

***In vitro* studies of *Brachyspira pilosicoli* pathogenesis**

Ram Naresh

BVSc & AH, MVSc (Medicine)

Division of Health Sciences

School of Veterinary Biology and Biomedical Sciences

Murdoch University, Perth 6150

Western Australia

This thesis is presented for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Veterinary Microbiology

Murdoch University

2010

This thesis is dedicated to

My Late Father (Appa)
A Man of Moral Values

You proved that an illiterate father
and farmer can educate his son very well
You were always reluctant to send me away from home
But you never stopped me and I kept on crossing seas
You were my best teacher to introduce me in this world
You taught me at the very beginning to be respectful and kind
I am still living very happily on your philosophies
You were a man of big heart, no one ever saw you in tears or crying
Sorry I was not around at the last day of your life
Though you are immortal father

My Late Mother (Amma)
Great Animal Lover

You were the best animal lover I could ever see in my life,
I still remember those broken leg dogs you used to bring home
You treated them as an ancient veterinarian
You fought several people for animal cruelties
You were skilled to communicate with animals
I always feel that I became a veterinarian to represent
your traditional skills through university education
You were more than a mum for many children in the community
Perhaps you knew my future and asked me to learn cooking
I laughed at you. I learned cooking during my Australian PhD!
You were not a quitter in any situation

My lovely daughters
Chelsi (Anvesha) and **Khushi** (Aduesha)
Little puppies you were my rare emotional
strength in Australia during this PhD
You both sacrificed in many ways
Love You Both

Declaration

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains as its main content work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

Ram Naresh

Abstract

Brachyspira pilosicoli is an intestinal spirochaete that colonizes the large intestine of a variety of species of birds and animals, including human beings. Colonization can lead to local inflammation and to diarrhoea in a condition known as “intestinal spirochaetosis”. This infection has been described in many countries throughout the world. In the colonization process the bacterium must cross the thick mucus blanket overlaying the colonic epithelium. Characteristically, *B. pilosicoli* then attaches by one cell end to the underlying epithelium, forming a dense “false brush border”. The mechanisms involved in moving through the mucus layer, attaching to enterocytes and inducing local cellular damage are poorly understood. The lack of *in vitro* models to study these events has been a major constraint to understanding the pathogenesis of *B. pilosicoli* infections.

The work described in this thesis deals with i) the development of an *in vitro* model of spirochaete attachment by using cells in suspension (erythrocytes) and cell monolayers (Caco-2), ii) the attraction of *B. pilosicoli* to mucin, and iii) the effects of norepinephrine exposure on expression of virulence traits by *B. pilosicoli*.

Attachment assays conducted with erythrocytes from different species at different ratios and time intervals identified one human isolate (WesB) that

adhered to goose and chicken erythrocyte at a 1:1000 ratio. This same strain, and an isolate from a pig (95/1000) also attached to Caco-2 cells. Transmission and scanning electron microscopy confirmed that the attachment resembled the *in vivo* situation. Exposure of the Caco-2 cells to *B. pilosicoli* resulted in actin rearrangements, damaged cell junctions and apoptosis. Caco-2 cells that were colonized with *B. pilosicoli* also demonstrated a significant up-regulation of interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) and IL-8 expression, helping to confirm that the spirochaetes were inducing pathological changes in the cultured cells. Treatment of the monolayers with *B. pilosicoli* sonicates caused significant up-regulation of IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6, but culture supernatants and non-pathogenic *Brachyspira innocens* did not alter cytokine expression. Hence IL-8 expression was specifically associated with exposure to live *B. pilosicoli* cells.

For mucin attraction, 15 *B. pilosicoli* strains isolated from humans, pigs, chickens and dogs, and a control strain of *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae*, were analysed for their ability to enter solutions of hog gastric mucin in an *in vitro* capillary tube assay. Attraction started in a 2 % mucin solution, and then increased with increasing concentrations to peak at around 6 - 8 % mucin. Attraction varied from strain to strain. *B. pilosicoli* strain 95/1000 and *B. hyodysenteriae* strain B204 also were attracted to viscous solutions of polyvinylpyrillodone (PVP), in a manner mirroring the response to mucin. This suggested that as well as chemotaxis to mucin components, “viscotaxis” is involved in the attraction to mucin.

Finally, exposure of *B. pilosicoli* to norepinephrine enhanced the attachment to Caco-2 cells, chemotactic response to mucin, and spirochaete growth. Taken together, these *in vitro* studies have shed new light onto the pathogenic processes that are involved in intestinal spirochaetosis caused by *B. pilosicoli*.

Acknowledgements

This thesis work was completed in more than 4 years with the help of many people. I truly apologise for those who are left unacknowledged due to my poor memory. Please forgive me.

It gives me a sense of happiness to mention that I could notice an enormous appetite for research in my supervisor Prof. David Hampson. This work would have been impossible without your continuous and unconditional scientific, financial and moral support. Your timely initiatives and reminders on most of the research chapters enhanced the quality of this thesis. You always tried to enrich my knowledge and scientific understanding by providing books and literature. I have a great deal of respect for your true feedback, though it was frustrating sometime but was certainly fruitful at last. I always benefitted from your in-depth understanding in the area of microbiology and intestinal spirochaetes. Yes, I had problems but they were fixed amicably when and where arisen. I am deeply indebted for your all personal help on many other issues. To me it has been the most meaningful supervision I ever had. I still feel a lot more left to learn from you. It was wonderful to spent time with you as a student. Thanks!

I was the recipient of Endeavour International Postgraduate Research Scholarship and Murdoch University Research Studentship. I thank Murdoch University and the Graduate Centre staff for providing a scholarship for more than 4 years, and also for funding my Istanbul travel to attend an international conference in 2008. Big thanks to my supervisor for sponsoring part of the travel to Istanbul Conference.

I am delighted to acknowledge the help of Dr Yong Song, Post Doctorate Fellow, *Brachyspira* group for all his help with the Q-RT PCR assays to measuring cytokine specific gene expressions. A large part of my work was based on scanning and transmission electron microscopy, and this was supported by Mr Peter Fallon. Thanks Peter. Dr Kirsty Townsend, Technologist

in Microbiology was another person who helped me out with a smile, all the time. Thanks for your all help Kirsty Ji. Half of the work of my thesis was based on cell culture and Linda Davies made me learn about it. Thanks Linda. I am thankful to Gordon Thompson, Ms Judy Robertson and Mr Ken Chong for valuable support.

Among the people of the *Brachyspira* group I must thank Dr Nyree Phillips for providing several frozen *Brachyspira* isolates and also for her help in culturing these bacteria. Special thank to Dr Tom La for all his genuine support and technical guidance. I sincerely thank Sheila, Reza Movahedi, Maswati, Erin and Belinda for their continuous support during the completion of this work. I thank Dr Alvaro Hidalgo, a Spanish visitor to the *Brachyspira* group for his help in editing my figures for my PLoS ONE research paper.

I would like to thank the scientific community and other staff of the Division of Medicine, Indian Veterinary Institute Izatnagar and Mukteswar campuses for their support which assisted by to travel for Australia to study for my PhD.

I am wordless to say anything for my late parents. I had the best childhood with both of you. You both were my best social teachers, motivators and carers. You both were strong believers of Karma and truth. You both gave me the best philosophies to live and also to take care of others. I will prefer worshipping you both than any god. I always feel sorry for being away from both of you after the age of 18.

If I could get anything precious out of my marriage, they are my 2 lovely daughters Anvesha and Aduesha. Both of you were the source of my real emotional strength during this work. Love you angels. I am thankful to my brothers, sisters, nieces and nephews for their support during my thesis work.

Awards and publications from thesis work

Awards

1. **Dean's Prize, Best Overall Poster Award.** "Development of *in vitro* attachment model for *Brachyspira pilosicoli*", Research Poster Day 2006, School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University – 2006. Sponsored by School's Dean.
2. **Best Poster Award. Pathogens and Parasite.** Attraction of *Brachyspira pilosicoli* chemotaxis to mucin. Research Poster Day 2009, School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University – 2009. Sponsored by Gene Works Pty Ltd.

Publications

1. **Ram Naresh** and David J. Hampson. 2010. Attraction of *Brachyspira pilosicoli* to mucin. *Microbiology (SGM)* 156:191-197
2. **Ram Naresh**, Yong Song and David J. Hampson. 2009. The intestinal spirochete *Brachyspira pilosicoli* attaches to cultured Caco-2 cells and induces pathological changes. *PLoS ONE* 4 (12): e8352.

Presentations

1. **Ram Naresh**, Yong Song and David Hampson. 2009. Interactions between *Brachyspira pilosicoli* and Caco2 cells. Annual Meeting of the Australian Society of Microbiology, Perth. July 6-10, 2009.
2. **Ram Naresh** and David Hampson. 2009. *Brachyspira pilosicoli* chemotaxis to mucin. 5th International Conference on Colonic Spirochetal Infections in Animals and Humans, 8th-10th June, Leon, Spain.
3. **Ram Naresh** and David Hampson. 2009. Chemotaxis to mucin by *Brachyspira pilosicoli*. Annual Meeting of Australian Society of Microbiology, Perth. July 6-10, 2009.
4. **Ram Naresh** and David Hampson. 2008. Development of an *in vitro* attachment assay for the intestinal spirochaete *Brachyspira pilosicoli*. XII International Congress of Bacteriology and Applied Microbiology 5-9 August 2008, Istanbul, Turkey.
5. **Ram Naresh** and David Hampson. 2008. Development of an *in vitro* attachment model for the intestinal spirochaete *Brachyspira pilosicoli*. Combined Biological Science Meeting, 29 August 2008, Perth, Western Australia.

Table of Contents

Title Page -----	i
Dedication -----	ii
Thesis Declaration -----	iii
Abstract -----	iv
Acknowledgements -----	vii
Awards and publications from thesis work -----	ix
Presentations from thesis work -----	x
Table of contents -----	xi
List of Tables -----	xvi
List of Figures -----	xvii
Chapter 1: Literature Review -----	1
1.1 General Introduction -----	1
1.2 Intestinal Spirochaetes -----	3
1.3 Ecology and disease potential of <i>Brachyspira</i> species -----	5
1.3.1 Early observations on intestinal spirochaetes -----	5
1.3.2 Disease potential for animals -----	6
1.3.3 <i>Brachyspira pilosicoli</i> -----	7
1.3.3.1 Distribution of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> in animals -----	7
1.3.3.2 Distribution of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> in humans -----	8
1.3.3.3 Predisposing factors for <i>B. pilosicoli</i> infections -----	10
1.3.3.4 Molecular and cellular basis of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> virulence -----	12
1.4 <i>In vitro</i> attachments assays -----	15
1.4.1 Red blood cells -----	15

1.4.2 Attachment of intestinal spirochaetes to cell lines -----	16
1.4.3 <i>In vitro</i> attachment assays for other pathogenic bacteria using Caco-2 cells -----	17
1.5 Cellular changes caused by other bacteria -----	20
1.5.1 Zonula Occludens (ZO) -----	20
1.5.2 Actin cytoskeleton -----	22
1.5.3 Apoptosis -----	24
1.5.4 Cytokines -----	25
1.6 Mucus, motility and chemotaxis -----	28
1.7 Effects of norepinephrine on bacterial pathogens -----	31
1.8 Aims and objectives -----	33
Chapter 2: General materials and methods -----	35
2.1 <i>Brachyspira pilosicoli</i> and <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> strains -----	35
2.2 Growth of spirochaetes on solid medium -----	35
2.3 Growth of spirochaetes in liquid medium -----	36
2.3.1 Preparation of broth medium -----	36
2.3.2 Transfer of spirochaetes from solid medium to liquid medium -----	36
2.4 Counting spirochaetes -----	37
Chapter 3: Attachment assays with red blood cells -----	38
3.1 Introduction -----	38
3.2 Materials and Methods -----	39
3.2.1 <i>B. pilosicoli</i> strains and culture conditions -----	39
3.2.2 Source of blood and processing -----	39

3.2.3 Attachment assays -----	39
3.3 Results -----	41
3.4 Discussion -----	46
Chapter 4: Attachment assays with Caco-2 cell monolayers -----	49
4.1. Introduction -----	49
4.2 Materials and methods -----	49
4.2.1 Spirochaete strains and growth -----	49
4.2.2 Culture supernatants and sonicates -----	49
4.2.3 Cell cultures -----	50
4.2.4 Attachment assays -----	50
4.2.5 Electron microscopy -----	51
4.2.6 Preparation of Caco-2 monolayers for staining-----	52
4.2.7 ZO-1 and Hoechst fluorescent staining -----	53
4.2.8 Staining of filamentous actin -----	53
4.2.9 Cytokine expression assays -----	54
4.3 Results -----	56
4.3.1 Attachment to Caco-2 monolayers -----	56
4.3.2 ZO-1 distribution -----	64
4.3.3 Hoechst staining of Caco-2 cell nuclei -----	67
4.3.4 Actin rearrangements -----	68
4.3.5 Cytokine expression -----	71
4.4 Discussion -----	76

Chapter 5: Attraction of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> to mucin and other substrates	83
5.1 Introduction	83
5.2 Materials and Methods	83
5.2.1 Animal ethics	83
5.2.2 Spirochaete strains and culture conditions	83
5.2.3 Capillary tube assays	86
5.2.4 Viscosity measurements	87
5.2.5 Statistical analysis	88
5.3 Results	88
5.3.1 Optimizing test conditions	88
5.3.2 Ratt values of different spirochaete strains with mucin	91
5.3.3 Ratt values of 95/1000 with D-serine	94
5.3.4 Ratt values of 95/1000 and B204 in relation to viscosity	94
5.3.5 Viscosity of caecal and colonic mucus	95
5.4 Discussion	97
Chapter 6: Effects of norepinephrine on <i>B. pilosicoli</i>	104
6.1 Introduction	104
6.2 Materials and Methods	104
6.2.1 Preparation of norepinephrine (NE) stock solution	104
6.2.2 Effect of NE on the growth of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> 95/1000	104
6.2.3 Effect of NE on <i>B. pilosicoli</i> attraction to mucin	105
6.2.3.1 NE added to the broth culture	105
6.2.3.2 NE added to chemotaxis buffer	106

6.2.3.3 NE added to 4% mucin -----	106
6.2.4 Effect of NE on <i>B. pilosicoli</i> attachment to Caco2 cells -----	106
6.2.4.1 Immediate effects of NE addition -----	106
6.2.4.2 Effect of cultures grown with NE -----	107
6.2.4.3 Quantitative scoring of attachment and statistical analysis -----	107
6.3 Results -----	108
6.3.1 Effect of NE on growth of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> -----	108
6.3.2 Effect of NE on the attraction of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> to mucin -----	109
6.3.2.1 NE added to the spirochaete culture -----	109
6.3.2.2 NE added to 4% mucin -----	109
6.3.2.3 NE added to the chemotaxis buffer -----	110
6.3.4 Effects on attachment to Caco-2 cells -----	111
6.3.4.1 Attachment assays with cultures of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> immediately exposed to NE -----	111
6.3.4.2 Attachment assays with culture grown with NE -----	115
6.4 Discussion -----	117
Chapter 7: Summary, general discussion and conclusion remarks ----	125
References: -----	134
Appendix A: -----	170

List of Tables

Table 1.1 <i>Brachyspira</i> species and their disease potential -----	5
Table 1.2 Names and activities of the nine cytokines investigated in the current thesis -----	27
Table 4.1 Forward and reverse primers used for cytokine amplification by quantitative real-time PCR -----	55
Table 4.2 Density of attachment of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> cells to Caco-2 cells after 2, 4 and 6 hours incubation -----	57
Table 4.3 Changes in cytokine expression in Caco-2 cell monolayers exposed for 12 hours to sterile broth, supplemented DMEM, <i>B. pilosicoli</i> strain 95/1000 culture supernatant and sonicates, live <i>B. pilosicoli</i> 95/1000, and live non-pathogenic <i>B. innocens</i> B256 ^T . The results are mean \pm standard deviation of fold difference in gene expression measured by RT-qPCR --	75
Table 5.1 Strains of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> used in the attraction assays -----	84
Table 5.2 Mean and standard deviation of relative attraction index (Ratt) at seven different concentrations of porcine gastric mucin, and the number of spirochaete cells in chemotaxis buffer tubes, for 15 strains of <i>Brachyspira pilosicoli</i> after 90 minutes incubation. Cells with significant attraction to mucin (Ratt > 2) are outlined -----	92
Table 5.3 Viscosities of the dilutions of mucin and polyvinylpyrillodone (PVP) used in the attraction assays -----	95
Table 6.1 Some suggested mechanisms by which NE may affect the growth of different bacterial species -----	118

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: End-on attachment of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> to underlying enterocytes to form false brush borders -----	8
Figure 3.1: Cells of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> WesB (human isolate) attached to individual erythrocyte of goose (original magnification x 100) -----	42
Figure 3.2: Cells of <i>B. pilosicoli</i> WesB associated with the surface of nucleated goose erythrocytes, agglutinating them. Phase contrast microscopy (original magnification x 100) -----	42
Figure 3.3: Invaginated end-on attachment of a single cell of WesB to the surface of a goose erythrocyte. Scanning electron microscopy (original magnification x 10360) -----	43
Figure 3.4: Large numbers of cells of WesB attached to a goose erythrocyte. Scanning electron microscopy (original magnification x 4828) -----	43
Figure 3.5: Non-invaginated and flat end-on attachment of WesB to a goose erythrocyte. Scanning electron microscopy (original magnification x 11343) - -----	44
Figure 3.6: End-on attachment of a cell of WesB to a goose erythrocyte. Transmission electron microscopy (original magnification x 66000) -----	45
Figure 3.7: Flat side of a cell of WesB attached to a goose erythrocyte. Transmission electron microscopy (original magnification x 9700) -----	45
Figure 4.1: Caco-2 cells exposed for six hours with sterile broth medium. The non-infected cells show intact tight junctions with clear boundaries. SEM, original magnification X 2100 -----	58

Figure 4.2: Caco-2 cells exposed with *B. pilosicoli* culture for two hours. The *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 mainly colonizes the cell boundaries. SEM, original magnification x 2100 ----- 58

Figure 4.3: Caco-2 cells exposed for four hours. *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 attachment is denser at the junctions. SEM, original magnification x 2100 -59

Figure 4.4: Most of the Caco-2 cells surface is covered by *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 after six hours exposure. SEM, original magnification x 2100 ----- 59

Figure 4.5: Cells of WesB can be seen penetrating the outer membrane of the Caco-2 cells (arrows). SEM, original magnification x 9800 ----- 60

Figure 4.6: Cross-sections and tangential-sections (arrows) of *B. pilosicoli* can be seen at the cell junctions of Caco-2 cells. TEM, original magnification x 5,800 ----- 61

Figure 4.7: Cross-sections and tangential-sections (arrows) of *B. pilosicoli* under the Caco-2 cells membrane. TEM, original magnification x 7,900 --- 61

Figure 4.8: Intact spirochaete cells invaginating into a pit-like structure (arrows) in the Caco-2 cell membrane. TEM, original magnification x 24,500 ----- 62

Figure 4.9: Accumulations of electron dense material around the site of attachment (arrows). TEM, original magnification x 33,800 ----- 62

Figure 4.10: Nuclei of non-infected Caco-2 cell with unique and regular distribution of chromosome all over the nuclei. TEM, original magnification x 5800 ----- 63

Figure 4.11: Nuclei of an infected Caco-2 cells with chromatin condensation and fragmentation (arrows), consistent with apoptosis. TEM, original magnification x 5800 ----- 63

Figure 4.12: Control, epifluorescent micrograph illustrating ZO-1 integrity in Caco-2 cell monolayers exposed to sterile autoclaved broth for six hours. No junctional damage can be seen. Original magnification x 100 ----- 65

Figure 4.13: Epifluorescent micrograph illustrating ZO-1 integrity in Caco-2 cell monolayers exposed to *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 broth-culture for two hours. ZO1 is not as regular on the junctions as it was in control. The junctions are uneven and occasionally broken, and in some places the ZO-1 is punctuated and has moved towards the cytoplasm (arrows). Original magnification x 100 ----- 65

Figure 4.14: Epifluorescent micrograph illustrating ZO-1 integrity in Caco-2 cell monolayers exposed to *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 broth-culture for six hours. The junctions are badly damaged and ZO-1 has migrated towards the cells' cytoplasm (arrows). Original magnification x 100 ----- 66

Fig 4.15: Epifluorescent micrograph illustrating ZO-1 integrity in Caco-2 cell monolayers exposed to sterile autoclaved broth for 6 hours. Original magnification x 100 ----- 66

Figure 4.16: Epifluorescent micrograph showing Hoechst staining of DNA in Caco-2 cells exposed to sterile broth for six hours. Nuclei are normal with regular chromatin distribution. Original magnification x 100 ----- 67

Figure 4.17: Epifluorescent micrograph showing exposure to 95/1000 for six hours resulted in many nuclei appearing condensed, and some showing clear chromatin fragmentation, consistent with apoptosis (arrows). Original magnification x 100 ----- 68

Figure 4.18: Epifluorescent micrographs showing actin staining in Caco-2 monolayers exposed to sterile broth for six hours (control). There is regular distribution of FITC (phalloidin) all over the monolayers, including the junctions ----- 69

Figure 4.19: Epifluorescent micrographs showing actin staining in Caco-2 monolayers after two hours incubation with *B. pilosicoli*. There are a few spots of localized actin (arrows) ----- 69

Figure 4.20: Epifluorescent micrographs showing actin staining in Caco-2 monolayers after six hours incubation with *B. pilosicoli*. The actin filaments are clearly mobilized on the junction of Caco2 cells where the bacteria attached. The actin rearrangement can be seen as round bodies distributed over the junctions (arrows) ----- 70

Figure 4.21: Epifluorescent micrographs showing actin staining in Caco-2 monolayers after six hours incubation with broth. The actin distribution is regular all over Caco-2 cells and junction ----- 70

Figure 4.22: Example of quantitation data for cycling A.FAM/Sybr for the β -actin gene ----- 71

Figure 4.23: Example of melt data for Melt A.FAM/Sybr with the β -actin gene ----- 71

Figure 4.24: The quantitative expression of IL1- β by Caco-2 cells at different time intervals after *B. pilosicoli* and *B. innocens* exposure. The expression remained unaltered in the case of *B. innocens* but increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) in the case of *B. pilosicoli* after 8 and 12 hours, and were significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than *B. innocens* ----- 72

Figure 4.25: The quantitative expression of IL8 by Caco-2 cells at different time intervals after *B. pilosicoli* and *B. innocens* exposure. The expression did not differ in the case of *B. innocens* but changed significantly ($P < 0.05$) in case of *B. pilosicoli* after 12 hours, and was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than *B. innocens* ----- 73

Figure 4.26: The quantitative expression of IL6 by Caco-2 cells at different time intervals after *B. pilosicoli* and *B. innocens* exposure. The expression did not differ significantly ----- 73

Figure 4.27: The quantitative expression of TNF by Caco-2 cells at different time intervals after *B. pilosicoli* and *B. innocens* exposure. The expression did not differ significantly ----- 74

Figure 5.1: Means and standard deviations of *Ratt* values for *B. pilosicoli* strain 95/1000 (filled circles) and *B. hyodysenteriae* strain B204 (open circles) incubated for 90 minutes in different concentrations of porcine gastric mucin in chemotaxis buffer ----- 89

Figure 5.2: Means and standard deviations of *Ratt* values for *B. pilosicoli* strain 95/1000 incubated for 15, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes in 4% mucin - 90

Figure 5.3: *Ratt* values obtained for *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 (filled circles) and *B. hyodysenteriae* B204 (open circles) in dilutions of PVC plotted against measured viscosities ----- 96

Figure 5.4: *Ratt* values obtained for *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 (filled circles) and *B. hyodysenteriae* B204 (open circles) in dilutions of mucin plotted against measured viscosities ----- 96

Figure 6.1: Effect of Norepinephrine on the growth of *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 in liquid medium ----- 108

Figure 6.2: Effect of NE addition on the attraction of *B. pilosicoli* to 4% mucin ----- 109

Figure 6.3: *B. pilosicoli* attraction to different NE concentrations in 4% mucin ----- 110

Figure 6.4: Effect of NE on *B. pilosicoli* numbers in chemotaxis buffer -- 111

Figure 6.5: *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000, not exposed to NE, after two hours (control). Relatively low numbers of bacteria were attached ----- 112

Figure 6.6: *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000, exposed to NE, after two hours. More spirochaetes are attached than with the control ----- 112

Figure 6.7: Control *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000, not exposed to NE, after four hours. Relatively fewer bacteria were attached than with the NE-exposed culture ----- 113

Figure 6.8: *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000, exposed to NE, after four hours. More spirochaetes are attached than with the control (the layer of spirochaete is thicker) ----- 113

Figure 6.9: Control *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000 culture, not been exposed to NE, after six hours. Good attachment to Caco-2 cells. Original magnification x 4000 ----- 114

Figure 6.10: *B. pilosicoli* 95/1000, exposed to NE, after six hours. More spirochaetes are attached than with the control. Original magnification x 4000 ----- 114

Figure 6.11: Extensive attachment with clumping and tangling of *B. pilosicoli* following exposure to NE for 6 hours (original magnification x 10500) ----- 115

Figure 6.12: *B. pilosicoli* grown with 0.1 mM NE for 2 days, showing extensive colonization of the Caco-2 cells after six hours. Original magnification x 1000 ----- 116

Figure 6.13: *B. pilosicoli* grown without NE for two days, showing less attachment than the NE-grown culture after six hours (some cell surfaces are still visible). Original magnification x 1000 ----- 116