available to allow the 1205 study of fluxes and, therefore, kinetic parameters through the cell layer (Reboul and 1206 others 2006; Manzano and Williamson 2010; Biehler and others 2011a). However, the 1207 latter requires transwell inserts, which are more costly, and the concentrations to be 1208 determined are usually lower and may require more sophisticated analytical instruments 1209 for detection, such as mass spectrometry, and may not be feasible for easily studying 1210 minor food constituents. More detailed discussion on characteristics and limitations of 1211 standard in vitro digestion methods coupled with a Caco-2 cell model can be found in 1212 review articles by Failla and others (2008a) and by Biehler and others (2011a). More 1213 recently, the Caco-2 cell model has been extended by adding a layer of mucus-1214 producing cells (such as HT-29 MTX cells) on top of the Caco-2 cells. However, only 1215 preliminary data are available on how this system performs compared to Caco-2 cells 1216 alone, although this may represent a more realistic approach, which may further hamper 1217 uptake of more lipophilic constituents due to the additional mechanical barrier 1218 (Nollevaux and others 2006). Also, Ussing chambers are used, in order to obtain a better 1219 understanding of the transport processes on a molecular basis. This is a 1220 model that simulates the mucosa and its luminal/apical side (Bergmann H and others 1221 2009; Clarke LL 2009). For example, Deusser H and others (2013) have used the 1222 Ussing chamber to evaluate apple polyphenol transport and their effect on mucosal 1223 integrity.

1224

#### 1225 Conclusions and Summary

1226

1227 Many considerations have to be taken into account when determining 1228 bioaccessibility of phytochemicals by means of in vitro digestion models. Two 1229 important criteria are whether the focus of research is on biochemical transformation of 1230 food components and metabolomics, favoring the metabolic batch models, or if the 1231 close simulation of dynamic physiological conditions and changes in microbial 1232 population are the primary aims, the use of continuous models such as the TIM models 1233 can be recommended. An additional criterion is the lipophilicity of the phytochemicals 1234 of interest. While for hydrophilic compounds such as for polyphenols, often associated 1235 with fiber or complex carbohydrates, amylase digestion and perhaps particle size appear 1236 to play predominant roles. Whereas, for lipophilic compounds, (such as carotenoids) 1237 emulsifying agents, (presence of dietary fats, bile salts, and sufficient lipolytic activity), 1238 appear crucial, thus their use during digestion should be well considered and 1239 standardized. This includes adjusting pH values and sufficient digestion times to allow 1240 for optimal enzyme functioning comparable to the in vivo situation. The suggested 1241 conditions for static digestion models are outlined in Table 5. In addition, lipophilic 1242 phytochemicals require separation of the micellar fraction prior to further investigation, 1243 such as via ultracentrifugation (static model), filtration, or employing a membrane 1244 (dynamic model). Coupling the cell-based uptake model with large intestinal digestion 1245 model is a comparatively novel but important completion of modeling digestion. This 1246 may especially be suitable for compounds such as polyphenols, which are metabolized 1247 and taken up from the colon.

1248 Until now, the lacking of consensus values for the different digestion parameters has 1249 hampered the possibility to compare results across different studies. The suggested 1250 conditions are based in relevant *in vivo* data, yet further studies should be done to validate its use and limitations in phytochemicals digestion. While still having their limitations, much insightful information has been gained from applying *in vitro* digestion models to phytochemical research. The recent improvements in our understanding and the advances in the technology warrant continuous research in the important area of bioavailability.

1256

1257

- 1258 Acknowledgements
- 1259

The authors thank André Brodkorb, for his critical reading of the manuscript and 1260 1261 helpful suggestions, Grethe Iren Borge, for her recommendations. Also, to COST action 1262 FA1005 Infogest is acknowledged for providing funding for travel and meetings. The 1263 authors also acknowledge support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia under 1264 grants PEstOE/EQB/LA0004/2011 and SRFH/BPD/84618/2012 (CNS), to the Scottish 1265 Government Strategic Research and Partnership Programmes (GJM), to the European 1266 Commission for financial support of EUBerry FP7 KBBE-2010-4 265942 (CNS), 1267 EtherPaths FP7-KBBE-222639 (AMA), and to the Spanish MINECO (Grants: AGL2009-13361-C02-02, AGL2012-35814, RM2011-00003-00-00 and Consolider 1268 1269 Ingenio 2010 FUN-C-FOOD-CSD2007-00063) (TR).

1270

## 1271 Conflicts of Interest

1272 The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the 1273 content and writing of the paper.

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278	
1279	
1280	
1281	
1282	

## 1283 **References**

- Adlercreutz H, van der Wildt J, Kinzel J, Attalla H, Wahala K, Makela T, Hase T, Fotsis T.
  1285 1995. Lignan and isoflavonoid conjugates in human urine. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol
  52:97-103.
- 1287 Aherne SA, Daly T, Jiwan MA, O'Sullivan L, O'Brien NM. 2010. Bioavailability of  $\beta$ -1288 carotene isomers from raw and cooked carrots using an *in vitro* digestion model coupled 1289 with a human intestinal Caco-2 cell model. Food Res Int 43:1449-54.
- Aps JKM, Martens LC. 2005. Review: The physiology of saliva and transfer of drugs intosaliva. Forensic Sci Int 150:119-31.
- Armand M, Borel P, Dubois C, Senft M, Peyrot J, Salducci J, Lafont H, Lairon D. 1994.
  Characterization of emulsions and lipolysis of dietary lipids in the human stomach. Am J
  Physiol 266:G372-81.
- Armand M, Borel P, Pasquier B, Dubois C, Senft M, Andre M, Peyrot J, Salducci J, Lairon
  D. 1996a. Physicochemical characteristics of emulsions during fat digestion in human
  stomach and duodenum. Am J Physiol 271:G172-83.
- Armand M, Hamosh M, DiPalma JS, Gallagher J, Benjamin SB, Philpott JR, Lairon D,
  Hamosh P. 1995. Dietary fat modulates gastric lipase activity in healthy humans. Am J
  Clin Nutr 62:74-80.
- Armand M, Hamosh M, Mehta NR, Angelus PA, Philpott JR, Henderson TR, Dwyer NK,
  Lairon D, Hamosh P. 1996b. Effect of human milk or formula on gastric function and fat
  digestion in the premature infant. Pediatr Res 40:429-37.
- Armand M. 2007. Lipases and lipolysis in the human digestive tract: where do we stand?
   Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 10:156-64.
- Arranz S, Manuel Silvan J, Saura-Calixto F. 2010. Nonextractable polyphenols, usually
  ignored, are the major part of dietary polyphenols: A study on the Spanish diet. Mol Nutr
  Food Res 54:1646-58.
- Arts ICW, Hollman PCH. 2005. Polyphenols and disease risk in epidemiologic studies. Am JClin Nutr 81:317S-25S.
- Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes GR, Tap 1311 J, Bruls T, Batto JM, Bertalan M, Borruel N, Casellas F, Fernandez L, Gautier L, Hansen 1312 1313 T, Hattori M, Hayashi T, Kleerebezem M, Kurokawa K, Leclerc M, Levenez F, 1314 Manichanh C, Nielsen HB, Nielsen T, Pons N, Poulain J, Qin J, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Tims S, Torrents D, Ugarte E, Zoetendal EG, Wang J, Guarner F, Pedersen O, de Vos WM, 1315 1316 Brunak S, Doré J; MetaHIT Consortium, Antolín M, Artiguenave F, Blottiere HM, Almeida M, Brechot C, Cara C, Chervaux C, Cultrone A, Delorme C, Denariaz G, Dervyn 1317 1318 R, Foerstner KU, Friss C, van de Guchte M, Guedon E, Haimet F, Huber W, van Hylckama-Vlieg J, Jamet A, Juste C, Kaci G, Knol J, Lakhdari O, Layec S, Le Roux K, 1319 1320 Maguin E, Mérieux A, Melo Minardi R, M'rini C, Muller J, Oozeer R, Parkhill J, Renault P, Rescigno M, Sanchez N, Sunagawa S, Torrejon A, Turner K, Vandemeulebrouck G, 1321 1322 Varela E, Winogradsky Y, Zeller G, Weissenbach J, Ehrlich SD, Bork P. 2011. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 473:174-80. 1323

- 1324
- Aura A-M, Mattila I, Hyötyläinen T, Gopalacharyulu P, Cheynier V, Souquet J-M, Bes M,
  Bourvellec C, Guyot S, Orešič M. 2012. Characterization of microbial metabolism of
  Syrah grape products in an *in vitro* colon model using targeted and non-targeted analytical
  approaches. Eur J Nutr 52:833-46.
- Aura A-M, Mattila I, Seppänen-Laakso T, Miettinen J, Oksman-Caldentey K-M, Orešič M.
  2008. Microbial metabolism of catechin stereoisomers by human faecal microbiota:
  Comparison of targeted analysis and a non-targeted metabolomics method. Phytochem
  Lett 1:18-22.
- Aura A-M, O'Leary KA, Williamson G, Ojala M, Bailey M, Puupponen-Pimiä R, Nuutila
  AM, Oksman-Caldentey KM, Poutanen K. 2002. Quercetin derivatives are deconjugated
  and converted to hydroxyphenylacetic acids but not methylated by human fecal flora *in vitro*. J Agric Food Chem 50:1725-30.
- Aura A-M. 2005. *In vitro* metabolism of anthocyanins by human gut microbiota. Eur J. Nutr.
  44, 133-142.
- 1339
- Aura A-M. 2008. Microbial metabolism of dietary phenolic compounds in the colon.Phytochem Rev 7:407-29.
- Ballance S, Sahlstrøm S, Lea P, Nagy N, Andersen P, Dessev T, Hull S, Vardakou M, Faulks
  R. 2013. Evaluation of gastric processing and duodenal digestion of starch in six cereal
  meals on the associated glycaemic response using an adult fasted dynamic gastric model.
  Eur J Nutr 52:799-812.
- Barrington R., Williamson G., Bennett R.N., Davis B.D., Brodbelt J.S.Kroon P.A. 2009.
  Absorption, conjugation and efflux of the flavonoids, kaempferol and galangin, using the intestinal CaCo-2/TC7 cell model. J Funct Foods 1(1):74-87.
- Barry JL, Hoebler C, Macfarlane, GT, Macfarlane S, Methers JC, Reed KA, Mortensen PB,
  Norgarrds I, Rowland IR, Rumney CJ. 1995. Estimation of the fermentability of dietary
  fibre *in vitro*: a European interlaboratory study. Br J Nutr 74:303-22.
- Bassinello PZ, Cordenunsi BR, Lajolo FM. 2002. Amylolytic activity in fruits: A comparison
   of different substrates and methods using banana as model. J Agric Food Chem 50:5781-6.
- 1354 Beer MU, Wood PJ, Weisz J, Fillion N. 1997. Effect of cooking and storage on the amount 1355 and molecular weight of  $(1\rightarrow 3)(1\rightarrow 4)$ -β-d-glucan extracted from oat products by an *in* 1356 *vitro* digestion system. Cereal Chem 74:705-9.
- Bengtsson A, Brackmann C, Enejder A, Alminger ML, Svanberg U. 2010. Effects of thermal
   processing on the *in vitro* bioaccessibility and microstructure of β-carotene in orange fleshed sweet potato. J Agric Food Chem 58:11090-6.
- Bengtsson A, Larsson Alminger M, Svanberg U. 2009. *In vitro* bioaccessibility of β-carotene
   from heat-processed orange-fleshed sweet potato. J Agric Food Chem 57:9693-8.

- Bennick A. 2002. Interaction of plant polyphenols with salivary proteins. Crit Rev Oral BiolMed 13:184-96.
- Bergmann H, Rogoll D, Scheppach W, Melcher R, Richling E. 2009. The Ussing type
  chamber model to study the intestinal transport and modulation of specific tight-junction
  genes using a colonic cell line. Mol Nutr Food Res 53(10):1211-25.
- Bermudez-Soto MJ, Tomas-Barberan FA, Garcia-Conesa MT. 2007. Stability of polyphenols
   in chokeberry (*Aronia melanocarpa*) subjected to *in vitro* gastric and pancreatic digestion.
   Food Chem 102:865-74.
- Biehler E, Kaulmann A, Hoffmann L, Krause E, Bohn T. 2011a. Dietary and host-related
  factors influencing carotenoid bioaccessibility from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*). Food
  Chem 125:1328-34.
- Biehler E, Hoffmann L, Krause E, Bohn T. 2011b. Divalent minerals decrease micellarization
  and uptake of carotenoids and digestion products into Caco-2 cells. J Nutr 141:1769-76.
- Blanquet-Diot S, Soufi M, Rambeau M, Rock E, Alric M. 2009. Digestive stability of
  xanthophylls exceeds that of carotenes as studied in a dynamic *in vitro* gastrointestinal
  system. J Nutr 139:876-83.
- Bohin MC, Vincken JP, van der Hijden H, Gruppen H. 2012. Efficacy of food proteins ascarriers for flavonoids. J Agric Food Chem 60:4136-43.
- Bohn T. 2008. Bioavailability of non-provitamin A carotenoids. Curr Nutr Food Sci 4:240-58.
- Boileau AC, Merchen NR, Wasson K, Atkinson CA, Erdman JW, Jr. 1999. Cis-lycopene is
  more bioavailable than trans-lycopene *in vitro* and *in vivo* in lymph-cannulated ferrets. J
  Nutr 129:1176-81.
- Bolca S, Van de Wiele T, Possemiers S. 2012. Gut metabotypes govern health effects of
  dietary polyphenols. Curr Opin Biotechnol 24:220-5.
- Bolca S, Wyns C, Possemiers S, Depypere H, De Keukeleire D, Bracke M, Verstraete W,
  Heyerick A. 2009. Cosupplementation of isoflavones, prenylflavonoids, and lignans alters
  human exposure to phytoestrogen-derived 17 β-estradiol equivalents. J Nutr 139:2293300.
- Borgstrom B, Dahlqvist A, Lundh G and Sjovall J. 1957. Studies of intestinal digestion and
   absorption in the human. J Clin Invest 36:1521-36.
- Bouayed J, Deußer H, Hoffmann L, Bohn T. 2012. Bioaccessible and dialysable polyphenols
  in selected apple varieties following *in vitro* digestion vs. their native patterns. Food Chem
  1395 131:1466-72.
- Bouayed J, Hoffmann L, Bohn T. 2011. Total phenolics, flavonoids, anthocyanins and
  antioxidant activity following simulated gastro-intestinal digestion and dialysis of apple
  varieties: Bioaccessibility and potential uptake. Food Chem 128:14-21.

- Braganza JM, Herman K, Hine P, Kay G, Sandle GI. 1978. Pancreatic enzymes in human duodenal juice a comparison of responses in secretin pancreozymin and Lundh Borgstrom tests. Gut 19:358-66.
- Braune A, Bunzel M, Yonekura R, Blaut M. 2009. Conversion of dehydrodiferulic acids by
   human intestinal microbiota. J Agric Food Chem 57:3356-62.
- Brouillard R, Wigand MC, Dangles O, Cheminat A. 1991. pH and solvent effects on the
   copigmentation reaction of malvin with polyphenols, purine and pyrimidine derivatives. J
   Chem Soc 2:1235-41.
- Brown EM, McDougall GJ, Stewart D, Pereira-Caro G, González-Barrio R, Allsopp P,
  Magee P, Crozier A, Rowland I, Gill CIR. 2012. Persistence of anticancer activity in berry
  extracts after simulated gastrointestinal digestion and colonic fermentation. Open Acccess
  Journal: PLoS ONE 7:e49740.
- Brunner G, Hell M, Hengels KJ, Hennig U, Fuchs W. 1995. Influence of lansoprazole on intragastric 24-hour pH, meal-stimulated gastric acid secretion, and concentrations of gastrointestinal hormones and enzymes in serum and gastric juice in healthy volunteers. Digestion 56:137-44.
- Capolino P, Guérin C, Paume J, Giallo J, Ballester J-M, Cavalier J-F,Carrière F. 2011. *In vitro* gastrointestinal lipolysis: replacement of human digestive lipases by a combination of rabbit gastric and porcine pancreatic extracts. Food Dig 2:43-51.
- 1418 Carriere F, Barrowman JA, Verger R, Laugier R. 1993. Secretion and contribution to
  1419 lipolysis of gastric and pancreatic lipases during a test meal in humans. Gastroenterology
  1420 105:876-88.
- 1421 Carter P, Gray LJ, Troughton J, Khunti K, Davies MJ. 2010. Fruit and vegetable intake and
  1422 incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ
  1423 341:c4229.
- 1424 Cerda B, Espin JC, Parra S, Martinez P, Tomas-Barberan FA. 2004. The potent *in vitro* antioxidant ellagitannins from pomegranate juice are metabolised into bioavailable but poor antioxidant hydroxy-6H-dibenzopyran-6-one derivatives by the colonic microflora of healthy humans. Eur J Nutr 43:205-20.
- 1428 Chen J, Gaikwad V, Holmes M, Murray B, Povey M, Wang Y, Zhang Y. 2011. Development
  1429 of a simple model device for *in vitro* gastric digestion investigation. Food Funct 2:174-82.
- 1430 Chitchumroonchokchai C, Failla ML. 2006. Hydrolysis of zeaxanthin esters by carboxyl ester
  1431 lipase during digestion facilitates micellarization and uptake of the xanthophyll by Caco-2
  1432 human intestinal cells. J Nutr 136:588-94.
- 1433 Chitchumroonchokchai C, Schwartz SJ, Failla ML. 2004. Assessment of lutein bioavailability
  1434 from meals and a supplement using simulated digestion and Caco-2 human intestinal cells.
  1435 J Nutr 134:2280-86.
- Cilla A, González-Sarrías A, Tomás-Barberán FA, Espín JC, Barberá R. 2009. Availability of
   polyphenols in fruit beverages subjected to *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion and their

- 1438 effects on proliferation, cell-cycle and apoptosis in human colon cancer Caco-2 cells. Food1439 Chem 114:813-20.
- Cilla A, Perales S, Lagarda MJ, Barberá R, Clemente G, Farré R. 2011. Influence of storage
  and *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion on total antioxidant capacity of fruit beverages. J
  Food Compost Anal 24:87-94.
- 1443
- 1444 Clarke L L. 2009. A guide to Ussing chamber studies of mouse intestine. Am J Physiol
- 1445 Gastrointest Liver Physiol 296(6):G1151-66
- 1446 Clarysse S, Tack J, Lammert F, Duchateau G, Reppas C, Augustijns P. 2009. Postprandial
  1447 evolution in composition and characteristics of human duodenal fluids in different
  1448 nutritional states. J Pharm Sci 98:1177-92.
- Clifford MN, Scalbert A. 2000. Ellagitannins nature, occurrence and dietary burden. J Sci
   Food Agric 80:1118-25.
- 1451 Clifford MN. 2000. Anthocyanins nature, occurence and dietary burden. J Sci Food Agric1452 80:1063-72.
- Colle I, Van Buggenhout S, Van Loey A, Hendrickx M. 2010. High-pressure homogenization
   followed by thermal processing of tomato pulp: Influence on microstructure and lycopene
   *in vitro* bioaccessibility. Food Res Int 43:2193-200.
- Courraud J, Berger J, Cristol J-P, Avallone S. 2013. Stability and bioaccessibility of different
   forms of carotenoids and vitamin A during *in vitro* digestion. Food Chem 136:871-77.
- 1458 Czank C, Cassidy A, Zhang Q, Morrison DJ, Preston T, Kroon PA, Botting NP, Kay CD.
  1459 2013. Human metabolism and elimination of the anthocyanin, cyanidin-3-glucoside: a
  1460 (13)C-tracer study. Am J Clin Nutr 97(5):995-1003. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.049247.
- 1461 Dangles O, Dufour C. 2005. Flavonoid-protein interactions. In: Andersen ØM, Markham KR,
  1462 editors. Flavonoids: Chemistry, biochemistry and applications. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC
  1463 Press.
- 1464
- 1465 Dangles O, Dufour C. 2008. Flavonoid-protein binding processes and their potential impact
- on human health. In: Daayf F, Lattanzio V, editors. Recent advances in polyphenol research.
  Wiley Online Library. p 67-87.
- Daniel EM, Ratnayake S, Kinstle T, Stoner GD. 1991. The effects of pH and rat intestinal
  contents on the liberation of ellagic acid from purified and crude ellagitannins. J Nat Prod
  54:946-52.
- Darwiche G, Almer LO, Bjorgell O, Cederholm C, Nilsson P. 1999. Measurement of gastric
  emptying by standardized real-time ultrasonography in healthy subjects and diabetic
  patients. J Ultrasound Med 18:673-82.
- 1474 Daugherty AL, Mrsny RJ. 1999. Transcellular uptake mechanisms of the intestinal epithelial
   1475 barrier. Part one. Pharm Sci Technolo Today 2:144-51.

- 1476 Day AJ, Cañada FJ, Díaz JC, Kroon PA, McLauchlan R, Faulds CB, Plumb GW, Morgan
  1477 MRA, Williamson G. 2000. Dietary flavonoid and isoflavone glycosides are hydrolysed
  1478 by the lactase site of lactase phlorizin hydrolase. FEBS Letters 468:166-70.
- Daykin CA, Van Duynhoven JP, Groenewegen A, Dachtler M, Van Amelsvoort JM, Mulder
  TP. 2005. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic based studies of the metabolism of
  black tea polyphenols in humans. J Agric Food Chem 53:1428-34.
- de Freitas V, Mateus N. 2001. Structural features of procyanidin interactions with salivary
   proteins. J Agric Food Chem 49:940-5.
- de Pascual-Teresa S, Hallund J, Talbot D, Schroot J, Williams CM, Bugel S, Cassidy A.
  2006. Absorption of isoflavones in humans: effects of food matrix and processing. J Nutr
  Biochem 17:257-64.
- Déat E, Blanquet-Diot Sp, Jarrige J-Fo, Denis S, Beyssac E, Alric M. 2009. Combining the
  dynamic TNO-gastrointestinal tract system with a Caco-2 cell culture model: application
  to the assessment of lycopene and α-tocopherol bioavailability from a whole food. J Agric
  Food Chem 57:11314-20.
- 1491 Degen LP, Phillips SF. 1996. Variability of gastrointestinal transit in healthy women and1492 men. Gut 39:299-305.
- Deusser H, Rogoll D, Scheppach W, Volk A, Melcher R, Richling E. 2013. Gastrointestinal
  absorption and metabolism of apple polyphenols ex vivo by the pig intestinal mucosa in
  the Ussing chamber. Biotechnol J 8(3):363-70
- Dhuique-Mayer C, Borel P, Reboul E, Caporiccio B, Besancon P, Amiot MJ. 2007. Betacryptoxanthin from citrus juices: assessment of bioaccessibility using an *in vitro*digestion/Caco-2 cell culture model. Br J Nutr 97:883-90.
- 1499 Dukehart MR, Dutta SK, Vaeth J. 1989. Dietary fiber supplementation: effect on exocrine1500 pancreatic secretion in man. Am J Clin Nutr 50:1023-8.
- 1501 Dupas C, Baglieri AM, Ordonaud C, Tome D, Maillard MN. 2006. Chlorogenic acid is
  1502 poorly absorbed, independently of the food matrix: A Caco-2 cells and rat chronic
  1503 absorption study. Mol Nutr Food Res 50:1053-60.
- Eastwood M, Morris E. 1992. Physical properties of dietary fiber that influence physiological
   function: a model for polymers along the gastrointestinal tract. Am J Clin Nutr 55:436-42.
- Ellis PR, Kendall CW, Ren Y, Parker C, Pacy JF, Waldron KW, Jenkins DJ. 2004. Role ofcell walls in the bioaccessibility of lipids in almond seeds. Am J Clin Nutr 80:604-13.
- Engelen L, de Wijk RA, Prinz JF, van der Bilt A, Bosman F. 2003. The relation between
  saliva flow after different stimulations and the perception of flavor and texture attributes in
  custard desserts. Physiol Behav 78:165-9.
- Erk T, Williamson G, Renouf M, Marmet C, Steiling H, Dionisi F, Barron D, Melcher R,
  Richling E. 2012. Dose-dependent absorption of chlorogenic acids in the small intestine
  assessed by coffee consumption in ileostomists. Mol Nutr Food Res 56:1488-500.

- Etcheverry P, Grusak MA, Fleige LE. 2012. Application of *in vitro* bioaccessibility and
  bioavailability methods for calcium, carotenoids, folate, iron, magnesium, polyphenols,
  zinc and vitamins B6, B12, D, and E. Front Physiol 3:317. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00317.
- Failla ML, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Ishida BK. 2008a. *In vitro* micellarization and
  intestinal cell uptake of cis isomers of lycopene exceed those of all-trans lycopene. J Nutr
  138:482-6.
- Failla ML, Huo T, Thakkar SK. 2008b. *In vitro* screening of relative bioaccessibility of
  carotenoids from foods. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 17:200-03.
- Failla ML, Thakkar SK, Kim JY. 2009. *In vitro* bioaccessibility of β-carotene in orange
  fleshed sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*, Lam.). J Agric Food Chem 57:10922-27.
- Faulks RM, Hart DJ, Scott KJ, Southon S. 1998. Changes in plasma carotenoid and vitamin E
  profile during supplementation with oil palm fruit carotenoids. J Lab Clin Med 132:50711.
- Fazzari M, Fukumoto L, Mazza G, Livrea MA, Tesoriere L, Di Marco L. 2008. *In vitro*bioavailability of phenolic compounds from five cultivars of frozen sweet cherries (*Prunus avium* L.). J Agric Food Chem 56:3561-68.
- Feldman M, Cryer B, Lee E. 1998. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis on gastric secretion in healthy human beings. Am J Physiol 274:G1011-7.
- Fleschhut J, Kratzer F, Rechkemmer G, Kulling SE. 2006. Stability and biotransformation of
   various dietary anthocyanins *in vitro*. Eur J Nutr 45:7-18.
- Fogliano V, Corollaro ML, Vitaglione P, Napolitano A, Ferracane R, Travaglia F, Arlorio M,
  Costabile A, Klinder A, Gibson G. 2011. *In vitro* bioaccessibility and gut
  biotransformation of polyphenols present in the water-insoluble cocoa fraction. Mol Nutr
  Food Res 55:S44-S55.
- Gao K, Xu AL, Krul C, Venema K, Liu Y, Niu YT, Lu JX, Bensoussan L, Seeram NP, Heber 1538 1539 D, Henning SM. 2006. Of the major phenolic acids formed during human microbial 1540 fermentation of tea, citrus, and soy flavonoid supplements. only 3.4-1541 dihydroxyphenylacetic acid has antiproliferative activity. J Nutr 136:52-57.
- Gardner JD, Ciociola AA, Robinson M. 2002. Measurement of meal-stimulated gastric acid
   secretion by *in vivo* gastric autotitration. J Appl Physiol 92:427-34.
- Garidel P, Hildebrand A, Knauf K, Blume A. 2007. Membranolytic activity of bile salts:
  influence of biological membrane properties and composition. Molecules 12:2292-326.
- Garrett DA, Failla ML, Sarama RJ. 1999. Development of an *in vitro* digestion method toassess carotenoid bioavailability from meals. J Agric Food Chem 47:4301-9.
- Garrett DA, Failla ML, Sarama RJ. 2000. Estimation of carotenoid bioavailability from fresh
   stir-fried vegetables using an *in vitro* digestion/Caco-2 cell culture model. J Nutr Biochem
   11:574-80.

- Gartner C, Stahl W, Sies H. 1997. Lycopene is more bioavailable from tomato paste thanfrom fresh tomatoes. Am J Clin Nutr 66:116-22.
- Gawlik-Dziki U, Dziki D, Baraniak B, Lin R. 2009. The effect of simulated digestion *in vitro*on bioactivity of wheat bread with Tartary buckwheat flavones addition. LWT Food
  Science and Technology 42:137-43.
- Gawlik-Dziki U. 2012. Changes in the antioxidant activities of vegetables as a consequence
   of interactions between active compounds. J Funct Foods 4:872-82.
- Gil-Izquierdo A, Gil MI, Tomas-Barberan FA, Ferreres F. 2003. Influence of industrial
   processing on orange juice flavanone solubility and transformation to chalcones under
   gastrointestinal conditions. J Agric Food Chem 51:3024-28.
- Gil-Izquierdo A, Zafrilla P, Tomas-Barberan FA. 2002. An *in vitro* method to simulate
   phenolic compound release from the food matrix in the gastrointestinal tract. Eur Food
   Res Technol 214:155-59.
- Ginsburg I, Koren E, Shalish M, Kanner J, Kohen R. 2012. Saliva increases the availability
  of lipophilic polyphenols as antioxidants and enhances their retention in the oral cavity.
  Arch Oral Biol 57:1327-34.
- Glahn RP, Lee OA, Yeung A, Goldman MI, Miller DD. 1998. Caco-2 cell ferritin formation
  predicts nonradiolabeled food iron availability in an *in vitro* digestion/Caco-2 cell culture
  model. J Nutr 128:1555-61.
- Goetze O., Steingoetter A., Menne D., van der Voort IR., Kwiatek MA., Boesiger P.,
  Weishaupt D., Thumshirn M., Fried M.Schwizer W. 2007. The effect of macronutrients on
  gastric volume responses and gastric emptying in humans: a magnetic resonance imaging
  study. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292(1):G11-G17.
- Golding M, Wooster TJ. 2010. The influence of emulsion structure and stability on lipid
   digestion. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 15:90-101.
- 1576
- Goncalves R., Soares S., Mateus N.De Freitas V. 2007. Inhibition of trypsin by condensed
   tannins and wine. J Agric Food Chem 55(18):7596-7601.
- González-Barrio R, Edwards CA, Crozier A. 2011. Colonic catabolism of ellagitannins,
  ellagic acid, and raspberry anthocyanins: *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. Drug Metab Dispos
  39:1680-8.
- Gorelik S, Lapidot T, Shaham I, Granit R, Ligumsky M, Kohen R, Kanner J. 2005. Lipid
  peroxidation and coupled vitamin oxidation in simulated and human gastric fluid inhibited
  by dietary polyphenols: Health implications. J Agric Food Chem 53:3397-402.
- Gorelik S, Ligumsky M, Kohen R, Kanner J. 2008. A novel function of red wine polyphenols
  in humans: prevention of absorption of cytotoxic lipid peroxidation products. Faseb J
  22:41-6.

- Granado-Lorencio F, Olmedilla-Alonso B, Herrero-Barbudo C, Blanco-Navarro I, PérezSacristán B, Blázquez-García S. 2007. *In vitro* bioaccessibility of carotenoids and
  tocopherols from fruits and vegetables. Food Chem 102:641-48.
- Green RJ, Murphy AS, Schulz B, Watkins BA, Ferruzzi MG. 2007. Common tea
  formulations modulate *in vitro* digestive recovery of green tea catechins. Mol Nutr Food
  Res 51:1152-62.
- Gross G, Jacobs DM, Peters S, Possemiers S, van Duynhoven J, Vaughan EE, van de Wiele
  T. 2010. *In vitro* bioconversion of polyphenols from black tea and red wine/grape juice by
  human intestinal microbiota displays strong interindividual variability. J Agric Food Chem
  58:10236-46.
- Gross M, Pfeiffer M, Martini M, Campbell D, Slavin J, Potter J. 1996. The quantitation of
   metabolites of quercetin flavonols in human urine. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev
   5:711-20.
- Guerra A, Etienne-Mesmin L, Livrelli V, Denis S, Blanquet-Diot S, Alric M. 2012.
   Relevance and challenges in modeling human gastric and small intestinal digestion.
   Trends Biotechnol 30:591-600.
- Gumienna M, Lasik M, Czarnecki Z. 2011. Bioconversion of grape and chokeberry wine
   polyphenols during simulated gastrointestinal *in vitro* digestion. Int J Food Sci Nutr
   62:226-33.
- Hall GA. 1996. Digestion and absorption in the gastrointestinal tract. In: Textbook of medical
   physiology. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company. p 833-44.
- Hamer M, Chida Y. 2007. Intake of fruit, vegetables, and antioxidants and risk of type 2
  diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens 25:2361-9.
- Haratifar S, Corredig M. 2014. Interactions between tea catechins and casein micelles andtheir impact on renneting functionality. Food Chem 143:27-32.
- He FJ, Nowson CA, Lucas M, MacGregor GA. 2007. Increased consumption of fruit and
  vegetables is related to a reduced risk of coronary heart disease: meta-analysis of cohort
  studies. J Hum Hypertens 21:717-28.
- He GL, Shankar RA, Chzhan M, Samouilov A, Kuppusamy P, Zweier JL. 1999. Noninvasive
  measurement of anatomic structure and intraluminal oxygenation in the gastrointestinal
  tract of living mice with spatial and spectral EPR imaging. PNAS 96:4586-91.
- 1620
- He Q., Lv Y.Yao K. 2006. Effects of tea polyphenols on the activities of alpha-amylase,
   pepsin, trypsin and lipase. Food Chem 101(3):1178-1182.
- 1623

<sup>Hedrén E, Mulokozi G, Svanberg U. 2002.</sup> *In vitro* accessibility of carotenes from green leafy
vegetables cooked with sunflower oil or red palm oil. Int J Food Sci Nutr 53:445-53.

- Heinonen S, Nurmi T, Liukkonen K, Poutanen K, Wahala K, Deyama T, Nishibe S,
  Adlercreutz H. 2001. *In vitro* metabolism of plant lignans: new precursors of mammalian
  lignans enterolactone and enterodiol. J Agric Food Chem 49:3178-86.
- Hemery YM, Anson NM, Havenaar R, Haenen GRMM, Noort MWJ, Rouau X. 2010. Dryfractionation of wheat bran increases the bioaccessibility of phenolic acids in breads made
  from processed bran fractions. Food Res Int 43:1429-38.
- Hoebler C, Devaux MF, Karinthi A, Belleville C, Barry JL. 2000. Particle size of solid food
  after human mastication and *in vitro* simulation of oral breakdown. Int J Food Sci Nutr
  51:353-66.
- Hoebler C, Karinthi A, Devaux MF, Guillon F, Gallant DJ, Bouchet B, Melegari C, Barry JL.
  1998. Physical and chemical transformations of cereal food during oral digestion in human subjects. Br J Nutr 80:429-36.
- 1638 Holmes R, Lobley RW. 1989. Intestinal brush border revisited. Gut 30(12):1667-78.
- Huo T, Ferruzzi MG, Schwartz SJ, Failla ML. 2007. Impact of fatty acyl composition and
  quantity of triglycerides on bioaccessibility of dietary carotenoids. J Agric Food Chem
  55:8950-57.
- Hur SJ, Lim BO, Decker EA, McClements DJ. 2011. *In vitro* human digestion models forfood applications. Food Chem 125:1-12.
- Iori R, Barillari J, Rollin P. 2004. Comment on *in vitro* gastrointestinal digestion study of
   broccoli inflorescence phenolic compounds, glucosinolates, and vitamin C. J Agric Food
   Chem 52 (24):7432-3..
- Isenman L, Liebow C, Rothman S. 1999. The endocrine secretion of mammalian digestiveenzymes by exocrine glands. Am J Physiol 276:E223-32.
- Jacobs DM, Fuhrmann JC, van Dorsten FA, Rein D, Peters S, van Velzen EJ, Hollebrands B,
   Draijer R, van Duynhoven J, Garczarek U. 2012. Impact of short-term intake of red wine
   and grape polyphenol extract on the human metabolome. J Agric Food Chem 60:3078-85.
- 1652
- 1653 Jakob M. 2008. Normalwerte pocket. 5th ed. Grunwald: Börm Bruckmeier Verlag.
- 16541655 Joannou GE, Kelly GE, Reeder AY, Waring M, Nelson C. 1995. A urinary profile study of
- 1656 dietary phytoestrogens. The identification and mode of metabolism of new isoflavonoids. J
- 1657 Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 54:167-84.
- Johnsen NF, Hausner H, Olsen A, Tetens I, Christensen J, Knudsen KE, Overvad K,
   Tjonneland A. 2004. Intake of whole grains and vegetables determines the plasma
   enterolactone concentration of Danish women. J Nutr 134:2691-7.
- 1661 Kahle K., Kempf M., Schreier P., Scheppach W., Schrenk D., Kautenburger T., Hecker D.,
  1662 Huemmer W., Ackermann M.Richling E. 2011. Intestinal transit and systemic metabolism
  1663 of apple polyphenols. Eur J Nutr 50(7):507-522.

- Kalantzi L, Goumas K, Kalioras V, Abrahamsson B, Dressman JB, Reppas C. 2006.
  Characterization of the human upper gastrointestinal contents under conditions simulating bioavailability/bioequivalence studies. Pharm Res 23:165-76.
- 1667 Karakaya S. 2004. Bioavailability of phenolic compounds. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 44:453-668 64.
- Kern SM, Bennett RN, Mellon FA, Kroon PA, Garcia-Conesa MT. 2003. Absorption of
   hydroxycinnamates in humans after high-bran cereal consumption. J Agric Food Chem
   51:6050-5.
- 1672 Kiers JL, Nout RMJ, Rombouts FM. 2000. *In vitro* digestibility of processed and fermented1673 soya bean, cowpea and maize. J Sci Food Agric 80:1325-31.
- Kilkkinen A, Stumpf K, Pietinen P, Valsta LM, Tapanainen H, Adlercreutz H. 2001.
  Determinants of serum enterolactone concentration. Am J Clin Nutr 73:1094-100.
- 1676 Kim SK. 1968. Small intestine transit time in the normal small bowel study. Am J1677 Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med 104:522-4.
- 1678 Knaup B, Kahle K, Erk T, Valotis A, Scheppach W, Schreier P, Richling E. 2007. Human
  1679 intestinal hydrolysis of phenol glycosides a study with quercetin and p-nitrophenol
  1680 glycosides using ileostomy fluid. Mol Nutr Food Res 51(11):1423-9.
- 1681 Kong F, Singh RP. 2010. A Human Gastric Simulator (HGS) to study food digestion in
  1682 Human stomach. J Food Sci 75:E627-E35.
- Kopf-Bolanz KA, Schwander F, Gijs M, Vergeres G, Portmann R, Egger L. 2012. Validation
   of an *in vitro* digestive system for studying macronutrient decomposition in humans. J
   Nutr 142:245-50.
- 1686 Krzyzanowska J, Czubacka A, Oleszek W. 2010. Dietary phytochemicals and human health.
   1687 Adv Exp Med Biol 698:74-98.
- Kuijsten A, Arts IC, Hollman PC, van't Veer P, Kampman E. 2006. Plasma enterolignans are
  associated with lower colorectal adenoma risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev
  15:1132-6.
- Kuijsten A, Arts IC, Vree TB, Hollman PC. 2005. Pharmacokinetics of enterolignans in
  healthy men and women consuming a single dose of secoisolariciresinol diglucoside. J
  Nutr 135:795-801.
- Kulp KS, Fortson SL, Knize MG, Felton JS. 2003. An *in vitro* model system to predict the
   bioaccessibility of heterocyclic amines from a cooked meat matrix. Food Chem Toxicol
   41:1701-10.
- 1697 Kwiatek M.A., Menne D., Steingoetter A., Goetze O., Forras-Kaufman Z., Kaufman E.,
  1698 Fruehauf H., Boesiger P., Fried M., Schwizer W.Fox M.R. 2009. Effect of meal volume
  1699 and calorie load on postprandial gastric function and emptying: studies under
  1700 physiological conditions by combined fiber-optic pressure measurement and MRI. Am J
  1701 Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 297(5):G894-G901.

- 1702 Lampe JW. 2003. Isoflavonoid and lignan phytoestrogens as dietary biomarkers. J Nutr1703 133:9568-648.
- Laurent C, Besancon P, Caporiccio B. 2007. Flavonoids from a grape seed extract interact
  with digestive secretions and intestinal cells as assessed in an *in vitro* digestion/Caco-2
  cell culture model. Food Chem 100:1704-12.
- 1707 Le Bourvellec C, Renard CMGC. 2011. Interactions between polyphenols and 1708 macromolecules: Quantification methods and mechanisms. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 1709 52:213-48.
- 1710 Lebet V, Arrigoni E, Amado R. 1998. Digestion procedure using mammalian enzymes to
  1711 obtain substrates for *in vitro* fermentation studies. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und 1712 Technologie 31:509-15.
- Lemmens L, Van Buggenhout S, Van Loey AM, Hendrickx ME. 2010. Particle size reduction
   leading to cell wall rupture is more important for the β-carotene bioaccessibility of raw
   compared to thermally processed carrots. J Agric Food Chem 58:12769-76.
- Liang L, Wu X, Zhao T, Zhao J, Li F, Zou Y, Mao G, Yang L. 2012. *In vitro* bioaccessibility
  and antioxidant activity of anthocyanins from mulberry (*Morus atropurpurea Roxb.*)
  following simulated gastro-intestinal digestion. Food Res Int 46:76-82.
- Lin HC, Prather C, Fisher RS, Meyer JH, Summers RW, Pimentel M, McCallum RW,
  Akkermans LMA, Loening-Baucke V. 2005. Measurement of gastrointestinal transit. Dig
  Dis Sci50:989-1004.
- Lindahl A, Ungell AL, Knutson L, Lennernas H. 1997. Characterization of fluids from the
  stomach and proximal jejunum in men and women. Pharm Res 14:497-502.
- Liu C-S, Glahn RP, Liu RH. 2004. Assessment of carotenoid bioavailability of whole foods
  using a Caco-2 cell culture model coupled with an *in vitro* digestion. J Agric Food Chem
  52:4330-37.
- Llorach R, Urpi-Sarda M, Jauregui O, Monagas M, Andres-Lacueva C. 2009. An LC-MSbased metabolomics approach for exploring urinary metabolome modifications after cocoa
  consumption. J Proteome Res 8:5060-8.
- Lorrain B, Dangles O, Genot C, Dufour C. 2010. Chemical modeling of heme-induced lipid
  oxidation in gastric conditions and inhibition by dietary polyphenols. J Agric Food Chem
  58:676-83.
- Lorrain B, Dangles O, Loonis M, Armand M, Dufour C. 2012. Dietary iron-initiated lipid
  oxidation and its inhibition by polyphenols in gastric conditions. J Agric Food Chem
  60:9074-81.
- Ludwig IA, Paz de Peña M, Concepción C, Alan C. 2013. Catabolism of coffee chlorogenic
  acids by human colonic microbiota. Biofactors 39(6):623-32. doi: 10.1002/biof.1124.
- 1738

- Macfarlane GT, Macfarlane S, Gibson GR. 1998. Validation of a three-stage compound
  continuous culture system for investigating the effect of retention time on the ecology and
  metabolism of bacteria in the human colon. Microbial Ecology 35:180-7.
- Macfarlane S, Woodmansey EJ, Macfarlane GT. 2005. Colonization of mucin by human
  intestinal bacteria and establishment of biofilm communities in a two-stage continuous
  culture system. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:7483-92.
- Mahler GJ, Shuler ML, Glahn RP. 2009. Characterization of Caco-2 and HT29-MTX
  cocultures in an *in vitro* digestion/cell culture model used to predict iron bioavailability. J
  Nutr Biochem 20:494-502.
- Manach C, Scalbert A, Morand C, Remesy C, Jimenez L. 2004. Polyphenols: food sources
  and bioavailability. Am J Clin Nutr 79, 727-747.
- Manach C, Williamson G, Morand C, Scalbert A, Rémésy C. 2005. Bioavailability and
  bioefficacy of polyphenols in humans. I. Review of 97 bioavailability studies. Am J Clin
  Nutr 81:230S-42S.
- Mandalari G, Tomaino A, Rich GT, Lo Curto R, Arcoraci T, Martorana M, Bisignano C,
  Saija A, Parker ML, Waldron KW, Wickham MSJ. 2010. Polyphenol and nutrient release
  from skin of almonds during simulated human digestion. Food Chem 122:1083-88.
- Manzano S, Williamson G. 2010. Polyphenols and phenolic acids from strawberry and apple
  decrease glucose uptake and transport by human intestinal Caco-2 cells. Mol Nutr Food
  Res 54:1773-80.
- Marciani L., Gowland P.A., Spiller R.C., Manoj P., Moore R.J., Young P.Fillery-Travis A.J.
  2001. Effect of meal viscosity and nutrients on satiety, intragastric dilution, and emptying
  assessed by MRI. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 280(6):G1227-G1233.
- Marzorati M, Abbeele P, Possemiers S, Benner J, Verstraete W, Wiele T. 2011. Studying the
   host-microbiota interaction in the human gastrointestinal tract: basic concepts and *in vitro* approaches. Ann Microbiol 61:709-15.
- Mateo Anson N, Aura AM, Selinheimo E, Mattila I, Poutanen K, van den Berg R, Havenaar
  R, Bast A, Haenen GR. 2011. Bioprocessing of wheat bran in whole wheat bread increases
  the bioavailability of phenolic acids in men and exerts antiinflammatory effects *ex vivo*. J
  Nutr 141:137-43.
- Mateo Anson N, van den Berg R, Havenaar R, Bast A, Haenen GRMM. 2009. Bioavailability
  of ferulic acid is determined by its bioaccessibility. J Cereal Sci 49:296-300.
- Mathes SH, Wohlwend L, Uebersax L, von Mentlen R, Thoma DS, Jung RE, Gorlach C,
  Graf-Hausner U. 2010. A bioreactor test system to mimic the biological and mechanical
  environment of oral soft tissues and to evaluate substitutes for connective tissue grafts.
  Biotechnol Bioeng 107:1029-39.
- McClements DJ, Li Y. 2010. Review of *in vitro* digestion models for rapid screening ofemulsion-based systems. Food Funct1:32-59.

- McClements DJ, Decker EA, Park Y. 2008. Controlling lipid bioavailability through
  physicochemical and structural approaches. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 49:48-67.
- McCoy MG, Sun GS, Marchadier D, Maugeais C, Glick JM, Rader DJ. 2002.
  Characterization of the lipolytic activity of endothelial lipase. J Lipid Res 43:921-9.
- McDougall GJ, Dobson P, Smith P, Blake A, Stewart D. 2005b. Assessing potential
  bioavallability of raspberry anthocyanins using an *in vitro* digestion system. J Agric Food
  Chem 53:5896-904.
- McDougall GJ, Fyffe S, Dobson P, Stewart D. 2005a. Anthocyanins from red wine Their
   stability under simulated gastrointestinal digestion. Phytochemistry 66:2540-48.
- McDougall GJ, Fyffe S, Dobson P, Stewart D. 2007. Anthocyanins from red cabbage stability to simulated gastrointestinal digestion. Phytochemistry 68:1285-94.
- McInerney JK, Seccafien CA, Stewart CM, Bird AR. 2007. Effects of high pressure processing on antioxidant activity, and total carotenoid content and availability, in vegetables. Innov Food Sci Emerg 8:543-48.
- Miller DD, Schricker BR, Rasmussen RR, Van Campen D. 1981. An *in vitro* method for
  estimation of iron availability from meals. Am J Clin Nutr 34:2248-56.
- Minekus MM, P. Havenaar, R. Huisintveldt JHJ. 1995. A multicompartmental dynamic
   computer-controlled model simulating the stomach and small intestine. Altern Lab Anim
   2:197-209.
- Mishellany-Dutour A, Peyron M-A, Croze J, François O, Hartmann C, Alric M, Woda A.
  2011. Comparison of food boluses prepared *in vivo* and by the AM2 mastication simulator.
  Food Qual Prefer 22:326-31.
- Mojaverian P, Vlasses PH, Kellner PE, Rocci ML, Jr. 1988. Effects of gender, posture, and
  age on gastric residence time of an indigestible solid: pharmaceutical considerations.
  Pharm Res 5:639-44.
- 1802 Mojaverian P. 1996. Evaluation of gastrointestinal pH and gastric residence time via the
  1803 Heidelberg radiotelemetry capsule: Pharmaceutical application. Drug Develop Res 38:731804 85.
- Molly K, Vande Woestyne M, Verstraete W. 1993. Development of a 5-step multi-chamber
   reactor as a simulation of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. Appl Microbiol
   Biotechnol 39:254-8.
- Nater UM, Bohus M, Abbruzzese E, Ditzen B, Gaab J, Kleindienst N, Ebner-Priemer U,
  Mauchnik J, Ehlert U. 2010. Increased psychological and attenuated cortisol and alphaamylase responses to acute psychosocial stress in female patients with borderline
  personality disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology 35:1565-72.
- 1812 Neilson AP, George JC, Janle EM, Mattes RD, Rudolph R, Matusheski NV, Ferruzzi MG.
  1813 2009. Influence of chocolate matrix composition on cocoa flavan-3-ol bioaccessibility *in*1814 *vitro* and bioavailability in humans. J Agric Food Chem 57:9418-26.

- 1815 Newton JL, James OF, Williams GV, Allen A. 2004. The diurnal profile of gastric pepsin
  1816 activity is reduced with *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Dig Dis Sci 49:1103-8.
- Nollevaux G, Deville C, El Moualij B, Zorzi W, Deloyer P, Schneider YJ, Peulen O,
  Dandrifosse G. 2006. Development of a serum-free co-culture of human intestinal
  epithelium cell-lines (Caco-2/HT29-5M21). BMC Cell Biol 7:20.
- 1820 Öhrvik V, Witthöft, C. 2008 Orange juice is a good folate source in respect to folate content
  1821 and stability during storage and simulated digestion. Eur J Nutr 92-98.
- 1822 Öhrvik VE, Büttner BE, Rychlik M, Lundin E, Witthöft CM. 2010. Folate bioavailability
  1823 from breads and a meal assessed with a human stable-isotope area under the curve and
  1824 ileostomy model. Am J Clin Nutr 92:532-38.
- Ornelas-Paz JDJ, Failla ML, Yahia EM, Gardea-Bejar A. 2008. Impact of the stage of
   ripening and dietary fat on *in vitro* bioaccessibility of β-carotene in 'Ataulfo' mango. J
   Agric Food Chem 56:1511-16.
- Ortega N, Macia A, Romero MP, Reguant J, Motilva MJ. 2011. Matrix composition effect on
  the digestibility of carob flour phenols by an *in vitro* digestion model. Food Chem 124:6571.
- Ortega N, Reguant J, Romero M-P, Macia A, Motilva M-J. 2009. Effect of fat content on the
   digestibility and bioaccessibility of cocoa polyphenol by an *in vitro* digestion model. J
   Agric Food Chem 57:5743-49.
- Palafox-Carlos H, Ayala-Zavala JF, González-Aguilar GA. 2011. The role of dietary fiber in
   the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of fruit and vegetable antioxidants. J Food Sci
   76:R6–R15.
- Parada J, Aguilera JM. 2007. Food microstructure affects the bioavailability of several nutrients. J Food Sci 72:R21-32.
- Patton JS, Carey MC. 1981. Inhibition of human pancreatic lipase-colipase activity by mixed
  bile salt-phospholipid micelles. Am J Physiol 241:G328-36.
- Pearson JP, Roberts NB. 2001. Mucosal protective effects of ecabet sodium: pepsin inhibition
  and interaction with mucus. Clin Sci (Lond) 100:411-7.
- Perez de la Cruz Moreno M, Oth M, Deferme S, Lammert F, Tack J, Dressman J, Augustijns
  P. 2006. Characterization of fasted-state human intestinal fluids collected from duodenum
  and jejunum. J Pharm Pharmacol 58:1079-89.
- Perez-Vicente A, Gil-Izquierdo A, Garcia-Viguera C. 2002. *In vitro* gastrointestinal digestion
  study of pomegranate juice phenolic compounds, anthocyanins, and vitamin C. J Agric
  Food Chem 50:2308-12.
- Poquet L., Clifford M.N.Williamson G. 2008. Transport and metabolism of ferulic acid
   through the colonic epithelium. Drug Metab Dispos 36(1):190-197.
- Porrini M, Riso P, Testolin G. 1998. Absorption of lycopene from single or daily portions ofraw and processed tomato. Br J Nutr 80:353-61.

- Possemiers S, Bolca S, Verstraete W, Heyerick A. 2011. The intestinal microbiome: A
  separate organ inside the body with the metabolic potential to influence the bioactivity of
  botanicals. Fitoterapia 82:53-66.
- Possemiers S, Rabot S, Espin JC, Bruneau A, Philippe C, Gonzalez-Sarrias A, Heyerick A,
  Tomas-Barberan FA, De Keukeleire D, Verstraete W. 2008. *Eubacterium limosum*activates isoxanthohumol from hops (*Humulus lupulus L.*) into the potent phytoestrogen 8prenylnaringenin *in vitro* and in rat intestine. J Nutr 138:1310-6.
- Poulaert M, Borel P, Caporiccio B, Gunata Z, Dhuique-Mayer C. 2012. Grapefruit juices
  impair the bioaccessibility of β-carotene from orange-fleshed sweet potato but not its
  intestinal uptake by Caco-2 cells. J Agric Food Chem 60:685-91.
- 1863 Reboul E, Richelle M, Perrot E, Desmoulins-Malezet C, Pirisi V, Borel P. 2006.
  1864 Bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E from their main dietary sources. J Agric
  1865 Food Chem 54:8749-55.
- 1866 Rechner AR, Smith MA, Kuhnle G, Gibson GR, Debnam ES, Srai SKS, Moore KP, Rice1867 Evans CA. 2004. Colonic metabolism of dietary polyphenols: influence of structure on
  1868 microbial fermentation products. Free Radic Biol Med 36:212-25.
- 1869 Rios LY, Bennett RN, Lazarus SA, Remesy C, Scalbert A, Williamson G. 2002. Cocoa
  1870 procyanidins are stable during gastric transit in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 76:1106-10.
- 1871 Rodriguez-Roque MJ, Rojas-Grau MA, Elez-Martinez P, Martin-Belloso O. 2013. Soymilk
  1872 phenolic compounds, isoflavones and antioxidant activity as affected by *in vitro*1873 gastrointestinal digestion. Food Chem 136:206-12.
- 1874 Rohleder N, Nater UM. 2009. Determinants of salivary alpha-amylase in humans and 1875 methodological considerations. Psychoneuroendocrinology 34:469-85.
- 1876 Rosa NN, Barron C, Gaiani C, Dufour C, Micard V. 2013a. Ultra-fine grinding increases the
   1877 antioxidant capacity of wheat bran. J Cereal Sci 57:84-90.
- 1878 Rosa NN, Dufour C, Lullien-Pellerin V, Micard V. 2013b. Physical and enzymatic
  1879 destructuration of wheat aleurone layer improves its antioxidant capacity. Food Chem
  1880 141:2355-62.
- 1881 Sambruy Y, Ferruzza S, Ranaldi G, De Angelis I. 2001. Intestinal cell culture models:
  1882 applications in toxicology and pharmacology. Cell Biol Toxicol 17:301-17.
- Sanchez-Patan F, Cueva C, Monagas M, Walton GE, Gibson GR, Quintanilla-Lopez JE,
  Lebron-Aguilar R, Martin-Alvarez PJ, Moreno-Arribas MV, Bartolome B. 2012. *In vitro*fermentation of a red wine extract by human gut microbiota: changes in microbial groups
  and formation of phenolic metabolites. J Agric Food Chem 60:2136-47.
- Sanz T, Luyten H. 2006. Release, partitioning and stability of isoflavones from enriched
  custards during mouth, stomach and intestine *in vitro* simulations. Food Hydrocoll 20:892900.

- 1890 Sawai Y, Kohsaka K, Nishiyama Y, Ando K. 1987. Serum concentrations of rutoside
  1891 metabolites after oral administration of a rutoside formulation to humans.
  1892 Arzneimittelforschung 37:729-32.
- Scalbert A, Morand C, Manach C, Remesy C. 2002. Absorption and metabolism of
  polyphenols in the gut and impact on health. Biomed Pharmacother 56:276-82.
- Schramm DD, Karim M, Schrader HR, Holt RR, Kirkpatrick NJ, Polagruto JA, Ensunsa JL,
   Schmitz HH, Keen CL. 2003. Food effects on the absorption and pharmacokinetics of
   cocoa flavanols. Life Sci 73:857-69.
- 1898 Schulze K. 2006. Imaging and modelling of digestion in the stomach and the duodenum.
  1899 Neurogastroenterol Motil 18:172-83.
- Schweiggert RM, Mezger D, Schimpf F, Steingass CB, Carle R. 2012. Influence of
  chromoplast morphology on carotenoid bioaccessibility of carrot, mango, papaya, and
  tomato. Food Chem 135:2736-42.
- Selma M, Espín JC, Tomás Barberán FA. 2009. Interaction between phenolics and gut
   microbiota: Role in human health. J Agric Food Chem 57:6485-501.
- Sergent T, Dupont I, Jassogne C, Ribonnet L, van der Heiden E, Scippo ML, Muller M,
  McAlister D, Pussemier L, Larondelle Y, Schneider YJ. 2009. CYP1A1 induction and
  CYP3A4 inhibition by the fungicide imazalil in the human intestinal Caco-2 cellscomparison with other conazole pesticides. Toxicol Lett 184:159-68.
- Singleton, VL, JA Rossi Jr., 1965. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdicphosphotungstic acid reagents. Am. J. Enol. Vitic., 16: 144-158.SK S. 2004. Modeling
  mouth as a bioreactor: Bhupat and Jyoti Mehta School of Biosciences Building. Indian
  Institute of Technology, University of Madras.
- Spencer JPE, Chaudry F, Pannala AS, Srai SK, Debnam E, Rice-Evans C. 2000.
  Decomposition of cocoa procyanidins in the gastric milieu. Biochem Biophys Res
  Commun 272:236-41.
- Stahl W, van den Berg H, Arthur J, Bast A, Dainty J, Faulks RM, Gärtner C, Haenen G,
  Hollman P, Holst B, Kelly FJ, Cristina Polidori M, Rice-Evans C, Southon S, van Vliet T,
  Viña-Ribes J, Williamson G, Astley S. 2002. Bioavailability and metabolism. Mol Aspects
  Med 23:39-100.
- 1920 Stalmach A, Mullen W, Barron D, Uchida K, Yokota T, Cavin C, Steiling H, Williamson G,
- 1921 Crozier A. 2009. Metabolite profiling of hydroxycinnamate derivatives in plasma and urine
- after the ingestion of coffee by humans: identification of biomarkers of coffee consumption.
  Drug Metab Dispos 37:1749–1758.
- Sternby B, Hartmann D, Borgstrom B, Nilsson A. 2002. Degree of *in vivo* inhibition of
  human gastric and pancreatic lipases by Orlistat (tetrahydrolipstatin, THL) in the stomach
  and small intestine. Clin Nutr 21:395-402.
- Suska A, Alehagen U, Lundström I, Dahlström U. 2012. Salivary α-amylase activity, a new
   biomarker in heart failure? J Clin Exp Cardiolog S2:005. doi:10.4172/2155-9880.S2-005.

- Sy C, Caris-Veyrat C, Dufour C, Boutaleb M, Borel P, Dangles O. 2012b. Inhibition by novel
  bacterial carotenoids of iron-induced peroxidation of linoleic acid in model gastric
  conditions. Comparison with common carotenoids. Food Funct 4:698-712.
- Sy C, Gleize B, Dangles O, Landrier JF, Veyrat CC, Borel P. 2012a. Effects of
  physicochemical properties of carotenoids on their bioaccessibility, intestinal cell uptake,
  and blood and tissue concentrations. Mol Nutr Food Res 56:1385-97.
- Tabaqchali S, Hatzioannou J, Booth CC. 1968. Bile-salt deconjugation and steatorrhoea in
  patients with the stagnant-loop syndrome. Lancet 2:12-6.
- Tagliazucchi D, Verzelloni E, Conte A. 2012. The first tract of alimentary canal as an
  extractor. Release of phytochemicals from solid food matrices during simulated digestion.
  J Food Biochem 36:555-68.
- Tagliazucchi D, Verzelloni E, Bertolini D, Conte A. 2010. *In vitro* bio-accessibility and
  antioxidant activity of grape polyphenols. Food Chem 120:599-606.
- Thakkar SK, Failla ML. 2008. Bioaccessibility of pro-vitamin A carotenoids is minimally
  affected by non pro-vitamin A xanthophylls in maize (*Zea mays* sp.). J Agric Food Chem
  56:11441-46.
- Thakkar SK, Maziya-Dixon B, Dixon AG, Failla ML. 2007. β-carotene micellarization
  during *in vitro* digestion and uptake by Caco-2 cells is directly proportional to β-carotene
  content in different genotypes of cassava. J Nutr 137:2229-33.
- Tulipani S, Llorach R, Jauregui O, Lopez-Uriarte P, Garcia-Aloy M, Bullo M, Salas-Salvado
   J, Andres-Lacueva C. 2011. Metabolomics unveils urinary changes in subjects with
   metabolic syndrome following 12-week nut consumption. J Proteome Res 10:5047-58.
- Tyssandier V, Reboul E, Dumas J-F, Bouteloup-Demange C, Armand M, Marcand J, Sallas
  M, Borel P. 2003. Processing of vegetable-borne carotenoids in the human stomach and
  duodenum. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 284:G913-G23.
- 1954 Ulleberg EK, Comi I, Holm H, Herud EB, Jacobsen M, Vegarud GE. 2011. Human
  1955 gastrointestinal juices intended for use in *in vitro* digestion models. Food Dig 2:52-61.
- Vallejo F, Larrosa M, Escudero E, Zafrilla MP, Cerda B, Boza J, Garcia-Conesa MT, Espin
   JC, Tomas-Barberan FA. 2010. Concentration and solubility of flavanones in orange
   beverages affect their bioavailability in humans. J Agric Food Chem 58:6516-24.
- 1959 Van Aken GA, Bomhof E, Zoet FD, Verbeek M, Oosterveld A. 2011. Differences in *in vitro*1960 gastric behaviour between homogenized milk and emulsions stabilised by Tween 80, whey
  1961 protein, or whey protein and caseinate. Food Hydrocoll 25:781-88.
- 1962 Van Deest BW, Fordtran JS, Morawski SG, Wilson JD. 1968. Bile salt and micellar fat
  1963 concentration in proximal small bowel contents of ileectomy patients. J Clin Invest
  1964 47:1314-24.
- 1965 Van den Abbeele P, Grootaert C, Marzorati M, Possemiers S, Verstraete W, Gerard P, Rabot
  1966 S, Bruneau A, El Aidy S, Derrien M, Zoetendal E, Kleerebezem M, Smidt H, Van de
  1967 Wiele T. 2010. Microbial community development in a dynamic gut model is

- reproducible, colon region specific, and selective for Bacteroidetes and Clostridium cluster
   IX. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:5237-46.
- 1970 Van den Abbeele P, Roos S, Eeckhaut V, MacKenzie DA, Derde M, Verstraete W, Marzorati
  1971 M, Possemiers S, Vanhoecke B, Van Immerseel F, Van de Wiele T. 2012. Incorporating a
  1972 mucosal environment in a dynamic gut model results in a more representative colonization
  1973 by lactobacilli. Microb Biotechnol 5:106-15.
- 1974 Van Dorsten FA, Peters S, Gross G, Gomez-Roldan V, Klinkenberg M, de Vos RC, Vaughan
  1975 EE, van Duynhoven JP, Possemiers S, van de Wiele T, Jacobs DM. 2012. Gut microbial
  1976 metabolism of polyphenols from black tea and red wine/grape juice is source-specific and
  1977 colon-region dependent. J Agric Food Chem 60:11331-42.
- 1978 Van Het Hof KH, West CE, Weststrate JA, Hautvast JGAJ. 2000. Dietary factors that affect
  1979 the bioavailability of carotenoids. J Nutr 130:503-06.
- 1980 Vardakou M, Mercuri A, Barker S, Craig DM, Faulks R, Wickham MJ. 2011. Achieving
  1981 antral grinding forces in biorelevant *in vitro* models: Comparing the USP dissolution
  1982 apparatus II and the dynamic gastric model with human *in vivo* data. AAPS J 12:620-26.
- 1983 Veda S, Kamath A, Platel K, Begum K, Srinivasan K. 2006. Determination of 1984 bioaccessibility of β-carotene in vegetables by *in vitro* methods. Mol Nutr Food Res 1985 50:1047-52.
- Walsh KR, Haak SJ, Bohn T, Tian Q, Schwartz SJ, Failla ML. 2007. Isoflavonoid glucosides
  are deconjugated and absorbed in the small intestine of human subjects with ileostomies.
  Am J Clin Nutr 85:1050-6.
- Walsh KR, Zhang YC, Vodovotz Y, Schwartz SJ, Failla ML. 2003. Stability and
  bioaccessibility of isoflavones from soy bread during *in vitro* digestion. J Agric Food
  Chem 51:4603-09.
- Wang P, Liu HJ, Mei XY, Nakajima M, Yin LJ. 2012. Preliminary study into the factors
  modulating β-carotene micelle formation in dispersions using an *in vitro* digestion model.
  Food Hydrocoll 26:427-33.
- Wisen O, Johansson C. 1992. Gastrointestinal function in obesity Motility, secretion, and
   absorption following a liquid test meal. Metabolism 41:390-95.
- Woda A, Mishellany-Dutour A, Batier L, François O, Meunier JP, Reynaud B, Alric M,
  Peyron MA. 2010. Development and validation of a mastication simulator. J Biomech
  43:1667-73.
- Worsoe J, Fynne L, Gregersen T, Schlageter V, Christensen L, Dahlerup J, Rijkhoff N,
   Laurberg S, Krogh K. 2011. Gastric transit and small intestinal transit time and motility
   assessed by a magnet tracking system. BMC Gastroenterology 11:145.
- Wright AJ, Pietrangelo C, MacNaughton A. 2008. Influence of simulated upper intestinal
   parameters on the efficiency of beta carotene micellarisation using an *in vitro* model of
   digestion. Food Chem 107:1253-60.

- Wroblewski K, Muhandiram R, Chakrabartty A, Bennick A. 2001. The molecular interaction
   of human salivary histatins with polyphenolic compounds. Eur J Biochem 268:4384-97.
- Yonekura L, Nagao A. 2009. Soluble fibers inhibit carotenoid micellization *in vitro* and uptake by Caco-2 cells. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 73:196-9.
- Yu LX, Crison JR, Amidon GL. 1996. Compartmental transit and dispersion model analysis
   of small intestinal transit flow in humans. Int J Pharm 140:111-18.
- Zangenberg NH, Mullertz A, Kristensen HG, Hovgaard L. 2001a. A dynamic *in vitro*lipolysis model. I. Controlling the rate of lipolysis by continuous addition of calcium. Eur
  J Pharm Sci 14:115-22.
- Zangenberg NH, Mullertz A, Kristensen HG, Hovgaard L. 2001b. A dynamic *in vitro*lipolysis model. II: Evaluation of the model. Eur J Pharm Sci 14:237-44.
Table 1: Concentrations of enzymes and concentrations employed during the oral phase of *in vitro* (A) and human studies *in vivo* (B) studies. 2017

A - in virio studies						
Type of study	α-Amylase activity (U/mL) <sup>*</sup>	pH of digestion	Time of digestion (min)	Temperature (° C)	Study/reference	
Digestion of grape seed flavonoids (human saliva)	Not specified	6.9	10	37	(Laurent and others2007)	
Digestibility of soya bean, cowpea and maize	ca. 1	7	30	37	(Kiers and others2000)	
Bioactivity of wheat bread; changes in the antioxidant activities of vegetables	200	6.75	10	37	(Gawlik-Dziki, 2009, 2012)	
Developing digestion procedure with mammalian enzymes	25-125	7	15	37	(Lebet and others1998)	
β -Carotene micellarization	900	6.5 ±0.2	10	37	(Thakkar and others 2007)	
β-Carotenoid bioacessibility	300	6.7-6.8	10-15	37	(Bengtsson and others 2009, 2010	
β -Carotene bioaccessibility (human saliva from n=9)	12.5 <sup>e</sup>	6.7-6-9	10	37	(Schweiggert and others 2012)	
ß-Carotene bioaccessibility from sweet potato	35	7.0	10	37	(Poulaert and others 2012)	
Polyphenol release during digestion	150	6.9	10	37	(Tagliazucchi and others 2012)	

A - in vitro studies

Table 1 cont.

		B - Hum	an studies ( <i>in vivo</i> )	
Type of study	$\alpha$ -Amylase activity (U/L) <sup>**</sup>	Time of digestion (min)	рН	Study/reference
Studying impact of saliva process on lipophilic polyphenol availability	Not specified	0.5	nd	(Ginsburg and others 2012)
Physiology of human saliva including mucin and electrolytes	Not specified	nd	7.0	(Aps and Martens, 2005)
Human salivary $\alpha$ -amylase activity	4-1653, mean 284 <sup>e</sup>	nd	nd	(Suska and others2012)
List of reference values	60-282, mean 170 <sup>f</sup>	nd	nd	(Jakob, 2008; Kopf-Bolanz and others 2012)
Stress and alpha-amylase	220-500 between 8am. and 20pm. <sup>f</sup>	nd	nd	(Nater and others 2010)
Oral digestion of cereals by humans	52-77(basal) 58-66(with cereals) <sup>e</sup>	5	7.1±0.1	(Hoebler and others 1998)
Saliva activity measurements	190 <sup>f</sup>	nd	nd	(Rohleder and Nater, 2009)

\*"Sigma units", unless stated otherwise: 1 unit will liberate 1 mg maltose from starch in 3 min at 20 °C at pH 6.9. Often done via the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) color assay (540 nm). Conversion to IFCC and Phabedas: when expressed as same mass unit (mmol not mg), 1 DNS unit = ca. 2.5 IFCC units (Bassinello and others 2002). For results given in mg, conversion factor from DNS to IFCC is x 2.5/342 = x 0.0073.

\*\* Units are expressed here in final volume of salivary fluid. 1 unit will cleave 1µmol glucosidic linkage from starch per min, however substrate may differ. Both methods presented here (IFCC EPS and Phabedas) yield comparable results

<sup>e</sup>: Phabedas (Magle AB, Lund, Sweden) test: blue color from starch breakdown measured at 620 nm. Conversion from ukat to U according to

http://www.phadebas.com/data/phadebas/files/document/Instructions Phadebas Amylase Test.pdf, 60U=1µkat

- 2049
- 2050
- 2051

2052 Table 2: Typical concentrations of gastric enzymes in human studies and *in vitro* experiments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> releases 1 µmol/min p-nitrophenol from 4,6-ethyliden-G7-p-nitrophenol-D-maltoheptasoid (*ethylidene*-G7PNP, measured at 405 nm. 60 U=1 µkat (IFCC EPS method, Ethylen protected substrate)

Type of study	Pepsin* (mg/mL)	Pepsin activity* (U/mL)	pH of digestion	Time of digestion (min)	Study/reference
Bioavailability of iron	ca. 5	4,000-12,500	2.0	120	(Miller and others 1981)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	2.3	1,800-5,600	2.0	60	(Biehler and others 2011a, b)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	2.2	1,700-5,400	2.0	60	(Garrett and others 1999)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	1.7	1,400-4,300	2.0	60	(Hedrén and others 2002)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	1.2	900-3,000	4.0	30	(Dhuique-Mayer and others 2007)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	1.0	800-2,500	2.0	60	(Liu and others 2004)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	3.0	2,400-8,300	2.0	60	(Yonekura and Nagao 2009)
Bioaccessibility of polyphenols	nd	315-350	2.0	120	(Gil-Izquierdo and others 2002)
Bioaccessibility of polyphenols	2.2	1,800-5,600	2.0-2.5	60	(Bouayed and others 2011)
Bioavailability of polyphenols	16	15,600	2.0	120	(Cilla and others 2011)
Bioavailability of polyphenols	nd	158	2.0	120	(Tagliazucchi and others 2012)
Bioavailability of polyphenols	ca. 0.1	315	1.7	120	(McDougall and others 2005a, b)

## A - In vitro studies

## 2060 Table 2 cont.

## **B- Human studies** (*in vivo*)

Fluid investigated and type of study	Pepsin (U/mL)	Gastric residence time (h)	рН	Study/reference
Digestion of adults	942 <sup>\$</sup> (1207) <sup>*</sup> (basal)	nd	nd	(Armand and others1995)
Digestion of infants	ca. $85^{8} (109)^{*} (pp)$ $190^{8} (243)^{*} (basal)$	nd	nd	(Armand and others1996a)
Helicobacter pylori impact on stomach	$47^{b}(174)^{*}$	nd	1.41 (basal)	(Feldman and others 1998)
18 individuals, fasting juice	37±21 [7-70] <sup>a</sup> (3700) <sup>*</sup>	nd	1-4, median 2 (basal)	(Ulleberg and others 2011)
Pepsin inhibitors in humans	$20-260 \ \mu g/mL^{\&}$	nd	nd	(Pearson and Roberts, 2001)
Measurement gastric secretion	nd	nd	1.1 (basal); 3.5 (60 min. pp) 2.0(120 min. pp)	(Gardner and others 2002)
Characterization of digestive fluids	110-220 μg/mL (basal) <sup>&amp;</sup> 260-580 μg/mL (pp)	nd	2 (basal) 6 (60 min. pp) 5 (120 min. pp)	(Kalantzi and others 2006)
Helicobacter pylori impact on pepsin	114 to 1030 $\mu g/mL^{\&}$	nd	2.4 (basal)	(Newton and others 2004)
Gastric residence time, solid meal	nd	$3.5 \pm 0.7$	nd	(Mojaverian and others 1988)
Gastric residence time of capsule	nd	1.2± 0.45	1.5±0.04 (basal)	(Mojaverian, 1996)
Gastric passage time of capsule	nd	1.0	nd	(Worsoe and others 2011)
Digestability of rice pudding	nd	65% com-plete (1.5h)	nd	(Darwiche and others 1999)

2061 \*"Sigma units", pepsin typically used: 800 – 2500 units/mg such as by Sigma-Aldrich. 1 unit: One unit will produce a change in absorbance of 0.001 at 280 nm at 37 °C, in 1 min, at pH 2.0,

with hemoglobin as substrate.

2062 2063 2064 2065 <sup>\$</sup> IN VITROOne pepsin unit has been defined as the amount of enzyme required to produce 0.1 µmole of tyrosine-containing peptides at 37 °C in 10 min at pH 1.8 from a 2% hemoglobin solution. 1 unit equivalent to approx. 1.28 "Sigma units" (http://www.worthington-biochem.com/pm/assay.html).

<sup>a</sup> One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount (in mL) of gastric or duodenal juice giving a difference in absorbance of 1.0 at 280 nm at 37 °C and pH 3.0, in 10 min, with hemoglobin 2066 as substrate. 1 unit equivalent to approx. 100 "Sigma units".

2067

<sup>b</sup> measured as international units, with 1 IU=3.7 Anson units. nd= no data; pp=post-prandial;  $^{\&}$  µg enzyme/mL

2068

2069 Table 3: Concentrations of intestinal enzymes and bile salts in humans studies and in vitro experiments.

Type of study
Bioaccessibility of iron

A - In vitro studies

Type of study	Bile salts <sup>+</sup> (mmol/L)	Pancreatin* concentration, ca. (mg/L)	Minimum pancreatin activity (U/mL)*	рН	Digestion time (min)	Study/reference
Bioaccessibility of iron	ca. 4 (2 g/L)	300	2.4	7.5	150	(Miller and others 1981)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	ca. 8.6 (4.3 g/L)	720	5.8	7-7.5	120	(Biehler and others 2011b)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	12 (6 g/L)	2500	20	7.5	120	(onekura and others 2009)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	4.4 (2.1 g/L)	390	3.1	7.5	120	(Garrett and others 1999)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	7.5 (3.75 g/L)	600	4.8	7.5	30	(Hedrén and others 2002)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	2.8 (1.44 g/L)	240	2.0	6.0	30	(Dhuique-Mayer and others 2007)
Bioaccessibility of carotenoids	3.0 (1.5 g/L)	250	2.0	ca. 7	120	(Liu and others 2004)
Bioaccessibility of polyphenols	3.0 (1.5 g/L)	250	2.0	5 to 7.5	120	(Gil-Izquierdo and others 2002)
Bioaccessibility of polyphenols	4.3 (2.2 g/L)	360	2.9	6.5→7.0-7.5	165	(Bouayed and others 2011)
Bioaccessibility of polyphenols	44 (22 g/L)	3,600	29	6.5	120	(Cilla and others 2011)
Bioaccessibility of polyphenols	10 (5 g/L)	800	6.4	7.5	120	(Tagliazucchi and others2012)
Bioavailability of polyphenols	10 (5 g/L)	800	6.4	nd	120	(McDougall et al., 2005a, b)

Table 3 cont.

77

Fluid investigated and type of		Bile salts	Lipase activity (U/mL)	<u> 94-1-7-6</u>
study	pН	(mmol/L)		Study/reference
Duodenal fluids;	7.0±0.4	0.6 - 5.5 (fasted)	n.d.	(Perez de la Cruz Moreno and others 2006)
jejunal fluids;	6.8±0.4			
Duodenal fluids	nd	3.8–11.8 (fed) (2-6 g/L)	n.d.	(Van Deest and others 1968)
Duodenal fluids (standard meal)	nd.	5 – 10 (fed)	n.d.	(Tabaqchali and others 1968)
Duodenal fluids (standard meal)	5.5-8.0, mean 6.5	20 (fed) (2–18 g/L)	15-120 (fed) (mean 50) <sup>&amp;</sup>	(Borgstrom and others 1957)
Review article	nd	4 - 20 (fasted)	n.d.	(Garidel and others 2007)
Orlistat and enzyme activity	6-6.5	n.d.	1000 (fed) <sup>e</sup> (0.6 g/L)	(Sternby and others 2002)
Pancreatic enzyme examinations.	nd	n.d.	70-1000, mean 300 <sup>&amp;</sup>	(Braganza and others1978)
Duodenal fluids (after regular diet)	n.d.	n.d.	10 (fasted), 130 (fed) <sup>&amp;</sup>	(Dukehart and others 1989)
Review lipolysis	n.d.	3-7 (fasted) 5-15 (fed)	150-300 <sup>&amp;</sup>	(Patton and Carey 1981; Zangenberg and others 2001a, b)
Fasting 18 individuals	5-9, mean 7	2.7±1.3 (fasted)	units not comparable to other tests	(Ulleberg and others, 2011)
Duodenal fluids 6-14 individuals	6.2 (fasted) 5.2-6.6 (fed)	2.6 (fasted) 11.2 (fed 30 min)	n.d.	(Kalantzi and others2006)
(median) Duodenal fluids Test meal	6.0-7.0	5.2 (fed 180 min) 5.9±1.8 (fasted) 6.7-13.4 (fed)	600 (fasted) <sup>e</sup> 1200-1400 (fed) <sup>e</sup>	(Armand and others1996b)
Duodenal juices Meal - Review	n.d.	n.d.	80-7000 <sup>e</sup>	(Armand, 2007)
Small intestinal transit time (min)		90	)	(Kim, 1968)
GI passage times (min)		19	7	(Degen and Phillips, 1996)
GI passage times (min)		19	9	(Yu and others1996)

## **B** - Human studies (*in vivo*)

2078 2079 2080 \*Pancreatin typically used: 4 x US Pharmacopoeia specifications (2 USP units (U) lipase), 8 units; and both 25 USP, 100 USP units protease and amylase. Definition lipase: 1 unit liberates at least 1  $\mu$ mole acid from olive oil/triolein per minute at 37 °C and pH 9 (<u>http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0\_m60320.html</u>). Definition protease: hydrolyses casein at an initial rate such that there is liberated per min an amount of peptides not precipitated by trichloroacetic acid that gives the same absorption at 2081

2082 280 nm as 15 nmol of tyrosine (http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0 m60320.html). Definition amylase: decomposes starch at an initial rate such that 0.16 2083 umol of glycosic linkage is hydrolyzed per min at pH 6.8 (and conditions further described for the amylase assay, 2084 http://www.pharmacopeia.cn/v29240/usp29nf24s0 m60320.htm).

- 2085\* same as USP units.2086• Tributyrin units: 1 T<br/>expressed at same unit of
- 2086 "Tributyrin units: 1 TBU (lipase unit) is the amount of enzyme which releases 1 mmol titratable butyric acid per min at 40 °C, pH 7.5. Yields comparable results to triolein units when
- 2087 expressed at same unit of molarity (McCoy and others 2002).
- 2088 <sup>+</sup> values calculated from weight assuming a molecular weight of 500 g/mol and 100% purity.
- 2089 <sup>s</sup>postprandial

Food	Metabolites in vivo	Reference	Metabolites in vitro	Colonic model	Reference
Tea	1.3-Dihydroxyphenyl-2- <i>O</i> -sulfate 5-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl)-γ-valerolactone 5-(3',4',5'-Trihydroxyphenyl)-γ-valerolactone Hippuric acid 1,3-Dihydroxyphenyl-2- <i>O</i> -sulphate	(Daykin and others 2005)	<ul> <li>3-Phenylpropionic acid</li> <li>3-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl) propionic acid</li> <li>3-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid</li> <li>3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid</li> <li>2,6-Dihydroxybenzoic acid</li> <li>1,2,3-Trihydroxyphenol</li> </ul>	Batch Time: <72 h pH: 7.15±0.07 (start), 6.92 ±0.26 (end)	(Gross and others 2010)
			3-Phenylpropionic acid 5-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl)-γ-valerolactone 3-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl) propionic acid 3(4-Hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid	SHIME <sup>1</sup> Stomach, small intestine and 3- colonic vessels dynamic model (pH 5.6-5.9, 6.1- 6.4 and 6.6-6.9). Time: 2 weeks (continuous)	(Van Dorsten and others 2012)
Red wine, grapes	<ul> <li>3-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid</li> <li>3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid</li> <li>3,5-Dimethoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid</li> <li>3-Hydroxyhippuric acid</li> <li>Hippuric acid</li> <li>4-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid</li> </ul>	(Jacobs and others 2012)	3-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid 3- and 4-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid 5-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl)-γ-valerolactone γ-Valerolactone	Batch pH: 6.8 Time: 48 h	(Sanchez- Patan and others 2012)
			3-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl) propionic acid 2-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl) acetic acid 5-(3'-Hydroxyphenyl) pentanoic acid 3,5-Dimethoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid 3-Methoxy-4-hydroxybenzoic acid	Batch pH: monitored at each time point Time: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24h	(Aura and others 2012; Gross and others 2010)
Chocolate, cocoa	<ul> <li>3-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid</li> <li>5-(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl) valerolactone and conjugates</li> <li>5-(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl) valerate conjugates</li> <li>4-Hydroxy-5-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)valeric acid</li> <li>Phenylvalerolactone derivatives</li> </ul>	(Llorach and others 2009)	3-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid 3-Hydroxyphenylacetic acid 3,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid	3-Colonic vessels dynamic model pH: 5.5, 6.2 and 6.8 Time: 36 h	(Fogliano and others 2011)
Soy	<i>O</i> -Demethylangolensin, Equol Dihydrogenistein	(Joannou and others 1995)	<i>O</i> -Demethylangolensin, Equol	Batch Time: 72 h	(Possemiers 2007)

Table 4: Microbial phenolic metabolites identified from *in vivo* human studies and *in vitro* colonic models.

			3-Methoxy–4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid 4-Hydroxyphenyl acetic acid	TIM <sup>2</sup> -2 colonic dynamic model pH: 5.8, 6.4 and 7.0 time: <28 h	(Gao and others 2006)
Berries, nuts	4'-Hydroxymandelic acid, 3',4'-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid 3-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)lactic acid 4'-Hydroxyhippuric acid Hippuric acid Urolithins	(González- Barrio and others2011)	4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 3,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid 3-(3'-Hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid 3-(3',4'-Dihydroxyphenyl)propionic acid 3-(4'-Hydroxyphenyl)lactic acid Urolithins	Batch Time: <72 h pH: 7.2 (start), 6.2 (end)	(González- Barrio and others2011)
	4-Hydroxy-5-(phenyl)valeric acid conjugates Vanillic acid glucuronide Hydroxyhippuric acid Ferulic acid glucuronide 1,3-Dihydroxyphenyl-2- <i>O</i> -sulfate Urolithin A and B conjugates	(Tulipani and others2011)			
Citrus fruits	<ul> <li>4-Hydroxy-phenylpropionic glucuronide</li> <li>4-Hydroxy-benzoic acid glucuronide</li> <li>3-Methoxy-4-hydroxy-phenylacetic glucuronide</li> <li>3- and 4-Hydroxyphenylacetic glucuronide</li> <li>Hippuric acid glucuronide</li> </ul>	(Vallejo and others 2010)	<ul> <li>3-Methoxy–4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid</li> <li>4-Hydroxyphenyl acetic acid</li> <li>3,4-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid</li> <li>3-(3-Hydroxyphenyl) propionic acid</li> <li>3-(4-Hydroxy–3-methoxyphenyl) propionic acid</li> <li>3-Hydroxyphenyl acetic acid</li> <li>Hydroxyphenyl acetic acid</li> </ul>	TIM-2 colonic dynamic model pH 5.8, 6.4 and 7.0. Time: <28 h	(Gao and others 2006)

<sup>1</sup>SHIME, Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (Molly and others 1993). <sup>2</sup>TIM, TNO Intestinal Model (Minekus 1995).

- 1 Table 5: Summarized conditions for simulated digestion under static conditions, based
- 2 on common in vitro conditions applied, feasibility, and their similarity to in vivo

3 conditions

4

Phase of digestion	Common <i>in</i> vitro values*	Common <i>in vivo</i> values <sup>*</sup>	Tentatively suggested**
Oral phase			
- $\alpha$ -amylase (U/mL) <sup>a</sup>	110	26	25-200
-time (min)	10	0.5-5	1-5
-pH	6.9	7.1±0.1	7.0±0.2
Gastric phase			
-pepsin (U/mL) <sup>b,d</sup>	1,400-4,300	170-1200; 0.1-0.2 g/L	5,000-10,000
-time (min)	60	60-72;140-210 <sup>c</sup>	60; 120 <sup>c</sup>
-pH	2.0	2 (fasted); 3.5 (120 min	3.5±0.5
*		$(fed)^+)$	
Small intestine			
-lipase <sup>\$</sup>	4.0	70-1000 (fed);	20-200
	(0.5g/L)	10 (fasted)	
-bile salts (mmol/L)	7.5	5 (fasted)	10
	(3.8 g/L)	$10 (fed)^{+}$	
-time (min)	120	200	120-200
-pH	7-7.5	6.8±0.4	7±0.2
Large intestine			
-time (min)	42 (24-72)	35±2.1	35-45
-pH	6.6 (5.5-7.2, start)	6.2 (5.7-6.7)	6.2-6.6
	6.6 (end)		

56789101112131415161771819

\*median value taken from Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4

\*\*taking into account human trials (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4) and herein reported physiological values

<sup>a</sup> "Sigma units" (see Table 2). For conversion into IFCC units x 0.0073 (i.e. 140 units = 1.02 IFCC units).

<sup>b</sup> "Sigma units:" Pepsin typically used: 800 – 2500 units/mg such as by Sigma-Aldrich. 1 unit: One unit will produce a change in absorbance of 0.001 at 280 nm at 37 °C, in 1 min, at pH 2.0, with hemoglobin as substrate. See footnotes

table 2 for conversion factors.

<sup>c</sup> liquid and solid meals, respectively

<sup>d</sup> gastric lipase not required for water soluble compounds, however for lipophilic compounds such as carotenoids a

concentration of 40-80 U/mL is recommended (Armand 1999, 2007). Gastric lipase (tributyrin units): 10-65 (mean

40, Armand 1999); 60-80 (Armand 2007). 1 TBU (lipase unit) is the amount of enzyme (g) which releases 1 µmol titratable butyric acid per minute under the given standard conditions.

<sup>+</sup> post-prandial

<sup>\$</sup>1 unit liberates at least 1 μmole of acid from olive oil/triolein per minute at 37 °C and pH 9. Comparable to tributyrin units when expressed at same molarity.

