



Inducing Swirl Flow within Stationary Cylindrical Split Channel

A dissertation submitted by

SAFIA R. AL-ATRESH

B.sc. Eng., M.sc. Eng.

For the award of the degree of

Doctor of philosophy

Computational Engineering and Science research Centre
Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences

2015

Abstract

There are many free sources of energy around the globe. By investing in them, the world can reduce its dependence on fossil fuels and improve the quality of the environment. Waste heat is considered a hidden energy source and waste heat recovery creates a green energy source with low cost. In addition, natural thermal energy, such as geothermal and solar energy, is a low-cost sustainable heat source with large amounts emitted and produced annually. The stationary cylindrical split channel (where the cylinder is sliced in half along the axis and the two c-shaped halves are translated laterally), commonly used to induce a fire-whirl in the laboratory, is one device that can utilise these heat sources. This research investigates inducing swirl flow within a stationary cylindrical split channel with two identical slots (without using moving parts) using a hot air flow inlet at its base. The objectives of this research are: Firstly, to examine the generation of swirl flow in the split channel when the used heat source is a hot air flow inlet instead of fire. Secondly, to study the effect of possible design parameters on specific variables, including the conversion efficiency of thermal energy to kinetic energy, to determine some possible applications of the new, naturally-induced swirl generator.

This research was divided into experimental and computational components to accomplish the above objectives. First, a preliminary experiment using a fog machine was conducted to visualise the swirl smoke. This was followed by measurements of the generation of the swirl flow using a two-dimensional Particle Image Velocimetry system (2D PIV system). Olive oil particles were used as seeds and to collect the required measurements of velocity components for subsequent validation of the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) results. The scaling analysis was conducted to present the experimental and computational results in normalized form, and to investigate the scaling effect on the turbulence of the swirl flow. Moreover, thermocouples were used to measure the instantaneous temperatures. Second, the computational study was used to solve the continuity, momentum and energy equations in a steady-state condition, using the ANSYS 13.0-CFX software. The Shear Stress Transport model was used for the turbulence. Thermal radiation and heat transfer to the channel walls were ignored. The channel walls and the base of the domain were assumed to be smooth and non-slippery walls. All sides of the cubic domain, representing the surrounding air (with the exception of the bottom), were assumed to be open with an initial wind speed of zero. The ambient temperature was constant. The pressure boundary condition on the sides and top of the cubic domain was set to atmospheric pressure, which decreases with the height. The thermal properties of air changed with temperature and the transport properties were also functions of temperature, using the Sutherland formulas.

To prove the existence of swirl flow, both fog machine visualization and 2D PIV visualisation and measurements were used. The cylindrical split channel used throughout the experimental investigation had a height of 0.25 m and an internal diameter of 0.095 m. Furthermore, the diameter of the inlet at the base of the split channel was 0.03 m, the width of gap (the translation distance along the split) was 0.0115 m, and the depth of gap (the translation distance normal to the split, creating an overlap) was 0.01 m. The PIV measurements were taken for tangential and radial velocities at three different heights within the channel (33.5 mm, 125 mm and 240 mm), while the axial velocity component was measured for a plane including the centreline of the channel.

A three-dimensional CFD model of the swirl flow produced within the current experimental work was validated by the current PIV measurements. A parametric study was then conducted where design parameters such as the inlet temperature and pressure, all geometric quantities (all the sizes of the channel, holes and gaps) and the number of gaps were varied. The effects of these variations on the normalized centreline axial velocity, normalized centreline temperature, normalized centreline axial vorticity, normalized inlet and exit axial velocities, normalized inlet mass flow rate, entrainment ratio and conversion efficiency of thermal energy at the inlet to kinetic energy along the split channel were investigated. This parametric study was conducted on a cylindrical split channel having dimensions similar to Kuwana's channel (Kuwana, Morishita, Dobashi, Chuah & Saito, 2011, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute, vol. 33, pp. 2425–2432). In this study, when one parameter was varied, the remainder were maintained constant.

The results from the smoke and PIV visualisation showed that a swirl flow was generated within the cylindrical split channel. The validation of the CFD showed that average relative deviations were 17.7% and 55.3% for the tangential and radial velocities at a height of 32 mm, while it was 49.56% for the axial velocity. The shapes of the profiles for each velocity component were reproduced by the CFD, but the large relative error in the radial velocity is due to its small magnitude, while the error in the axial velocity is due to an inability to predict the large increase and rapid decrease immediately downstream of the inlet. The scaling analysis produced 16 dimensionless groups representing the characteristic of the generated swirl flow. The parametric study for the channel similar to Kuwana's channel showed that several reported variables affected the conversion efficiency of thermal energy to kinetic energy (η). The inlet temperature, pressure and diameter, the size of the gap (in both directions) and number of gaps are the major variables for designing a split channel for power production because η increases with their increase. In addition, many variables affect the entrainment ratio and inlet mass flow rate, and high values of inlet temperature, gap width and using two gaps (instead of one) are the major variables for building a split chimney for dilution purposes. The inlet temperature, pressure and diameter, the size of the gap (in both directions) and number of gaps are the major variables for the design of a split channel as a fuel saver for an automobile.

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analysis, and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

.....
Signature of candidate
Safia Ramadan Al Atresh

/ /2014
Date

ENDORSEMENT

.....
Signature of supervisor/s

/ /2014
Date

.....
Signature of supervisor/s

/ /2014
Date

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to express my thankfulness and gratitude to Allah who supported me with all requirements to conduct this research. I thank my country (Libya) for the financial support during my research. Without that, I would not have been able to conduct my research here in Australia. I thank USQ for financial support during the war in Libya.

I am greatly indebted to my supervisor Dr Andrew Peter Wandel. I am extremely grateful for his support, invaluable guidance and for his continuing help. I also wish to thank him for providing a wonderful work and research atmosphere. He is really one of the most professional staff here at USQ. My special thanks to my principal supervisor, Dr Ahmad Sharifian, for providing me with the research topic and proposal and also his invaluable help with the computational work.

I acknowledge the efficient support of Prof. David Buttsworth concerning using the PIV system at the laboratory and his valuable suggestions and help during all the experimental measurements. I am also grateful to Dr Ray Malpress for his valuable help during the laboratory work and Mohan Trada for his great help before I started my experimental work. Special thanks go to Vikki Cartwright for her immediate help to obtain the important parts of my experimental set-up. I will not forget the welcoming person for the international postgraduate students here at USQ; Dean Beliveau, who helped me to complete my experimental setting-up.

I would also like to acknowledge the support of the Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences. Moreover, I thank FoES Associate Dean Prof Thiru Aravinthan and his assistant Mrs Juanita Ryan for their support. My thanks go to Mrs Weide Wandel, who conducted the proofreading and editing of the thesis.

Finally, my sincere thanks go to my mum who encouraged me to finish up my study as I always did before. My honest thanks go to my father who is first person encourage me since earlier stages in my educational journey. Many thanks to my husband Omar Al juaky who offered his invaluable support to help me during this long education journey and be my partner to the end of this long educational journey. I will not forget my sweet daughter 'Wed' who always prays to Allah to help me in order to finish my study and 'win' as she likes to say.

Safia R. Al-Atresh
Toowoomba, Australia
08 August 2014

Associated Publications

Refereed Conference Proceedings

1. Al-Atresh, SR, Sharifian, SA & Kueger, B 2012, 'Using a Split Chimney for Dilution of Exhaust Pollution: A CFD Approach', in International Conference on Fluid Dynamics and Thermodynamics Technologies: *proceedings of the International Conference on Fluid Dynamics and Thermodynamics Technologies*, Z Ni (ed.), IACSIT Press, Singapore, pp. 156-61.
2. Al-Atresh, S, Sharifian, A & Al-Faruk, A 2012, 'The effect of inlet velocity and temperature on the strength of the swirling induced by a split channel: A CFD approach', in Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference: *the Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference*, PA Brandner & BW Pearce (eds.), Australasian Fluid Mechanics Society, Australia.
3. Al-Faruk, A, Sharifian, A & Al-Atresh, S 2012, 'Numerical Investigation of Performance of a New Type of Savonius Turbine', in Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference: *the Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference*, PA Brandner & BW Pearce (eds.), Australasian Fluid Mechanics Society, Australia.

Journal Papers

4. Al-Atresh, SR, Sharifian, AS & Wandel, AP 2014, 'The Effect of the Width and Number of Gaps on the Characteristic of Swirl Flow Induced Naturally Inside Split Channel Using Hot Air Inlet', *International Journal of Materials, Mechanics and Manufacturing*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 339-44.
5. Sharifian, AS & Al-Atresh, SR 2014, 'Characteristics of flow in cylindrical split channels and assessment of constant thermal properties model on computational time and accuracy ', *Journal of Applied Fluid Mechanics*, accepted

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Certification of Dissertation	iv
Acknowledgements	vi
Associated Publications	vii
Refereed Conference Proceedings	vii
Journal Papers	vii
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xxiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.1.1 The Inducing of Fire-Whirl in the Laboratory	5
1.1.2 Fuel Saver	6
1.1.3 Power Stations and Dilution of Exhaust	8
1.1.4 Heaters	10
1.2 Research Question and Hypothesis	10
1.3 Scope and Objective of the Thesis	10
1.4 Research Methodology	11
1.4.1 The Computational Investigations	11
1.4.2 Experimental Investigations	12
1.5 Outline of the Following Chapters	12
1.6 Summary	12
2 Literature Review	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 The Available Geometries of Investigated Configurations	13
2.3 Previous Experimental Investigations	14
2.3.1 The Earliest Investigations of Experimental Observations	15
2.3.2 Investigations of Fire-Whirls (Natural Induced Fire-Whirls)	16
2.3.2.1 Review of Some Previously Published Studies	16
2.3.2.2 Heat Source	20
2.3.2.3 Geometry Effect	21
2.3.2.4 Velocity Profile	21
2.3.2.5 Temperature Profile	22
2.4 Previous Investigations of Fire-Whirl Using Computational Studies	23
2.5 Conclusion	25
3 Governing Equations and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	27
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 The Governing Equations	28
3.3 Shear Stress Turbulence Model (SST)	29
3.4 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	32
3.5 Advantages of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	33
3.6 The CFD Solution Procedure	33
3.7 Conclusion	34
4 Modelling Swirl Flow inside Split Channel with Two Slots and Scaling Analysis	35
4.1 The CFD Modelling of Swirl Flow inside Hassan's, Kuwana's and Hayashi's Channels and the Related CFD Results	35
4.1.1 The Common Setup of the CFD Simulations	35
4.1.2 Comparison between the Flow Characteristics of Fire-Whirl and Swirling Hot Air Induced Naturally	37

4.2	Scaling Analysis of the Generated Swirl Flow and Numerical Validation	41
4.2.1	Pre-requisite Scaling Analysis	41
4.2.1.1	Using Pi Theorem	41
4.2.1.2	Using Governing Equations	45
4.2.2	The Numerical Study and Scaling Effect	49
4.3	Characteristics of Swirl Flow within the Channel	55
4.3.1	The Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity Profile	55
4.3.2	The normalized Centreline Temperature Profile	56
4.3.3	The Normalized Entrainment Velocity Profile	56
4.3.4	The Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity	57
4.3.5	The Normalized Average Inlet and Exit Velocity	57
4.3.6	Entrainment Ratio and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	58
4.3.7	Efficiency	58
4.4	Discussion	59
4.5	Conclusion	60
5	Experimental Setup	62
5.1	Apparatus of Split Channel	62
5.1.1	Stationary Cylindrical Split Channel	62
5.1.2	Electrical Heater	62
5.1.3	Cylindrical Pot	62
5.1.4	Insulated Bases	63
5.2	Instruments	64
5.2.1	Particle Image Velocimetry System (PIV)	64
5.2.1.1	Seeding Particles (Particle Generator and Operating Liquid)	65
5.2.1.2	sCMOS Camera	67
5.2.1.3	Laser, Optics and Articulated Light Arm	68
5.2.1.4	Synchronizer	70
5.2.1.5	Accessories of PIV System	70
5.2.2	Fog Machine	71
5.2.2.1	Fog Machine	71
5.2.2.2	Accessories of Fog Machine	71
5.2.3	Thermocouples and Their Accessories	72
5.3	Experimental Procedures	72
5.3.1	Fog Machine Procedure	72
5.3.2	PIV Laboratory Safety	73
5.3.3	PIV Procedure	73
5.3.3.1	General Procedure	73
5.4	Summary	77
6	Experimental Results	78
6.1	Introduction	78
6.2	The Preliminary Experimental Results	78
6.2.1	The Effect of Number of Images and Repeatability on Radial and Tangential Velocity Profiles	78
6.2.2	Effect of Opening Different Number of Nozzles (Change Mass Flow Rate of the Seeding) On the Velocity Profiles	83
6.2.2.1	At the Base of Split Channel (Height=33.5 mm)	84
6.2.2.2	At the Middle of the Split Channel (Height=125 mm)	85
6.2.2.3	At the Top of the Split Channel (Height=240 mm)	86
6.2.3	Effect of Inlet Pressure (Nozzle Pressure) - the Mass Flow Rate of the Seeding and its Concentration- on the Velocity Profiles	87

6.2.3.1	The Basic Effect of Nozzle Pressure on the PIV Measurements	87
6.3	The Main Experimental Results	88
6.3.1	Introduction	88
6.3.2	Velocity Vectors	89
6.3.3	Normalized Tangential Velocity Profile.....	91
6.3.3.1	General Behaviour along the Three Heights.....	91
6.3.3.2	The Standard Deviation of Tangential Velocity at Different Heights...	93
6.3.4	Radial Velocity Profile	94
6.3.4.1	General Behaviour along the Three Heights.....	94
6.3.4.2	The normalized Standard Deviation at the Different Heights	94
6.3.5	Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity	95
6.3.5.1	The Effect of the Depth of the Gap on the normalized Centreline Axial Velocity.....	98
6.4	Discussion.....	99
6.5	Conclusion	101
7	Comparison between Experimental and Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) Results	103
7.1	Introduction	103
7.2	Preliminary Comparison between PIV Measurements and CFD Results for normalized Tangential and Radial Speeds	103
7.2.1	For the Effect of Repeatability at a Height of 32 mm.....	104
7.3	CFD Results for PIV Measurements of Tangential and Radial velocities at the Three Different Heights	106
7.3.1	Comparison between PIV Measurements and CFD Results at Three Different Heights of Channel	106
7.3.1.1	Normalized Tangential velocities	106
7.3.1.2	Normalized Radial velocities.....	107
7.3.2	Predicted Average velocities at Three Different Heights.	108
7.3.2.1	Normalized Tangential velocities	108
7.3.2.2	Normalized Radial velocities.....	109
7.4	Comparison between Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity from PIV Measurements and CFD Simulations at Depth of Gap of Zero and 0.01 m.....	110
7.5	Discussion.....	111
7.6	Conclusion	111
8	Computational Results and Discussion.....	113
8.1	Introduction	113
8.2	Variable Properties.....	113
8.3	Important Parameters	113
8.4	Effect of Inlet Temperature (T_{in})	114
8.4.1	Effect of Inlet Temperature on the Normalized Velocity Profiles	114
8.4.2	Effect of Inlet Temperature on the Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	115
8.4.3	Effect of Inlet Temperature on the Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity.....	116
8.4.4	Effect of Inlet Temperature on the Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Axial Velocity	117
8.4.5	Effect of Inlet Temperature on Entrainment Ratio and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	118
8.4.6	Effect of Inlet Temperature on the Thermal Efficiency (η) %.....	119

8.5	Effect of Inlet Pressure (P_{in}).....	120
8.5.1	Effect of Inlet Pressure on Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity.....	120
8.5.2	Effect of Inlet Pressure on Normalized Centreline Temperature	121
8.5.3	Effect of Inlet Pressure on Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity	121
8.5.4	Effect of Inlet Pressure on Normalized Inlet and Exit Axial Mean Velocity.....	122
8.5.5	Effect of Inlet Pressure on Entrainment Ratio and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	123
8.5.6	Effect of Inlet Pressure on the Thermal Efficiency (η) Percentage...	124
8.6	Effect of Inlet Diameter (d_{in}).....	125
8.6.1	The Effect of Inlet Diameter on the Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity.....	125
8.6.2	The Effect of Inlet Diameter on the Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	127
8.6.3	The Effect of Inlet Diameter on the Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity.....	127
8.6.4	The Effect of Inlet Diameter on Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Velocity.....	128
8.6.5	The Effect of Inlet Diameter on the Entrainment Ratio and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	129
8.6.6	The Effect Of Inlet Diamter On Efficiency (η) Percentage.....	130
8.7	Effect of Width of Gap (W_g)	130
8.7.1	Effect of Width of Gap on Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity	130
8.7.2	Effect of Width of Gap on Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	132
8.7.3	Effect of Width of Gap on Normalized entrainment velocity.....	132
8.7.4	Effect of Width of Gap on Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity.....	133
8.7.5	Effect of Width of Gap on Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Velocity ..	134
8.7.6	Effect of Width of Gap on Entrainment Ratio and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	134
8.7.7	Effect of Width of Gap on the Thermal Efficiency (η) Percentage	135
8.8	Effect of Depth of Gap (d_g)	136
8.8.1	Effect of Depth of Gap on the Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity..	136
8.8.2	Effect of Depth of Gap on Normalized Centreline Temperature	137
8.8.3	Effect of Depth of Gap on Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity	138
8.8.4	Effect of Depth of Gap on Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Axial Velocity.....	139
8.8.5	Effect of Depth of Gap on Entrainment Ratio and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	139
8.8.6	Effect of the Depth of Gap on the Thermal Efficiency (η) Percentage	140
8.9	Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder (d_c)	141
8.9.1	Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder on Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity	141
8.9.2	Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder on Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	141
8.9.3	Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder on Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity.....	142
8.9.4	Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder on Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Axial Velocity	142

8.9.5 Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder on Entrainment Ratio and the Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate.....	144
8.9.6 Effect of Internal Diameter of Split Cylinder on the Thermal Efficiency (η) Percentage.....	145
8.10 Effect of Number of Gaps (N_g) and Width of Gap in Case of Using One Gap Channel.....	145
8.10.1 Effect of Number of Gaps on Normalized Velocities Profiles	145
8.10.2 Effect of Number of Gaps on the Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	147
8.10.3 Effect of Number of Gaps on the Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity.....	148
8.10.4 Effect of Number of Gaps(N_g)and the Width of Gap in the Case of Using One Gap Channel on Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Axial Velocity...	149
8.10.5 Effect of Number of Gaps on Entrainment Ratio and the Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate	150
8.10.6 Effect of Number of Gaps (N_g) on the Thermal Efficiency (η) Percentage.....	150
8.11 Effect of Height of Inlet (H_{in})	151
8.11.1 Effect of Height of Inlet on the Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity	151
8.11.2 Effect of Height of Hot Air Inlet on the Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	152
8.11.3 Effect of Height of Hot Air Inlet on the Normalized Entrainment Velocity.....	152
8.11.4 Effect of Height of Hot Air Inlet on the Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity	153
8.11.5 Effect of Height of the Inlet on the Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Velocity	153
8.11.6 Effect of Height of Inlet on Entrainment and Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate.....	154
8.11.7 Effect of Height of Inlet on Thermal Efficiency (η) Percentage.....	155
8.12 Effect of the Height of Gap (H_g).....	155
8.12.1 Effect of the Height of the Gap on the Normalized Centreline Axial Velocity	156
8.12.2 Effect of the Height of the Gap on the Normalized Centreline Temperature.....	156
8.12.3 Effect of the Height of the Gap on the Normalized Centreline Axial Vorticity	157
8.12.4 Effect of the Height of the Gap on the Normalized Inlet and Exit Mean Axial Velocity.....	158
8.12.5 Effect of the Height of the Gap on the Entrainment Ratio and the Normalized Inlet Mass Flow Rate.....	159
8.12.6 Effect of the Height of the Gap on Thermal Efficiency(η)Percentage	158
8.13 Conclusion	160
9 Conclusion.....	161
9.1 Summary.....	161
9.2 Major Conclusion from the Study	161
9.3 Proposals for Future Research	161
List of References	163
Appendices	172

A1	Data Processing	172
A2	Derivation of Dimensionless Groups Using Pi Theorem	176
A3	Average Particle Displacements in Pixels for the main results.....	178

List of Figures

Chapter 1: Introduction

Figure 1.1	Energy use in London 1950-2000 (Livingstone, 2002).....	1
Figure 1.2	Global warming for 1880-2000. a) Global warming and heat sources (the global heat accumulation), (b) The total global warming, i.e., heat accumulation in air, ground and water/ice since 1880 (Nordell & Gervet 2009).....	2
Figure 1.3	Annual-mean anthropogenic heat flux in 2005 (Flanner 2009)	2
Figure 1.4	Predicted annual-mean anthropogenic heat flux in 2040 (Flanner 2009).....	3
Figure 1.5	Worldwide annual direct normal irradiation in kWh/m ² /y from NASA SSE 6.0 (Trieb et al. 2009).....	4
Figure 1.6	Colour-coded contours of the global distribution of heat flow at the surface of the Earth's crust (Pollack, Hurter & Johnson 1993, p.275)	5
Figure 1.7	Hottest known Geothermal Regions of the world (Geothermal, 2013)	5
Figure 1.8	Hiclone or fuel saver (Technical Information, 2011).....	7
Figure 1.9	Hiclone swirl effect. a) Fuel burned in 10 metres with/without Hiclone (fuelsaver, 2011), b) swirl flow generated by Hiclone (fuelsaver, 2011).....	7
Figure 1.10	Economy test for Hiclone (Better Economy With Hiclone, 2011).	7
Figure 1.11	Fuel consumption due to swirl flow by Hiclone (oMaR 2013).....	8
Figure 1.12	Schematic diagram of solar chimney power generating system (Zhou et al. 2009, P.179).....	9
Figure 1.13	The target parameters of the cylindrical split channel with two gaps. a) Isometric view, b) top view of split channel with two gaps, c) top view of split channel with one gap only.	11

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Figure 2.1	Top view of square channels with (a) four corner gaps between four extended walls from one edge (Sato & Yang 1999), (b) normal four corner gaps (McDonough & Loh 2003), (c) extended four corner gaps between partitions (Ishikawa et al. 2007), (d) one corner gap (Chow & Han 2009; Zou et al. 2009), (e) two symmetrical corner gaps (Zou et al. 2009).....	14
Figure 2.2	Top view of cylindrical channels with (a) two symmetrical side slits in wall of cylinder (Byram & Martin 1962), (b) two symmetrical slits between two separated halves of a cylinder (Hassan et al. 2001), (c) two symmetrical slits through two overlapping halves of a cylinder (Kuwana et al. 2011).....	14
Figure 2.3	Top view of hexagonal channels with (a) two symmetrical corner gaps and two symmetrical fixed angles (Chuah et al. 2011; Saito & Cremers 1996), (b) six extended symmetrical corner gaps (Chuah et al. 2011; Saito & Cremers 1996; Zhang et al. 2007).....	14
Figure 0.4	Top view of Dines's configuration (Dines, 1896).....	15
Figure 0.5	Experimental setup for Miller's study. (a) Experimental setup, (b) induced waterspout, (c) induced fire whirl (Miller, 1955).....	15

Figure 2.6 Two sizes of fire wind whirl chambers of slightly different design: (a) small chamber forms whirls from 0.4 to 0.9 m in height, (b) large chamber forms whirls up to 3.35 m in height (Byram and Martin 1962, p.14).....	16
Figure 2.7 The effect of size of gap (width of gap) on the inlet air velocity (Hassan et al. 2001).....	17
Figure 2.8 The test of effect of gap position (adapted from Chow & Han, 2008).....	20
Chapter3: Governing Equations and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)	
Figure 3.1The different disciplines contained within computational fluid dynamics (Tu et al. 2008, p.2)	32
Chapter 4: Modelling Swirl Flow inside Split Channel with Two Slots and Scaling Analysis	
Figure 4.1 Top view of split channels	35
Figure 4.2 Comparison between the velocity components using Hassan's configuration for both generating fire-whirl and swirling hot air at $Y/H=0.05$ on the line A-A' (see Figure 4.1). (a) Axial velocity; (b) Tangential velocity; (c) Radial velocity (Adopted from Al-Atresh et al., 2012).....	38
Figure 4.3 Comparison between the velocity components on the line A-A' and centreline of channel (See Figure 4.1) using Kuwana's configuration for both fire-whirl and swirl hot air. (a) Axial velocity profile at $Y/H=0.05$ with different temperature on the line A-A' (Al-Atresh et al, 2012); (b) The centreline axial velocity against height (Adopted from Al-Atresh et al., 2012); (c) Radial and tangential velocities at $Y/H=0.1$ at $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K.	40
Figure 4.4 simple sketch showing scaling parameters.	42
Figure 4.5 The normalized centreline axial velocity for the model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2, prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).	52
Figure 4.6 The normalized inlet and exit axial velocity for the model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2, prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).	53
Figure 4.7 The normalized centreline entrainment velocity for the model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2, prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).	53
Figure 4.8 The normalized centreline temperature for the model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2, prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).	53
Figure 4.9 Rayleigh number based on the centreline temperature along the height of the channels of model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2, prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).....	54
Figure 4.10 The normalized centreline axial vorticity γ for the model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2, prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).	54
Figure 4.11 The normalized inlet mass flow rate and the entrainment ratio for the model and prototype A, B&C (prototype A at scaling factor of 2,	

prototype B at scaling factor of 10, prototype C at scaling factor of 0.5).	54
Figure 4.12 the scaling effect on the inlet Reynolds number.	55
Figure 4.13 Reynolds number along the split channel	55
Figure 4.14 Centreline axial velocity along the channel height	56
Figure 4.15 Centreline temperature along the channel height	56
Figure 4.16 The normalized entrainment velocity along the split channel at inlet temperature of 1,573.15 K (Adopted from Al-Atresh et al., 2014)	57
Figure 4.17 Centreline axial vorticity along the channel height at inlet temperature of 1,573.15 K (Adopted from Al-Atresh et al., 2014)	57
Figure 4.18 The efficiency along the height of the channel at inlet temperature of 1,573.15 K.	59

Chapter 5: Experimental Setup

Figure 5.1 Split channel in the experimental set-up. (a) Top view; (b) side view. $d_{in}=30\text{mm}$, $H_c=250\text{mm}$, $W_g=11.5\text{mm}$, $d_g=10\text{mm}$, $R_c=47.5\text{mm}$, $e=2.5\text{mm}$ (Al-Atresh & Wandel, 2014).	62
Figure 5.2 View of swirling buoyant experiment arrangement. ① Pyrex glass split channel, ② Kambrook Hotplate, ③ cylindrical pot, ④ insulated base, and ⑤ thermocouple and their accessories (⑤(a) thermocouple type K, ⑤(b) signal converter, ⑤(c) Computer (Al-Atresh & Wandel, 2014).	63
Figure 5.3 The layers of the insulated base. ① Kaowool sheet with thickness of 0.01 m, ② Fibre-cement sheet with thickness of 0.02 m.	64
Figure 5.4 Standard PIV Setup (Measurement Principle of Particle Image Velocimetry, 2014)	65
Figure 5.5 A schematic of Laskin Nozzle Particle Generator with Four Nozzles (Raffel et al., 2007).	66
Figure 5.6 The PivPart40 particle generator (Aerosol Generator PivPart40 series, 2012)	66
Figure 5.7 sCMOS camera (ILA.PIV.sCMOS Camera, 2014)	67
Figure 5.8 The filter of the sCMOS camera.	67
Figure 5.9 Output Power from Quantel EverGreen 145 (Quantel, 2012)	68
Figure 5.10 Light sheet optics (Source: Parallel Light Sheet Optics, 2014)	69
Figure 5.11 Articulated Mirror Arm. (a) Isometric illustration, (b) side schematic (Articulated Mirror Arm, 2014).	69
Figure 5.12 Synchronizer unit (Synchronizer, 2014).	70
Figure 5.13 Laser safety goggles (Laser Safety Goggles, 2014).	70
Figure 5.14 Air compressor tank (TTBC 32/270 Compressor).	71
Figure 5.15 Main pressure regulator controlling outlet pressure gauge of compressed air in bars.	71
Figure 5.16 Fog machine with its accessories. (a) Fog machine, (b) wireless remote, (c) Eurolite Smoke-Fluid "P" Professional	72
Figure 5.17 Experimental setup to visualise the swirl flow using the smoke generator.	73
Figure 5.18 Experimental set up (orientating the laser sheet horizontally and placing the camera over the split channel).	74
Figure 5.19 The visualization of horizontal laser sheet at height of 0.05 m (Al-Atresh & Wandel, 2014).	75
Figure 5.20 Experimental set up (orientating the laser sheet vertically and placing the camera across the split channel).	76

Figure 5.21 The visualization of vertical laser sheet at the axial centreline plane.
.....77

Chapter6: Experimental Results

Figure 6.1(a) The normalized time-averaged radial velocity produced by analysing different number of pair images of the first experiment. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars.....78

Figure 6.1(b) The normalized time-averaged tangential velocity produced by analysing different number of pair images of the first experiment. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars.....79

Figure 6.2 The repeatability of normalized tangential velocity and the normalized average standard deviation at height=0.032 m. Opening one nozzle, $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars.....80

Figure 6.3 (a) Some of the normalized instantaneous x velocity component for $x = 0$ in comparison with the mean value of the x velocity component for the first experiment.82

Figure 6.3 (b) the contour of instantaneous x velocity component with vector map of the generated swirl flow.....81

Figure 6.4 The repeatability of the normalized average radial velocity and the normalized average standard deviation at height=0.032 m. Opening one nozzle, $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m a and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars.....82

Figure 6.5 (a) some of the normalized instantaneous z velocity component in comparison with the mean value of z velocity component for the first experiment.83

Figure 6.5 (b) the contour of instantaneous z velocity component with vector map of the generated swirl flow.....82

Figure 6.6 Instantaneous and mean inlet and ambient temperatures at height of 33.5 mm with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure=0.15 bars.
.....84

Figure 6.7 (a) The normalized time-averaged measurements of tangential velocity with the normalized standard deviations on line 1 at height of 33.5 mm by opening one and four Laskin nozzles. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars....85

Figure 6.7 (b) the time-averaged measurements of the normalized radial velocity with the normalized standard deviations on line 1 at height of 33.5 mm by opening one and four Laskin nozzles. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars..84

Figure 6.8 The Normalized time-averaged measurements of normalized tangential and radial velocities on line 1 at height of 125 mm by opening one and four Laskin nozzles. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars.86

Figure 6.9 The normalized time-averaged measurements of tangential and radial velocities on line 1 at height of 240 mm by opening one and four Laskin nozzles. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.15$ bars.....87

Figure 6.10 Normalized time-averaged tangential velocity and the standard deviation at 0.25 bars and 0.3125 bars nozzle pressure. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m.	88
Figure 6.11 The normalized time-averaged radial velocities and the normalized standard deviation at 0.25 bars and 0.3125 bars nozzle pressure. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m.	88
Figure 6.12 The time-averaged velocity vectors at different heights of channel. (a) At height=0.00335 cm, (b) At height=0.125 m, (c) At height=0.24 m.	90
Figure 6.13 The velocity vectors at the centreline axial plane.....	91
Figure 6.14 PIV measurements of normalized tangential velocity at different heights from the base of split channel. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.65$ bars with opening one nozzle.....	92
Figure 6.15 Visualization of swirl flow from ((a) and (b)) top view for instantaneous PIV results, (c) side view of swirl smoke flow produced using fog machine (Sharifian & Al-Atresh, 2014).....	93
Figure 6.16 The normalized standard deviations for the tangential velocity at three heights through line 1. At 0.65 bars nozzle pressure, $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m.	94
Figure 6.17 PIV measurements of normalized mean radial velocity at different heights from the base of split channel. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.011$ cm, $d_c=9.5$ cm, $d_{in}=3$ cm and $P_{in}=0.65$ bars with opening one nozzle.....	94
Figure 6.18 The normalized standard deviations of radial velocity at three heights through line 1. At 0.65 bars nozzle pressure, $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m.	95
Figure 6.19 (a) PIV measurements of centreline axial velocity along height at nozzle pressure=0.25 bars with opening one nozzle. Shown are time-averaged, some sample instantaneous velocity, standard deviation, m/s and turbulent intensity. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_g=1$ cm, $d_c=9.5$ cm, $d_{in}=3$ cm and $P_{in}=0.25$ bars with opening one nozzle. ...	96
Figure 6.19 (b) The contour of axial velocity and vector map for image number of 0050 at $d_g=0.01$ m.....	96
Figure 0.1 (a) Measured centreline axial velocity and the standard deviation at different depth of gap. $d_g=0$ m ($T_{in}=414.62$ K) and $d_g=0.01$ m ($T_{in}=409.45$ K) with some fluctuated velocity at $d_g=0$. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g=0.0115$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0.1625$ bars with opening one nozzle.	97
Figure 6.20 (b) The contour of axial velocity and vector map for image number of 2000 at $d_g=0$ m.....	98
Chapter 7: Comparison between Experimental and Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) Results	
Figure 7.1 Comparison between normalized time-average tangential velocity from PIV and average tangential velocity from CFD simulation at height of 0.032 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m and $P_{in}=0$ bar with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.....	105
Figure 7.2 Comparison between normalized time-average radial speed from PIV and normalized average radial velocity from CFD simulation at	

	height of 0.032 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar (Al-Atresh & Wandel, 2014).	105
Figure 7.3	Comparison between normalized time-average tangential velocity from PIV and normalized average tangential velocity from CFD simulation at height of 0.0335 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.	106
Figure 7.4	Comparison between normalized time-average tangential speed from PIV and normalized average tangential speed from CFD simulation at height of 0.125 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.	106
Figure 7.5	Comparison between normalized time-average tangential speed from PIV and normalized average tangential speed from CFD simulation at height of 0.24 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.	107
Figure 7.6	Comparison between normalized time-average radial velocity from PIV and normalized average radial speed from CFD simulation at height of 0.0335 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.	107
Figure 7.7	Comparison between normalized time-average radial velocity from PIV and normalized average radial speed from CFD simulation at height of 0.125 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.	108
Figure 7.8	Comparison between normalized time-average radial velocity from PIV and normalized average radial velocity from CFD simulation at height of 0.24 m. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g= 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ cm, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with opening one nozzle and nozzle pressure of 0.15 bar.	108
Figure 7.9	Comparison between normalized average tangential velocities from CFD simulation at different heights on line 1. $H_c = 0.25$ m, $W_g = 0.0115$ m, $d_g = 0.01$ m, $d_c = 0.095$ m, $d_{in} = 0.03$ m, with total inlet pressure (P_{in}) = zero Pa and Inlet TI=52% (Al-Atresh & Wandel, 2014).	109
Figure 7.10	Comparison between normalized average radial velocities from CFD simulation at different heights on line 1. $H_c=0.25$ m, $W_g = 0.0115$ m, $d_g=0.01$ m, $d_c=0.095$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m with total inlet pressure (P_{in}) = zero Pa and Inlet TI=52%.	109
Figure 7.11	Comparison between PIV measurements and CFD results of normalized centreline axial velocity. (P_{in} =Zero and 0.53 pa and Inlet turbulent intensity TI=5% and 52%) at d_g =zero.	110
Figure 7.12	Comparison between PIV measurements and CFD results (P_{in} =0.53 pa and Inlet turbulent intensity TI=52%) at d_g =0.01 m.	110

Chapter 8: Computational Results and Discussion

Figure 8.1	Effect of inlet hot air temperature on normalized centreline axial velocity at constant inlet pressure (P_{in} =0 Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m,	
------------	---	--

$W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	113
Figure 8.2 Effect entry conditions (Inlet temperature) on velocity profile along the Kuwana's channel at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). (a) Centreline axial velocity; (b) radial and tangential at $Y/H=0.05$. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref} = 101,325$ Pa. (Adapted from Al-Atresh et al., 2012.)	114
Figure 8.3 The effect of inlet hot air temperature on normalized centreline temperature at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	115
Figure 8.4 The effect of inlet hot air temperature on normalized centreline vorticity Y at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	115
Figure 8.5 The effect of the inlet temperature at constant inlet total pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa) on average vorticity with respect to Y -axis. A: $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K; B: $T_{in}=1,273.15$ K; C: $T_{in}=773.15$ K; F: $T_{in}=373.15$ K (Adopted from Al-Atresh et al., 2012).	116
Figure 8.6 The effect of inlet hot air temperature on normalized inlet velocity and exit velocity at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	117
Figure 8.7 The effect of inlet hot air temperature on entrainment ratio and normalized inlet mass flow rate at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	117
Figure 8.8 the effect of the inlet temperature on the inlet velocity and density.	118
Figure 8.9 The effect of inlet temperature (T_{in}) at constant inlet total pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa) on the efficiency percentage along the split channel	118
Figure 8.10 The effect of inlet hot air pressure on normalized centreline axial velocity at constant inlet temperature ($T_{in}=1,573.15$ K). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	119
Figure 8.11 The effect of entry conditions (inlet pressure) at constant inlet temperature ($T_{in}=373.15$ K) on radial and tangential velocities at $Y/H_c=0.05$ into Kuwana's channel. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa (adapted from Al-Atresh et al., 2012).	120
Figure 8.12 Effect of inlet hot air pressure on normalized centreline temperature at constant inlet temperature ($T_{in}=1,573.15$ K,). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	120
Figure 8.13 Effect of inlet hot air pressure on normalized centreline vorticity Y at constant inlet temperature ($T_{in}=1,573.15$ K,). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	121

Figure 8.14 The effect of total pressure on normalized average axial vorticity with respect to Y-axis at constant temperature ($T_{in}=373.15$ K). F: $P_{in}=0$ Pa; E: $P_{in}=2$ Pa; D: $P_{in}=5$ Pa (adapted from Al-Atresh et al., 2012).	121
Figure 8.15 Effect of inlet hot air pressure on normalized inlet velocity and exit velocity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	122
Figure 8.16 Effect of inlet hot air pressure on entrainment ratio and the normalized inlet mass flow rate at constant inlet temperature ($T_{in}=1,573.15$ K). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	123
Figure 8.17 The effect of inlet pressure (P_{in}) at constant inlet temperature ($T_{in}=1,573.15$ K) on the efficiency percentage along the split channel.	123
Figure 8.18 The effect of inlet diameter on the normalized centreline axial velocity v . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	125
Figure 8.18 (a) The effect of inlet diameter (0.02 m and 0.03 m) on the normalized centreline axial velocity v . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	125
Figure 8.18b The effect of inlet diameter (0.07 m $\leq d_{in} \leq 0.11$ m) on the normalized centreline axial velocity v . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	125
Figure 8.18c The effect of inlet diameter (0.13 m, 0.14 m, 0.15 m) on the normalized centreline axial velocity v . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	125
Figure 8.18d The effect of inlet diameter (0.16 m $\leq d_{in} \leq 0.17$ m) on the normalized centreline axial velocity v . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	126
Figure 8.19 The effect of inlet diameter on normalized centreline temperature. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	126
Figure 8.20 The effect of inlet diameter on the normalized centreline axial vorticity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K,, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	127
Figure 8.21 The effect of inlet diameter on the dimensionless group of inlet and exit. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K,, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	128
Figure 8.22 The effect of inlet diameter on the normalized mass flow rate and the entrainment ratio. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K,, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	128
Figure 8.23 The effect of inlet diameter on the efficiency of converting the thermal energy to kinetic energy.	129
Figure 8.24 The effect of the width of the gap on normalized centreline velocity v . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$,	

$d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	130
Figure 8.25 Effect of the width of the gap on the normalized centreline temperature. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	131
Figure 8.26 the change of normalized average entrainment velocity with the change of gap of width. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	132
Figure 8.27 the change of normalized entrainment velocity along the height with the change of gap of width. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K , $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	132
Figure 8.28 Effect of the width of the gap on normalized centreline axial vorticity Y . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	132
Figure 8.29 Effect of the width of the gap on the normalized inlet and exit velocity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	133
Figure 8.30 Effect of the width of the gap on normalized inlet mass flow rate and entrained ratio. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$ and $d_g/d_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	134
Figure 8.31 The effect of width of gap on the efficiency of converting the thermal energy to kinetic energy.	134
Figure 8.32 The effect of the depth of the gap on normalized centreline axial velocity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $W_g/d_c=0.1$ and $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	135
Figure 8.33 Effect of the depth of the gap on normalized centreline temperature. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $W_g/d_c=0.1$ and $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	136
Figure 8.34 Effect of the depth of the gap on normalized centreline axial vorticity Y . $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $W_g/d_c=0.1$ and $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	138
Figure 8.35 Effect of the depth of the gap on normalized inlet and exit velocities. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $H_c/d_c=5$, $W_g/d_c=0.1$ and $d_{in}/d_c=0.15$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	138
Figure 8.36 Effect of the depth of the gap on normalized inlet mass flow rate and entrainment ratio. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	139
Figure 8.37 The effect of depth of gap on the efficiency along the height of channel.....	139

Figure 8.38 Effect of channel diameter on normalized centreline axial velocity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	140
Figure 8.39 The change of normalized centreline temperature by the change of internal diameter of split channel. $H_c=1$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	141
Figure 8.40 Effect of channel diameter on normalized centreline axial vorticity Y . $H_c=1$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	141
Figure 8.41 Effect of channel diameter on the dimensionless group of inlet and exit velocity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	142
Figure 8.42 The effect of the case of d_c of 0.07 m on (a) the normalized entrainment velocity in the case of d_c of 0.07 m along the height of split channel, (b) axial velocity contour along the channel.....	142
Figure 8.43 Effect of channel diameter on normalized inlet mass flow rate and the entrainment ratio. $H_c=1$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	143
Figure 8.44 The effect of d_c values on total entrainment mass flow rate, inlet mass flow rate, and entrainment ratio.	143
Figure 8.45 Effect of channel diameter on the efficiency along the height of channel. $H_c=1$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, and $d_g=0$, $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	144
Figure 8.46 Effect of number of gaps and width of gap on normalized centreline axial velocity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $H_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $d_c/h_c=0.2$ m and $d_g/h_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	145
Figure 8.47 Effect of number of gaps and width of gap on normalized entrainment velocity along the gap. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $H_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $d_c/h_c=0.2$ m and $d_g/h_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa (adapted from Al-Atresh et al. (2014)).	145
Figure 8.48 Normalized centreline pressure drop along the height for the three channels (adapted from Al-Atresh et al., 2014).	146
Figure 8.49 Effect of number of gaps and width of gap on normalized centreline temperature. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $d_c/h_c=0.2$ m and $d_g/h_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	147
Figure 8.50 Effect of number of gaps and width of gap on normalized centreline axial vorticity. $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $d_c/h_c=0.2$ m and $d_g/h_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	148
Figure 8.51 Normalized inlet and exit average axial velocities among the three channels.....	148
Figure 8.52 Entrainment ratio and the normalized inlet average axial velocity for the three channels.	149
Figure 8.53 The efficiency along the three channels with different number of gaps and different width of gap.	150

Figure 8.54 The effect of height of inlet on normalized centreline axial velocity at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	151
Figure 8.55 The effect of height of inlet on normalized centreline temperature at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	151
Figure 8.56 The effect of height of inlet hot air on the normalized entrainment velocity.	152
Figure 8.57 The effect of height of inlet on normalized centreline axial vorticity at constant inlet pressure ($P_{in}=0$ Pa). $H_c=1$ m, $d_c=0.2$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $d_g=0$ and $d_{in}=0.03$ m. $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	152
Figure 8.58 Effect of height of inlet on the normalized inlet and exit mean axial velocities. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $H_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	153
Figure 8.59 Effect of height of inlet hot air on entrainment ratio and the normalized inlet mass flow rate. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s, $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	153
Figure 8.60 The effect of height of inlet on efficiency along the channel height. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_{in}=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $H_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	154
Figure 8.61 (a) the effect of height of gap on normalized centreline axial velocity. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $W_g/h_c=0.02$, $d_c/h_c=0.2$ m and $d_g/h_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	155
Figure 8.62 (a) Effect of height of gap ($H_g=0$ m, 0.1m, 0.2m, and 0.3 m) on normalized centreline temperature. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $W_g/h_c=0.02$, $d_c/h_c=0.2$ m and $d_g/h_c=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $P_{in}=0$ Pa, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	156
Figure 8.62 (B) The effect of height of gap ($H_g=0.5$ m and 0.7 m) on the normalized centreline temperature. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $d_p/h_c=0.03$, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	156
Figure 8.63 Effect of gap height on normalized centreline axial vorticity. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.....	157
Figure 8.64 Effect of gap height on normalized average inlet axial and exit axial velocity. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	157
Figure 8.65 Effect of gap height on normalized inlet mass flow rate and the entrainment ratio. $d_c=0.2$ m, $d_p=0.03$ m, $W_g=0.02$ m, $h_c=1$ m and $d_g=0$. $T_{in}=1,573.15$ K, $T_{amb}=298.15$ K, $g=9.81$ m/s ² , $P_{ref}=101,325$ Pa.	158
Figure 8.66 The effect of height of gap on efficiency along the channel height.	158

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Estimates of Waste Heat Loss and Recovery Potential (BCSIncorporated, 2008).....	3
Table 1.2 Example of wasted heat source and their uses (BCSIncorporated 2008) ...	3
Table 1.3 Exhaust emissions test of Hydrocarbons for Ford Falcon V8 EFI (fuelsaver, 2011).....	8
Table 4.1 The dimensions of the configurations modelled	36
Table 4.2 the dimensions of all required quantities.	42
Table 4.3 the dimensions of the channels of model, prototype A, B, &C.	49
Table 4.4 summary of boundary condition for numerical simulations and inlet Reynolds number of inlet hot air flow.....	49
Table 6.1 The time-averaged inlet temperatures and average ambient temperatures for PIV experiments at different heights with opening one and four nozzles.....	82
Table 7.1 The information of domain size and independent mesh studies	103
Table 8.1 Normalized average entrainment velocity u and total entrainment mass flow rate for the three channels (Adapted from Al-Atresh et al., 2014).....	146
Table 8.2 The normalized inlet static suction pressure for the three channels (Adapted from Al-Atresh et al., 2014).	149