

# Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/138197/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Cook, Steven, Hamilton, Hayley A., Montazer, Shirin, Sloan, Luke, Wickens, Christine M., Cheung, Amy, Boak, Angela, Turner, Nigel E. and Mann, Robert E. 2021. Increases in serious psychological distress among Ontario students between 2013 and 2017: assessing the impact of time spent on social media. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry / Revue Canadienne de Psychiatrie* 66 (8) , pp. 747-756. 10.1177/0706743720987902 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0706743720987902>  
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0706743720987902>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Increases in serious psychological distress among Ontario students between 2013-2017:  
Assessing the impact of time spent on social media

Steven Cook, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Hayley A. Hamilton, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Shirin Montazer, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Luke Sloan, PhD<sup>7</sup>,  
Christine M. Wickens, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Amy Cheung, MD<sup>5,6</sup>, Angela Boak, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Nigel E. Turner<sup>2</sup>,  
Robert E. Mann, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>

1. Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA
2. Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Canada
3. Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
4. Department of Sociology, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan
5. Department of Psychiatry, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Canada
6. University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
7. School of Social Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales

**Word count of manuscript text:** 3582 words

**Abstract:** 288 words

## Abstract

**Objective:** The objective of the current research was to examine the association between time spent on social media and serious psychological distress between 2013-2017, a period when the rates of both were trending upward.

**Methods:** The current study analyzed population-based data from three waves of the Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey (OSDUHS; n=15,398). Multivariate logistic regression models were used to examine the association between time spent on social media and serious psychological distress controlling for theoretically relevant covariates. Interactions were tested to assess whether the association changed over time.

**Results:** The prevalence of serious psychological distress increased from 10.9% in 2013 to 16.8% in 2017 concomitantly with substantial increases in social media usage, especially at the highest levels. In the multivariate context, we found a significant interaction between social media use and the survey year which indicates that the association between time spent on social media and psychological distress has decreased from 2013-2017.

**Conclusions:** Although both social media use and psychological distress increased between 2013 and 2017, the interaction between these variables indicates that the strength of this association has decreased over time. This finding suggests that the higher rate of heavy social media use in 2017 compared to 2013, is not actually associated with the higher rate of serious psychological distress during the same time period. From a diffusion of innovation perspective, it is possible that more recent adopters of social media may be less prone to psychological distress. More research is needed to understand the complex and evolving association between social media use and psychological distress. Researchers attempting to isolate the factors associated with the recent increases in psychological distress could benefit from broadening their investigation to factors beyond time spent on social media.

**Keywords:** psychological distress, social media use, adolescence, social media interaction, repeated cross-sectional design, mental health

## Introduction

Recent increases in mental health problems, including depression and suicidality, have been noted in several Western countries, including the United States,<sup>1-4</sup> the United Kingdom,<sup>5-7</sup> and Canada.<sup>8-11</sup> These increases are particularly salient among adolescents and young adults<sup>1</sup> and have mostly taken place since 2012 following a period where reductions in mental health problems were observed.<sup>3,4,12,13</sup> Given that adolescent mental health problems are associated with a range of adult psychological outcomes and difficulties across the life course,<sup>14</sup> it is important to better understand possible explanations for these upward trends.

One possible explanation for increases in the prevalence of mental health problems among adolescents involves the rapid increases in adolescent social media usage.<sup>15-17</sup> A growing body of research has begun to examine the link between social media use and poor mental health outcomes.<sup>18,19</sup> While some of this research questions the strength and veracity of this relationship,<sup>20-23</sup> several cross-sectional studies have found an association between higher amounts of time spent using different types of social media platforms and lower levels of wellbeing,<sup>18,24-27</sup> as well as higher levels of psychological distress and depression.<sup>3,18,25,28-30</sup> Experimental studies provide additional evidence for a link between specific types of social media use and negative affect,<sup>26,31</sup> and recent longitudinal evidence demonstrates that social media use negatively impacts later mental health.<sup>32-36</sup>

Most of the existing research on the association between social media use and negative mental health outcomes either implicitly or explicitly assumes that the harms of social media use, and screen time more generally, increase proportionately with exposure over time.<sup>22,32</sup> From this perspective, time spent on social media is considered a poor substitute for the prosocial activities it replaces. Time spent on social media, in other words, is believed to displace healthy physical

activities such as exercise<sup>32,37,38</sup> and sleep<sup>25,30,39</sup> while facilitating an impersonal mode of communication that decreases close personal connections and increases adolescent depression.<sup>40,41</sup> Increasing social media use is also thought to increase exposure to exaggeratedly positive representations of others' lifestyles and experiences, contributing to a downward social comparison and increasing depressive symptoms.<sup>32,42-46</sup> If more time spent on social media is associated with negative mental health outcomes, then other factors being equal, as the amount of time spent on social media changes over time, these negative health outcomes should change in a corresponding manner.

Despite the preponderance of evidence associating social media use and negative mental health outcomes, research has yet to determine the extent to which increases in the amount of time adolescents spend on social media may be associated with the recent upward trend in mental health problems that have been observed on a population-level. Thus, the first objective of our research was to empirically evaluate the association between these two variables over time, controlling for potential confounders. Our second objective was to examine whether recent increases in mental health problems were moderated by the gender of our respondents. Given that females are more likely than males to report psychological distress, suicidality, and depression,<sup>47,48</sup> and because recent research indicates that the increased prevalence of psychological distress has been disproportionately experienced by females,<sup>1,3</sup> we examined this association.

## Methods

### *Sample*

The Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey (OSDUHS) is a biennial cross-sectional population survey that is representative of students in grades 7 to 12 from Ontario, Canada. The OSDUHS uses a stratified (region by school level) two-stage (school, class) cluster design with active-consent procedures. Self-completed anonymous questionnaires were group administered in classrooms, a method that has demonstrated a high level of validity.<sup>49,50</sup> The present secondary data analysis examined a subpopulation of 15,393 student respondents from three waves of the OSDUHS—2013 (Wave 1), 2015 (Wave 2), 2017 (Wave 3). Item non-response was less than two percent for each of the independent variables, and missing values were listwise deleted.<sup>51a</sup> Our final analytic sample consisted of 15,393 respondents. Each wave of the survey received ethics approval from the Research Ethics Boards of the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH) and York University (protocol numbers are 068/2012 for 2013, 068/2014 for 2015, and 029/2016 for 2017). Further details about the methodological features of the OSDUHS are described in greater detail elsewhere.<sup>8</sup>

### *Dependent Variable*

*Psychological Distress.* The Kessler 6-item *Psychological Distress Scale* (K6)<sup>a</sup> is a screening instrument designed to detect symptoms of anxiety and depression, and has been validated among adolescent populations.<sup>52-55</sup> Respondents were asked “*In the last four weeks, how often did you...(1) feel nervous; (2) feel hopeless; (3) feel restless or fidgety; (4) feel so depressed that*

---

<sup>a</sup> Supplemental analyses revealed that missing data were not significantly associated with either the outcome or focal independent variables. Missing values were therefore determined to meet the criteria for missing at random (see Allison, 2001).

*nothing could cheer you up; (5) feel that everything was an effort; (6) feel worthless.* Each item was scored from 0 (none of the time) to 4 (all the time), with a total score ranging from 0-24. Consistent with other studies using the K6 measure,<sup>56-58</sup> a cut-off score of 13 was used to create a dichotomous measure to indicate serious psychological distress (1=yes, 0=no).<sup>b</sup> Serious psychological distress indicates the presence of a probable mental disorder, and is associated with increased treatment utilization,<sup>59</sup> increased prescription medication use,<sup>1</sup> substance abuse<sup>60,61</sup> and an, increased mortality risk.<sup>62</sup>

### *Focal Independent Variable*

*Time Spent on Social Media.* Respondents were asked, “About how many hours a day do you usually spend on social media websites or apps, such as Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, either posting or browsing?” Consistent with other studies measuring time spent on social media,<sup>24,25,30</sup> response options were coded into the following categories: none; less than 1 hour per day; 1 hour to less than 3 hours (reference group); 3 hours to less than 5 hours; 5 hours to less than 7 hours; 7 or more hours.

### *Covariates and Control Variables*

Change in psychological distress over time was modelled by including the wave of the survey as a covariate (1=2013, 2=2015, 3=2017).<sup>c</sup> Previous research has linked adolescent mental health

---

<sup>b</sup> While it is standard practice to use an empirically validated cut-off score for psychological distress, all analyses were also estimated with psychological distress as a continuous (and logged) dependent variable. The substantive results from this sensitivity analysis were nearly identical to the results obtained from our logistic regression models, which increased our confidence that our results are robust and not dependent on the measurement of psychological distress. Results available on request.

<sup>c</sup> The results were also estimated with wave as a categorical indicator as part of a sensitivity analysis. The results did not change substantively and given that the prevalence of serious psychological distress increased linearly in our data, we decided to treat wave as a continuous variable. The results from the multivariate analysis (Table 2) with wave as a categorical variable, can be found in Table 2 of the Appendix.

outcomes to several demographic variables, including age,<sup>24,29,30</sup> sex,<sup>1,3,19,24</sup> ethnicity and foreign born status,<sup>19,63</sup> and socioeconomic status.<sup>64-68</sup> These variables were included as control variables in the multivariate analysis. Grade was used to measure age and was coded as a continuous variable (7-12), while sex (0=male, 1=female), ethnicity (white = reference group), and foreign-born status (0=born in Canada, 1=born in another country) were included as categorical variables. The MacArthur family SSS ladder, a validated measure of subjective social status, was included as a proxy for family socioeconomic status.<sup>69,70</sup> Recent research has also linked social media use and psychological distress to a lack of connectedness with peers<sup>40,71,72</sup> and with cyberbullying victimization.<sup>73,74</sup> These variables were included to control for potential confounding effects in the multivariate analysis. Students were asked to evaluate their relationships with peers at school, with higher values reflecting closer relationships to peers (1-4). Consistent with other research,<sup>19,29,73</sup> past year cyberbullying victimization was included as a dichotomous variable (0=no, 1=yes). Table 1 (Appendix) provides more details on the variables used in the analysis.

### *Analytic Strategy*

We first calculated descriptive statistics to determine the prevalence of serious psychological distress and time spent on social media across each wave of data. Next, we estimated a series of nested logistic regression models to estimate the association between time spent on social media and psychological distress controlling for relevant factors.<sup>d</sup> Two interaction terms were estimated to test whether the association between sex and serious psychological distress and the association

---

<sup>d</sup> The purpose of the control variables was to account for potential confounders and to estimate the net effect of time spent on social media in the multivariate context. However, we were mindful of potential model overfitting, the possibility of collider variables, and potential issues with multicollinearity. A series of diagnostic tests were run, and the effects of time spent on social media on psychological distress was estimated with and without statistical controls. The results were almost identical, and the results were robust across different model specifications.



between time spent on social media and serious psychological distress changed between 2013-2017. The effect of all other variables was held constant in the interactions. All analyses corrected for characteristics of the sampling design (i.e., stratification, clustering and weighting), and were conducted using Stata v.16.1.

## Results

Table 1 presents the prevalence of serious psychological distress and time spent on social media for Ontario students between 2013 and 2017. Serious psychological distress significantly increased during this time, rising from 10.9% in 2013 (95% CI: 9.6%-12.3%), to 14.5% in 2015 (95% CI: 12.8%-16.4%), to 16.8% in 2017 (95% CI: 14.6%-19.3%). During these same time periods, social media use also increased, especially at the highest levels. The percentage of students who reported spending between 5 and 7 hours on social media per day increased from 6.6% in 2013 (95% CI: 5.5%-7.6%), to 9.8% in 2015 (95% CI: 8.7%-11.1%), to 12.7% in 2017 (95% CI: 10.8%-14.8%). Similar increases were observed for students who reported spending 7 or more hours per day on social media, which increased from 3.7% in 2013 (95% CI: 3.0%-4.5%), to 6.2% in 2015 (95% CI: 5.2%-7.5%), to 7.4% in 2017 (95% CI: 6.0%-9.1%).

**[Insert Table 1 about here]**

Table 2 presents the results from the multivariate logistic regression models. The trend in serious psychological distress was captured by the wave variable. The odds of distress increased across the three waves of data in all models (Model A to D); after controlling for the effect of all other factors (Model D), the odds of distress increased by 18% ( $p < 0.001$ ). Several of the control variables were also notable predictors of serious psychological distress. In the multivariate

context, the odds of distress were lower for students who reported having close social relationships with other students at school (AOR=.50;  $p<0.001$ ) and for students who reported higher levels of subjective family socioeconomic status (AOR=.82;  $p<0.001$ ). Conversely, the odds of distress were higher for older students (AOR=1.12;  $p<0.001$ ) and for students who reported cyberbullying victimization (AOR=2.39,  $p<0.001$ ).

Sex was a significant predictor of serious psychological distress across all models. In the multivariate model (Model D), female students were at 2.6 times the odds of male students for serious psychological distress ( $p<0.001$ ). An interaction between wave and sex tested whether the increases in serious psychological distress varied for male and females. The interaction was not statistically significant ( $F=.12$ ;  $p>0.05$ ). Auxiliary analyses confirm that the odds of serious psychological distress for females relative to males, presented separately by wave (see Table 4, Appendix), had overlapping confidence intervals and the change over time was not statistically significant.

**[Insert Table 2 about here]**

Time spent using social media, was significantly associated with serious psychological distress (Model C) and this association remained significant in the multivariate context (Model D). Compared to those who reported using social media between 1 and 3 hours per day (the reference group), and controlling for all other factors, the odds of distress increased with the amount of time spent using social media per day. However, the interaction between social media use and wave was statistically significant ( $F=2.76$ ;  $p<0.05$ ), suggesting that social media use had a differential relationship with serious psychological distress over time (see Table 4, appendix

for results stratified by wave). Figure 1 displays the predicted probability of time spent on social media and serious psychological distress over time, holding the effect of all other variables at their mean value. The results from this interaction demonstrate a non-linear effect over time. At the lowest levels of social media use, the predicted probability of serious psychological distress increased across the three waves; however, for students who reported spending 7 or more hours on social media per day, the predicted probability of serious psychological distress decreased from 22% to 17% between 2013 and 2017.<sup>e</sup>

**[Insert Figure 1 about here]**

## **Discussion**

Evidence for increased psychological distress and depression among adolescents and young adults has been reported in North America and Great Britain.<sup>1-4,30,75</sup> These increases have been accompanied by corresponding increases in suicide-related behaviors,<sup>2,3,76,77</sup> providing ancillary evidence that the recent upward trend in self-reported mental health problems is not an artifact of an increased willingness to disclose negative affect in anonymous surveys, but part of a broader increase in mental health problems among adolescents.<sup>6</sup> Our results add to this emergent literature and show a substantial increase in the proportion of students in grades 7 to 12 in Ontario, Canada who reported serious psychological distress between 2013 and 2017. This

---

<sup>e</sup> The findings from Figure 1 can be further evidenced by examining the supplemental material, found in the Appendix. In Table 3, descriptive statistics reveal that the percentage of Ontario students reporting serious psychological distress increased for students who reported less than 1 hour of social media use per day while the percentage decreased for those who reported 7 or more hours of social media use per day between 2013-2017, as compared to the reference group. Table 4 presents the adjusted odds ratios from the multivariate logistic regression model (Table 2), stratified by wave. Compared to those who reported using social media 1 or fewer hours per day (the reference group, whose probability of distress increased between 2013-2017), the AOR of those who reported using social media 7 or more hours per day decreased from 3.47 in 2013 to 1.38 in 2017.

increase of 65% is unprecedented, and translates to more than 150,000 students who suffered from a probable mental health disorder in 2017.<sup>8</sup> Given the adverse outcomes associated with serious psychological distress,<sup>1,60-62</sup> it is extremely important to identify the mechanisms contributing to these recent increases.

It has been argued that increased use of electronic devices, including social media use, has contributed to simultaneous increases in mental health problems such as psychological distress in recent years.<sup>24,27,78</sup> Our results provide support for the proposal that time spent on social media increased concomitantly with increased psychological distress for both males and females. However, the interaction of year and social media use suggests that the relationship between heavy social media use and psychological distress is complex. Even though both social media and psychological distress have increased from 2013 to 2017, the interaction indicates that the association between the two is decreasing over time. Those students who had the lowest levels of time spent on social media showed increases in psychological distress, while those with the highest levels of time spent on social media showed decreases in psychological distress, between 2013-2017. These data suggest that while social media use and serious psychological distress are associated with one another at each point in time, overall increases in social media use, and more specifically increases in heavy social media use, do not appear to account by themselves for the recent increases in serious psychological distress.

The influence of social media use on mental health may be complicated and could reflect other, underlying causal pathways. Kelly et al. (2018) have suggested that several paths could be involved, and that poor sleep, limited in-person social interactions, reduced physical activity, increased exposure to online harassment, reduced self-esteem, and poor body image may mediate the pathway between social media use and increased mental health problems such as anxiety

and/or depression.<sup>25</sup> Other researchers have confirmed the association of high levels of social media use with poor sleep and lack of exercise.<sup>25,30,32,38,39,48</sup> In a recent longitudinal study, Heffer and colleagues (2018), observed that, rather than social media use predicting depression, depression predicted social media use, but only among females.<sup>21</sup> Thus, the nature of the causal pathway between social media use and mental health problems is unclear and it is possible that the relationship is a reciprocal one.<sup>79,80</sup> Given the importance of temporal sequencing and the complexity of factors that may be responsible for the upward trend in psychological distress, more studies using panel data, where individuals are tracked at multiple points in time, are needed to better understand causal pathways and opportunities for prevention.

It is also possible that the changing impact of social media use on psychological distress is related to the novelty of the technology at the time, and the people initially attracted to it. “Early adopters” of social media, in diffusion of innovation terms,<sup>81</sup> may have been individuals already experiencing some predisposition to distress. Certainly, Heffer et al.’s (2018) results may support this interpretation, and Zink et al. (2019) observed reciprocal relationships between screen time and emotional disorder symptoms in adolescents.<sup>21,80</sup> As heavy use of social media has become a more salient and normalized feature of adolescent life,<sup>f</sup> later adopters of social media may include larger numbers of individuals less prone to distress. Changes in the frequency of time spent on social media do not account for the quality of social media use or for the relative impact that different types of social media use may have on psychological distress. Active social media use, where adolescents use social media to directly communicate with one

---

<sup>f</sup> The normalization of social media use has been facilitated by the ubiquity of internet access and smartphone ownership. According to the 2018 Canadian Internet Use Survey, 98.6% of adolescents aged 15-24 reported having access to the internet and 88.1% reported owning a smartphone. Available online at: Statistics Canada, 2019. Canadian Internet Use Survey. Released at 8:30 a.m. Eastern time in The Daily, Tuesday, October 29, 2019. Statistics Canada catalogue no. 11-001-X

another and facilitates social connectedness and social capital, may promote psychological wellbeing.<sup>82</sup> Passive social media use, on the other hand, is characterized by an absence of interpersonal communication, and has been associated with lower levels of psychological wellbeing.<sup>42,43,83</sup> Without more data, including validated measures of different types of social media use,<sup>84</sup> over a longer time period, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions. Future research will need to track changes in the patterns of social media use as well as changes in the association between social media use and psychological distress over time. If the recent increases in mood disorders are part of a generational shift among a new cohort of adolescents, as has been recently suggested,<sup>30</sup> then the prevalence of serious psychological distress may continue to increase.

Other researchers have suggested that the negative effect of social media use on wellbeing may be observed primarily among females.<sup>3,24</sup> Our results suggest that increased social media use was associated with increased psychological distress among both males and females in Ontario and increases in severe psychological distress were observed among both male and female students. While we see differences in the base rate of psychological distress, a finding consistent with other studies,<sup>8,12</sup> we observed increases in psychological distress among both male and female students between 2013-2017. Future research examining the etiology of the increases in psychological distress among adolescents should not automatically concede the existence of gender-specific effects without first testing for them.

Our data demonstrate significant increases in serious psychological distress among Ontario students in grades 7 to 12 between 2013 and 2017. While social media use increased simultaneously, we found that the association of heavy social media use with serious psychological distress appeared to decrease over time. This decline may be a positive indicator

for the future, in suggesting that the negative effect of heavy social media use may be moderating with time. As well, the disassociation of distress and social media use may suggest that the rise in serious distress among adolescents may not be strongly tied with social media use. A closer examination of distress needs to include other social factors, including the increased prevalence of negative news events from around the world. It is possible that adolescents are not just reacting to social media but rather to ‘real world’ distress. Future research to elucidate mechanisms underlying these trends might explore outcomes associated with different social media platforms (e.g., Instagram, Snapchat, Reddit, TikTok) and/or activities performed while using social media (e.g., browsing, posting, direct messaging). This research could help inform the potential moderating role that different types of social media use has on adolescent mental health.

Our results are limited by the cross-sectional nature of the data, and their reliance on self-reported surveys. Thus, causal conclusions cannot be drawn, and the results may be subject to bias found with self-report, an issue underscored by recent research suggesting that self-reported estimates of social media use may not be reflective of actual time spent.<sup>85</sup> Another limitation related to the cross-sectional nature of our data is that we cannot assess whether higher social media use predicts psychological distress or vice versa. There is some evidence of a reverse association.<sup>21,79</sup> The possibility of reverse causation provides additional support to our conclusion that it is important to be cautious about the association between social media use and the recent increases in serious psychological distress. These increases appear to have multiple sources, and more research is needed to understand and address the increased prevalence of psychological distress among adolescents.

## References

1. Mojtabai R, Olfson M, Han B. National trends in the prevalence and treatment of depression in adolescents and young adults. *Pediatrics*. 2016:e20161878.
2. Olfson M, Blanco C, Wall M, et al. National trends in suicide attempts among adults in the United States. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2017;74(11):1095-1103.
3. Twenge JM, Joiner TE, Rogers ML, Martin GN. Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among US adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clinical Psychological Science*. 2018;6(1):3-17.
4. Keyes KM, Gary D, O'Malley PM, Hamilton A, Schulenberg J. Recent increases in depressive symptoms among US adolescents: trends from 1991 to 2018. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*. 2019;54(8):987-996.
5. Collishaw S. Annual research review: secular trends in child and adolescent mental health. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2015;56(3):370-393.
6. Gunnell D, Kidger J, Elvidge H. Adolescent mental health in crisis. In: British Medical Journal Publishing Group; 2018.
7. Sellers R, Warne N, Pickles A, Maughan B, Thapar A, Collishaw S. Cross - cohort change in adolescent outcomes for children with mental health problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2019.
8. Boak A, Hamilton H, Adlaf E. The mental health and well-being of Ontario students, 1991-2017: detailed findings from the Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey (OSDUHS). *Toronto (ON): Centre for Addiction and Mental Health*. 2018.
9. Ialomiteanu A, Adlaf EM, Mann RE. *CAMH Monitor 2016 Metadata User's EGuide*. Centre for Addiction and Mental Health; 2017.
10. Malla A, Shah J, Iyer S, et al. Youth mental health should be a top priority for health care in Canada. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2018;63(4):216-222.
11. Gandhi S, Chiu M, Lam K, Cairney JC, Guttman A, Kurdyak P. Mental health service use among children and youth in Ontario: population-based trends over time. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2016;61(2):119-124.
12. Mann RE, Paglia-Boak A, Adlaf EM, et al. Estimating the prevalence of anxiety and mood disorders in an adolescent general population: An evaluation of the GHQ12. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. 2011;9(4):410-420.
13. Wiens K, Williams JV, Lavorato DH, et al. Is the prevalence of major depression increasing in the Canadian adolescent population? Assessing trends from 2000 to 2014. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2017;210:22-26.
14. Clayborne ZM, Varin M, Colman I. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Adolescent Depression and Long-Term Psychosocial Outcomes. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2019;58(1):72-79.
15. Chassiakos YLR, Radesky J, Christakis D, Moreno MA, Cross C. Children and adolescents and digital media. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(5):e20162593.
16. Twenge JM. *IGen: Why Today's Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebellious, More Tolerant, Less Happy--and Completely Unprepared for Adulthood--and What That Means for the Rest of Us*. Simon and Schuster; 2017.



17. Abi-Jaoude E, Naylor KT, Pignatiello A. Smartphones, social media use and youth mental health. *CMAJ*. 2020;192(6):E136-E141.
18. Marino C, Gini G, Vieno A, Spada MM. The associations between problematic Facebook use, psychological distress and well-being among adolescents and young adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2018;226:274-281.
19. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Hamilton H. Social networking sites and mental health problems in adolescents: The mediating role of cyberbullying victimization. *European psychiatry*. 2015;30(8):1021-1027.
20. Bell V, Bishop DV, Przybylski AK. The debate over digital technology and young people. In: British Medical Journal Publishing Group; 2015.
21. Heffer T, Good M, Daly O, MacDonell E, Willoughby T. The Longitudinal Association Between Social-Media Use and Depressive Symptoms Among Adolescents and Young Adults: An Empirical Reply to Twenge et al.(2018). *Clinical Psychological Science*. 2019;2167702618812727.
22. Przybylski AK, Weinstein N. A large-scale test of the Goldilocks Hypothesis: Quantifying the relations between digital-screen use and the mental well-being of adolescents. *Psychological Science*. 2017;28(2):204-215.
23. Huang C. Time spent on social network sites and psychological well-being: A meta-analysis. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2017;20(6):346-354.
24. Booker CL, Kelly YJ, Sacker A. Gender differences in the associations between age trends of social media interaction and well-being among 10-15 year olds in the UK. *BMC public health*. 2018;18(1):321.
25. Kelly Y, Zilanawala A, Booker C, Sacker A. Social media use and adolescent mental health: Findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2018;6:59-68.
26. Kross E, Verduyn P, Demiralp E, et al. Facebook use predicts declines in subjective well-being in young adults. *PloS one*. 2013;8(8):e69841.
27. Twenge JM, Campbell WK. Media Use Is Linked to Lower Psychological Well-Being: Evidence from Three Datasets. *Psychiatric Quarterly*. 2019:1-21.
28. Lin LY, Sidani JE, Shensa A, et al. Association between social media use and depression among US young adults. *Depression and anxiety*. 2016;33(4):323-331.
29. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Lewis RF. Frequent use of social networking sites is associated with poor psychological functioning among children and adolescents. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2015;18(7):380-385.
30. Twenge JM, Cooper AB, Joiner TE, Duffy ME, Binau SG. Age, period, and cohort trends in mood disorder indicators and suicide-related outcomes in a nationally representative dataset, 2005–2017. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2019.
31. Tromholt M. The Facebook experiment: Quitting Facebook leads to higher levels of well-being. *Cyberpsychology, behavior, and social networking*. 2016;19(11):661-666.
32. Boers E, Afzali M, Newton N, Conrad P. Association of Screen Time and Depression in Adolescence *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2019.
33. Shakya HB, Christakis NA. Association of Facebook use with compromised well-being: a longitudinal study. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2017;185(3):203-211.

34. Ra CK, Cho J, Stone MD, et al. Association of digital media use with subsequent symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among adolescents. *Jama*. 2018;320(3):255-263.
35. Tamana SK, Ezeugwu V, Chikuma J, et al. Screen-time is associated with inattention problems in preschoolers: Results from the CHILD birth cohort study. *PloS one*. 2019;14(4):e0213995.
36. Riehm KE, Feder KA, Tormohlen KN, et al. Associations between time spent using social media and internalizing and externalizing problems among US youth. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2019;76(12):1266-1273.
37. Anderson SE, Economos CD, Must A. Active play and screen time in US children aged 4 to 11 years in relation to sociodemographic and weight status characteristics: a nationally representative cross-sectional analysis. *BMC Public health*. 2008;8(1):366.
38. Kremer P, Elshaug C, Leslie E, Toumbourou JW, Patton GC, Williams J. Physical activity, leisure-time screen use and depression among children and young adolescents. *Journal of science and medicine in sport*. 2014;17(2):183-187.
39. Woods HC, Scott H. # Sleepyteens: Social media use in adolescence is associated with poor sleep quality, anxiety, depression and low self-esteem. *Journal of adolescence*. 2016;51:41-49.
40. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Chaput J-P, Hamilton HA. Social Media Use, School Connectedness, and Academic Performance Among Adolescents. *The journal of primary prevention*. 2019;40(2):189-211.
41. Valkenburg PM, Peter J, Schouten AP. Friend networking sites and their relationship to adolescents' well-being and social self-esteem. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*. 2006;9(5):584-590.
42. Appel H, Gerlach AL, Crusius J. The interplay between Facebook use, social comparison, envy, and depression. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. 2016;9:44-49.
43. Nesi J, Prinstein MJ. Using social media for social comparison and feedback-seeking: Gender and popularity moderate associations with depressive symptoms. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2015;43(8):1427-1438.
44. Rosenberg J, Egbert N. Online impression management: Personality traits and concerns for secondary goals as predictors of self-presentation tactics on Facebook. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*. 2011;17(1):1-18.
45. Wills TA. Downward comparison principles in social psychology. *Psychological bulletin*. 1981;90(2):245.
46. Verduyn P, Ybarra O, Résibois M, Jonides J, Kross E. Do Social Network Sites Enhance or Undermine Subjective Well - Being? A Critical Review. *Social Issues and Policy Review*. 2017;11(1):274-302.
47. Afifi TO, Enns MW, Cox BJ, Martens PJ. Investigating health correlates of adolescent depression in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*. 2005;96(6):427-431.
48. Cheung AH, Dewa CS. Canadian community health survey: major depressive disorder and suicidality in adolescents. *Healthcare Policy*. 2006;2(2):76.
49. Brener ND, Billy JO, Grady WR. Assessment of factors affecting the validity of self-reported health-risk behavior among adolescents: evidence from the scientific literature. *Journal of adolescent health*. 2003;33(6):436-457.

50. Brener ND, Eaton DK, Kann L, et al. The association of survey setting and mode with self-reported health risk behaviors among high school students. *International Journal of Public Opinion Quarterly*. 2006;70(3):354-374.
51. Allison PD. *Missing data*. Vol 136: Sage publications; 2001.
52. Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC. Improving the K6 short scale to predict serious emotional disturbance in adolescents in the USA. *International journal of methods in psychiatric research*. 2010;19(S1):23-35.
53. Kessler RC, Green JG, Gruber MJ, et al. Screening for serious mental illness in the general population with the K6 screening scale: results from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) survey initiative. *International journal of methods in psychiatric research*. 2010;19(S1):4-22.
54. Peiper N, Clayton R, Wilson R, Illback R. The performance of the K6 Scale in a large school sample. *Psychological assessment*. 2015;27(1):228.
55. Mewton L, Kessler RC, Slade T, et al. The psychometric properties of the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6) in a general population sample of adolescents. *Psychological assessment*. 2016;28(10):1232.
56. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological medicine*. 2002;32(6):959-976.
57. Kessler RC, Barker PR, Colpe LJ, et al. Screening for serious mental illness in the general population. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 2003;60(2):184-189.
58. Peiper N, Lee A, Lindsay S, Drashner N, Wing J. The performance of the K6 scale in a large school sample: A follow-up study evaluating measurement invariance on the Idaho Youth Prevention Survey. *Psychological assessment*. 2016;28(6):775.
59. Prochaska JJ, Sung HY, Max W, Shi Y, Ong M. Validity study of the K6 scale as a measure of moderate mental distress based on mental health treatment need and utilization. *International journal of methods in psychiatric research*. 2012;21(2):88-97.
60. Cheung AH, Cook S, Kozloff N, Chee JN, Mann RE, Boak A. Substance use and internalizing symptoms among high school students and access to health care services: results from a population-based study. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*. 2019;110(1):85-92.
61. Kelly AB, Chan GC, Mason WA, Williams JW. The relationship between psychological distress and adolescent polydrug use. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*. 2015;29(3):787.
62. Chiu M, Vigod S, Rahman F, Wilton AS, Lebenbaum M, Kurdyak P. Mortality risk associated with psychological distress and major depression: A population-based cohort study. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2018;234:117-123.
63. Montazer S, Wheaton B. The impact of generation and country of origin on the mental health of children of immigrants. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2011;52(1):23-42.
64. Dupéré V, Leventhal T, Lacourse E. Neighborhood poverty and suicidal thoughts and attempts in late adolescence. *Psychological medicine*. 2009;39(8):1295-1306.
65. Goodman E. The role of socioeconomic status gradients in explaining differences in US adolescents' health. *American journal of public health*. 1999;89(10):1522-1528.

66. Hamilton HA, Wickens CM, Ialomiteanu AR, Mann RE. Debt stress, psychological distress and overall health among adults in Ontario. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 2019;111:89-95.
67. Lowry R, Kann L, Collins JL, Kolbe LJ. The effect of socioeconomic status on chronic disease risk behaviors among US adolescents. *Jama*. 1996;276(10):792-797.
68. Mann RE, Zalcman RF, Rush BR, Smart RG, Rhodes AE. Alcohol factors in suicide mortality rates in Manitoba. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2008;53(4):243-251.
69. Goodman E, Adler NE, Kawachi I, Frazier AL, Huang B, Colditz GA. Adolescents' perceptions of social status: development and evaluation of a new indicator. *Pediatrics*. 2001;108(2):e31-e31.
70. Goodman E, Huang B, Schafer-Kalkhoff T, Adler NE. Perceived socioeconomic status: a new type of identity that influences adolescents' self-rated health. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2007;41(5):479-487.
71. La Greca AM, Harrison HM. Adolescent peer relations, friendships, and romantic relationships: Do they predict social anxiety and depression? *Journal of clinical child and adolescent psychology*. 2005;34(1):49-61.
72. Marraccini ME, Brier ZM. School connectedness and suicidal thoughts and behaviors: A systematic meta-analysis. *School psychology quarterly*. 2017;32(1):5.
73. Kessel Schneider S, O'Donnell L, Smith E. Trends in cyberbullying and school bullying victimization in a regional census of high school students, 2006 - 2012. *Journal of school health*. 2015;85(9):611-620.
74. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Chaput J-P, Hamilton HA, Colman I. Bullying involvement, psychological distress, and short sleep duration among adolescents. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*. 2018;53(12):1371-1380.
75. Sadler K, Vizard T, Ford T, et al. Mental Health of Children and Young People in England, 2017. 2018.
76. Froberg BA, Morton SJ, Mowry JB, Rusyniak DE. Temporal and geospatial trends of adolescent intentional overdoses with suspected suicidal intent reported to a state poison control center. *Clinical toxicology*. 2019:1-8.
77. Spiller HA, Ackerman JP, Spiller NE, Casavant MJ. Sex-and Age-specific Increases in Suicide Attempts by Self-Poisoning in the United States among Youth and Young Adults from 2000 to 2018. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 2019.
78. Twenge JM. The sad state of happiness in the United States and the role of digital media. *World Happiness Report 2019*. 2019.
79. Orben A, Dienlin T, Przybylski AK. Social media's enduring effect on adolescent life satisfaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2019;116(21):10226-10228.
80. Zink J, Belcher BR, Kechter A, Stone MD, Leventhal AM. Reciprocal associations between screen time and emotional disorder symptoms during adolescence. *Preventive medicine reports*. 2019;13:281-288.
81. Valente TW, Rogers EM. The origins and development of the diffusion of innovations paradigm as an example of scientific growth. *Science communication*. 1995;16(3):242-273.

82. Verduyn P, Lee DS, Park J, et al. Passive Facebook usage undermines affective well-being: Experimental and longitudinal evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 2015;144(2):480.
83. Lin S, Liu D, Niu G, Longobardi C. Active Social Network Sites Use and Loneliness: the Mediating Role of Social Support and Self-Esteem. *Current Psychology*. 2020:1-8.
84. Trifiro BM, Gerson J. Social media usage patterns: research note regarding the lack of universal validated measures for active and passive use. *Social Media+ Society*. 2019;5(2):2056305119848743.
85. Scharkow M. The accuracy of self-reported internet use—A validation study using client log data. *Communication Methods and Measures*. 2016;10(1):13-27.

Table 1: Prevalence of Severe Psychological Distress and Time Spent on Social Media for Ontario Students, 2013-2017 OSDUHS (n=15,398)

	2013 OSDUHS (n=4933) % (95% CI)	2015 OSDUHS (n=4886) % (95% CI)	2017 OSDUHS (n=5579) % (95% CI)
<b><i>Serious Psychological Distress</i></b>			
No	89.1% (87.7%-90.5%)	85.5% (83.6%-87.2%)	83.2% (80.7%-85.4%)
Yes	10.9% (9.6%-12.3%)	14.5% (12.8%-16.4%)	16.8% (14.6%-19.3%)
<b><i>Daily Social Media Use</i></b>			
None	7.5% (6.1%-9.1%)	6.4% (5.0%-8.1%)	6.4% (5.2%-7.8%)
< 1hr	25.9% (24.0%-27.9%)	19.6% (17.6%-21.7%)	13.9% (11.9%-16.3%)
1 hr to <3hr	39.3% (37.3%-41.4%)	36.1% (34.0%-38.3%)	33.3% (29.8%-37.1%)
3 hr to <5hr	17.0% (15.3%-18.9%)	21.9% (19.7%-24.2%)	26.3% (24.0%-28.6%)
5 hr to <7hrs	6.6% (5.6%-7.6%)	9.8% (8.7%-11.1%)	12.7% (10.8%-14.8%)
7 > hrs	3.7% (3.0%-4.5%)	6.2% (5.2%-7.5%)	7.4% (6.0%-9.1%)

Table 2: Multivariate Main Effects Logistic Regression Predicting Severe Psychological Distress, 2013-2017 OSDUHS (n=15,398)

	Model A: Demographic Variables	Model B: Social Relationships	Model C: Time Spent on Social Media	Model D: Multivariate Model
	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Wave	1.27*** (1.16-1.38)	1.24*** (1.14-1.36)	1.19*** (1.08-1.30)	1.18*** (1.08-1.30)
Female	3.45*** (2.91-4.08)	2.99*** (2.49-3.59)	3.04*** (2.56-3.61)	2.64*** (2.19-3.20)
Grade	1.18*** (1.12-1.25)	1.15*** (1.10-1.21)	1.16*** (1.10-1.23)	1.12*** (1.07-1.18)
Foreign Born	1.09 (.73-1.50)	1.11 (.71-1.64)	1.13 (.77-1.65)	1.13 (.75-1.72)
Ethnicity				
White	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Black	1.18 (.85-1.64)	1.09 (.78-1.52)	.99 (.74-1.34)	.93 (.69-1.26)
S/E Asian	1.09 (.83-1.43)	1.05 (.78-1.42)	.97 (.73-1.28)	.93 (.68-1.28)
S Asian	.97 (.69-1.37)	1.07 (.76-1.50)	.94 (.66-1.34)	1.04 (.73-1.48)
Other	1.48*** (1.22-1.80)	1.29* (1.04-1.59)	1.36** (1.12-1.65)	1.20 (.96-1.50)
Family Status		.82*** (.78-.86)		.82*** (.78-.86)
Close Relationships		.51*** (.46-.54)		.50*** (.46-.55)
Cyberbullying Victimization		2.68*** (2.05-3.50)		2.39*** (1.80-3.16)
Time on Social Media				
None			.98 (.72-1.32)	.93 (.65-1.32)
< 1hr			1.16 (.90-1.54)	1.08 (.84-1.40)
1 hr to <3hr			REF	REF
3 hr to <5hr			1.68*** (1.33-2.11)	1.55*** (1.24-1.95)
5 hr to <6hrs			2.39*** (1.91-2.99)	2.08*** (1.59-2.71)
7 > hrs			3.24*** (2.51-4.18)	2.74*** (2.08-3.62)

AOR=adjusted odds ratio; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001

## Appendix

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics on Independent Variables, 2013-2017 OSDUHS (n=15,398)

	<i>Variable Coding</i>	M (%)	95% CI
<i>Demographic Variables</i>			
Grade	Scale (range: 7-12)	9.9	9.8-10.0
Female	Binary (0=male; 1=female)	48.9%	47.3%-50.6%
Ethnicity	Categorical		
White		59.3%	56.0%-62.6%
Black		7.5%	5.9%-9.5%
South East Asian		8.2%	7.1%-9.4%
South Asian		7.6%	6.5%-8.8%
Other		17.4%	15.8%-19.2%
<i>Subjective Family Status</i>	Scale (range 1-10)	7.0	6.9-7.0
<i>Close Social Relationships</i>	Likert Scale (range: 1-4)	3.3	3.2-3.3
<i>Cyberbully Victimization</i>	Binary (0=no; 1=yes)	19.9%	18.9%-21.1%
<i>Time Spent on Social Media</i>	Ordinal Measure		
Do not use		26.7%	25.0%-28.4%
< 1 hr daily		17.2%	16.2%-18.3%
1 hr to < 3 hrs daily		19.1%	17.9%-20.3%
3 hrs to < 5 hrs daily		21.6%	20.4%-23.0%
5 hrs to <7 hrs daily		9.7%	8.8%-10.6%
7 > hrs daily		5.7%	5.1%-6.5%



Table 2: Multivariate Main Effects Logistic Regression Predicting Severe Psychological Distress, 2013-2017 OSDUHS (n=15,398)

	Model A: Demographic Variables AOR (95% CI)	Model B: Social Relationships AOR (95% CI)	Model C: Time Spent on Social Media AOR (95% CI)	Model D: Multivariate Model AOR (95% CI)
Year				
2013	REF	REF	REF	REF
2015	1.42*** (1.17-1.72)	1.43*** (1.18-1.74)	1.30** (1.07-1.58)	1.34** (1.09-1.64)
2017	1.62*** (1.26-1.93)	1.57*** (1.30-1.89)	1.42*** (1.18-1.71)	1.41** (1.16-1.72)
Female	3.46*** (2.92-4.09)	2.99*** (2.50-3.59)	3.04*** (2.56-3.61)	2.64*** (2.19-3.20)
Grade	1.18*** (1.12-1.25)	1.15*** (1.10-1.21)	1.16*** (1.10-1.23)	1.13*** (1.07-1.18)
Foreign Born	1.10 (.77-1.58)	1.11 (.75-1.65)	1.13 (.77-1.65)	1.14 (.75-1.73)
Ethnicity				
White	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Black	1.18 (.85-1.64)	1.09 (.78-1.54)	1.00 (.74-1.35)	.94 (.69-1.30)
S/E Asian	1.07 (.82-1.41)	1.04 (.77-1.40)	.95 (.74-1.26)	.92 (.67-1.26)
S Asian	.97 (.69-1.36)	1.07 (.76-1.49)	.94 (.66-1.33)	1.03 (.73-1.47)
Other	1.48*** (1.23-1.19)	1.29* (1.04-1.60)	1.36** (1.13-1.65)	1.20 (.96-1.49)
Family Status		.82*** (.78-.86)		.82*** (.78-.86)
Close Relationships		.51*** (.46-.54)		.50*** (.46-.55)
Cyberbullying Victimization		2.68*** (2.05-3.50)		2.38*** (1.81-3.15)
Time on Social Media				
None			.98 (.72-1.32)	.93 (.65-1.32)
< 1hr			1.16 (.90-1.54)	1.08 (.84-1.40)
1 hr to <3hr			REF	REF
3 hr to <5hr			1.67*** (1.33-2.10)	1.55*** (1.24-1.95)
5 hr to <6hrs			2.38*** (1.90-2.99)	2.07*** (1.59-2.70)
7 > hrs			3.22*** (2.50-4.17)	2.73*** (2.07-3.61)

AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001

Table 3: Percent of Ontario Students Reporting Serious Psychological Distress by Explanatory Factors, 2013-2017 OSDUHS (n=15,393)

	2013 OSDUHS % (95% CI)	2015 OSDUHS % (95% CI)	2017 OSDUHS % (95% CI)
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	5.8% (4.5%-7.4%)	7.2% (5.8%-8.8%)	9.1% (6.9%-11.9%)
Female	16.3% (14.8%-18.5%)	22.2% (19.6%-25.3%)	24.8% (22.3%-27.5%)
<b>Grade</b>			
Grade 7	4.2 % (2.5%-7.0%)	6.8% (4.2%-10.7%)	10.6% (7.7%-14.3%)
Grade 8	10.4% (7.0%-15.2%)	12.2% (7.6%-18.8%)	12.1% (9.4%-15.5%)
Grade 9	13.7% (10.7%-17.4%)	11.2% (8.5%-14.6%)	14.0% (9.6%-20.0%)
Grade 10	11.5% (8.6%-15.1%)	15.0% (12.5%-18.0%)	17.6% (14.2%-21.6%)
Grade 11	11.1% (8.1%-15.2%)	19.2% (16.0%-23.0%)	19.0% (14.3%-24.6%)
Grade 12	11.4% (8.6%-15.0%)	18.3% (14.8%-22.4%)	21.7% (16.0%-28.8%)
<b>Ethnicity</b>			
White	9.5% (7.8%-11.7%)	13.5% (11.5%-15.8%)	15.2% (13.0%-17.7%)
Black	13.3% (8.7%-19.8%)	13.8% (8.8%-20.9%)	18.7% (10.6%-30.8%)
South East Asian	12.1% (7.8%-18.4%)	15.9% (11.9%-20.8%)	12.7% (9.7%-16.6%)
South Asian	9.0% (6.0%-13.3%)	14.9% (9.2%-23.1%)	13.5% (8.4%-20.9%)
Other	15.9% (12.1%-20.7%)	17.6% (13.5%-22.6%)	22.2% (17.2%-28.3%)
<b>Subjective Family Status</b>			
1 out of 10	25.5% (4.4%-71.4%)	54.4% (16.1%-88.1%)	56.5% (25.6%-83.1%)
5 out of 10	19.4% (14.0%-26.2%)	22.1% (16.9%-28.2%)	26.0% (18.5%-35.2%)
10 out of 10	5.2% (2.3%-11.3%)	14.1% (7.8%-24.1%)	18.6% (7.9%-37.7%)
<b>Close Social Relationships</b>			
Strongly Disagree	48.1% (33.6%-62.9%)	47.3% (35.1%-59.9%)	55.9% (48.5%-63.1%)
Disagree	26.9% (21.3%-33.3%)	30.5% (24.2%-37.6%)	35.8% (29.9%-42.2%)
Agree	11.5% (9.6%-13.6%)	14.9% (12.5%-17.7%)	15.6% (12.4%-19.5%)
Strongly Agree	4.7% (3.6%-5.9%)	8.3% (6.1%-11.0%)	9.2% (7.7%-11.0%)
<b>Cyberbully Victimization</b>			
No	7.3% (6.1%-8.7%)	10.5% (9.1%-12.0%)	14.2% (10.8%-18.3%)
Yes	25.9% (22.0%-30.2%)	30.5% (25.3%-36.1%)	27.3% (21.1%-34.5%)
<b>Time Spent on Social Media</b>			
None	4.4% (2.2%-8.4%)	8.9% (5.7%-13.8%)	8.9% (6.2%-12.7%)
< 1hr	6.8% (5.0%-9.2%)	9.0% (6.6%-12.2%)	14.2% (9.4%-20.9%)
1 hr to <3hr	7.3% (5.8%-9.1%)	11.3% (9.2%-13.9%)	11.4% (9.4%-13.7%)
3 hr to <5hr	17.5% (13.6%-22.1%)	18.0% (13.7%-23.1%)	19.4% (16.7%-22.5%)
5 hr to <6hrs	25.5% (18.4%-34.1%)	21.0% (15.9%-27.1%)	27.3% (21.3%-34.1%)
7 > hrs	34.2% (25.1%-44.6%)	33.9% (26.4%-42.3%)	26.2% (21.0%-32.2%)

Table 4: Differences in gender and social media use and serious psychological distress among Ontario students, 2013-2017 OSDUHS (n=15,398)

	Year of Survey					
	2013		2015		2017	
	AOR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI
<i>Female</i>	2.44	(1.74-3.43)	2.89	(2.21-3.77)	2.59	(1.88-3.59)
<i>Social Media Use</i>						
<1 hour	REF	REF	REF	REF	REF	REF
1-3 hours	.94	(.60-1.49)	.99	(.63-1.55)	.75	(.46-1.20)
3-5 hours	2.18	(1.39-3.41)	1.22	(.74-2.02)	1.03	(.63-1.67)
5-6 hours	2.67	(1.39-3.41)	1.54	(.90-2.65)	1.62	(.85-3.08)
7+ hours	3.47	(1.85-6.49)	2.66	(1.57-4.50)	1.38	(.78-2.45)

AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001

The AORs presented here are the multivariate odds ratios after controlling for the effect of age, sex, ethnicity, foreign born status, subjective social status and cyberbully victimization.