



Increasing summer net CO₂ uptake in high northern ecosystems inferred from atmospheric inversions and comparisons to remote-sensing NDVI

Lisa R. Welp^{1,a}, Prabir K. Patra², Christian Rödenbeck³, Rama Nemani⁴, Jian Bi¹, Stephen C. Piper¹, and Ralph F. Keeling¹

¹Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

²Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, Japan

³Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

⁴NASA Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, California, USA

^anow at: Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

Correspondence to: Lisa R. Welp (lwelp@purdue.edu)

Received: 6 January 2016 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 19 February 2016

Revised: 13 June 2016 – Accepted: 17 June 2016 – Published: 25 July 2016

Abstract. Warmer temperatures and elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations over the last several decades have been credited with increasing vegetation activity and photosynthetic uptake of CO₂ from the atmosphere in the high northern latitude ecosystems: the boreal forest and arctic tundra. At the same time, soils in the region have been warming, permafrost is melting, fire frequency and severity are increasing, and some regions of the boreal forest are showing signs of stress due to drought or insect disturbance. The recent trends in net carbon balance of these ecosystems, across heterogeneous disturbance patterns, and the future implications of these changes are unclear. Here, we examine CO₂ fluxes from northern boreal and tundra regions from 1985 to 2012, estimated from two atmospheric inversions (RIGC and Jena). Both used measured atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and wind fields from interannually variable climate reanalysis. In the arctic zone, the latitude region above 60° N excluding Europe (10° W–63° E), neither inversion finds a significant long-term trend in annual CO₂ balance. The boreal zone, the latitude region from approximately 50–60° N, again excluding Europe, showed a trend of 8–11 Tg C yr⁻² over the common period of validity from 1986 to 2006, resulting in an annual CO₂ sink in 2006 that was 170–230 Tg C yr⁻¹ larger than in 1986. This trend appears to continue through 2012 in the Jena inversion as well. In both latitudinal zones, the seasonal amplitude of monthly CO₂ fluxes increased due to

increased uptake in summer, and in the arctic zone also due to increased fall CO₂ release. These findings suggest that the boreal zone has been maintaining and likely increasing CO₂ sink strength over this period, despite browning trends in some regions and changes in fire frequency and land use. Meanwhile, the arctic zone shows that increased summer CO₂ uptake, consistent with strong greening trends, is offset by increased fall CO₂ release, resulting in a net neutral trend in annual fluxes. The inversion fluxes from the arctic and boreal zones covering the permafrost regions showed no indication of a large-scale positive climate–carbon feedback caused by warming temperatures on high northern latitude terrestrial CO₂ fluxes from 1985 to 2012.

1 Introduction

The high northern latitudes, including the tundra and boreal forest regions, are particularly vulnerable to the effects of climate change as this region has been experiencing dramatic changes in recent climate. Warming in northern ecosystems results in many physical and ecological changes that have consequences for carbon cycling (Chapin, 2005; Hinzman et al., 2005; McGuire et al., 2009; Serreze et al., 2000; Smith and Dukes, 2012; Walther, 2010; Wu et al., 2012). Annual mean surface air temperatures over land increased by

0.64 °C decade⁻¹ north of 60° N from 1979 to 2008, roughly twice the rate of 0.33 °C decade⁻¹ for the Northern Hemisphere as a whole (Bekryaev et al., 2010). This northern polar amplification has been attributed to ice/snow–albedo feedbacks (Cess et al., 1991; Qu and Hall, 2007; Serreze and Barry, 2011). Minimum sea ice extent in the Arctic Ocean has declined rapidly (Comiso et al., 2008), with feedbacks and teleconnections on the continental areas as well (2016; Francis et al., 2009). Impacts in the northern regions are predicted to intensify, as climate scenario modeling projects further arctic temperature increases of 5–7 °C by the end of this century (ACIA, 2004; Ewers et al., 2005), and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations continue to rise at a rate approaching 2.0 ppm per year.

Tundra ecosystems and boreal forests hold large stores of carbon in soil organic matter buried in cold or frozen permafrost soils. It is estimated that 1400 to 1850 Pg C are stored in high northern latitude soils and another 60 to 70 Pg C in above- and belowground vegetation (Gedney et al., 2006; Keenan et al., 2013; McGuire et al., 2009). The natural turnover time of this carbon is very slow, but there is a risk that warmer temperatures will increase microbial respiration rates and expose previously frozen organic matter to decomposition by melting the permafrost (Cao et al., 2010; Johnstone et al., 2010; Schuur et al., 2008; Trahan and Schubert, 2015). Over the past several decades there has been a measurable trend to earlier spring snowmelt and surface soil thaw (Brown et al., 2013; McDonald et al., 2004; Smith, 2004) and increases in permafrost borehole temperatures (Romanovsky et al., 2010), demonstrating changes in the thermal stability of northern circumpolar soils. It has been speculated that warming could trigger a massive release of carbon from these soils, in the form of CO₂ and CH₄, leading to a positive climate–carbon feedback (Pastick et al., 2015; Schuur et al., 2008). This has been nicknamed the arctic “carbon bomb” in the popular media (Treat and Frohling, 2013).

However, warming and the associated lengthening of the growing season encourages plant growth in these otherwise temperature-limited areas, as does increased atmospheric CO₂ fertilization (Lloyd and Farquhar, 1996; Wickland et al., 2006; Yi et al., 2009) and increased nitrogen deposition (Baird et al., 2012; Barber et al., 2000; Bunn and Goetz, 2006; Goetz et al., 2005; Holland et al., 1997; Soja et al., 2007). The net carbon balance of increased plant growth and increased soil respiration is unclear but has important consequences for predicting carbon–climate feedbacks (Abbott et al., 2016; Koven et al., 2015).

Measurements of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations at Barrow, Alaska, by Keeling et al. (1996) provided evidence for increased photosynthetic activity and net primary production (NPP) at northern latitudes from 1960 through 1994. Changes in the phase and amplitude of the CO₂ seasonal cycle were attributed to increased CO₂ uptake by vegetation during spring and summer, leading to earlier drawdown and larger seasonal amplitudes of atmospheric CO₂ concentra-

tions (Barichivich et al., 2014; Keeling et al., 1996; Rander-son et al., 1999). This perspective is also supported by satellite observations of an increase in vegetation greenness at northern latitudes (Myneni et al., 1997) and global ecosystem process models suggesting that northern ecosystems have become more productive as a result of combined changes in temperature, CO₂ concentration, and nitrogen availability (Kimball et al., 2007; McGuire et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2012). An updated perspective on the northern CO₂ seasonal cycles from Barrow data and from repeated airborne surveys of the mid-troposphere showed a 50 % increase in the amplitude from 1960 to 2010, implying a significant increase in northern ecosystem growing season CO₂ uptake over the last several decades (Graven et al., 2013; Ueyama et al., 2014).

Since the late 1990s, however, some indicators of ecosystem function suggest that the terrestrial biosphere response to recent climate change in the high northern latitudes may be different from the previous few decades and that terrestrial CO₂ uptake has since slowed down or even turned to a net source. Analysis of the changes in the seasonality of atmospheric CO₂ suggests that temperature-induced late summer drought may be increasing fall CO₂ release and offsetting enhanced spring CO₂ uptake (Angert et al., 2005; Piao et al., 2008; Rozendaal et al., 2009). Piao et al. (2008) estimated that current warming during autumn increases respiration in northern ecosystems enough to cancel 90 % of the increased spring CO₂ uptake. While these studies provide important insights into changing ecosystem function, changes in CO₂ seasonal cycles in the atmosphere depend not just on surface fluxes but also on variations in atmospheric circulation (Higuchi, 2002).

Vegetation productivity and distribution have also changed during this same period. The tree line has advanced northward and woody shrub colonies have expanded in the tundra zone displacing less productive species (Goetz et al., 2005; Lloyd et al., 2005; Pearson et al., 2013; Sturm, 2005; Tape et al., 2006). Within the boreal zone, satellite observations show that large areas of the boreal forest not disturbed by fire have been “browning” since 2000 as observed by normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) measurements (Goetz et al., 2005, 2007; Verbyla, 2008, 2011; Zhang et al., 2008). These studies are mostly based on either trends in maximum or growing season integrated NDVI or NDVI-derived leaf area index (LAI). One statistical analysis suggests that the browning trends in the Alaskan boreal forest have been ongoing for the last 3 decades (Forkel et al., 2013). These results have been consistent with ground observations which also report widespread tree mortality caused by insect outbreaks due to warmer winter temperatures (Kurz et al., 2008) and drought (Hogg et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2011). However, an updated NDVI processing algorithm in the GIMMS 3g product shows overall more areas greening than the older version, with the largest greening in western Eurasia (Xu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2016) but with browning still occurring in parts of North America (Xu et al., 2013). Park et al. (2016) estimates

that the overall greening, or increase in growing season integrated NDVI, is equivalent to a 20.9 % gain in productivity since 1982 and the smaller areas of browning are equivalent to a 1.2 % loss of productivity. Furthermore, these trends in greening and productivity seem to be independent of shifts in the start and end of the growing season and growing season length (Park et al., 2016).

It is important to determine the net carbon balance of these large northern regions to see if they have been increasing or decreasing in CO₂ sink strength or perhaps transitioning to a net CO₂ source. Approaches used to estimate the net CO₂ fluxes of large areas include forest inventories, atmospheric inversions, and process-based models. Each of these methods has its strengths and weaknesses. Atmospheric inversions can infer the global, continental, and sometimes regional-scale fluxes of CO₂ between the atmosphere and the land biosphere and the oceans by analyzing the temporal and spatial records of atmospheric CO₂ change (Enting, 2002). Inversions have the advantage of including the effects of disturbance and changing vegetation patterns but are limited to the period of sufficient CO₂ concentration observations and are best suited to resolving continental-scale fluxes. Few inversion analyses have specifically focused on the high northern latitude terrestrial ecosystems. Zhang et al. (2013) aggregated the inversion fluxes from five different models into Eastern Canada and Western Canada plus Alaska. The inversions examined showed consistent increases in CO₂ uptake in Eastern Canada and no long-term trend in Western Canada plus Alaska.

Atmospheric inversion CO₂ fluxes can provide useful validation metrics for other methods of ecosystem monitoring because they resolve the net effect of above- and belowground carbon fluxes. Repeat forest inventories are useful for identifying trends in forest productivity over time. However, they are limited to detecting trends in aboveground carbon only, not changes in belowground carbon. Forest inventory studies have found drought-induced tree mortality and aboveground carbon loss in Canada, with the western region most affected (Hogg et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2012; Michaelian et al., 2010; Peng et al., 2011).

Process-based modeling studies attempt to account for above- and belowground carbon changes while providing full spatial coverage and are therefore capable of simulating net ecosystem fluxes that can be compared to atmospheric inversions. Several process-based modeling studies have concluded that the arctic tundra and boreal forests have been decreasing sinks or increasing sources since the 1980s due to climate effects, namely warmer temperatures increasing soil organic matter decomposition, and increased fire and insect disturbance, offsetting increased CO₂ uptake driven by CO₂ fertilization (Bradshaw and Warkentin, 2015; Hayes et al., 2011; McGuire et al., 2010), though McGuire et al. (2012) found that 6 out of 11 process-based models (global and regional) predicted a strengthening carbon sink (including CH₄ contributions) in the 2000s compared to the 1990s. Pro-

cesses including permafrost melt, hydrologic changes, nutrient dynamics, and fire emissions are critical to predicting any changes in the net CO₂ fluxes from the northern regions but difficult to include in the models with much certainty (Abbott et al., 2016; Harden et al., 2012). Abbott et al. (2016) conducted a survey of experts in the field and found that the overwhelming opinion was that any increases in biomass are not going to be enough to offset carbon releases from permafrost melt by 2040 or 2100. These models and expert predictions can be directly challenged with results from atmospheric inversions.

In this study, we examine large regional-scale temporal variability in terrestrial CO₂ fluxes from two atmospheric inversions using interannually variable atmospheric transport from 1985 to 2012. We focus on trends in the carbon uptake of the land biosphere north of approximately 50° N. The primary objective of this study is to evaluate temporal changes in the annual and seasonal land biosphere CO₂ fluxes. We determine in what months surface CO₂ fluxes have likely changed, i.e., increased summer uptake or winter release. We further examine NDVI, air temperature trends, and correlations with CO₂ fluxes to provide some spatial and process context for temporal changes in the inversion fluxes.

2 Methods and data analysis

2.1 Atmospheric inversions

We compared two different atmospheric inversions: the RIGC inversion and the Jena CO₂ inversion (s85 v3.6). The RIGC inversion method was adapted from Rayner et al. (1999), and largely followed the TransCom-3 protocol (Gurney et al., 2003). The RIGC inversion uses a 64-region time-dependent inverse method to infer carbon source and sink estimates based on the method of Patra et al. (2005). The RIGC inverse calculation starts with a priori fossil fuel emissions and terrestrial and oceanic fluxes, which are then optimized to match observations. For the a priori fluxes, terrestrial exchanges were taken from the Carnegie–Ames–Stanford Approach (CASA) model monthly output (Randerson et al., 1997) and monthly mean oceanic exchanges from Takahashi et al. (2002) as in the TransCom-3 protocol (Gurney et al., 2003). Total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions were derived from the Oak Ridge National Lab monthly fossil fuel estimates from the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC) (Boden et al., 2009) plus bunker fuel and non-fuel oxidation estimates from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) (Oliver and Berdowski, 2001). RIGC uses the National Institute for Environmental Studies/Frontier Research Center for Global Change (NIES/FRCGC) global forward transport model driven by interannually varying (IAV) meteorology from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis and the GLOBALVIEW-CO₂ product to

Table 1. CO₂ observation stations included in each inversion.

Station	Lat	Years	Coverage	RIGC	Jena	Lab
ALT	82.4	1985–2012	95 %	X	X	SIO/NOAA
BRW	71.3	1985–2012	100 %	X	X	SIO/NOAA
STM	66.0	1985–2009	100 %	X	X	NOAA
CBA	55.2	1985–2012	84 %	X	X	NOAA
SHM	52.7	1985–2012	88 %	X	X	NOAA
SCH	48.0	1985–2001	89 %	X		UBA
CMN	44.1	1985–2012	100 %	X	X	NOAA
NWR	40.0	1985–2012	100 %	X	X	NOAA
RYO	39.0	1987–2012	90 %	X		NOAA
LJO	32.9	1985–2012			X	SIO
BME	32.3	1989–2010	81 %	X		NOAA
BMW	32.2	1989–2012	77 %	X		NOAA
MID	28.2	1985–2012	97 %	X		NOAA
KEY	25.6	1985–2012	95 %	X	X	NOAA
MLO	19.5	1985–2012	100 %	X	X	SIO/NOAA
KUM	19.5	1985–2012	100 %	X	X	SIO/NOAA
GMI	13.4	1985–2012	97 %	X		NOAA
RPB	13.1	1987–2012	84 %	X		NOAA
CHR	1.7	1985–2012			X	SIO
SEY	−4.6	1985–2012	87 %	X		NOAA
ASC	−7.9	1985–2012	98 %	X	X	NOAA
SMO	−14.2	1985–2012	100 %	X	X	NOAA
AMS	−37.9	1985–1990	89 %	X		NOAA
KER	−29.0	1985–2012			X	SIO
CGO	−40.6	1985–2012	99 %	X	X	NOAA
BHD	−41.4	1999–2012	71 %	X	X	NOAA
PSA	−64.9	1985–2012	94 %	X	X	NOAA
SYO	−69.0	1986–2012	78 %	X		NOAA
SPO	−89.9	1985–2012	97 %	X	X	SIO/NOAA

Coverage refers to percent coverage of observation data from 1985–2006 used in the RIGC inversion. Most station records start before 1985 and continue beyond 2012, but those data were not used in this analysis.

Lab stations names and data links as following:

NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL), Global Monitoring Division (GMD),

http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/globalview/co2/co2_observations.html;

SIO: Scripps Institution of Oceanography, <http://cdiac.ornl.gov/trends/co2/sio-keel-flask/>;

UBA: Umweltbundesamt and University of Heidelberg, Germany,

<http://cdiac.ornl.gov/trends/co2/uba/uba-sc.html>.

derive residual a posteriori land and ocean surface fluxes for the 64 inversion regions.

In the RIGC inversion, the GLOBALVIEW-CO₂ input was limited to the 26 stations, which have nearly continuous CO₂ observation from 1985 to 2006 (Table 1). Among these are stations that document changes in high northern latitudes, including Barrow (71° N), Alert (82° N), Station M (66° N), Cold Bay Alaska (55° N), Shemya (52° N), and Cimone (44° N). A selected set of stations was used to avoid creating spurious trends in the inversion results from adding new stations midway through the inversion period. All selected stations had at least 71 % of months sampled at least once and came online by 1989. Stations north of 39° N had 84 to 100 % monthly coverage. The resulting fluxes from the RIGC inversion are valid from 1986 to 2006, after removing

years at the beginning and end for “edge effects” from the inversion setup.

Compared to the RIGC inversion, the Jena inversion, run s85 v3.6 (Rodenbeck, 2005), uses a slightly different set of 19 stations selected to completely cover the 1985–2012 estimation period but includes all of the same stations north of 50° N (Table 1). It uses individual measurements from various sampling networks, without smoothing or gap filling. Fluxes are estimated on a grid-scale resolution (approximately 4° latitude by 5° longitude) to reduce aggregation errors. However, to avoid underdetermined solutions, spatial and temporal a priori correlations are imposed, smoothing the estimated flux field on scales smaller than about 1 week and about 1600 km (land, in longitude direction), 800 km (land, latitude), 1900 km (ocean, longitude), or 950 km (ocean, latitude). Land flux adjustments are

spatially weighted with a productivity proxy, the long-term mean NPP from the Lund–Potsdam–Jena (LPJ) terrestrial biospheric model (Sitch et al., 2003). Prior fluxes comprise anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, including fossil and bunker fuels, from EDGAR v4.2 (EDGAR, 2011), a constant spatial flux pattern on land (time mean net ecosystem exchange (NEE) from the LPJ model), and an ocean-interior inversion by Mikaloff Fletcher et al. (2006), with a mean seasonal cycle of ocean fluxes from Takahashi et al. (2002). The Jena inversion uses the TM3 global atmospheric transport model driven by interannually varying meteorology from the NCEP reanalysis. The $4 \times 5^\circ$ gridded a posteriori land and ocean surface fluxes are aggregated to our larger analysis regions. Resulting fluxes are valid from 1985 to 2012.

2.2 Datasets

We compared CO₂ fluxes with satellite-based NDVI data over the same time period and with land temperature records. NDVI is a proxy for photosynthetically active aboveground biomass, calculated from the visible and near-infrared light reflected by vegetation. It has dimensionless units and varies from a value of 0 for no vegetation to a value of 1 for the highest density of green leaves. We used NDVI data produced by NASA's Global Inventory Modeling and Mapping Studies (GIMMS 3g) from measurements of the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) satellite and supplied at the monthly, $1 \times 1^\circ$ resolution (Pinzon and Tucker, 2014). Winter NDVI data were excluded from this analysis because of the confounding influence of snow (Myeni et al., 1997). We defined growing season NDVI (Zhou et al., 2001) as the sum of monthly NDVI from April to October following the example of earlier work. Comparisons with recent satellite measurements of solar-induced fluorescence show that the seasonality of NDVI may not fully capture the seasonality in gross primary production (GPP) (Walther et al., 2015), but we focus on interannual variability of growing season sums and maximum July values in this study.

We used monthly mean temperature anomalies from the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) $2 \times 2^\circ$ gridded dataset to compare to CO₂ fluxes and NDVI variability (Hansen et al., 1999). Temperature anomalies are computed by subtracting the 1951 to 1980 mean. Throughout this manuscript, we abbreviate seasonal means by “MAM” (March, April, May), “JJA” (June, July, August), “SON” (September, October, November), and “DJF” (December, January, February).

We examined estimates of fire CO₂ emissions from 1985 to 2000 from the RETRO reanalysis project and from 1997 to 2012 from the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED4) (Giglio et al., 2013; Schultz et al., 2008).

2.3 Analysis approach

Our focus is primarily on the interannual variability in the CO₂ fluxes, which is considered more robust across inversions than the absolute values of the mean fluxes (Baker et al., 2006). For that reason, we look at anomalies from the long-term mean values of each inversion.

We focused our analysis on land carbon fluxes in two roughly zonal bands at high northern latitudes partly based on the TransCom regional boundaries defined by Gurney et al. (2003). Figure 1 shows the regions of boreal Asia (BA) and boreal North America (BNA) that we aggregated into what we refer to as the “boreal zone”, roughly between 50 and 60° N, and the “arctic zone”, north of 60° N. Note here that while we refer to the boreal zone as roughly 50 to 60° N, the southern boundary is not defined at the 50° N latitude but follows the irregular southern boundary of boreal forest (stippled area in Fig. 1) nor does it include the entire boreal forest as the northern boundary extends well into the arctic zone north of 60° N. We decided to omit the European (EU) land region from our zonal analysis for two reasons. First, the TransCom protocol followed by the RIGC inversion does not separate northern Europe at 60° N like it does for BA and BNA; rather, the northern EU section is everything north of 50° N. Second, the EU region includes a relatively small fraction of the tundra and boreal forest ecosystems compared to BA and BNA, and the forest area is highly managed. Our focus is how the less intensively managed ecosystems of the north have been responding to climate change, and examining the boreal zone and the arctic zone should maximize any potential signals of change. A similar approach of excluding EU was used in the arctic analysis of McGuire et al. (2009).

The atmospheric inversion approach taken in this study is unlikely to reliably separate influences from different longitudinal regions within the latitude bands discussed here. Our focus on the longest records possible, from sparse atmospheric CO₂ observations starting in the 1980s, compromises the spatial resolution of the inversion fluxes. Rapid atmospheric mixing of a few weeks around latitude bands makes it hard to separate fluxes for example from North America and Eurasia. Spatial errors in the assignment of surface fluxes by the inversion analyses could create spurious trends and complicate our interpretation of the data. For that reason, we check the EU and northern ocean (NO) regions for any trends that might be offsetting trends in what we define as the boreal zone and the arctic zone. We performed the trend analysis for two periods: from 1986 to 2006, when we have results from both inversions, and from 1985 to 2012 for just the Jena inversion.

We examine trends in the monthly, seasonal, and annual NEE fluxes from the inversion models. Amplitudes of the annual seasonal cycle in CO₂ fluxes were calculated from the maximum and minimum monthly mean fluxes within each calendar year as flux amplitude = maximum NEE – minimum NEE. The flux amplitude is indirectly related to the ampli-

Table 2. Trend and significance statistics for time series of interest, from 1986 through 2006. “Trend” is the slope from linear least squares (LSQ) and Mann–Kendall (M-K) Sen slope; likewise, “Sig (*p* value)” is the *p* value from LSQ and M-K tests. Arctic zone and boreal zone are for BNA + BA; EU: Europe, NO: northern ocean. *Italic* values indicate 90 % significance level (*p* value 0.1 in at least one statistical test).

1986–2006 time series	Zone	Inversion	Trend		Sig (<i>p</i> value)	
			LSQ	M-K	LSQ	M-K
CO ₂ flux annual sum (Pg C yr ⁻²)	arctic	RIGC	0.0350	0.0051	0.5719	0.2389
	arctic	JENA	–0.0028	–0.0021	0.3550	0.6077
	<i>boreal</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>–0.0110</i>	<i>–0.0101</i>	<i>0.0724</i>	<i>0.0967</i>
	boreal	JENA	–0.0081	–0.0076	0.1438	0.1941
	EU	RIGC	0.0007	–0.0027	0.9295	0.7398
	EU	JENA	–0.0052	0.0020	0.5092	0.8326
	<i>NO</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>–0.0032</i>	<i>–0.0037</i>	<i>0.0308</i>	<i>0.0320</i>
	<i>NO</i>	<i>JENA</i>	<i>–0.0014</i>	<i>–0.0015</i>	<i>0.0005</i>	<i>0.0060</i>
CO ₂ flux amplitude (% yr ⁻¹)	<i>arctic</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>0.93</i>	<i>0.85</i>	<i>0.0019</i>	<i>0.0201</i>
	<i>arctic</i>	<i>JENA</i>	<i>1.04</i>	<i>0.97</i>	<i>0.0002</i>	<i>0.0004</i>
	boreal	RIGC	0.15	0.22	0.3241	0.2639
	<i>boreal</i>	<i>JENA</i>	<i>0.44</i>	<i>0.39</i>	<i>0.0328</i>	<i>0.0372</i>
	<i>EU</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>0.62</i>	<i>0.55</i>	<i>0.0769</i>	<i>0.1390</i>
	EU	JENA	0.18	0.16	0.2723	0.3812
	<i>NO</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>–2.35</i>	<i>–2.20</i>	<i>0.0192</i>	<i>0.0372</i>
	NO	JENA	0.63	0.65	0.2894	0.2639
CO ₂ flux July (g C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	<i>arctic</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>–0.0128</i>	<i>–0.0120</i>	<i>0.0167</i>	<i>0.0655</i>
	<i>arctic</i>	<i>JENA</i>	<i>–0.0072</i>	<i>–0.0082</i>	<i>0.0004</i>	<i>0.0028</i>
	boreal	RIGC	–0.0058	–0.0034	0.1712	0.3492
	<i>boreal</i>	<i>JENA</i>	<i>–0.0097</i>	<i>–0.0085</i>	<i>0.0615</i>	<i>0.0571</i>
Fossil fuel emissions (Pg C yr ⁻¹)	<i>arctic</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>–0.0206</i>	<i>–0.0011</i>	<i>0.0024</i>	<i>0.0320</i>
	arctic	JENA	–0.0159	0.0001	0.9664	0.9759
	<i>EU</i>	<i>RIGC</i>	<i>–0.0013</i>	<i>–0.0195</i>	<i>< 0.0001</i>	<i>0.0002</i>
	<i>EU</i>	<i>JENA</i>	<i>–0.0000</i>	<i>–0.0135</i>	<i>< 0.0001</i>	<i>0.0086</i>
NDVI gs (% yr ⁻¹)	<i>arctic</i>		<i>0.1500</i>	<i>0.1300</i>	<i>0.0112</i>	<i>0.0103</i>
	boreal		0.0587	0.0532	0.3170	0.4503
NDVI peak (% yr ⁻¹)	<i>arctic</i>		<i>0.1200</i>	<i>0.1200</i>	<i>0.0109</i>	<i>0.0103</i>
	boreal		0.0030	–0.0043	0.9419	0.9759
	arctic		–0.0124	0.0439	0.8592	0.7858
Spring T (°C yr ⁻¹)	boreal		0.0063	0.0192	0.8961	0.6506
	<i>arctic</i>		<i>0.0848</i>	<i>0.0915</i>	<i>0.0011</i>	<i>0.0041</i>
Summer T (°C yr ⁻¹)	<i>boreal</i>		<i>0.0491</i>	<i>0.0527</i>	<i>0.0043</i>	<i>0.0072</i>
	<i>arctic</i>		<i>0.0500</i>	<i>0.0377</i>	<i>0.1559</i>	<i>0.0655</i>
Fall T (°C yr ⁻¹)	<i>boreal</i>		<i>0.0551</i>	<i>0.0503</i>	<i>0.0080</i>	<i>0.0072</i>
	arctic		–0.0159	–0.0096	0.6961	0.6077
Winter T (°C yr ⁻¹)	boreal		–0.0041	0.0122	0.9172	0.8326

tude in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, as the atmospheric concentration is roughly the integral of the monthly fluxes. It is unnecessary to detrend the time series of fluxes from the inversions prior to calculating the flux amplitude, unlike the concentration amplitude, which has a persistent long-term trend from anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. We also examine the latitudinal gradient of the trends in the seasonal fluxes in ~4° latitude bands from the gridded Jena inversion. This

analysis was not possible with the RIGC inversion because of the larger basis regions. This approach attempted to answer the question of whether summer uptake or fall respiration (or both) is increasing and how that might change with latitude.

Two statistical methods were used to calculate the slopes and significance of long-term trends: linear least squares (LSQ) and Mann–Kendall (M-K) tests. Trends were considered significant if they passed the 90 % confidence level

Table 3. Same as Table 2 but for the period from 1985 through 2012.

1985–2012 time series	Zone	Inversion	Trend		Sig (<i>p</i> value)	
			LSQ	M-K	LSQ	M-K
CO ₂ flux net annual (Pg C yr ⁻²)	arctic	JENA	-0.0040	-0.0038	0.0411	0.0722
	boreal	JENA	-0.0072	-0.0078	0.0655	0.1095
	EU	JENA	-0.0032	0.0005	0.5155	0.9842
	NO	JENA	-0.0010	-0.0010	0.0001	0.0037
CO ₂ flux amplitude (% yr ⁻¹)	arctic	JENA	0.81	0.85	<0.0001	0.0001
	boreal	JENA	0.35	0.31	0.0094	0.0187
	EU	JENA	<0.01	<0.01	0.4365	0.4179
	NO	JENA	<0.01	<0.01	0.4299	0.3740
CO ₂ flux July (g C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	arctic	JENA	-0.0068	-0.0072	<0.0001	0.0001
	boreal	JENA	-0.0083	-0.0084	0.0345	0.0380




Figure 1. The major land and ocean basis regions used in the RIGC inversion based on the TransCom3 regions. The Jena inversion was done on a $\sim 4 \times 5^\circ$ grid and aggregated to these regions. The northernmost land regions are shown in color. The two zones that we discuss in this analysis cover boreal North America and boreal Asia and are marked in shades of blue, with the arctic zone ($>60^\circ$ N) in light blue and the boreal zone ($50\text{--}60^\circ$ N) in dark blue. The European basis region, in red, is not divided at 60° N in the RIGC inversion and therefore is not included in this analysis. Stippling indicates the boreal forest biome based on the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLADS) University of Maryland modified International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) land classification scheme (<http://ldas.gsfc.nasa.gov/gldas/GLDASvegetation.php>). The tundra biome is north of the stippling.

(*p* values <0.1). The Model I linear region analysis (LSQ) was done in Matlab using the function “lsqfity” developed by Peltzer (2000) based on Bevington and Robinson (1992). The nonparametric monotonic Mann–Kendall trend test with Sen’s slope was also done in Matlab using the function “ktaub” developed by Burkey (2006). Results of both tests are presented in Table 2. The independent tests generally agree on slope and significance of trends.




Figure 2. Annual CO₂ fluxes normalized by subtracting the 1986–2006 mean value for (a) arctic zone ($>60^\circ$ N) and (b) boreal zone ($50\text{--}60^\circ$ N). Black shows the RIGC inversion results. Grey shows the Jena s85 inversion results. Dashed lines are linear trends from 1986 to 2006 (value in Table 2). Negative values represent uptake of CO₂ by the land biosphere, i.e., out of the atmosphere.

3 Results

3.1 CO₂ flux trends

3.1.1 Arctic zone ($>60^\circ$ N)

The arctic zone containing the tundra region showed no significant trend in annual CO₂ uptake (Fig. 2a, Table 2) from 1986 to 2006 in either inversion. The longer period, 1985–2012, in the Jena inversion did show a small but significant trend toward increased uptake of an extra 4 Tg C yr⁻¹. Anomalously strong annual CO₂ uptake occurred in the years 1990 and 2004 in the RIGC inversion and strong CO₂ release in 1996. These large anomalous fluxes were not present in the Jena inversion.




Figure 3. Mean monthly CO₂ fluxes for (a) arctic zone (>60° N) and (b) boreal zone (50–60° N). Black circles are the 1986–2006 means of the RIGC inversion. Grey squares are the Jena inversion over that same period. Magenta is the Jena inversion average over a longer time period (1985–2012). Differences are likely due to differences in atmospheric transport, including vertical mixing, between the inversions. Linear monthly trends of (c) arctic zone and (d) boreal zone for the same inversions and time periods as in (a) and (b).

The Jena and RIGC inversions differ in their mean seasonal cycle in the arctic zone, with the RIGC inversion yielding peak CO₂ uptake approximately twice that of the Jena inversion (Fig. 3b). The seasonal amplitude and phase has previously been found to differ among atmospheric inversions (McGuire et al., 2012). These differences are not unexpected given the differences in atmospheric transport (including vertical mixing and leakage across latitudes), a priori fluxes, observational network inputs, and model structure between the inversion. In this analysis we try to focus on the most robust features where the inversions do tend to agree on the interannual trends in anomalies from the mean. Trends in monthly net CO₂ flux, computed with the method of Randerson et al. (1997), reveal increasing uptake in July in both inversions and stronger releases in September, October, and November (Fig. 3d). These seasonal changes largely cancel in the annual net fluxes but contribute to increasing CO₂ flux amplitudes, computed as the difference between the maximum and minimum monthly CO₂ fluxes, by $\sim 1.0\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ relative to the mean seasonal amplitude from 1986 to 2006 for both inversions (Fig. 4, Table 2). Figure 5 shows the annual values of the July CO₂ flux in Pg C yr⁻¹ over this record. This is directly related to July trend data in Fig. 3d. On a per area basis, this translates into an increase in July peak summer CO₂ uptake of 0.007–0.013 gC m⁻² d⁻¹ yr⁻¹, depending on the inversion used, averaged over the entire zone or a $\sim 10\%$ increase in peak summer CO₂ uptake over these 21 years. The trends over 1985–2012 are similar at 0.007 gC m⁻² d⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the Jena inversion (Table 2).

3.1.2 Boreal zone (50–60° N)

The boreal zone shows a trend towards increasing annual net CO₂ uptake in both inversions (Fig. 2b, Table 2). From 1986 to 2006, the trend in the RIGC inversion was 10 Tg C yr⁻² with a p value < 0.1. The Jena inversion resulted in a similar trend of 8 Tg C yr⁻² but did not meet the criteria for significance, $p > 0.1$ (Table 2). The most noticeable difference between the inversions is that the RIGC inversion predicted an anomalous release of CO₂ in 1994 that was not confirmed by the Jena inversion. Over the longer period from 1985 to 2012, the Jena inversion predicts the same trend toward greater CO₂ uptake, with a slope of 7–8 Tg C yr⁻² and a p value < 0.1 (Fig. 2b, Table 3).

The Jena and RIGC inversions resulted in similar mean seasonal cycles of the monthly net CO₂ fluxes in the boreal zone, but the seasonal amplitude in the Jena inversion was slightly larger (Fig. 3a). The trends in the monthly fluxes show increasing CO₂ uptake in the growing season, and in the case of the Jena inversion, increasing CO₂ uptake in the spring and release in the fall (Fig. 3c). There was a corresponding increase in the seasonal amplitude of net CO₂ flux of 0.4 % yr⁻¹ ($p = 0.04$) estimated by the Jena inversion but not the RIGC inversion (Fig. 4b and Table 2). Figure 5 shows the time series of CO₂ flux in July (month of peak flux) over this period. Both inversions show an increase in July CO₂ uptake although they do not agree on anomalies from year to year. In Fig. 3c, d, both inversions also show an increase in the fall CO₂ release in the northern land regions, but the Jena inversion attributes this mostly to the boreal zone, whereas the RIGC inversion attributes it mostly to the arctic zone.

3.2 Europe and northern ocean fluxes and fossil fuel emissions

For completeness, we also show the time series of CO₂ flux trends, both net annual and seasonal amplitude from the 55 to 80° N region of EU and the NO, to be sure that fluxes in these regions are not compensating for fluxes in our analysis of the BA + BNA regions (Fig. 6). There were no offsetting positive trends in the annual net flux of CO₂ or negative trends in the seasonal amplitude in EU from 1986–2006 and none were statistically significant (Table 2). Likewise the NO flux trends are insignificant with the exception of the seasonal amplitude trend in the RIGC inversion of $-2.4\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This was statistically significant using the modified Mann–Kendall p test, but the small percentage increase in the mean amplitude of the ocean flux ($\sim 0.1 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$) is much too small to offset gains in the land flux amplitude in the BA + BNA regions (mean 4.6–9.9 Pg C yr⁻¹ for north of 60° N and mean 11.4–13.9 Pg C yr⁻¹ for 50–60° N). There is no indication that inversion-resolved trends in the EU and NO regions in the north of the 50° N zone are forcing offsetting trends in the BA + BNA regions; however, we cannot




Figure 4. CO₂ flux amplitude for each year calculated as the maximum monthly flux (positive: CO₂ release to the atmosphere) minus the minimum monthly flux (negative: CO₂ uptake by the biosphere) for (a) arctic zone and (b) boreal zone. Black shows the RIGC inversion; grey shows the Jena s85 inversion. Dashed lines show the linear trends from 1986 to 2006, the common period between the inversions (Table 2).




Figure 5. July CO₂ flux anomalies for each inversion for the (a) arctic zone and (b) boreal zone normalized by subtracting the 1986–2006 mean value. This is the month of maximum CO₂ uptake in each case (see Fig. 3). The dashed lines are the linear trends from 1986 to 2006, also plotted in Fig. 3c and d.

rule out misallocation of fluxes among the inversion regions used in this study.

The RIGC and Jena inversions use different fossil fuel emissions datasets to isolate the net land surface fluxes related to biology. Comparing fossil fuel emissions for the EU and BA + BNA arctic and boreal zones used in each inversion (Supplement Fig. 1) shows that while the mean emissions were lower in the RIGC inversion, the IAV and trends




Figure 6. Fluxes from the northern ocean and European basis regions. (a) Annual fluxes and (b) annual flux amplitude for the northern ocean. (c) Annual sum and (d) annual flux amplitude for the EU region. The trends in these fluxes are small and, in the case of EU, in the same direction, compared to the trends resolved for the BA + BNA regions.

in absolute fluxes were similar in each inversion. Differences in the fossil emissions are therefore unlikely to contribute significantly to trends in the biological land fluxes of the BA + BNA arctic and boreal zones.

3.3 Flux amplitude trends

We define the seasonal flux amplitude as the difference between the peak summer CO₂ uptake and the maximum CO₂ release in the fall, within a calendar year. We examined changes in the flux amplitude using several approaches. Figure 4 shows that the flux amplitude increase, in percent of the mean flux amplitude, is larger in the arctic zone than the boreal zone. This is also reflected in the monthly trends in Fig. 3c, d.

We also examined the change in the seasonal flux amplitude across latitudes from the Jena inversion to see if this observed increase in the seasonal flux amplitude was unique to the high northern latitudes or if it is more widespread. Here we define the fall flux as the mean of SON, and the summer




Figure 7. Latitudinal gradients in the land CO₂ fluxes from the Jena s85 inversion in 5 degree bands. (a) An estimate of the change in the annual CO₂ seasonal flux amplitude (Pg C yr⁻¹) by subtracting the July from the SON fluxes at 5 degree latitude bands. Positive values reflect an increase in the peak-to-trough flux amplitude. (b) Green is the difference between the 2007–2011 mean and the 1983–1989 mean in the July CO₂ uptake with the sign reversed (here positive is uptake by the biosphere) and magenta is the difference in the mean of September–November fall CO₂ release with conventional sign (positive is release of CO₂ to the atmosphere).

uptake is fixed as July. Figure 7a shows that significant increases in the annual flux amplitude have occurred between 40 and 70° N with a peak from 50 to 65° N. Looking at the fall and summer contributions separately shows that both increasing fall CO₂ release and peak summer uptake contribute to the annual amplitude increase, with increasing fall CO₂ release outpacing peak summer uptake in the 40–50° N band and increasing peak summer CO₂ uptake outpacing fall release in the 55–70° N band (Fig. 7b).

3.4 Fire emissions

The net fluxes examined here are dominated by land biosphere fluxes, but they also include CO₂ emissions from forest fires, which occur mostly in summer (Fig. S3 in the Supplement) (van der Werf et al., 2006). If fire activity were responsible for the trend in summer net carbon uptake, then fire emissions would need to be decreasing. We examined estimates of fire CO₂ emissions from 1985 to 2000 from the RETRO compilation and from 1997 to 2012 from the

GFEDv4 model (Giglio et al., 2013; Schultz et al., 2008). While we cannot combine the two emissions estimates into a continuous time series because of the different methodologies used, we can examine trends over each record. Neither record shows evidence of decreasing fire emissions over their respective time periods (Fig. S4 in the Supplement); therefore, biological activity clearly dominates the trends.

3.5 Temperature and NDVI trends

In order to investigate possible drivers of the trends in CO₂ fluxes, we also examine trends in surface air temperature and NDVI. Seasonal temperature changes from 1986 through 2006 were not uniform across the far north (Fig. 8). In general, warming has been the greatest in the fall (SON) and winter (DJF), although these patterns vary regionally. Despite the general trend toward warming, cooling trends are seen over the 1986–2006 period for Siberia in winter (DJF) and western North America in spring (MAM). Nearly all of the land regions in the Northern Hemisphere have experienced warmer summers (JJA) and falls (SON).

Figure 9 shows linear trends from 1986 to 2006 in gridded NDVI averaged over the “growing season” (April through October) and for the month of July. Widespread greening trends are observed, with the exception of browning in the southern boreal forest of North America. Significant greening trends are found in tundra regions, especially in North America.

Figure 10a averages the growing season NDVI over the latitude bands, for each year, showing both the growing season average (top panel) and seasonal maximum (bottom panel). The period 1986–2006 showed no significant trend in the 50–60° N band in either growing season or maximum metrics (Fig. 9). In contrast, a significant increasing trend of 0.13 % yr⁻¹ (Table 2, $p = 0.01$) is found in the > 60° N band over this period, driven mostly by trends in tundra regions. Although not included in the trend analysis, growing season NDVI north of 60° N increased abruptly at the end of the record by ~ 5 % from 2009 to 2010. Similarly large changes occurred in 1991–1992 and 1996–1997.

Figure 10b shows the trend in annual peak NDVI, the maximum monthly value for each year regardless of which month it is. This also shows a small but significant increase of 0.12 % yr⁻¹ (Table 2, $p = 0.0103$) north of 60° N from 1986 to 2006 and no significant trend in the 50–60° N region. Compared to growing season NDVI, the peak NDVI increase from 2009 to 2010 was less extreme. Plant growth in 2010 was increased in the shoulder seasons in addition to the mid-season peak.

3.6 Controls of temperature and NDVI on CO₂ fluxes

Summer uptake and fall release of CO₂ play a large role in the atmospheric CO₂ concentration, so here we look at the correlations of CO₂ fluxes with temperature and NDVI as




Figure 8. Gridded temporal trends in surface air temperature from the GISS temperature record (<http://data.giss.nasa.gov>). Plots were made using software available on the data archive website.




Figure 9. Gridded temporal trends in GIMMS 3g NDVI (a) growing season (April–October) mean and (b) July only from 1986–2006. Trends are expressed as percent changes from the mean.

proxies for soil respiration and primary productivity variability to help assess mechanistic links. We performed lagged correlation analysis on monthly time series by calculating the temporal correlation for either the July net CO₂ fluxes or fall




Figure 10. Time series of NDVI trends averaged for the analysis regions in this study. (a) Growing season (April–October) mean and (b) annual maximum, usually in July. Black is for the arctic zone and grey is for the boreal zone.




Figure 11. Correlation coefficients for July CO₂ fluxes in a given year (year 0) from the boreal zone with lagged 3-month running mean temperature (area-weighted and NPP-weighted) and NDVI for the same region. (a) RIGC inversion and (b) Jena s85 inversion over the current and previous 4 years. Positive correlations mean that high temperature or NDVI leads to less CO₂ uptake. Filled circles indicate significance greater than the 95 % level. Shaded bars indicate the summer months (May–August).

(SON) fluxes and 3-month running means of temperature or NDVI time series with 0- to 60-month (up to 5-year) lags. In this analysis, all data sets were de-trended using a stiff spline to remove long-term trends, thus emphasizing processes controlling interannual variability. It is also important to remember that NEE is negative when there is net CO₂ uptake from the atmosphere when interpreting the sign of correlations.

Figure 11 shows the lagged correlations for the period 1986–2006 of both inversions for the boreal zone. We found significantly strong positive correlations between July CO₂ NEE and April through August temperatures of the same year but no evidence of correlation with NDVI. The temperature correlation suggests that warmer growing season temperatures increase soil respiration (or wildfires) and result in reduced peak CO₂ uptake (less negative NEE). The RIGC inversion shows a significant correlation between warm winters and increased CO₂ uptake the following growing season (negative correlation), consistent with Russell and Wallace (2004), but this relation did not appear in the Jena correlation. The fall CO₂ fluxes were also positively correlated with growing season temperatures of the same year (not shown). This is consistent with increased growing season air temperatures stimulating soil respiration through the fall, either through increased carbon pools from enhanced summer productivity or warmer soil temperatures that persist into the fall.

Our analysis found significant correlations up to 2 to 4 years before, suggesting that temperature anomalies could have an impact on NEE after several years' delay. While




Figure 12. Correlation coefficients for maximum NDVI in a given year (year 0) with lagged 3-month running mean temperature (area-weighted and NPP-weighted). Positive correlations mean greater NDVI during (or following) warmer temperature. Filled circles indicate significance greater than the 95 % level. Shaded bars indicate the summer months (May–August).

there have been studies suggesting that multiyear lags between climate and CO₂ fluxes are important (e.g., Bond-Lamberty et al., 2012), these correlations were not consistent between the inversions, preventing us from speculating as to the cause.

An analysis of the peak July NDVI correlations with lagged air temperatures showed that warmer temperatures in the 14 months prior to the peak NDVI were associated with higher NDVI in the boreal zone (Fig. 12). One possible interpretation of the correlation analyses presented here is that warmer temperatures in the boreal zone lead to increased plant productivity (indicated by positive NDVI and temperature correlation) but that the IAV of the net C balance in July and the fall was dominated by respiration (indicated by positive NEE and temperature correlation and by the lack of an NDVI and NEE correlation).

Lagged correlations for the arctic zone were generally less significant (Fig. S2 in the Supplement). July CO₂ fluxes did not show a strong correlation with either temperature or NDVI. Fall CO₂ fluxes were not consistently correlated with temperatures in the same season in either inversion. Peak July NDVI was weakly correlated with May–June temperatures. Overall, we conclude that this northern region may be dominated by other controls, like soil thermal processes that would not show up clearly in the correlation analysis with air temperature and NDVI.

4 Discussion

The results of these two inversions show that the northern high-latitude regions of BNA+BA remain nearly constant or slightly increasing sinks of atmospheric CO₂. The boreal zone, again excluding Europe, absorbed an extra 8–11 Tg C yr⁻² over the period from 1986 to 2006, resulting in an annual CO₂ sink in 2006 that was 170–230 Tg C larger than in 1986. This trend towards increasing CO₂ uptake appears to continue through 2012 as indicated by the longer Jena inversion. This result contradicts some modeling stud-

ies, which point to trend reversals in observed NDVI and modeled net CO₂ fluxes. Hayes et al. (2011) used the TEM ecosystem model to show that increased respiration and fires in this region had weakened the sink strength since 1997. Dynamic global vegetation models have also predicted a trend toward CO₂ release to the atmosphere across much of the northern land region from 1990 to 2009 (Sitch et al., 2015). In general, Carvalhais et al. (2014) found that models tend to overpredict the transfer of carbon from the soils to the atmosphere and overestimate the sensitivity of heterotrophic respiration to climate, although they did not include the TEM model used by Hayes et al. (2011). The results of this inversion analysis suggest that respiration is overpredicted in models or that increased primary production not captured by these models is offsetting increases in soil respiration and/or forest fire emissions. Our results are also consistent with Forkel et al. (2016), who use process modeling constrained by atmospheric observations to conclude that photosynthesis has responded more strongly to warming than carbon release processes.

Sensor drift and calibration errors may have resulted in false browning trends in the boreal forest, particularly in the needleleaf evergreen forests. Recent analyses of NDVI trends in the updated GIMMS 3g version find significantly more greening trends than in the previous GIMMS version (Bi et al., 2013; Guay et al., 2014). On a pan-boreal basis, it seems plausible that the CO₂ sink strength has continued to increase despite previous reports of drought stress reducing CO₂ uptake of the boreal region. As a complication, however, any changes in net carbon fluxes in these ecosystems will depend not only on aboveground vegetation changes that can be observed by remote sensing but also on processes occurring below ground, where most of the carbon is stored (Iversen et al., 2015).

For the arctic zone, we estimate that July CO₂ uptake increased from 1986 to 2006 by 0.15 to 0.27 g C m⁻² d⁻¹, depending on the inversion and the trend detection algorithm. This estimate is based on multiplying the regression slopes (Table 2) by the 21-year time frame. In this zone, we found the strongest NDVI greening trends in the tundra regions, covering roughly 25 % of the relevant land area. In light of evidence of rapid shrub expansion in these tundra ecosystems (Myers-Smith et al., 2011; Tape et al., 2006) (Elmendorf et al., 2012), a rapid increase in July CO₂ uptake by the tundra ecosystems is plausible.

Most of the previous studies investigating seasonal variability in the northern ecosystem carbon fluxes have relied on observations of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (e.g., Angert et al., 2005; Buermann et al., 2013; Keeling et al., 1996; Piao et al., 2008; Randerson et al., 1999). The analysis presented here is unique in that it considers variability in atmospheric transport through the atmospheric inversion approach. Our results are generally consistent with the finding of Piao et al. (2008) that enhanced CO₂ losses from northern ecosystems in the fall partially cancel the enhanced CO₂

uptake earlier in the growing season, especially in the arctic zone. We also find evidence of uptake enhancement in the summer as well as the spring in both the arctic and boreal zones, consistent with Graven et al. (2013) and Forkel et al. (2016).

Our investigation of the controls on interannual variability of the CO₂ fluxes showed increased CO₂ uptake in cooler summers. There are many previous attempts to identify the short-term drivers of the net carbon balance of ecosystems from eddy covariance studies. Several studies have found that warm and dry summers lead to drought stress and reduced net CO₂ uptake in boreal forest ecosystems (Arain et al., 2002; McMillan et al., 2008; Welp et al., 2007). Net CO₂ flux reductions could be the result of decreased primary productivity, increased respiration, or both. Correlations between temperature and annual tree ring growth increments point to a switch from a positive correlation to a negative correlation (reduced growth during warm years) driven by increased drought stress in recent decades (Barber et al., 2000; Beck et al., 2011). Wunch et al. (2013) and Schneising et al. (2014) found that summertime total-column CO₂ in the north was relatively higher during years with warm anomalies in the boreal region, suggesting that reduced net CO₂ uptake during warm summers may be driven by the temperature dependence of soil respiration. These correlations of interannual variability are contrary to the overall long-term association with warming and greater summer uptake (Keeling et al., 1996). This difference could reflect the importance of structural ecosystem changes due to warming on a long timescale, increasing photosynthesis (Graven et al., 2013), but are also consistent with respiration as the dominant control of NEE on short timescales (Schaefer et al., 2002). The difference in the timescale of response is important to consider.

A full explanation of the trends in CO₂ fluxes of the arctic and boreal zones is still lacking, with possible causes including changes in temperature, which were explored here but also soil moisture, nutrient status, or fire and insect disturbance. An important unresolved question is how the distribution of deciduous and evergreen plant functional types has changed on the pan-boreal scale over this period. A shift to younger forests, with an increasing deciduous fraction, would increase the seasonal flux amplitude (Welp et al., 2006; Zimov, 1999), perhaps with little change in common NDVI metrics.

The latitude gradient of changes in land fluxes from the Jena inversion, now including results from Europe, shows that, from the 1985–1989 mean to the 2007–2011 mean, the surface flux amplitudes have increased the most in the zone from 40 to 65° N (Fig. 7a). This is consistent with Graven et al. (2013), who argued that, over the longer period from 1960 to 2010, the increases in CO₂ flux amplitude were centered mostly on boreal regions. Our analysis of the Jena inversion by latitudinal bands shows that the increases in peak July uptake have been greater than the fall CO₂ releases north of 55° N, but from 40 to 50° N, fall release outpaced July up-

take (Fig. 7b). The results presented here show that the increased seasonal amplitude in atmospheric CO₂ in the high northern latitudes is not caused by flux trends in the summer or fall only but rather that both contribute (Fung, 2013; Graven et al., 2013). The trend in annual net CO₂ fluxes also includes changes in other months, namely greater uptake in the spring (not shown in Fig. 7), which contributes to the annual sum. The advantage of this analysis is that it incorporates interannually varying atmospheric transport, so the temporal changes in the surface fluxes should be better resolved. It does not identify whether individual months have a disproportionately larger influence on the atmospheric CO₂ concentration amplitude.

Our attempt to distinguish changes by $\sim 10^\circ$ latitude arctic and boreal zones is pushing the limits of what is feasible from atmospheric inversions based on sparse atmospheric CO₂ observations. The limitation is illustrated by the tendency of the two inverse calculations to allocate the increase in fall CO₂ release mostly to different latitude bands and the shift to increasing earlier CO₂ uptake in the Jena inversion compared to the RIGC inversion in Fig. 3c. Resolving fluxes with a monthly resolution is also challenging (Broquet et al., 2013), but the long record examined here, by two independent inversions, gives us reasonable confidence in this aspect.

5 Conclusions

The two atmospheric inversions analyzed in this study show that the annual net CO₂ sink strength in the boreal zone has increased from 1985 to 2012. However, the annual net CO₂ fluxes in the arctic zone showed no trend. Both regions show significant increases in midsummer CO₂ uptake. But a trend towards greater CO₂ emissions in the fall has partly canceled the trend toward greater summer uptake, with the largest cancelation in the arctic zone. These trends in summer and fall fluxes cause the seasonal amplitude of the fluxes to increase and, consequently, the seasonal amplitude of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations.

We also examined NDVI and CO₂ flux interannual correlations showing that while warmer summers were correlated with increasing NDVI in the long term, relatively cooler summers favor net CO₂ uptake in the boreal region in the short term. This suggests that increased respiration can outpace increases in productivity in the short term. Overall, there is evidence from these atmospheric inversions that increased CO₂ uptake from the northern region is offsetting carbon release in the pockets of browning in the boreal zone. Our findings are consistent with the recent NDVI studies from the GIMMS 3g product that find overall greening of the boreal and arctic regions (Park et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2016). By itself, this would suggest the potential for continued or strengthening net CO₂ uptake. However, north of 60° N, our findings show that fall CO₂ release largely offsets increased summer uptake in the net annual budget. These results un-

derscore the difficulty of resolving net fluxes from remote-sensing indices alone, which can only “see” the productivity and not the respiration fluxes.

Furthermore, our atmospheric inversions results show no evidence of an overall trend towards increasing CO₂ releases in either the boreal or arctic zone over the 1985–2012 period. This is an important check for process-based biospheric models, which tend to predict a shift from sink to source in the next century (Treat and Frohking, 2013). Our results are not consistent with studies that suggest carbon sinks have weakened in boreal and arctic ecosystems over past decades (Bradshaw and Warkentin, 2015; Hayes et al., 2011) but support some process models which do predict a strengthening CO₂ sink in the northern region (McGuire et al., 2012). To date, the increase in biomass productivity has appeared to be outpacing CO₂ losses from warming northern carbon-rich soils. Time will tell whether this trend continues or whether it will reverse and a net carbon source will develop in a few decades as predicted by popular opinion among the community of experts (Abbott et al., 2016).

6 Data availability

The data used in this analysis are publically available from the individual authors responsible for creating the products. The Jena CO₂ inversion results are posted on the project website: <http://www.bgc-jena.mpg.de/~christian.roedenbeck/download-CO2/>. Run ID s85 version 3.6 was used in this project. Associated files contain the atmospheric monitoring site locations and data used in the inversion and the fossil fuel emissions that were used to solve for the biological land CO₂ fluxes. The RIGC CO₂ inversion results are posted on the Global Carbon Atlas project website: <http://www.globalcarbonatlas.org/?q=en/content/atmospheric-inversions>. Likewise, associated files contain the atmospheric monitoring site locations and data used in the inversion and the fossil fuel emissions that were used to solve for the biological land CO₂ fluxes. The GIMMS 3g NDVI data used is posted on the AVHRR website, <https://nex.nasa.gov/nex/projects/1349/>. The Matlab and GrADS scripts used in this analysis are publically available on GitHub: https://github.com/lwelp/Welp_2016_ACP.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-16-9047-2016-supplement.

Acknowledgements. We thank R. J. Andres for useful discussion on the trends and distributions of fossil fuel CO₂ emissions, and NOAA/ESRL/GMD and collaborating institutions for their contributions to the GLOBALVIEW-CO₂ product. This project was supported by NASA under award NNX11AF36G, the US Department of Energy under awards DE-SC0005090 and DE-SC0012167,

the NSF under award PLR-1304270, and the UC Multiple Campus Award Number UCSCMCA-14-015. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NASA, the NSF, the DOE, or UC. Prabir K. Patra was partially supported by MEXT Arctic GRENE (ID 5).

Edited by: P. O. Wennberg

Reviewed by: three anonymous referees

References

- Abbott, B. W., Jones, J. B., Schuur, E. A. G., Chapin, F. S., III, Bowden, W. B., Bret-Harte, M. S., Epstein, H. E., Flannigan, M. D., Harms, T. K., Hollingsworth, T. N., Mack, M. C., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M., Rocha, A. V., Tank, S. E., Turetsky, M. R., Vonk, J. E., Wickland, K. P., Aiken, G. R., Alexander, H. D., Amon, R. M. W., Benscoter, B. W., Bergeron, Y., Bishop, K., Blarquez, O., Ben Bond-Lamberty, Breen, A. L., Buffam, I., Cai, Y., Carcaillet, C., Carey, S. K., Chen, J. M., Chen, H. Y. H., Christensen, T. R., Cooper, L. W., Cornelissen, J. H. C., de Groot, W. J., DeLuca, T. H., Dorrepaal, E., Fetcher, N., Finlay, J. C., Forbes, B. C., French, N. H. F., Gauthier, S., Girardin, M. P., Goetz, S. J., Goldammer, J. G., Gough, L., Grogan, P., Guo, L., Higuera, P. E., Hinzman, L., Hu, F. S., Hugelius, G., Jafarov, E. E., Jandt, R., Johnstone, J. F., Karlsson, J., Kasischke, E. S., Kattner, G., Kelly, R., Keuper, F., Kling, G. W., Kortelainen, P., Kouki, J., Kuhry, P., Laudon, H., Laurion, I., Macdonald, R. W., Mann, P. J., Martikainen, P. J., McClelland, J. W., Molau, U., Oberbauer, S. F., Olefeldt, D., Paré, D., Parisien, M.-A., Payette, S., Peng, C., Pokrovsky, O. S., Rastetter, E. B., Raymond, P. A., Reynolds, M. K., Rein, G., Reynolds, J. F., Robards, M., Rogers, B. M., Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Schmidt, I. K., Shvidenko, A., Sky, J., Spencer, R. G. M., Starr, G., Striegl, R. G., Teisserenc, R., Tranvik, L. J., Virtanen, T., Welker, J. M., and Zimov, S.: Biomass offsets little or none of permafrost carbon release from soils, streams, and wildfire: an expert assessment, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 11, 1–13, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/034014, 2016.
- ACIA: Impacts of a warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, Cambridge University Press, 1–140, 2004.
- Angert, A., Biraud, S., Bonfils, C., Henning, C. C., Buermann, W., Pinzon, J., Tucker, C. J., and Fung, I.: Drier summers cancel out the CO₂ uptake enhancement induced by warmer springs, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 102, 10823–10827, doi:10.1073/pnas.0501647102, 2005.
- Arain, M. A., Black, T. A., Barr, A. G., Jarvis, P. G., Massheder, J. M., Verseghy, D. L., and Nesic, Z.: Effects of seasonal and inter-annual climate variability on net ecosystem productivity of boreal deciduous and conifer forests, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 32, 878–891, doi:10.1139/x01-228, 2002.
- Baird, R. A., Verbyla, D., and Hollingsworth, T. N.: Browning of the landscape of interior Alaska based on 1986–2009 Landsat sensor NDVI, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 42, 1371–1382, doi:10.1139/x2012-088, 2012.
- Baker, D. F., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Rayner, P., Peylin, P., Denning, A. S., Bousquet, P., Bruhwiler, L., Chen, Y.-H., Ciais, P., Fung, I. Y., Heimann, M., John, J., Maki, T., Maksyutov, S., Masarie, K., Prather, M., Pak, B., Taguchi, S., and Zhu, Z.: TransCom 3 inversion intercomparison: Impact of transport model errors on the interannual variability of regional CO₂ fluxes, 1988–2003, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 20, GB1002, doi:10.1029/2004GB002439, 2006.
- Barber, V. A., Juday, G. P., and Finney, B. P.: Reduced growth of Alaskan white spruce in the twentieth century from temperature-induced drought stress, *Nature*, 405, 668–673, 2000.
- Barichivich, J., Briffa, K., Myneni, R., Schrier, G., Dorigo, W., Tucker, C., Osborn, T., and Melvin, T.: Temperature and snow-mediated moisture controls of summer photosynthetic activity in northern terrestrial ecosystems between 1982 and 2011, *Remote Sens.*, 6, 1390–1431, doi:10.3390/rs6021390, 2014.
- Beck, P. S. A., Juday, G. P., Alix, C., Barber, V. A., Winslow, S. E., Sousa, E. E., Heiser, P., Herriges, J. D., and Goetz, S. J.: Changes in forest productivity across Alaska consistent with biome shift, *Ecol. Lett.*, 14, 373–379, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01598.x, 2011.
- Bekryaev, R. V., Polyakov, I. V., and Alexeev, V. A.: Role of polar amplification in long-term surface air temperature variations and modern Arctic warming, *J. Climate*, 23, 3888–3906, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3297.1, 2010.
- Bevington, P. R. and Robinson, D. K.: Data reduction and error analysis for the physical sciences, 2nd ed., 1–320, 1992.
- Bi, J., Xu, L., Samanta, A., Zhu, Z., and Myneni, R.: Divergent Arctic-boreal vegetation changes between North America and Eurasia over the past 30 years, *Remote Sens.*, 5, 2093–2112, doi:10.3390/rs5052093, 2013.
- Boden, T. A., Marland, G., and Andres, R. J.: Global, regional, and national fossil-fuel CO₂ emissions, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., USA, 2009.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., Bunn, A. G., and Thomson, A. M.: Multi-year lags between forest browning and soil respiration at high northern latitudes, edited by: Bohrer, G., *PLoS ONE*, 7, e50441, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050441.t002, 2012.
- Bradshaw, C. J. A. and Warkentin, I. G.: Global estimates of boreal forest carbon stocks and flux, *Global Planet. Change*, 128, 24–30, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.02.004, 2015.
- Broquet, G., Chevallier, F., Breon, F. M., Kadyrov, N., Alemanno, M., Apadula, F., Hammer, S., Haszpra, L., Meinhardt, F., Morguíf, J. A., Necki, J., Piacentino, S., Ramonet, M., Schmidt, M., Thompson, R. L., Vermeulen, A. T., Yver, C., and Ciais, P.: Regional inversion of CO₂ ecosystem fluxes from atmospheric measurements: reliability of the uncertainty estimates, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9039–9056, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9039-2013, 2013.
- Brown, S. M., Petrone, R. M., Chasmer, L., Mendoza, C., Lazerjan, M. S., Landhäuser, S. M., Silins, U., Leach, J., and Devito, K. J.: Atmospheric and soil moisture controls on evapotranspiration from above and within a Western Boreal Plain aspen forest, *Hydrol. Process.*, 28, 4449–4462, doi:10.1002/hyp.9879, 2013.
- Buermann, W., Bikash, P. R., Jung, M., Burn, D. H., and Reichstein, M.: Earlier springs decrease peak summer productivity in North American boreal forests, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 8, 024027, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/024027, 2013.
- Bunn, A. G. and Goetz, S. J.: Trends in satellite-observed circumpolar photosynthetic activity from 1982 to 2003: the influence of seasonality, cover type, and vegetation density, *Earth Interact.*, 10(12), 1–19, 2006.

- Burkey, J.: A non-parametric monotonic trend test computing Mann-Kendall Tau, Tau-b, and Sen's slope written in Mathworks-MATLAB implemented using matrix rotations, available at: <http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/authors/23983> (last access: 3 June 2016), 2006.
- Cao, L., Bala, G., Caldeira, K., Nemani, R., and Ban-Weiss, G.: Importance of carbon dioxide physiological forcing to future climate change, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 107, 9513–9518, doi:10.1073/pnas.0913000107, 2010.
- Carvalhais, N., Forkel, M., Khomik, M., Bellarby, J., Jung, M., Migliavacca, M., Mu, M., Saatchi, S., Santoro, M., Thurner, M., Weber, U., Ahrens, B., Beer, C., Cescatti, A., Randerson, J. T., and Reichstein, M.: Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in terrestrial ecosystems, *Nature*, 514, 213–217, doi:10.1038/nature13731, 2014.
- Cess, R. D., Potter, G. L., Zhang, M. H., Blanchet, J. P., Chalita, S., Colman, R., Dazlich, D. A., Genio, A. D., Dymnikov, V., Galin, V., Jerrett, D., Keup, E., Lacis, A. A., LE Treut, H., Liang, X. Z., Mahfouf, J. F., McAvaney, B. J., Meleshko, V. P., Mitchell, J. F., Morcrette, J. J., Norris, P. M., Randall, D. A., Rikus, L., Roeckner, E., Royer, J. F., Schlese, U., Sheinin, D. A., Slingo, J. M., Sokolov, A. S., Taylor, K. E., Washington, W. M., Wetherald, R. T., and Yagai, I.: Interpretation of snow-climate feedback as produced by 17 general circulation models, *Science*, 253, 888–892, doi:10.1126/science.253.5022.888, 1991.
- Chapin, F. S.: Role of land-surface changes in Arctic summer warming, *Science*, 310, 657–660, doi:10.1126/science.1117368, 2005.
- Comiso, J. C., Parkinson, C. L., Gersten, R., and Stock, L.: Accelerated decline in the Arctic sea ice cover, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L01703, doi:10.1029/2007GL031972, 2008.
- EDGAR: Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), release version 4.2, [online] Available from: <http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/>, 2011.
- Elmendorf, S. C., Henry, G. H. R., Hollister, R. D., Björk, R. G., Boulanger-Lapointe, N., Cooper, E. J., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Day, T. A., Dorrepaal, E., Elumeeva, T. G., Gill, M., Gould, W. A., Harte, J., Hik, D. S., Hofgaard, A., Johnson, D. R., Johnstone, J. F., Jónsdóttir, I. S., Jorgenson, J. C., Klanderud, K., Klein, J. A., Koh, S., Kudo, G., Lara, M., Lévesque, E., Magnússon, B., May, J. L., Mercado-Díaz, J. A., Michelsen, A., Molau, U., Myers-Smith, I. H., Oberbauer, S. F., Onipchenko, V. G., Rixen, C., Martin Schmidt, N., Shaver, G. R., Spasojevic, M. J., Þórhallsdóttir, P. E., Tolvanen, A., Troxler, T., Tweedie, C. E., Villareal, S., Wahren, C.-H., Walker, X., Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., and Wipf, S.: Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent summer warming, *Nature Clim. Change*, 2, 453–457, doi:10.1038/nclimate1465, 2012.
- Enting, I. G.: Inverse problems in atmospheric constituent transport, Cambridge University Press, 1–412, 2002.
- Ewers, B. E., Gower, S. T., Bond-Lamberty, B., and Wang, C. K.: Effects of stand age and tree species on canopy transpiration and average stomatal conductance of boreal forests, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 28, 660–678, 2005.
- Forkel, M., Carvalhais, N., Verbesselt, J., Mahecha, M., Neigh, C., and Reichstein, M.: Trend change detection in NDVI time series: effects of inter-annual variability and methodology, *Remote Sens.*, 5, 2113–2144, doi:10.3390/rs5052113, 2013.
- Forkel, M., Carvalhais, N., Rodenbeck, C., Keeling, R., Heimann, M., Thonicke, K., Zaehle, S., and Reichstein, M.: Enhanced seasonal CO₂ exchange caused by amplified plant productivity in northern ecosystems, *Science*, 351, 696–699, doi:10.1126/science.aac4971, 2016.
- Francis, J. A., Chan, W., Leathers, D. J., Miller, J. R., and Veron, D. E.: Winter Northern Hemisphere weather patterns remember summer Arctic sea-ice extent, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, doi:10.1029/2009GL037274, 2009.
- Fung, I.: Atmospheric science. A hyperventilating biosphere, *Science*, 341, 1075–1076, doi:10.1126/science.1242004, 2013.
- Gedney, N., Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Boucher, O., Huntingford, C., and Stott, P. A.: Detection of a direct carbon dioxide effect in continental river runoff records, *Nature*, 439, 835–838, doi:10.1038/nature04504, 2006.
- Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., and Van Der Werf, G. R.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4), *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.*, 118, 317–328, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20042, 2013.
- Goetz, S. J., Bunn, A. G., Fiske, G. J., and Houghton, R. A.: Satellite-observed photosynthetic trends across boreal North America associated with climate and fire disturbance, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 102, 13521–13525, 2005.
- Goetz, S. J., Mack, M. C., Gurney, K. R., Randerson, J. T., and Houghton, R. A.: Ecosystem responses to recent climate change and fire disturbance at northern high latitudes: observations and model results contrasting northern Eurasia and North America, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 2, 045031, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/045031, 2007.
- Graven, H. D., Keeling, R. F., Piper, S. C., Patra, P. K., Stephens, B. B., Wofsy, S. C., Welp, L. R., Sweeney, C., Tans, P. P., Kelley, J. J., Daube, B. C., Kort, E. A., Santoni, G. W., and Bent, J. D.: Enhanced seasonal exchange of CO₂ by northern ecosystems since 1960, *Science*, 341, 1085–1089, doi:10.1126/science.1239207, 2013.
- Guay, K. C., Beck, P. S. A., Berner, L. T., Goetz, S. J., Bacchini, A., and Buermann, W.: Vegetation productivity patterns at high northern latitudes: a multi-sensor satellite data assessment, *Global Change Biol.*, 20, 3147–3158, doi:10.1111/gcb.12647, 2014.
- Gurney, K. R., Law, R. M., Denning, A. S., and Rayner, P. J.: TransCom 3 CO₂ inversion intercomparison: 1. Annual mean control results and sensitivity to transport and prior flux information, *Tellus B*, 55B, 555–579, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2003.00049.x, 2003.
- Hansen, J., Ruedy, R., and Glascoe, J.: GISS analysis of surface temperature change, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D24), 30–997–31–022, 1999.
- Harden, J. W., Koven, C. D., Ping, C.-L., Hugelius, G., David McGuire, A., Camill, P., Jorgenson, T., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G. J., O'Donnell, J. A., Schuur, E. A. G., Tarnocai, C., Johnson, K., and Grosse, G.: Field information links permafrost carbon to physical vulnerabilities of thawing, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, doi:10.1029/2012GL051958, 2012.
- Hayes, D. J., McGuire, A. D., Kicklighter, D. W., Gurney, K. R., Burnside, T. J., and Melillo, J. M.: Is the northern high-latitude land-based CO₂ sink weakening? *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 25, GB3018, doi:10.1029/2010GB003813, 2011.
- Higuchi, K.: Quasi-decadal variation of the atmospheric CO₂ seasonal cycle due to atmospheric circulation changes: 1979–1998,

- Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1173, doi:10.1029/2001GL013751, 2002.
- Hinzman, L. D., Bettez, N. D., Bolton, W. R., Chapin, F. S., Dyurgerov, M. B., Fastie, C. L., Griffith, B., Hollister, R. D., Hope, A., Huntington, H. P., Jensen, A. M., Jia, G. J., Jorgenson, T., Kane, D. L., Klein, D. R., Kofinas, G., Lynch, A. H., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire, A. D., Nelson, F. E., Oechel, W. C., Osterkamp, T. E., Racine, C. H., Romanovsky, V. E., Stone, R. S., Stow, D. A., Sturm, M., Tweedie, C. E., Vourlitis, G. L., Walker, M. D., Walker, D. A., Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., Winker, K. S., and Yoshikawa, K.: Evidence and implications of recent climate change in northern Alaska and other Arctic regions, *Clim. Change*, 72, 251–298, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-5352-2, 2005.
- Hogg, E. H. T., Brandt, J. P., and Michaelian, M.: Impacts of a regional drought on the productivity, dieback, and biomass of western Canadian aspen forests, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 38, 1373–1384, doi:10.1139/X08-001, 2008.
- Holland, E. A., Braswell, B. H., Lamarque, J.-F., Townsend, A., Sulzman, J., Müller, J.-F., Dentener, F., Brasseur, G., Levy, H., II and Penner, J. E.: Variations in the predicted spatial distribution of atmospheric nitrogen deposition and their impact on carbon uptake by terrestrial ecosystems, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 15-849–15-866, 1997.
- Iversen, C. M., Sloan, V. L., Sullivan, P. F., Euskirchen, E. S., McGuire, A. D., Norby, R. J., Walker, A. P., Warren, J. M., and Wullschlegel, S. D.: The unseen iceberg: plant roots in arctic tundra, *New Phytol.*, 205, 34–58, doi:10.1111/nph.13003, 2015.
- Johnstone, J. F., Hollingsworth, T. N., Chapin, F. S., III and Mack, M. C.: Changes in fire regime break the legacy lock on successional trajectories in Alaskan boreal forest, *Global Change Biol.*, 16, 1281–1295, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02051.x, 2010.
- Keeling, C. D., Chin, J., and Whorf, T. P.: Increased activity of northern vegetation inferred from atmospheric CO₂ measurements, *Nature*, 382, 146–149, 1996.
- Keenan, T. F., Hollinger, D. Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J. W., Schmid, H. P., and Richardson, A. D.: Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise, *Nature*, 499, 324–327, doi:10.1038/nature12291, 2013.
- Kimball, J. S., Zhao, M., McGuire, A. D., Heinsch, F. A., Clein, J., Calef, M., Jolly, W. M., Kang, S., Euskirchen, S. E., McDonald, K. C., and Running, S. W.: Recent climate-driven increases in vegetation productivity for the western Arctic: Evidence of an acceleration of the northern terrestrial carbon cycle, *Earth Interact.*, 11, 1–30, 2007.
- Koven, C. D., Schuur, E. A. G., Schädel, C., Bohn, T. J., Burke, E. J., Chen, G., Chen, X., Ciais, P., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Jafarov, E. E., Krinner, G., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., MacDougall, A. H., Marchenko, S. S., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M., Nicolsky, D. J., Olefeldt, D., Peng, S., Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K. M., Strauss, J., Treat, C. C., and Turetsky, M.: A simplified, data-constrained approach to estimate the permafrost carbon–climate feedback, *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. A*, 373, 20140423, doi:10.1073/pnas.1103910108, 2015.
- Kurz, W. A., Dymond, C. C., Stinson, G., Rampley, G. J., Neilson, E. T., Carroll, A. L., Ebata, T., and Safranyik, L.: Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate change, *Nature*, 452, 987–990, doi:10.1038/nature06777, 2008.
- Lloyd, A. H., Wilson, A. E., Fastie, C. L., and Landis, R. M.: Population dynamics of black spruce and white spruce near the arctic tree line in the southern Brooks Range, Alaska, *Can. J. Forest Res.*, 35, 2073–2081, doi:10.1139/x05-119, 2005.
- Lloyd, J. and Farquhar, G. D.: The CO₂ dependence of photosynthesis, plant growth responses to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and their interaction with soil nutrient status. I. General principles and forest ecosystems, *Funct. Ecol.*, 10, 4–32, 1996.
- Ma, Z., Peng, C., Zhu, Q., Chen, H., and Yu, G.: Regional drought-induced reduction in the biomass carbon sink of Canada's boreal forests, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 2423–2427, doi:10.1073/pnas.1111576109, 2012.
- McDonald, K. C., Kimball, J. S., Njoku, E., Zimmermann, R., and Zhao, M.: Variability in springtime thaw in the terrestrial high latitudes: monitoring a major control on the biospheric assimilation of atmospheric CO₂ with spaceborne microwave remote sensing, *Earth Interact.*, 8, 1–22, 2004.
- McGuire, A. D., Sitch, S., Clein, J. S., Dargaville, R., Esser, G., Foley, J., Heimann, M., Joos, F., Kaplan, J., Kicklighter, D. W., Meier, R. A., Melillo, J. M., Moore, B., III, Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., Reichenau, T., Schloss, A., Tian, H., Williams, L. J., and Wittenberg, U.: Carbon balance of the terrestrial biosphere in the twentieth century: Analyses of CO₂, climate and land use effects with four process-based ecosystem models, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 15, 183–206, 2001.
- McGuire, A. D., Anderson, L. G., Christensen, T. R., Dallimore, S., Guo, L., Hayes, D. J., Heimann, M., Lorenson, T. D., Macdonald, R. W., and Roulet, N.: Sensitivity of the carbon cycle in the Arctic to climate change, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 79, 523–555, 2009.
- McGuire, A. D., Hayes, D. J., Kicklighter, D. W., Manizza, M., Zhuang, Q., Chen, M., Follows, M. J., Gurney, K. R., McClelland, J. W., Melillo, J. M., Peterson, B. J., and Prinn, R. G.: An analysis of the carbon balance of the Arctic Basin from 1997 to 2006, *Tellus B*, 62, 455–474, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00497.x, 2010.
- McGuire, A. D., Christensen, T. R., Hayes, D., Heroult, A., Euskirchen, E., Kimball, J. S., Koven, C., Lafleur, P., Miller, P. A., Oechel, W., Peylin, P., Williams, M., and Yi, Y.: An assessment of the carbon balance of Arctic tundra: comparisons among observations, process models, and atmospheric inversions, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 3185–3204, doi:10.5194/bg-9-3185-2012, 2012.
- McMillan, A. M. S., Winston, G. C., and Goulden, M. L.: Age-dependent response of boreal forest to temperature and rainfall variability, *Global Change Biol.*, 14, 1904–1916, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01614.x, 2008.
- Michaelian, M., Hogg, E. H., Hall, A. E., and Arseneault, E.: Massive mortality of aspen following severe drought along the southern edge of the Canadian boreal forest, *Global Change Biol.*, 17, 2084–2094, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02357.x, 2010.
- Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Gruber, N., Jacobson, A. R., Doney, S. C., Dutkiewicz, S., Gerber, M., Follows, M., Joos, F., Lindsay, K., Menemenlis, D., Mouchet, A., Müller, S. A., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Inverse estimates of anthropogenic CO₂ uptake, transport, and storage by the ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 20, GB2002, doi:10.1029/2005GB002530, 2006.
- Myers-Smith, I. H., Forbes, B. C., Wilmking, M., Hallinger, M., Lantz, T., Blok, D., Tape, K. D., Macias-Fauria, M., Sass-Klaassen, U., Lévesque, E., Boudreau, S., Ropars, P., Hermanutz, L., Trant, A., Collier, L. S., Weijers, S., Rozema, J., Rayback,

- S. A., Schmidt, N. M., Schaepman-Strub, G., Wipf, S., Rixen, C., Ménard, C. B., Venn, S., Goetz, S., Andreu-Hayles, L., Elmendorf, S., Ravolainen, V., Welker, J., Grogan, P., Epstein, H. E., and Hik, D. S.: Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and research priorities, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 6, 045509, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045509, 2011.
- Myneni, R. B., Keeling, C. D., Tucker, C. J., Asrar, G., and Nemani, R. R.: Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991, *Nature*, 386, 698–702, 1997.
- Oliver, J. G. and Berdowski, J. J. M.: Global emissions sources and sinks, in *The Climate System*, edited by: Berdowski, J. J. M., Guicherit, R., Heiji, B. J., and Balkema, A. A., Swets and Zeitlinger Publishers, Lisse, the Netherlands, 2001.
- Park, T., Ganuly, S., Tommervik, H., Euskirchen, E., Hogda, K. A., Karlsen, S. R., Brovkin, V., Nemani, R., and Myneni, R.: Changes in growing season duration and productivity of northern vegetation inferred from long-term remote sensing data, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, in press, 2016.
- Pastick, N. J., Jorgenson, M. T., Wylie, B. K., Nield, S. J., Johnson, K. D., and Finley, A. O.: Remote Sensing of Environment, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 168, 301–315, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.07.019, 2015.
- Patra, P., Maksyutov, S., Ishizawa, M., Nakazawa, T., Takahashi, T., and Ukita, J.: Interannual and decadal changes in the sea-air CO₂ flux from atmospheric CO₂ inverse modeling, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 19, GB4013, doi:10.1029/2004GB002257, 2005.
- Pearson, R. G., Phillips, S. J., Loranty, M. M., Beck, P. S. A., Damoulas, T., Knight, S. J., and Goetz, S. J.: Shifts in Arctic vegetation and associated feedbacks under climate change, *Nature Clim. Change*, 3, 1–5, doi:10.1038/nclimate1858, 2013.
- Peltzer, E. T.: Matlab shell-scripts for linear regression analysis, available from: <http://www.mbari.org/index-of-downloadable-files/> (last access: 13 June 2016), 2000.
- Peng, C., Ma, Z., Lei, X., Zhu, Q., Chen, H., Wang, W., Liu, S., Li, W., Fang, X., and Zhou, X.: A drought-induced pervasive increase in tree mortality across Canada's boreal forests, *Nature Clim. Change*, 1, 467–471, doi:10.1038/nclimate1293, 2011.
- Piao, S., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Peylin, P., Reichstein, M., Luysaert, S., Margolis, H., Fang, J., Barr, A., Chen, A., Grelle, A., Hollinger, D. Y., Laurila, T., Lindroth, A., richardson, A. D., and Vesala, T.: Net carbon dioxide losses of northern ecosystems in response to autumn warming, *Nature*, 451, 49–52, doi:10.1038/nature06444, 2008.
- Pinzon, J. and Tucker, C.: A non-stationary 1981–2012 AVHRR NDVI3g time series, *Remote Sens.*, 6, 6929–6960, doi:10.3390/rs6086929, 2014.
- Qu, X. and Hall, A.: What controls the strength of snow-albedo feedback?, *J. Climate*, 20, 3971–3981, doi:10.1175/JCLI4186.1, 2007.
- Randerson, J. T., Thompson, M. V., Conway, T. J., Fung, I. Y., and Field, C. B.: The contribution of terrestrial sources and sinks to trends in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric carbon dioxide, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 11, 535–560, 1997.
- Randerson, J. T., Field, C. B., Fung, I. Y., and Tans, P. P.: Increases in early season ecosystem uptake explain recent changes in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric CO₂ at high northern latitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 2765–2768, 1999.
- Rayner, P. J., Enting, I. G., Francey, R. J., and Langenfelds, R.: Reconstructing the recent carbon cycle from atmospheric CO₂, δ¹³C and O₂/N₂ observations, *Tellus B*, 51B, 213–232, 1999.
- Rodenbeck, C.: Estimating CO₂ sources and sinks from atmospheric mixing ratio measurements using a global inversion of atmospheric transport, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, 2005.
- Romanovsky, V. E., Smith, S. L., and Christiansen, H. H.: Permafrost thermal state in the polar Northern Hemisphere during the international polar year 2007–2009: a synthesis, *Permafrost Periglac. Process.*, 21, 106–116, doi:10.1002/ppp.689, 2010.
- Rozendaal, D. M. A., Brienen, R. J. W., Soliz-Gamboa, C. C., and Zuidema, P. A.: Tropical tree rings reveal preferential survival of fast-growing juveniles and increased juvenile growth rates over time, *New Phytol.*, 185, 759–769, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03109.x, 2009.
- Russell, J. L., and Wallace, J. M.: Annual carbon dioxide drawdown and the Northern Annular Mode, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, GB1012, doi:10.1029/2003GB002044, 2004.
- Schaefer, K., Denning, A. S., Suits, N., Kaduk, J., Baker, I., Los, S., and Prihodko, L.: Effect of climate on interannual variability of terrestrial CO₂ fluxes, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 16, 49–1–49–12, doi:10.1029/2002GB001928, 2002.
- Schneising, O., Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Heymann, J., Bovensmann, H., and Burrows, J. P.: Terrestrial carbon sink observed from space: variation of growth rates and seasonal cycle amplitudes in response to interannual surface temperature variability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 133–141, doi:10.5194/acp-14-133-2014, 2014.
- Schultz, M. G., Heil, A., Hoelzemann, J. J., Spessa, A., Thonicke, K., Goldammer, J. G., Held, A. C., Pereira, J. M. C., and van het Bolscher, M.: Global wildland fire emissions from 1960 to 2000, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 22, GB2002, doi:10.1029/2007GB003031, 2008.
- Schuur, E., Bockheim, J., and Canadell, J. G.: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: Implications for the global carbon cycle, *BioScience*, 58, 701–714, 2008.
- Serreze, M. C. and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A research synthesis, *Global Planet. Change*, 77, 85–96, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.03.004, 2011.
- Serreze, M. C., Walsh, J. E., Chapin, F. S., III, Osterkamp, T., Dyurgerov, M., Romanovsky, V., Oechel, W. C., Morison, J., Zhang, T., and Barry, R. G.: Observational evidence of recent change in the northern high-latitude environment, *Clim. Change*, 46, 159–207, 2000.
- Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S. D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A., Doney, S. C., Graven, H., Heinze, C., Huntingford, C., Levis, S., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M., Poulter, B., Viogy, N., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., Arneth, A., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Ellis, R., Gloor, M., Peylin, P., Piao, S. L., Le Quere, C., Smith, B., Zhu, Z., and Myneni, R.: Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 653–679, doi:10.5194/bg-12-653-2015, 2015.
- Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., and Arneth, A.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model, *Global Change Biol.*, 9, 161–185, 2003.

- Smith, N. G. and Dukes, J. S.: Plant respiration and photosynthesis in global-scale models: incorporating acclimation to temperature and CO₂, *Global Change Biol.*, 19, 45–63, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02797.x, 2012.
- Smith, N. V.: Trends in high northern latitude soil freeze and thaw cycles from 1988 to 2002, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D12101, doi:10.1029/2003JD004472, 2004.
- Soja, A. J., Tchebakova, N. M., French, N. H. F., Flannigan, M. D., Shugart, H. H., Stocks, B. J., Sukhinin, A. I., Parfenova, E. I., Chapin, F. S., III and Stackhouse, P. W., Jr.: Climate-induced boreal forest change: Predictions versus current observations, *Global Planet. Change*, 56(3-4), 274–296, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.028, 2007.
- Sturm, M.: Changing snow and shrub conditions affect albedo with global implications, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, G01004, doi:10.1029/2005JG000013, 2005.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., and Sweeney, C.: Global sea–air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean pCO₂, and seasonal biological and temperature effects, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 49, 1601–1622, 2002.
- Tape, K. D., Sturm, M., and Racine, C. H.: The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern Alaska and the Pan-Arctic, *Global Change Biol.*, 12, 686–702, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01128.x, 2006.
- Trahan, M. W. and Schubert, B. A.: Temperature-induced water stress in high-latitude forests in response to natural and anthropogenic warming, *Global Change Biol.*, 1–10, doi:10.1111/gcb.13121, 2015.
- Treat, C. C., and Frolking, S.: A permafrost carbon bomb?, *Nature Clim. Change*, 3, 865–867, doi:10.1038/nclimate2010, 2013.
- Ueyama, M., Kudo, S., Iwama, C., Nagano, H., Kobayashi, H., Harazono, Y., and Yoshikawa, K.: Does summer warming reduce black spruce productivity in interior Alaska?, *J. For. Res.*, 20, 52–59, doi:10.1007/s10310-014-0448-z, 2014.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., and Arellano Jr., A. F.: Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions from 1997 to 2004, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3423–3441, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3423-2006, 2006.
- Verbyla, D.: The greening and browning of Alaska based on 1982–2003 satellite data, *Global Ecol. Biogeography*, 17, 547–555, doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2008.00396.x, 2008.
- Verbyla, D.: Browning boreal forests of western North America, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 6, 041003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/041003, 2011.
- Walther, G. R.: Community and ecosystem responses to recent climate change, *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B*, 365, 2019–2024, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.008, 2010.
- Walther, S., Voigt, M., Thum, T., Gonsamo, A., Zhang, Y., Koehler, P., Jung, M., Varlagin, A., and Guanter, L.: Satellite chlorophyll fluorescence measurements reveal large-scale decoupling of photosynthesis and greenness dynamics in boreal evergreen forests, *Global Change Biol.*, doi:10.1111/gcb.13200, 2015.
- Wang, D., Morton, D., Masek, J., Wu, A., Nagol, J., Xiong, X., Levy, R., Vermote, E., and Wolfe, R.: Impact of sensor degradation on the MODIS NDVI time series, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 119, 55–61, 2012.
- Welp, L. R., Randerson, J. T., and Liu, H. P.: Seasonal exchange of CO₂ and δ¹⁸O-CO₂ varies with postfire succession in boreal forest ecosystems, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, G03007, doi:10.1029/2005JG000126, 2006.
- Welp, L. R., Randerson, J. T., and Liu, H. P.: The sensitivity of carbon fluxes to spring warming and summer drought depends on plant functional type in boreal forest ecosystems, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 147, 172–185, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.07.010, 2007.
- Wickland, K. P., Striegl, R. G., Neff, J. C., and Sachs, T.: Effects of permafrost melting on CO₂ and CH₄ exchange of a poorly drained black spruce lowland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, G02011, doi:10.1029/2005JG000099, 2006.
- Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., Allen, J. M., Crimmins, T. M., Bencourt, J. L., Travers, S. E., Pau, S., Regetz, J., Davies, T. J., Kraft, N. J. B., Ault, T. R., Bolmgren, K., Mazer, S. J., McCabe, G. J., McGill, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., and Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, *Nature*, 485, 494–497, doi:10.1038/nature11014, 2012.
- Wu, Z., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G. W., and Hungate, B. A.: Biogeochemical and ecological feedbacks in grassland responses to warming, *Nature Clim. Change*, 2, 458–461, doi:10.1038/nclimate1486, 2012.
- Wunch, D., Wennberg, P. O., Messerschmidt, J., Parazoo, N. C., Toon, G. C., Deutscher, N. M., Keppel-Aleks, G., Roehl, C. M., Randerson, J. T., Warneke, T., and Notholt, J.: The covariation of Northern Hemisphere summertime CO₂ with surface temperature in boreal regions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9447–9459, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9447-2013, 2013.
- Xu, L., Myneni, R. B., Chapin, F. S., III, Callaghan, T. V., Pinzon, J. E., Tucker, C. J., Zhu, Z., Bi, J., Ciais, P., Tommervik, H., Euskirchen, E. S., Forbes, B. C., Piao, S. L., Anderson, B. T., Ganguley, S., Nemani, R. R., Goetz, S. J., Beck, P. S. A., Bunn, A. G., Cao, C., and Stroeve, J. C.: Temperature and vegetation seasonality diminishment over northern lands, *Nature Clim. Change*, 3, 1–6, doi:10.1038/nclimate1836, 2013.
- Yi, S., McGuire, A. D., Harden, J., Kasischke, E., Manies, K., Hinzman, L., Liljedahl, A., Randerson, J., Liu, H., Romanovsky, V., Marchenko, S., and Kim, Y.: Interactions between soil thermal and hydrological dynamics in the response of Alaska ecosystems to fire disturbance, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, G02015, doi:10.1029/2008JG000841, 2009.
- Zhang, K., Kimball, J. S., Hogg, E., Zhao, M., Oechel, W. C., Casano, J. J., and Running, S. W.: Satellite-based model detection of recent climate-driven changes in northern high-latitude vegetation productivity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, G03–033, 2008.
- Zhang, X., Gurney, K. R., Peylin, P., Chevallier, F., Law, R. M., Patra, P. K., Rayner, P., Rödenbeck, C., and Krol, M.: On the variation of regional CO₂ exchange over temperate and boreal North America, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 27, 991–1000, doi:10.1002/gbc.20091, 2013.
- Zhou, L., Tucker, C. J., Kaufmann, R. K., Slayback, D., Shabanov, N. V., and Myneni, R. B.: Variations in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981 to 1999, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 20069, doi:10.1029/2000JD000115, 2001.
- Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R. B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Arneth, A., Cao, C., Cheng, L., Kato, E., Koven, C., Li, Y., Lian, X., Liu, Y., Liu, R., Mao, J., Pan, Y., Peng, S., Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Pugh, T.

A. M., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Xiao, Z., Yang, H., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Greening of the Earth and its drivers, *Nature Clim. Change*, 1–6, doi:10.1038/nclimate3004, 2016.

Zimov, S. A.: Contribution of disturbance to increasing seasonal amplitude of atmospheric CO₂, *Science*, 284, 1973–1976, doi:10.1126/science.284.5422.1973, 1999.