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#### Abstract

Eight new antimony(III) iodide complexes of the heterocyclic thioamides, 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole (MMI), 2-mercaptobenzimidazole (MBZIM), 5 -ethoxy-2-mercaptobenzimidazole (EtMBZIM), 2-mercaptothiazolidine (MTZD), 3-methyl-2-mercaptobenzothiazole (NMeMBZT), 2-mercapto-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine (tHPMT), 2-mercaptopyridine (PYT), and 2-mercaptopyrimidine (PMT) of formulas $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MMI}_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(1),\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{3}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{2}\right](2)\right.$, $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-1\right)\right.\right.$ (EtMBZIM) $\left.]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}$ (3), $\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{3}(\mathrm{MTZD})\right]$ (4), $\left[\left(\mathrm{NMeMBZT)} \mathrm{Sbl}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{NMMMBZT}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Sbl}_{2}\right.\right.$ (NMeMBZT)] (5), $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{3}(\mathrm{HPPMT})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(6),\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{3}(\mathrm{PYT})\right](7)$, and $\left[\mathrm{Sbl}_{3}(\mathrm{PMT})_{2}\right]$ (8), have been synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR spectroscopy, FT-Raman spectroscopy, and TG-DTA analysis. The crystal structures of $3,4,5,6$, and 7 were also determined by $X$-ray diffraction. The complexes show interesting structural motifs. Complex 6 is a monomer, with octahedral (Oh) geometry around the metal ion formed by three sulfur and three iodide atoms. Complexes 3 and 5 are dimers, with a square pyramidal (SP) geometry in each monomeric unit, while complexes 4 and 7 are polymers with pseudotrigonal bipyramidal ( $\psi$-TBP). Two or three sulfur atoms from thioamide ligands and three iodide atoms are bound to Sb atoms forming building blocks for the dimers and polymers. Strong intramolecular interactions between $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ and/or $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ and Sb atoms stabilize both structures. In dimer complex 5 , two terminal iodide and one terminal sulfur atom are bonded to the Sb ion, while two $\mu_{2}$-I and one $\mu_{2}$-S bridging atoms bridge the metal ions forming $\psi$-Oh geometry. Computational studies using multivariant linear regression (MLR) and artificial neural networks (ANN) and considering biological results ( $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) as dependent variables derived a theoretical equation for $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values of the complexes studied. The calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are compared satisfactorily with the experimental inhibitory activity of the complexes measured. Complexes 3-7 were used to study their influence upon the catalytic peroxidation of linoleic acid by the enzyme Lipoxygenase (LOX). Compounds $1-8$ were also tested for in vitro cytotoxicity, and they showed mostly a moderate cytostatic activity against a variety of tumor cell lines but comparable with those found for the antimony (III) chloride and bromide complexes, reported earlier [Ozturk et al. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 2861-2866; Ozturk et al. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 2233-2245].


## Introduction

Antimony-based metallotherapeutic drugs have been used in the treatment of leishmania for years as the major

[^0]therapeutic compounds. ${ }^{1-5}$ We have recently shown that antimony(III) chloride or bromide complexes with sulfur donor ligands such as thioamides, also, exhibit antitumor properties. ${ }^{6-8}$ Studies on coordination complexes of

[^1]antimony(III) are often hindered by the instability of such complexes in aqueous solutions. Significant secondary bonding is also frequently observed in these systems, as a consequence of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X} \sigma^{*}$ antibonding orbital which has the potential to act as an acceptor orbital toward another donor atom. ${ }^{9,10}$ These factors all contribute to the unpredictable structural variations observed for Sb (III) complexes. The reports on structural characterization of antimony(III) triiodide complexes with thione/thiol ligands are rare in the literature. Sulfur containing ligands, such as thiones/thiols, ${ }^{11-15}$ thioethers, ${ }^{16,17}$ and dithiocarbamates, ${ }^{18-20}$ have been used to prepare stable $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{III})$ iodide complexes. These include $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbI},{ }^{11}\left[\left\{\mathrm{Sb}\left(k^{3}-\mathrm{Tm}^{\mathrm{Me}}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right) \mathrm{I}\right\}_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Sb}\left(k^{3}-\mathrm{Tm}^{\mathrm{Me}}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{I}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{Tm}^{\mathrm{Me}}=\right.$ hydrotris(methimazolyl) borate), ${ }^{12} \mathrm{LSb}-$ $(\mu-\mathrm{I}) 2(\mu-\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{SbL}(\mathrm{HL}=$ tetraphenyldithioimidodiphosphine $\left.\left(\mathrm{SPPh}_{2} \mathrm{NHPPh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{SbI}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{3}-2,4,6\right){ }^{14}$ and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbI}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbI} .{ }^{15}$ The structures adopted by antimony(III) iodide complexes with thione/thiole, up to now, are pseudotrigonal-bipyramidal, ${ }^{11,12}(\psi$-TBP $)$, square pyramidal (SP), ${ }^{13,14}$ and octahedral (Oh) ${ }^{12,15}$ (Scheme 1). We have also shown that antimony(III) chloride and antimony(III) bromide complexes with thione ligands, adopt either pentagonal pyramidal (PP), octahedral (Oh), or square pyramidal (SP) (trans-S,trans-X or a,b,d-X, cis-S, cis-X or a, $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{X}$ or $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}($ Scheme 1)) geometries, and they exhibit selective antiproliferative activity against HeLa cells. ${ }^{6-8}$ Generally antimony(III) iodide and chloride complexes are found to be more active than the corresponding bromide complexes. ${ }^{8}$ An exception was the polymeric ionic salt $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{5}\right]^{2-}\left[\left(\mathrm{PMTH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)_{2}\right]\right\}$ which showed comparable antiproliferative activity against HeLa cells. ${ }^{8}$ Since the mechanism of action of Sb complexes is still poorly understood, ${ }^{6-8}$ these results prompted us to investigate the correlation between enzyme inhibitions by Sb complexes and their antitumor activity. Lipoxygenase ( $\mathbf{L O X}$ ) is an enzyme that takes part in the metabolism of arachidonic acid. LOX catalyzes the oxidation of arachidonic acid to leukotrienes, in an essential mechanism for cell life. ${ }^{21}$ Prostaglandines, the final products formed from the metabolism of arachidonic acid, contribute to tumorigenesis acting as angiogenesis factors. ${ }^{22 a}$ Linoleic acid on the other hand, discovered in beef and dairy products, was proven to be a potential mutagen inhibitor. ${ }^{22 \mathrm{~b}}$

[^2]
## Scheme 1
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In order to compare the structural and antiproliferative properties of the corresponding antimony(III) iodide derivatives with the same ligands, we report here the new complexes of formulas $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{MMI})_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(\mathbf{1}),\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{2}\right]$ (2), $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)(\mathrm{EtMBZIM})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}$ (3), $\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{MTZD})\right]$ (4), $\left[(\mathrm{NMeMBZT}) \mathrm{SbI}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{NMeMBZT}\right) \mathrm{SbI}_{2}(\mathrm{NMe}-\right.$ MBZT)] (5), $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{tHPMT})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(6),\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{PYT})\right](7)$, and $\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{PMT})_{2}\right](8)$ (where MMI $=2$-mercapto-1-methylimidazole, MBZIM = 2-mercaptobenzimidazole, EtMBZIM = 5-ethoxy-2-mercaptobenzimidazole, MTZD $=2$-mercaptothiazolidine, NMeMBZT $=$ 3-methyl-2-mercaptobenzothiazole, tHPMT $=2$-mercapto-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine, PYT $=$ 2-mercaptopyridine, and PMT = 2-mercaptopyrimidine ${ }^{6-8}$ ). These new complexes exhibit interesting structural motifs. Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 8}$ were finally tested for in vitro cytotoxicity against a variety of tumor cell lines. Complexes 3-7 were also used to study their influence upon the catalytic peroxidation of linoleic acid by the enzyme Lipoxygenase (LOX).

## Results and Discussion

General Aspects. Antimony(III) complexes 1-8 have been synthesized by reacting the appropriate thioamide with antimony(III) iodide $\left(\mathrm{SbI}_{3}\right)$ in methanolic/acetonitrile solutions, as shown by eqs I-IV. Crystals of complexes 3-7, suitable for X-ray analysis, were grown by slow evaporation of their methanolic/acetonitrile solutions. All complexes prepared are air-stable.

$$
\begin{align*}
& n \mathrm{SbI}_{3}+2 n \mathrm{HL} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}}[ {\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{HL})_{2}\right]_{n} } \\
& n=1 \text { and } \mathrm{HL}=\operatorname{MMI}(\mathbf{1}),  \tag{I}\\
& \mathrm{MBZIM}(\mathbf{2}), \operatorname{PMT}(\mathbf{8}) \\
& n=2 \text { and } \mathrm{HL}=\operatorname{EtMBZIM}(\mathbf{3})
\end{align*} \quad(\mathrm{I})
$$

Thermal Analysis. TG-DTA analysis of complexes 1-7 shows one decomposition step, which corresponds to the simultaneous evolution of thione and iodide ligands. An additional step observed in the case of complexes $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}$, and 6 is due to the solvent molecule cocrystallization. The corresponding thermal analysis of $\mathbf{8}$ consists of two main steps. The first step of decomposition corresponds to the evolution of two ligands and two iodide atoms, while the second one corresponds to an additional iodide atom. Detailed explanations on the decomposition patterns are given in the supplementary information.


Figure 1. Correlation plot between terminal $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{X}$ average bond distances $(\AA)$ and $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{X})$ vibration bands ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) for antimony $(\mathrm{III})$ trihali-de-thioamide complexes: (pink diamond) antimony(III) triiodide complexes (3-7) (this work), (green circle) antimony(III) trichloride complexes ${ }^{7}$ $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right\}(\mathbf{9}),\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{tHPMT})_{2}\right](\mathbf{1 0}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right\}(11),\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{EtMBZIM}_{8}\right](\mathbf{1 2})\right.$, $\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{2}\right](\mathbf{1 3}),\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{MTZD})_{2}\right](14)$, and $\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NMeMBZT}_{2}\right]\right.$ (15), ${ }^{7}$ (red square) antimony (III) tribromide complexes ${ }^{8}\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}^{2}\right)-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.(\operatorname{MeMBZIM})_{2}\right]_{2}\right\}(\mathbf{1 6}),\left\{\left[\operatorname{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)(\mathrm{PYT})_{2}\right)_{n}\right\}(\mathbf{1 7}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{tHPMT}\right)(\mathrm{tHPMT})\right]_{n}\right\}(\mathbf{1 8}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot[\mathrm{Br}]^{-} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}(\mathbf{1 9}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr} 2\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)-\right.\right.$ (EtMBZIM) $\left.\left.)_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(\mathbf{2 0}),\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{5}\right]^{2-}\left[\left(\mathrm{PMTH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)_{2}\right]\right\}(\mathbf{2 1})$, and $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)(\mathrm{MTZD})_{2}\right]_{n}\right\}(\mathbf{2 2})$. ${ }^{8}$

Vibrational Spectroscopy. Variations in the geometrical features of Sb (III) halogenate complexes (refs 7, 8, and this work, supplementary information (Figure S1)) results in monomer Oh (6), dimers or polymers built up by monomeric units of square pyramidal (SP) $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5})$ or pseudotrigonal-bipyramidal $(\psi$-TBP) $(4,7)$ geometries, which also lead to variations in $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ and/or $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances due to inter- and intramolecular interactions (see Crystal and Molecular Structures of 3-7). Therefore, far-IR and Raman spectroscopic techniques can be very useful tools in the geometrical characterization of these complexes.
Far-IR spectra of the antimony(III) triiodide complexes 3-7 show distinct vibrational bands between 127 and $166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which are tentatively assigned to $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I})$ vibrations. ${ }^{23}$ The $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ vibrational bands are observed between 284 and $330 \mathrm{~cm}^{-17,8,24}$ (Table S1). Figure 1 correlates the $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{X})(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ vibrations observed, versus the average terminal $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{X}$ bond distances in the complexes (see Crystal and Molecular Structures of 3-7 and also refs 7 and 8). Vibrational bands of terminal $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ bonds lie at lower wave numbers in the far-IR spectra than the corresponding bands of the terminal $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Br}$ and $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds, indicating lower bond orders for the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ than $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Br}$ or $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds, as expected.

The assignments of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}$ vibrations in complexes $3-7\left(v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I})\right.$ at $127-166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ at $284-$ $330 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Table S1)) were achieved by comparing the far-IR region of all halogen derivatives of the complexes (Figure 1). For complexes 1, 2, and 8, for which no structural data are available, assignments of $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I})$ at $179(\mathbf{1}), 143(\mathbf{2})$, and $170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathbf{8})^{23}$ and $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ vibration bands at 311 (1), $270(\mathbf{2})$, and $290 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathbf{8})^{7,8,24}$ have been made.

[^3]

Figure 2. Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of the dimer complex 3 with trans-S, trans-I square pyramidal (SP) conformation around the metal of each unit. Intermolecular interactions between $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ and Sb atoms finally lead to the dimeric compound with $\psi$-Oh geometry around the metal center. The ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Symmetry operation to equivalent positions; $a=2-x,-y,-z$.

The $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ and $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I})$ stretching vibrations are also Raman active. ${ }^{7,8,23,25}$ Although, no direct correlation
(25) (a) Ludwig, C.; Dolny, M.; Gotze, H.-J. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 2000, 56, 547-555. (b) Baker, L. J.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Taylor, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1995, 2895-2899.


Figure 3. (A) Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of complex 4 with pseutotrigonal-bipyramidal ( $\psi$-TBP) conformation. The ellipsoids are drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. (B) Sequential intramolecular $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{Sb}$ and $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{Sb}$ interactions lead to polymerization with $\psi$-Oh geometry around an Sb center.
between terminal and bridging $v \mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ and $\nu \mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ vibrational bands can be made, based on far-IR spectra, Raman spectra show distinct vibrational bands for both,in most cases (see Table S1).

The Raman spectra of the antimony(III) triiodide complexes 3-7 show vibrational bands between 190 and $215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which are assigned to $\nu\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(terminal) }}\right)$ vibrations and between 172 and $196 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(bridging) }}\right)$ bands (Table S 1$)$. The $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {(terminal) }}\right)$ vibrational bands are observed between 236 and $288 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, while only in case of complex 5 the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {(bridging) }}\right)$ band is observed at 234 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (Table S1). More particularly, the Raman spectrum of the octahedral monomeric complex $\mathbf{6}$ shows vibrational bands at 190 and $236 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are assigned to the $\nu(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I})$ and $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$, respectively. In dimeric complex $\mathbf{3}$, where two terminal iodide and two terminal sulfur atoms are bound to the metal centers, and the $\psi$-Oh geometry is completed by two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ bridging atoms, the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(terminal) }}\right)$ band was observed at $215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ while the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(bridging })}\right)$ band at $196 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ band appears at $253 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In the dimeric complex 5, where two terminal iodide and one terminal sulfur atom, are
bound to the Sb ion and two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ and one $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ bridging atoms bridged the metal ions forming $\psi$-Oh geometry, the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(terminal) }}\right)$ band appears at $194 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, while the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(bridging })}\right)$ band appears at $172 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {(terminal) }}\right)$ and $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {(bridging) }}\right)$ bands are observed at 251 and $234 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. In polymeric complexes 4, where two terminal iodide atoms and two $\mu_{2}$-S, two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ bridging atoms form a $\psi$-Oh geometry around each of the $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions, the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{(\text {terminal })}\right)$ band is observed at $197 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, while the $\nu\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(bridging) }}\right)$ band is at $165 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The $\nu(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ band appears at $288 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, but no $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {(bridging }}\right)$ band was observed. In the case of the polymeric complex 7 where one terminal iodide atom and two $\mu_{2}$-I bridging atoms form a $\psi$-Oh geometry around each of the $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{III})$ ions, the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(terminal) }}\right)$ is observed at 179 and the $v\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {(bridging) }}\right)$ band is at $163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ where no structural data are available the $v(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I})$ bands appear at 193 (1) and $179 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathbf{8})$, while $\nu(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S})$ vibrational bands are at $247 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$ and 224 and $232 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathbf{8})$, classifying them as either dimeric or polymeric complexes in agreement with the assignment of farIR spectroscopy.


Figure 4. Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of the dimer complex 5 with trans-S, trans-I square pyramidal (SP) conformation around the metal of each unit. Two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{Sb}$ and one $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{Sb}$ intramolecular interactions finally lead to dimerization with $\psi$-Oh geometry around the Sb center. The ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Details on the IR and Raman assignments are given in the supplementary information (Table S1).

Crystal and Molecular Structures of 3-7. Crystal structures of antimony(III) iodide compounds with sulfur containing ligands are very rare in the literature (see the Introduction), and to the best of our knowledge, only six Sb-thione/thiole complexes have been characterized by X-ray diffraction up to now (see the Introduction).

ORTEP diagrams of complexes $3-7$, are shown in Figures 2-6, and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1.

Complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are dimers (Figures 2 and 4), while complexes $\mathbf{4}$ and 7 are polymers (Figures 3 and 6). Two or three sulfur atoms from thioamide ligands and three iodide atoms are bound to Sb atoms forming building blocks for the dimers and polymers. The geometry around the metal center in each monomeric unit is either SP (3, 5; Figures 2 and 4 ) or $\psi$-TBP (4, 7; Figures 3A and 6A). Strong intramolecular interactions between $\mu_{2}$-I and/or $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ and Sb atoms lead to dimeric (3, 5; Figures 2 and 4 ) or to polymeric (4, 7; Figures 3B and 6B) assemblies with $\psi$-Oh geometries around the Sb (III) ion.

The equatorial plane in both SP units of the dimeric complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ is formed by two $I$ and two $S$ atoms in a trans-S, trans- I arrangement. Two terminal iodide $(\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Sb} 1=2.8741(16)$ and $\mathrm{I} 3-\mathrm{Sb} 1=2.8611(16) \AA)$ and two terminal sulfur atoms $(\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1=2.8609(18)$ and $\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 2=2.6865(18) \AA$ ) are bound to the metal centers in complex 3 , while the $\psi$-Oh geometry is completed by two $\mu_{2}$-I bridging atoms $\left(\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1=3.1970(15)\right.$ and $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1 \_\mathrm{a}=$ $3.2295(9) \AA)$. The sum of antimony and iodide van der $\overline{\mathrm{W}}$ aals radii lies between 4.3 and $4.63 \AA .{ }^{26}$ However, in dimer


Figure 5. Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of the monomer complex 6 with octahedral (Oh) geometry around the metal ion. A solvent molecule (methanol) is present in the crystal lattice. The ellipsoids are drawn at a $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.


Figure 6. (A) Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of the building block of complex 7 with with pseutotrigonal-bipyramidal ( $\psi$-TBP) conformation. For clarity only one of the two disordered sulfur atoms is shown. The ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. (B) Strong intramolecular $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{Sb}$ interactions lead to 1D polymerization with $\psi-\mathrm{Oh}$ geometry around an Sb center.
complex 5, two terminal iodide $(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)=2.817(3)$, $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)=2.838(3), \mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(5)=2.800(3)$, and $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-$ $\mathrm{I}(6)=2.867(3) \AA$ ) and one terminal sulfur atom

[^4]$(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.754(8)$ and $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)=2.706(8) \AA)$ are bound to each Sb ion. Two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(4)=$ $3.110(3), \mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)=3.230(3), \mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)=3.156(3)$, and $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)=3.195(3) \AA)$ and one $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)=$ $3.077(9)$ and $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)=3.118(9) \AA)$, on the other hand, bridge the metal ions forming $\psi$-Oh geometry.

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for Antimony(III) Iodide Complexes 3-7 ${ }^{a}$

| complex 3 |  | complex 4 |  | complex 5 |  | complex 6 |  | complex 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) bond lengths |  | (a) bond lengths |  | (a) bond lengths |  | (a) bond lengths |  | (a) bond lengths |  |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1$ | 3.1970(15) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $2.7767(13)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.754(8) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.610 (3) | $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1$ | 3.1231(7) |
| I1-Sb1_a | $3.2295(9)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.7905(12)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 2.817(3) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.988 (3) | I2-Sb1 | 2.8046 (7) |
| $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Sbl}$ | 2.8742(14) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 2.8887(12) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 2.838(3) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.599(3) | I3-Sb1 | $2.9128(7)$ |
| I3-Sb1 | 2.8611(9) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.966 (4) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $3.110(3)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.9630(10)$ | Sb1-S1 | 2.556(3) |
| Sb1-S1 | 2.8614(18) | S(1)-C(1) | $1.698(13)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 3.230 (3) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $3.0107(10)$ | Sb1-S1A | 2.654(19) |
| Sb1-S2 | 2.6868(17) | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | $3.198(4)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $3.077(9)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $3.2683(12)$ | S1-C1 | $1.738(9)$ |
| S1-C10 | $1.705(4)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | $3.5167(14)$ | $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 3.118(9) | S(1)-C(1) | 1.723 (10) | S1A-C1 | 1.52(2) |
| S2-C1 | 1.713(4) |  |  | $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | 2.800(3) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.725(10)$ | Sb1-Ila | $3.3655(7)$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | 2.867(3) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.704(11) | Sb1-I3a | $3.3889(7)$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $3.156(3)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $3.195(3)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $2.706(8)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.65(3) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.68 (3) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.674(14) |  |  |  |  |


| (b) angles |  | (b) angles |  | (b) angles |  | (b) angles |  | (b) angles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I1-Sb1-I2 | 85.99(2) | $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 96.19(4) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 93.9(2) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 88.45(12) | $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{I} 2$ | 101.01(2) |
| I1-Sb1-I3 | 174.381(10) | $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 92.15(3) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 80.72(19) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 82.88(8) | $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{I} 3$ | 166.37(2) |
| I1-Sb1-S1 | 90.72(2) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 92.06(4) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 98.05(9) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 80.21(6) | $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Sb1}-\mathrm{I} 3$ | 90.97(2) |
| I1-Sb1-S2 | 86.52(2) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 169.22(8) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 171.13(19) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 91.14(8) | $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | 78.9(2) |
| S1-Sb1-S2 | 177.23(3) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 92.71(8) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 92.96(8) | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 95.38(6) | I1-Sb1-S1A | 80.8(4) |
| Sb1-I1-Sb1_a | 88.03(2) | $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 77.73(7) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 92.74(8) | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 172.63(4) | $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Sbl}-\mathrm{S} 1$ | 95.64(14) |
| I1-Sb1-I1_a | 91.97(2) |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 97.26(19) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 91.05(10) | $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 86.6(7) |
| Sb1_a-I1-Sb1-I3 | 174.90(1) |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 93.48(8) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 162.08(7) | $\mathrm{I} 3-\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | 94.57(6) |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 168.40(9) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 88.61(6) | $\mathrm{I} 3-\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 93.5(3) |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(4)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | 87.95(7) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 102.53(5) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | 94.3(2) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | 82.01(18) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | 99.03(9) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 168.9(2) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 95.56(8) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 93.38(4) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}(6)-\mathrm{Sb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 90.90(5) |  |  |  |  |

[^5]The geometry around the metal center in each monomeric unit in case of the polymeric complexes 4 and 7 is $\psi$-TBP (Figures 3A and 6A). In complex 4, two terminal iodide atoms $(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)=2.7767(13)$ and $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)=$ $2.7905(12) \AA)$ and sequential two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)=$ $2.966(4)$ and $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1 \mathrm{a})=3.198(4) \AA)$ two $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ $(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)=2.8887(12)$ and $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2 \mathrm{a})=3.5167(14)$ A) bridging atoms form a $\psi$-Oh geometry around each Sb atom leading to a 1 D ribbon supramolecular assembly (Figure 3B). In the case of 7, the $\psi$-Oh assembly is established by a terminal sulfur $(\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1=2.556(3)$ and $\mathrm{Sb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{~A}=2.654(19) \AA$ ) and an iodide $(\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Sb} 1=$ $2.8046(7) \AA$ ) atom and $\mu_{2}$-I bridging atoms ( $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Sb} 1=$ $3.1231(7)$ and $\mathrm{I} 3-\mathrm{Sb} 1=2.9128(7) \AA$ ) leading to 1 D polymeric structure (Figure 6B). In complex 7, there are two disordered sulfur atoms (Table 1).

The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances varied from 2.568(3) (7) to $3.118(9)(5) \AA$ (Table 1) in complexes 3, 4, 5, and 7, with the longest found in 5 . The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right\},\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{2^{-}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right\}, \quad a, b, c-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{2}\right], a, b, d-\mathrm{Cl}\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{EtMBZIM})_{2}\right], a, b, c-\mathrm{Cl}\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{MTZD})_{2}\right]$, and $b, c, d-\mathrm{Cl}\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{tHPMT})_{2}\right]^{7}$ varied from 2.48 to $2.85 \AA .^{11}$ The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ bond distances in complexes $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, 5$, and 7 lie between 2.754(8) (5) and 3.5167(14) (4) A (Table 1) with the latest to be the longest such contact
measured up to date. The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ bond lengths are in agreement with the corresponding bond distances found in $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbI}(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}=2.8603(6)$ and 2.8747(6) $\AA),{ }^{11}\left[\left\{\mathrm{Sb}\left(k^{3}-\mathrm{Tm}^{\mathrm{Me}}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right) \mathrm{I}\right\}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {terminal }}=3.1498(6) \AA\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Sb}\left(k^{3}-\mathrm{Tm}^{\mathrm{Me}}\right) \mathrm{I}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{Tm}^{\mathrm{Me}}=\right.$ hydrotris(methimazolyl) borate) $\left(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {terminal }}=3.1851(4)\right.$ and $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}_{\text {bridging }}=3.2518(4)$ $\AA$ ), ${ }^{12} \mathrm{LSb}(\mu-\mathrm{I}) 2(\mu-\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{SbL}(\mathrm{HL}=$ tetraphenyldithioimidodiphosphine $\left.\left(\mathrm{SPPh}_{2} \mathrm{NHPPh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\right)(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)=3.2160(8)$ and $\left.\mathrm{Sb}(1)=\mathrm{I}\left(1^{\prime}\right) 3.1570(8) \mathrm{A}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{SbI}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{3}-2,4,6\right)(\mathrm{Sb}-$ $\mathrm{I} 1=2.750(1) \AA),{ }^{14}$ and $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbI}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SbI}$ $(\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}=2.8960(6) \AA) .{ }^{15}$

Complex 6, synthesized in methanol/acetonitrile (1:1) solutions, is a monomeric neutral compound in the solid state, and it is the first example of a neutral Oh geometry of $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{III})$ compounds, with meridional conformation (Figure 5). Three sulfur atoms from thione ligands and three iodide ions form an octahedral $(\mathrm{Oh})\left[\mathrm{SbS}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{3}\right]$ neutral species, in which the two iodide anions occupy the axial positions. The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ bond distances $(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)=$ $2.9630(10), \mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)=3.0107(10)$, and $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)=$ $3.2683(12) \AA$ ) are shorter than the sum of antimony and iodide van der Waals radii $(4.3-4.63 \AA) .{ }^{26}$ The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances $(\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)=2.610(3), \mathrm{Sb}(1)-$ $\mathrm{S}(2)=2.988(3)$, and $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)=2.599(3) \AA)$ are also shorter than the sum of antimony and sulfur van der Waals radii $(4.0-4.47 \AA) .{ }^{26}$ The $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances

Table 2. Cytostatic Activity of the Complexes 1-8 against Murine Leukemia (L1210), Murine Mammary Carcinoma (FM3A), Human T-Lymphocyte (Molt4/C8, CEM), and Human Cervix Carcinoma (HeLa) Cells

| compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |  |  | ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1210 | FM3A | Molt4/C8 | CEM | HeLa |  |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{MMI})_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(\mathbf{1})$ | $94 \pm 74$ | $178 \pm 39$ | $58 \pm 3$ | $133 \pm 42$ | $27 \pm 1$ | b |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{2}\right](\mathbf{2})$ | $104 \pm 83$ | $190 \pm 18$ | $48 \pm 5$ | $120 \pm 22$ | $33 \pm 7$ | b |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)(\mathrm{EtMBZIM})_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}$ (3) | $39 \pm 7$ | $41 \pm 34$ | $30 \pm 20$ | $46 \pm 23$ | $3.2 \pm 1.7$ | b |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{MTZD})\right]$ (4) | $46 \pm 1$ | $149 \pm 95$ | $54 \pm 17$ | $127 \pm 40$ | $10 \pm 6$ | b |
| [(NMeMBZT) $\mathrm{SbI}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{NMeMBZT}\right) \mathrm{SbI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NMeMBZT}^{\text {a }}\right.$ ) ${ }^{\text {(5) }}$ | $18 \pm 14$ | $41 \pm 11$ | $9.7 \pm 2.3$ | $22 \pm 2$ | $3.0 \pm 1.3$ | b |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{tHPMT})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(6)$ | $46 \pm 8$ | $125 \pm 112$ | $38 \pm 22$ | $48 \pm 40$ | $7.5 \pm 0.1$ | b |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{PYT})\right]$ (7) | $35 \pm 1$ | $90 \pm 71$ | $26 \pm 4$ | $58 \pm 8$ | $5.2 \pm 0.9$ | b |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}(\mathrm{PMT})_{2}\right](\mathbf{8})$ | $39 \pm 3$ | $104 \pm 66$ | $31 \pm 8$ | $45 \pm 12$ | $3.5 \pm 1.5$ | b |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}(\mathrm{TU})_{2}\right]$ | $39 \pm 18$ | $91 \pm 2$ | $23 \pm 4$ | $107 \pm 41$ | $23 \pm 0$ | 8 |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}(\mathrm{MMI})_{2}\right]$ | $71 \pm 24$ | $122 \pm 2$ | $46 \pm 20$ | $127 \pm 42$ | $29 \pm 3$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot[\mathrm{Br}]^{-} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}$ | $42 \pm 15$ | $66 \pm 1$ | $21 \pm 9$ | $67 \pm 11$ | $20 \pm 2$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)(\mathrm{MMBZIM})_{2}\right]_{2}\right\}$ | $35 \pm 9$ | $75 \pm 12$ | $20 \pm 3$ | $72 \pm 10$ | $20 \pm 3$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)(\mathrm{EtMBZIM})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}$ | $52 \pm 14$ | $82 \pm 3$ | $33 \pm 4$ | $54 \pm 3$ | $20 \pm 0$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}\left(\mu_{2} \text {-S-tHPMT)(tHPMT) }\right]_{n}\right\}\right.$ | $37 \pm 10$ | $91 \pm 7$ | $27 \pm 10$ | $106 \pm 34$ | $24 \pm 2$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)(\mathrm{PYT})_{2}\right)_{n}\right\}$ | $33 \pm 5$ | $96 \pm 10$ | $22 \pm 5$ | $72 \pm 27$ | $6.3 \pm 0.9$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Br}\right)(\mathrm{MTZD})_{2}\right]_{n}\right\}$ | $38 \pm 13$ | $88 \pm 2$ | $25 \pm 7$ | $98 \pm 18$ | $25 \pm 0$ | 8 |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}(\mathrm{MMBZT})_{2}\right]$ | $59 \pm 39$ | $79 \pm 11$ | $21 \pm 8$ | $43 \pm 23$ | $22 \pm 3$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbBr}_{5}\right]^{2-}\left[\left(\mathrm{PMTH}_{2}^{+}\right)_{2}\right]\right\}$ | $20 \pm 4$ | $49 \pm 5$ | $13 \pm 1$ | $59 \pm 33$ | $4.2 \pm 1.6$ | 8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right\}$ | $12 \pm 7$ | $36 \pm 6$ | $24 \pm 16$ | $90 \pm 19$ | $6.4 \pm 1.6$ | 7,8 |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{4}\right]^{+} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right\}$ | $20 \pm 15$ | $88 \pm 49$ | $30 \pm 21$ | $88 \pm 5$ | $7.0 \pm 2.0$ | 7, 8 |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{MBZIM})_{2}\right]$ | $31 \pm 8$ | $103 \pm 44$ | $45 \pm 33$ | $112 \pm 6$ | $7.7 \pm 1.2$ | 7, 8 |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{EtMBZIM})_{2}\right]$ | $21 \pm 16$ | $41 \pm 5$ | $15 \pm 10$ | $24 \pm 2$ | $6.9 \pm 1.1$ | 7, 8 |
| $\left[\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{MTZD})_{2}\right]$ | $29 \pm 10$ | $56 \pm 7$ | $32 \pm 22$ | $124 \pm 8$ | $6.8 \pm 4.4$ | 7, 8 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}(\mathrm{tHPMT})_{2}\right]$ | $29 \pm 12$ | $92 \pm 55$ | $38 \pm 7$ | $118 \pm 4$ | $7.7 \pm 2.5$ | 7, 8 |
| cisplatin | 0.23 |  | 0.33 |  | 10 | 7, 8 |
| carboplatin |  |  |  |  | > 37 | 7,8 |

${ }^{a} 50 \%$ inhibitory concentration. ${ }^{b}$ This work.
found in the Oh ionic $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbX}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})_{4}\right] \mathrm{X}\right\}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ complexes lie between 2.73 and $2.79 \mathrm{~A},{ }^{7,8}$ while in the SP neutral $\left[\mathrm{SbX}_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$ complexes between 2.48 and $3.01 \AA^{\circ}$. $^{7,8}$
The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths in the case of complexes 3-7 varied from 1.52 (2) (7) to $1.725(10)$ (6) $\AA$ supporting the ligand coordination through their thionate forms (average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}=1.69 \AA$ ). ${ }^{7}$
In the case of complexes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, the basal $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{Sa}$ angles are different than $180^{\circ}$, while the corresponding basal $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{S}$ angles are different than $90^{\circ}$, and therefore, the geometry of their monomeric unit is distorted trans-I, trans-S SP. This might be due to the lone pair of electrons located on the Sb atom which affects the ideal square pyramidal geometries around the metal ion ${ }^{27}$ as expected from the valence shell electron pair repulsion theory (VSEPR) (Table 1).

Biological Tests. Complexes 1-8 were evaluated in vitro for their inhibitory activity on the proliferation of murine leukemia cells (L1210), murine mammary carcinoma cells (FM3A), human T-lymphocyte cells (Molt4) C8, CEM), and human cervix carcinoma cells (HeLa). The results of this study are reported, as $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration (IC50) values in Table 2. Complexes 1-8 showed usually a modest cytostatic activity against the tumor cell lines studied (Table 2). Antimony(III) iodidethione complexes consistently showed a more selective antiproliferative activity against HeLa cells, which was also observed in the case of the corresponding
(27) (a) Haiduc, I.; Silvestru, C. Main Group Elements and Their Compounds; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1996; p 355.(b) Liu, Y; Tiekink, E. R. T. CrystEngCommun 2005, 7, 20-27. (c) Vickaryous, W. J.; Zakharov, L. N.; Johnson, D. W. Main Group Met. Chem. 2006, 5, 51-59.
antimony(III) chloride and bromide complexes studied previously ${ }^{7,8}$ (Table 2). However, antimony(III) bromide complexes studied were generally less active than the corresponding chlorides and iodides studied, while antimony(III) chloride complexes show comparable activity with that of the corresponding iodides (Table 2). ${ }^{7,8}$ The antiproliferative activity against cervix carcinoma (HeLa) cells of the iodides, in particular compounds 3-8, was $5-6$ - to $>10$-fold more potent than against the murine leukemia and mammary carcinoma and human lymphocyte cells. This selectivity for HeLa cell proliferation is somewhat intriguing but also previously observed for the bromo and chloro derivatives. The HeLa carcinoma cells grew as monolayer cell cultures, whereas the other cell lines were all growing in suspension. Therefore, it may be of interest to investigate the cytostatic activity of the tested compounds against other (solid) tumor monolayer cell lines. The well-known inorganic antitumor drugs cisplatin and carboplatin were rather moderately or poorly inhibitory to HeLa cell proliferation, and thus, the test compounds $3-\mathbf{8}$ were clearly more cytostatic against HeLa cell cultures than cisplatin and carboplatin. Instead, cisplatin was profoundly inhibitory to the L1210 and Molt4/C8 cell proliferation ( $0.23-0.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The tested compounds were clearly inferior to cisplatin against these tumor cell lines.

In general, the activity of the complexes against various cell lines seems to increase on passing from monomeric to dimeric or polymeric structures, independent of halogens.

Study of the Peroxidation of Linoleic Acid by the Enzyme Lipoxygenase (LOX) in the Presence of Complexes 3-7. In an attempt to clarify the mechanism of action of Sb (III) complexes prepared, against the tumor cell proliferation, the influence of complexes 3-7 on the


Figure 7. (A) Activity of LOX after 10 min of the oxidation of linoleic acid in the presence of complexes 3-7. (B) Grafical ploting for determination of $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $V_{\max }$ using Lineweaver-Burk coordinates.
oxidation of linoleic acid by the enzyme LOX was studied in a wide concentration range. Figure 7A compares the inhibitory effect of complexes 3-7 in various concentrations. The degree of LOX activity $(A \%)$ in the presence of the complexes was calculated according to

$$
A(\%)=\left(\frac{v_{0} \text { in the presence of inhibitor }}{v_{0} \text { in the absence of inhibitor }}\right) 100(\%)
$$

where $v_{0}$ is the initial rate. ${ }^{28}$
The value of the initial rate ( $v_{0}, \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$ ) was calculated according to formulas:

$$
v_{0}=\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t}=\frac{\Delta A}{\Delta t \varepsilon}=\frac{\operatorname{tg} \alpha}{\Delta t \varepsilon}
$$

where $C$ is the product concentration (hypedroperoxylinoleic acid), $t$ is the reaction time, $\varepsilon$ molar absorbance coefficient of hypedroperoxy-linoleic acid, $\operatorname{tg\alpha }$ is the slope of the kinetic curve plotted as absorbance vs time.
The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for $\mathbf{L O X}$ inhibition were the following: 139.4 (3), 67.7 (4), 19.2 (5), 19.0 (6), and 63.4 (7) $\mu \mathrm{M}$,

[^6]Table 3. Kinetic Parameters for Complexes 6 and 5

|  | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DMSO <br> (solvent) | complex |  | DMSO <br> (solvent) | complex |
| $K_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.020 | 0.009 |  | 0.026 | 0.016 |
| $V_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | 21.0 | 9.3 |  | 30.3 | 20.1 |

indicating higher inhibitory activity of mer-S,I- $-\left\{\mathrm{SbI}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\left.(\mathrm{tHPMT})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}(6)$. Although $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes 3-7 studied inhibit LOX activity, their antiproliferative activity does not correspond to the regularity of inhibition of the lipoxygenase activity which was found previously, for tin complexes. ${ }^{28 a-c}$ This may result in a different mechanism of action of Sb (III) complexes against tumor cell proliferation, as compared to the corresponding $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$ ones.
The inhibition type caused by the complexes $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$, with the higher inhibitory activity, was studied by steadystate kinetics. For this, a series of experiments were performed in order to determine the reversibility of inhibition. The reversible type of inhibition was tested by incubating the substrate with the inhibitor before adding the enzyme at different periods of time (from 1 to 10 min ). The incubating time showed no influence on enzyme activity in the presence of constant complex concentration, suggesting a reversible type of inhibition. The kinetic parameters ( $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $V_{\mathrm{max}}$ ) of complexes 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ were evaluated by performing a series of experiments with various substrate concentrations in the presence and in the absence of the complexes. The experimental data


Figure 8. Binding pocket of the complexes 3-7. ${ }^{29 \mathrm{a}}$
were processed by graphical methods in LineweaverBurk coordinates (double reciprocal method). The $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $V_{\text {max }}$ values were estimated from the slope and intercept of the line and are shown in Table 3. Control experiments, using solvent instead of inhibitor, were performed for each complex. Concentrations of 12 and $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ were used for $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ complexes, respectively. The experimental data plotting for complexes $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ are shown in Figure 7B. For both complexes, the curves of reaction with and without inhibitor are parallel. Thus, the compounds studied inhibit the enzyme with an uncompetitive inhibition mechanism. ${ }^{28 \mathrm{~d}}$ In this mechanism, the EI (enzyme-inhibitor) is not formed, but only the ESI (enzyme-substrate-inhibitor) (Scheme 2). ${ }^{28 \mathrm{~d}}$ This occurs when the inhibitor binds to a site which only becomes available following the substrate ( S ) bound to the active site of the enzyme. ${ }^{28 d}$ This further supports our suggestion for a different mechanism of action of Sb (III) complexes against tumor cell proliferation, as compared to the corresponding $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{IV})$ ones which act through a mixed inhibition mechanism. ${ }^{28 a-c}$

Computational Methods-Docking Study. The inhibitory effectiveness of a compound on a receptor can be evaluated by a docking process and by exploring the interaction between the compound and the receptor. All

[^7]complexes were docked into the same vicinity of the protein consisting of the larger hydrophobic pocket (Figure 8). ${ }^{29 \mathrm{a}}$ There does not seem to be any constant binding orientation of the compounds in this binding pocket. All but $\mathbf{4}$ complexes show hydrogen bonding interactions among the ring N atom and the amino acid residue ASP768 as expected since iodide is less electronegative than N atoms. It is noted that the iodides were not removed for the calculations. Furthermore, there are hydrogen bondings linking N atoms and THR529 and GLU78. Significant steric interactions between the ligands and the protein were assigned and calculated using the piecewise linear potential (PLP). ${ }^{29 b, \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{A}$ positive correlation $\left(R^{2}=0.98\right.$; slope $\left.=1.73 \pm 0.08\right)$ is found between the steric effect and the binding affinity of the ligands (Figure 9A). This is probably due to the importance of the hydrophobic surface of the molecules. Relatively small surfaces and small steric interactions are responsible for poor binding and vice versa. ${ }^{30 a}$ Although this binding area is also found to be the binding pocket of organotin complexes docked, causing strong enzyme inhibition, ${ }^{28 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}}$ the lower such activity of Sb (III) thione complexes studied here is most probably due to the different mechanism of enzyme inhibition toward organotins (uncompetitive in case of Sb (III) complexes contrary to mixed mechanism of organotin complexes (see above)).
Table 4 summarizes the binding energies $(E)$ when the substrate ( S , linoleic acid) binds in the enzyme LOX ( $\mathbf{E}$ ) for the formation of ES complex ( $E=-100.25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )

[^8]

Figure 9. (A) Linear regression graph correlating binding affinity and steric interactions. (B) Correlation between experimental and theoretical inhibitory activity $\left(\mathrm{pIC}_{50}\right)$.

Table 4. Docking Results

|  | average binding energies <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | substrate or inhibitor |  | EI |
| ESI | HOMO $-1(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |  |
| linoleic |  | $-100.25(\mathbf{E S})$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -90.61 | -91.66 | -3.54 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | -104.43 | -83.82 | -4.38 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -129.01 | -130.02 | -4.53 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -57.53 | -51.24 | -6.26 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -84.52 | -58.41 | -5.99 |

and the corresponding binding energies when the inhibitors (I), bind for the formation of either ESI or EI complexes (Scheme 2).
Hydrogen bonding is evident for all complexes with the noticeable exception of 4. Complex 6 interacts via H-bonds with ASP768, ASN128, THR529, and CYS127, $\mathbf{5}$ with LYS110, $\mathbf{3}$ with ASP768 and GLY247, and $\mathbf{7}$ with ASP768 and THR529. Although there are considerable steric interactions between the poses and the cofactor, the pose binding pocket remains the same. It is generally

Scheme 2


Table 5. Experimental and Calculated LOX Inhibition Activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ of Complexes 3-7

| compounds | experimental $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 139.4 | 135.42 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 67.7 | 81.5997 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 19.2 | 24.9582 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 19 | 26.1639 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 63.4 | 40.5579 |

accepted that inhibition action of a compound is directly correlated with the interaction strength between the pose and the macromolecule. ${ }^{30 \mathrm{~b}}$ This rule also applies to our case with the noticeable exception of $\mathbf{3}$. In order to assess this discrepancy, our theoretical results were evaluated by correlating them with the biological activity of the Sb complexes. Various models had been generated using multivariant linear regression (MLR) and artificial neural networks (ANN) considering biological results ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) as the dependent variable. We have found that the best model relating biological activity with the theoretical interaction as expressed by energy terms utilizing MLR is the following ( $r=0.957$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{IC}_{50}= & 7.90 * \text { "Cofactor(steric)" }+0.71 * \text { "Pose Energy" - } \\
& 2.61 * \text { "Steric Effect" }+77.08 \tag{V}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term is the steric interaction energy between the pose and the cofactors calculated using a LJ12-6 potential approximation, the second is a rough approximation of binding affinity, and the third is the protein steric interaction energy. The importance of the above factors is further confirmed through ANN using the backpropagation method for the training. The relevance scores for the descriptors were 45,24 , and 100 , respectively, implying the impact of the steric variables to the successful prediction of the bioactivity model. Table 5 summarizes the experimental and calculated LOX inhibition activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) of complexes 3-7. Figure 9B illustrates the correlation between experimental and theoretical inhibitory activity $\left(\mathrm{pIC}_{50}\right)\left(R^{2}=0.84\right.$; slope $\left.=0.79 \pm 0.17\right)$. In contrast to our previous work, ${ }^{30 c}$ the geometrical features for this type of complexes appear to be crucial for the inhibition mechanism. This is an additional evidence of different mechanism of action between Sb (III) complexes 3-7 and $\operatorname{Sn}\left(\mathrm{IV}\right.$ ) complexes studied previously ${ }^{28,30 \mathrm{c}}$ (see also LOX activity inhibition, above).

The energy of the frontier molecular orbitals are a measure of electron donor or electron acceptor capacity of a compound and in this sense it plays an important role in various biochemical processes such as charge transfer reactions. Data from Table 4 shows that the absolute energy of HOMO - 1 for the most active compounds is lower compared to the less active ones. This means that the most active molecules comparatively act as better

Table 6. Structure Refinement Details for the Complexes 3-7

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{NS}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{6} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{NSSb}$ |
| formula weight | 1799.88 | 620.64 | 1548.70 | 870.95 | 612.60 |
| $T$ (K) | 100(2) | 293(2) | 293(2) | 293(2) | 100(2) |
| cryst syst | triclinic | triclinic | monoclonic | orthorhombic | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 1$ | P1 | Cc | Pbca | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 9.1356(2) | 8.171(1) | 21.923(4) | 16.772(3) | 7.6079(1) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 9.3951(2) | 8.849(1) | 10.545(2) | 16.658(3) | 13.5724(3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 15.7122(3) | 9.635(2) | 17.474(3) | 19.232(3) | 11.7380 (3) |
| $\alpha$ (deg) | 88.6697(17) | 104.75(1) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$ (deg) | 79.1952(18) | 111.44(1) | 103.95(2) | 90 | 100.368(2) |
| $\gamma(\mathrm{deg})$ | 86.1677(18) | 97.63(1) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1321.63(5) | 607.02(16) | 3920.5(12) | 5373.2(16) | 1192.25(4) |
| $Z$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 2.284 | 3.396 | 2.624 | 2.153 | 3.419 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 4.732 | 10.191 | 6.444 | 4.722 | 10.207 |
| $\theta_{\text {min }}<2 \theta<\theta_{\text {max }}$ | $3.1<2 \theta<25.0$ | $2.4<2 \theta<25.0$ | $1.9<2 \theta<25.0$ | $2.1<2 \theta<25.0$ | $3.1<2 \theta<25.0$ |
| data collected | 17811 | 2547 | 7482 | 4985 | 7605 |
| independent reflections ( $R_{\text {int }}$ ) | 4640 (0.0296) | 2080 (0.0635) | 6688 (0.1584) | 4655 (0.0292) | 2092 (0.0464) |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}[I>2 \sigma(I)], S$ | 0.021, 0.0467, 0.97 | 0.040, 0.0878, 1.03 | 0.080, 0.1853, 0.99 | 0.052, 0.1309, 1.07 | $0.0260 .0507,0.90$ |

reducing agents for the redox inhibition reactions and further support the importance of HOMO-1 as electron donor. ${ }^{31}$

## Conclusions

Antimony(III) iodide complexes with thioamide ligands studied in this work are found to be monomers (6), dimers (3, 5 ) or polymers $(4,7)$ with either octahedral ( 6 ) or pseudooctahedral ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}$, and $\mathbf{7}$ ) geometries. Dimers $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5})$ are built up on monomeric units $\left(\mathrm{SbI}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ with square pyramidal geometry where the equatorial ligands are in trans-S, trans-I arrangement, bridged together through $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}(3)$ or $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}$ (5) bridges. The trans-S, trans-X $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ arrangement of the equatorial ligands in case of $\mathrm{SbX}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ monomeric units with SP geometry around the metal ion is found to lead to dimeric $\mathrm{Sb}($ III $)$ complexes, ${ }^{6-8}$ while cis-S, cisX , or $b, c, d-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{SP}$ conformations lead to polymeric assemplies. However, in the case of antimony(III) iodide complexes (4,7), polymeric assemplies could also be built up on monomeric units $\left(\mathrm{Sb}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ with pseudotrigonal-bipyramidal geometries.
The complexes showed moderate cytostatic activity against several tumor cell lines with a marked preference for human cervix carcinoma (HeLa) cells as it was also observed in the case of the corresponding antimony chloride and antimony bromide complexes. ${ }^{78}$ However, antimony(III) bromide complexes showed lower activity than the corresponding chlorides and iodides studied, while antimony(III) chloride complexes show comparable activity with that of the corresponding iodides (Table 2). ${ }^{7,8}$
The influence of complexes 3-7 on the oxidation of the linoleic acid by the enzyme LOX was also in vitro and computationally studied. The neutral Oh mer-S,I- $\left\{\left[\mathrm{SbI}_{3}-\right.\right.$ (tHPMT) $\left.)_{3} \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}$ (6) and the $\psi$-Oh $[(\mathrm{NMeMBZT})-$ $\left.\mathrm{SbI}_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{NMeMBZT}\right) \mathrm{SbI}_{2}(\mathrm{NMeMBZT})\right]$ (5) complexes inhibit stronger LOX oxidation activity with an uncompetitive type of enzyme inhibition. However, their antiproliferative activity does not correspond to the regularity

[^9]of inhibition of the lipoxygenase activity which was found previously, for tin complexes. ${ }^{28 a-c}$ This may be due to a different mechanism of action of $\mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes as compared with the corresponding tin ones.

Computational studies showed that the best model relating biological activity with the theoretical interaction as expressed by energy terms utilizing MLR is given in eq 5. This equation contains: (i) a term for the steric interaction energy between the pose and the cofactors calculated; (ii) a term for the binding affinity; and (iii) a term for the protein steric interaction energy. Furthermore, calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ from eq 5 are correlated with experimental inhibitory activity linearly (Table 5, Figure 9B). In contrast to our previous work for organotin(IV) compounds, ${ }^{30 \mathrm{c}}$ the geometrical features for this type of complexes appear to be crucial for the inhibition mechanism, supporting further our hypothesis of different antitumor activity mechanism of Sb (III) toward organotin(IV) complexes.

## Experimental Section

Materials and Instruments. All solvents used were of reagent grade. Antimony(III) iodide (Aldrich) as well as thiones 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole (Sigma), 2-mercaptobenzimidazole (Merck), 5-ethoxy-2-mercapto benzimidazole (Aldrich), 2-mercapto-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-pyrimidine (Aldrich), 2-mercaptopyridine (Fluka), 2-mercaptothiazolidine (Aldrich), 3-methyl-2benzothiazolinthion (Aldrich), and 2-mercaptopyrimidine (Aldrich) were used without further purification. Lipoxygenase type 1-B from Glycine max (soybean), boric acid, and linoleic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used with no further purification. Elemental analyses for C, H, N, and S were carried out with a Carlo Erba EA MODEL 1108 elemental analyzer. Melting points were measured in open tubes with a STUART scientific apparatus and are uncorrected. The UV-vis spectra were collected in a UV-vis/NIR V570 instrument. Infrared spectra in the region of $4000-370 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were obtained for KBr pellets, whereas far-infrared spectra in the region of $400-50 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were measured for polyethylene discs, with a PerkinElmer Spectrum GX FTIR spectrometer. Micro Raman spectra ( 64 scans) were recorded at room temperature using a low-power ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mW}$ ) green (514.5) mm laser on a Renishaw In Via spectrometer set at 2.0 resolution. Thermal studies were carried out on a Shimadzu DTG-60 simultaneous DTA-TG apparatus, under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

Synthesis and Crystallization of $\mathbf{1 - 8}$. A 0.5 mmol portion of the appropriate thiones: methimazole ( $0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-mercapto-benzimidazole ( $0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5 -ethoxy-2-mercaptobenzimidazole ( $0.097 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-mercapto-thiazolidine $(0.059 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-methyl-2-mercaptobenzothiazole ( $0.090 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-mercapto-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-pyrimidine ( $0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-mercaptopyridine ( $0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2-mercaptopyrimidine $(0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in acetonitrile $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. A solution of antimony(III) iodide $(0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was then added to the above solutions of all complexes. The resulting solutions were filtered off, and the clear solutions were kept in the dark at room temperature to give crystals of the named 3-7 except for $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{8}$, which precipitated as powders. All solid products are stable when kept in darkness at room temperature.

1. Red powder; yield, $65 \%$. Melting point, $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found: C, 14.57; H, 2.15; N, 7.29; S, 9.02. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}: \mathrm{C}, 14.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.11 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.34 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.41$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3109 \mathrm{~m}, 2361 \mathrm{~m}, 1568 \mathrm{~s}, 1467 \mathrm{~s}, 1438 \mathrm{~s}, 1284 \mathrm{~m}, 1156 \mathrm{~s}, 1090 \mathrm{~m}$, $919 \mathrm{w}, 738 \mathrm{~m}, 667 \mathrm{~s}, 508 \mathrm{~m}, 403 \mathrm{w}$.
2. Red powder; yield, $62 \%$. Melting point, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found: C, 21.12; H, 1.64; N, 7.02; S, 7.83. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}: \mathrm{C}, 20.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.98 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.99$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3153 \mathrm{~m}, 2978 \mathrm{~m}, 1514 \mathrm{~s}, 1467 \mathrm{~s}, 1357 \mathrm{~m}, 1259 \mathrm{w}, 1181 \mathrm{~s}, 1032 \mathrm{w}$, $919 \mathrm{w}, 743 \mathrm{~s}, 711 \mathrm{~m}, 660 \mathrm{w}, 601 \mathrm{~m}, 481 \mathrm{w}$.
3. Red crystals; yield, $69 \%$. Melting point, $132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found: C, 24.09; H, 2.30; N, 6.19; S, 7.11. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 24.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.23 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.13$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3186 \mathrm{~m}, 2361 \mathrm{~m}, 1632 \mathrm{~s}, 1490 \mathrm{~s}, 1452 \mathrm{~s}, 1363 \mathrm{~m}, 1331 \mathrm{w}$, $1307 \mathrm{~s}, 1259 \mathrm{~m}, 1230 \mathrm{~m}, 1159 \mathrm{~s}, 1109 \mathrm{~m}, 1037 \mathrm{~m}, 982 \mathrm{w}, 967 \mathrm{w}$, $904 \mathrm{w}, 842 \mathrm{w}, 814 \mathrm{~s}, 686 \mathrm{~m}, 627 \mathrm{~m}, 579 \mathrm{~m}, 432 \mathrm{~m}$.
4. Red crystals; yield, $57 \%$. Melting point, $154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found: C, $5.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 0.72 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.10 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.15$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{NS}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}: \mathrm{C}, 5.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 0.81 \mathrm{~N}, 2.25 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.32$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3256$ $\mathrm{m}, 2362 \mathrm{w}, 1510 \mathrm{~s}, 1454 \mathrm{w}, 1336 \mathrm{w}, 1302 \mathrm{~s}, 1041 \mathrm{~s}, 989 \mathrm{~m}, 925 \mathrm{~m}$, $655 \mathrm{~m}, 596 \mathrm{~m}, 523 \mathrm{~m}, 425 \mathrm{~m}$.
5. Red crystals; yield, $64 \%$. Melting point, $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found: C, 18.25; H, 1.28; N, 2.51; S, 12.75. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{6} \mathrm{Sb}_{2}$ : C, 18.61; H, 1.37; N, 2.71; S, 12.42. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3448 \mathrm{~m}, 2361 \mathrm{~s}, 1459 \mathrm{~m}, 1421 \mathrm{~m}, 1350 \mathrm{~s}, 1321 \mathrm{~m}, 1263 \mathrm{~m}$, $1133 \mathrm{~m}, 1092 \mathrm{~s}, 1053 \mathrm{~m}, 977 \mathrm{~s}, 748 \mathrm{~s}, 669 \mathrm{~m}, 634 \mathrm{~m}, 538 \mathrm{~m}$.
6. Red crystals; yield, $72 \%$. Melting point, $163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found: C, 17.54; H, 3.12; N, 9.65; S, 11.15. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}: \mathrm{C}, 17.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.20 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.52 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.89$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3248 \mathrm{~m}, 2962 \mathrm{~m}, 2362 \mathrm{w}, 1601 \mathrm{~m}, 1579 \mathrm{~m}, 1551 \mathrm{~s}, 1424 \mathrm{~m}$, $1362 \mathrm{~s}, 1315 \mathrm{~s}, 1213 \mathrm{~s}, 1071 \mathrm{~m}, 1011 \mathrm{w}, 939 \mathrm{w}, 810 \mathrm{~m}, 679 \mathrm{~m}, 608$ $\mathrm{m}, 415 \mathrm{~m}$.
7. Red crystals; yield, $59 \%$. Melting point, $138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found; C, $9.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 0.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.21 ; \mathrm{S}, 5.25$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ NSSb: C, $9.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 0.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.28 ; \mathrm{S}, 5.23$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3449$ $\mathrm{m}, 3171 \mathrm{~m}, 3115 \mathrm{~m}, 3073 \mathrm{~m}, 2361 \mathrm{~m}, 1600 \mathrm{~m}, 1576 \mathrm{~s}, 1510 \mathrm{~s}, 1441$ $\mathrm{m}, 1359 \mathrm{~m}, 1261 \mathrm{~s}, 1223 \mathrm{w}, 1163 \mathrm{~m}, 1122 \mathrm{~m}, 1078 \mathrm{w}, 1038 \mathrm{w}, 889$ w, $836 \mathrm{w}, 750 \mathrm{~s}, 722 \mathrm{~m}, 486 \mathrm{~m}, 432 \mathrm{~m}$.
8. Red powder; yield, $52 \%$. Melting point, $151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elemental analysis, found; C, 13.52; H, 1.20; N, 7.65; S, 8.61. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Sb}: \mathrm{C}, 13.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.11 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.71 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.82$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3449 \mathrm{~m}, 3057 \mathrm{w}, 2361 \mathrm{~s}, 1617 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~s}, 1421 \mathrm{~m}, 1374 \mathrm{~s}, 1334 \mathrm{~m}$, $1242 \mathrm{w}, 1175 \mathrm{~s}, 803 \mathrm{w}, 743 \mathrm{~m}, 669 \mathrm{w}, 652 \mathrm{w}, 470 \mathrm{w}$.

X-ray Structure Determination. Intensity data for the crystals of 3 and 7 were collected on an Oxford Diffraction CCD instrument, ${ }^{32 a}$ while a Bruker P4 diffractometer diffractometer was used for 4-6, using graphite monochromated Mo radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ). Cell parameters were determined by least-squares refinement of the diffraction data from 25 reflections. ${ }^{32 b, c}$

All data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects and absorption. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ The structures were solved with direct methods with SHELXS $97^{32 \mathrm{c}}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures on $F^{2}$ with SHELXL97. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~d}}$ All non-hydrogen atoms
were refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms were located at calculated positions and refined via the "riding model" with isotropic thermal parameters fixed at $1.2\left(1.3\right.$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups $)$ times the $U_{\text {eq }}$ value of the appropriate carrier atom. Significant crystal data are given in Table 6.

For the crystal structure of complex 6, the refined absolute structure parameter was $0.67(9)$, indicating that the structure consists of a mixture of enantiomers with ratio 0.68:0.32. The crystal structure of complex 7 could be refined better (with regard to both the R factors and displacement parameters) if the sulfur atom is defined as disorder over two position S1/S1A (85/ 15).

Supplementary data are available from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K., (e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam. ac.uk), on request, quoting the deposition nos. CCDC-740034 (3), 744837 (4), 744838 (5), 744839 (6), and 740035 (7), respectively.

Computational Studies. The three dimentional coordinates of lipoxygenase were obtained through the Protein Data Bank. (www.rcsb.org/pdb). All solvent molecules within the protein structure were removed from the docking procedure. Molecular docking was performed with the grid based version of the MolDock Score function ${ }^{29 a}$ as this is implemented in Molegro Virtual Docker software (www.molegro.com). Prior to docking, ground state optimizations on the X-ray structures of the complexes were carried out using the PM3 parametrization scheme ${ }^{33 \mathrm{a}}$ in order to confirm that no significant divergence in the conformations of the complexes due to crystal packing effects. The docked derivatives were scored and reranked using the "reranking score" scheme, and the Molegro Data Analyzer was employed for the theoretical models.

To obtain reliable molecular orbital energies, DFT/B3LYP single point quantum chemical calculations with the splitvalence $2-31 G^{*}$ basis set, were performed for the PM3-optimized complexes using GAUSSIAN-03W software package. ${ }^{33 \mathrm{~b}}$

Lipoxygenase Activity Inhibition. LOX inhibitory activity was determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the increase in absorbance at 234 nm for the oxidation of linoleic acid. ${ }^{28}$ The reaction mixture contained (final concentration) the test compounds, dissolved in DMSO at concentrations of $5-300 \mu \mathrm{M}$, or the solvent (control) and linoleic acid $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in borate buffer ( $\mathrm{pH}=9.0$ ). The total sample value was $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. The reaction was started by adding a lipoxygenase in amount of 500 units for every $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of reaction mixture. Six different concentrations of each complex were used for the inhibition activity experiments. The increase in absorbance was recorded every 1 s during 10 min under controlled temperature $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The kinetic constants of LOX activity were measured under standard assay conditions using linoleic acid concentrations ranging from 10 to $1000 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the presence of constant concentration of the complex or DMSO (solvent). The respective kinetic parameters were evaluated by plotting the data on a Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal graph. Every experiment was repeated three times. ${ }^{28}$

Cytostatic Activity Assays. Murine leukemia L1210, murine mammary carcinoma FM3A, human T-lymphocyte Molt 4, and CEM and human cervix carcinoma HeLa cells were suspended at $300000-500000$ cells $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ in RPMI-1640 culture medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the cell suspensions were added to $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of an appropriate dilution of the test compounds in 96 -well-microtiter plates. After incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two (L1210 and FM3A) or three (Molt4, CEM and HeLa) days, the cell number was determined using a Coulter counter. The number of the suspension cells could be counted directly; the number of the monolayer HeLa cells were counted after detachment of the cells upon trypsinization. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was defined as the compound concentration required to inhibit cell proliferation by $50 \%$.

Acknowledgment. This research was carried out in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Ph.D. thesis of I.I.O., under the supervision of S.K.H., at the University of Ioannina. S.K.H., N.H., E.R.M, and I.S.B. would like to acknowledge a NATO grant for the exchange of scientists (PDD(CP)-(CBP.

NR.NRCLG 983167). I.I.O. would like to thank the Hellenic Ministry of Education for a scholarship for postgraduate studies. E.R.M acknowledge RFBR (09-03-00090); Program "Biomolecular and Medicinal Chemistry" of RAS. The research of J. B. was supported by grant GOA no. 05/19 of the K.U. Leuven.


[^0]:    *To whom all correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: nhadjis@uoi. gr. Tel.: xx30-26510-08420 (N.H.). E-mail: shadjika@uoi.gr. Tel.: xx30-26510-08374. Fax: xx30-26510-08786 (both).
    (1) (a) Yan, S.; Lan, L.; Sun, H. Antimony in Medicine. In Metallotherapeutic drugs and Metal-based diagnostic Agents-The Use of Metals in Medicine; Gielen, M., Tiekink, E. R. T., Eds.; Wiley: England, 2005. (b) Martidale The Extra Pharmacopoeia, 28th ed.; Raynolds, J. E. F., Ed.; The Pharmaceutical Press: London, 1982.(c) Marsden, P. D. Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop. 1985, 18, 187-198. (d) Herwaldt, B. L. Lancet 1999, 354, 1191-1199.
    (2) Tiekink, E. R. T. Crit. Rev. Oncol./Hematol. 2002, 42, 217-224.

[^1]:    (3) Yan, S.; Li, F.; Ding, K.; Sun, H. J Biol Inorg Chem. 2003, 8, 689-697.
    (4) Guo, Z.; Sadler, P. J. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 20000, 49, 183-306.
    (5) Sun, H.; Yan, S. C.; Cheng, W. S. Eur. J. Biochem. 2000, 267, 5450-5457.
    (6) Hadjikakou, S.; Antoniadis, C. D.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Kubicki, M.; Binolis, J.; Karkabounas, S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2005, 358, 2861-2866.
    (7) Ozturk, I. I.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Kourkoumelis, N.; Kubicki, M.; Baril, M.; Butler, I. S.; Balzarini, J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 8652-8661. (8) Ozturk, I. I.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Kourkoumelis, N.; Kubicki, M.; Tasiopoulos, A. J.; Scleiman, H.; Barsan, M. M.; Butler, I. S.; Balzarini, J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 2233-2245.

[^2]:    (9) Barnes, N. A.; Godfrey, S. M.; Pritchard, R. G.; Ratcliffe, S. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 3661-3667.
    (10) Carmalt, C. J.; Norman, N. C. In Chemistry of Arsenic, Antimony and Bismuth; Norman, N. C., Ed.; Blackie: London, 1998; Chapter 1.
    (11) Alvarado-Rodriguez, J. G.; Andrade-Lopez, N.; Gonzalez-Montiel, S.; Merino, G.; Vela, A. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 3554.
    (12) Dodds, C. A.; Reglinski, J.; Spicer, M. D. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 931.
    (13) Arca, M.; Garau, A.; Devillanova, F. A.; Isaia, F.; Lippolis, V.; Verani, G.; Abbati, G. L.; Cornia, A. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 517.
    (14) Peters, M.; Saak, W.; Pohl, S. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1996, 622, 2119.
    (15) Kociok-Kohn, G.; Molloy, K. C.; Rodriguez-Castro, J. Inorg. Chem. Comтип. 2008, 11, 599.
    (16) Pohl, S.; Haase, D.; Peters, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1993, 619, 727.
    (17) Barton, A. J.; Hill, N. J.; Levason, W.; Reid, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 1621.
    (18) Kello, E.; Kettmann, V.; Garaj, J. Acta Crystallogr., Sect.C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1985, 41, 520.
    (19) McKie, G.; Raston, C. L.; Rowbottom, G. L.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 1360.
    (20) Venkatachalam, V.; Ramalingam, K.; Bocelli, G.; Cantoni, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1997, 261, 23.
    (21) (a) Samuelsson, B.; Dahlen, S. E.; Lindgren, J.; Rouzer, C. A.; Serhan, C. N. Science 1987, 237, 1171-1176. (b) Knapp, M. J.; Klinman, J. P. Biochemistry 2003, 42, 11466-11475.

[^3]:    (22) (a) Zha, S.; Yegnasubramanian, V.; Nelson, W. G.; Isaacs, W. B.; De Marzo, A. M. Cancer Lett. 2004, 215, 1-20. (b) Kreich, M.; Claus, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7800-7804
    (23) Jagodzinski, P. W.; Laane, J. Raman J Spectros. 1980, 9, 22-27.
    (24) Drew, M. G. B.; Kisenyi, J. M.; Willey, G. R.; Wandiga, S. O. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1717-1721.

[^4]:    (26) Batsanov, S. S. Inorg. Mater. 2001, 37, 871-885. translated from Neorganicheskie Materialy, 2001, 37, 1031-1046.

[^5]:    ${ }^{a}$ Symmetry operations to equivalent positions: $\mathbf{3}$ (Sb1_a) $2-x,-y,-z ; \mathbf{4}$ (Ia) $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$, (S1a) $2-x, 2-y, 1-z ; \mathbf{5}$ (S3) $x, 1-y,-1 / 2+z ; 7$ (I1a) $-x,-y,-z$, (I3a) $-1-x,-y,-z$.

[^6]:    (28) (a) Abdellah, M. A.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Kubicki, M.; Bakas, T.; Kourkoumelis, N.; Simos, Y. V.; Karkabounas, S.; Barsan, M. M.; Butler, I. S. Bioinorg. Chem. Appl. 2009542979. (b) Balas, V. I.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Kourkoumelis, N.; Light, M. E.; Hursthouse, M.; Metsios, A. K.; Karkabounas, S. Bioinorg. Chem. Appl. 2008654137. (c) Xanthopoulou, M. N.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Kubicki, M.; Karkabounas, S.; Charalabopoulos, K.; Kourkoumelis, N.; Bakas, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 1780-1789. (d) Segel, I. H. Biochemical Calculations, 2nd ed.; J. Wiley \& Sons Inc.: New York, 1976.

[^7]:    (29) (a) Thomsen, R.; Christensen, M. H. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49(11), 3315-3321. (b) Gehlhaar, D. K.; Verkhivker, G.; Rejto, P. A.; Fogel, D. B.; Fogel, L. J.; Freer, S. T. Docking Conformationally Flexible Small Molecules Into a Protein Binding Site Through Evolutionary Programming. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Evolutionary Programming, $4^{\text {th }}$ Conference, San Diego, CA, USA, March 1-3, 1995; pp 615-627. (c) Gehlhaar, D. K.; Bouzida, D.; Rejto, P. A. Fully Automated And Rapid Flexible Docking of Inhibitors Covalently Bound to Serine Proteases. Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Evolutionary Programming, $7^{\text {th }}$ Conference, Ep98, San Diego, CA, USA, March 25-27, 1998; pp 449-461.

[^8]:    (30) (a) Setzer, W. N. J. Mol. Model. 2008, 14, 967-973. (b) Halperin, I.; Ma, B.; Wolfson, H.; Nussinov, R. PROTEINS: Struct., Funct., Genet. 2002, 47, 409-443. (c) Xanthopoulou, M. N.; Hadjikakou, S. K.; Hadjiliadis, N.; Milaeva, E. R.; Gracheva, J. A.; Tyurin, V. Y.; Kourkoumelis, N.; Christoforidis, K. C.; Metsios, A. K.; Karkabounas, S.; Charalabopoulos, K. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 43, 327-335.

[^9]:    (31) (a) Molfetta, F. A.; Bruni, A. T.; Honorio, K. M.; da Silva, A. B. F. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 40, 329-338. (b) Molfetta, F. A.; Freitas, R. F.; da Silva, A. B. F.; Montanari, C. A. J. Mol. Model. 2009, 15(10), 1175-1184. (c) Do, E.; Santo, L. L.; Galvão, D. S. J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 1999, 464, 273. (d) Braga, R. S.; Barone, P. M. V. B.; Galvão, D. S. J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 1999, 464, 257.

